Molecular Tension Microscopy of E-Cadherin During Epithelial- Mesenchymal Transition

Helena Canever, Pietro Salvatore Carollo, Romain Fleurisson, Philippe Girard, Nicolas Borghi

► To cite this version:

Helena Canever, Pietro Salvatore Carollo, Romain Fleurisson, Philippe Girard, Nicolas Borghi. Molecular Tension Microscopy of E-Cadherin During Epithelial- Mesenchymal Transition. The Epithelial-to Mesenchymal Transition, 2021. hal-02989319

HAL Id: hal-02989319

<https://hal.science/hal-02989319>

Submitted on 5 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	Molecular Tension Microscopy of E-Cadherin During Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition	
Copyright Year	2020	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature	
Author	Family Name	Canever
	Particle	
	Given Name	Helena
	Suffix	
	Division	Institut Jacques Monod, CNRS UMR7592
	Organization	Université de Paris
	Address	Paris, France
Author	Family Name	Carollo
	Particle	
	Given Name	Pietro Salvatore
	Suffix	
	Division	Institut Jacques Monod, CNRS UMR7592
	Organization	Université de Paris
	Address	Paris, France
Author	Family Name	Fleurisson
	Particle	
	Given Name	Romain
	Suffix	
	Division	Institut Jacques Monod, CNRS UMR7592
	Organization	Université de Paris
	Address	Paris, France
Author	Family Name	Girard
	Particle	
	Given Name	Philippe P.
	Suffix	
	Division	Institut Jacques Monod, CNRS UMR7592
	Organization	Université de Paris
	Address	Paris, France
	Division	Faculty of Basic and Biomedical Sciences
	Organization	Université Paris Descartes
	Address	Paris, France
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Borghi
	Particle	

Given Name **Nicolas**
Suffix
Division Institut Jacques Monod, CNRS UMR7592
Organization Université de Paris
Address Paris, France
Email nicolas.borghi@ijm.fr

Abstract Molecular Tension Microscopy has been increasingly used in the last years to investigate mechanical forces acting in cells at the molecular scale. Here, we describe a protocol to image the tension of the junctional protein E-cadherin in cultured epithelial cells undergoing Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT). We report how to prepare cells and induce EMT, and how to acquire, analyze, and quantitatively interpret FRET data.

Keywords FRET Biosensor - E-cadherin - EMT - Microscopy - Mechanotransduction
(separated by ‘-’)

Molecular Tension Microscopy of E-Cadherin During Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition 2 3

Helena Canever, Pietro Salvatore Carollo, Romain Fleurisson, 4
Philippe P. Girard, and Nicolas Borghi 5

Abstract 6

Molecular Tension Microscopy has been increasingly used in the last years to investigate mechanical forces 7
acting in cells at the molecular scale. Here, we describe a protocol to image the tension of the junctional 8
protein E-cadherin in cultured epithelial cells undergoing Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT). We 9
report how to prepare cells and induce EMT, and how to acquire, analyze, and quantitatively interpret 10
FRET data. 11

Key words FRET Biosensor, E-cadherin, EMT, Microscopy, Mechanotransduction 12

1 Introduction 13

Molecular Tension Microscopy (MTM) is the microscopy of molec- 14
ular tension sensors [1]. Molecular tension sensors are a class of 15
Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) sensors that are sensi- 16
tive to molecular tension. They consist in a FRET pair of fluoro- 17
phores separated by an elastic linker. When a force is exerted on the 18
sensor, the rate of FRET, which is sensitive to the distance and 19
orientation between the donor and acceptor fluorophores, 20
decreases. Knowledge of the relationship between a measure of 21
FRET and the force, from in vitro calibration, allows for the deter- 22
mination of molecular tension [2]. When inserted in a protein of 23
interest, such a sensor can report its tension in live cells. 24

Molecular tension sensors have been in use for nearly 10 years 25
[3]. A variety of sensors with specific operating ranges have been 26
designed [4, 5], and have been used to measure tension in matrix, 27
cytoskeleton, adhesion, glycocalyx, kinetochore, membrane- 28
cytoskeleton linker or motor proteins [2, 3, 6–9] in cultured cells, 29
C. elegans, *Xenopus*, Zebrafish, or *Drosophila* [3, 10–12]. 30

Fig. 1 Experimental workflow for MDCK type II cells sample preparation, EMT induction, image acquisition and image and data analysis

Here, we present a protocol to perform MTM of intercellular adhesion proteins E-cadherins [13] that experience cytoskeleton-generated tension sensitive to external cues [14]. During Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT), E-cadherin tension relaxes, which associates with the release of its interactant β -catenin and activation of β -catenin dependent transcription [15].

