Parietal structures of *Escherichia coli* can impact the D-cateslytin antibacterial activity

Fabienne Quilès¹, Danielle Barth,² Oliver Peric³, Georg E. Fantner³, Grégory Francius^{1*}

¹ Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LCPME, F-54000 Nancy, France.

² Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LRGP, F-54000 Nancy, France.

³ Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Institute for Bioengineering, Laboratory for Bio & Nanoinstrumentation, Bâtiment BM 3109 Station 17, Lausanne, CH-1015, Switzerland.

*** Corresponding author:**

Email: gregory.francius@univ-lorraine.fr

Laboratoire de Chimie Physique et Microbiologie pour les Matériaux et l'Environnement,

LCPME, UMR 7564, 405, rue de Vandoeuvre, 54600 Villers-lès-Nancy, France

Phone: +33 3 72 74 74 16

Abstract

Bacterial resistance to conventional antibiotics is of major concern. Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are considered as excellent alternatives. Among them, D-cateslytin (D-Ctl, derivative of a host defense peptide), has shown high efficiency against a broad spectrum of bacteria. The first target of AMPs is the outer membrane of the bacterium. However, the role of bacterial cell-wall structures on D-Ctl mechanism of action has not yet been understood. In this study, we investigated the activity of D-Ctl on two isogenic strains of *E. coli*: one is nude of any parietal structures, the other constitutively overexpresses only Type 1 *fimbriae*. We studied the damages caused by D-Ctl at several initial concentrations of bacteria and D-Ctl, and times of exposure to D-Ctl were examined using a combination of epifluorescence microscopy, atomic force microscopy (AFM), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy in attenuated total reflectance mode (ATR-FTIR). The analysis of nanomechanical and spectrochemical properties related to the antibacterial mechanism showed a concentration dependent activity. Whereas the membrane permeabilization was evidenced for all concentrations of D-Ctl and both mutants, no pore formation was observed. The bacterial stiffness is modified dramatically concomitantly to major membrane damages and changes in the spectral fingerprints of the bacteria. In case of the occurrence of Type 1 *fimbriae* only, an intracellular activity was additionally detected. Our results evidenced that D-Ctl activity is highly impacted by the cell-wall external structures and surface properties of the bacteria.

Keywords: parietal structures, antimicrobial peptide, D-cateslytin, mechanism of action, AFM, epifluorescence microscopy, infrared spectroscopy, *Escherichia coli*

1. Introduction

Bacterial resistance to conventional antibiotics has been increasing at an alarming rate during the last two decades, affecting dramatically our ability to treat common infections.¹ In addition, insufficient investment in antimicrobial therapies resulted in a lack of the discovery of new families of active molecules.¹⁻³ This has led the World Health Organization (WHO) to raise the alarm against antimicrobial resistance and especially the resurgence of multi-drug resistant bacteria. It is particularly true for Gram-negative bacteria.³⁻⁴ The urgent need to develop new molecules as alternatives to conventional antibiotics has led to consider to use peptides with antimicrobial properties.

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) have a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) often in the range of a few μM . Despite a strong antimicrobial activity at low concentrations, their mode of action in killing bacteria or in inhibiting bacterial growth remains not fully understood.⁵⁻⁶ Most AMPs are believed to interact with the membranes where they induce permeabilization. The subsequent lysis or damage to bacteria is then observed.⁷⁻⁸ It is generally accepted that AMPs can form transmembrane channels by self-aggregation or multimerization,⁹⁻¹⁰ leading to membrane permeabilization and bacterial death.⁷ The AMP activity on the membrane can be influenced by the parietal structures of the bacteria such as lipopolysaccharides or lipoteichoic acids and the presence of *pili* and other appendages.¹¹⁻¹² Indeed, previous works highlighted that external cell wall structures of bacteria act as permeability barriers allowing selective passage of nutrients and exclusion of harmful substances (*e.g.* antimicrobial agents).¹³⁻¹⁴ Also some non-lytic intracellular modes of action have been reported.¹⁵⁻¹⁶ Some antimicrobial peptides can modify and/or inhibit bacterial metabolism, *i.e.* nucleic acids and protein biosynthesis, cell division, cell wall and lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis.^{15, 17-18} Among the AMPs, host defense peptides (HDPs) are emerging as excellent candidates for the development of new antibiotic strategies. In addition to their antimicrobial action, they exhibit

67 less side effects than other AMPs.¹⁹⁻²¹ They are able to kill a broad spectrum of
68 microorganisms with a very low cytotoxicity to mammalian cells. Owing to a general non-
69 specific action, they have a low level of induced resistance.^{12, 21} Moreover, some of them have
70 a very interesting therapeutic potential because they can activate the immune system while
71 inhibiting the inflammatory response.²²⁻²³ Peptides derived from the proteolysis of
72 chromogranin A are of particular therapeutic interest, and particularly cateslytin.²⁴⁻²⁵ This
73 fragment of chromogranin A is a linear HDP of 15 residues, and therefore very easy to
74 synthesize for a minimal cost.²⁴⁻²⁵ Previous studies demonstrated the high efficiency of D-
75 cateslytin (D-Ctl) against a broad spectrum of bacteria including *E. coli*.²⁴ It is accepted that
76 the first target of the AMP is the outer membrane of the bacterium. However, no particular
77 attention was paid to the role of bacterial cell-wall structures on D-Ctl efficiency or possible
78 modification of its mode of action from one bacterial strain to another one.

79 In the present study, we investigated the action of D-Ctl on two strains of *E. coli*: one is nude
80 of any parietal structures, the other overexpresses only Type 1 *fimbriae*.²⁶ Type 1 *fimbriae* are
81 uniformly distributed on the bacterial surface, and they are known for their surface adherence
82 on epithelial cells. The bacterial attachment allowed by these Type 1 *fimbriae* is a major
83 factor in bacterial pathogenicity.²⁷⁻²⁹ The action and the possible influence of these parietal
84 structures were analyzed with a physico-chemical point of view through morphological,
85 nanomechanical, and spectroscopic analyses. This work was performed with a combination of
86 epifluorescence microscopy, atomic force microscopy (AFM), and Fourier transform infrared
87 spectroscopy in Attenuated total reflectance mode (ATR-FTIR).³⁰⁻³¹ These techniques were
88 combined to monitor *in situ* the mechanism of action and the damages caused by D-Ctl at
89 several initial concentrations of bacteria and times of exposure. Indeed, beyond the
90 determination of MIC that gives information on the inhibition of growth, it is important to
91 investigate what can happen when a high amount of bacteria is initially present. ATR-FTIR

allows the investigation of changes in biochemical compounds of *E. coli*, whereas AFM was used to visualize the local effects of the antimicrobial peptide upon cell morphology and mechanical properties. In addition, the bacterial membrane integrity was evaluated by assays with the BacLight™ kit. Our investigations allow correlation between D-Ctl activity on both nanomechanical properties and bacterial metabolism depending on the antimicrobial agent concentration and the presence of cell-wall external structures.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and synthetic peptide

Ampicillin, kanamycin and phosphate saline buffer (PBS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, France. D-cateslytin is a positively charged (5+) arginine-rich peptide with the following amino acid sequence: RSMRLSFRARGYGFRGPG. It was purchased from ProteoGenix (Schiltigheim, France, purity > 95%). The peptides were stored at -20°C as a stock solution at 1 g/L in non-pyrogenic sterile water (Aqua B-Braun, Melsungen, Germany). Structures and physicochemical properties of cateslytin are reported in **Figure S1** (see Supporting Information).

