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Abstract

Recycled Concrete Aggregates are highly porous and may therefore absorb more water than natural aggregates. Several methods allow for the measurement of water absorption requiring drying at temperature above ambient conditions. We show here that, for temperatures above the ambient temperature (> 30°C) and at low relative humidity, the drying of recycled aggregates removes some bounded water contained in hardened cement paste and increases both measured porosity and water absorption. Porosity and XRD suggest that porosity increases due to ettringite dehydration. Thus, the drying step required to characterize recycled aggregates leads to a systematic overestimation of the water absorption. Moreover, this overestimation induces an error in mix-design leading to a higher effective water/cement ratio. If absorption and water content are measured with the same preparation protocol, correction is not needed. We finally propose a correction method for the water absorption measured according to the standard protocol (drying at 105°C).
1. Introduction

Due to the limited natural resources, the use of Recycled Concrete Aggregates (RCA) is today a growing practice in the construction industry. These RCA result from the crushing and grinding of demolition wastes from our buildings and our infrastructures. As such, RCA differ from natural rounded aggregates from river in both composition and microstructure and can be seen as a composite granular material made of natural aggregates and hardened cement paste.

Since hardened cement paste is highly porous, RCA have porosity 10 to 20 times higher than the porosity of a natural aggregate; it depends strongly on the volume fraction of cement paste in the aggregate. In contact with water or fresh cement paste, they may therefore absorb more water than natural aggregates. This absorption may affect in turn the properties of concretes prepared with RCA. Depending on the time needed for saturation of these aggregates, this water absorption can be at the origin of a decrease in workability [1–3]. Moreover, by compensating for this water absorbed in the mix-design, high workability can be generated, which can even lead to concrete segregation. In the hardened state, the concrete is more porous, thus increasing the permeability which increases transfers [3,4]. Due to porosity, mechanical strength may decrease compared to natural aggregate concrete [1,3,5–8] and the expected service life based on durability tests was reported to reduce significantly [9,10]. Thus, porosity and the associated water absorption seems in most studies to be a key parameter for predicting both casting and service-life behavior of concretes incorporating RCA.

Several methods allow for the measurement of water absorption of aggregates [11]. European standards for characterizing the properties of aggregates define a specific method in NF EN 1097-6 [12]. In this test, the aggregates are initially dried. Then, the amount of water absorbed is measured after 24 hours of immersion in water. The drying of the aggregates must be carried out at 105°C. However, the standard was designed before the extensive use of recycled aggregates. Indeed, given the presence of hardened
cement paste in their structure, drying at high temperatures can affect its microstructure and thus modify its water absorption.

Nevertheless, several studies on the absorption of recycled aggregates use a drying protocol based on the above standard with a temperature of 105°C [3,13–15]. Some studies recommend a reduction of the drying temperature to 70-75°C [3,4,16] to limit the alteration of the hardened cement paste. However, it has been shown recently that hydrates like Ettringite are very sensitive to temperature changes above 75°C [17–21]. Moreover, Baquerizo et al. [20] showed that water content in Ettringite changes significantly with a small variation of temperature and relative humidity. Thus, for recycled aggregates, the drying protocol should be carefully chosen and the influence of temperature and relative humidity on the water absorption measurements need to be addressed.

In this paper, we show that the drying of recycled aggregates for temperatures above the ambient temperature (> 30°C) and at low relative humidity (< 20%) removes some bounded water contained in hardened cement paste and increases both measured porosity and measured water absorption. By measuring in parallel porosity and chemical composition variations with temperature, we suggest that the increase in porosity finds its origin in ettringite dehydration, which released bound water in an amount similar to the measured water absorption increase. Moreover, this absorption overestimation induces a systematic error in mix-design leading to a higher effective water/cement ratio. We finally propose a correction method to be applied to the water absorption measured according to the standard protocol (drying at 105°C).

2. Materials and experimental procedures

2.1. Materials

Four CEM I types of cement from four different factories from Lafarge Holcim with specific density around 3.15 were used in this study. These cements were produced in
four different factories (Saint Vigor (SV); Lägerdorf (L); Le Teil (LT) and Saint Pierre La Cour). Their main difference is in their C₃A content. Their chemical composition is given in Table 1. It is obtained through Inductively Coupled Plasma and Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES Horiba ultima 2000), analytical technique that allows the quantification of the element traces in liquid solutions by comparison of standard materials (for the cement) and by Differential thermal analysis (ATD-ATG NETZSCH STA 409E). Their mineral composition is also given in Table 1. The W/C of the cement paste in recycled aggregates varies depending on the concrete origin. To study a wide range of recycled aggregates in terms of absorption and with different microstructures, we prepared cement pastes at different water-to-cement ratios (W/C): at W/C=0.5 with cements SV, L, LT and SPLC; W/C=0.2-0.3-0.4-0.6 with cement L. In the case of W/C=0.2, it was necessary to use a superplasticizer. We chose a commercial one, CHRYSO®Fluid Premia 570 at 1.0% in weight of cement in order to have a homogeneous and fluid material for samples casting. All cement pastes were mixed for 2 min using a Rayneri mixer at 840 rpm.
Table 1