The protocol describes how to culture epithelial cells (MDCK) stably expressing an E-cadherin tension sensor (E-cadherin-TSMod), induce EMT, and acquire and analyze FRET data using standard confocal microscopy and free image analysis software [16] (see Fig. 1). The protocol may be adapted to other proteins or model systems, on different microscopes as well. General considerations about MTM, its strengths and limitations can be found elsewhere [1].

2 Materials

Store DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium), trypsin, Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS, that is without Calcium and Magnesium), collagen at 4 °C. Store Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS), Penicillin, Streptomycin, and Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF) solution at -80 °C.

2.1 Cell Culture

1. Culture medium: low glucose DMEM with phenol red supplemented with 10% v/v Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and Penicillin 10 U/mL + Streptomycin 10 μ g/mL.

Fig. 2 (a) E-Cadherin-TSMod, tension-less control E-Cadherin-TSMod Δ cyto, and high and low FRET calibration constructs. Blue squares represent the donor fluorophore mTFP1, yellow squares represent the acceptor fluorophore EYFP/Venus. Linkers (GPGGA)₈, 5aa, and TRAF are represented in black. **(b)** Absorption and emission spectra of mTFP1 and EYFP/Venus. Laser excitation wavelength is indicated by the red dashed line. **(c)** Spectral emission in the detection bandwidth for mTFP1 and EYFP. Donor and acceptor emission peaks are indicated

2. Washing medium: DPBS. 54
3. 0.05% trypsin-EDTA solution in DPBS. 55
4. Madin-Darby canine kidney type II (MDCK II) stable cell lines 56
cultured into 25 cm² flasks (T25, TPP) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ 57
in humidified atmosphere expressing (*see* Fig. 2a): 58
5. E-cadherin-TSMod: E-Cadherin tension sensor containing the 59
donor protein mTFP1 and acceptor protein EYFP separated by 60
a (GPGGA)₈ elastic linker [14]. 61
6. E-Cadherin-TSMod Δ cyto: tension-less control construct of 62
the E-Cadherin tension sensor, lacking its cytoplasmic tail 63
[14] (*see* Note 1). 64
7. mTFP1-GPGGA-Venus: high FRET standard construct made 65
of mTFP1 and Venus separated by a GPGGA amino acid 66
stretch (referred to as 5aa) [17]. 67

8. mTFP1-TRAF-Venus: low FRET standard construct made of mTFP1 and Venus separated by a 229 amino acid from Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Associated Factor domain (referred to as TRAF) [17].

All cell lines and constructs are available on demand.

2.2 Cell Imaging

1. Any commercial #1.5 glass-bottomed imaging dish.
2. Collagen from human placenta Bornstein and Traub Type IV (referred to as collagen) 50 µg/mL in acetic acid solution: solubilize 5 mg of collagen in 5 mL of acetic acid (0.5 M) on ice to obtain a 20× stock solution. Dilute in acetic acid (0.5 M) to obtain a solution at the final concentration of 50 µg/mL.
3. Imaging medium: FluoroBrite™ DMEM (or any equivalent product), without phenol red, supplemented with 10% v/v FBS, 1% v/v PS, 2.5 mM L-Glutamine and 20 mM HEPES. Store away from light.
4. Starvation medium: FluoroBrite™ DMEM, without phenol red, supplemented with 0.5% v/v FBS, 1% v/v PS, 2.5 mM L-Glutamine and 20 mM HEPES. Store away from light.
5. Paraffin oil. Store away from the light at room temperature.

