Acoustic and inertial modes in planetary-like rotating ellipsoids

Jérémie Vidal, David Cébron

► To cite this version:

Jérémie Vidal, David Cébron. Acoustic and inertial modes in planetary-like rotating ellipsoids. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 2020, 476 (2239), pp.20200131. 10.1098/rspa.2020.0131 . hal-02972253

HAL Id: hal-02972253

<https://hal.science/hal-02972253>

Submitted on 30 Oct 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Article submitted to journal.

Received: 11 March 2020

Accepted: 5 June 2020

Subject Areas:

geophysics, wave motion, fluid mechanics

Keywords:

rotating flows, compressibility, inertial modes, triaxial ellipsoid, planets

Author for correspondence:

Jérémie Vidal

e-mail: vidalje63@gmail.com

Electronic supplementary material is available online.

Acoustic and inertial modes in planetary-like rotating ellipsoids

Jérémie Vidal¹ and David Cébron²

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

²Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, ISTERRE, France

JV, [0000-0002-3654-6633](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3654-6633)

The bounded oscillations of rotating fluid-filled ellipsoids can provide physical insight into the flow dynamics of deformed planetary interiors. The inertial modes, sustained by the Coriolis force, are ubiquitous in rapidly rotating fluids and Vantieghem (2014, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **470**, 20140093, [doi:10.1098/rspa.2014.0093](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2014.0093)) pioneered a method to compute them in incompressible fluid ellipsoids. Yet, taking density (and pressure) variations into account is required for accurate planetary applications, which has hitherto been largely overlooked in ellipsoidal models. To go beyond the incompressible theory, we present a Galerkin method in rigid coreless ellipsoids, based on a global polynomial description. We apply the method to investigate the normal modes of fully compressible, rotating and diffusionless fluids. We consider an idealized model, which fairly reproduces the density variations in the Earth's liquid core and Jupiter-like gaseous planets. We successfully benchmark the results against standard finite-element computations. Notably, we find that the quasi-geostrophic inertial modes can be significantly modified by compressibility, even in moderately compressible interiors. Finally, we discuss the use of the normal modes to build reduced dynamical models of planetary flows.

1. Introduction

Modelling the flow dynamics of rapidly rotating planetary interiors is a very challenging task in fluid mechanics. Physical insight can be gained from direct numerical simulations (DNS) but, despite many computational improvements, even the most demanding DNS [1,2] operate for parameters far from the planetary range. Thus, our physical understanding of rotating planetary flows remains largely incomplete.

Using model reduction can fortunately provide a complementary knowledge. The theory consists in reducing the primitive equations into a lower-dimensional (dynamical) problem. Several data-driven methods have been developed in fluid mechanics (e.g. the dynamic mode decomposition), but physically based approaches can be also employed. For instance, insightful asymptotic models have been developed in spheres to study rapidly rotating convection [3] or dynamo magnetic fields [4,5]. More generally, the normal modes could be used to incorporate the key characteristics of the system [6,7, for planetary flows].

A striking feature of rapidly rotating fluids is the ubiquitous presence of inertial modes, which are sustained by the Coriolis force and have been mainly studied for incompressible fluids [8]. They are governed by a hyperbolic operator, leading to an ill-posed problem when associated with boundary conditions [9]. Pathological modes were soon conjectured [10], and indeed found in spherical shells [11,12]. However, the inviscid incompressible modes are smooth in full spheres and ellipsoids [13,14], and have been even used to initiate a consistent model of rapidly rotating flows [15]. The validity of such a model remains elusive for compressible planetary interiors, subject to density stratification, and the fully compressible inertial modes have received scant theoretical consideration so far [16–18]. For simplicity, we could use sound-proof formulations of the compressible equations (e.g. the anelastic approximation), but their applicability is still debated [19–21]. Thus, an unambiguous fully compressible theory of the inertial modes in the presence of planetary-like density (and pressure) variations is desirable.

Another limitation in planetary models is to consider (weakly) deformed spherical geometries. Planets are indeed rather ellipsoidal at leading order, for instance due to centrifugal effects [22], orbital forcings [23], or mantle convection [24]. Even if the boundary deformation is often small, it can be responsible for important physical mechanisms. Flow instabilities that involve triadic interactions of inertial modes in ellipsoidal domains can sustain large-scale magnetic fields [25–27], contrary to single inertial modes [28]. The ellipsoid is thus worth considering (as a first step), but solving the problem is hampered by the mathematical complexity of the geometry [29]. Faced with this difficulty, near-spherical boundaries could be described using perturbation theory (e.g. [30] for incompressible fluids) or non-orthogonal mappings of the coordinates (e.g. [31,32] for axisymmetric compressible bodies), but numerical convergence could be difficult to achieve with low-dimensional models (e.g. [33] for the spherical inertial modes).

Consequently, the next theoretical step is to find a suitable mathematical description of the planetary compressible modes in the coreless ellipsoid. The compressible modes are indeed expected to be regular in the latter geometry, to agree with the anelastic (inertial) modes [34–36] or the non-rotating acoustic modes in thermally stratified spheres [37]. In the incompressible regime, Vantieghem [38] pioneered a groundbreaking method to compute the inertial modes in rigid ellipsoids, based on global polynomial elements in the Cartesian coordinates. Vidal *et al.* [39] recently followed the same path, and devised an admissible polynomial description of uniform-density compressible flows in rigid ellipsoids. Although polynomial descriptions may appear effective only when the problem is relatively simple, they can compensate for this by providing better physical insight into the problem. Moreover, polynomial methods in ellipsoids are not restricted in practice to uniform-density fluids, as pointed out by Chandrasekhar in his seminal monograph [40, see the epilogue].

The present study generalizes the theoretical works on incompressible [38] and uniform-density compressible fluids [39], to take density (and pressure) variations into account within an idealised ellipsoidal model of fully compressible (heterogeneous) planetary interiors. Beyond the theoretical motivation, such a model could be used as a preparatory step to benchmark (or develop) more complicated models of planets. The paper is organized as follows. We describe the compressible model in §2, and we present the polynomial method in §3. Numerical results are presented in §4, and some planetary implications are discussed in §5. We end the paper with concluding remarks in §6.

2. Formulation of the problem

(a) Linearized compressible equations

Throughout the paper, we employ either dimensional or dimensionless variables. For clarity, we denote the dimensional variables that admit dimensionless counterparts with the superscript $*$. We consider a fluid-filled (triaxial) ellipsoid of arbitrary semi-axes $[a, b, c]$ and volume V . The ellipsoidal cavity is co-rotating with the fluid at the angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^* = \Omega_s \mathbf{1}_z$, where $\mathbf{1}_z$ is the unit vector along the z -axis. In the following, we work exclusively in the co-rotating frame, where the ellipsoidal boundary ∂V is stationary. We employ the Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) , and introduce the position vector $\mathbf{r}^*$. In the rotating frame, the ellipsoidal boundary ∂V is described by the Cartesian equation $F(x, y, z) = 1$ with the shape function $F(x, y, z) = (x/a)^2 + (y/b)^2 + (z/c)^2$. We expand the velocity, the density and the pressure as small perturbations $[\mathbf{u}_1^*, \rho_1^*, p_1^*]$ around a steady and motionless background state, and we neglect the perturbation of the gravitational potential for simplicity (Cowling approximation [41]). The reference state is characterized by the background density $\rho_0^*(\mathbf{r}^*)$, the background pressure $P_0^*(\mathbf{r}^*)$, the adiabatic speed of sound $C_0^*(\mathbf{r}^*)$, and the gravity field $\mathbf{g}^*(\mathbf{r}^*)$.

Small viscous effects are expected rapidly rotating interiors, as measured by the Ekman number $Ek = \nu/(\Omega_s a^2)$ where ν is the (laminar) kinematic viscosity. The wave dynamics we are considering operates on time scales much shorter than the spin-up time $Ek^{-1/2} \Omega_s^{-1}$ [8], or the viscous time $(Ek \Omega_s)^{-1}$. Indeed, typical planetary values are $Ek \sim 10^{-13}$ in liquid cores of Galilean moons [42], $Ek \sim 10^{-15}$ in the Earth's liquid core, and $Ek \sim 10^{-18} - 10^{-16}$ for Jovian planets. On short time scales, viscosity is mainly responsible for small viscous damping of the modes (due to viscous effects at the boundary [43,44]), and the inviscid modes could be even excited in the bulk in the presence of non-vanishing viscosity (by analogy with the incompressible modes [45]). Since viscous effects appear negligible at leading order, we focus on non-viscous fluids. Similarly, we neglect thermal diffusion and consider isentropic perturbations.

The diffusionless perturbations are thus given by the linearized compressible equations

$$\rho_0^* \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1^*}{\partial t^*} + 2 \boldsymbol{\Omega}^* \times \mathbf{u}_1^* \right) = -\nabla p_1^* + \rho_1^* (\mathbf{g}^* + \mathbf{g}_c^*), \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1^*}{\partial t^*} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_0^* \mathbf{u}_1^*) = 0, \quad (2.1b)$$

with the centrifugal gravity $\mathbf{g}_c^*$. For isentropic perturbations, the entropy equation reduces to [46, see equation (43)]

$$\frac{\partial p_1^*}{\partial t^*} + (\mathbf{u}_1^* \cdot \nabla) P_0^* = C_0^{*2} \left(\frac{\partial \rho_1^*}{\partial t^*} + (\mathbf{u}_1^* \cdot \nabla) \rho_0^* \right) = -\rho_0^* C_0^{*2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_1^*, \quad (2.2)$$

where we have used mass equation (2.1b) in the last equality. Finally, equations (2.1) are supplemented with boundary conditions for the velocity. Motivated by planetary liquid cores that are surrounded by solid mantles, we consider an impenetrable (rigid) stationary boundary on which the velocity field satisfies the non-penetration condition $\mathbf{u}_1^* \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0$, where $\mathbf{1}_n$ is the unit vector normal to the boundary. The theory does not require any additional boundary conditions in the diffusionless regime. Note that a free-surface boundary condition would be more appropriate for gas giants (or stellar envelopes). However, free-surface effects are expected to be of second-order importance for the interior motions (at least for the incompressible modes [47], but it is still disputed with compressibility [48]), such that impenetrable boundaries are often considered in numerical models of fully compressible spherical convection [49,50].

