

Pharmacological iron-chelation as an assisted nutritional immunity strategy against Piscirickettsia salmonis infection

Mario Caruffo, Dinka Mandakovic, Madelaine Mejías, Ignacio Chávez-Báez, Pablo Salgado, Daniela Ortiz, Liliana Montt, Javiera Pérez-Valenzuela, Francisca Vera-Tamargo, José Manuel Yánez, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Mario Caruffo, Dinka Mandakovic, Madelaine Mejías, Ignacio Chávez-Báez, Pablo Salgado, et al.. Pharmacological iron-chelation as an assisted nutritional immunity strategy against Piscirickettsia salmonis infection. Veterinary Research, 2020, 51 (1), pp.134. 10.1186/s13567-020-00845-2 . hal-02985191

HAL Id: hal-02985191 https://hal.science/hal-02985191

Submitted on 2 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH ARTICLE





Pharmacological iron-chelation as an assisted nutritional immunity strategy against *Piscirickettsia salmonis* infection



Mario Caruffo^{2,6,9†}, Dinka Mandakovic^{1,3,7,9†}, Madelaine Mejías^{1,3}, Ignacio Chávez-Báez^{1,3}, Pablo Salgado^{1,3,4}, Daniela Ortiz^{1,3,4}, Liliana Montt^{1,3}, Javiera Pérez-Valenzuela^{1,3}, Francisca Vera-Tamargo^{1,3}, José Manuel Yánez^{3,5}, Jurij Wacyk^{3,4} and Rodrigo Pulgar^{1,3,8,9*}

Abstract

Salmonid Rickettsial Septicaemia (SRS), caused by *Piscirickettsia salmonis*, is a severe bacterial disease in the Chilean salmon farming industry. Vaccines and antibiotics are the current strategies to fight SRS; however, the high frequency of new epizootic events confirms the need to develop new strategies to combat this disease. An innovative opportunity is perturbing the host pathways used by the microorganisms to replicate inside host cells through host-directed antimicrobial drugs (HDAD). Iron is a critical nutrient for *P. salmonis* infection; hence, the use of iron-chelators becomes an excellent alternative to be used as HDAD. The aim of this work was to use the iron chelator Deferiprone (DFP) as HDAD to treat SRS. Here, we describe the protective effect of the iron chelator DFP over *P. salmonis* infections at non-antibiotic concentrations, in bacterial challenges both in vitro and in vivo. At the cellular level, our results indicate that DFP reduced the intracellular iron content by 33.1% and *P. salmonis* relative load during bacterial infections by *78*%. These findings were recapitulated in fish, where DFP reduced the mortality of rainbow trout challenged with *P. salmonis* in 34.9% compared to the non-treated group. This is the first report of the protective capacity of an iron chelator against infection in fish, becoming a potential effective host-directed therapy for SRS and other animals against ferrophilic pathogens.

Keywords: Piscirickettsia salmonis, host-directed therapy (HDT), iron-chelator, deferiprone (DFP), infection

Introduction

Infectious diseases are responsible for considerable economic losses in salmon farming. Salmonid Rickettsial Septicaemia (SRS) is a severe disease that has generated losses up to USD 450 million per year in the Chilean salmon farming industry [1, 2]. *Piscirickettsia salmonis,* the etiological agent of SRS, is a Gram-negative intracellular facultative bacterium [3, 4] that can replicate

[†]Mario Caruffo and Dinka Mandakovic contributed equally to this work ¹ Laboratorio de Genómica y Genética de Interacciones Biológicas (LG2IB), Instituto de Nutrición y Tecnología de los Alimento, Universidad de Chile, Av. El Líbano 5524, Macul, 7830490 Santiago, Chile Full list of author information is available at the end of the article and propagate in several fish cells, including salmonid macrophages [5].

Vaccines and antibiotics are the current strategies for prevention and treatment to fight SRS. It has been reported that *P. salmonis* is the main bacterial pathogen for which a high amount of antibiotics is being used in the Chilean salmon farming industry [6]. Furthermore, this strategy of pathogen-directed antimicrobial drug (PDAD) targeting is associated with increased microbial drug resistance and therefore, a resurgence of infectious diseases [7]. In the case of SRS, strains of *P. salmonis* resistant to quinolones have already been reported [8]. In this regard, the limited effectiveness of current management, prevention and treatment strategies,



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/

^{*}Correspondence: rpulgar@uchile.cl

confirms the need to develop new strategies to combat this disease.

An innovative therapeutic approach to treat infectious diseases produced by intracellular pathogens is to perturb host pathways used by the microorganisms to enter, replicate and/or survive inside host cells through host-directed therapies (HDT). The use of host-directed antimicrobial drugs (HDAD) facilitates overcoming antimicrobial resistance [9] and permits the testing of drugs that were designed to treat conditions other than infections (drug repositioning) [10, 11]. The difficulty of this approach is identifying host metabolic pathways or biological processes relevant for the development of infection; however, key knowledge of host–pathogen interactions between salmonid fish and *P. salmonis* that denote potential targets for HDT is already available.

Iron is an essential nutrient for *P. salmonis* since its growth in culture depends on its supplementation [12–14]. In fact, several pathways for iron acquisition have been described for *P. salmonis* [15, 16]. Furthermore, in a previous study, we reported that iron deprivation/ withholding is an innate immunity mechanism through which resistant families of Atlantic salmon, but not susceptible families, can limit the iron available for *P. salmonis*, inhibiting its proliferation and highlighting the relevance of iron for bacterial pathogenesis [15]. Since not all farmed fish are genetically resistant, this iron deprivation could be induced through a pharmacological strategy based on the use of host-directed iron chelators.

In humans, iron chelation therapy is used to reduce genetically or acquired iron overload observed in various organs such as the liver, brain and heart [17, 18]. The FDA-approved iron chelators differ in their administration, stoichiometries. routes of doses and routes of excretion. For instance, Deferoxamine (DFO) is a hexadentate chelator, which should be parenterally applied since it is not well absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract [19, 20], while Deferasirox (DFX) and Deferiprone (DFP) are oral tri- and bidentate iron chelators, respectively [21]. Furthermore, cost-effectivity differences in iron chelation therapies have been reported, indicating that DFP was the most profitable [22]. Despite these differences, the three iron chelators were tested as therapeutic agents against mammalian pathogens, showing divergent results. Deferiprone appears to have the highest therapeutic and protective capacities for antimicrobial activity against ferrophilic bacteria, fungi, protozoa and viral infections compared with DFO and DFX [23–27], emphasizing the relevance of selecting an adequate iron chelator to the specific characteristics of each infection. In sum, DFP is an oral FDA-approved iron chelator that has been shown to be the most cost-effective against mammalian infections,

making it a suitable candidate for massive use in productive industries.