2.3 Cell Scatter Assay

1. Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF) 10 ng/µL in BSA/DPBS solution: solubilize 1 mg of BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin) in 1 mL of DPBS. Solubilize 10 µg of HGF in the BSA/DPBS solution. Stock as 10 µL aliquots.
2. Stimulation medium: The day of the experiment, dilute an aliquot in 2 mL of starvation medium for a final HGF concentration of 50 ng/mL.

2.4 Wound Healing Assay

1. 200 µL pipette tips.
2. Washing medium.

2.5 Microscope

1. Commercial confocal inverted microscope equipped with an incubator (37 °C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere).
2. High numerical aperture immersion objectives (*see Note 2*).
3. Argon laser—the 458 nm line is optimal for mTFP1 excitation—or equivalent, and a beam splitter that transmits above the excitation wavelength.
4. Spectral acquisition: microscopes that use a grating in the emission path (such as Zeiss or Olympus) allow for simultaneous acquisition of the donor and acceptor emission spectra with a spectral resolution below 10 nm and nm precision (*see Fig. 2b, c*).

3 Methods

112

Carry out all procedures in a cell culture hood unless otherwise specified. 113
114

3.1 Cell Culture Maintenance

Pass MDCK II cells three times a week as follows: 115

1. Prepare a new cell culture flask containing 3 mL of fresh culture medium and put it into the incubator. 116
117
2. Remove old culture medium and dead cells from the cell culture flask. 118
119
3. Wash once with 1 mL of washing medium and by gently shaking the cell culture flask. 120
121
4. Add 1 mL of Trypsin-EDTA solution in DPBS to the cell culture flask. 122
123
5. Put the cell culture flask into incubator and wait about 10 min for cells to detach from the surface and from each other. 124
125
6. Use a microscope to evaluate cell detachment. 126
7. Once cells are detached, transfer 1:10 of the collected volume into the new flask. 127
128
129

3.2 Collagen Preparation and Coverslip Coating

1. Take glass-bottomed imaging dishes. 130
2. Place 1 mL of collagen solution at 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ onto the glass-bottomed dish for 10 min at room temperature. 131
132
3. Remove collagen solution and let dry for 1 h (*see Note 3*). 133
4. Sterilize the dishes under UV for 20 min (*see Note 4*). 134
5. Before use, wash the sterilized dishes with at least 1 mL of DPBS, twice. 135
136
137

3.3 Cell Seeding for Imaging

Seed cells 24 h before imaging. Prepare cells that express the tension sensor as well as cells that express the tension-less control separately. Follow **steps 1–6** of the Subheading **3.1** and continue as follows: 138
139
140
141

1. Collect the cell suspension into a sterile centrifugation tube. 142
2. Pellet the cells by centrifugation at $60\text{--}125 \times g$ for 5–8 min. 143
3. Meanwhile, add culture medium onto the collagen coated imaging dishes. 144
145
4. Discard the supernatant of the centrifugation tube and re-suspend the pellet with culture medium (*see Note 5*). 146
147
5. Count cells with a hemacytometer or equivalent device. 148
6. Plate 1:10 of the collected volume in a new flask with culture medium. 149
150

3.4 Cell Scatter Assay

7. Plate cells onto collagen coated imaging dishes: 2×10^5 for a cell scatter assay or 2×10^6 for a Wound Healing assay (*see Note 6*). 151
152
153
154
1. The day after seeding, starve cells for 12 h in 2 mL imaging medium at 0.5% FBS. 155
156
2. Wipe the bottom of the glass-bottomed dish once with optical paper and distilled water, and again with ethanol 70%. 157
158
3. Install the imaging dish on the stage of the microscope. 159
4. Acquire pre-treatment images (*see Subheading 3.6*). 160
5. Replace the imaging medium with stimulation medium (*see Note 7*). 161
162
6. Add 1 mL paraffin oil at the surface of the imaging medium to prevent evaporation (*see Note 8*). 163
164
7. Acquire treatment images (*see Subheading 3.6*). 165

It is recommended to perform a mock experiment in parallel by following **steps 1–7** except **step 5** in which the medium does not contain HGF. 166
167
168
169

