Influence of a Ceramic Substrate on Aqueous Precipitation and Structural Evolution of Alumina Nano-Crystalline Coatings

Marie Mahé and Peter Reynders[†]

Department of Pigments, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt 64271, Germany

Alain Demourgues and Jean-Marc Heintz*

Institut de Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Bordeaux, ICMCB CNRS, Université Bordeaux 1, Pessac 33608, France

Either boehmite (γ -AlOOH) or gibbsite (γ -Al(OH)₃) nanocrystalline thin films ($h \approx 100$ nm) can be precipitated from AlCl₃ solution at fixed pH and temperature onto different substrates. It depends on the nature of the substrate (mica flakes, SiO₂ flakes, or α -Al₂O₃ flakes), on their crystallographic properties (crystalline or amorphous), and on some experimental parameters (agitation rate, addition rate). According to the surface charge of the substrates, different alumina species are involved in the precipitation process. When negative charges are present on the substrate, the [Al₃O(OH)₃(OH₂)₉]⁴⁺ polycation is promoted, leading to the formation of the (Al₄) tetramer ([Al₄O(OH)₁₀(OH₂)₅]⁰) and then to the precipitation of boehmite. When positive charges are present, a ligand bridge containing complex ([Al₃O(OH)₃(O₂H₃)₃(OH₂)₉]⁺) is likely favored, giving rise to hexagonal ring structures or amorphous solids that lead to the formation of gibbsite. Besides the surface effects, crystalline substrates can act as a template during precipitation of aluminum species as shown for the formation of gibbsite on muscovite. Finally, calcination at 850°C of boehmite samples leads to porous γ -Al₂O₃ layers, while calcination of gibbsite leads to δ -Al₂O₃ layers.

I. Introduction

MANY studies have been devoted to alumina because of its importance in industrial applications. Transition alumina and α -Al₂O₃ are notably used as catalytic carriers.^{1–2} Alumina is also found in coatings and cosmetics as additive and substrate.^{3–6} The nomenclature and the relative stability of the different “alumina” species is now quite well understood.^{1,2,7–13} Aluminum compounds can be divided into four classes. Gibbsite (γ -Al(OH)₃) and bayerite (α -Al(OH)₃) belong to the aluminum trihydroxide class. The aluminum oxide hydroxides compose a second class including the boehmite (γ -AlOOH) and the diasporite (α -AlOOH). Transition alumina, including the γ , η , δ , θ , κ , χ , and ρ alumina, form the third class. They are obtained from the hydrated species through thermal decomposition. The thermal evolution of these metastable transition alumina leads to the formation of the thermodynamically stable phase of aluminum oxide: corundum α -Al₂O₃. The base of alumina polymorphism has been established for a long time,⁷ but some additional phases could still be identified like the θ' , θ'' , and λ phases.¹³

In contrast to these papers, the present study deals with alumina as layers on ceramic substrates and will address how the

chemical composition and the morphology of “alumina” species are influenced by the presence and chemical nature of the substrate. This question is important for the controlled and reproducible formation of substrate based “alumina” layers, which are used in a number of industrial applications such as catalyst supports^{1–2} and optical effect pigments.⁶ The latter, which are also called nacreous pigments, have been manufactured to imitate the fascinating optical effect of natural pearls.^{6,14,15} They are composed of submicron layers deposited on a ceramic substrate. Many products have already been developed for functional purposes, e.g., security printing and heat management via IR reflection, and decorative purposes, e.g., cosmetics and automotive paints. Alumina is of two fold interest: first, as a dense layer with a refractive index in a medium range to design optical multiplayer pigments, and second as a porous layer to fix functional additives upon.

Alumina species are precipitated onto different flaky substrates, all of which are commercially relevant for optical effect pigments.¹⁴ The phases obtained are compared with each other and to the powders generated without any substrates. The influence of the substrate on the precipitation of hydrated alumina is examined and a mechanism of precipitation is proposed for the different cases. The structural evolution of precipitated alumina through heat treatment is also examined in order to design dense, respectively, porous layers as required by the different pigment applications.

