

Serological diversity in Flavobacterium psychrophilum: A critical update using isolates retrieved from Chilean salmon farms

Rubén E. Avendaño-Herrera, Diana Tapia-Cammas, Éric Duchaud, Rute

Irgang

► To cite this version:

Rubén E. Avendaño-Herrera, Diana Tapia-Cammas, Éric Duchaud, Rute Irgang. Serological diversity in Flavobacterium psychrophilum: A critical update using isolates retrieved from Chilean salmon farms. Journal of Fish Diseases, 2020, 43 (8), pp.877-888. 10.1111/jfd.13199 . hal-02938415

HAL Id: hal-02938415 https://hal.science/hal-02938415

Submitted on 23 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	Serological diversity in <i>Flavobacterium psychrophilum</i> : a critical update
3	using isolates retrieved from Chilean salmon farms
4	
5	Ruben Avendaño-Herrera ^{1,2,3} , Diana Tapia-Cammas ² , Eric Duchaud ⁴ & Rute Irgang ²
6	
7 8	¹ Universidad Andrés Bello, Laboratorio de Patología de Organismos Acuáticos y Biotecnología Acuícola, Facultad de Ciencias de la Vida, Viña del Mar, Chile.
9	² Interdisciplinary Center for Aquaculture Research (INCAR), Viña del Mar, Chile.
10 11	³ Centro de Investigación Marina Quintay (CIMARQ), Universidad Andrés Bello, Valparaíso, Chile.
12	⁴ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, UVSQ, VIM, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas, France.
13	
14	
15	Running title: Updated serotyping for Chilean F. psychrophilum
16	
17	*Corresponding author:
18	Dr. Ruben Avendaño-Herrera Universidad Andrés Bello, Quillota 980, Piso 4 – Torre C,
19	Viña del Mar, Chile. E-mail address: <u>ravendano@unab.cl</u> - <u>reavendano@yahoo.com</u>

20 Abstract

21 Chile is currently the second largest producer of farmed salmon worldwide, but 22 *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*, as one of the most detrimental pathogens, is responsible for 23 major losses during the freshwater culturing step in salmonid fish farms. An antigenic study 24 conducted 10 years ago reported four serological groups using 20 F. psychrophilum Chilean 25 strains. To reduce disease outbreaks and to develop vaccine candidates, antigenic knowledge 26 needs to be regularly updated using a significant number of additional recent F. 27 *psychrophilum* isolates. The present study aimed at investigating the serological diversity of 28 118 F. psychrophilum isolates collected between 2006-2018 from farmed Atlantic salmon 29 (Salmo salar), rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), and coho salmon (Oncorhynchus 30 *kisutch*). The current study supports an expansion of the known antigenic groups in Chile 31 from 4 to 14. However, the use of the slide-agglutination technique for serotyping is costly, 32 labor-intensive, and requires significant technical expertise. Addressing these points, the 33 mPCR-based procedure was a very useful tool for serotyping the collected Chilean F. 34 psychrophilum isolates. This technique revealed the presence of diverse mPCR serotypes (i.e., 35 types 0, -1, -2, and 4). Therefore, mPCR should be employed to select the bacterial strain(s) 36 for vaccine development and to conduct follow-up, selective breeding, or epidemiological 37 surveillance in Chilean fish farms. Given the presented findings, changes to Chilean fish-38 farming practices are vital for ensuring the continued productivity and wellbeing of farmed 39 salmonids.

40

41 Keywords: serological diversity, serotype PCR, *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*, Chilean
42 salmon farms.

44 1. INTRODUCTION

45 Flavobacterium psychrophilum is the etiological agent of bacterial cold-water disease and 46 rainbow trout fry syndrome, two conditions that are responsible for major losses during the culturing step in freshwater salmonid fish farms worldwide (Nematollahi, Decostere, 47 48 Pasmans & Haesebrouck, 2003). Though variable, mortalities resulting from these conditions 49 without intervention generally range from 2-30% (Wiens, Palti, & Leeds, 2018), but in 50 extreme cases, mortalities can be as high as 50–90% (Cipriano & Holt 2005; Nilsen, Olsen, 51 Vaagnes, Helleberg, Bottolfsen, Skjelstad & Colquhoun, 2011). These diseases affect 52 essentially salmonids but non-salmonid freshwater or anadromous fish may also be affected 53 (Elsayed, Eissa, & Faisal, 2006; Verma & Prasad, 2014; Soares, Walker, Elwenn, Bayliss, 54 Garden, Stagg, & Munro, 2019).

55 In Chile, which is currently the second largest producer of farmed salmon worldwide, 56 these conditions have been observed since 1993 in facilities for freshwater rainbow trout 57 (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and the incidence of F. psychrophilum has since dramatically 58 increased in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) and coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) (Bustos, 59 Calbuyahue, Montaña, Opazo, Entrala & Solervisenc, 1995; Avendaño-Herrera, Ilardi & 60 Fernández, 2009). This pathogen causes significant to high mortality rates (i.e. 5-70%) in 61 fingerlings, making it one of the most detrimental pathogens for Chilean freshwater 62 aquaculture facilities (Godoy & Avendaño-Herrera, 2012). Despite the severe impact of this 63 pathogen, antimicrobial therapies are currently the only control method in farmed fish. 64 Outbreak control on Chilean farms using estimated tons of florfenicol and oxytetracycline 65 has provoked detrimental environmental consequences (Henríquez-Núñez, Evrard, Kronvall, 66 & Avendaño-Herrera, 2012; Avendaño-Herrera, 2018).

Journal of Fish Diseases

67 The development of a sustainable aquaculture requires better epidemiological 68 knowledge of circulating pathogens and of intraspecific genetic/antigenic pathogen diversity. 69 To reduce disease outbreaks and to develop vaccine candidates, epidemiological research 70 was conducted on different Chilean F. psychrophilum isolates recovered from disease 71 outbreaks. Valdebenito and Avendaño-Herrera (2009), using RAPD, 16S rRNA alleles, and 72 REP-PCR, reported relative genetic homogeneity among 20 Chilean F. psychrophilum 73 isolates collected from farmed Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout. The same year, Avendaño-74 Herrera, Araya, and Fernández (2009) proposed that the disease outbreaks in Chilean farms 75 were dominated by a closely related cluster of strains, as determined by pulsed-field gel 76 electrophoresis with 12 isolates. However, Avendaño-Herrera, Houel, Irgang, Bernardet, 77 Godoy, Nicolas, and Duchaud (2014) using multi-locus sequence typing on 94 Chilean 78 isolates, revealed a countrywide distribution of 15 genotypes closely related to those most 79 prevalent in European and North American fish farms, in addition to overlapping host species 80 for the different lineages.