3.5 Wound Healing Assay

1. The day after seeding, wash and leave cells in the washing medium for 5–10 min to loosen intercellular contacts (*see Note 9*). 170
171
172
2. With a 200 μ L pipette tip, perform a straight scratch in the cell monolayer (*see Note 10*). 173
174
3. Gently wash the dish with imaging medium and leave cells in 2 mL of imaging medium. 175
176
4. Any time after the wound, wipe the bottom of the coverslip as in Subheading 3.4, **step 2** and install the imaging dish on the stage of the microscope, add paraffin oil and acquire images (*see Subheading 3.6*). 177
178
179
180
181

3.6 Image Acquisition

1. Select an objective and exposure time to ensure sufficient signal to noise ratio, negligible fluorophore photobleaching and no saturation, as for standard fluorescence imaging. 182
183
184
2. Specify multi-position settings: if desired, acquiring images from multiple positions can increase your sample size per experiment. Choose between 5–10 positions considering that the total acquisition time of each position must not exceed the duration of the time interval between frames (*see Note 11*). 185
186
187
188
189
3. Specify Z-stack settings: if desired, this can alleviate focus drift if auto-focus is out-of-order. Make sure to avoid photobleaching. 190
191
4. Specify time-lapse settings: cell scattering by HGF and wound healing typically occur through several hours. Choose a time interval between frames of 10 min to 1 h (*see Note 12*). 192
193
194

Fig. 3 Image analysis workflow for FRET calculation and image segmentation from a multi-channel spectral image

5. Specify spectral acquisition settings: 10 channels in the 473–561 nm bandwidth will capture both fluorophores. This will generate a multichannel stack.

3.7 Image Analysis

Image analysis can be performed using the free and cross-platform software ImageJ, for instance its FIJI distribution (<https://fiji.sc/>) (see Fig. 3).

1. Make duplicates of the raw data to avoid losses after permanent modifications (see **Note 13**). Generate a FRET index image as follows.
2. Open an image file.
3. From the multichannel stack, duplicate the donor and acceptor emission channels (D and A) that correspond to the intensity peaks of the two fluorophores (see **Note 14**).
4. On both channels, convert image depth to 32-bit (Image>Type>32-bit).
5. On both channels, subtract background: select a region devoid of cells and measure background level (Analyze>Measure), then subtract the value to the whole image (Process>Math>Subtract [Background value]) (see **Note 15**).

6. From both channels, generate a donor + acceptor image (D + A) that sums background corrected, donor and acceptor signals pixel by pixel. (Process>Image Calculator>Create new window>32-bit(float) result >[D] Add [A], Create a new window). 215
216
217
218
219
7. From the background corrected, acceptor channel and the sum image, generate the FRET index image $A/(D + A)$ (Process>- Image Calculator>Create new window>32-bit(float) result> [A] Divide [D + A]). 220
221
222
223
8. Rescale the pixel values between 0 and 100. (Process>Math>Multiply [100]). 224
225
9. Select regions of interest (ROI) with any selection tool, then measure their mean gray value (Analyze>Measure) to get their FRET index. 226
227
228
10. Alternatively, ROI can be defined by segmentation based on fluorescence intensity, size and shape: select the acceptor channel (*see* **Note 16**), apply a threshold to select bright regions (Image>Adjust>Threshold, set to your taste then Apply) (*see* **Note 17**). Choose preferred bright regions according to size and shape by setting their ranges (Analyze>Analyze Particles, check Add to Manager) (*see* **Note 18**). In the ROI manager menu, save the ROI set to be able to recall it for future analyses (More>Save). Select/Open the corresponding FRET Index image (*see* Subheading 3.7, step 7) and click Measure in the ROI manager menu. You will obtain a measure for each ROI of the set. 229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241

3.8 Microscope Calibration

The FRET efficiency E can be related to the FRET index E_R computed as in 3.7 with $E = (1 - a(1 - E_R))/(1 - b(1 - E_R))$, where a and b are instrument-dependent coefficients that account for the donor's spectral bleed-through, the acceptor's direct excitation and differences in donor vs acceptor absorption cross-sections and detection efficiencies [18]. They can be recovered as follows (*see* **Note 19**): 242
243
244
245
246
247
248