II. Experimental Procedures

(1) Powder Preparation

The alumina layers were deposited onto different substrates via an aqueous liquid deposition process (LDP). It corresponds to the controlled precipitation of aluminum chloride within an aqueous suspension containing the substrates. The pH value was maintained at 6.5 by addition of an aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (16%, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The temperature was maintained at 75°C. The influence of three parameters was studied: the solution addition rate (SAR; mL/min), the agitation rate (AR rpm), and the volume of the suspension containing the substrate (VSS L). SAR corresponds to the addition rate of the AlCl₃ solution in the reactor and was chosen equal to 3 or 6 mL/min. AR corresponds to the speed of the mechanical stirrer used to homogenize the suspension. In the following experiments, AR is equal to 1000 or 1300 rpm. Finally, VSS represents the volume of the substrate suspension (10 wt%) in the reactor at the beginning of the reaction. VSS is equal to 1 or 2 L. The thickness of the layers can be controlled by varying the amount of AlCl₃ solution used in the LDP. The precipitates were filtered off, washed completely with deionized water, and dried at 110°C for 12 h. For the present study, a 9 wt% AlCl₃ solution was added until the theoretical (“Al₂O₃”/pigment) ratio reached 45 wt%. The AlCl₃ solution was prepared by dissolving the required amount of AlCl₃·6H₂O (Merck, purity >97%) in

G. Franks—contributing editor

*Member, American Ceramic Society.

[†]Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail Peter.Reynders@merck.de

Table I. Influence of Volume of the Substrate Suspension (VSS) on the Alumina Species Precipitated (SAR 6 and AR 1000)

No substrate		SiO ₂ platelets	Mica	Al ₂ O ₃ platelets
No Sub1		SiO ₂ 1	Mica1	Al ₂ O ₃ 1
VSS 2 L				
110°C	Boehmite	Boehmite	Gibbsite	Boehmite
850°C	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)	δ-alumina (tetra.)	γ-alumina (cub.)
No Sub2		SiO ₂ 2	Mica2	Al ₂ O ₃ 2
VSS 1 L				
110°C	Boehmite	Boehmite	Boehmite	Boehmite
850°C	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)

deionized water. Using the same conditions, alumina powders were precipitated without any substrate. All dried pigments were then calcined at 850°C under air for 30 min. All performed experiments are listed in Tables I, II, and III.

(2) Substrates

Three different substrates were used in this study: mica flakes (Merck, diameter 10–50 µm, mean thickness 400 nm), SiO₂ flakes (Merck, diameter 10–50 µm, thickness 450 ± 10 nm), and Al₂O₃ flakes (Merck, diameter 10–50 µm, thickness 200 ± 10 nm). The mica used is the dioctahedral muscovite KAl₂[AlSi₃O₁₀](OH)₂.¹⁶ The structure of mica muscovite is based on a three layer system that can cleave easily along the (001) plane.^{16–18} These planes are composed of arrangements of hexagonal rings of SiO₄ tetrahedra. The surfaces of the muscovite on which aluminum is precipitated are actually oriented according to these (001) planes. The synthetic SiO₂ flakes that were used are produced by a web coating process.^{6,14} These substrates are

amorphous and present quite smooth surfaces as observed from high resolution SEM analyses. The main component of Al₂O₃ flakes is α-Al₂O₃ (99.1 wt%). A small amount of TiO₂ is also present (0.5wt.%) as an amorphous phase. The surface of the flakes is preferentially orientated according to the (001) plane of α-Al₂O₃ corundum.

(3) Powder Characterization

X ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were taken from a θ/θ diffractometer (D5000, Brüker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). The radiation used was CuKα. The detector was moved with a step size of 0.020° in 2θ and a step time of 8 s. Diffractograms were typically recorded in an angular range between 5° and 80° in 2θ. The morphology of the particles was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Leo 1530 Gemini, Carl Zeiss NTS GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany). SEM was also used to measure the layer thickness on micrographs of fractured pigments. The pigments were mixed with a lacquer and

Table II. Influence of Solution Addition Rate (SAR) on the Alumina Species Precipitated (VSS 1 and AR 1000)

No substrate		SiO ₂ platelets	Mica	Al ₂ O ₃ platelets
No Sub2		SiO ₂ 2	Mica2	Al ₂ O ₃ 2
SAR 6 mL/min				
110°C	Boehmite	Boehmite	Boehmite	Boehmite
850°C	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)
No Sub3		SiO ₂ 3	Mica3	Al ₂ O ₃ 3
SAR 3 mL/min				
110°C	Boehmite	Boehmite	Gibbsite	Boehmite/Gibbsite
850°C	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)	δ-alumina (tetra.)	γ-alumina (cub.)/ δ-alumina (tetra.)

Table III. Influence of Agitation Rate (AR) on the Alumina Species Precipitated (VSS 2 and SAR 6)

No substrate		SiO ₂ platelets	Mica	Al ₂ O ₃ platelets
No Sub1		SiO ₂ 1	Mica1	Al ₂ O ₃ 1
AR 1000 rpm				
110°C	Boehmite	Boehmite	Gibbsite	Boehmite
850°C	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)	δ-alumina (tetra.)	γ-alumina (cub.)
No Sub4		SiO ₂ 4		Al ₂ O ₃ 4
AR 1300 rpm				
110°C	Boehmite	Boehmite		Boehmite/Gibbsite
850°C	γ-alumina (cub.)	γ-alumina (cub.)		γ-alumina (cub.)/ δ-alumina (tetra.)