81 While genotypic information about Chilean F. psychrophilum strains is arguably 82 abundant, antigenic classification studies are starkly lacking. Only a single investigation on 83 20 isolates has been published for Chile (Valdebenito & Avendaño-Herrera, 2009), the 84 results of which contributed to the tentative licensing of a commercial vaccine in 2013. The 85 so-termed Flavomune vaccine (SAG No2160-BP) contains whole cells inactivated with 86 formaldehyde (Solis, Poblete-Morales, Cabral, Valdés, Reyes, Avendaño-Herrera & Feijóo, 87 2015). In the seven years since the initial applications of this vaccine, sales and use in 88 aquaculture facilities have decreased as a result of efficiency concerns (publication in 89 process). Therefore, it is essential to update antigenic knowledge using a high number of 90 recently collected F. psychrophilum isolates, in addition to applying up-to-date

- 91 methodological tools for comparative purposes (e.g. molecular serotyping via mPCR [Rochat,
- 92 Fujiwara-Nagata, Calvez, Dalsgaard, Madsen, Calteau, & Duchaud, 2017]). In the present
- 93 study, 118 F. psychrophilum isolates retrieved from Chilean salmonid farms were subjected
- 94 to serological and genetic analyses to provide a 10-year update in knowledge, better elucidate
- 95 pathogen origin and propagation, and propose control and management practices.

Periez Cool

97 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

98 2.1. Bacterial isolates and growth conditions

99 A total of 118 F. psychrophilum isolates were examined (Table 1). These isolates were 100 recovered between 2006 and 2018 from rainbow trout. Atlantic salmon, and coho salmon 101 directly sampled in the field or sent to diagnostic laboratories. Most specimens presented 102 typical clinical signs of bacterial cold-water disease or rainbow trout fry syndrome. The F. *psychrophilum* type strain NCIMB 1947^T (serotype Fp^T) was included for comparative 103 104 purposes in all analyses. Each isolate was confirmed as F. psychrophilum by using standard 105 phenotyping procedures (Bernardet, Nakagawa, & Holmes, 2002), including analyses of 106 colony morphology and pigmentation, cell morphology, gliding motility, Gram-staining, 107 cytochrome oxidase and catalase activities, oxidation/fermentation reactions, the presence of 108 cell wall-associated flexirubin-type pigments, and the absorption of Congo red.

For all experiments, *F. psychrophilum* strains were routinely grown on the tryptone yeast extract salts medium (0.4% tryptone, 0.05% yeast extract, 0.02% anhydrous calcium chloride, 0.05% magnesium sulphate heptahydrate, pH 7.2) in either a liquid or solid state (tryptone yeast extract salts medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) bacteriological agar). Bacteria were aerobically incubated at 18 °C for 3-5 days. Stock cultures were maintained frozen at -80 °C in Cryobille tubes (AES Laboratory) in tryptone yeast extract salts broth with 15% glycerol.

116

117 **2.2 DNA extraction and confirmation of bacterial species**

118 Chromosomal DNA was extracted using the InstaGeneTM Matrix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) 119 following the manufacturer's recommendations. DNA concentration was adjusted using 1X 120 Tris-EDTA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to 5 or 50 ng μ L⁻¹ (depending on the genetic

Journal of Fish Diseases

121 analysis) with a NanoDropTM Lite (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Extracted DNA was 122 maintained at -20 °C until use in PCR analyses. Amplification reactions used 2 μ L of each 123 DNA solution.

In addition to phenotypic tests, each isolate was genetically confirmed as F. 124 125 psychrophilum prior to antigenic and genetic typing using two different PCR tests (Urdaci, 126 Chakroun, Faure, & Bernardet, 1998). The amplification cycles used for denaturation, primer 127 annealing, and primer extension were carried out according to published PCR protocols 128 (Urdaci et al., 1998). Negative controls, consisting of the same reaction mixture but with 129 sterile distilled water instead of template DNA, were included in each PCR batch. Each 130 reaction was prepared using $0.5 \,\mu\text{L}$ of each primer (10 μM) and the GoTaq® Green Master 131 Mix (Promega), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Positive results included the 132 presence of a single product with a size comparable to strain NCIMB 1947^T (i.e. 1088 base 133 pairs [bp] for primers FP1 and FP2) (Urdaci, Chakroun, Faure, & Bernardet, 1998). Aliquots 134 (5 μ L) of the PCR product were separated on a 1% (w/v) agarose gel for 60 min at 100 V in 135 1× TAE and 1× GelRed® Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium). The bands were photographed 136 under UV light and computer digitized (Gel Doc 100, Bio-Rad). The AccuRuler 100bp Plus 137 DNA Ladder (100- to 3000-bp, MaestroGen) served as a molecular mass marker.

138

139 2.3 Serological characterization using antisera

Antigenic analyses were carried out using slide agglutination as described by Valdebenito and Avendaño-Herrera (2009). Briefly, thermostable antigens of each *F. psychrophilum* isolate were obtained by heat-killing the bacterial suspension (10⁹ cells mL⁻¹) in phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.4) at 100 °C for 60 min, followed by a single wash in the same saline solution and maintenance at 4 °C until required. Slide agglutination employed the anti-rabbit 145 polyclonal antibody against representative Atlantic salmon strains (i.e. 1739 and 1196) and 146 rainbow trout isolates (i.e. 1731 and 1150), as well as antisera against strain NCIMB 1947^T 147 (serotype Fp^T) (Valdebenito & Avendaño-Herrera, 2009). Each serological analysis was performed with the unabsorbed rabbit serum. For slide agglutination, 10 μ L of each F. 148 149 psychrophilum serum were mixed with a similar volume of thermostable antigen suspensions 150 on a slide using a gentle rocking motion. When cross reactions were observed with more than 151 two antisera prepared with isolates from different hosts, assays used absorbed antisera with 152 the heterologous antigen (Romalde, Magariños, Barja & Toranzo 1993). The reaction was 153 recorded macroscopically against a dark background after 1 to 2 minutes. Controls for 154 autoagglutination were performed in a saline buffer.