(b) Planetary range of parameters

We will mainly employ dimensionless units (for numerical convenience), writing the dimensionless variables without the superscript $*$. We choose the semi-axis a as length scale, the

Table 1. Planetary parameters. Equatorial ellipticity $\beta = |a^2 - b^2|/(a^2 + b^2)$. Polar flattening $\epsilon = 1 - (c/a)$. Density contrast $H_\rho = -\log(1 - \alpha)$. Rotational Mach number $M_\Omega = a\Omega_s/C_c$. References: [22,42,51,52].

	β	ϵ	H_ρ	α	M_Ω
Planet's core (Earth)	$\leq 10^{-3}$	2.5×10^{-4}	2.3×10^{-1}	2.1×10^{-1}	0.02
Moon's core (Ganymede)	3.7×10^{-4}	5×10^{-4}	3.0×10^{-2}	2.9×10^{-2}	10^{-3}
Gas giant (Jupiter)	1.6×10^{-7}	6.3×10^{-2}	4.6	9.9×10^{-1}	0.3

central speed of sound C_c (i.e. at $x = y = z = 0$) as velocity scale, a/C_c as time scale, the central density ρ_c as density scale, $\rho_c C_c^2$ as pressure scale and C_c^2/a as gravity scale. Typical planetary estimates are summarized in table 1.

The departure from the spherical geometry is measured by the equatorial ellipticity $\beta = |a^2 - b^2|/(a^2 + b^2)$, and the polar flattening $\epsilon = 1 - (c/a)$. The equatorial deformation of planetary liquid cores is small, typically $10^{-7} \leq \beta \leq 10^{-3}$ in the Earth [53]. So, we expect the equatorial ellipticity to have small effects on the modal frequencies (as reported in uniform-density ellipsoids [38]), but small ellipticity effects can be important to favour couplings between the normal modes (this is beyond the scope of the study). The polar flattening is usually much larger, especially in rapidly rotating gas giants. Centrifugal effects cause indeed strong departures from sphericity, with for instance $\epsilon \simeq 0.06$ in Jupiter [22].

Independently of the centrifugal deformation, we introduce the dimensionless rotational Mach number $M_\Omega = a\Omega_s/C_c$, which compares the rotational and sonic time scales. Rotating planets are characterized by moderately small values of M_Ω , typically $M_\Omega \sim 0.02 - 0.03$ in the Earth's liquid core [51], but gas giants have larger values (e.g. $M_\Omega \sim 0.3$ for Jupiter [22]).

Planetary interiors are also characterized by the density contrast $H_\rho = \log(\rho_c/\rho_b)$ between the centre and the outer boundary, where ρ_b is the density at the outer boundary. Typical values are $H_\rho = \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ in the liquid cores of Galilean moons [52], $H_\rho = \mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$ in planetary liquid cores [51], and $H_\rho > 1$ in the interiors of Jovian planets (excluding the outermost atmospheres).

(c) Reference state

We describe the background reference state $[\rho_0^*, P_0^*, C_0^*, \mathbf{g}^*]$. Planetary fluid interiors are often convectively unstable but usually with a low degree of super-adiabaticity, and so we assume neutrally buoyant (isentropic) interiors at leading order. The reference state is thus given by the hydrostatic equilibrium and the isentropic equation of state

$$\nabla P_0^* = \rho_0^* (\mathbf{g}^* + \mathbf{g}_c^*), \quad C_0^{*2} \nabla \rho_0^* = \rho_0^* (\mathbf{g}^* + \mathbf{g}_c^*). \quad (2.3a,b)$$

The gravity $\mathbf{g}^*$ has the typical amplitude C_c^2/a , whereas the centrifugal gravity $\mathbf{g}_c^* = -\boldsymbol{\Omega}^* \times (\boldsymbol{\Omega}^* \times \mathbf{r}^*)$ has the typical magnitude $\Omega_s^2 a$. The centrifugal gravity is smaller than $\mathbf{g}^*$ as long as $M_\Omega^2 \ll 1$ in dimensionless units, as often found in planetary interiors (table 1). Since we aim to model arbitrary ellipsoidal boundaries, we discard the centrifugal gravity in (2.3). This corresponds to the most general situation, where the boundary can be deformed by mechanisms that are independent of the rotation rate of the fluid (e.g. tides or mantle convection). Moreover, it will allow us to disentangle carefully the effects of rotation and ellipticity on the modes (contrary to [31] for the acoustic modes). Yet, centrifugally distorted boundaries [40] can still be modelled by considering the appropriate ellipsoidal axes.

For mathematical simplicity, we also seek an idealized model consistent with (2.3) that continuously varies from Earth-like to Jovian models. Planetary liquid cores have indeed non-vanishing density and pressure fields on the boundary (contrary to gas giants). Hence, we consider density and pressure profiles in the form

$$\rho_0^* = \rho_c [1 - \alpha F] \quad \text{and} \quad P_0^* = P_c [1 - \alpha F]^2, \quad (2.4a,b)$$

Figure 1. Dimensionless density profiles (solid red lines), as a function of the dimensionless radius r for a spherical model. Open circles: Earth's liquid core [51]. Dashed curve: leading-order Jupiter's profile [54]. (Online version in colour.)

with the central density ρ_c , the central pressure P_c , and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ an adjustable parameter. The latter coefficient allows us to continuously describe planetary liquid cores (with a non-zero density on the boundary when $\alpha \neq 1$) and Jovian planets (for which $\rho_0 \rightarrow 0$ at the boundary, i.e. $\alpha \rightarrow 1$), whereas the problem reduces to uniform-density compressible fluids with $\alpha = 0$ [39]. Moreover, the definition of the density contrast translates into $H_\rho = -\log(1 - \alpha)$ with this model, and the planetary values for α are given in table 1. Then, hydrostatic equilibrium (2.3) gives the gravity field and the speed of sound

$$\mathbf{g}^* = -\alpha C_c^2 \nabla F = -2\alpha C_c^2 \left(\frac{x}{a^2} \mathbf{1}_x + \frac{y}{b^2} \mathbf{1}_y + \frac{z}{c^2} \mathbf{1}_z \right), \quad (2.5a)$$

$$C_0^* = C_c \sqrt{1 - \alpha F}, \quad (2.5b)$$

with $C_c = \sqrt{2P_c/\rho_c}$ the speed of sound at the centre, and $[\mathbf{1}_x, \mathbf{1}_y, \mathbf{1}_z]$ the unit Cartesian vectors. More realistic polynomial solutions of (2.3) could be considered, see the electronic supplementary material.

Profiles (2.4) to (2.5b) are mathematically simple, but are at the same time reasonably realistic at leading order for planetary interiors. They decrease monotonically with the radial-like distance $F^{1/2}$, and have constant density-pressure values on each ellipsoidal surface (in agreement with the theory of compressible figures of equilibrium [55]). Moreover, profiles (2.4a) fairly accommodate the expected density variations of planetary interiors, as shown in figure 1. We have superimposed realistic profiles for the Earth's liquid core [51] and Jupiter [54]. A very good agreement is found for the Earth-like model. Likewise, profile (2.4a) with $\alpha = 1$ does not deviate by more than a few percent from the leading-order component of a realistic Jovian profile. The background state is further discussed in the electronic supplementary material.

(d) Dimensionless wave-like equation

To simplify the mathematical analysis, we combine equations (2.1) to obtain a master wave-like equation for the (Lagrangian) displacement vector $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1$, defined by [40]

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \partial \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 / \partial t, \quad p_1 = -\rho_0 C_0^2 \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 - (\boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \cdot \nabla) P_0, \quad \rho_1 = -\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1). \quad (2.6a-c)$$

Momentum equation (2.1a) can be now expressed solely as a function of ξ_1 such that

$$\rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi_1}{\partial t^2} + 2 M_\Omega \mathbf{1}_z \times \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial t} \right) = \nabla \left[\rho_0 C_0^2 \nabla \cdot \xi_1 + (\xi_1 \cdot \nabla) P_0 \right] - \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \xi_1) \mathbf{g}, \quad (2.7)$$

with $\mathbf{g} = (a/C_c^2) \mathbf{g}^*$. We have neglected the centrifugal gravity in (2.7) because the corresponding buoyancy term, of dimensionless amplitude M_Ω^2 , is smaller than the other terms in the planetary range $M_\Omega \leq 1$. Then, we simplify the right-hand side of (2.7) to obtain (after little algebra)

$$\rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi_1}{\partial t^2} + 2 M_\Omega \mathbf{1}_z \times \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial t} \right) = \nabla \left[C_0^2 \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \xi_1) \right] - \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \xi_1) \mathbf{g} \quad (2.8)$$

by virtue of hydrostatic equilibrium (2.3). Equations (2.7)-(2.8) are the governing equations of the rotating compressible modes in the diffusionless theory (for any isentropic reference states). They are supplemented here with the non-penetration condition $\xi_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0$ on the rigid boundary.

3. Quadratic eigenvalue problem

(a) Infinite-dimensional formulation

We seek diffusionless modal solutions upon our isentropic reference state as

$$\xi_1(\mathbf{r}, t) = \zeta(\mathbf{r}) \exp(\lambda t), \quad \zeta \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V, \quad (3.1)$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the eigenvalue and $\zeta(\mathbf{r})$ the complex-valued spatial dependence. We substitute expansion (3.1) into wave-like equation (2.8) to get the quadratic eigenvalue problem (QEP)

$$\lambda^2 \zeta + \lambda \mathcal{C}(\zeta) + \mathcal{K}(\zeta) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (3.2)$$

with the two linear operators

$$\mathcal{C}(\zeta) = 2 M_\Omega \mathbf{1}_z \times \zeta, \quad \rho_0 \mathcal{K}(\zeta) = -\nabla \left[C_0^2 \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \zeta) \right] + \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \zeta) \mathbf{g}. \quad (3.3a,b)$$

To determine the symmetries of the problem, we define the weighted inner product between two complex-valued vector fields $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle_{\rho_0} = \int_V \rho_0 \mathbf{a}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{b} \, dV, \quad (3.4)$$

where † denotes the complex conjugate (as in [38]). The Coriolis operator $\mathcal{C}$ is skew-adjoint with respect to (3.4) [56], whereas the elastic-gravitational operator $\mathcal{K}$ is self-adjoint [57].

From the symmetries of the operators, if $[\lambda, \zeta]$ is a solution of QEP (3.2), then $[\lambda^\dagger, \zeta^\dagger]$ is also a solution [56]. Moreover, QEP (3.2) can possess unstable modes (with $\Re_e(\lambda) \geq 0$) only if some modal solutions are unstable when $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{0}$ [58, see section II]. Since our background reference state is neutrally stable, diffusionless and motionless, we conclude that the (diffusionless) modes with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{0}$ are stable. Thus, the QEP admits only stable eigenvalues, and we denote $\Im_m(\lambda) = \omega$ the real-valued angular frequency in the following.