1. Acquire images of the 5aa and TRAF cell lines as in Subheading 3.6. There is no need for time-lapse or Z-stack. 249
250
2. Analyze images as in Subheading 3.7. 251
3. Compute $a = (E_H(1 - E_{R,H}) - E_L(1 - E_{R,L}) + E_H E_L (E_{R,H} - E_{R,L}))/c$ and $b = (E_H(1 - E_{R,L}) - E_L(1 - E_{R,H}) + E_{R,L} - E_{R,H})/c$, with $c = (E_H - E_L)(1 - E_{R,H})(1 - E_{R,L})$, where $E_{R,H}$ and $E_{R,L}$ are the 5aa and TRAF cell lines FRET indices obtained in Subheading 3.8, step 2, and E_H and E_L their FRET efficiencies published elsewhere [17]. 252
253
254
255
256
257
258

3.9 Data Interpretation

1. For each experimental condition, compute the difference between the tension sensor and tension-less control FRET efficiencies (*see* **Note 20**).
2. Use previously published FRET efficiency to force calibration [2] to retrieve the force.
3. Mind MTM limitations in your interpretation [1].

4 Notes

1. There exist other strategies for tension-less constructs, which may or may not give equivalent results depending on the protein of interest, as discussed in [1].
2. High magnification is irrelevant but comes with high numerical aperture, which increases resolution and signal intensity, and should also come with apochromatic correction. Choose the highest you can, typically 1.4 for standard objectives.
3. Save used collagen solution back in the final concentration solution for later use. Store at 4 °C indefinitely.
4. Use the UV light of the cell culture hood. Do not cover the dishes with a plastic lid. Multiple dishes can be prepared in advance and stored on the shelf indefinitely.
5. When re-suspending cells, pipette up and down to shear and dissociate cell aggregates. This will facilitate homogenous seeding.
6. When seeding, make sure the cell suspension covers the whole coverslip by gently shaking the dish linearly in X and Y, not circularly as cells would aggregate at the center of the coverslip.
7. Execute with care and promptly directly on the microscope stage to keep focus, temperature and position the same.
8. Make sure there is enough oil to cover the whole surface of the imaging medium to prevent evaporation.
9. Check that the monolayer is confluent before this step.
10. Two perpendicular scratches maximize wound edge length per coverslip. Use/draw fiducial markers on the coverslip/imaging chamber to easily find the wound once on the microscope stage.
11. Selecting positions in close proximity minimizes the spreading and loss of the objective immersion medium.
12. Consider the total acquisition time per time-point (multiple positions and Z sections), the total duration of the time-lapse, photobleaching and phototoxicity. 20- to 30-min intervals are adequate for most experiments.

13. Name your processed files consistently with the original files but with a different name to prevent overwriting. 300 301
14. Always keep the same donor and acceptor channels throughout all your analyses. You can identify them by plotting the intensity of a region containing fluorophores against the wavelength (or channel number) (select the multichannel stack then Image>Hyperstacks>Hyperstack to Stack, then select a region and Image>Stacks>Plot Z-axis profile). The plot should display two maxima (around 490 and 530 nm), if not, do not analyze further: the cell has likely not processed the full construct properly. 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310
15. Before this step, you may filter some noise by local averaging of pixel levels, at the expense of spatial resolution (for instance, Process>Filters>Gaussian Blur). 311 312 313
16. For E-cadherin-TSMod, the donor channel often exhibits intracellular aggregates, probably synthesis or degradation intermediates in which the acceptor fluorophore does not emit. Hence, the acceptor channel is preferred. 314 315 316 317
17. You can explore the different tools available on Image J to process binary images (Process>Binary>...) to improve segmentation from the thresholded image. 318 319 320
18. More information on how to use the ROI Manager tool can be found here (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/docs/guide/>). Size and shape selection can be very useful to exclude regions too small or round to be cell-cell contacts. In the ROI Manager, you can delete unwanted regions (>Delete) or add missing regions (draw with any selection tool then >Add). 321 322 323 324 325 326
19. This does not need to be done for every experiment but can be performed from time to time to assess the microscope stability. 327 328
20. The Ecad-TSMod Δ cyto is sensitive to intermolecular FRET [14]. This normalization thus corrects for possible FRET changes due to intermolecular FRET among other causes. 329 330 331