155

156 2.4 Multiplex PCR-based serotyping

The Chilean isolates were typed using the multiplex PCR (mPCR)-based serotyping method described by Rochat et al. (2017). In order to maximize the discriminatory power of the scheme, an additional primer pair, able to identify a supplementary mPCR serotype (namely type-4) was included. This primer pair [Type-4_fw (5'-TGAAGCAAAAGCAACAAACA-3') and Type-4_rev (5'-CCCCAAACTGCTTACCTAAT-3')] resulted in an amplification product of 992 bp.

PCR analyses were performed in a total volume of 25 μL using the GoTaq® Green Master
Mix (Promega) and 10 Mm of each primer. The mPCR amplification mix was heated at 95 °C

- 165 for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 60 s, and a final
- 166 extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The amplified products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose
- 167 gels run in 1× TAE (0.04 M Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). The gels were photographed under

- 168 UV light and computer digitized (Gel Doc 100, Bio-Rad), and the AccuRuler 100bp Plus
- 169 DNA Ladder (MaestroGen) was used as the molecular size standard.
- 170

171 **2.5 PCR- restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP)**

172 Two primer pairs were used (Izumi, Aranishi, & Wakabayashi, 2003); a degenerate universal 173 primer pair (GYR-1/GYR-1R) and a specific primer pair for the gyrB gene of F. 174 psychrophilum (PSY-G1F/PSY-G1R). The expected amplification products were 1178 bp 175 and 290 bp for the universal primer pair and 1017 bp for the specific primer pair. 176 Thermocycle programs for the amplifications were carried out according to Izumi et al. 177 (2003). The PCR products were digested according to the manufacturer's instructions with 178 two restriction enzymes, Rsal (Promega, GYR-1/GYR-1R) and Hinfl (New England BioLabs 179 Inc., PSY-G1F/PSY-G1R). The digested product (10 μ L) was analyzed by horizontal 180 electrophoresis.

- 181
- 182 2.6 16S rRNA allele PCR assays

183 PCR analyses were used to differentiate the presence of a single or both 16S rRNA alleles, 184 which provide information about polymorphisms or genetic lineages I and II (Soule, LaFrentz, Cain, LaPatra, & Call, 2005). Distribution of these alleles among the different isolates were 185 186 determined with two independent PCR tests using primers for the allele of strain CFS259-93 187 and that of strain ATCC 49418^T (equivalent to NCIMB 1947^T). These primers respectively 188 amplify products of 600 and 298 bp. The PCR program included one denaturation cycle at 189 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 61 °C for 60 s, and 72 °C for 60 s, 190 and a final extension of one cycle at 72 °C for 10 min (Ramsrud, LaFrentz, LaFrentz, Cain, 191 & Call, 2007).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

193 All isolates were biochemically homogeneous regardless of source (i.e. salmon or trout) and 194 year of isolation, and all were identical to the type strain NCIMB 1947^T. Regarding 195 phenotypic testing, all bacterial isolates were long, slender, Gram-negative rods with gliding 196 motility that were catalase positive and weakly cytochrome-oxidase positive. All isolates 197 contained cell wall-associated flexirubin-type pigments, but did not absorb Congo red. The FP1-FP2 primer pairs produced a unique PCR product of the expected length (i.e. 1088 bp), 198 199 thereby providing another indication that all studied isolates belongs to the species F. 200 *psychrophilum* (data not shown).

201 A total of 118 F. psychrophilum isolates were serotyped and genotyped (Table 1). Of 202 these, 84 isolates were obtained from rainbow trout, 33 from Atlantic salmon, and 1 from 203 coho salmon. Salmon farming take place in a wide spatial distribution covering from central to southern Chile (~1700 km), with most freshwater farm and hatcheries located in the Biobío. 204 205 La Araucanía, Los Ríos and Los Lagos regions also known as VIII, IX, XIV and X regions, 206 respectively (Quiñones, Fuentes, Montes, Soto, & León-Muñoz, 2019). Our isolates 207 originated from the following regions in Chile, listed geographically from north to south: 208 Valparaíso, 1 isolate; Metropolitana de Santiago, 6 isolates; Maule, 6 isolates; Biobío, 34 209 isolates; La Araucanía, 49 isolates; Los Ríos, 2 isolates; Los Lagos, 5 isolates and Aysén del 210 General Carlos Ibáñez del Campo, 10 isolates. The precise geographical origin was 211 unavailable for 5 isolates (Table 1). The quantity of isolates collected per year was as follows: 212 9 isolates in 2006; 3 in 2007; 13 in 2011; 19 in 2013; 24 in 2014; 12 in 2015; 20 in 2017 and 213 18 in 2018. Importantly, the number of F. psychrophilum isolates included per region and 214 per year does not reflect disease prevalence.

215

216 **3.1 Serological characterization using antisera**

217 Based on the slide agglutination test proposed by Valdebenito and Avendaño-Herrera (2009) 218 for Chilean F. psychrophilum, serotyping was performed on the 118 isolates. Eight of the 219 included isolates (i.e. 1733, 1658, 1793, 1779, 19250, 1150, 19443, and 1731) were originally 220 reported in Valdebenito and Avendaño-Herrera (2009). Unfortunately, isolates 1196 and 221 1739 could not be included in the study. Nevertheless, the results still stand as isolate 1733 222 presents the same profile as isolates 1196 and 1739 from Atlantic salmon (Valdebenito & 223 Avendaño-Herrera, 2009). Slide agglutination assays using O-antigens confirmed the 224 existence of antigenic heterogeneity, but while the 2009 study reported four main patterns 225 (groups 1-4) of serological reactions, the current investigation defined a total of 14 226 serological combinations (Supplementary Table 1). These groups included five isolates 227 without a serological reaction to any the four antisera used, which were prepared against two 228 isolates recovered from Chilean rainbow trout and Atlantic salmon, respectively. However, 229 these isolates showed strong reaction with the anti-serum obtained with the type strain. The 230 four previously described patterns of serological groups (Valdebenito & Avendaño-Herrera, 231 2009) represented 59.66% of the currently assessed isolates. The first group dominated, 232 comprising 35 of the 118 F. psychrophilum isolates that showed cross-reactions with the 233 unabsorbed antisera raised against the two Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout isolates.