In the present study, we investigated which nonantibiotic concentrations of DFP against in vitro and in vivo *P. salmonis* infections demonstrate its capacity as HDAD against SRS. This investigation gives the first insights into a potential effective host-directed therapy for salmonids and other fish against ferrophilic pathogens, such as *P. salmonis*.

Material and methods

Cells and bacterial culture conditions

The SHK-1 cell line (ECACC 97111106) was obtained from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (ECACC). Cells were cultivated at 18 °C in Leibovitz L-15 Medium (Gibco, USA) supplemented with 5% of inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS Gibco) and 40 µM of 2-mercaptoethanol in T-25 flasks (Corning). Cell viability was quantified using the trypan blue exclusion assay [28]. Piscirickettsia salmonis LF-89 (ATTC VR-1361) used in this study was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and was cultivated at 18 °C in solid and/or liquid SRS-broth media [12] with constant stirring of 180 rpm. Each subculture was confirmed as P. salmonis by Gram staining and RFLP assay [29]. After 4 days, cultures were diluted to an optical density (OD_{620}) of 0.05 in 5 mL of liquid media and incubated at 18 °C. Absorbance was measured in an Infinite® 200 PRO NanoQuant (Tecan[®]) equipment. For treatment with DFP, bacteria and cells were independently cultured in the conditions indicated above and media was supplemented with DFP concentrations ranging from 0 to $500 \,\mu$ M.

In vitro infections

SHK-1 cells were seeded on coverslips and cultured with Leibovitz L-15 medium supplemented with 5% of FBS (Gibco) without antibiotics in 24-well plates (Corning). Twenty-four hours later, cells approximately 80% confluent, were supplemented with 25 μ M of DFP (and controls with no DFP supplementation) and inoculated with stationary phase bacteria at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100 (1 cell: 100 bacteria) (controls not inoculated with bacteria were also cultured). After 24 h, cells were washed twice with cold PBS and then incubated for 60 min with L-15 medium plus gentamicin (100 µg/mL) to eliminate extracellular bacteria [30]. After incubation, cells were washed with PBS and incubated in L-15 medium supplemented with or without DFP as indicated above. To evaluate the cytopathic effect (CPE) caused by P. salmonis, SHK-1 cells were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) [31] and observed under an optical microscope (Inverted Phase Contrast Microscope Motic AE31) to follow the progression of the infection using image analysis (Moticam BTU10). Ten days post-inoculation (10 dpi) with *P. sal-monis*, images of cells of ten fields randomly obtained for each condition, were used to count vacuoles and measure their sizes. Cell viability was quantified using the trypan blue exclusion assay (Gibco).

Iron content quantification

To measure intracellular iron, SHK-1 cells were seeded at a density of 1×10^6 and grown for 24 h before exposition to 0 or 25 µM DFP for 10 days. Afterwards, cells were washed three times with 1 mL of PBS and 1 mM EDTA at 4 °C and harvested by trypsinization. For tissue iron quantification, 50 to 100 mg of five samples of head kidney obtained at the end of the challenge (S5, sampling point 5) from non-treated and treated with DFP fish, were dried by evaporation (SpeedVac, Thermos) and processed as described previously [15]. Aliquots of SHK-1 cells and dried head kidney tissues were digested with nitric acid 65% (Merck) for 14 h at 80 °C and intracellular iron levels were measured using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer with graphite furnace (SIMAA 6100, Perkin Elmer). An aliquot of cells was kept for protein determination by Bradford assay. Total intracellular iron concentration in SHK-1 was expressed as nmoles of iron per mg of protein, while head kidney iron concentration was expressed as µg of iron per mg of dried weight.

Piscirickettsia salmonis quantification in infected SHK-1 cells

To isolate RNA from intracellular growing P. salmonis, monolayers of infected SHK-1 cells were rinsed twice with cold PBS and treated with 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution. Cells were resuspended in 1 mL of TRI Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and then incubated for 30 min at 37 °C with 20 units of RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega) to remove residual genomic DNA. RNA was purified using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quantity of the total RNA was determined using a Qubit Fluorometric Quantitation System (Life Technologies) and the purity (absorbance 260/280 nm) using a NanoQuant Spectrophotometer (Tecan Technologies). Two µg of total RNA were used as the template for reverse transcription reactions to synthesize cDNA using High-Capacity RNA to cDNA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to standard procedures. cDNA were diluted to 100 ng and used as templates for qPCR, reactions that were carried out on a real-time PCR System (Roche) using Terra qPCR Direct TB Green Premix (Takara). Briefly, PCR conditions were 95 °C for 5 min followed by 94 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 15 s and 72 °C for 20 s for a total of 35 cycles using primers for *P. salmonis* 16S RNA gene previously reported [29].

Previously reported [15] primers for elongation factor 1 alpha gene (EF1A) of Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout were selected as the normalizer gene. At least five replicates were performed for each *P. salmonis* quantification.

Fish experiments

Fish acclimatization and diet preparation

Disease-free Oncorhynchus mykiss of 55 g average weight were obtained from a local aquaculture facility and maintained at the Quillaipe experimental center (Puerto Montt, Chile). Before trials, fish were acclimated to a controlled environment in 1 m³ tanks at a density of 18 kg/m³ in fresh water with an exchange rate of 0.8-1 m³ / hour and water salinity was gradually increased to~32 parts per thousand (ppt previous to the challenge). Water condition during acclimatization was the following: 10.7 ± 0.95 °C and oxygen saturation of 84.8-112%. Thirty-five days after acclimation, selected groups began to receive DFP-supplemented feed in two concentrations 50 or 100 mg/Kg (DFP 50 BC and DFP 100 BC, respectively) per day, while the rest of the tanks received the same diet without DFP. Ten days after the feeding with the DFP-diet, all fish were placed in 180 L tanks where the experiments and challenges were conducted. All fish were fed at 1% body weight per day with an extruded trout diet either with or without DFP as appropriate.

Diets were prepared as indicated in Additional file 1 using as a base the commercial diet manufactured by Salmones Antártica (Puerto Montt, Chile). Experimental diets were prepared by supplementing with DFP at three different concentrations, 0, 5 and 10 g DFP per Kg of diet (DFP -, DFP 50 and DFP 100, respectively). DFP was dissolved and homogenized in fish oil and then incorporated into dry pellets using a laboratory vacuum coater. Diets were isoenergetic and isonitrogenous and met National Research Council nutritional requirements for rainbow trout [32]. Proximal composition analyses of the diet were performed at the Instituto de Nutrición y Tecnología de los Alimentos (INTA, Universidad de Chile) according to the following procedures: dry matter was obtained after 24 h in an oven at 105 °C; ash by combustion at 450 °C for 16 h, protein (N*6.25) by the Kjeldahl method; fat by the Soxhlet method; and gross energy by calorific factor (4, 9 and 4 for proteins, lipids and carbohydrates, respectively).