Acknowledgements

332

This work was supported in part by the Centre national de la recherche scientifique (CNRS), the French National Research Agency (ANR) grants (ANR-17-CE13-0013, ANR-17-CE09-0019, ANR-18-CE13-0008), France BioImaging infrastructure (ANR-10-INBS-04), La Ligue contre le Cancer (Allocation de recherche doctorale to HC), the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 665850-INSPIRE to PSC). PSC

333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340

acknowledges the Ecole Doctorale FIRE-Programme Bettencourt. 341
 We acknowledge the ImagoSeine facility, member of the France 342
 BioImaging infrastructure (ANR-10-INSB-04). 343

References

1. Gayraud C, Borghi N (2016) FRET-based molecular tension microscopy. *Methods* 94:33–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.07.010>
2. Grashoff C, Hoffman BD, Brenner MD et al (2010) Measuring mechanical tension across vinculin reveals regulation of focal adhesion dynamics. *Nature* 466:263–266. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09198>
3. Meng F, Suchyna TM, Sachs F (2008) A fluorescence energy transfer-based mechanical stress sensor for specific proteins in situ. *FEBS J* 275:3072–3087. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2008.06461.x>
4. Ringer P, Weißl A, Cost A-L et al (2017) Multiplexing molecular tension sensors reveals piconewton force gradient across talin-1. *Nat Methods* 14:1090–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4431>
5. Brenner MD, Zhou R, Conway DE et al (2016) Spider silk peptide is a compact, linear nanospring ideal for intracellular tension sensing. *Nano Lett* 16:2096–2102
6. Paszek MJ, DuFort CC, Rossier O et al (2014) The cancer glycocalyx mechanically primes integrin-mediated growth and survival. *Nature* 511:319–325. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13535>
7. Zhang X, Li G, Guo Y et al (2019) Regulation of ezrin tension by S-nitrosylation mediates non-small cell lung cancer invasion and metastasis. *Theranostics* 9:2555–2571. <https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.32479>
8. Hart RG, Kota D, Li F et al (2019) Myosin II tension sensors visualize force generation within the actin cytoskeleton in living cells. *bioRxiv* 623249. <https://doi.org/10.1101/623249>
9. Suzuki A, Badger BL, Haase J et al (2016) How the kinetochore couples microtubule force and centromere stretch to move chromosomes. *Nat Cell Biol* 18:382–392. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3323>
10. Yamashita S, Tsuboi T, Ishinabe N et al (2016) Wide and high resolution tension measurement using FRET in embryo. *Sci Rep* 6:28535. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28535>
11. Lagendijk AK, Gomez GA, Baek S et al (2017) Live imaging molecular changes in junctional tension upon VE-cadherin in zebrafish. *Nat Commun* 8:1402. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01325-6>
12. Lemke SB, Weidemann T, Cost A-L et al (2019) A small proportion of Talin molecules transmit forces at developing muscle attachments in vivo. *PLoS Biol* 17:e3000057. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000057>
13. Yoshida C, Takeichi M (1982) Teratocarcinoma cell adhesion: identification of a cell-surface protein involved in calcium-dependent cell aggregation. *Cell* 28:217–224
14. Borghi N, Sorokina M, Shcherbakova OG et al (2012) E-cadherin is under constitutive actomyosin-generated tension that is increased at cell-cell contacts upon externally applied stretch. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109(31):12568–12573. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204390109>
15. Gayraud C, Bernaudin C, Déjardin T et al (2018) Src- and confinement-dependent FAK activation causes E-cadherin relaxation and β -catenin activity. *J Cell Biol* 217:1063–1077. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201706013>
16. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9:671–675. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>
17. Day RN, Booker CF, Periasamy A (2008) Characterization of an improved donor fluorescent protein for Förster resonance energy transfer microscopy. *J Biomed Opt* 13:031203
18. Lee NK, Kapanidis AN, Wang Y et al (2005) Accurate FRET measurements within single diffusing biomolecules using alternating-laser excitation. *Biophys J* 88:2939–2953. <https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.104.054114>