Fig. 1. Light microscopy images of (a) SiO₂ and (b) Mica particles (reflection stage dark field).

dried. The lacquer, containing the pigments, was then broken in such a way that some of the particles were also broken, which allowed the thickness of the coated film to be measured. Light microscopy micrographs of the samples were taken using an optical microscope (Eclipse ME 600, Nikon GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany) under reflection conditions.

III. Results

(1) Aluminum Hydroxides and Oxide Hydroxides Precipitated Under Different Conditions

The dried pigments were observed using light microscopy under reflection (Fig. 1). The pigments appeared transparent, which shows macroscopically the good quality of these pigments and the homogeneity of the layers.

Tables I, II, and III give the crystallographic nature of the precipitated aluminum compounds as a function of the experimental conditions. It shows that boehmite (γ AlOOH) was always synthesized when there was no substrate in the suspension. Boehmite was also systematically precipitated onto SiO₂ flakes. Gibbsite (γ Al(OH)₃) was generally precipitated on mica. Nevertheless, it was also possible to obtain boehmite on mica by choosing the right parameters (Mica2). Tables I and II point out the importance of the volume of the suspension (VSS) and of the solution addition rate (SAR) on the precipitation process in the presence of mica flakes. Boehmite (γ AlOOH) or a mixture of boehmite (γ AlOOH) and gibbsite (γ Al(OH)₃) were synthesized on Al₂O₃ flakes. The SAR and the agitation rate (AR) parameters could determine the structure of the hydrated alumina deposited on Al₂O₃ flakes (Tables II and III). Figure 2 shows the X ray diffractogram of the material resulting from the pre-

Fig. 2. X ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of SiO₂ (dried state). Vertical lines correspond to the XRD pattern of boehmite (γ AlOOH).

Fig. 3. X ray diffraction pattern of Mica1 (dried state). Vertical lines correspond to the X ray diffraction pattern of gibbsite (γ Al(OH)₃). Other peaks are attributed to the mica substrate.

cipitation of boehmite (γ AlOOH) onto SiO₂ flakes. The broadness of the peaks, corresponding to the boehmite structure, clearly indicates the low crystallinity of this phase. Figure 3 presents the X ray diffractogram corresponding to the phase precipitated onto mica. The analysis of this X ray diffractogram is more difficult than the previous one due to the high crystallinity of mica. However, γ Al(OH)₃ (gibbsite) has been identified. The same observations can be made for X ray diffractograms of products precipitated onto α Al₂O₃ flakes.

SEM cross sections of Mica1 and Mica2 experiments are presented in Fig. 4. As can be seen on these micrographs, the alumina layers are precipitated quite regularly onto the mica flakes. The thickness of the dried film obtained for Mica1 pigment ($h_{\text{Mica1}} \approx 100$ nm) appears lower than that observed for Mica2 pigment ($h_{\text{Mica2}} \approx 250$ nm). However, the thickness value of the Mica2 layer should be considered carefully. It could be related to its porous microstructure that could be filled by the lacquer used for the cross section micrograph. The morphology of the dried layers, obtained from SEM images, is presented in Figs. 5–7. Boehmite layers exhibit a very porous and fibrillar microstructure. Those characteristics may also explain the higher thickness observed for Mica2 pigment compared with Mica1. Gibbsite layers are more compact and constituted of platelet-like grains with a hexagonal shape. Concerning precursors made of gibbsite boehmite/ α Al₂O₃ flakes, SEM observations show that the two precipitated phases were really separated: boehmite (γ AlOOH) gives a porous layer, while gibbsite (γ Al(OH)₃) leads to platelet-like grains (Fig. 7). Figure 8 shows a porous AlOOH layer (boehmite) largely covering the surface of α Al₂O₃ platelets, whereas platelet-like grains of Al(OH)₃ (gibbsite) cover the sides of α Al₂O₃ platelets. Few platelet-like grains of Al(OH)₃ could also be seen on the larger surface of the substrate.

Fig. 4. Scanning electron microscopy micrographs of cross sections of (a) Mica1 film and (b) Mica2 film (dried state).

Fig. 5. Scanning electron microscopy micrographs of the surface of SiO₂ particles (dried state).

Fig. 6. Scanning electron microscopy micrographs of the surface of Mica1 particles (dried state).

Fig. 7. Scanning electron microscopy micrographs of the surface of Al₂O₃ particles (dried state).

Fig. 8. Scanning electron microscopy micrograph of an alumina flake on which gibbsite grains develop from the edges.

Fig. 9. X ray diffraction pattern of SiO₂I after calcination at 850°C. Vertical lines correspond to the X ray diffraction pattern of the cubic γ Al₂O₃ phase.