Experimental design

Before the challenge experiment, the median lethal dose (LD₅₀) of *P. salmonis* LF-89 was determined. *Piscirickettsia salmonis* inoculum was provided by ADL Diagnostic Chile Ltda. Five dilutions were assessed from a stock concentration of 1×10^5 tissue culture infective dose 50% per mL (TCID₅₀/mL, determined through the

Spearman-Kärber method), from which five dilutions were made by a factor of 10. These dilutions, plus a control made up of L15 media (Leibovitz, Invitrogen), were administered by intraperitoneal (IP) injection in a final volume of 200 μ L. Fish were distributed in 180 L tanks (40 fish/tank). Water conditions during LD₅₀ were the following: 15.1±0.11 °C and oxygen saturation of 88.7–116.2%. Mortality was registered daily until 26 days post-challenge.

Seventy fish per group were stocked in 180 L tanks at a density of 38 kg/m³ in seawater. Water conditions during the challenge were the following: 14.5 ± 0.25 °C and oxygen saturation of 88.3-115.3%. Experimental design comprised three feeding groups: 0 (control), 50 and 100 mg DFP/Kg fish per day and two different delivery strategies: before and after challenge (or sampling 0, S0) (Additional file 2). These groups were challenged with 200 µL of the P. salmonis inoculum with the highest mortality in LD₅₀ (1:10 of 1×10^5 TCID₅₀/mL) or injected with 200 µL of sterile L15 culture media representing the control condition (non-infected fish), as previously reported [15]. Experimental diets, delivery strategies and challenges were developed in independent tanks with two replicates per treatment and mortality was recorded daily until day 30 post-challenge.

Sampling

The sampling strategy was designed using the LD₅₀ (Additional file 3) with the aim of taking samples at different stages of the curve of mortality (before the challenge, before deaths begin, after deaths begin, during the active death phase and at the end of the challenge). Defining six sampling points at different stages of the disease (S0, S1, S2, S3, S4, S5; corresponding to days after challenge 0, 4, 8, 16, 23 and 30, respectively). Blood and kidney tissues were sampled from five fish euthanized by an overdose of benzocaine (Sigma) (20% w/v; 50 mg/L) per group as previously described sampling points to evaluate bacterial load, plasma iron levels and gene expression. Kidney tissues were stored at -20 °C in RNA Later (Ambion, USA). Blood samples were drawn from the caudal vein using 5 mL syringes with 21 G needles and collected in heparinized tubes (4 mL, BD Vacutainer®, NJ USA. 68 USP). Plasma separation was performed by centrifugation at 3500 rpm for 10 min. Samples were stored at -20 °C or 4 °C until use. Sampling and mortality monitoring were performed in parallel experimental groups to avoid the influence of stress on mortality due to handling.

Bactericidal effect and iron content in plasma

To determine the effect of plasma on bacterial growth, *P. salmonis* was cultured as indicated above in liquid media SRS-broth replacing fetal bovine serum with plasma

sampled in S5 from non-treated and DFP treated fish. The carrying capacity (k) of *P. salmonis* was quantified at the stationary phase of bacterial growth at 5 days post-inoculation. To determine the plasma iron content, 300 μ L of plasma sampled in S0 to S5 were assayed in an automatic biochemistry analyzer CM250 (Wiener Lab) using the FeR-color kit (ID 861272522 Wiener Lab) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Gene expression of iron metabolism markers

Reactions were carried out on a real-time PCR System (Roche) using the Terra qPCR Direct TB Green Premix kit (Takara). Total RNA was extracted from 50 to 100 mg of head kidney tissue using TRIZOL Reagent (Invitrogen), and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C with 20 units of RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega) to remove residual genomic DNA. Then, RNA was purified using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and RNA concentration was determined as described above. Two µg of total RNA was used as the template for reverse transcription reactions to synthesize single strand cDNA using High-Capacity RNA to cDNA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to standard procedures. cDNA were diluted to 100 ng and used as the template for qPCR, with primers designed against three markers of cellular iron status: transferrin receptor (TfR), ferritin (ferH) and ironregulated transporter ferroportin 1 (ireg1). Briefly, PCR conditions were 95 °C for 5 min followed by 94 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 15 s and 72 °C for 20 s for a total of 35 cycles. Primers for elongation factor 1 alpha gene (EF1A) was used as the normalizer gene as previously reported [15]. To determine relative expression levels of genes, the method described by Pfaffl [33] and adapted by Talke [34] was used. The primers used are listed in Additional file 4.

Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the software GraphPad Prism 8 (Graphpad Software, Inc). Differences in bacterial growth, cell viability and relative *P. salmonis* load using different DFP concentrations and/or sampling points were analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni comparison test. Differences in bacterial growth, CPE cells/total cells and *P. salmonis*-containing vacuoles (PCV) area obtained at a specific time point and at a defined concentration of DFP (25 μ M), were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and Tukey multiple comparisons test. Data from intracellular iron, activity of plasma on bacterial growth, kinetic of plasma iron levels and gene expression were analyzed using unpaired t-tests.

Survival curves were analyzed using Kaplan–Meier and group differences were analyzed using Log-rank test. To assess the effectiveness of formulations, the relative percent survival (RPS), absolute risk reduction (ARR), and number of animals necessary to treat (NNT) were calculated (all formulas used are described in Additional file 5). p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Deferiprone decreases intracellular iron content in SHK-1 at non-bactericidal nor cytotoxic concentrations.

In order to determine the maximum concentrations of DFP that do not generate an antiproliferative or cytotoxic effect on P. salmonis and SHK-1 cells, we cultivated bacteria and cells independently with supplementation of DFP at different concentrations (0–500 μ M) for 12 days. Deferiprone did not generate a bactericidal effect when P. salmonis was grown at concentrations ranging from 0 to 50 µM. Nonetheless, we observed antiproliferative effects at concentrations greater than 100 μ M (p-value < 0.05) from the second day of cultivation (Figure 1A). Similarly, we recorded no effects on cell viability of SHK-1 cells at concentrations lower than 25 µM, while concentrations greater than 50 µM showed reduced cell viability in a dose- and time-dependent manner (p-value < 0.05; Figure 1B). Therefore, the maximum concentration evaluated that did not cause a reduction in *P. salmonis* proliferation and SHK-1 cell viability was 25 µM of DFP; hence this concentration was selected to perform the following in vitro experiments. Also, to determine the effect of DFP on the iron content in SHK-1 cells, we measured the intracellular iron content by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS). The results indicate that 25 μ M of DFP reduced iron content by 33.1% (from 4.96 to 3.32 nmoles Fe/mg protein) after 10 days of exposure to the chelator (Figure 1C).