234

235 **3.2 Multiplex PCR-based serotyping**

The mPCR-based serotyping method also showed antigenic heterogeneity among the Chilean isolates. Worth noting, the present study proposed the design of an updated mPCR protocol able to discriminate an additional serotype (Type-4), which was not previously described by

239 Rochat et al. (2017). The distribution of PCR-serotypes for rainbow trout, Atlantic salmon,

240 and coho salmon isolates are shown in Figure 1. F. psychrophilum isolates obtained from 241 rainbow trout and Atlantic salmon in La Araucanía region were mixed, regardless of serotype. 242 Therefore, the 49 Chilean isolates from this region were classified as type-0, 2, and 4. During 243 the early development of the industry in Chile, freshwater fish farms were mainly located in 244 the Los Lagos region (X region). Given the growing demand for eggs, fingerlings and smolts, 245 the industry then began to build freshwater fish farms in more northern areas (Ouiñones et al., 2019). The Araucanía region (IX region) is referred to as the "cradle" of Chilean salmon 246 247 farming as it is where reproductive farms are located and where spawning occurs. After initial 248 growth (> 5 g on average), fish are distributed to different regions in Chile. The most 249 proximal is the Biobío region (VIII), where F. psychrophilum type-2 isolates were also 250 observed in rainbow trout and Atlantic salmon.

In the present study, six Chilean F. psychrophilum isolates belonged to type-0. 251 252 Applying the guidelines described in Rochat et al. (2017), type-0 isolates correspond to Fp^{T} 253 serotype according to the Lorenzen and Olesen (1997) serotyping scheme and did not contain 254 any genes related to FI056 50102, DK002 320117, or FPC840 340035 encoding different 255 O-antigen polymerases (Cisar, Bush, & Wiens, 2019). Type-0 isolates were obtained from 256 rainbow trout and Atlantic salmon over a wide geographical distribution and many years of 257 isolation (between 2006 and 2017) (Figure 1a). Moreover, 15 isolates belonged to type-1 258 (corresponding to the Fd serotype according to the Lorenzen and Olesen serotyping scheme), 259 and 64 isolates belonged to type-2 (corresponding to the Th serotype according to the 260 Lorenzen and Olesen serotyping scheme) (Figure 1b & c). In addition, no Chilean F. 261 *psychrophilum* isolate was classified as serotype-3. This serotype has been specifically 262 described for isolates recovered from avu (Plecoglossus altivelis) or avu eggs in Japan 263 (Rochat et al., 2017), but, in Chile, this fish species is neither cultivated nor endemic. The

Journal of Fish Diseases

lack of serotype-3 isolates was consistent with the results of PCR-RFLP analyses, which didnot find genotype A among the 118 Chilean isolates.

266 Furthermore, the presently obtained data clearly show that 33 of the 118 isolates 267 belong to serotype-4 (Figure 1d). Based on host distribution, serotype-1 was detected 268 exclusively from rainbow trout in perfect accordance with previous findings (Rochat et al. 269 2017), while the remaining serotypes were not associated with or exclusive to a certain fish 270 host (i.e. Atlantic salmon or rainbow trout). In particular, Rochat et al. (2017) reported that 271 22 of 23 strains retrieved from coho salmon belonged to type-0, being the Chilean isolate 272 MHC 1710K, an exception. Therefore, two Chilean isolates obtained from coho salmon, 273 MHC 1710K obtained in 2001 (Avendaño-Herrera et al., 2014) and LM-10-Fp from the 274 present study belonged to serotype-2. Nevertheless, the present data are limited in relation to 275 serotyping in coho salmon since only one sample (isolate LM-10-Fp) from this species was 276 available (Table 1), and more isolates will be needed to substantiate the initial conclusions 277 drawn from the two Chilean isolates in coho salmon.

A final point worth highlighting is that *F. psychrophilum* isolates obtained from the same farms and years presented serological diversity, with more than one serotype found among the sampled isolates. For example, isolates recovered from outbreaks in 2014 at the EP aquaculture facilities were classified as type-0, type-1, and type-2. This reflects greater diversity than was found for the antigenic patterns reported by Valdebenito and Avendaño-Herrera (2009).

284

285 **3.3 PCR-RFLP and 16S rRNA allele PCR assays**

286 RFLP analysis of gvrB PCR products using the *Hinfl* enzyme showed an identical cleavage 287 pattern of the DNA fragment for all 118 F. psychrophilum isolates corresponding to genotype 288 B. This finding aligns with previous descriptions by Valdebenito and Avendaño-Herrera 289 (2009) for Chilean isolates. When RFLP tests were performed on PCR products amplified with the specific primer pair PSY-G1F and PSY-G1R, 24 isolates (20.3%) belonged to 290 291 genotype R, while the remaining 94 isolates (79.7%) were grouped as genotype S. These 292 results confirm a lack of relationship between PCR-RFLP genotyping and host fish species 293 (i.e. Atlantic salmon or rainbow trout) in Chilean isolates. This contrasts with reports from 294 Japan, where 90.3% of F. psychrophilum isolates recovered from rainbow trout were 295 determined to be genotype R (Izumi et al., 2003).

296 The distribution of the two 16S rRNA alleles was also examined to determine if there 297 was an association with the fish species (trout [genetic lineage I] vs Pacific salmon [genetic 298 lineage II]), as described by Ramsrud et al. (2007). Of the studied isolates, 72% (n = 85) 299 contained only the alleles found in strain CFS 259-93, while the remaining 33 isolates were 300 positive for both the alleles found in the type strain and in strain CSF 259-93 (Table 1). While 301 there was a clearly major cluster, no association could be found with the host fish species, in 302 line with findings from the 2009 study (Valdebenito & Avendaño-Herrera, 2009). However, 303 all Chilean F. psychrophilum isolates classified as type-2 contained only the alleles found in 304 strain CSF 259-93 (corresponding to lineage II), while the majority of the type-4 isolates (i.e. 305 30 of 33) presented both allele sequences (Table 1).