(b) Spectral decomposition

We consider solutions with finite kinetic energies $\langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle_{\rho_0} < \infty$, and seek a spectral decomposition in rigid ellipsoids to achieve numerical convergence in the presence of density (and pressure) variations. We start with the general weighted Helmholtz decomposition

$$\zeta = (1/\rho_0) \nabla \times \Psi + \nabla \Phi, \quad \zeta \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V, \quad (3.5)$$

which is restricted here to displacements satisfying the non-penetration boundary condition (contrary to [59]). The vector space of the solutions is divided into two sub-spaces that are

mutually orthogonal since, with respect to inner product (3.4), we have

$$\langle (1/\rho_0) \nabla \times \Psi, \nabla \Phi \rangle_{\rho_0} = \int_V (\nabla \times \Psi^\dagger) \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dV = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

The weighted Helmholtz decomposition has proven valuable to determine the invariant subspaces of the normal modes [60]. Yet, despite its theoretical advantages, decomposition (3.5) must be tailored to the background configuration (because of its dependence on ρ_0). This could be awkward for the numerical analysis (in order to survey the parameter space).

We describe instead $\rho_0 \zeta$ with the Helmholtz decomposition in rigid ellipsoids

$$\rho_0 \zeta = \nabla \times \hat{\Psi} + \nabla \hat{\Phi}, \quad \zeta \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V, \quad (3.7)$$

which is actually equivalent to the weighted decomposition (see appendix A). Decomposition (3.7) involves the two sub-spaces

$$\mathcal{V} : \{e = \nabla \times \hat{\Psi}, \quad e \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W} : \{e = \nabla \hat{\Phi}, \quad e \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V\}, \quad (3.8a,b)$$

which are not mutually orthogonal with respect to (3.4). Nevertheless, spectral decomposition (3.7) is often preferable for numerical computations because spaces (3.8) do not depend on ρ_0 , contrary to the orthogonal spaces in decomposition (3.5).

(c) Finite-dimensional approximation

The solutions of QEP (3.2) can be accurately obtained as follows. We introduce the finite-dimensional form of sub-spaces (3.8), denoted $\mathcal{V}[n \geq 1]$ and $\mathcal{W}[n \geq 2]$. They are spanned by vectors with components made of Cartesian monomials $x^i y^j z^k$ with $i + j + k \leq n$. Their dimensions are [39] $\dim \mathcal{V}[n \geq 1] = n(n+1)(2n+7)/6$ and $\dim \mathcal{W}[n \geq 2] = n(n+1)(n+2)/6 - 1$. Then, we seek polynomial expansions of the momentum $\rho_0 \zeta$ in the form

$$\rho_0 \zeta(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots)^T$ is the (complex-valued) state vector and $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$ the (real-valued) polynomial vector elements in $\mathcal{V}[n \geq 1]$ and $\mathcal{W}[n \geq 2]$. To cover all the admissible polynomial elements, the sum in expansion (3.9) goes over indices up to $N = \dim \mathcal{V}[n \geq 1] + \dim \mathcal{W}[n \geq 2]$. The generation of the basis elements is explained in appendix A. The displacement ζ obtained from any polynomial expansions of $\rho_0 \zeta$ diverges when ρ_0 vanishes on the boundary (see the electronic supplementary material), so we do not consider the situation $\alpha = 1$ in the following.

We obtain the governing equations for the state vector γ by using the method of weighted residuals. We substitute truncated expansion (3.9) in QEP (3.2) and we project, with respect to inner product (3.4), the resulting equations onto every basis element $\mathbf{e}_i$ to numerically minimize the residual terms (Galerkin method). The integral projections give the finite-dimensional QEP

$$[\lambda^2 \mathbf{M} + \lambda \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}] \gamma = \mathbf{0}, \quad (3.10)$$

with the eigenvalue-eigenvector pair $[\lambda, \gamma]$. The three matrices $[\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}]$ in QEP (3.10) are real-valued (because the basis elements are real polynomials), and with the non-zero elements

$$M_{ij} = \int_V \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j \, dV, \quad C_{ij} = \int_V \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e}_j) \, dV, \quad K_{ij} = \int_V \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \rho_0 \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{e}_j) \, dV. \quad (3.11a-c)$$

The matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is positive definite, and $\mathbf{C}$ is skew-Hermitian. Moreover, since expressions (3.11) only involve Cartesian monomials, they can be evaluated analytically [59, see formula (50)].

We have modified the numerical code SHINE, initiated in Vidal *et al.* [39], to implement the aforementioned spectral algorithm. To reduce the condition number of the matrices, which affects the numerical accuracy, we normalise the basis elements such that $M_{ii} = 1$ in (3.11a). Then, we

Figure 2. Non-zero entries of the sparse matrices $[B, A]$ in GEP (3.12). Symbolic computations with $\alpha = 0.205$ (Earth-like model) at polynomial degree $n = 15$ with the dimension $N = 2159$. Red (dashed) lines show the block structure. (Online version in colour.)

convert QEP (3.10) into the generalized eigenvalue problem (GEP) of double size $2N$

$$\lambda \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}}_B \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \lambda\gamma \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -K & -C \end{pmatrix}}_A \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \lambda\gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.12)$$

with I the identity matrix and $[A, B]$ two matrices. GEP (3.12) has formally $2N$ eigenvalues (possibly degenerate), although we have N unknowns in expansion (3.9). Yet, by analogy with the infinite-dimensional configuration, there are only N distinct solutions because the real-valued eigenfrequencies come in pairs $[\omega, -\omega]$ [61]. The matrix structure of GEP (3.12) is shown in figure 2. The matrix B is positive-definite but not diagonal, since the basis elements are not orthogonal. Finally, we truncate the polynomial expansion at $n \leq 20$ and use double-precision arithmetic.

4. Numerical results

We can now investigate the numerical properties of the normal modes in isentropic interiors. Based on the discussion in §2(b), where we have estimated the amplitude of the various effects, we only consider isentropic profiles for spheroidal geometries (i.e. $a = b \geq c$), and explore the planetary values $M_\Omega \ll 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We have two families of modes in the rotating regime [57]. The highest-frequency family represents the acoustic modes, which are known to be weakly sensitive to global rotation (see below). The other family is made of the inertial modes (when $M_\Omega \leq 10^{-1}$), which are sustained by the Coriolis force and belong to the frequency range $|\omega| < 2M_\Omega$. We describe the main properties of the different modes in the next subsections.

(a) Acoustic modes

The acoustic modes correspond to the discrete part of the spectrum [57], which is made up of all the eigenvalues of finite multiplicity that are isolated in the spectrum. This guarantees that, in considering high enough polynomial degrees n , there are no spurious modes (any polynomial solutions will converge towards the proper acoustic modes). We set $n = 20$ in the following, to get an excellent convergence of the acoustic modes of interest.

Figure 3. Angular frequency ω of non-rotating acoustic modes, as a function of α in spheres with $n = 20$. (a) Black points: polynomial solutions. Green dashed line: asymptotic scaling (4.1), where the prefactor has been fixed to match the highest-frequency acoustic branch. (b) Red curves: polynomial solutions in the frequency range $1 \leq \omega \leq 10$. Blue points: finite-element solutions of equation (4.3). Inset shows accidental degeneracy for $3 \times 10^{-2} \leq \alpha \leq 4 \times 10^{-2}$. (Online version in colour.)

(i) Non-rotating regime

We start with the non-rotating regime. The shorter is the wavelength of an acoustic mode, the higher is its frequency, such that the latter is generally well approximated by short-wavelength theory $|\omega| \simeq C_0 ||\mathbf{k}||$ for the highest-frequency modes (with $\mathbf{k}$ the local wave vector). Given profile (2.5b) for the isentropic speed of sound, the highest-frequency acoustic modes should thus obey the asymptotic scaling

$$\omega \propto \sqrt{1 - \alpha}, \quad (4.1)$$

which indicates that the frequency of the acoustic modes should decrease with compressibility. We show in figure 3 the spectrum of the non-rotating modes in the sphere, and find indeed a very good agreement with scaling (4.1) in the high-frequency regime (figure 3a).

To get a more quantitative benchmark, we compute the non-rotating acoustic modes with another numerical method. We recast (2.7) as [62, see equation (4)]

$$\lambda (\lambda \zeta + 2M_\Omega \mathbf{1}_z \times \zeta) = \nabla \left[C_0^2 \nabla \cdot \zeta + \zeta \cdot \mathbf{g} \right] + C_0^2 (\nabla \cdot \zeta) \mathbf{S}_0, \quad (4.2)$$

with the (vectorial) Schwarzschild discriminant $\mathbf{S}_0 = (1/\rho_0) \nabla \rho_0 - \mathbf{g}/C_0^2$ [63]. As explained in appendix A, the non-rotating acoustic modes are exactly described in isentropic interiors (with $\mathbf{S}_0 = \mathbf{0}$) by the potential term in decomposition (3.5). We thus seek $\zeta = \nabla \Phi$, and equation (4.2) reduces to the scalar Helmholtz-like equation

$$\lambda^2 \Phi = C_0^2 \nabla^2 \Phi + (\nabla \Phi) \cdot \mathbf{g}, \quad \nabla \Phi \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V. \quad (4.3)$$

We solve equation (4.3) with standard finite-element computations, performed with the commercial software COMSOL. We use the built-in acoustic solver, in which the additional term $(\nabla \Phi) \cdot \mathbf{g}$ is modelled through a source term. The ellipsoidal domain is discretised with an unstructured mesh made of tetrahedral Lagrange elements. We have used cubic Lagrange elements (P3) for Φ , with a total number of 242 865 degrees of freedom. We superimpose the COMSOL computations onto the polynomial solutions of the full QEP in figure 3b. We obtain an excellent quantitative agreement, even if the governing equations and numerical methods are very different. This successfully validates the accuracy of the polynomial description.

Figure 4. Angular frequency, as a function of (a) $\epsilon = 1 - c/a$ in non-rotating spheroids and (b) M_Ω in spheres, for $\alpha = 0.205$. Solid (red) curves: polynomial solutions with $n = 20$. Dashed (black) curves: linear fits estimated from polynomial values in the range $\epsilon \leq 10^{-2}$ (a) and $M_\Omega \leq 10^{-2}$ (b), as predicted by first-order perturbation theories for the non-rotating sphere. Acoustic modes with the spherical harmonic degree $l = 2$ (bottom panel), and $l = 3$ (top panel). Blue points: finite-element solutions of equation (4.3). (Online version in colour.)