Deferiprone reduces *Piscirickettsia salmonis* infection in SHK-1 cells.

To investigate whether DFP exerts a protective effect on infection, we performed an in vitro infection assay of SHK-1 cells challenged with P. salmonis during 10 days with (25 µM) and without DFP. We characterized the infection according to the cytopathic effect (CPE) displayed by the infected cells, a phenomenon that is described by the presence of replicative P. salmoniscontaining vacuoles (PCV) in SHK-1. The results display an evident decrease of PCV in cells inoculated with P. salmonis and treated with DFP compared to those not treated with the iron chelator (Figure 2A). The quantification of infected cells treated with DFP shows a significant reduction of both the number of cells exhibiting CPE from the total (63.7% reduction) and the size of PCV (68.5% reduction) (Figure 2B). Moreover, SHK-1 infected cells reduced their viability up to 57% with respect to non-infected control cells in the absence of DFP; however, when the medium was supplemented with DFP, infected cells incremented their viability up to 72.4% (Figure 2C). Thus, a significant increase in cell viability was observed in response to DFP on infected cells (p-value < 0.05).

Interestingly, the increased cell viability observed in the DFP-treated infected group correlated with a lower number of bacteria (44.5 arbitrary units, AU) compared with the non-treated infected group (202.7 arbitrary units, AU) (Figure 2C). Taken together, these results indicate that DFP, at a non-antibiotic and noncytotoxic concentration, reduces the cytopathic effect, the infection progression and the mortality of SHK-1 cells infected with *P. salmonis*. Furthermore, the results suggest that the iron chelator exerts a protective effect





by reducing the availability of intracellular iron to *P. salmonis*, affecting the bacterial proliferative capacity.

Deferiprone reduces mortality of Oncorhynchus mykiss challenged with Piscirickettsia salmonis.

To test whether the results of DFP protection under in vitro conditions could be recapitulated in vivo, we fed rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) with standard growth diets supplemented with DFP in three different concentrations (0, 50 and 100 mg DFP per Kg of fish) and used two different delivery strategies (before (BC) or after (AC) challenge with *P. salmonis* or sampling 0, S0; Additional file 2). Our aim was to expose the bacterium to different scenarios through two strategies, (1) a preventive approach, where DFP began to be delivered 10 days before the challenge (BC), and (2) a therapeutic approach, where DFP commenced to be delivered one day after the challenge (AC). In both delivery strategies, DFP was distributed daily in the diet until the end of the challenge trial that lasted 30 days, and five sampling points (S0–S5) were selected to characterize the infection (Additional file 2). A group of fish subjected to the same conditions but not challenged with the bacterium (control group) was also evaluated.

Notably, the results show that at 30 days post-challenge with *P. salmonis* (30 dpc), in all fish fed with DFP, there was a significant reduction of mortality compared with the non-treated control group, which had a mortality of 91.6% (Figure 3). The groups that received the treatment before the challenge (BC) had the lowest percentages

of mortality of 59.6 and 68.9% for DFP 50 BC and DFP 100 BC, respectively, while the groups that received DFP after the challenge (AC) had an 81 and 85.7% mortality for DFP 50 AC and DFP 100 AC, respectively. These different levels of protection were evident early in the trial (14 dpc) and remained, or were even accentuated, until termination. Moreover, to complement these results and further characterize the efficacy of DFP on SRS protection, the relative percentage survival (RPS) and the absolute risk reduction (ARR) were calculated at the end of the trial. These indicators estimate the risk reduction of death by P. salmonis in the different treatments (in relative terms for RPS and in absolute terms for ARR). We also calculated the number of animals necessary to treat (NNT), an indicator that indicates the number of animals that must receive the treatment (DFP) in order for one animal to survive the evaluated time interval (30 days) (Additional file 6). Treated groups that received DFP ten days before the challenge at a concentration of 50 mg/Kg (DFP 50 BC) presented an RPS of 34.93%, ARR of 32.63% and NNT = 4, while and at a concentration of 100 mg/ Kg (DFP 100 BC), fish presented an RPS of 24.78%, ARR of 23.46% and NNT=5. Groups that received the iron chelator after the challenge (DFP 50 and 100 AC) had an RPS less than 11.6% and ARR lower than 11.4% with confidence intervals with negative lower limits. These results indicate that the time at which DFP is administered is more relevant than its concentration, since fish that received the treatment 10 days before the challenge had higher survival rates.

The results indicate that DFP protects fish from mortality associated with SRS, with greater efficiency in fish fed with the lowest concentration of the iron chelator evaluated and delivered before the challenge with *P. salmonis*. To expand in this aspect, we registered the food consumed by non-challenged fish under the four feeding conditions and the control diet (Additional file 7) and measured its effect on weight gain (Additional file 7) and survival (Additional file 8). As shown in Additional file 7, the diet consumed was significantly lower in the groups that received diets supplemented with DFP with respect to the control group, in a dose and time-dependent manner. Remarkably, this reduction in the diet feeding, which averaged 25.7%, resulted in a decrease of only 8.2% in average body weight of fish when compared with the control group. Moreover, fish fed with the diet with the lowest concentration of DFP and administered for the shortest time (DFP 50 AC), showed no significant difference in average body weight at the end of the experiment when compared with the control group, even though their feed consumption was 20% lower (Additional file 7). Additionally, no mortalities were observed in fish fed with diets supplemented with 50 mg DFP (DFP 50 diet), independent of the delivery strategy, while there was 5 and 10% mortalities in fish fed with diets supplemented with 100 mg DFP (DFP 100 diet), independent of the delivery strategy (Additional file 8). Altogether, these results emphasize the finetuning regulation of iron metabolism in salmonids and highlight the possibility of optimizing the design of diets supplemented with DFP to protect fish from SRS.

Deferiprone decreases *Piscirickettsia salmonis* burden in fish kidney at a non-antibiotic concentration

To characterize the possible underlying mechanisms of DFP protection, DFP 50 BC group (the group with the highest survival percentage) was further analyzed. For this purpose, fish anterior kidneys from DFP 50 BC and control groups were sampled during the course of the trial (S0–S5) to evaluate the effect of the iron chelator on the bacterial burden. As shown in Figure 4A, and similar to what was observed for SHK-1 cells, the anterior kidney of fish fed with DFP revealed a lower bacterial load than fish fed without DFP (control). The load of viable bacteria was detected at 8 dpc (S2) in this tissue, where initially the relative concentration of the pathogen was higher in the DFP treated over the control group. However, from S3 until the end of the challenge (S5), the bacterial load





was significantly lower in the DFP treated group than in the control. To assess if the chelator could exert its effect through bactericidal activity, we replaced fetal bovine serum of the broth culture of *P. salmonis* with plasma from unchallenged fish fed with or without DFP obtained at the end of the assay (S5). The carrying capacity (k) of *P. salmonis* in the stationary phase of its growth curve (5 days post-inoculation) shows no difference between the DFP treated and the control groups (Figure 4B). These results suggest that the inhibition of bacterial proliferation in fish tissues is due to the iron chelator function and not due to a bactericidal capacity of DFP at the concentrations studied.