The presently obtained results, from both slide agglutination and mPCR, evidence much greater antigenic heterogeneity than found in 2009, though analyses used the same four Chilean antisera. Differences in antigens, antisera, and serotyping techniques (e.g. slide

Journal of Fish Diseases

agglutination or ELISA) can result in a lack of consensus among serological groups for
bacterial species, which can complicate vaccine development. By contrast, PCR-based
serotyping proved greatly advantageous for serotyping the Chilean isolates, aligning with
outcomes reported by Saticioglu, Duman, Wiklund, and Altun (2018) for Turkish rainbowtrout isolates.

314 The observed antigenic variability could have arisen from pressures exerted by overlapping host ranges, particularly as most fish farms in Chile house mixed stocks of 315 316 different salmonids (e.g. Atlantic salmon, rainbow trout, and coho salmon) (Avendaño-317 Herrera et al., 2009; 2014). Furthermore, fish movements are an integral part of the Chilean 318 salmon farming production cycle (Mardones, Martine-Lopez, Valdes-Donoso, Carpenter, & 319 Perez, 2014). In general, most eggs are incubated and raised from fry until pre-smolt states 320 at the hatchery facility, where movements of live fish to another freshwater facility occur. 321 So, Chilean fish-farming practices mean that most fish are transported once or twice over 322 their lifetime to complete development, often over long distances (> 380 km) (Godoy & 323 Avendaño-Herrera, 2012) and in the different freshwater facilities (e.g. lake-based, tank and 324 cage systems, estuary cage systems, stream-based flow-through systems, and recirculation 325 tank systems).

In addition to transport during growth, use of the same commercial vaccine for more than five years has possibly provoked changes in the predominant serotypes or serological groups in Chile. In fact, routine use of the same vaccine and antigens can trigger vaccine breaks, as has been described for other freshwater diseases in Chile, such as enteric red mouth disease (Bastardo, Bohle, Ravelo, Toranzo & Romalde 2011). Apart from vaccination, Chilean aquaculture farms have, for years, combatted *F. psychrophilum* by using autochthonous immersion bacterins (Bravo & Midtlyng, 2007). Nevertheless, these bacterins

are made from single isolates, and, ultimately, information about treatment effectivity interms of relative survival is lacking.

The present study provides previously unknown information relevant to bacterin treatments – a single farm could harbor *F. psychrophilum* isolates belonging to distinct antigenic groups or serotypes, in line with the serotyping patterns reported by Valdebenito and Avendaño-Herrera (2009) and Rochat et al. (2017). Taken together, the aforementioned practices have likely further contributed to the serological diversity reported herein for *F*.

Periez

340 psychrophilum.

- 341
- 342
- 343
- 344 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

345 The present study provides a critical update to the knowledge on serological diversity in F. 346 *psychrophilum*. While prior studies had already reported the presence different serological 347 groups of strains in Chile, the current study supports an expansion of the known antigenic 348 groups in Chile from 4 to 14. However, the use of the slide-agglutination technique for 349 serotyping is costly, labor-intensive, and requires significant technical expertise. Addressing 350 these points, the mPCR-based procedure was a very useful tool for serotyping the collected 351 Chilean F. psychrophilum isolates. It reveals the presence of diverse mPCR serotypes (i.e., 352 types 0, -1, -2, and 4). Therefore, the application of mPCR and could be employed for the 353 selection of bacterial strain for vaccine development and follow up, selective breeding, or 354 epidemiological surveillance in Chilean fish farms. Given the presented findings, changes to 355 Chilean fish-farming practices are vital for ensuring the continued productivity and wellbeing 356 of farmed salmonids.

357

358 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- This study was supported by the FONDAP 15110027 grant awarded by the Agencia Nacional
- de Investigación y Desarrollo (ANID, Chile). The authors are grateful to the collaboration of
- 361 the veterinary teams from the different salmonid farms. Special thanks are expressed to
- 362 Professor Jean-Francois Bernardet for his aid.

363

364 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

- 365 The authors declare that research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
- 366 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

367

368

369 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

373 REFERENCES

- Avendaño-Herrera, R. (2018). Proper antibiotics use in the Chilean salmon industry: Policy
 and technology bottlenecks. *Aquaculture*, 495, 803–805.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.06.072
- Avendaño-Herrera, R., Houel, A., Irgang, R., Bernardet, J.-F., Godoy, M., Nicolas, P., &
 Duchaud, E. (2014). Introduction, expansion and coexistence of epidemic
 Flavobacterium psychrophilum lineages in Chilean fish farms. *Veterinary*
- 380 *Microbiology*, *170 (3-4)*, 298–306. https://doi.org/ doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.02.009
- 381 Avendaño-Herrera, R., Ilardi, P., & Fernández, J. (2009). Significance of Flavobacterium
- diseases on salmonid farming in Chile. Second Conference Flavobacterium 2009, 21–
 23, Septiembre, Paris—Francia.
- Avendaño-Herrera, R., Araya, P., & Fernández, J. (2009). Molecular analysis of
 Flavobacterium psychrophilum isolates from salmonid farms in Chile. *Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists*, 29(6), 184–192.
- Bastardo, A., Bohle, H., Ravelo, C., Toranzo, A.E. & Romalde, J.L. (2011). Serological and
 molecular heterogeneity among *Yersinia ruckeri* strains isolated from farmed Atlantic
 salmon *Salmo salar* in Chile. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, 93(3),* 207–214.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/dao02296
- 391 Bernardet, J-F., Nakagawa, Y., & Holmes, B. (2002). Proposed minimal standards for
- describing new taxa of the family *Flavobateriaceae* and emended description of the
- 393 family. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 52, 1049–
- 394 1070. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/00207713-52-3-1049