In non-rotating and uniform-density spheres, the acoustic modes with different azimuthal wave numbers m , but with the same latitudinal structures, have the same angular frequency (degeneracy). This is a consequence of the assumed spherical symmetry of the background state, which is independent of the chosen polar axis. We do obtain these degeneracies in figure 3, where the corresponding modes are indeed superimposed. Moreover, we uncover new occurrences of accidental degeneracy for modes with different spatial structures when $\alpha \neq 0$. Degeneracy is clearly observed for high-frequency modes when $\alpha \geq 10^{-1}$, so firmly in the planetary range of values (table 1), but some lower-frequency modes are also affected (see inset in figure 3b, for $3 \times 10^{-2} \leq \alpha \leq 4 \times 10^{-2}$).

Next, we quantify in figure 4a the flattening effects for a few large-scale acoustic modes. It is known that a first-order perturbation treatment is generally inaccurate to predict the angular frequency of the acoustic modes in strongly flattened bodies [31,39]. Indeed, second-order corrections in ϵ^2 can become non-negligible when $\epsilon \gtrsim 10^{-1}$ (e.g. for the upper branch in the top panel), which are realistic values for rapidly rotating planets (table 1) or experiments [64].

(ii) Coriolis effects

We reintroduce global rotation by setting $M_\Omega \neq 0$. The acoustic modes can no longer be sought with equation (4.3), such that only QEP (3.2) can be solved. The acoustic spectrum is illustrated in figure 4b with $\alpha = 0.205$. Similar behaviours are found for other values of α , and so the results are omitted for the sake of concision. The Coriolis force has measurable effects on the acoustic modes when $M_\Omega \geq 10^{-2}$, lifting the degeneracy of the non-rotating spherical modes. The non-rotating (degenerate) modes, characterized by the spherical harmonic degree l , split into $2l + 1$ modes as observed here. However, the short-wavelength acoustic modes are less affected by the Coriolis force than the large-scale modes [31]. Thus, the Coriolis splitting is generally smaller in amplitude for the high-frequency acoustic modes than for the low-frequency ones (not shown).

Figure 5. (a) Angular frequency, as a function M_Ω , in the spheroid with $\epsilon = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0.98$. Solid (red) curves: polynomial solutions with $n = 20$. Black curves: linear (dashed) and quadratic (thin, solid) predictions, estimated from polynomial values in the range $10^{-3} \leq M_\Omega \leq 10^{-2}$, as given by perturbation theory for the non-rotating spheroid. The inertial modes in the range $|\omega| < 2M_\Omega$ (coloured region) have been removed for clarity. (b) Equatorial slice of density perturbation $\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \zeta)$ for the mode $\omega \simeq 1.949$ in the rotating spheroid with $M_\Omega = 10^{-1}$, $\epsilon = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0.98$.

The Coriolis splitting is often modelled by first-order perturbation theory [65]. We have superimposed in figure 4b the linear fits in M_Ω , which would be given by such a theory. Actually, the linear predictions reproduce fairly the (non-perturbative) polynomial computations in the range $M_\Omega \ll 10^{-1}$. However, rapidly rotating gas giants are characterized by much larger values of M_Ω (table 1). This situation also occurs in the experimental context, where larger values $M_\Omega \sim 10^{-1}$ can be achieved [64]. Moreover, global rotation can also strongly flatten the fluid boundary due to centrifugal effects in the planetary context [22], but treating separately ellipticity and Coriolis effects has not proven accurate enough (e.g. for experimental conditions [39,64]). Therefore, ellipticity and Coriolis effects should be modelled together. We show in figure 5a the results in the rotating spheroid, considering a Jupiter-like model with $\epsilon = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0.98$. We have superimposed the linear predictions $\omega \simeq a_0 + a_1 M_\Omega$ (dashed curves) for the non-rotating spheroid. The linear estimates strongly depart from the non-perturbative polynomial solutions for the lowest-frequency acoustic modes in the range $M_\Omega \gtrsim 10^{-1}$. This clearly shows that first-order perturbation theory is inaccurate to model the Coriolis effects for rapidly rotating Jupiter-like models, but a second-order theory $\omega \simeq a_0 + a_1 M_\Omega + a_2 M_\Omega^2$ for the non-rotating spheroid (thin solid lines in figure 5a) appears sufficient for all the acoustic modes in the planetary range.

Finally, we illustrate in figure 5b the spatial structure of an acoustic mode in the rotating spheroid ($M_\Omega = 10^{-1}$, $\epsilon = 0.1$) with $\alpha = 0.98$. The acoustic modes can keep large-scale structures in the bulk (at least for the lowest-frequency ones), even in strongly compressible interiors.

(b) Inertial modes

We now focus on the inertial modes, which belong to the frequency range $|\omega| < 2M_\Omega$ when $M_\Omega \ll 1$ [57]. The inertial modes are only known to be exact polynomials in uniform-density fluid-filled ellipsoids [13,14]. Hence, we have only considered compressible solutions that clearly exhibit numerical convergence, as shown for instance by the absence of any significant changes on the frequency between the degrees $n = 17$ and $n = 20$ in figure 6a. Their spatial spectra are also well

Figure 6. Convergence of inertial modes in the sphere with $M_\Omega = 10^{-2}$. (a) Normalized angular frequency $\omega/(2M_\Omega)$, as a function of $\alpha < 1$, for a subset of modes. Vertical dashed line shows the Earth-like value $\alpha = 0.205$. (b) Normalized power spectrum (radial average) of ζ , as a function of the spherical harmonic degree l , for the non-toroidal inertial mode shown in figure 9 with $n = 20$. (Online version in colour.)

converged (figure 6b). We first consider the toroidal modes (or r-modes), and then we survey the other (non-toroidal) inertial modes.

(i) Toroidal modes

The r-modes are a subset of inertial modes, made of purely toroidal motions in the incompressible theory (i.e. they do not exhibit any radial motions in the rotating sphere, and similarly in the ellipsoid). Their angular frequency, in rotating incompressible (and anelastic) spheres, is given in compressible units by [35]

$$\frac{|\omega_0|}{M_\Omega} = \frac{2}{m+1}, \quad (4.4)$$

with the azimuthal number $m \geq 1$ measured from the rotation axis. The r-modes are not entirely toroidal in compressible models, such that formula (4.4) is no longer exact when $\alpha \neq 0$ but remains the leading-order term [16].

The quantity $\Delta\omega = |\omega - \omega_0|/|\omega_0|$, measuring the departure from the incompressible solutions ω_0 , is shown in figure 7 for some spherical r-modes. Their frequency is only weakly modified by compressibility (figure 7a), with $\Delta\omega \leq 10^{-5}$ at $M_\Omega = 10^{-2}$, and the variations are smaller when m is increased. The frequency is also slightly modified in compressible interiors when M_Ω is increased, in agreement with the expected scaling $\Delta\omega \propto M_\Omega^2$ at next order [16, see equation (3.14b) in the inertial frame]. The r-modes also survive in the coreless triaxial ellipsoid with our barotropic reference state (not shown), because the isopycnics are self-similar ellipsoidal shells that coincide with the boundary. Hence, we directly infer from the incompressible theory [38] that planetary ellipticity values $\epsilon \ll 1$ are only responsible for small frequency variations (not shown).

Finally, we illustrate in figure 8a the spatial structure of the r-modes in the sphere (with $M_\Omega = 10^{-2}$). We have shown a $m = 3$ mode, but similar results are found for the other r-modes. The r-modes are nearly anelastic (i.e. with $\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \zeta) \simeq 0$, not shown), and so do not produce any significant density perturbations. Their structure is barely modified by compressibility, since a nearly similar structure is found when $\alpha = 0$ (not shown).

Figure 7. Variations $\Delta\omega$ for the r-modes in rotating compressible spheres, as a function of $\alpha < 1$ with $M_\Omega = 10^{-2}$ in (a) and of M_Ω with $\alpha = 0.205$ in (b). Polynomial solutions $n = 20$. Colour bar shows the azimuthal number $2 \leq m \leq 15$. (Online version in colour.)

Figure 8. (a) Kinetic energy (equatorial slice) of spherical r-mode $m = 3$ at $n = 20$ with $M_\Omega = 10^{-2}$ and $\alpha = 0.93$. Colour bar is normalized such that $\max \rho_0 ||\zeta||^2 = 1$. (b) Departure $\Delta\omega$ of angular frequency from incompressible value ω_0 (estimated at $\alpha = 10^{-3}$) for a subset of non-toroidal spherical inertial modes with $n = 20$ (figure 6a), as a function of α with $M_\Omega = 10^{-2}$. Colour bar shows ratio $\omega/(2M_\Omega)$ in logarithmic scale. Vertical dashed line shows the Earth-like value $\alpha = 0.205$. (Online version in colour.)

(ii) Non-toroidal modes

We now outline the key properties of the non-toroidal inertial modes. We show in figure 8b the effects of compressibility on the inertial spectrum, computed at $n = 20$. Compressibility does not significantly modify the incompressible frequencies ω_0 when $\alpha \ll 10^{-1}$, with variations $\Delta\omega = |\omega - \omega_0|/|\omega_0|$ smaller than one percent in figure 8b. When $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, most of the compressible

Figure 9. Kinetic energy $\rho_0 \|\zeta\|^2$ of an equatorially symmetric non-toroidal inertial mode in the sphere with $M = 10^{-2}$. Amplitude is normalised such that $\max \rho_0 \|\zeta\|^2 = 1$. (a) $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ and $\omega/(2M_\Omega) \simeq 0.94818$. (b) $\alpha = 0.8$ and $\omega/(2M_\Omega) \simeq 0.93418$. (Online version in colour.)

values depart by a few percent from the incompressible predictions, as observed for the highest-frequency modes. The meridional structure of the high-frequency inertial modes is also barely modified by compressibility, as illustrated in figure 9 for the kinetic energy. The latter is maximum near the surface, which agrees with incompressible [15,38] and anelastic [34,35] diffusionless predictions, and the structures remain similar to those of an incompressible homogeneous model with $\alpha = 0$ (as previously reported for Earth-like conditions [33]).