Fig. 10. Scanning electron microscopy micrograph of the surface of two SiO₂I particles after calcination at 850°C.

(2) Thermal Evolution of the Hydrated Alumina

Considering the calcination process that has been used, the temperature (850°C) is not high enough to enable the formation of α alumina. So, transition alumina are obtained. XRD patterns show that cubic γ Al₂O₃ is formed when the initial precipitated layer is boehmite (γ AlOOH). The example of SiO₂I pigment is

Fig. 11. X ray diffraction pattern of MicaI after calcination at 850°C. Vertical lines correspond to the X ray diffraction pattern of the tetragonal δ Al₂O₃ phase. Other peaks are attributed to the mica substrate.

given in Fig. 9. The same porous morphology than that of the dried layer is obtained as illustrated by the SEM images of the top surface of SiO₂I pigment (Fig. 10). When the precursor pigment contains a gibbsite (γ Al(OH)₃) layer, the calcination process leads to the formation of the tetragonal δ alumina. This is illustrated in Fig. 11, which presents the XRD pattern of calcinated MicaI pigments. In that case, the calcinated alumina layers (δ Al₂O₃) are highly cracked as can be seen on the SEM images of the top surface of MicaI pigment (Fig. 12).

IV. Discussion

(1) Precipitation of Aluminum Cations without Substrate

The chemistry of Al(III) is known to be complex. Depending on the processing techniques used, different crystal structures and morphologies are generated. The kinetic and/or thermodynamic factors, as well as the solvent, are likely to affect the behavior of complexes in solution and the reaction mechanism. Different precipitation processing techniques are known^{2,18,19}: hydroxylation via the addition of a base at room temperature, hydroxylation via addition of an aluminum salt in a base with controlled pH, acidification of a basic aluminate solution or thermohydrolysis by heating of an acid solution of Al(III) ions to about 80°–100°C. In our case, an aluminum chloride solution is slowly added to an aqueous media maintained at pH = 6.5 and T = 75°C, which does not correspond exactly to the above processes. Now, according to the literature,^{2,18,19} Al³⁺ may form different types of complexes in a solution that lead to the for

Fig. 12. Scanning electron microscopy micrograph of the surface of a MicaI particle after calcination at 850°C.

Fig. 13. Aluminum species involved in the condensation of either aluminum hydroxide ($\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$) or boehmite ($\gamma\text{-AlOOH}$), depending on the experimental conditions.^{2,18,19}

mations of polycations which can then act as nuclei for the solid. Considering acid or neutral solutions, the species that should be considered are: $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3(\text{OH}_2)_3]^0$ and $[\text{Al}_3(\text{OH})_4(\text{OH}_2)_9]^{5+}$ (Al_3). The first one, $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3(\text{OH}_2)_3]^0$, is involved in the condensation of boehmite ($\gamma\text{-AlOOH}$) when the pH is increased but only at room temperature. Therefore, this complex will not be considered as the starting species for the precipitation in the following discussion. The second one, $[\text{Al}_3(\text{OH})_4(\text{OH}_2)_9]^{5+}$, is the only trimer that has been clearly identified in solution.²⁰ Owing to its conformation, it constitutes an intermediate for the aluminum polycondensation processes. It can yield two different polycations: $[\text{Al}_{13}\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_{24}(\text{OH}_2)_{12}]^{7+}$ (Al_{13}) and $[\text{Al}_4\text{O}(\text{OH})_{10}(\text{OH}_2)_5]^0$ (Al_4), depending on the experimental conditions. This is schematically illustrated in Fig. 13.

In acidic medium, Al_3 reacts with $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_2\text{OH}]^{3+}$ to give the Al_{13} polycation, $[\text{Al}_{13}\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_{24}(\text{OH}_2)_{12}]^{7+}$. Then, addition of a base to a solution containing Al_{13} leads to the formation of a translucent amorphous gel. This unstable gel gives either aluminum hydroxide ($\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$) when the pH is low and the temperature is between 25° and 80°C or boehmite ($\gamma\text{-AlOOH}$) when the temperature is higher than 80°C .^{1,2} In a less acidic medium and with an increase of temperature, the compact Al_3 complex may evolve toward the formation of an aluminum tetramer, $[\text{Al}_4\text{O}(\text{OH})_{10}(\text{OH}_2)_5]^0$ (Al_4). These Al_4 tetramers condense to form boehmite ($\gamma\text{-AlOOH}$) as Al_4 is a good structural model for the oxyhydroxide nuclei.² Considering that both polycations can exist under the present experimental conditions (pH = 6.5 and $T = 75^\circ\text{C}$), the most likely species could be determined by comparing their basicity character or their electronegativity. Based on the model of partial charges,¹⁸ average electronegativity has been calculated. $\chi(\text{Al}_{13}) = 2.6$ and $\chi(\text{Al}_4) = 2.48$. The difference is not large but it confirms the more acidic character of the Al_{13} polycation. As the pH of the suspension was maintained at 6.5, Al_4 is certainly more present under these experimental conditions, leading to the formation of $\gamma\text{-AlOOH}$ nanoparticles. Now, even if Al_{13} develops within the solution, it leads to the formation of amorphous particles that can grow and crystallize on the surrounding particles, i.e., boehmite. As a matter of fact, boehmite was obtained in all our experiments (Tables I III).