Deferiprone impacts on iron content and iron metabolism of fish

To evaluate the effect of DFP on the iron content of fish, we quantified the concentration of iron in head kidney of unchallenged fish treated or not with DFP. As shown in Figure 5A, head kidney iron content in the DFP treated group significantly decreased compared with the untreated group (p-value < 0.05), suggesting a direct effect of the iron chelator over the abundance of head kidney iron content in fish at the end of the trial. Complementarily, we measured and compared the relative abundance of three markers of cellular iron status: transferrin receptor (*TfR*), ferritin (*ferH*) and iron-regulated transporter ferroportin 1 (*ireg1*) in head kidneys of DFP treated and untreated fish at S5 sampling point. Interestingly, as shown in Figure 5B, *TfR* showed a significant increased abundance when compared

to the untreated fish, while *ferH* and *ireg1* presented a significant decreased abundance in response to the iron chelator relative to the control. Finally, we quantified the concentration of iron in the plasma of unchallenged fish treated or not with DFP. As shown in Figure 5C, plasma iron in the DFP treated group tended to increase compared with the untreated group, displaying a significant increase at point S5 (p-value < 0.05), suggesting an incremented diet absorption of iron in response to the metal chelation by DFP. These results indicate that diets supplemented with DFP at non-antibiotic concentrations, reduce the availability of iron for *P. salmonis*, which correlates with decreased bacterial proliferation and lower mortality due to SRS.

Discussion

SRS is the most important infectious disease affecting the Chilean salmon farming industry. The high frequency of new epizootic events confirms the need to develop alternative strategies to combat this disease. The use of host-directed antimicrobial drugs (HDAD) against intracellular pathogens can be an option to avoid the use of antibiotics, and their subsequent effects on microbial resistance [9, 10, 35]. This strategy allows the perturbation of host pathways used by intracellular pathogens to proliferate inside host cells, thus avoiding infection or controlling its effects. Previous studies on the transcriptomic characterization of Atlantic salmon infected with *P. salmonis* allowed the identification of key biological processes and pathways, which are good candidates to be manipulated with these type of drugs



[15, 36–39], e.g. iron metabolism [15, 38, 40]. Moreover, we reported that resistant families of Atlantic salmon are more efficient in generating iron-deprivation in infected tissues than susceptible families in response to the infection [15], emphasizing the relevance of host regulation of intracellular iron concentrations, which affects *P. salmonis* proliferation. Hence, we hypothesize that the pharmacological deprivation of cellular iron in the host may affect the intracellular proliferation of *P. salmonis* at non-antibiotic concentrations.

Currently, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved iron chelators are Deferoxamine (DFO), Deferasirox (DFX) and Deferiprone (DFP). All three available chelators are successful as monotherapy in cell systems and at clinically relevant concentrations. However, considering a practical application for the salmon farming industry, we selected DFP due to its oral bioavailability and best cost/effectiveness when compared with DFO and DFX [22], but more importantly, for its low molecular weight, neutral charge and lack of extreme hydrophilicity [25]. These features allow the drug to enter the cells, access the labile iron pool and exit rapidly as the DFP-iron complex, being the best iron chelator for shuttling purposes [41, 42].

Remarkably, our results indicate that DFP decreases intracellular iron content in macrophages-like (in vitro) and head kidney (in vivo) of salmonids at nonbactericidal nor cytotoxic concentrations (Figures 1, 4, 5). As expected, this iron reduction correlated with a decrease in intracellular bacterial proliferation and an increase in the protective capacity against infection with *P. salmonis* in cell cultures, a result that we also were able to recapitulate at a full organism level in rainbow trout (Figures 2, 3). This finding emphasizes the contribution of cellular models as an approach to evaluate the effects of drugs, such as DFP in future applications in whole organisms. Specifically, ten days post-infection, SHK-1 cells treated with DFP showed a reduced cytopathic effect of vacuolization (infection progression), bacterial load and mortality with respect to infected cells nontreated with DFP (Figure 1). These results led us to formulate diets supplemented with DFP and to evaluate its effect in vivo, by assessing two concentrations and two delivery strategies (Additional file 2). Interestingly, although all the diets supplemented with DFP showed a degree of protection against infection with P. salmonis, the best results were at the lowest dose and longest administration time with the iron chelator (Figure 3). These antecedents highlight that the pharmacological modulation of the host iron levels must be done with fine-tuning, considering the risk of iron depletion for fundamental processes and traits such as growth (Additional files 7 and 8).

Furthermore, it is noteworthy that a reduction in dietary iron availability would not allow obtaining comparable results to those reported with the addition of DFP, since fish deploy their iron capture systems in response to a deficit by gills and intestinal iron absorption [43]. A proof of this physiological response is given in the results shown in Figure 5: DFP-induced iron deficiency is sensed at the cellular level, where a correlation for an increase in iron cellular uptake receptor (TfR) and a decreased level of storage and efflux of cellular iron receptors (ferH and ireg1) was

observed in the head kidneys of trout. Moreover, the incremented iron level in plasma suggests a systemic response to iron deficiency, which could be explained by increased intestinal absorption of the metal. Despite this physiological response, the constant input of dietary DFP allows continually reducing the intracellular iron availability to *P. salmonis*.

Given the relevance of iron for the infection process, the use of iron chelators as therapeutic agents against pathogens is not an original idea [44-49]. It has been reported that DFP can inhibit the growth of bacterial human pathogens such as Yersinia enterocolitica [50], Vibrio vulnificus [51] and coagulase-negative staphylococci [46] by decreasing iron-availability in vitro. Also, DFP is capable of inhibiting the replication of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) in mononuclear blood cells [23], and treatment with higher doses of DFP has been shown to extend survival after HIV-1 infection [52]. Similar to our results, but in a mouse model of mucormycosis, it was also observed that a dietary dose of 100 mg/Kg per day of DFP improves survival when compared with non-treated animals [53]. Moreover, one trial involving 45 patients with malaria treated with DFP, showed significantly faster coma recovery and parasite clearance together with no adverse effects [54], demonstrating the versatility of this chelator as a host-directed antimicrobial drug.