Chemical and mineral composition of the cement powders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition (% by mass)</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Ignition loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vigor (SV)</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lägerdorf (L)</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Teil (LT)</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Pierre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Cour (SPLC)</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral composition (% by mass)</th>
<th>C₃S</th>
<th>C₃S</th>
<th>C₃A</th>
<th>C₄AF</th>
<th>Gypsum</th>
<th>CaO free</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vigor (SV)</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lägerdorf (L)</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Teil (LT)</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Pierre La Cour (SPLC)</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After mixing, the cement pastes were poured into 4x4x16 cm³ molds and demolded after 24 hours. The samples were then immersed in water for 3 months to ensure that cement pastes were close to complete hydration. Afterwards, the hardened cement paste samples were crushed using a jaw crusher to obtain aggregates with different sizes. For this study, we sieved the crushed aggregates and only kept the fraction between 6 to 10 mm in order to ease the measurement of water absorption. Indeed, in the case of smaller
particles (< 4 mm) and highly angular particles such as recycled sand, water absorption according to the standard method induces a high uncertainty on measurements and therefore requires alternative methods [22,23], which are out of the scope of this study.

2.2. Water absorption and porosity measurements

The Water Absorption (WA) is the ratio between the water required to fill a porous sample and its dry mass. The water absorption measurement consists in drying at a specific drying temperature (20°C; 30°C; 45°C; 75°C; 105°C) the samples in order to remove all the water present in the pores ($M_{\text{dry}}$). Then, the samples are immersed in water. The solid-to-liquid ratio is of 100g for 1L, water is not flowing during immersion and hardened cement dissolution is quite slow [24], thus we consider that leaching is neglectable. After 24 hours of water immersion, the samples are removed from water. Finally, the aggregate surface is carefully dried with absorbent cloths until the water films on the aggregate surface disappear to obtain the so-called “Saturated Surface Dry” (SSD) mass ($M_{\text{SSD}}$) in accordance with standard NF EN 1097-6 [12]. To assess its reliability, we also measure the SSD mass with an in-house evaporative method [25]. At this stage, the aggregate is saturated but without free water on the surface.

From these two measurements, the water absorption is computed as:

$$\text{Water absorption (WA)} = \frac{100 \times (M_{\text{SSD}} - M_{\text{dry}})}{M_{\text{dry}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

However, in this study, as we will use different cement pastes with varying water to cement ratio (W/C), the volume of sample will also vary for the same mass $M_{\text{dry}}$. To compare samples with different density, the water absorption by volume is more relevant than by mass. Thus, the porosity $\phi$ (ratio of void to the total volume) is equal to:

$$\text{Porosity } \phi = \frac{100 \times (M_{\text{SSD}} - M_{\text{dry}})}{M_{\text{dry}}} \times \rho_{\text{Aggregate}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\rho_{\text{Aggregate}}$ is the dry density of the cement pastes. This density $\rho_{\text{Aggregate}}$ is equal to the ratio between the dry mass $M_{\text{dry}}$ of the cement paste and the volume of the sample (taking into account the presence of pores), corresponding to the difference between the $M_{\text{SSD}}$ and the mass of the sample immersed in water (measured by hydrostatic weighing
in accordance with standard NF P18-459 [26]).

Since we use various drying temperatures in this study, we had to choose a criterion defining the end of drying (i.e. when all water has evaporated). In this study, we therefore consider that drying is over when the mass loss between two successive weightings at 24-hour intervals is less than 0.05 %. As the drying time can be very long, for instance, one month in the case of drying at 30°C, soda lime aggregates are present in the drying chamber to avoid carbonation. After treatment, the aggregates were stored at 20°C and relative humidity of 6 %, also to limit carbonation.

2.3. Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry

Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry characterizes the structure and the interconnected pores of porous material [27,28]. The mercury intrusion porosimeter used in this study is Autopore IV with maximum and minimum applied pressures of 400 MPa and 0.01 kPa, allowing to characterize pore sizes from 5 nm to 60 µm. To estimate this pore size, we consider a contact angle $\theta_{\text{Hg}} = 141^\circ$. The measurement parameters used for this study are 0.0035 MPa for the initial mercury pressure with an exponential mercury pressure increment until 20 MPa and an equilibrium time for each data point of 10 seconds. The sample mass for each test is between 1.0 to 1.5 grams (approximately equal to 2 or 3 6/10 mm aggregates). Three different temperatures were used (30-75-105°C) to dry the samples before testing.