(2) Influence of the Substrates

(a) *Physicochemical Properties of the Oxide Surfaces:* The chemical properties of the flake surfaces have to be taken into account in order to explain why gibbsite could be precipitated in some cases, depending on the substrates present in the reactor. When an oxide is dispersed at a given pH in water, the nature of the surface species can be estimated from the value of

the point of zero charge (PZC). For $\text{pH} > \text{PZC}$, O^- groups are present, conferring a basic character to the surface, while at $\text{pH} = \text{PZC}$, no given charge is formally present. The PZC values are quite different for the oxides constituting the substrates. In the literature, the PZC of SiO_2 is about two to four. The PZC of $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ particles is around eight,¹⁸ but recent studies also showed that the (001) basal plane of $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ has lower PZC than alumina particles. The PZC of the basal plane, which constitutes most of the surface area of $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ platelets, is actually around five.^{21–23} Considering that in our experiments, the precipitation reactions are carried out at $\text{pH} = 6.5$, it means that the surface charge of the SiO_2 flakes is negative. The surface charge of the Al_2O_3 flakes is certainly slightly negative on the basal plane but slightly positive on the side of the Al_2O_3 flakes.²² Finally, the surface charge of the mica is negative on the larger plane (SiO_2) but could be slightly positive on the side of the substrates due to the presence of Al_2O_3 .²⁴ As a first approach, the double layer model can be used to understand the possible influence of the surface charge on the precipitated products. Counter ions can not diffuse into the first layer (Stern layer). But other species can be attracted to the surface and are able to penetrate the Stern layer and bind chemically on surface sites. This is the case for the aluminum trimer species (Al_3), mentioned previously. In order to take into account the role of surface charges of the different substrates, the chemical pathway going from Al_3 to the precipitates should be considered in a more detailed way. Under the experimental conditions of the precipitation, the Al_3 trimer undergoes an intramolecular condensation according to the following reaction (Eq. (1) and Fig.14)¹⁸:

The latter being in equilibrium with a complex containing $[\text{H}_3\text{O}_2]^+$ ligand bridges (Eq. (2)):

The ligand bridge containing complex $[\text{Al}_3\text{O}(\text{OH})_3(\text{O}_2\text{H}_3)_3(\text{OH}_2)_9]^+$ is known to be able to react as a nucleophilic ligand ($\mu_3\text{O}$ bridge) with positive charged species, in order to

Fig. 14. Aluminum species, reagents, and products when precipitation of aluminum species occurs in the presence of different substrates.

form the Al_{13} polycation¹⁸ (like the $[\text{Al}(\text{OH}_2)_6]^{3+}$ monomer in acidic medium). It means that the surface can play a hydrolyzing role. Depending on its acidity/basicity character, it can affect the precipitation phenomena through the evolution of a reaction (Eq. (2)). The second point to be taken into account is the crystalline properties of the substrates that can promote the growth of the initial nucleus according to the underlying lattice.

(b) *Adsorption of Aluminum Species on SiO_2 Flakes:* The silica flakes show a basic character (negative charge surface) at pH = 6.5. Then, the formation of the ligand bridge containing complex $[\text{Al}_3\text{O}(\text{OH})_3(\text{O}_2\text{H}_3)_3(\text{OH}_2)_9]^+$ is not promoted compared with $[\text{Al}_3(\text{OH})_4(\text{OH}_2)_9]^{5+}$ and $[\text{Al}_3\text{O}(\text{OH})_3(\text{OH}_2)_9]^{4+}$ polycations (Fig. 14). Interactions between silica surface and these aluminum trimers will result in a deprotonation as well as intramolecular condensation (like in Fig. 13).

It gives rise on the silica surface to the formation of the smallest stable polycation, i.e., Al_4 tetramer. As Al_4 is the main species governing the condensation of boehmite, it should lead to the formation of this structure onto the silica flakes, which has been observed for all experiments (Tables I–III). Moreover, the silica flakes are amorphous. So, they cannot induce any template effect and, in that case, only chemical effects drive the formation of the solid.