On the contrary, previous studies have indicated that humans and mice treated with DFO show higher susceptibility to vibriosis, yersiniosis, salmonellosis and mucormycosis than those not treated with the iron chelator [25, 53, 55, 56]; thus, DFO may act as a siderophore for some microbes of clinical relevance, exacerbating infections. These differences between DFP and DFO could be explained by their origin, since DFP is a synthetic iron chelator while DFO is present in the nature and is currently produced from *Streptomyces pilosus* [17, 57]. Furthermore, preliminary clinical toxicity evidenced in humans suggests that DFO and DFX can only be used for non-iron overloaded conditions for short-term treatments (weeks ranges); whereas DFP can be used for longer-term treatments spanning several months [25]. These results emphasize the relevance of selecting an adequate iron chelator as a therapeutic agent, focusing its choice on physicochemical and pharmacological aspects, and also on biological characteristics of both the pathogen and host.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the protective capacity of an iron chelator against infection in fish. However, a recent study reported the effects of an induced-iron overload in Atlantic salmon during *P. salmonis* infection and the use of DFO mesylate salt as a tool to reduce the iatrogenic iron overload [58]. The results indicate that although the challenged fish treated with DFO mesylate salt did not show an improvement in survival with respect to the control, the bacterial load was significantly reduced in these fish, highlighting the critical role of iron for P. salmonis replication during the infection. Although our results demonstrate that DFP was able to control the replication of *P. salmonis* at non-antibiotic concentrations (Figures 2, 3), we cannot discard that DFP directly exerts an inhibitory activity on the bacterial virulence, or indirectly stimulates the immune response and/or the antioxidant capacity of the fish by systemic reduction of iron excess [59, 60]. Finally, given the increasing prevalence of antibiotic resistance, our results collectively offer the possibility to combat SRS and other fish infections in an efficient and sustainable form by using dietary DFP as a monotherapy or as an adjuvant therapy with lower doses than currently used antibiotics.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13567-020-00845-2.

Additional file 1. Ingredient formulation and nutrient composition of experimental diets.

Additional file 2. Experimental strategy for Deferiprone delivery and sampling.

Additional file 3. Lethal Dose 50 determination in Oncorhynchus mykiss after intraperitoneal inoculation of Piscirickettsia salmonis.

Additional file 4. List of primers used in this paper.

Additional file 5. Efficacy indicators in fish for the different Deferiprone treatments.

Additional file 6. Efficacy indicators in fish for the different Deferiprone treatments.

Additional file 7. Effect of Deferiprone supplementation on fish percentage of ration consumption and weight gain.

Additional file 8. Effect of Deferiprone supplementation on survival of *Oncorhynchus mykiss*.

Abbreviations

AC: after challenge; ANOVA: analysis of variance; ARR: absolute risk reduction; ATCC: American Type Culture Collection; BC: before challenge; CPE: cytopathic effect; DFO: deferoxamine; DFP: deferiprone; DFX: deferasirox; dpc: days post-challenge; ECACC: European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures; EF1A: elongation factor 1 alpha gene; ferH: ferritin; HDAD: host-directed antimicrobial drugs; HDT: host-directed therapy; HIV-1: human immunodeficiency virus type 1; IP: intraperitoneal; ireg1: iron-regulated transporter ferroportin 1; k: carrying capacity; LD₅₀: median lethal dos; MOI: optical density; PDAD: pathogen-directed antimicrobial drug; SRS: salmonid rickettsial septicaemia; TCID₅₀: tissue culture infective dose 50; TfR: transferrin receptor.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the staff of the Chilean National Fisheries and Aquaculture Service (Sernapesca), in particular to Soraya Díaz and Roberto Montt for their tireless assistance during this study. We acknowledge ANID FONDECYT Grants 3180675 to MC and 3170523 to DM.

Authors' contributions

MC, DM, JW, RP: Conceptualization; MC, JW, RP: Methodology; MC, DM, MM, ICB, PS, DO, LM, JPV, FVT, JW, RP: Validation; MC, DM, RP: Formal analysis; MC, MM, ICB, PS, DO, RP: Investigation; MC, DM, JW, RP: Resources; MC, DM, RP: Data curation; MC, RP: Writing – original draft; MC, DM, JMY, JW, RP: Writing—review & editing; DM, RP: Project administration; JMY, JW, RP: Supervision; JW, RP: Funding acquisition. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was carried out under the framework of the Program for Aquaculture Sanitary Management (PGSA201708070142), a project executed by the Chilean National Fisheries and Aquaculture Service (Sernapesca), with public and private financing from the Ministry of the Economy, Development and Tourism and the Chilean Salmon Industry Association (SalmonChile). Fondecyt 11161083.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All the experiments regarding fish were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of Laboratory animals (NIH Publications No. 8023, revised 1978) and in accordance with Chilean legislation for animal experimentation under the manual "Bioethical aspects of animal experimentation" issued by the National Commission of Scientific and Technological Research (CONICYT). All animal experimental protocols were revised and approved by CICUA-UChile and Aquadvise-Fundación Chile bioethics committees (No. 2017/08070142A).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Laboratorio de Genómica y Genética de Interacciones Biológicas (LG2IB), Instituto de Nutrición y Tecnología de los Alimento, Universidad de Chile, Av. El Líbano 5524, Macul, 7830490 Santiago, Chile.² Escuela de Biotecnología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Santo Tomás, Santiago, Chile.³ Center for Research and Innovation in Aquaculture (CRIA), Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile.⁴ Laboratorio de Nutrición Animal (LABNA). Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Producción Animal, Universidad de Chile, Santa Rosa, 11315 La Pintana, Chile.⁵ Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias y Pecuarias, Universidad de Chile, Santa Rosa, 11735 La Pintana, Chile.⁶ Laboratorio Inmunología en Peces, Facultad de Ciencia de la Vida, Universidad Andrés Bello, República 239, Santiago, Chile.⁷ GEMA Center for Genomics, Ecology and Environment, Universidad Mayor, Camino La Pirámide 5750, Huechuraba, Santiago, Chile.⁸ Laboratory for Research in Functional Nutrition, Instituto de Nutrición y Tecnología de los Alimentos, Universidad de Chile, Av. El Líbano 5524, Macul, 7830490 Santiago, Chile.⁹ Scimetrica Lab, Santiago, Chile.