2.2. Bacterial strain and culture conditions

The bacterial models used in this study are Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* mutants called E2152 and E2146 kindly provided by Institut Pasteur from Paris.²⁶ These isogenic strains were constructed from *Escherichia coli* MG1655. These mutants are non-flagellated and are resistant to specific antibiotics (ampicillin, kanamycin, chloramphenicol and zeocin). Strain E2152 is devoid of the main *E. coli* periplasmic structures while strain E2146 constitutively expresses only the external Type 1 *fimbriae*. E2152 strain was selected as a reference.²⁶ Bacterial stocks were maintained at -80°C. Bacteria were grown in Lysogeny Broth (LB,

Miller, Fluka) at 25 g/L in deionized water (Purelab Option, ELGA). All cultures were grown in a water bath at $37 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, and under continuous agitation at 160 rpm. After an overnight sub-culture (16 hours, with antibiotics, *i.e.* ampicillin and kanamycin), bacteria were cultivated in 25 mL of LB medium (without antibiotics) in 150 mL Erlenmeyer flasks with an initial optical density at 600 nm of 0.05 ± 0.01 . The bacteria were taken at the end of the exponential phase when OD_{600} reaches the value of 0.50 ± 0.02 .

2.3. Growth curves and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) estimation

The growth curves were obtained by measuring the optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) with a Tecan Infinite M200 spectrometer during 24 hours on bacterial suspensions (volume 200 μL) put in a 96-well plate (Nunc) in the presence or not of D-Ctl in LB medium. The bacterial suspension was used either at OD_{600} value of 0.50 or diluted to values at 0.10 and 0.001 corresponding to $3.18 \pm 0.27 \times 10^8$, $1.05 \pm 0.18 \times 10^8$ and $2.64 \pm 0.33 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL, respectively. The measurements were performed at least in triplicate. The MIC of strain E2152 was estimated in LB medium by the two-fold serial dilution assay as described elsewhere.²⁴ Briefly, the bacterial suspension was diluted to $\text{OD}_{600} = 0.001$ in a sterile 96-well plate (Nunc, suspension volume 200 μL). After 24 h of incubation at 37°C without or with D-Ctl at different concentrations, the bacterial growth was assessed by the measurement of OD_{600} using a Tecan Infinite M200.

2.4. Suspensions for epifluorescence microscopy, infrared spectroscopy and AFM

For epifluorescence and infrared spectroscopy analyses, the antimicrobial assays against planktonic *E. coli* were performed in duplicate in sterile 96-well plates (Nunc) in a final volume of 200 μL . When the optical density of the bacterial culture reached an OD_{600} value of 0.50 ± 0.02 , the suspension was diluted in LB to give an $\text{OD}_{600} = 0.10 \pm 0.01$. The

necessary volumes of the stock solution of the peptide at 1 g/L was spotted in the bacterial suspension to a final volume of 200 μ L. Sterility and growth controls were sterile LB, and a bacterial suspension without peptide, respectively. The plate was incubated for 1, 4 and 14 hours at $23 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ in an air conditioned room.

For AFM *in-situ* experiments, the required volume of D-Ctl mother solution at 537 μM (1 g/L) was added to 1 mL of bacterial suspensions with an OD_{600} of 0.50 ± 0.02 to reach final concentrations of 0, 8, 40 and 80 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Then suspensions were deposited into a 24-well plates (Nunc) containing gold disks coated with amino-terminated thiol ($\text{HS-C}_{11}\text{-NH}_3\text{Cl}$) purchased from Prochimia (Prochimia surfaces, Poland). Samples were incubated at $22 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 1, 4 and 14 hours exposure times.

2.5. Fluorescence optical microscopy and membrane status assays

The bacteria shape and the integrity of the bacterial membrane were assessed using the *BacLight*TM stain kit (L7012, Molecular Probes, Eugene, USA). The cell-wall permeability in the absence and presence of the D-Ctl was examined according to a methodology described in previous works.^{24, 31} With this kit, bacteria with intact membranes exhibit green fluorescence (Syto 9), while bacteria with damaged membranes show red fluorescence (propidium iodide, PI). The bacterial suspensions were stained with the *BacLight*TM kit during 20 minutes in the dark. Then the suspension was filtered onto a 0.22 μm membrane, and the membrane was rinsed with non-pyrogenic sterile water to eliminate excess dyes. The sample was mounted in *BacLight*TM mounting oil as described by the instructions provided by the manufacturer. Images were acquired with the $\times 100$ oil immersion objective of an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with an Olympus XC50 camera. Both fluorescences were observed using fluorescence filter cube U-MWIB3 (Olympus, excitation filter: BP 460-495 nm, emission filter: LP 510 nm).

2.6. ATR-FTIR spectroscopy

ATR-FTIR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vertex70v spectrometer equipped with a KBr beam splitter and a DTGS detector. Spectra recording and data processing were performed using the Bruker OPUS 7.5 software. The resolution of the single beam spectra was 4 cm^{-1} . Two hundred scans were collected per spectrum corresponding to a 2-minutes accumulation time. All interferograms were Fourier processed using the Mertz phase correction and a Blackman-Harris three-term apodization function. A nine-reflection diamond ATR accessory (DurasamplIR™, SensIR Technologies, incidence angle: 45°) was used for acquiring spectra. No ATR correction was performed. ATR-FTIR spectra are shown with an absorbance scale corresponding to $\log(R_{\text{reference}}/R_{\text{sample}})$, where R is the internal reflectance of the device. Measurements were performed at $22 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ in an air-conditioned room. One drop of the bacterial suspension in LB medium was put on the ATR crystal. The spectral background was removed by recording the spectrum of the LB medium free of bacteria (obtained by centrifugation at 7000 g during 5 minutes). Water vapor subtraction was performed when necessary. All spectra were baseline corrected at 1800 and 900 cm^{-1} . FTIR measurements were performed at $22 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ in an air-conditioned room.

2.7. Bacteria drying procedure for AFM imaging

Critical point drying (CPD) with CO_2 was used for sample preparation in order to get images at the nanoscale of the bacterial cells subjected or not to D-Ctl at various concentrations and for several exposure times. Indeed, this technique is one of the most accurate to preserve the highly fragile three-dimensional structure of biological samples especially when they are difficult to observe in aqueous media.³²⁻³⁴ Gold slides incubated with bacterial samples were rinsed three times in baths of PBS for 5 minutes each. Then samples were dehydrated by

immersion into 8 successive baths of water/ethanol mixtures (ratios of 90/10, 80/20, 70/30, 50/50, 30/70, 20/80, 10/90, 0/100) for 10 minutes each and stored in pure ethanol before CPD treatment. The CPD procedure is carried out using a homemade device³⁵, and according to 5 successive steps: (1) samples are placed into an autoclave with 250 μ L of pure ethanol onto each samples; (2) the autoclave is filled and pressurized with liquid CO₂ for 30 minutes up to 90 bars at 30°C; (3) the autoclave is heated for 20 minutes up to 45°C; (4) water and ethanol residues were extracted from the samples through the supercritical CO₂ (5) depressurization and decrease of temperature from 45°C to the ambient conditions during 30 minutes. At the end of these steps, the samples were taken out of the autoclave and the samples were stored in petri dishes tightly closed to prevent absorption of water in the samples.

2.8. Atomic Force Microscopy characterizations

Morphology images (dried samples) and *in-situ* monitoring of bacterial cells mechanical properties (living bacteria) were performed using the PeakForce tapping™ mode, with a Bioscope Resolve (Bruker Nano Surface, Bruker France SAS, Palaiseau, France). Silicon nitride cantilevers with conical tips were purchased from Bruker (NPG-10, Bruker France SAS, Palaiseau, France) with a spring constant of about 0.35 nN/nm. The applied force between the tip and the samples surface was carefully controlled and minimized at ~ 0.250 nN. All images were acquired with a resolution of 512 pixels by 512 pixels and a scan rate of 1.0 Hz. AFM images with scan sizes of 10 μ m $\times$ 10 μ m and 5 μ m $\times$ 5 μ m were then analyzed with Nanoscope Analysis for extracting roughness, thickness, and general morphology.