(c) *Adsorption of Aluminum Species on Al_2O_3 Flakes:* At pH = 6.5, the surface charge of Al_2O_3 flakes is slightly negative on the basal plane (PZC ~ 5). The slightly negative basal plane of α Al_2O_3 should promote the formation of the Al_4 tetramer, which should lead to the formation of boehmite. In fact, the formation of boehmite onto the surface of the Al_2O_3 platelets is largely observed in all experiments. Now, it was also possible to precipitate a mixture of boehmite and gibbsite on α Al_2O_3 platelets. In this case, the AlOOH (boehmite) is largely covering the surface of α Al_2O_3 platelets, whereas grains of $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ (gibbsite) cover the sides of α Al_2O_3 platelets (Fig. 8). In fact, depending on their orientations, α Al_2O_3 planes exhibit different acidic behavior^{21,22} and it is likely that the sides of the α Al_2O_3 platelets exhibit a higher PZC than the basal planes of α Al_2O_3 platelets insofar as they should become closer to the acidic properties of Al_2O_3 powders. Then, at pH = 6.5, the sides of α Al_2O_3 platelets would present OH^{2+} external groups. These acid species could directly interact with the ligand bridge containing complex $[\text{Al}_3\text{O}(\text{OH})_3(\text{O}_2\text{H}_3)_3(\text{OH}_2)_9]^+$. Therefore, equilibrium (Eq. (2)) is displaced toward the formation of $[\text{Al}_3\text{O}(\text{OH})_3(\text{O}_2\text{H}_3)_3(\text{OH}_2)_9]^+$, which react with the sides of the alumina flakes. Aggregation of $[\text{Al}_3\text{O}(\text{OH})_3(\text{O}_2\text{H}_3)_3(\text{OH}_2)_9]^+$ complexes onto the sides of alumina flakes can lead to amorphous solids (like in the condensation of Al_{13} polycation) or can be structured in hexagonal rings that lead to a structure close to the lamellar structure of $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ gibbsite. Now, a few platelet like grains of gibbsite $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ could also be seen on the surface of α Al_2O_3 platelets (Fig. 8). In fact, at pH 6.5, the basicity of α Al_2O_3 basal plane (PZC ~ 5) is limited and a few acidic sites can still be present at the surface of α Al_2O_3 where $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ grains can develop.

Nevertheless, it can be seen from experimental results (Tables II and III) that two parameters influence the crystallization of the aluminum species on Al_2O_3 flakes: the SAR and the AR. Changing these parameters allows the formation of gibbsite to be hindered or promoted. When the agitation rate is high (like in Al_2O_3 4 experiment), a better dispersion of the suspension is obtained. As the difference between pH of the suspension and PZC of alumina flakes is not so large, it then helps the more acidic sites of the sides to be available for the formation of gibbsite. Conversely, at a low AR, the positive aluminum species present in the media can be preferentially adsorbed on the negative sites of the basal plane. It favors the formation of a boehmite nucleus, which rapidly covers the α Al_2O_3 platelets to the detriment

of gibbsite. Concerning the SAR, an increase of this parameter leads to the formation of boehmite only. In fact, the increase of SAR leads to an increase of the metal salt concentration and of the sodium hydroxide concentration as a function of time. The ionic force of the suspension is then higher, which allows an increase of the surface charge of the α Al_2O_3 platelets. Then, the basicity of the large surfaces of α Al_2O_3 platelets is enhanced, which can promote the formation of AlOOH on α Al_2O_3 platelets. Indeed, precipitation of alumina species onto alumina flakes under these conditions (pH = 6.5 and $T = 75^\circ\text{C}$) depends on complex chemical equilibrium (condensation of ligand bridge Al_3 against Al_4). Then, the nucleation/growth processes are affected by slight experimental variations, which explains why either the mixed phases or only boehmite are obtained.

(d) *Adsorption of Aluminum Species on Mica:* In the case of mica, there is a charge balance around the substrates. The larger mica surface appears negative and could favor the condensation of an Al_4 tetramer (like in the case of silica flakes) while the side surfaces appear positive and could preferentially imply $[\text{Al}_3\text{O}(\text{OH})_3(\text{O}_2\text{H}_3)_3(\text{OH}_2)_9]^+$ polycations with a ligand bridge. However, for that substrate where the larger surface is oriented according to (001) planes, the a , b , and γ parameters of mica are close to that of gibbsite (Table IV). Therefore, mica can act like a template during the condensation and the crystallization of Al_4 polycations, which favors the growth of the gibbsite phase instead of boehmite. This means that the crystalline structure of the mica plays a more important role than its surface charge (toward the formation of Al_4) in the crystallization process. Yet, one experiment showed that it was possible to precipitate some boehmite on mica (Mica2 sample: Tables I and II). In this case, the effect of the charge surface is more important than the effect of the crystalline structure. For experiment Mica2 (where VSS = 1), the metal salt concentration, as a function of time, is higher than for other experiments, which corresponds to an increase of the ionic force of the suspension. For mica, the number of charged site on the flake surface is limited by their mutual repulsion. Attenuation of this repulsion by the counter ions present in the solvation layer results in an increase of the negative surface charge of the substrates. In this case, the surface charge could really compete with the effect of the crystalline structure. Second, the alumina species remain longer in the aqueous solution without being in contact with the substrates. They have more time to transform into boehmite seeds, which are thermodynamically stable in the media. These seeds could then be physisorbed by the substrate afterwards. These experiments show that the role of the substrates is of first importance to determine the nature of the precipitated phase. Moreover, in the case of mica, there is a competition between the crystalline effect, which promotes gibbsite, and the surface charge effect, which promotes boehmite.