395	Bravo, S. & Midtlyng, I	P.J. (2007). The use of fish va	accines in the Chile	an salmon industry
396	1999–2003.	Aquaculture,	270(1–4),	36–42.
397	https://doi.org/10.	1016/j.aquaculture.2007.06.01	17	
398	Bustos, P.A., Calbuyahu	ie, J., Montaña, J., Opazo, B.,	, Entrala, P., & Sole	ervisenc, R. (1995).
399	First isolation of a	Flexibacter psychrophilus, as	s causative agent of	f rainbow trout fry
400	syndrome (RTFS), producing rainbow trout	mortality in Chil	e. Bulletin of the
401	European Associa	tion of Fish Pathologists, 15,	162–164.	
402	Cisar, J.O., Bush, C.A	., & Wiens, G.D. (2019).	Comparative struct	ural and antigenic
403	characterization	of genetically distinct	Flavobacterium p	sychrophilum O-
404	polysaccharides.	Frontiers in	Microbiology,	<i>10</i> , 1041.
405	https://doi.org/10.2	3389/fmicb.2019.01041. eCol	llection 2019	
406	Elsayed, E.E., Eissa, A.	E. & Faisal, M. (2006) Isolat	ion of <i>Flavobacter</i>	ium psychrophilum
407	from sea lamprey,	Petromyzon marinus L., with	n skin lesions in Lak	e Ontario. Journal
408	of Fish Diseases, 2	29, 629–632. https://doi.org/1	0.1111/j.1365-2761	.2006.00756.x.
409	Godoy, M., & Avenda	ño-Herrera, R. (2012). Path	nological, diagnosti	c, therapeutic and
410	epidemiological a	aspects of cold water disea	ase in Chile. In:	Third Conference
411	Flavobacterium 20)12, Junio, Turku–Finlandia, p	pp. 5–7.	
412	Gregory D.W., Palti, Y	., & Leeds, T.D. (2018). Th	ree generations of	selective breeding
413	improved rainbow	v trout (Oncorhynchus mykis	ss) disease resistar	ice against natural
414	challenge with h	Flavobacterium psychrophili	um during early	life-stage rearing.
415	Aquaculture, 497,	414-421.		

416	Henríquez-Núñ	iez, H., Evi	ard, O	., Kronval	l, G., & Avend	año-Herrera, F	R. (2012)
417	Antimicro	obial suscept	ibility a	nd plasmid	profiles of Flavol	bacterium psych	hrophilum
418	strains	isolated	in	Chile.	Aquaculture,	354–355,	38-44
419	https://do	i.org/10.1016	j.aquac	ulture.2018	.07.064		

- Izumi, S., Aranishi, F., & Wakabayashi, H. (2003). Genotyping of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* using PCR-RFLP analysis. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 56(3), 207–
- 422 214.
- Lorenzen, E., & Olesen, N.J. (1997). Characterization of isolates of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* associated with coldwater disease or rainbow trout fry syndrome II:
 serological studies. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 31*, 209–220. https://doi.org/
 10.3354/dao031209
- 427 Mardones, F.O., Martinez-Lopez, B., Valdes-Donoso, P., Carpenter, T.E., & Perez, A.M.
- 428 (2014). The role of fish movements and the spread of infectious salmon anemia virus
- 429 (ISAV) in Chile, 2007–2009. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 114, 37–46.
- 430 https://10.1016/j.prevetmed.2014.01.012
- Nematollahi, A., Decostere, A., Pasmans, F., & Haesebrouck, F. (2003). *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* infections in salmonid fish. *Journal of Fish Diseases, 26(10),* 563–574.
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2761.2003.00488.x.
- 434 Nilsen, H., Olsen, A.B., Vaagnes, Ø., Helleberg, H., Bottolfsen, K., Skjelstad, H., Colquhoun,
- 435 D.J. (2011). Systemic *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* infection in rainbow trout,
- 436 Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum), farmed in fresh and brackish water in Norway.

437	Journal of Fish Diseases, 34(5), 403-408. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
438	2761.2011.01249.x.
439	Quiñones, R.A., Fuentes, M., Montes, R.M., Soto, D., & León-Muñoz, J. (2019)
440	Environmental issues in Chilean salmon farming: a review. Reviews in Aquaculture 11,
441	375-402. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/raq.12337
442	Ramsrud A.L., LaFrentz S.A., LaFrentz B.R., Cain K.D. & Call D.R. (2007). Differentiating
443	16S rRNA alleles of Flavobacterium psychrophilum using a simple PCR assay,
444	Journal of Fish Diseases 30(3), 175–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
445	2761.2007.00795.x
446	Rochat, T., Fujiwara-Nagata, E., Calvez, S., Dalsgaard, I., Madsen, L., Calteau, A., &
447	Duchaud, E. (2017). Genomic characterization of Flavobacterium psychrophilum
448	serotypes and development of a multiplex PCR-based serotyping scheme. Frontiers in
449	Microbiology, 8, 1752. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01752
450	Romalde, J.L., Magariños, B., Barja, J.L. & Toranzo, A.E. (1993). Antigenic and molecular
451	characterization of Yersenia ruckeri. Proposal for a new intraspecies classification.
452	Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 16(3), 411-419. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-
453	2020(11)80274-2
454	Saticioglu, I.B., Duman, M., Wiklund, T. & Altun, S. (2018) Serological and genetic
455	characterization of Flavobacterium psychrophilum isolated from farmed salmonids in
456	Turkey. Journal of Fish Diseases, 41(12), 1899-1908.
457	https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.12901

458 Soares, S.M.C., Walker, A., Elwenn, S.A., Bayliss, S., Garden, A.,	stagg, H.E.B. & I	Munro
--	-------------------	-------

- 459 E.S. (2019) First isolation of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* associated with reports of
- 460 moribund wild European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) in Scotland. *Journal of Fish Diseases*,

461 *42(11)*, 1509-1521. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.13069

- 462 Solís, C.J., Poblete-Morales, M., Cabral, S., Valdés, J.A., Reyes, A.E., Avendaño-Herrera,
- R., & Feijóo, C.G. (2015) Neutrophil migration in the activation of the innate immune
 response to different *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* vaccines in zebrafish (*Danio Journal of Immunology Research*, 515187.
 https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/515187
- Soule M., LaFrentz S., Cain K., LaPatra S. & Call D. (2005) Polymorphisms in 16S rRNA
 genes of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* with elastin and tetracycline resistance. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 65(3), 209–216. https://doi.org/ 10.3354/dao065209
- 470 Urdaci, M.C., Chakroun, C., Faure, D., & Bernardet, J.-F. (1998). Development of a
- 471 polymerase chain reaction assay for identification and detection of the fish pathogen
- 472 Flavobacterium psychrophilum. Research in Microbiology, 149(7), 519–530.
- 473 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-2508(98)80006-5
- Verma, V., & Prasad, Y. (2014). Isolated and immunohistochemical identification of *Flavobacterium psycrhophilum* from the tissue of catfish, *Clarias batrachus. Journal of Environmental Biology*, *35(2)*, 389–393

478 Figure legends

- 479 **Figure 1** Distribution of Chilean F. psychrophilum recovered rainbow trout, Atlantic salmon
- 480 and coho salmon isolates (n = 118) farmed in different geographical areas from
- 481 Chile according to the PCR serotyping method (i.e. type 0, 1, 2 and 4).