Mechanical properties of bacterial cells were investigated in LB medium using a MFP3D-BIO instrument (Asylum Research Technology, Oxford Instrument, Manheim, Germany) using silicon nitride cantilevers with conical tips (OMCL-TR400PSA-3, Olympus, Japan) with a spring constant of about 10-15 pN/nm. The bacterial stiffness was calculated by analyzing the

force-indentation curves according to the Sneddon model.³⁶⁻³⁷ In this model, the Young modulus is related to the applied force according to the equation given below:

$$F = \frac{2E \cdot \tan(\alpha)}{\pi(1-\nu^2)} R^{1/2} \delta^2 \cdot f_{\text{BECC}} \quad (1)$$

where δ is the indentation depth, ν the Poisson coefficient, α the semi-top angle of the tip and f_{BECC} is the bottom effect cone correction function that take into account the presence of the substrate stiffness.³⁷ All mechanical measurements were determined using an automatic Matlab algorithm described elsewhere,³⁸ and the average values given in this work were calculated from at least 2000 force curves.

2.9. Bacterial trapping and monitoring by fast scanning microscopy

Bacterial suspensions were injected through a syringe to a homemade nanofluidic chip containing bacterial traps³⁹ and directly mounted on a custom integration of a Dimension Fast Scan AFM on an inverted optical microscope as described elsewhere.⁴⁰ By creating a pressure difference across the nanofluidic traps, the bacteria were attracted and physically immobilized into the traps for further AFM analyses. Morphology as well as mechanical properties of immobilized bacteria were obtained in LB medium using PeakForce QNM® mode using a ScanAsyst®-Fluid cantilever with $1.6 \text{ nN}\cdot\text{nm}^{-1}$ spring constant. During the bacterial monitoring procedure, the pressure in the microfluidic chamber underneath the membrane was kept between 20 and 100 mbar below atmospheric pressure.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of D-Ctl on the bacterial growth of *E. coli* strains

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined at $8 \text{ }\mu\text{g/mL}$ for the E2146 strain.²⁴ The MIC of E2152 was estimated in the same range, *i.e.* between 5 and $10 \text{ }\mu\text{g/mL}$ in

this work. Hereafter, MIC refers to the minimal inhibitory concentration for E2146. The growth of both bacteria at different initial OD₆₀₀ was monitored by measuring the OD₆₀₀ as a function of time for 24 hours (**Figure 1**). In absence of D-Ctl the middle of exponential growth phase is reached after 13-14, 9 and 9 hours for both strains at initial OD₆₀₀ set at 0.001, 0.1 and 0.5, respectively. The stationary growth phase begins after 24 hours for an initial OD₆₀₀ set at 0.001, and it was not reached for suspensions with initial OD₆₀₀ set at 0.1 and 0.5, only pseudo-plateaus are observed. The growth curves of bacteria incubated for 24 hours with D-Ctl at the MIC show several features as a function of the initial concentration of bacteria (**Figure 1**). At initial OD₆₀₀ set at 0.001, no growth of E2152 was recorded during 23 hours, whereas a significant bacterial growth started after 10 hours for strain E2146. At initial OD₆₀₀ set at 0.01, bacteria grew during ~ 6 hours and then a slightly descending plateau was reached, suggesting the stop of the multiplying process. When the initial number of bacteria was higher, *i.e.* DO₆₀₀ = 0.50, the OD₆₀₀ after 24 hours of culture were below those of control cultures. The bacterial growth continued at a slower rate than in control culture for strain E2152. In presence of D-Ctl at 5×MIC and 10×MIC, no significant bacterial growth was measured during 24 hours whatever the initial concentration of bacteria. These results demonstrated the existence of a concentration threshold from which D-Ctl totally inhibits the bacterial growth for a defined number of cells. Besides, the inhibitory and even the bactericidal activity of D-Ctl also depend on the initial number of bacterial cells exposed. To sum-up, these results strongly suggested that E2146 seems to tolerate D-Ctl better than 2152.

2.3.2. Bacterial membrane status and morphology under D-Ctl treatment

E2152 and E2146 bacteria were stained with the *BacLight*TM kit at the D-Ctl concentrations and exposure times previously described. **Figure 2** and **Figure 3** show representative images for E2152 and E2146, respectively. Except for few of them, the bacteria of both strains grown

without D-Ctl were green showing rod-shaped bacteria with non-damaged membranes. The average lengths were estimated and are gathered in **Table 1**. Both bacteria strains have the same range of average length, *i.e.* ~2.3 to ~2.7 μm whatever the time of culture. Many bacteria were dividing, and here we did not discriminate bacteria just divided (length ~1.5 μm) from those that were dividing (lengths from ~2.0 to ~3 μm).

Table 1: Average length (μm) of bacteria in presence or not to antimicrobial peptide D-Ctl (red: average length for red bacteria in the images; green: average length for green bacteria in the images).

	Time	Without D-Ctl	MIC	5×MIC	10×MIC
E2152	1 hr	2.7 ± 0.6	2.9 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 0.3	2.6 ± 0.4
	4 hrs	2.7 ± 0.6	3.6 ± 1.0 (red) 2.6 ± 0.4 (green)	2.9 ± 0.8	2.5 ± 0.4
	14 hrs	2.6 ± 0.4	3.3 ± 0.8 (red) 2.6 ± 0.5 (green)	3.2 ± 0.5	-
E2146	1 hr	2.3 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 0.4
	4 hrs	2.4 ± 0.4	2.9 ± 0.7 (red) 2.3 ± 0.3 (green)	2.6 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 0.3
	14 hrs	2.3 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 2.7 (red) 3.1 ± 1.7 (green)	2.6 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 0.4

When *E. coli* E2152 was exposed to D-Ctl at the MIC, almost all bacteria appeared red, and their average size slightly increased at all the contact times. Only a few of them were green showing intact membranes (**Figures 2b, f, j**), and their shape and size did not change significantly with respect to bacteria not exposed to D-Ctl (control experiment). The average size was increased after 4 and 14 hours of exposure to D-Ctl at the MIC for red bacteria. The higher standard deviation for length measurements showed a non-homogenous behavior of the bacteria in front of D-Ctl (**Table 1**). The occurrence of a few filamentous cells can be noticed, and they were up to ~ 25 μm long after 14 hours of contact with D-Ctl. In addition to the increase of the permeability to PI, some bacteria were highly stressed showing it by the

285 inhibition of the division of the cells. For concentrations of D-Ctl at 5×MIC and 10×MIC, all
286 bacteria were red, showing damaged membranes. At 10×MIC and after 14 hours of contact,
287 no bacteria were observed. This result suggested that almost all the bacteria were lysed by the
288 action of D-Ctl or they were too much damaged to resist to the mechanical filtration. For
289 5×MIC and 10×MIC of D-Ctl, few bacteria become filamentous with lengths up to 18 µm, the
290 average length of the remaining bacteria were close to those in absence of the peptide.