However, the lowest-frequency inertial modes are more affected by compressibility. Discrepancies as large as 10% are indeed obtained for $\Delta\omega$ with $\alpha = 0.205$ and $M_\Omega = 10^{-2}$ in figure 8b, that is for Earth-like conditions. The lowest-frequency inertial modes consist of quasi-geostrophic (QG) modes [66], which tend to the geostrophic limit when $\omega \rightarrow 0$. The geostrophic (zero-frequency) modes satisfy in isentropic interiors [67]

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \zeta) = 0, \quad \nabla \times (\mathbf{1}_z \times \zeta) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \zeta \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V. \quad (4.5a-c)$$

The geostrophic modes obey the modified Proudman-Taylor theorem $\partial\zeta/\partial z = (\nabla \cdot \zeta) \mathbf{1}_z$ in isentropic interiors, such that the equatorial geostrophic components are invariant along the axis of rotation (because $\nabla \cdot \zeta \neq 0$). Thus, only the horizontal components $[\zeta_x, \zeta_y]$ of the QG modes could be almost invariant along the axis of rotation. The evolution of the spatial structure of an illustrative QG mode is shown in figure 10, for mildly and strongly compressible models. The energy of this particular mode is maximum in the equatorial region, and actually dominates the energy of the other structures. Thus, we have used a logarithmic scale to be able to observe all the patterns in the bulk. The domain is filled with columnar structures, which exhibit variations along the axis of rotation when compressibility is increased. This is more quantitatively evidenced by using a linear scale in figure 11. The latter shows that the energy $\rho_0 \|\zeta\|^2$ along the QG columns, which is dominated by $\rho_0(\zeta_x^2 + \zeta_y^2)$, is reduced when α is increased (which is in part due to the geometrical factor ρ_0 , not shown). The QG modes are thus modified by compressibility both in frequency and in energy (but the horizontal components of ζ could be weakly modified in the volume).

Figure 10. Quasi-geostrophic inertial mode in the sphere with $M_\Omega = 10^{-2}$. Three-dimensional rendering of the kinetic energy $\rho_0 ||\zeta||^2$. Colour bar is normalised such that $\max \rho_0 ||\zeta||^2 = 1$. (a) $\alpha = 0.205$ and $\omega/(2M_\Omega) \simeq 0.01954$. (b) $\alpha = 0.8$ and $\omega/(2M_\Omega) \simeq 0.03266$. The modes have a different phase in (a) and (b). (Online version in colour.)

Figure 11. Evolution of quasi-geostrophy as a function of compressibility for the mode in figure 10. (a) Meridional slice of figure 10b, but with a linear scale. The one-dimensional (1-D) profiles have been measured along the most energetic QG column, at cylindrical radius $s = 0.25$. (b) Evolution of the normalized kinetic energy $\rho_0 ||\zeta||^2$ (normalised by its maximum value along the 1-D profile), as a function of z for different values of α . (Online version in colour.)

5. Planetary implications

The polynomial method has been used to compute the normal modes, considering here an idealized model of planetary interiors (as a proof-of-concept). Other reference states could be also considered (see the electronic supplementary material), but our results may have already

implications for planetary models. We have notably shown that compressibility does alter the lowest-frequency QG modes, which are for instance often invoked to explain some features of the Earth's core dynamics [53,68]. Thus, it may be preferable to use the compressible modes to get more realistic physical insights into the planetary flow dynamics. To do so, we could consider the dynamical problem

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi_1}{\partial t^2} + \mathcal{C} \left(\frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial t} \right) + \mathcal{K}(\xi_1) = \mathbf{f} + \mathcal{N}, \quad \xi_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V, \quad (5.1)$$

where $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K}]$ are the linear operators given in §3(a), $\mathbf{f}$ represents external forces (e.g. tides [69]), and $\mathcal{N}$ is a coupling operator including linearised advection terms [56] (acting on ξ_1 and its time derivative), as in the presence of large-scale tidally driven flows [23], and possibly nonlinear interactions with a second-order theory for the Lagrangian displacement [46,70]. Then, dynamical problem (5.1) could be converted into a finite-dimensional one in seeking

$$\xi_1(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_j \left[\gamma_j(t) \zeta_j(\mathbf{r}) + \gamma_j^\dagger(t) \zeta_j^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \right], \quad \zeta_j \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V, \quad (5.2)$$

where the sum goes over a subset of complex-valued eigenmodes $\{\zeta_j\}$ of QEP (3.2). Modal expansions have been used in triaxial ellipsoids [71], because expansion (5.2) is exact when an infinite number of incompressible inertial modes is considered [13,14]. Finally, we could circumvent the (daunting) computations to solve problem (5.1) by using the modal symmetries.

Two rotating compressible eigen-pairs $[\omega_1, \zeta_1]$ and $[-\omega_2, \zeta_2^\dagger]$ with $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ satisfy

$$\omega_1^2 \rho_0 \zeta_1 = i\omega_1 \rho_0 \mathcal{C}(\zeta_1) + \rho_0 \mathcal{K}(\zeta_1), \quad \omega_2^2 \rho_0 \zeta_2^\dagger = -i\omega_2 \rho_0 \mathcal{C}(\zeta_2^\dagger) + \rho_0 \mathcal{K}(\zeta_2^\dagger). \quad (5.3a,b)$$

Taking the dot product of the first equality with $\zeta_2^\dagger$ and of the second one with ζ_1 , we obtain the modified orthogonality condition (by virtue of the symmetries of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{K}$)

$$(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \langle \zeta_2, \zeta_1 \rangle_{\rho_0} = \langle \zeta_2, i\mathcal{C}(\zeta_1) \rangle_{\rho_0}. \quad (5.4)$$

Then, we combine (5.4) and (5.3) to obtain another modified orthogonality condition

$$\omega_1 \omega_2 \langle \zeta_2, \zeta_1 \rangle_{\rho_0} = -\langle \zeta_2, \mathcal{K}(\zeta_1) \rangle_{\rho_0}. \quad (5.5)$$

The rotating compressible modes are thus not orthogonal with respect to inner product (3.4), but satisfy the modified orthogonality relations (5.4) and (5.5). The latter conditions are even more general, because they only result from the symmetries of the QEP [58, for finite-dimensional problems]. Note that the orthogonality condition of the non-rotating compressible modes is also recovered from condition (5.4) when $\mathcal{C} = 0$. Therefore, it may be preferable to use the above orthogonality conditions to project the dynamical equations onto a subset of normal modes.

Actually, the reduction of (5.1) onto a finite-dimensional problem using the modified orthogonality conditions is underpinned by the mathematical properties of the operator

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\mathcal{K} & -\mathcal{C} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.6)$$

which appears in the left-hand side of problem (5.1). A rigorous analysis [67, proposition 5] reveals that the zero-frequency modes, so the geostrophic modes in rotating isentropic interiors, are associated with Jordan chains in QEP (3.10). Hence, only modal expansions (5.2) that exclude any geostrophic solutions could be used in association with the modified orthogonality conditions [72]. Including the Jordan-chain modes, which obey different modified orthogonality conditions [73], would severely complicate the mathematical formulation of the reduced problem. Thus, the use of modal expansion (5.2) to solve problem (5.1) does not appear to be of great computational interest in the presence of geostrophic modes.

However, the generation of geostrophic motions is a long-standing issue in the theory of rotating fluids even in the incompressible regime [74]. The observed zonal winds at Jupiter's surface could be also related to deep geostrophic flows [75,76], but different physical scenarios

are highly disputed in light of recent high-precision data [77–79]. To assess the robustness of the deep scenario, the polynomial formulation might be used directly to solve dynamical problem (5.1) without any prior computations of the normal modes (as for incompressible theories [80,81]). This might allow us to circumvent the mathematical difficulty associated with the projection onto the geostrophic modes. Clarifying the usefulness of the polynomial method for that problem deserves future work. Moreover, to investigate the longer-term nonlinear dynamics, we should also reintroduce viscosity to smooth out spurious small scales that could develop over time. A preliminary asymptotic viscous theory is presented in appendix B.

6. Concluding remarks

We have investigated the normal modes in rotating fluid-filled ellipsoids, as an idealized model of isentropic planetary interiors. Since the various sound-proof approximations are still debated, we have rigorously attacked the fully compressible diffusionless problem and considered the coreless ellipsoidal geometry, to avoid any mathematical singularities for zero viscosity (contrary to shells). We have developed a spectral algorithm to sidestep the mathematical complexity of the ellipsoidal coordinates, combining a global polynomial description made of polynomial elements in the Cartesian coordinates [39], applied here to the weighted Helmholtz decomposition, and a Galerkin (projection) method pioneered by Lebovitz [59]. We have used the method to numerically investigate the linear eigenvalue problem, formulated for the Lagrangian displacement. We have thoroughly assessed the accuracy of the polynomial solutions, against targeted finite-element computations (or analytical predictions).

We have first considered the acoustic modes, which are mildly modified by compressibility. We have notably explored the effects of the ellipticity and global rotation, showing that first-order perturbative computations are not accurate enough to predict the modal angular frequencies in rapidly rotating planets (e.g. gas giants, but also for stars [31]). We have then investigated the inertial modes, showing that mainly the low-frequency (quasi-geostrophic) modes are affected by compressibility. We have finally discussed the usefulness of the normal modes to build reduced models of planetary flow dynamics. Modal expansions are certainly appropriate to investigate flow instabilities generated in the presence of large-scale orbitally driven flows, but their practical interest appears unsuitable for describing the generation of geostrophic flows (with dynamical models for the displacement vector).

Several physical issues have remained unaddressed in this work. We have removed the viscosity from the modal problem, but we have presented a preliminary asymptotic theory to reintroduce a posteriori the viscous effects for the stress-free conditions. Yet, the latter conditions may appear too severe for planetary liquid cores, which are surrounded by solid mantles. Indeed, stress-free conditions filter out the Ekman boundary layer that should exist at the core-mantle boundary. Additional viscous effects that affect the inviscid modes in the coreless ellipsoid have also been neglected, such as the eruption of boundary layers at critical latitudes [82]. They are however expected to be more prominent for forced dynamical problems [83], and may even only barely modify the global viscous damping of the modes (as found in the incompressible case [84]). Therefore, it may be possible to parametrize the surface viscous damping of the modes (as for the incompressible modes [85–87]). Using ellipsoidal coordinates would be necessary to solve the compressible boundary layer equations (by analogy with compressible spherical computations [43,44]), which is a challenging problem. For gas giants, it might be possible to include self-gravitation for polynomial density profiles [88], and consider non-rigid boundaries [59,89] (but only with a non-zero density on the boundary).