Journal of Fish Diseases

Table 1 *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* strains used in this study and their molecular serotypes, serological groups, and PCR-RFLP analysis for each isolate. Abbreviations: AtS, Atlantic salmon; Rt, rainbow trout; Cs, coho salmon; ++, positive reaction; -, negative reaction; ni, uninformed; RM, Metropolitana; V, Valparaíso; VII, Maule; VIII, Biobío; IX, La Araucanía; X, Los Lagos; XI, Aysén and XIV; Los Ríos.

									Agglutir	nation with	serum a	nti-	Geno usi	types	
								Atla	ntic	Rain	bow	Control	PCR-	RFLP	
								salr	non	tro	out		-		
	Isolates	Host	Year	State	Farm	Organ	Serotype	1196	1739	1150	1731	ATCC 49418 ^t	A/B	R/S	16S RNA allele
1	1733	Rt	2006	VIII	AT	Kidney	Type-0	++	++	++	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
2	CUR-L4	AtS	2013	IX	CR	Ulcer	Type-0	-	++	-	-	-	В	S	Both
3	CC26	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Spleen	Type-0	-	++	-	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
4	PF-38	AtS	2014	RM	ΡÑ	Kidney	Type-0	++	++	-	++	++	В	S	Both
5	P9	Rt	2015	IX	MP	Ulcer	Type-0	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Both
6	Pto5	Rt	2017	VIII	ni	Ulcer	Type-0	-	-	++	-	-	В	R	Only CSF
7	1658	Rt	2006	Х	RP	Kidney	Type-1	++	++	-	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
8	1793	Rt	2006	VIII	AT	kidney	Type-1	++	-	++	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
9	1779	Rt	2006	VIII	AT	Kidney	Type-1	-	++	++	-	-	В	R	Only CSF
10	19250	AtS	2006	XI	PC	Skin	Type-1	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
11	C7	Rt	2011	V	RB	Kidney	Type-1	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
12	C8	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Ulcer	Type-1	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
13	C13	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Kidney	Type-1	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
14	CC1	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Liver	Type-1	-	++	-	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
15	CC5	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Kidney	Type-1	-	++	-	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
16	CC8	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Tail	Type-1	-	++	-	-	++	В	R	Only CSF
17	CC17	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Spleen	Type-1	++	++	++	-	++	В	R	Both
18	CC50	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Fresh water	Type-1	-	++ 🥌	++	-	-	В	R	Only CSF
19	FP003	Rt	2015	IX	VR	ni	Type-1	++	-	++	++	-	В	R	Both
20	FP005	Rt	2017	XIV	PP	ni	Type-1	++	++	++	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
21	[4]	Rt	2017	ni	ni	Spleen	Type-1	-	-	++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF

									Aggluti	nation with	serum ai	nti-	Geno	types	
								Atla salr	ntic non	Rain tro	bow ut	Control	usi PC RF	ng R- LP	
	Isolates	Host	Year	State	Farm	Organ	Serotype	1196	1739	1150	1731	ATCC 49418 ^T	A/B	R/S	16S RNA allele
22	1150	Rt	2006	IX	ТМ	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
23	19443	AtS	2006	Х	LQ	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
24	1731	Rt	2006	VIII	AT	ni	Type-2	++	++	-	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
25	LM-22-Fp	Rt	2007	Х	OS	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
26	LM-10-Fp	Cs	2007	XIV	PP	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
27	LM-30-Fp	Rt	2007	Х	CT	Gill	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
28	C1	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Spleen	Type-2	++	-	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
29	C4	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
30	C5	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Gill	Type-2	++	++	-	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
31	C6	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
32	C9	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
33	C10	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Ulcer	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
34	C12	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Gill	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
35	C14	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Lesion	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
36	C15	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Gill	Type-2	++	++	-	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
37	C16	Rt	2011	VIII	KR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
38	CC79	AtS	2013	VIII	EP	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
39	CC80	AtS	2013	VIII	EP	Ulcer	Type-2		++	-	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
40	CC81	AtS	2013	VIII	EP	Spleen	Type-2	-	++	-	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
41	CC78	AtS	2013	VIII	EP	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
42	CC82	AtS	2013	VIII	EP	Liver	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
43	CC83	AtS	2013	VIII	EP	Liver	Type-2	-	-	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
44	CC84	AtS	2013	VIII	EP	Liver	Type-2	-	++	-	-	-	В	S	Only CSF

									Agglutir	nation with	n serum a	nti-	Geno	otypes	
								Atla salr	ntic non	Rain tro	bow out	Control	PCR-	RFLP	
	Isolates	Host	Year	State	Farm	Organ	Serotype	1196	1739	1150	1731	ATCC 49418 ^T	A/B	R/S	16S RNA allele
45	MEL 110	AtS	2013	IX	MP	Liver	Type-2	-	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
46	MEL111	AtS	2013	IX	MP	Liver	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
47	MEL122	AtS	2013	IX	MP	Ulcer	Type-2	++	++	++	-	++	В	S	Only CSF
48	CC6	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Liver	Type-2	-	-	++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
49	CC14	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Liver	Type-2	-	-	++	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
50	CC16	Rt	2014	VIII	EP	Liver	Type-2	++	++	++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
51	MA-A5	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Spleen	Type-2	++	-	++	-	++	В	S	Only CSF
52	MA-A6	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Spleen	Type-2	-	++	-	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
53	MA-41	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Liver	Type-2	++	-	++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
54	MA-44	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Liver	Type-2	++	-	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
55	MA-46	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Kidney	Type-2	-	++	-	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
56	MA-49	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Liver	Type-2	++	-	-	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
57	MA-50	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Liver	Type-2	-	++	-	-	-	В	R	Only CSF
58	MA-51	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Kidney	Type-2	-	++	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
59	MA-52	Rt	2014	XI	DC	Spleen	Type-2	-	++	++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
60	FP004	Rt	2015	ni	ni	ni	Type-2	++	++	++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
61	1.1	AtS	2017	ni	ni	ni	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
62	4.1	AtS	2017	ni	ni	ni	Type-2	- (++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
63	4.2	AtS	2017	ni	ni	ni	Type-2	-	-	++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
64	A1B	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
65	A3L	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Ülcer	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Only CSF
66	LBL1	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Ulcer	Type-2	-	-	++	-	-	В	S	Only CSF
67	LBL2	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Ulcer	Type-2	++	-	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF

									Aggluti	nation with	n serum a	nti-	Gen	otypes	
								Atla salı	ntic non	Rain tro	ibow out	Control	PCR	ang -RFLP	
	Isolates	Host	Year	State	Farm	Organ	Serotype	1196	1739	1150	1731	NCIMB 1947 ^t	A/B	R/S	16S RNA allele
68	LBL3	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Ulcer	Type-2	++	++	++	-	++	В	S	Only CSF
69	P1P1/Flp 007	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
70	P1P4/FIp 008	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	++	-	++	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
71	P2P3/Flp 009	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	++	-	-	В	R	Only CSF
72	P3P1/Flp 010	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	-	++	++	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
73	P3P3/Flp 011	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	-	-	-	-	++	В	R	Only CSF
74	P3P5/Flp 012	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	-	-	-	-	++	В	R	Only CSF
75	P4P1/Flp 013	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	++	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
76	P4P2/Flp 014	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Kidney	Type-2	++	-	-	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
77	P4P3/Flp 015	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	++	-	++	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
78	P4P4/Flp 016	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	-	-	++	-	-	В	R	Only CSF
79	P5P4/Flp 018	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	-	++	-	++	++	В	R	Only CSF
80	P5P5/Flp 019	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	-	-	++	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
81	P6P2/Flp 020	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	-	++	++	-	++	В	R	Only CSF
82	P6P3/Flp 021	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	-	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
83	P6P4/Flp 022	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	-	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
84	P6P5/Flp 023	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	-	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
85	P9P3/Flp 027	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Kidney	Type-2	++	++	-	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
86	P9P15/Flp 028	Rt	2018	IX	VR	Spleen	Type-2	++	++	-	++	-	В	R	Only CSF
									J						

									Agglutin	ation with	serum an	ıti-	Geno	otypes ing	
						0		Atla salı	ntic non	Rain tro	bow out	Contro l	PCR-	RFLP	
	Isolates	Host	Year	State	Farm	Organ	Serotype	1196	1739	1150	1731	ATCC 49418 ^T	A/B	R/S	16S RNA allele
87	1779	Rt	2006	Х	RP	ni	Type-4	-	++	-	-	-	В	R	Only CSF
88	CC72	AtS	2013	VIII	KÑ	ni	Type-4	-	-	-	++	++	В	S	Both
89	MEL 99	AtS	2013	IX	MP	Liver	Type-4	-	++	++	++	++	В	S	Both
90	TAC 3H	AtS	2013	VII	S	Liver	Type-4	++	++	++	-	-	В	S	Both
91	TAC 3R	AtS	2013	VII	S	Kidney	Type-4	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Both
92	TAD SP1R	AtS	2013	VII	S	Kidney	Type-4	++	++	-	++	-	В	S	Both
93	TAD SP2R	AtS	2013	VII	S	Kidney	Type-4	++	++	++	-	-	В	S	Both
94	TAD3 SP1 B	AtS	2013	VII	S	Spleen	Type-4	++	++	-	++	-	В	S	Both
95	TAD3 SP1 H	AtS	2013	VII	S	Liver	Type-4	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Both
96	PF-13	AtS	2014	RM	РÑ	Liver	Type-4	++	++	-	++	-	В	S	Both
97	PF-14	AtS	2014	RM	РÑ	Spleen	Type-4	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Both
98	PF-16	AtS	2014	RM	РÑ	Kidney	Type-4	++	++	-	++	-	В	S	Both
99	PF-20	AtS	2014	RM	РÑ	Kidney	Type-4	++	++	-	++	-	В	S	Both
100	PF-37	AtS	2014	RM	РÑ	Spleen	Type-4	++	++	-	++	-	В	S	Both
101	P10	Rt	2015	IX	CR	Ulcer	Type-4	++	-	++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
102	P11	Rt	2015	IX	CR	Ulcer	Type-4	- (++	++	-	В	R	Both
103	P13	Rt	2015	IX	MP	Ulcer	Type-4	++	++	++	-	-	В	S	Both
104	P14	Rt	2015	IX	CR	Ulcer	Type-4	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Both
105	P15	Rt	2015	IX	CR	Ulcer	Type-4	++		++	++	-	В	S	Only CSF
106	P16	Rt	2015	IX	CR	Ulcer	Type-4	-	++	++	++	-	В	S	Both
107	P17	Rt	2015	IX	CR	Liver	Type-4	-	-	++	++	-	В	S	Both
108	P23	AtS	2015	IX	Ll	Liver	Type-4	++	-	++	++	++	В	S	Both
109	P24	AtS	2015	IX	Ll	Ulcer	Type-4	++	++	++	++	-	В	S	Both
110	S1L2	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Ulcer	Type-4	-	-	++	++	-	В	R	Both
111	S1R	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Kidney	Type-4	-	-	-	-	++	В	R	Both

													Agglutin	ation with	n serum a	nti-	Gen	otypes ing	
								Atla salr	ntic non	Rain tro	ibow out	Control	PCR-	RFLP					
	Isolates	Host	Year	State	Farm	Organ	Serotype	1196	1739	1150	1731	ATCC 49418 ^T	A/B	R/S	16S RNA allele				
112	S2L2	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Ulcer	Type-4	++	++	++	++	++	В	S	Both				
113	S3L1	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Ulcer	Type-4	-	-	-	-	++	В	S	Both				
114	S3L2	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Ulcer	Type-4	++	-	++	++	-	В	R	Both				
115	S3R	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Kidney	Type-4	++	++	++	++	++	В	R	Both				
116	S5L1	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Lesion	Type-4	-	-	++	++	-	В	R	Both				
117	S5L2	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Lesion	Type-4	-	-	-	++	++	В	S	Both				
118	S5R	Rt	2017	IX	PN	Kidney	Type-4	-	-	-	-	++	В	R	Both				

ζidney _{yμ}.



Figure 1 279x215mm (300 x 300 DPI)