291 When *E. coli* E2146 was submitted to D-Ctl at the MIC, most of bacteria were red, but a non-
292 negligible number of bacteria remained green meaning that their membrane was not damaged
293 (**Figure 3b, f, j**). The average shape and length of the green bacteria were close to each other
294 and close to those observed for the bacteria in the control experiment whatever the time of
295 exposure to D-Ctl. After 4 and 14 hours of contact with D-Ctl, red bacteria (*i.e.* with damaged
296 membranes) had a higher average length with a high distribution of lengths, especially after
297 14 hours of contact with D-Ctl (4.1 ± 2.7 µm, **Table 1, Figure 3f, j**). A few bacteria
298 developed long filamentous shapes, their length reached up to ~ 9 µm after 4 h and 24 µm
299 after 14 hours of contact with D-Ctl indicating that the stress induced by the presence of D-Ctl
300 was very high. Bacteria submitted to D-Ctl at 5×MIC and 10×MIC were almost all red, only a
301 few of them were observed green for an exposure of 4 hours at 5×MIC. The average length
302 increased slightly (less than 0.6 µm). In contrast of all the other conditions of D-Ctl exposure,
303 the PI staining of E2146 at D-Ctl concentrations of $5 \times \text{MIC}$ and $10 \times \text{MIC}$ was not
304 homogenous along the cell (**Figure 3c,d,g,h,k,l**). The fluorescence was highly concentrated at
305 specific areas of the bacteria making to appear bright orange-red dots inside the bacteria.
306 Propidium iodide stains both RNA and DNA. This non-homogenous staining through the
307 whole bacteria implies that nucleic acids were probably aggregated upon the presence of D-
308 Ctl.

309 A closer look on the bacterial morphology was performed using AFM to decipher possible
310 cell wall damages at the nanoscale. Several areas of 10×10 and $5 \times 5 \mu\text{m}^2$ were investigated
311 and representative images were collected, and they are reported in **Figure 4** and **Figure 5**. In
312 absence of D-Ctl, E2152 exhibits a smooth and rod-shaped structure with an average length of
313 about $1.6 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{m}$ for a width of $0.8 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$, which are in accordance with epifluorescence
314 images. The height profiles (**Figures S2 – S9**) showed bacterial diameter of *c.a.* 650 nm.
315 When E2152 cells are exposed to D-Ctl, the rod-shaped structure is maintained but the cell
316 size was increased. Indeed, the average length and width are increased up to $2.3 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{m}$ and
317 $1.0 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{m}$, respectively. Such increase in size is also confirmed by the height profiles that
318 evidenced bacterial diameters reaching from 650 nm up to 750 nm (**Figure 6a**). D-Ctl activity
319 seems to inflate bacterial cells but no major damages as pores or important roughness have
320 been observed with AFM even though the *BacLight*TM assays evidenced that almost all
321 bacteria exposed to D-Ctl exhibited damaged membranes.

322 In absence of D-Ctl, E2146 exhibits a smooth and rod-shaped structure with an average length
323 of about $1.4 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{m}$ for a width of $0.7 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ that are in accordance with epifluorescence
324 images. The height profiles (**Figure 6b**) showed bacterial diameter of *c.a.* 600 nm. When
325 E2146 cells are exposed to D-Ctl, an evolution of bacterial morphology similar to E2152 was
326 observed. The rod-shaped structure is maintained but the cell size was increased with average
327 length and width reached up to $2.5 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{m}$ and $1.0 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{m}$, respectively. The analyses of
328 height profiles (**Figure 6**) revealed an increase of the bacterial diameter from 600 nm up to
329 850 nm. As previously observed for E2152, bacterial cells seem to be also inflated without
330 major detectable damages.

331 One can emphasize that permeabilization activity of D-Ctl is increased with concentration and
332 exposure time but the rod-shaped structure of non-lysed treated cells is maintained whatever
333 exposure time and D-Ctl concentration. In addition, the damages revealed by AFM could be

associated to high membrane weakening that maybe enhanced by the drying process (**Figure S10**). Non-lysed treated cells are inflated upon D-Ctl exposure and the bacterial swelling is much higher in presence of Type 1 *fimbriae*. The membrane permeability and bacterial shape are intimately connected to the cell-wall mechanical features. In this way, the permeabilization or membrane activity of D-Ctl can be investigated through the elastic properties of the bacterial cell wall by force spectroscopy.

3.3. Evolution of bacterial stiffness during D-Ctl treatment

Bacterial stiffness of E2152 and E2146 was monitored during 24 hours under D-Ctl concentrations of 0 and 40 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ($5 \times \text{MIC}$) and reported in **Figure 7a, b**. In absence of D-Ctl, **Figure 7** shows that the bacterial stiffness of E2152 and E2146 remain very stable during 24 hours with values of *c.a.* 600 and 300 kPa, respectively. These values are in agreement with previous mechanical analyses of the two bacterial strains.²⁶ When the bacterial cells are exposed to D-Ctl at 40 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, the bacterial stiffness shows two different behaviors according to the bacterial strains. Indeed, the bacterial stiffness of E2152 slightly increased from *c.a.* 600 kPa up to 900 kPa while it decreased from *c.a.* 300 kPa down to 40 kPa for E2146. Here, the impact of D-Ctl on the bacterial stiffness is highly influenced by the presence of the of Type 1 *fimbriae* structures. In the absence of Type 1 *fimbriae*, a stiffening of about 30% is observed over 24 hour-treatment whereas a dramatic softening of about 85% when Type 1 *fimbriae* are expressed.

The mechanical behavior of E2152 under D-Ctl treatment seemed counterintuitive in respect with the membrane permeabilization supported by the *BacLight*TM assays. However, mechanical stiffening under AMP has been already reported for huge peptides accumulation at bacterial envelope concomitantly to its permeabilization.^{31, 41-42} The mechanical behavior of E2146 under D-Ctl treatment is in good agreement with previous observations²⁴ that have

evidenced a reduction of bacterial stiffness by a factor of 3 with respect to the untreated bacteria. This dramatic evolution of bacterial stiffness was explained by membrane permeabilization as supported by the *BacLight*TM assays and should reflect the loss of cytosol that led to bacterial lysis and death.^{24, 43-44} Here, we clearly evidenced unexpected different mechanical effects of D-Ctl on *E. coli* cells constitutively expressing or not the Type 1 *fimbriae*. How such opposite mechanical effects can be explained, and what is the influence of the bacterial external structures on the D-Ctl activity?

To address these questions, and to understand the molecular origin in such differences of mechanical effects, the evolution of the biochemical fingerprints of D-Ctl and the two bacterial strains were monitored by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR). Indeed, ATR-FTIR allows the investigation of physiological, biochemical and conformational changes of D-Ctl.

3.4. Infrared spectral fingerprints of the bacteria submitted or not to D-Ctl

IR-ATR spectra from both *E. coli* suspensions in presence or not of D-Ctl are shown in **Figure 8** and **Figure 9**. One should notice that the background for every spectrum presented here is the supernatant free of bacteria corresponding to the suspension under study. Therefore, the spectra are representative for the remaining bacteria only, the contribution of the medium being removed.

The spectra of the bacteria cultured without D-Ctl showed the specific features of Gram-negative bacteria.^{24, 30, 45} Usual signatures of the biochemical components of bacterial cells, i.e. proteins (P), nucleic acids (NA), phospholipids (PL) and polysaccharides (PS) are present (main assignments are given in **Figures 8a** and **Figure 9a**). The overall intensities of the whole spectra increased in as a function of the increase of the time of culture in accordance with the growth curves recorded with the same conditions (**Figure 1**).

384 When E2152 was submitted to D-Ctl for 1 and 4 hours, the general spectral signatures of the
385 spectra were preserved with respect to those of the bacteria of the control experiment for all
386 three concentrations of D-Ctl. However, the spectra stayed at the same level of intensities
387 showing, in accordance with growth curves, that at least the growth was very quickly stopped
388 (**Figure 8**). After 14 hours of D-Ctl exposure to bacteria, the signal-to-noise ratio of the
389 spectra were very low, suggesting a very low concentration of bacteria in those suspensions.
390 After 14 hours of D-Ctl exposure, the intensities of the bands at ~ 1240 and 1085 cm^{-1} mainly
391 assigned to PO_2 stretchings of nucleic acids were very low, suggesting that the metabolic
392 activity was also very low.