Direct applications of our work include investigating the elliptical instability [90] in fully compressible ellipsoids [91]. We could start with the hydrostatic state we have presented here, and then other hydrostatic states could be considered (for an improved planetary accuracy). We could also investigate the normal modes in stably stratified rotating interiors. The polynomial method is probably not well adapted to compute the highest-frequency (acoustic or gravity) modes, which exhibit localised structures that are already well described by ray theory [92,93].

Yet, it could be used to compute the lowest-frequency inertial-gravity modes [94] that can have larger-scale components [95, in spheres]. Moreover, the inertial-gravity modes are known to be the preferred modes for nonlinear couplings with tidal flows in rotating stratified interiors [96,97], but the Boussinesq results remain to be extended with compressibility. We hope the present study will shed light on the polynomial method in fluid ellipsoids.

Data Accessibility. The paper has [electronic supplementary material](#), including the supporting data for most of the figures. The source code SHINE is released at <https://bitbucket.org/vidalje/shine/>.

Authors' Contributions. This work is an original idea of J.V., who designed the study, developed the mathematical analysis and performed the numerical computations. D.C. conducted the finite-element computations to benchmark the polynomial method. J.V. and D.C. discussed and approved the results presented in the article. J.V. drafted the paper and both authors gave final approval for submission.

Competing Interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding. D.C. has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 847433).

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the two anonymous reviewers for their comments that improved the quality of the original manuscript. J.V. is also grateful to Dr B. Valette for valuable discussions. The spherical harmonic decompositions have been done using the open-source library SHTNS [98]. Figures were produced using matplotlib (<http://matplotlib.org/>) and paraview (<http://www.paraview.org/>).

A. Spectral decompositions in rigid ellipsoids

We elaborate on the spectral decompositions in rigid triaxial ellipsoids. We give the gauge conditions to properly define the weighed Helmholtz decomposition in §A(a), then we provide the admissible polynomial forms in §A(b), and finally we outline the explicit solutions of the gauge conditions in §A(c).

(a) Gauge conditions

We start with Helmholtz decomposition (3.7), which expresses $\rho_0\zeta$ in terms of two sub-spaces that are not mutually orthogonal with respect to inner product (3.6). To partition the vector space into orthogonal sub-spaces, we use the gauge transformation [60]

$$\hat{\Phi} = \rho_0\Phi + \Phi_1, \quad \hat{\Psi} = \Psi + \Psi_1. \quad (\text{A.1a,b})$$

The weighted Helmholtz decomposition (3.5) is recovered from decomposition (3.7) under the gauge equations for the vector potential

$$\nabla \times \Psi_1 = -\Phi \nabla \rho_0 - \nabla \Phi_1, \quad (\nabla \times \Psi_1) \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial V, \quad (\text{A.2a,b})$$

and the scalar potential

$$\nabla^2 \Phi_1 = -\nabla \cdot (\Phi \nabla \rho_0), \quad (\nabla \Phi_1) \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = -(\Phi \nabla \rho_0) \cdot \mathbf{1}_n \text{ on } \partial V. \quad (\text{A.3a,b})$$

Equations (A.2)-(A.3) admit solutions for $[\Psi_1, \Phi_1]$ in rigid ellipsoids (see below), such that decompositions (3.5) and (3.7) are equivalent.

Two limit situations are worth discussing. The acoustic modes are such that $\xi = \nabla\Phi$ in non-rotating isentropic interiors [60]. This implies $\Phi_1 \neq 0$ from the gauge equation (A.3), which then leads to $\Psi_1 \neq 0$ from (A.2), and so $\hat{\Psi} \neq 0$. Therefore, the acoustic modes are described by the two non-vanishing potentials $[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}]$ in decomposition (3.7). Conversely, purely anelastic flows (with $\Phi = 0$ and $\Psi \neq 0$) are only described by the vector potential $\hat{\Psi} \neq 0$ in decomposition (3.7).

(b) Basis elements

We present admissible polynomial basis elements for the finite-dimensional spaces $\mathcal{V}[n \geq 1]$ and $\mathcal{W}[n \geq 2]$ [39]. We introduce the finite-dimensional space $\mathcal{P}[n]$, which is spanned by the scalar monomials $x^i y^j z^k$ with $i + j + k \leq n$. To construct the elements in $\mathcal{V}[n \geq 1]$, we consider the linearly independent Cartesian monomials in $\mathcal{P}[n - 1]$. Their number is $N_2 = n(n + 1)(n + 2)/6$. Among them, there are $N_1 = n(n + 1)/2$ monomials that are independent of z , denoted $\{g_i\}$. The other monomials, denoted $\{h_j\}$, contain z and its powers as factor. These polynomials are indexed with $(i, j) \in [1, N_1] \times [N_1 + 1, N_2]$ as

$$\{g_i\} = \{1, x, y, x^2, xy, y^2, \dots, x^{n-1}, y^{n-1}\}, \quad \{h_j\} = \{z, xz, yz, z^2, \dots, z^{n-1}\}, \quad (\text{A.4a,b})$$

and we denote $\{p_k\}_{k \leq N_2} = \{g_i\} \cup \{h_j\}$. Admissible elements $e \in \mathcal{V}[n \geq 1]$ are then [59]

$$e_k = \nabla[p_k(F - 1)] \times \mathbf{1}_x, \quad e_{N_2+k} = \nabla[p_k(F - 1)] \times \mathbf{1}_y, \quad e_{2N_2+i} = \nabla[g_i(F - 1)] \times \mathbf{1}_z, \quad (\text{A.5a-c})$$

with $1 \leq k \leq N_2$, $1 \leq i \leq N_1$, and the shape function $F = (x/a)^2 + (y/b)^2 + (z/c)^2$. We have $\dim \mathcal{V}[n \geq 1] = N_1 + 2N_2 = n(n + 1)(2n + 7)/6$. To construct basis elements for $\mathcal{W}[n \geq 2]$, we introduce the operator $\mathcal{N}^{-1}$ defined as [39]

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1}\{x^i y^j z^k\} = \frac{1}{(i/a^2 + j/b^2 + k/c^2)^2} \left(\frac{x}{a^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{y}{b^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{z}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) x^i y^j z^k, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

for any monomial $x^i y^j z^k$ with $i + j + k \geq 1$. Then, basis elements $e \in \mathcal{W}[n \geq 2]$ are given by

$$e = \nabla \hat{\Phi}, \quad \hat{\Phi} = \mathcal{N}^{-1}\{(F - 1)\Psi\}, \quad (\text{A.7a,b})$$

with the scalar polynomial $\Psi \in \mathcal{P}[1, n - 1]$. We get $\dim \mathcal{W}[n \geq 2] = n(n + 1)(n + 2)/6 - 1$.

(c) Admissible solutions of the gauge equations

Solutions of the gauge equations (A.2)-(A.3) can be found as follows. We consider a polynomial density profile ρ_0 , made of Cartesian monomials of maximum degree n_ρ . The vector field $\rho_0 \nabla \Phi$ in decomposition (3.5) possesses admissible polynomial forms of maximum degree n belonging to $\mathcal{W}[n \geq 2]$, such that Φ is a polynomial of maximum degree $n + 1 - n_\rho$. Similarly, $\nabla \times \Psi$ can be seek in $\mathcal{V}[n \geq 1]$ where Ψ is a polynomial vector of maximum degree $n + 1$. To satisfy the inhomogeneous Neumann condition (A.3b), the solution of gauge equation (A.3) can be written as the sum $\Phi_1 = \Phi_1^H + \Phi_1^P$ made of two polynomial solutions of maximum degree $n + 1$. The particular solution Φ_1^P , which satisfies the boundary condition (A.3b), is given by [99, p. 358]

$$\Phi_1^P = -\mathcal{N}^{-1}(\Phi \nabla \rho_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{n} = (x/a^2, y/b^2, z/c^2)^\top. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Then, we have $\nabla^2 \Phi_1^H = -\nabla^2 \Phi_1^P - \nabla \cdot (\Phi \nabla \rho_0)$ together with the boundary condition $\nabla \Phi_1^H \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0$, such that we can seek $\nabla \Phi_1^H \in \mathcal{W}[n \geq 2]$. Then, we can obtain a polynomial expression of maximum degree $n + 1$ for $\hat{\Phi}$ from transformation (A.1a). Finally, $\nabla \times \Psi_1$ defined in (A.2a) admits a polynomial expression of maximum degree n and belonging to $\mathcal{V}[n \geq 1]$, since it satisfies the non-penetration boundary condition.

B. Preliminary viscous theory for stress-free conditions

Viscous boundary conditions cannot be rigorously enforced with the polynomial method (because of the global nature of the basis elements), and so perturbation theory must be employed to model viscous effects in the planetary limit $Ek \rightarrow 0$. We present an asymptotic theory for the stress-free conditions, which is compatible with the polynomial formulation. Indeed, perturbation theory for the stress-free conditions does not require the spatial structure of the viscous flows (contrary to the no-slip conditions), as first uncovered in incompressible spheres [85].