2.4. X-ray diffraction

The XRD experiments were carried out in a Diffractometer D8 Advance from Bruker with a Cobalt anode under 35 mA/40 kV without monochromator. We measured the diffraction angles between 3 to 80° with an angle increment equal to 0.01° and an acquisition time of 1 second.

To carry out X-Ray diffraction, samples of hardened cement pastes (2- 3 grams) were manually ground (in order to avoid heating the sample during grinding) until samples with a size of less than 63 µm were obtained.

The processing of the obtained diffractograms was performed with the Eva software
coupled to the mineralogical database ICDDPdf2 to determine the presence of crystalline phases typical of a cement paste. Furthermore, to compare their proportion of crystalline phases (Portlandite, Ettringite) quantitatively, the TOPAS software from Bruker was used for quantification by the Rietveld method. The results of Rietveld analyses give the mass percentages of the crystalline phases present in the diffractograms. By knowing the density of each hydrate [27], we then computed the volume fraction of each component.

3. Experimental results

3.1. Water absorption measurements as a function of drying temperature for hardened cement pastes (W/C = 0.5)

In order to evaluate the influence of the drying temperature on water absorption of hardened cement paste, different temperatures were used to prepare the samples before the water absorption measurements.

![Fig. 1. Water absorption of hardened cement paste (W/C 0.5 – cement SV) as a function of the drying temperature.](image-url)
We plot in Fig. 1 the water absorption measurements obtained on a hardened cement paste as a function of the drying temperature applied to the samples before the water absorption measurement. It can be seen that water absorption increases with drying temperature. Indeed, we note a linear increase in water absorption between 30 and 75°C. While the absorption measured after drying at 20 or 30 °C is similar, the water absorption measured after drying at 75 °C is 40% higher than the drying at 20/30°C. The water absorption measured after drying at 105°C is the same as the one measured after drying at 75°C.

3.2. Pore size distribution as a function of drying temperature for hardened cement pastes (W/C = 0.5)

Fig. 2. Pore size distribution of hardened cement paste (W/C 0.5 – cement SV) obtained by mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) after drying at 30, 75 and 105°C.

We observed the porous distribution of a W/C 0.5 hardened cement using mercury intrusion porosimetry for drying temperatures of 30, 75 and 105°C. The pore size distribution for 75 and 105°C is similar and two pore populations are visible, a first population at 50 nm and the second around 12 nm (see Fig. 2). On the contrary, in the
case of drying at 30°C, most of the pores are around 10-20 nm. Thereby, the maximum pore size of dried cement pastes increases with the drying temperature. Indeed, the maximum pore radius of the sample for drying at 105°C is 55 nm, versus 23 nm for the drying at 30°C. Moreover, the total porosity measured by MIP increases sharply with the drying temperature: at 105°C, the porosity is 32 % compared to 25% at 30°C. Therefore, drying at 105°C has the effect of increasing the porosity of the cement paste and also roughly doubling the pore size of the cement paste compared to drying at 30°C.

3.3. Reversibility of porosity increase (W/C = 0.5)

In order to better understand the impact of drying on water absorption, a specific drying sequence was tested. It consists of first drying the cement paste at 105°C and then soaking it in water to measure its water absorption. Following this first step, the sample is dried at 30°C. As soon as the mass loss is stabilized, the sample is soaked in water a second time and its water absorption is measured.

We compare the water absorption results measured for samples that have undergone the specific drying sequence with the reference, corresponding to water absorption in hardened cement pastes directly dried at 30°C.
The water absorption of the hardened cement paste that underwent the specific drying sequence is identical to that of the reference (19 %) (see Fig. 3). Thus, for the investigated samples and conditions (drying at 105°C), the high absorption was not a permanent feature and, after a re-imbibition in water and drying at 30°C, absorption is identical to the one measured on aggregates that were directly dried at 30°C. Therefore, we observe an apparently reversible increase in absorption after drying at 105°C.
3.4. X-ray diffraction results

Fig. 4. X-Ray diffractograms of hardened cement paste (W/C 0.5 – cement SV) at different drying temperatures. a) Diffractograms between 0 and 70° b) Zoom between 7 to 20°

In the diffractograms obtained by XRD measurements of a hardened cement paste, we see the same main characteristic peaks, whatever the drying temperature (see Fig. 4a). Indeed, the peaks of the main hydrates such as Portlandite “CH” (21°, 33°, 40°, 55°, 60°, 64°) or clinker components “C₂S & C₃S” (33-40°) are present. However, by focusing on small diffraction angles between 7 and 23°, we can observe differences in the diffractograms according to the drying temperature. Indeed, in the case of 30°C, the characteristic peaks of Ettringite (11 and 18°) are found. On the contrary, for a drying temperature of 75 and 105°C, these peaks are no longer present (see Fig. 4b). These results indicate that the disappearance of Ettringite peaks occurs between 30 and 75°C, which is confirmed by quantification of the fraction of Ettringite. By using the Rietveld method and knowing the density of all the hydrates in the cement paste, we estimated the volume content of crystalline phase hydrates. We obtained a
volume fraction of 9.5 ± 2.6 % of Ettringite (measure consistent with state of the art [27,29,30]) when drying was performed at 30°C against 0.1 ± 0.1 % for 75 and 105°C.