393 One can emphasize that the contribution of PLs to the global spectral profile is low and the
394 loss of the latter is negligible since no cell debris showing bacterial lysis has been observed
395 either in AFM or in epifluorescence microscopy.

396 When E2146 was exposed to D-Ctl at the MIC, the general features of the spectra were
397 similar at every time of exposure to D-Ctl, looking as those of bacteria from the control
398 experiment (**Figure 9b**). In addition, the whole intensity of the spectrum after 1 hour of the D-
399 Ctl exposure was similar to the control experiment after 1 hour of culture. The whole
400 intensities of the spectra did not change drastically suggesting that bacteria did not grow upon
401 the exposure to D-Ctl. However, bands assigned mainly to nucleic acids around 1230 and
402 1086 cm^{-1} , were present. They suggested that the metabolic activity was maintained at least
403 partially for 14 hours at the MIC. The features of the spectra from the bacteria after contact
404 with D-Ctl at $5 \times$ and $10 \times$ MIC were different (**Figures 9c, d**). Indeed, bands at ~ 1621 and
405 $\sim 1526\text{ cm}^{-1}$ newly appeared in the region of amide bands from proteins and peptides. These
406 bands are assigned to β -sheets. It has been shown that D-Ctl, which is unstructured in
407 solution, forms antiparallel β -sheets that aggregate at the surface of negatively charged
408 bacterial mimetic membranes.⁹ Therefore, it can be suggested that D-Ctl accumulated on

or/and in the bacteria forming such aggregates on or/and in the bacteria. The spectral region between 1250 and 1000 cm^{-1} was very different with respect to the control spectra. The general shape of these bands, mainly assigned to PO_2 stretchings of nucleic acids, were less resolved as compared to the control spectra and their intensities relative to amide bands were lower (**Figures 9c, d**). The structure and the amount of nucleic acids were affected by the presence of D-Ctl. This suggested an intracellular action of D-Ctl when its concentration was very high. Altogether, spectroscopic analyses suggested that D-Ctl activity led to a dramatic decrease in metabolism of both bacterial strains until a total break depending on AMP concentration. Such interruption in bacterial metabolism seemed to be enhanced when bacterial cell-wall are decorated with type-1 *fimbriae*.

3.5. Impact of parietal structures on the D-Ctl mode of action

As regarding the results obtained from both microbiological and physico-chemical investigations, one can notice the occurrence of two different behaviors in D-Ctl activity as a function of the D-Ctl concentration (at MIC and ≥ 5 MIC). D-Ctl antibacterial activity is not related to the initial bacterial concentration considered for both strains, *i.e.* the concentrations are very similar whatever the strain for a given OD_{600} . Besides, this activity is very different between the nude cell-wall and the Type 1 *fimbriae* decorated bacteria.

In details, when both bacterial strains are exposed to D-Ctl at MIC, almost all membranes are damaged after 4 and 14 hours without any pore formation upon AFM observations. However, the membranes are more impacted for the nude cell-wall bacteria than those with the Type 1 *fimbriae*. Besides, *BacLight*TM assays and ATR-FTIR measurements supported that their metabolism is not totally interrupted but disturbed. Indeed, E2146 bacteria appeared filamentous; the presence of D-Ctl disrupted the cell division. On the contrary very few cells of E2152 appeared filamentous. Both strains bacteria appeared inflated with higher diameter

size and continued to elongate but failed to septate. This can be subsequent to the blocking of DNA replication, interruption in chromosome segregation, or inhibition of cell division.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷

One can notice that there is no significant evolution of bacterial stiffness at MIC concentration as evidenced in **Figure 7d** while important modifications occurred at higher D-Ctl concentrations as reported in **Figure 7a, b**. These observations suggested that the D-Ctl action on bacterial stiffness strongly depends on the occurrence of parietal structures (*i.e.* here type-I *fimbriae*). This assumption is supported by the progressive bacterial stiffness drop of E2146 when D-Ctl concentration was increased from MIC to $5 \times \text{MIC}$ (**Figure 7d**). One can notice that a slight evolution in bacterial stiffness has been evidenced for E2152 strain (data not shown) in accordance with the one observed for high D-Ctl concentrations reported in **Figure 7a, b**.

At $5 \times \text{MIC}$ and $10 \times \text{MIC}$, both bacterial strains did not grow anymore. After 14 hours of exposure with D-Ctl, the ATR-FTIR spectroscopic investigations revealed that the amount of nucleic acids for E2152 is also low with respect to the protein amount, suggesting the stop of the metabolic activity. Concerning E2146, the cells have mostly damaged membranes. However, the staining was not homogenous inside the bacteria, bright red-orange dots occurred within the cells. It is concomitant with aggregation of D-Ctl with the formation of β -sheets confirmed by ATR-FTIR investigations. As PI is a nucleic acids dye, one can suggest that the bacterial nucleic acids aggregated upon the binding of D-Ctl. Previous studies have shown that cationic AMP with antiparallel β -sheets and/or rich in arginine can bind nucleic acids.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ The membrane is not the only target of the peptide as it is shown here for E2146. Conversely, E2152 bacteria staining is homogeneous whatever the exposure time suggesting that D-Ctl remained at the bacterial cell-wall. The constitutive expression of Type 1 *fimbriae* with respect to the nude cell-wall strain can weaken the outer membrane and thus can facilitate the D-Ctl translocation. Therefore, D-Ctl activity could be characterized by the

damaging of the outer membrane *via* electrostatic interactions followed by the peptide translocation. Further intracellular translocation results in the decrease or the inhibition of the acid nucleic synthesis. It is evidenced by the bacterial filamentation at the MIC mainly for E2146 and by the aggregation of nucleic acids at the highest concentrations of D-Ctl.

The small differences observed in the bacterial growth for increasing concentration of D-Ctl, are supported by macroscopic effects such as modifications of the bacterial suspension turbidity. Indeed, **Figure 10** showed drastic effects of D-Ctl increasing concentration on suspension turbidity and bacterial aggregation. For E2152 bacteria, the increase of D-Ctl concentration led to an important bacterial aggregation at the bottom of the spectrophotometer cuvettes delimited by the white dashed lines. For E2146 bacteria, the effect of increasing D-Ctl concentration is lower on bacterial aggregation and the bacterial suspension became less and less cloudy suggesting a more important bacteriolytic activity. These results are in agreement with the difference in bacterial surface charge density of the two strains. The surface of E2152 is almost 6 times more negatively charged than E2146 according to previous studies that highlighted surface charge density of about -170 mM and -30 mM for E2152 and E2146, respectively.²⁶ Such differences should lead to larger interactions and accumulation of D-Ctl onto E2152 bacterial cell wall than the latter onto E2146. Further analyses combining zeta potential and dynamic light scattering could be more relevant to decipher the molecular mechanism behind this macroscopic phenomenon. Besides, overexpression of Type I *fimbriae* could significantly weaken the bacterial outer-membrane and facilitate its permeabilization by the antimicrobial peptides. This phenomenon has been previously reported for LPS modifications/expression modulation that impact on the bacterial resistance/tolerance to antimicrobial peptides and antibiotics.⁵¹⁻⁵²

4. Conclusion

In the present study, we report a systematic analysis of nanomechanical and spectrochemical properties related to the antibacterial mechanism of action on two *E. coli* strains expressing or not Type 1 *fimbriae* exposed to different D-Ctl doses and during several exposure periods. It is found that depending of D-Ctl concentration, bacterial stiffness is dramatically modified concomitantly to major membrane damages and important changes in the spectral fingerprint of the bacteria. However, no pore formation has been observed while all bacterial membranes were permeabilized under high D-Ctl concentrations. In case of the occurrence of fimbrial structures, an intracellular mode of action was shown also. Our results evidenced that D-Ctl activity is highly impacted by the cell-wall external structures and surface properties such as Type 1 *fimbriae* leading or not to macroscopic aggregation. In this way, as it was reported on the LPS modification, the modulation of parietal structures expressions could have a strong impact on the bacterial resistance/tolerance to antimicrobial peptides and therefore can be a strategy used by bacteria to bypass the immune defenses.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank C. Beloin from Institut Pasteur – Paris for critical reading of the manuscript, fruitful help and discussion on microbiological aspects of our work. FQ and GF thank the Spectroscopy and Microscopy Service Facility (SMI) of LCPME (Université de Lorraine-CNRS – <http://www.lcpme.cnrs-nancy.fr>). GEF and OP acknowledge support by the Swiss national science foundation under grant number 205320_152675.