We work in dimensional units but, exceptionally, we omit here the superscripts * to simplify the expressions. The linearised viscous force, which ought to be included in the right-hand side

of equation (5.1) for the displacement, is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_\eta = (1/\rho_0) \nabla \cdot [\eta \sigma(\dot{\xi}_1 + \dot{\bar{\xi}}_1)], \quad \sigma(a) = \nabla a + (\nabla a)^\top - (2/3) (\nabla \cdot a) \mathcal{I}, \quad (\text{B.1a,b})$$

where σ the strain rate tensor with a zero second viscosity (Stokes's hypothesis), $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity tensor, $\eta = \rho_0 \nu$ is the dynamic (shear) viscosity, and $\bar{\xi}_1$ is the leading-order viscous correction. The latter allows the total displacement to satisfy the stress-free conditions (at leading order)

$$[\xi_1, \bar{\xi}_1] \cdot \mathbf{1}_n = 0, \quad [\mathbf{1}_n \cdot \sigma(\xi_1 + \bar{\xi}_1)] \times \mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{0}. \quad (\text{B.2a,b})$$

Then, to be consistent with the Galerkin formulation of the inviscid formulation, we project viscous force (B.1) onto $\rho_0 \xi_1 = e$ and integrate by parts. We have

$$\langle e, \mathcal{F}_\eta \rangle_{\rho_0} = \int_V e^\dagger \cdot (\nabla \cdot [\eta \sigma(\dot{\xi}_1 + \dot{\bar{\xi}}_1)]) \, dV, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

which simplifies into [100]

$$\langle e, \mathcal{F}_\eta \rangle_{\rho_0} = - \int_V \eta \nabla e^\dagger : \sigma(\dot{\xi}_1 + \dot{\bar{\xi}}_1) \, dV - \int_S \eta [\mathbf{1}_n \cdot \sigma(\dot{\xi}_1 + \dot{\bar{\xi}}_1) \times \mathbf{1}_n] \cdot (\mathbf{1}_n \times e^\dagger) \, dS, \quad (\text{B.4a})$$

$$= - \int_V \eta \nabla e^\dagger : \sigma(\dot{\xi}_1 + \dot{\bar{\xi}}_1) \, dV = -(1/2) \int_V \eta \sigma(e^\dagger) : \sigma(\dot{\xi}_1 + \dot{\bar{\xi}}_1) \, dV, \quad (\text{B.4b})$$

$$\simeq -(1/2) \int_V \eta \sigma(e^\dagger) : \sigma(\dot{\xi}_1) \, dV, \quad (\text{B.4c})$$

where $:$ denotes the contraction of the two tensors. The surface integral in equality (B.4a) vanishes by virtue of stress-free conditions (B.2), and we have neglected $\sigma(\dot{\bar{\xi}}_1)$ in (B.4c) which is a second-order term. Viscous projection (B.4c), involving the total solution ξ_1 , possibly allows viscous couplings between the inviscid modes (at leading order). The latter may have important effects for the dynamics, but they remain to be quantitatively evaluated.

References

- Schaeffer N, Jault D, Nataf HC, Fournier A. 2017 Turbulent geodynamo simulations: a leap towards Earth's core. *Geophys. J. Int.* **211**, 1–29. ([doi:10.1093/gji/ggx265](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggx265)).
- Sheyko A, Finlay C, Favre J, Jackson A. 2018 Scale separated low viscosity dynamos and dissipation within the Earth's core. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 1–7. ([doi:10.1038/s41598-018-30864-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30864-1)).
- Guervilly C, Cardin P, Schaeffer N. 2019 Turbulent convective length scale in planetary cores. *Nature* **570**, 368–371. ([doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1301-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1301-5)).
- Chen L, Herreman W, Li K, Livermore PW, Luo JW, Jackson A. 2018 The optimal kinematic dynamo driven by steady flows in a sphere. *J. Fluid Mech.* **839**, 1–32. ([doi:10.1017/jfm.2017.924](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2017.924)).
- Holdenried-Chernoff D, Chen L, Jackson A. 2019 A trio of simple optimized axisymmetric kinematic dynamos in a sphere. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **475**, 20190308. ([doi:10.1098/rspa.2019.0308](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2019.0308)).
- Kong D, Zhang K, Schubert G, Anderson JD. 2018 Origin of Jupiter's cloud-level zonal winds remains a puzzle even after Juno. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 8499–8504. ([doi:10.1073/pnas.1805927115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1805927115)).
- Kloss C, Finlay CC. 2019 Time-dependent low-latitude core flow and geomagnetic field acceleration pulses. *Geophys. J. Int.* **217**, 140–168. ([doi:10.1093/gji/ggy545](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy545)).
- Greenspan HP. 1968 *The theory of rotating fluids*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Rieutord M, Georgeot B, Valdetarro L. 2000 Wave attractors in rotating fluids: a paradigm for ill-posed Cauchy problems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4277. ([doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4277](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4277)).
- Stewartson K, Rickard J. 1969 Pathological oscillations of a rotating fluid. *J. Fluid Mech.* **35**, 759–773. ([doi:10.1017/S002211206900142X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S002211206900142X)).
- Rieutord M, Georgeot B, Valdetarro L. 2001 Inertial waves in a rotating spherical shell: attractors and asymptotic spectrum. *J. Fluid Mech.* **435**, 103–144. ([doi:10.1017/S0022112001003718](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112001003718)).
- Rieutord M, Valdetarro L. 2018 Axisymmetric inertial modes in a spherical shell at low Ekman numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.* **844**, 597–634. ([doi:10.1017/jfm.2018.201](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2018.201)).

13. Backus G, Rieutord M. 2017 Completeness of inertial modes of an incompressible inviscid fluid in a corotating ellipsoid. *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 053116. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.95.053116).
14. Ivers D. 2017 Enumeration, orthogonality and completeness of the incompressible Coriolis modes in a tri-axial ellipsoid. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **111**, 333–354. (doi:10.1080/03091929.2017.1330412).
15. Zhang K, Liao X. 2017 *Theory and modeling of rotating fluids: convection, inertial waves and precession*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
16. Papaloizou J, Pringle JE. 1978 Non-radial oscillations of rotating stars and their relevance to the short-period oscillations of cataclysmic variables. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **182**, 423–442. (doi:10.1093/mnras/182.3.423).
17. Lockitch KH, Friedman JL. 1999 Where are the r-modes of isentropic stars?. *Astrophys. J.* **521**, 764–788. (doi:10.1086/307580).
18. Ivanov PB, Papaloizou JCB. 2010 Inertial waves in rotating bodies: a WKBJ formalism for inertial modes and a comparison with numerical results. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **407**, 1609–1630. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17009.x).
19. Dintrans B, Rieutord M. 2001 A comparison of the anelastic and subseismic approximations for low-frequency gravity modes in stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **324**, 635–642. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04328.x).
20. Wood TS, Bushby PJ. 2016 Oscillatory convection and limitations of the Boussinesq approximation. *J. Fluid Mech.* **803**, 502–515. (doi:10.1017/jfm.2016.511).
21. Verhoeven J, Glatzmaier GA. 2018 Validity of sound-proof approaches in rapidly-rotating compressible convection: marginal stability versus turbulence. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **112**, 36–61. (doi:10.1080/03091929.2017.1380800).
22. Zhang K, Kong D, Schubert G. 2017 Shape, internal structure, zonal winds, and gravitational field of rapidly rotating Jupiter-like planets. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **45**, 419–446. (doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-063016-020305).
23. Le Bars M, Cébron D, Le Gal P. 2015 Flows driven by libration, precession, and tides. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **47**, 163–193. (doi:10.1146/annurev-fluid-010814-014556).
24. Davies CJ, Stegman DR, Dumberry M. 2014 The strength of gravitational core-mantle coupling. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 3786–3792. (doi:10.1002/2014GL059836).
25. Cébron D, Hollerbach R. 2014 Tidally driven dynamos in a rotating sphere. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **789**, L25. (doi:10.1088/2041-8205/789/1/L25).
26. Reddy KS, Favier B, Le Bars M. 2018 Turbulent kinematic dynamos in ellipsoids driven by mechanical forcing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **45**, 1741–1750. (doi:10.1002/2017GL076542).
27. Vidal J, Cébron D, Schaeffer N, Hollerbach R. 2018 Magnetic fields driven by tidal mixing in radiative stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **475**, 4579–4594. (doi:10.1093/mnras/sty080).
28. Herreman W, Lesaffre P. 2011 Stokes drift dynamos. *J. Fluid Mech.* **679**, 32–57. (doi:10.1017/jfm.2011.109).
29. Bryan GH. 1889 The waves on a rotating liquid spheroid of finite ellipticity. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **180**, 187–219.
30. Requier J, Trinh A, Triana SA, Dehant V. 2018 Inertial modes in near-spherical geometries. *Geophys. J. Int.* **216**, 777–793. (doi:10.1093/gji/ggy465).
31. Reese D, Lignières F, Rieutord M. 2006 Acoustic oscillations of rapidly rotating polytropic stars-II. Effects of the Coriolis and centrifugal accelerations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **455**, 621–637. (doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20065269).
32. Seyed-Mahmoud B, Moradi A. 2014 Dynamics of the Earth's fluid core: Implementation of a Clairaut coordinate system. *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.* **227**, 61–67. (doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2013.11.007).
33. Seyed-Mahmoud B, Heikoop J, Seyed-Mahmoud R. 2007 Inertial modes of a compressible fluid core model. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **101**, 489–505. (doi:10.1080/03091920701523337).
34. Busse F, Zhang K, Liao X. 2005 On slow inertial waves in the solar convection zone. *Astrophys. J.* **631**, L171. (doi:10.1086/497300).
35. Wu Y. 2005 Origin of tidal dissipation in Jupiter. I. Properties of inertial modes. *Astrophys. J.* **635**, 674. (doi:10.1086/497354).
36. Clausen N, Tilgner A. 2014 Elliptical instability of compressible flow in ellipsoids. *Astron. Astrophys.* **562**, A25. (doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201322817).
37. Koulakis JP, Pree S, Putterman S. 2018 Acoustic resonances in gas-filled spherical bulb with parabolic temperature profile. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **144**, 2847–2851. (doi:10.1121/1.5078599).