Received: 25 June 2020 Accepted: 9 September 2020 Published online:

References

- Rozas M, Enríquez R (2014) Piscirickettsiosis and Piscirickettsia salmonis in fish: a review. J Fish Dis 37:163–188. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.12211
- Yáñez JM, Yoshida GM, Parra Á, Correa K, Barría A, Bassini LN et al (2019) Comparative genomic analysis of three salmonid species identifies functional candidate genes involved in resistance to the intracellular bacterium *Piscirickettsia salmonis*. Front Genet. 10:665. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fgene.2019.00665
- Cvitanich JD, Garate NO, Smith CE (1991) The isolation of a rickettsia-like organism causing disease and mortality in Chilean salmonids and its confirmation by Koch's postulate. J Fish Dis 14:121–145

- Pulgar R, Travisany D, Zuñiga A, Maass A, Cambiazo V (2015) Complete genome sequence of *Piscirickettsia salmonis* LF-89 (ATCC VR-1361) a major pathogen of farmed salmonid fish. J Biotechnol 212:30–31
- McCarthy UM, Bron JE, Brown L, Pourahmad F, Bricknell IR, Thompson KD et al (2008) Survival and replication of *Piscirickettsia salmonis* in rainbow trout head kidney macrophages. Fish Shellfish Immunol 25:477–484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2008.07.005
- Bravo S. Environmental impacts and management of veterinary medicines in aquaculture: the case of salmon aquaculture in Chile. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. 2012; 11–24. https://www.cabdirect. org/cabdirect/abstract/20133060786. Accessed 12 Jun 2020.
- Laws M, Shaaban A, Rahman KM (2019) Antibiotic resistance breakers: current approaches and future directions. FEMS Microbiol Rev 43:490–516. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuz014
- Henríquez P, Kaiser M, Bohle H, Bustos P, Mancilla M (2016) Comprehensive antibiotic susceptibility profiling of Chilean *Piscirickettsia salmonis* field isolates. J Fish Dis 39:441–448
- Kaufmann SHE, Dorhoi A, Hotchkiss RS, Bartenschlager R (2018) Hostdirected therapies for bacterial and viral infections. Nat Rev Drug Discovery 17:35–56
- Czyż DM, Potluri LP, Jain-Gupta N, Riley SP, Martinez JJ, Steck TL et al (2014) Host-directed antimicrobial drugs with broad-spectrum efficacy against intracellular bacterial pathogens. mBio 5:1–14
- Setoain J, Franch M, Martínez M, Tabas-Madrid D, Sorzano COS, Bakker A et al (2015) NFFinder: an online bioinformatics tool for searching similar transcriptomics experiments in the context of drug repositioning. Nucleic Acids Res 43:W193–W199. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv445
- 12. Vera T, Isla A, Cuevas A, Figueroa J (2012) Un nuevo medio de cultivo líquido para el patógeno *Piscirickettsia salmonis*. Arch Med Vet 44:273–277
- Yañez J, Valenzuela K, Silva H, Retamales J, Romero A, Enriquez R et al (2012) Broth medium for the successful culture of the fish pathogen *Piscirickettsia salmonis*. Dis Aquat Organ. 97:197–205. https://doi. org/10.3354/dao02403
- Henríquez M, González E, Marshall SH, Henríquez V, Gómez FA, Martínez I et al (2013) A novel liquid medium for the efficient growth of the salmonid pathogen *Piscirickettsia salmonis* and optimization of culture conditions. PLoS One 8:e71830. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071830
- Pulgar R, Hödar C, Travisany D, Zuñiga A, Domínguez C, Maass A et al (2015) Transcriptional response of Atlantic salmon families to *Piscirickettsia salmonis* infection highlights the relevance of the iron-deprivation defence system. BMC Genomics 16:495. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1286 4-015-1716-9
- Calquín P, Ruiz P, Oliver C, Sánchez P, Haro R, Oliva H et al (2018) Physiological evidence that *Piscirickettsia salmonis* produces siderophores and uses iron from different sources. J Fish Dis 41:553–558
- 17. Cianciulli P (2009) Iron chelation therapy in thalassemia syndromes. Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis 1:1–7
- Naser M, Mehrnoosh S, Hassan E, Hajar N, Mehdi S, Mohsen S et al (2016) A review on iron chelators in treatment of iron overload syndromes. Int J Hematol Stem Cell Res 10:239–247
- Kwiatkowski J (2011) Management of transfusional iron overload differential properties and efficacy of iron chelating agents. J Blood Med 2:135
- 20. Kuo KHM, Mrkobrada M (2014) A systematic review and meta-analysis of deferiprone monotherapy and in combination with deferoxamine for reduction of iron overload in chronically transfused patients with β -thalassemia. Hemoglobin 38:409–421
- 21. Saliba AN, Harb AR, Taher AT (2015) Iron chelation therapy in transfusiondependent thalassemia patients: current strategies and future directions. J Blood Med 6:197–209
- Li J, Lin Y, Li X, Zhang J. Economic evaluation of chelation regimens for β-Thalassemia Major: a systematic review. Mediterran J Hematol Infect Dis. 2019;11.
- 23. Georgiou NA, van der Bruggen T, Oudshoorn M, Nottet HSLM, Marx JJM, van Asbeck BS (2000) Inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication in human mononuclear blood cells by the iron chelators deferoxamine, deferiprone, and bleomycin. J Infect Dis 181:484–490. https://doi.org/10.1086/315223
- 24. Soummer A, Mathonnet A, Scatton O, Massault PP, Paugam A, Lemiale V et al (2008) Failure of deferasirox, an iron chelator agent, combined with

antifungals in a case of severe zygomycosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:1585–1586