Supporting Information

Structures and physicochemical properties of D-cateslytin and scheme of bacterial strains cell wall (**Figure S1**), AFM imaging and height profiles of reference bacteria E2152 in absence of D-Ctl and performed in air after CO₂ critical point drying (**Figure S2**), AFM imaging and height profiles of reference bacteria E2152 in presence of D-Ctl at MIC concentration and performed in air after CO₂ critical point drying (**Figure S3**), AFM imaging and height profiles of reference bacteria E2152 in presence of D-Ctl at 5 × MIC concentration and performed in air after CO₂ critical point drying (**Figure S4**), AFM imaging and height profiles of reference bacteria E2152 in presence of D-Ctl at 10 × MIC concentration and performed in air after CO₂ critical point drying (**Figure S5**), AFM imaging and height profiles of E2146 bacteria in absence of D-Ctl and performed in air after CO₂ critical point drying (**Figure S6**), AFM imaging and height profiles of E2146 bacteria in presence of D-Ctl at MIC concentration and performed in air after CO₂ critical point drying (**Figure S7**), AFM imaging and height profiles of E2146 bacteria in presence of D-Ctl at 5 × MIC concentration and performed in air after CO₂ critical point drying (**Figure S8**), AFM imaging and height profiles of E2146 bacteria in presence of D-Ctl at 10 × MIC concentration and performed in air after CO₂ critical point drying (**Figure S9** Evolution with time of stiffness ratio for E2152 and E2146 in presence of D-Ctl at 40 µg/mL (**Figure S10**), *In-situ* monitoring of D-Ctl activity on E2146 bacteria (Fast scanning of trapped single bacterium) (**Figure S11**), AFM imaging of E2146 bacteria in absence and in presence of D-Ctl at 5 × MIC concentration and performed in air after gentle nitrogen drying (**Figure S12**), and AFM imaging of E2152 bacteria in absence and in presence of D-Ctl at 5 × MIC concentration and performed in air after gentle nitrogen drying (**Figure S13**). The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

534 **References:**

- 535 1. Williams, K. J.; Bax, R. P., Challenges in developing new antibacterial drugs. *Curr.*
536 *Opin. Investig. Drugs* **2009**, *10* (2), 157-63.
- 537 2. Ribeiro da Cunha, B.; Fonseca, L. P.; Calado, C. R. C., Antibiotic Discovery: Where
538 Have We Come from, Where Do We Go? *Antibiotics (Basel)* **2019**, *8* (2), 45.
- 539 3. Silver, L. L., Challenges of antibacterial discovery. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2011**, *24* (1),
540 71-109.
- 541 4. Tacconelli, E.; Carrara, E.; Savoldi, A.; Harbarth, S.; Mendelson, M.; Monnet, D. L.;
542 Pulcini, C.; Kahlmeter, G.; Kluytmans, J.; Carmeli, Y.; Ouellette, M.; Outterson, K.; Patel, J.;
543 Cavaleri, M.; Cox, E. M.; Houchens, C. R.; Grayson, M. L.; Hansen, P.; Singh, N.;
544 Theuretzbacher, U.; Magrini, N.; Group, W. H. O. P. P. L. W., Discovery, research, and
545 development of new antibiotics: the WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and
546 tuberculosis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *18* (3), 318-327.
- 547 5. Corrêa, J. A. F.; Evangelista, A. G.; Nazareth, T. d. M.; Luciano, F. B., Fundamentals
548 on the molecular mechanism of action of antimicrobial peptides. *Materialia* **2019**, *8*, 100494.
- 549 6. Kumar, P.; Kizhakkedathu, J. N.; Straus, S. K., Antimicrobial Peptides: Diversity,
550 Mechanism of Action and Strategies to Improve the Activity and Biocompatibility *In Vivo*.
551 *Biomolecules* **2018**, *8* (1).
- 552 7. Shai, Y., Mode of action of membrane active antimicrobial peptides. *Biopolymers*
553 **2002**, *66* (4), 236-48.
- 554 8. Wenzel, M.; Chiriac, A. I.; Otto, A.; Zweytick, D.; May, C.; Schumacher, C.; Gust, R.;
555 Albada, H. B.; Penkova, M.; Kramer, U.; Erdmann, R.; Metzler-Nolte, N.; Straus, S. K.;
556 Bremer, E.; Becher, D.; Brotz-Oesterhelt, H.; Sahl, H. G.; Bandow, J. E., Small cationic
557 antimicrobial peptides delocalize peripheral membrane proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
558 *A.* **2014**, *111* (14), E1409-18.
- 559 9. Jean-Francois, F.; Castano, S.; Desbat, B.; Odaert, B.; Roux, M.; Metz-Boutigue, M.
560 H.; Dufourc, E. J., Aggregation of cateslytin beta-sheets on negatively charged lipids
561 promotes rigid membrane domains. A new mode of action for antimicrobial peptides?
562 *Biochemistry* **2008**, *47* (24), 6394-402.
- 563 10. van der Weide, H.; Brunetti, J.; Pini, A.; Bracci, L.; Ambrosini, C.; Lupetti, P.;
564 Paccagnini, E.; Gentile, M.; Bernini, A.; Niccolai, N.; Jongh, D. V.; Bakker-Woudenberg, I.;
565 Goessens, W. H. F.; Hays, J. P.; Falciani, C., Investigations into the killing activity of an
566 antimicrobial peptide active against extensively antibiotic-resistant *K. pneumoniae* and *P.*
567 *aeruginosa*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr.* **2017**, *1859* (10), 1796-1804.
- 568 11. Malanovic, N.; Lohner, K., Gram-positive bacterial cell envelopes: The impact on the
569 activity of antimicrobial peptides. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr.* **2016**, *1858* (5), 936-46.
- 570 12. Joo, H. S.; Fu, C. I.; Otto, M., Bacterial strategies of resistance to antimicrobial
571 peptides. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B* **2016**, *371* (1695).