38. Vantieghem S. 2014 Inertial modes in a rotating triaxial ellipsoid. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **470**, 20140093. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2014.0093).
39. Vidal J, Su S, Cébron D. 2020 Compressible fluid modes in rigid ellipsoids: towards modal acoustic velocimetry. *J. Fluid Mech.* **885**, A39. (doi:10.1017/jfm.2019.1004).
40. Chandrasekhar S. 1969 *Ellipsoidal figures of equilibrium*. New York, USA: Dover Publications.
41. Cowling TG. 1941 The non-radial oscillations of polytropic stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **101**, 367. (doi:10.1093/mnras/101.8.367).
42. Cébron D, Le Bars M, Moutou C, Le Gal P. 2012 Elliptical instability in terrestrial planets and moons. *Astron. Astrophys.* **539**, A78. (doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201117741).
43. Abney M, Epstein RI. 1996 Ekman pumping in compact astrophysical bodies. *J. Fluid Mech.* **312**, 327–340. (doi:10.1017/S0022112096002030).
44. Glampedakis K, Andersson N. 2006 Ekman layer damping of r modes revisited. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **371**, 1311–1321. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10749.x).
45. Aldridge KD, Toomre A. 1969 Axisymmetric inertial oscillations of a fluid in a rotating spherical container. *J. Fluid Mech.* **37**, 307–323. (doi:10.1017/S0022112069000565).
46. Roberts PH. 1967 *An Introduction to Magnetohydrodynamics*. New York, USA: Longmans.
47. Braviner HJ, Ogilvie GI. 2014 Tidal interactions of a Maclaurin spheroid–I. Properties of free oscillation modes. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **441**, 2321–2345. (doi:10.1093/mnras/stu704).
48. Goodman J, Lackner C. 2009 Dynamical tides in rotating planets and stars. *Astrophys. J.* **696**, 2054. (doi:10.1088/0004-637X/696/2/2054).
49. Käpylä PJ, Käpylä MJ, Olsper N, Warnecke J, Brandenburg A. 2017 Convection-driven spherical shell dynamos at varying Prandtl numbers. *Astron. Astrophys.* **599**, A4. (doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201628973).
50. Liu S, Wan ZH, Yan R, Sun C, Sun DJ. 2019 Onset of fully compressible convection in a rapidly rotating spherical shell. *J. Fluid Mech.* **873**, 1090–1115. (doi:10.1017/jfm.2019.436).
51. Labrosse S. 2015 Thermal evolution of the core with a high thermal conductivity. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **247**, 36–55. (doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2015.02.002).
52. Evonuk M, Samuel H. 2012 Simulating rotating fluid bodies: When is vorticity generation via density-stratification important?. *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.* **317**, 1–7. (doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.11.036).
53. Gerick F, Jault D, Noir J, Vidal J. 2020 Pressure torque of torsional Alfvén modes acting on an ellipsoidal mantle. *Geophys. J. Int.* **222**, 338–351. (doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa166).
54. Chandrasekhar S. 1958 *An introduction to the study of stellar structure*. New York, USA: Dover Publications.
55. Lai D, Rasio FA, Shapiro SL. 1993 Ellipsoidal figures of equilibrium: Compressible models. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. S.* **88**, 205–252. (doi:10.1086/191822).
56. Lynden-Bell D, Ostriker JP. 1967 On the stability of differentially rotating bodies. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **136**, 293–310. (doi:10.1093/mnras/136.3.293).
57. Valette B. 1989 Spectre des vibrations propres d'un corps élastique, auto-gravitant, en rotation uniforme et contenant une partie fluide. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **309**, 419–422.
58. Barston EM. 1967 Eigenvalue problem for Lagrangian systems. *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 523–532. (doi:10.1063/1.1705227).
59. Lebovitz NR. 1989 The stability equations for rotating, inviscid fluids: Galerkin methods and orthogonal bases. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **46**, 221–243. (doi:10.1080/03091928908208913).
60. Sobouti Y. 1981 The potentials for the g-, p- and the toroidal modes of self-gravitating fluids. *Astron. Astrophys.* **100**, 319–322.
61. Tisseur F, Meerbergen K. 2001 The quadratic eigenvalue problem. *SIAM Rev.* **43**, 235–286. (doi:10.1137/S0036144500381988).
62. Chaljub E, Valette B. 2004 Spectral element modelling of three-dimensional wave propagation in a self-gravitating Earth with an arbitrarily stratified outer core. *Geophys. J. Int.* **158**, 131–141. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2004.02267.x).
63. Dyson J, Schutz BF. 1979 Perturbations and stability of rotating stars. I. Completeness of normal modes. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **368**, 389–410. (doi:10.1098/rspa.1979.0137).
64. Su S, Cébron D, Nataf HC, Cardin P, Vidal J, Solazzo M, Do Y. 2020 Acoustic spectra of a gas-filled rotating spheroid. *Eur. J. Mech. B-Fluid.* **84**, 302–310. (doi:10.1016/j.euromechflu.2020.03.003).
65. Backus G, Gilbert F. 1961 The rotational splitting of the free oscillations of the Earth. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **47**, 362–371. (doi:10.1073/pnas.47.3.362).

66. Maffei S, Jackson A, Livermore PW. 2017 Characterization of columnar inertial modes in rapidly rotating spheres and spheroids. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **473**, 20170181. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2017.0181).
67. Valette B. 1989 Étude d'une classe de problèmes spectraux. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **309**, 785–788.
68. Bardsley OP. 2018 Could hydrodynamic Rossby waves explain the westward drift?. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **474**, 20180119. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2018.0119).
69. Ivanov PB, Papaloizou JCB, Chernov SV. 2013 A unified normal mode approach to dynamic tides and its application to rotating Sun-like stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **432**, 2339–2365. (doi:10.1093/mnras/stt595).
70. Schutz BF, Friedman JL. 1978 Lagrangian perturbation theory of nonrelativistic fluids. *Astrophys. J.* **221**, 937–957. (doi:10.1086/156098).
71. Vantieghem S, Cébron D, Noir J. 2015 Latitudinal libration driven flows in triaxial ellipsoids. *J. Fluid Mech.* **771**, 193–228. (doi:10.1017/jfm.2015.130).
72. Wahr JM. 1981 A normal mode expansion for the forced response of a rotating Earth. *Geophys. J. Int.* **64**, 651–675. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1981.tb02689.x).
73. Schutz BF. 1980 Perturbations and stability of rotating stars—II. Properties of the eigenvectors and a variational principle. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **190**, 7–20. (doi:10.1093/mnras/190.1.7).
74. Greenspan HP. 1969 On the non-linear interaction of inertial modes. *J. Fluid Mech.* **36**, 257–264. (doi:10.1017/S0022112069001649).
75. Busse FH. 1976 A simple model of convection in the Jovian atmosphere. *Icarus* **29**, 255–260. (doi:10.1016/0019-1035(76)90053-1).
76. Glatzmaier GA. 2018 Computer simulations of Jupiter's deep internal dynamics help interpret what Juno sees. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 6896–6904. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1709125115).
77. Guillot T et al.. 2018 A suppression of differential rotation in Jupiter's deep interior. *Nature* **555**, 227–230. (doi:10.1038/nature25775).
78. Moore KM, Cao H, Bloxham J, Stevenson DJ, Connerney JEP, Bolton SJ. 2019 Time variation of Jupiter's internal magnetic field consistent with zonal wind advection. *Nat. Astron.* **3**, 730–735. (doi:10.1038/s41550-019-0772-5).
79. Christensen UR, Wicht J, Dietrich W. 2020 Mechanisms for limiting the depth of zonal winds in the gas giant planets. *Astrophys. J.* **890**, 61. (doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab698c).
80. Lebovitz NR, Lifschitz A. 1996 New global instabilities of the Riemann ellipsoids. *Astrophys. J.* **458**, 699. (doi:10.1086/176851).
81. Vidal J, Cébron D. 2017 Inviscid instabilities in rotating ellipsoids on eccentric Kepler orbits. *J. Fluid Mech.* **833**, 469–511. (doi:10.1017/jfm.2017.689).
82. Stewartson K, Roberts PH. 1963 On the motion of liquid in a spheroidal cavity of a precessing rigid body. *J. Fluid Mech.* **17**, 1–20. (doi:10.1017/S0022112063001063).
83. Lin Y, Marti P, Noir J. 2015 Shear-driven parametric instability in a precessing sphere. *Phys. Fluids* **27**, 046601.
84. Hollerbach R, Kerswell RR. 1995 Oscillatory internal shear layers in rotating and precessing flows. *J. Fluid Mech.* **298**, 327–339. (doi:10.1017/S0022112095003338).
85. Liao X, Zhang K, Earnshaw P. 2001 On the viscous damping of inertial oscillation in planetary fluid interiors. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **128**, 125–136. (doi:10.1016/S0031-9201(01)00281-3).
86. Liao X, Zhang K. 2010 Asymptotic and numerical solutions of the initial value problem in rotating planetary fluid cores. *Geophys. J. Int.* **180**, 181–192. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04421.x).
87. Lemasquerier D, Grannan AM, Vidal J, Cébron D, Favier B, Le Bars M, Aurnou JM. 2017 Libration-driven flows in ellipsoidal shells. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* **122**, 1926–1950. (doi:10.1002/2017JE005340).
88. Lebovitz NR. 1979 Ellipsoidal potentials of polynomial distributions of matter. *Astrophys. J.* **234**, 619–627. (doi:10.1086/157538).
89. Vidal J, Su S, Cébron D. 2019 Polynomial description of acoustic modes in fluid ellipsoids. In *Comptes-Rendus de la 22e Rencontre du Non-Linéaire*. (hal-02200485).
90. Kerswell RR. 2002 Elliptical instability. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **34**, 83–113. (doi:10.1146/annurev.fluid.34.081701.171829).
91. Cébron D, Le Bars M, Le Gal P, Moutou C, Leconte J, Sauret A. 2013 Elliptical instability in hot Jupiter systems. *Icarus* **226**, 1642–1653. (doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2012.12.017).
92. Lignières F, Georgeot B. 2009 Asymptotic analysis of high-frequency acoustic modes in rapidly rotating stars. *Astron. Astrophys.* **500**, 1173–1192. (doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200811165).

93. Prat V, Lignières F, Ballot J. 2016 Asymptotic theory of gravity modes in rotating stars-I. Ray dynamics. *Astron. Astrophys.* **587**, A110. ([doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201527737](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527737)).
94. Friedlander S, Siegmann WL. 1982 Internal waves in a rotating stratified fluid in an arbitrary gravitational field. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **19**, 267–291. ([doi:10.1080/03091928208208959](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091928208208959)).
95. Seyed-Mahmoud B, Moradi A, Kamruzzaman M, Naseri H. 2015 Effects of density stratification on the frequencies of the inertial-gravity modes of the Earth's fluid core. *Geophys. J. Int.* **202**, 1146–1157. ([doi:10.1093/gji/ggv215](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv215)).
96. Kerswell RR. 1993 Elliptical instabilities of stratified, hydromagnetic waves. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **71**, 105–143. ([doi:10.1080/03091929308203599](https://doi.org/10.1080/03091929308203599)).
97. Vidal J, Cébron D, ud Doula A, Alecian E. 2019 Fossil field decay due to nonlinear tides in massive binaries. *Astron. Astrophys.* **629**, A142. ([doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201935658](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935658)).
98. Schaeffer N. 2013 Efficient spherical harmonic transforms aimed at pseudospectral numerical simulations. *Geochem. Geophys. Geos.* **14**, 751–758. ([doi:10.1002/ggge.20071](https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20071)).
99. Cartan ME. 1922 Sur les petites oscillations d'une masse de fluide. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **46**, 317–369.
100. Guermond JL, Léorat J, Luddens F, Nore C. 2013 Remarks on the stability of the Navier–Stokes equations supplemented with stress boundary conditions. *Eur. J. Mech. B-Fluid* **39**, 1–10. ([doi:10.1016/j.euromechflu.2012.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euromechflu.2012.11.003)).