- Kontoghiorghes GJ, Kolnagou A, Skiada A, Petrikkos G (2010) The role of iron and chelators on infections in iron overload and non iron loaded conditions: prospects for the design of new antimicrobial therapies. Hemoglobin 34:227–239
- Gehrke SS, Pinto EG, Steverding D, Pleban K, Tempone AG, Hider RC et al (2013) Conjugation to 4-aminoquinoline improves the anti-trypanosomal activity of Deferiprone-type iron chelators. Bioorganic Med Chem 21:805–813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2012.11.009
- Chitasombat MN, Niparuck P (2018) Deferiprone as adjunctive treatment for patients with invasive mucormycosis: A retrospective case series. Infect Dis Rep 10:30–35
- Strober W (2015) Trypan Blue Exclusion Test of Cell Viability. Curr Protoc Immunol. 111:A3B1–A3B3
- Mandakovic D, Glasner B, Maldonado J, Aravena P, González M, Cambiazo V, et al. Genomic-based restriction enzyme selection for specific detection of *Piscirickettsia salmonis* by 16S rDNA PCR-RFLP. Front Microbiol. 2016;7.
- Zúňiga A, Aravena P, Pulgar R, Travisany D, Ortiz-Severín J, Chávez FP, et al. Transcriptomic Changes of *Piscirickettsia salmonis* during intracellular growth in a salmon macrophage-like cell line. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020;9.
- Elkablawy MA, Maxwell P, Williamson K, Anderson N, Hamilton PW (2001) Apoptosis and cell-cycle regulatory proteins in colorectal carcinoma: relationship to tumour stage and patient survival. J Pathol 194:436–443. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.894
- 32. Council NR (2011) Nutrient requirements of fish and shrimp. National Academies Press, New York
- Pfaffl MW (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 29:e45
- 34. Talke IN, Hanikenne M, Krämer U (2006) Zinc-dependent global transcriptional control, transcriptional deregulation, and higher gene copy number for genes in metal homeostasis of the hyperaccumulator *Arabidopsis halleri*. Plant Physiol 142:148–167
- Varma DM, Zahid MSH, Bachelder EM, Ainslie KM. Formulation of hosttargeted therapeutics against bacterial infections. Transl Res. 2020.
- Rise ML, Jones SRM, Brown GD, von Schalburg KR, Davidson WS, Koop BF (2004) Microarray analyses identify molecular biomarkers of Atlantic salmon macrophage and hematopoietic kidney response to *Piscirickettsia* salmonis infection. Physiol Genomics 20:21–35. https://doi.org/10.1152/ physiolgenomics.00036.2004
- Tacchi L, Bron JE, Taggart JB, Secombes CJ, Bickerdike R, Adler M et al (2011) Multiple tissue transcriptomic responses to *Piscirickettsia salmonis* in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Physiol Genomics. 43:1241–54. https:// doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00086.2011
- Valenzuela-Miranda D, Gallardo-Escárate C (2016) Novel insights into the response of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) to *Piscirickettsia salmonis:* interplay of coding genes and IncRNAs during bacterial infection. Fish Shellfish Immunol 59:427–438
- Valenzuela-Miranda D, Gallardo-Escárate C (2018) Dual RNA-Seq Uncovers metabolic amino acids dependency of the intracellular bacterium *Piscirickettsia salmonis* infecting atlantic salmon. Front Microbiol 9:2877. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02877
- Rise ML, von Schalburg KR, Brown GD, Mawer MA, Devlin RH, Kuipers N et al (2004) Development and application of a salmonid EST database and cDNA microarray: Data mining and interspecific hybridization characteristics. Genome Res 14:478–490
- Glickstein H, Ben R, Link G, Breuer W, Konijn AM, Hershko C et al (2006) Action of chelators in iron-loaded cardiac cells: accessibility to intracellular labile iron and functional consequences. Blood 108:3195–3203
- Vlachodimitropoulou Koumoutsea E, Garbowski M, Porter J (2015) Synergistic intracellular iron chelation combinations: Mechanisms and conditions for optimizing iron mobilization. Br J Haematol 170:874–883
- 43. Andersen F, Lorentzen M, Waagbo R, Maage A (1997) Bioavailability and interactions with other micronutrients of three dietary iron sources in

Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, smolts. Aquac Nutr 3:239–246. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2095.1997.00096.x

- 44. Chan GCF, Chan S, Ho PL, Ha SY (2009) Effects of chelators (Deferoxamine, deferiprone and deferasirox) on the growth of klebsiella pneumoniae and aeromonas hydrophila isolated from transfusion-dependent thalassemia patients. Hemoglobin 33:352–360
- 45. Fernandes SS, Nunes A, Gomes AR, de Castro B, Hider RC, Rangel M et al (2010) Identification of a new hexadentate iron chelator capable of restricting the intramacrophagic growth of Mycobacterium avium. Microbes Infect 12:287–294
- 46. Kim CM, Shin SH (2009) Effect of iron-chelator deferiprone on the in vitro growth of staphylococci. J Korean Med Sci 24:289–295
- 47. Qiu DH, Huang ZL, Zhou T, Shen C, Hider RC (2011) In vitro inhibition of bacterial growth by iron chelators. FEMS Microbiol Lett 314:107–111
- Van Asbeck BS, Georgiou NA, Van der Bruggen T, Oudshoorn M, Nottet HSLM, Marx JJM (2001) Anti-HIV effect of iron chelators: different mechanisms involved. J Clin Virol 20:141–147
- 49. Zarember KA, Cruz AR, Huang CY, Gallin JI (2009) Antifungal activities of natural and synthetic iron chelators alone and in combination with azole and polyene antibiotics against *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:2654–2656
- Lesic B, Foulon J, Carniel E (2002) Comparison of the effects of deferiprone versus deferoxamine on growth and virulence of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:1741–1745
- Kim C, Park R, Choi M, Sun H, Shin S (2007) Ferrophilic Characteristics of Vibrio vulnificus and potential usefulness of iron chelation therapy. J Infect Dis 195:90–98. https://doi.org/10.1086/509822
- Haider BA, Spiegelman D, Hertzmark E, Sando D, Duggan C, Makubi A et al (2019) Anemia, iron deficiency, and iron supplementation in relation to mortality among HIV-infected patients receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy in Tanzania. Am J Trop Med Hyg 100:1512–1520
- Ibrahim A, Edwards J, Fu Y, Spellberg B (2006) Deferiprone iron chelation as a novel therapy for experimental mucormycosis. J Antimicrob Chemother 58:1070–1073
- Smith HJ, Meremikwu MM. Iron-chelating agents for treating malaria. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003.
- Collins HL, Kaufmann SHE, Schaible UE (2002) Iron chelation via deferoxamine exacerbates experimental salmonellosis via inhibition of the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase-dependent respiratory burst. J Immunol 168:3458–3463
- Neupane GP, Kim D-M (2009) Comparison of the effects of deferasirox, deferiprone, and deferoxamine on the growth and virulence of *Vibrio vulnificus*. Transfusion 49:1762–1769. https://doi.org/10.111 1/j.1537-2995.2009.02186.x
- Schupp T, Toupet C, Divers M (1988) Cloning and expression of two genes of *Streptomyces pilosus* involved in the biosynthesis of the siderophore desferrioxamine B. Gene 64:179–188
- 58. Valenzuela-Muñoz V, Valenzuela-Miranda D, Gonçalves AT, Novoa B, Figueras A, Gallardo-Escárate C (2020) Induced-iron overdose modulate the immune response in Atlantic salmon increasing the susceptibility to *Piscirickettsia salmonis* infection. Aquaculture 521:735058
- Puglisi A, Spencer J, Oliveri V, Vecchio G, Kong X, Clarke J et al (2012) Synthesis, physicochemical properties and antioxidant activity of deferiprone-cyclodextrin conjugates and their iron(iii) complexes. Dalt Trans 41:2877–2883
- Tarifeño-Saldivia E, Aguilar A, Contreras D, Mercado L, Morales-Lange B, Márquez K et al (2018) Iron overload is associated with oxidative stress and nutritional immunity during viral infection in fish. Front Immunol. 9:1296

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.