- 572 13. Silhavy, T. J.; Kahne, D.; Walker, S., The bacterial cell envelope. *Cold Spring Harb*
573 *Perspect. Biol.* **2010**, 2 (5), a000414.
- 574 14. Miller, S. I., Antibiotic Resistance and Regulation of the Gram-Negative Bacterial
575 Outer Membrane Barrier by Host Innate Immune Molecules. *mBio* **2016**, 7 (5), e01541-16.
- 576 15. Le, C. F.; Fang, C. M.; Sekaran, S. D., Intracellular Targeting Mechanisms by
577 Antimicrobial Peptides. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2017**, 61 (4), e02340-16.
- 578 16. Scocchi, M.; Mardirossian, M.; Runti, G.; Benincasa, M., Non-Membrane
579 Permeabilizing Modes of Action of Antimicrobial Peptides on Bacteria. *Curr. Top. Med.*
580 *Chem.* **2016**, 16 (1), 76-88.
- 581 17. Brogden, K. A., Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or metabolic inhibitors in
582 bacteria? *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2005**, 3 (3), 238-50.
- 583 18. Jackson, K. E.; Miller-White, T., The intracellular target of the antimicrobial peptide
584 thanatin. *J. Immunol.* **2018**, 200 (1 Supplement), 170.17-170.17.
- 585 19. Nijnik, A.; Hancock, R., Host defence peptides: antimicrobial and immunomodulatory
586 activity and potential applications for tackling antibiotic-resistant infections. *Emerg. Health*
587 *Threats J.* **2009**, 2, e1.
- 588 20. Hancock, R. E.; Haney, E. F.; Gill, E. E., The immunology of host defence peptides:
589 beyond antimicrobial activity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2016**, 16 (5), 321-34.
- 590 21. Gupta, S.; Bhatia, G.; Sharma, A.; Saxena, S., Host defense peptides: An insight into
591 the antimicrobial world. *J. Oral Maxillofac. Pathol.* **2018**, 22 (2), 239-244.
- 592 22. Hemshekhar, M.; Anaparti, V.; Mookherjee, N., Functions of Cationic Host Defense
593 Peptides in Immunity. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* **2016**, 9 (3).
- 594 23. Schuerholz, T.; Brandenburg, K.; Marx, G., Antimicrobial peptides and their potential
595 application in inflammation and sepsis. *Crit. Care* **2012**, 16 (2), 207.
- 596 24. Zaet, A.; Darteville, P.; Daouad, F.; Ehlinger, C.; Quiles, F.; Francius, G.; Boehler,
597 C.; Bergthold, C.; Frisch, B.; Prevost, G.; Lavalle, P.; Schneider, F.; Haikel, Y.; Metz-
598 Boutigue, M. H.; Marban, C., D-Cateslytin, a new antimicrobial peptide with therapeutic
599 potential. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, 7 (1), 15199.
- 600 25. Aslam, R.; Marban, C.; Corazzol, C.; Jehl, F.; Delalande, F.; Van Dorsselaer, A.;
601 Prevost, G.; Haikel, Y.; Taddei, C.; Schneider, F.; Metz-Boutigue, M. H., Cateslytin, a
602 chromogranin A derived peptide is active against *Staphylococcus aureus* and resistant to
603 degradation by its proteases. *PLoS One* **2013**, 8 (7), e68993.
- 604 26. Francius, G.; Polyakov, P.; Merlin, J.; Abe, Y.; Ghigo, J. M.; Merlin, C.; Beloin, C.;
605 Duval, J. F., Bacterial surface appendages strongly impact nanomechanical and electrokinetic
606 properties of *Escherichia coli* cells subjected to osmotic stress. *PLoS One* **2011**, 6 (5), e20066.
- 607 27. Antao, E. M.; Wieler, L. H.; Ewers, C., Adhesive threads of extraintestinal pathogenic
608 *Escherichia coli*. *Gut Pathog.* **2009**, 1 (1), 22.

- 609 28. Krogfelt, K. A., Bacterial adhesion: genetics, biogenesis, and role in pathogenesis of
610 fimbrial adhesins of *Escherichia coli*. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* **1991**, 13 (4), 721-35.
- 611 29. Connell, I.; Agace, W.; Klemm, P.; Schembri, M.; Marild, S.; Svanborg, C., Type 1
612 fimbrial expression enhances *Escherichia coli* virulence for the urinary tract. *Proc. Natl.*
613 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1996**, 93 (18), 9827-32.
- 614 30. Freudenthal, O.; Quiles, F.; Francius, G., Discrepancies between Cyclic and Linear
615 Antimicrobial Peptide Actions on the Spectrochemical and Nanomechanical Fingerprints of a
616 Young Biofilm. *ACS Omega* **2017**, 2 (9), 5861-5872.
- 617 31. Quiles, F.; Saadi, S.; Francius, G.; Bacharouche, J.; Humbert, F., In situ and real time
618 investigation of the evolution of a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* nascent biofilm in the presence
619 of an antimicrobial peptide. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr.* **2016**, 1858 (1), 75-84.
- 620 32. Francis, L. W.; Gonzalez, D.; Ryder, T.; Baer, K.; Rees, M.; White, J. O.; Conlan, R.
621 S.; Wright, C. J., Optimized sample preparation for high-resolution AFM characterization of
622 fixed human cells. *J. Microsc.* **2010**, 240 (2), 111-21.
- 623 33. Kurtzman, C. P.; Baker, F. L.; Smiley, M. J., Specimen holder to critical-point dry
624 microorganisms for scanning electron microscopy. *Appl. Microbiol.* **1974**, 28 (4), 708-12.
- 625 34. Dillow, A. K.; Dehghani, F.; Hrkach, J. S.; Foster, N. R.; Langer, R., Bacterial
626 inactivation by using near- and supercritical carbon dioxide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
627 **1999**, 96 (18), 10344-8.
- 628 35. Lazrag, M.; Lemaitre, C.; Castel, C.; Hannachi, A.; Barth, D., Aerogel production by
629 supercritical drying of organogels: Experimental study and modelling investigation of drying
630 kinetics. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **2018**, 140, 394-405.
- 631 36. Sneddon, I. N., The relation between load and penetration in the axisymmetric
632 Boussinesq problem for a punch of arbitrary profile. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* **1965**, 3 (1), 47-57.
- 633 37. Gavara, N.; Chadwick, R. S., Determination of the elastic moduli of thin samples and
634 adherent cells using conical atomic force microscope tips. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, 7 (11),
635 733-6.
- 636 38. Polyakov, P.; Soussen, C.; Duan, J. B.; Duval, J. F. L.; Brie, D.; Francius, G.,
637 Automated Force Volume Image Processing for Biological Samples. *PLoS One* **2011**, 6 (4),
638 e18887.
- 639 39. Peric, O.; Hannebelle, M.; Adams, J. D.; Fantner, G. E., Microfluidic bacterial traps
640 for simultaneous fluorescence and atomic force microscopy. *Nano Research* **2017**, 10 (11),
641 3896-3908.
- 642 40. Odermatt, P. D.; Shivanandan, A.; Deschout, H.; Jankele, R.; Nievergelt, A. P.; Feletti,
643 L.; Davidson, M. W.; Radenovic, A.; Fantner, G. E., High-Resolution Correlative
644 Microscopy: Bridging the Gap between Single Molecule Localization Microscopy and
645 Atomic Force Microscopy. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, 15 (8), 4896-4904.