Table IV. Elementary Cells Parameters Precipitated Aluminum Species and Substrates

	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	γ (°)
γ - $\text{AlO}(\text{OH})$				
Orthorhombic				
JCPDS 83-2384	3.6936	12.214	2.8679	
Literature ¹³	2.861	3.696	12.233	
γ - $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$				
Monoclinic				
JCPDS 01-070-2038	8.68400	5.07800	9.73600	94.54
Literature ¹³	8.62	5.06	9.7	94
Calcined mica muscovite				
Monoclinic				
Literature ¹⁶	5.229	9.076	20.322	95.74
α - Al_2O_3				
Rhombohedral				
JCPDS 46-1212	4.75870		12.9929	

(3) Structural Evolution of Alumina Nanoparticles Synthesized on a Substrate

The cubic γ Al_2O_3 was systematically obtained for all samples containing boehmite. These layers were porous and low crystallized, which corresponds well to other reports in the literature,^{1-2,9-12} mentioning that calcination of a non well crystalline "fibrillar" boehmite leads to the formation of the cubic γ alumina between 400° and 890°C. One should note that a more crystalline boehmite would have followed another transformation sequence: boehmite $\rightarrow$ tetragonal γ alumina $\rightarrow$ tetragonal δ alumina. Then, the tetragonal δ alumina would have been the generated phase at 850°C,^{1,12} which was not the case here. Conversely, thermal treatment of gibbsite layers led to the formation of tetragonal δ alumina. According to the literature,^{1,2, 7-12,25} several calcination paths starting from gibbsite exist to yield corundum α alumina. These paths depend on the starting material and the calcination conditions. On the one hand, calcination of gibbsite at atmospheric pressure can lead to the formation of the transition χ and κ , and then, α Al_2O_3 . On the other hand, another path at atmospheric pressure is known to involve first the formation of boehmite, which leads to the formation of transition tetragonal γ , tetragonal δ , monoclinic θ , and finally α Al_2O_3 . The second path occurs only if local hydrothermal conditions depending on the grain size and on the heating rate can be achieved. These hydrothermal conditions enable the transformation of gibbsite into boehmite at the beginning of the calcination process.^{1-2,12,25} Our gibbsite layers are mostly constituted of nanosize gibbsite grains deposited on a rigid substrate, which can then behave locally like an autoclave and enable boehmite formation.^{2,10} This calcination path is supported by the fact that no κ Al_2O_3 phase has been identified during heat treatment.

V. Conclusion

The synthesis of alumina precursors on platelets like substrates has been presented. By controlling the different precipitation parameters, it was possible to induce different alumina precursors depending on the nature of the underlying substrate. Gibbsite (γ $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$), boehmite (γ AlOOH), or a mixture of gibbsite and boehmite have been synthesized. When calcined at 850°C, the dense pure gibbsite layers lead to a cracked tetragonal δ alumina layer. In contrast, calcination at 850°C of the porous boehmite leads to a crack free and porous cubic γ Al_2O_3 . This synthesis method gives rise to the preparation of alumina layers that could be used in pigment material for functional materials.

Acknowledgments

We thank Antje Muermann (Merck KGaA) for the XRD analysis, Helmut Plamper (Merck KGaA) for the SEM micrographs, and Katsuhisa Nitta and Atsuko Nishimagi (Merck, Onahama) for the data on alumina flakes.