41. Zhu, Y.; Mohapatra, S.; Weisshaar, J. C., Rigidification of the *Escherichia coli* cytoplasm by the human antimicrobial peptide LL-37 revealed by superresolution fluorescence microscopy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2019**, *116* (3), 1017-1026.
42. Pogoda, K.; Piktel, E.; Deptula, P.; Savage, P. B.; Lekka, M.; Bucki, R., Stiffening of bacteria cells as a first manifestation of bactericidal attack. *Micron* **2017**, *101*, 95-102.
43. da Silva, A., Jr.; Teschke, O., Effects of the antimicrobial peptide PGLa on live *Escherichia coli*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr.* **2003**, *1643* (1-3), 95-103.
44. Pott, T.; Gerbeaud, C.; Barbier, N.; Meleard, P., Melittin modifies bending elasticity in an unexpected way. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **2015**, *185*, 99-108.
45. Quiles, F.; Humbert, F.; Delille, A., Analysis of changes in attenuated total reflection FTIR fingerprints of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* from planktonic state to nascent biofilm state. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* **2010**, *75* (2), 610-6.
46. Salomon, R. A.; Farias, R. N., Microcin 25, a novel antimicrobial peptide produced by *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **1992**, *174* (22), 7428-35.
47. Subbalakshmi, C.; Sitaram, N., Mechanism of antimicrobial action of indolicidin. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **1998**, *160* (1), 91-6.
48. Yonezawa, A.; Kuwahara, J.; Fujii, N.; Sugiura, Y., Binding of tachyplesin I to DNA revealed by footprinting analysis: significant contribution of secondary structure to DNA binding and implication for biological action. *Biochemistry* **1992**, *31* (11), 2998-3004.
49. Park, C. B.; Kim, H. S.; Kim, S. C., Mechanism of action of the antimicrobial peptide buforin II: buforin II kills microorganisms by penetrating the cell membrane and inhibiting cellular functions. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1998**, *244* (1), 253-7.
50. Sim, S.; Wang, P.; Beyer, B. N.; Cutrona, K. J.; Radhakrishnan, M. L.; Elmore, D. E., Investigating the nucleic acid interactions of histone-derived antimicrobial peptides. *FEBS Lett.* **2017**, *591* (5), 706-717.
51. Band, V. I.; Weiss, D. S., Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Peptide Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Antibiotics (Basel)* **2015**, *4* (1), 18-41.
52. Gunn, J. S., Bacterial modification of LPS and resistance to antimicrobial peptides. *J. Endotoxin Res.* **2001**, *7* (1), 57-62.

677 **Graphical Abstract**

678

High D-Ctl activity and accumulation at bacterial cell wall

679 Low D-Ctl activity and accumulation at bacterial cell wall

680

FIGURE AND TABLE CAPTIONS.

TABLES

Table 1. Average length (μm) of bacteria in presence or not to antimicrobial peptide D-Ctl (red: average length for red bacteria in the images; green: average length for green bacteria in the images).

Figure 1: Growth curves of *E. coli* MDR measured at 23°C by the recording of the optical density at 600 nm (DO_{600}) for different concentrations of D-Ctl. (a), (b), (c): E2152; (d), (e), (f): E2146; (a), (d) initial $\text{DO}_{600} = 0.001$; (b), (e): initial $\text{DO}_{600} = 0.01$; (c), (f) initial $\text{DO}_{600} = 0.5$.

Figure 2. Representative epifluorescence images of *E. coli* E2152 submitted or not to the presence of D-Ctl at different concentrations and several times of exposure (*BacLight*TM staining). (a), (e), (i): control experiment (0 x MIC); (b), (f), (j): D-Ctl at MIC (8 $\mu\text{g/mL}$); (c), (g), (k): D-Ctl at 5 x MIC (40 $\mu\text{g/mL}$); (d), (h), (l): D-Ctl at 10 x MIC (80 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) (a), (b), (c), (d): after 1 hour; (e), (f), (g), (h): after 4 hours; (i), (j), (k), (l): after 14 hours. Bars: 20 μm (white), 1 μm (yellow).

Figure 3. Representative epifluorescence images of *E. coli* E2146 submitted or not to the presence of D-Ctl at different concentrations and several times of exposure (*BacLight*TM staining). (a), (e), (i): control experiment (0 x MIC); (b), (f), (j): D-Ctl at MIC (8 $\mu\text{g/mL}$); (c), (g), (k): D-Ctl at 5 x MIC (40 $\mu\text{g/mL}$); (d), (h), (l): D-Ctl at 10 x MIC (80 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) (a), (b), (c), (d): after 1 hour; (e), (f), (g), (h): after 4 hours; (i), (j), (k), (l): after 14 hours. Bars: 20 μm (white), 1 μm (yellow).

706

707 **Figure 4.** AFM imaging in air after CO₂ critical point drying of samples of *E. coli* E2152
708 submitted or not to the presence of D-Ctl at different concentrations and several times of
709 exposure. (a), (e), (i): control experiment (0 × MIC); (b), (f), (j): D-Ctl at MIC (8 µg/mL); (c),
710 (g), (k): D-Ctl at 5 × MIC (40 µg/mL); (d), (h), (l): D-Ctl at 10 × MIC (80 µg/mL) (a), (b), (c),
711 (d): after 1 hour; (e), (f), (g), (h): after 4 hours; (i), (j), (k), (l): after 14 hours. Bar: 1µm.

712

713 **Figure 5.** AFM imaging in air after CO₂ critical point drying of samples of *E. coli* E2146
714 submitted or not to the presence of D-Ctl at different concentrations and several times of
715 exposure. (a), (e), (i): control experiment (0 × MIC); (b), (f), (j): D-Ctl at MIC (8 µg/mL); (c),
716 (g), (k): D-Ctl at 5 × MIC (40 µg/mL); (d), (h), (l): D-Ctl at 10 × MIC (80 µg/mL) (a), (b), (c),
717 (d): after 1 hour; (e), (f), (g), (h): after 4 hours; (i), (j), (k), (l): after 14 hours. Bar: 1µm.

718

719 **Figure 6.** Morphology evolution of *E. coli* MDR exposed or not to D-Ctl at several
720 concentrations; (a): Evolution of E2152 bacterial diameter in absence and in presence of D-
721 Ctl after 1, 4 and 14 hours; (b): Evolution of E2146 bacterial diameter in absence and in
722 presence of D-Ctl after 1, 4 and 14 hours.

723

724 **Figure 7.** *In-situ* monitoring of bacterial stiffness of *E. coli* MDR exposed or not to D-Ctl at 5
725 × MIC; (a): E2152 in absence and in presence of D-Ctl (black and grey squares, respectively);
726 (b): E2146 in absence and in presence of D-Ctl (black and grey circles, respectively); (c):
727 Evolution of stiffness ratio upon D-Ctl treatment at 5 × MIC (40 µg/mL) for E2152 and
728 E2146 (black rectangles and white circles, respectively); (d): *In-situ* monitoring of E2146
729 bacterial stiffness evolution after 2 injections of D-Ctl over 3 hours (D-Ctl concentration was
730 initially set at 8 µg/mL and increased to 40 µg/mL after the second injection)..

731

732 **Figure 8:** ATR-FTIR spectra of planktonic *E. coli* E2152 submitted or not to the presence of
733 D-Ctl at different concentrations after 1 hour (black spectra), after 4 hours (red spectra) and
734 after 14 hours (blue spectra). (a): control experiment ($0 \times \text{MIC}$); (b): D-Ctl at MIC ($8 \mu\text{g/mL}$);
735 (c): D-Ctl at $5 \times \text{MIC}$ ($40 \mu\text{g/mL}$); (d): D-Ctl at $10 \times \text{MIC}$ ($80 \mu\text{g/mL}$). Key: P, proteins; NA:
736 nucleic acids; PL: phospholipids, PS: polysaccharides.

737

738 **Figure 9:** ATR-FTIR spectra of planktonic *E. coli* E2146 submitted or not to the presence of
739 D-Ctl at different concentrations after 1 hour (black spectra), after 4 hours (red spectra) and
740 after 14 hours (blue spectra). (a): control experiment ($0 \times \text{MIC}$); (b): D-Ctl at MIC ($8 \mu\text{g/mL}$);
741 (c): D-Ctl at $5 \times \text{MIC}$ ($40 \mu\text{g/mL}$); (d): D-Ctl at $10 \times \text{MIC}$ ($80 \mu\text{g/mL}$). Key: P, proteins; NA:
742 nucleic acids; PL: phospholipids, PS: polysaccharides.

743

744 **Figure 10:** Tentative mechanism of action of D-Ctl at the different concentrations on E2152
745 (top) and E2146 (bottom).

746