References

- ¹C. Marilly, Internal Report, Institut Français du Pétrole, Ref. no. 40 883, 1993..
- ²P. Euzen, P. Raybaud, X. Krokidis, H. Toulhoat, J. L. Le Loarer, J. P. Jolivet, and C. Froidefond, "Alumina"; pp. 1591 677 in *Hand Book of Porous Solids*, Edited by F. Schüth, K. S. W. Sing, and J. Weitkamp. Wiley, Chichester, 2002.
- ³S. Teaney, G. Pfaff, and K. Nitta, "New Effect Pigments Using Innovative Substrates. Silica and Alumina Flakes Extend the Product Variety and Performance Range of Pearlescent Pigments," *Eur. Coat. J.*, **4**, 90 96 (1999).
- ⁴N. Watanabe, K. Otsu, and H. Yoshida. J.P. Patent No. 2,005,082,441 A2, March 31, 2005.
- ⁵L. A. Kelderhouse, B. Mullaney, I. Sipsas, and I. P. Sipsas. U.S. Patent No. 5,376,698 A, December 27, 2004.
- ⁶G. Pfaff and P. Reynders, "Angle-Dependent Optical Effects Deriving from Submicron Structures of Films and Pigments," *Chem. Rev.*, **99**, 1963 81 (1999).
- ⁷H. C. Stumpf, A. S. Russel, J. W. Newsome, and C. M. Tucker, "Thermal Transformations of Aluminas and Alumina Hydrates," *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **42**, 1398 403 (1950).
- ⁸J. H. DeBoer, J. M. H. Fortuin, and J. J. Steggerda, "The Dehydration of Alumina Hydrates.II," *Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet.*, **57**, 434 43 (1954).
- ⁹R. Tertian and D. Papée, "Transformations Thermiques et Hydrothermiques de l'Alumine," *J. Chim. Phys.*, **55**, 341 53 (1958).
- ¹⁰J. Rouquerol, F. Rouquerol, and M. Ganteaume, "Thermal Decomposition of Gibbsite Under Low Pressures," *J. Catal.*, **36**, 99 110 (1975).
- ¹¹S. J. Wilson and J. D. C. Mc Donnell, "A Kinetic Study of the System γ - $\text{AlOOH}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$," *J. Solid State Chem.*, **30**, 315 22 (1979).
- ¹²K. Wefers and C. Misra, "Oxides and Hydroxides of Aluminum"; Alcoa Technical Paper no. 19, revised, Alcoa Laboratories, Pittsburgh, 1987.
- ¹³I. Levin and D. Brandon, "Metastable Alumina Polymorphs: Crystal Structures and Transitions Sequences," *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **81** [8] 1995 2012 (1998).
- ¹⁴F. J. Maile, G. Pfaff, and P. Reynders, "Effect Pigments Past, Present, and Future," *Prog. Org. Coat.*, **54**, 150 3 (2005).
- ¹⁵R. Glausch, M. Kieser, R. Maisch, G. Pfaff, and J. Weitzel, *Special Effect Pigments*. Ulrich Zorll, Hannover, 1998.
- ¹⁶V. Hildenbrand, "Untersuchungen an dünnen Titandioxid- und Eisenoxidschichten auf verschiedenen Substraten (Investigation of Thin Titanium Dioxide Films and Iron Oxide films Deposited on Different Substrates)"; Ph.D. thesis, Technischen Hochschule, Darmstadt, Germany, 1995.
- ¹⁷Y. Kuwahara, "Muscovite Surface Structure Imaged by Fluid Contact Mode AFM," *Phys. Chem. Minerals*, **26**, 198 (1999).
- ¹⁸J. P. Jolivet, *Metal Oxide Chemistry and Synthesis From Solution to Solid State*. Wiley, Chichester, 2000.
- ¹⁹M. Henry, J. P. Jolivet, and J. Livage, "Aqueous Chemistry of Metal Cations: Hydrolysis, Condensation, and Complexation," *Struct. Bonding*, **77**, 153 206 (1992).
- ²⁰P. L. Brown, R. N. Sylva, G. E. Batley, and J. Ellis, "The Hydrolysis of Metal Ions. Part 8. Aluminium (III)," *J. Chem. Dalton Trans.*, 1967 70 (1985).
- ²¹G. V. Franks and L. Meagher, "The Isoelectric Points of Sapphire Crystals and Alpha-Alumina Powder," *Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects*, **214**, 99 110 (2003).
- ²²R. J. Kershner, J. W. Bullard, and M. J. Cima, "Zeta Potential Orientation Dependence of Sapphire Substrates," *Langmuir*, **20**, 4101 018 (2004).
- ²³M. Kosmulski, "pH-Dependent Surface Charging and Points of Zero Charge III. Update," *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **298**, 730 41 (2006).
- ²⁴P. Reynders, "Titanium Dioxide: Precipitation and Crystal Growth"; unpublished results, 1996.
- ²⁵B. Ollivier, R. Retoux, P. Lacorre, D. Massiot, and G. Férey, "Crystal Structure of κ -Alumina: An X-ray Powder Diffraction, TEM and NMR Study," *J. Mater. Chem.*, **7**, 1049 56. □