3.5. Influence of the W/C ratio

3.5.1. Water absorption

In previous sections, the water to cement ratio was kept constant at 0.5. In this section, hardened cement pastes with W/C varying from 0.2 to 0.6 (cement L) were dried at 30 and 105°C, and their water absorption was measured.

![Graph showing water absorption at 30°C and 105°C](image)

**Fig. 5.** Comparison of water absorption measured at 30 and 105°C for cement pastes at different W/C ratios (0.2-0.3-0.4-0.5-0.6 – cement L).

When drying at 30°C and 105°C, water absorption increases linearly with the W/C ratio of the cement paste (see **Fig. 5**). As expected, the higher the W/C ratio of the cement paste, the more porous the aggregate. Moreover, we observe that whatever the W/C ratio of the cement paste, the water absorption measured at 105°C was always higher than at 30°C but follows the same trend along with a parallel linear trend. The difference in water absorption between the two drying temperatures was therefore constant and of the order of 5%.
3.5.2. Ettringite content

X-ray diffraction tests were carried out for all cement pastes, with the two drying temperatures. Based on the results of section 3.3, we focused on the volume content of Ettringite estimated by the Rietveld method for 30 and 105°C as a function of the W/C ratio of the cement pastes (see Fig. 6).

![Volume fraction of Ettringite obtained by XRD measurements based on Rietveld method for cement pastes at different W/C (cement L) dried at 30 and 105°C.](image)

It can be seen here that the presence of Ettringite varies with the drying temperature (see Fig. 6). When drying the cement pastes at room temperature (30°C), the volume content of Ettringite varies between 5 and 10%, with a complex dependency on W/C. On the contrary, as soon as drying is carried out at high temperature (105°C), the Ettringite content becomes close to 0%. At 105°C, we observe a small account of Ettringite (around 1.5%) only for W/C=0.2.
4. Discussion and analysis

4.1. Effect of the drying temperature on the absorption

When cement paste is dried at different temperatures, we observe that the measured water absorption increases with the drying temperature (see Fig. 1). In this section, we first discard the role of a potential variation in residual water content, as an explanation of the differences in absorption observed as a function of temperature.

We then discard the potential influence of an effect of the conditioning temperature on some micro-cracking, which would, in turn, modify the absorption irreversibly. We finally focus on relating the measured Ettringite dehydration to the increase in recycled aggregate absorption with temperature.

First, we need to verify that the water loss is not due to evaporation of remaining free water but finds its origin in the removal of some bound water. After drying, some liquid water may remain in small pores. For higher temperatures, this residual water content might depend on drying temperature. For each temperature and humidity tested, we estimated the largest pore size at equilibrium with liquid water [31] using Kelvin’s law [32]:

\[
\ln(RH) = -\frac{2\gamma V_M}{RT_{eq}}
\]

where \(\gamma\) is the surface tension at the Water/air interface (0.072 N/m), \(V_M\) is the molar volume of water \(18.10^{-6}\text{m}^3/\text{mol}\), \(R\) is the ideal gas constant \(8.314 \text{ J/mol/K}\), \(T\) is the temperature, RH is the relative humidity and \(T_{eq}\) is the equilibrium pore radius. These values are reported in Table 2.
Table 2

Experimental conditions (temperature and relative humidity) during the drying and maximum capillary pore radius $r_{eq}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>22.5 ± 0.1</th>
<th>30.2 ± 1.0</th>
<th>45.3 ± 0.1</th>
<th>59.5 ± 0.1</th>
<th>75.0 ± 1.0</th>
<th>105 ± 1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RH (%)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.4 %</td>
<td>22.7 ± 2.6 %</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.6 %</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.1 %</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1 %</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{eq}$ (nm)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all drying conditions, the equilibrium pore size is of the order of some Angströms (see in Table 2) around the value of one water molecule. For hardened cement paste, the smallest pores are in the inter-layer of C-S-H, around one nanometer (1-5 nm) [30,33–35]. Equilibrium pore sizes are smaller than the smallest pores of cement paste. This suggests that all liquid water is evaporated at all studied temperatures.

Kelvin’s law only gives an estimation. We validated experimentally that liquid water is effectively absent. Indeed, drying conditions at $T=22°C$, RH=6% are not directly comparable to drying at $T=105°C$, RH=0.6%. For this purpose, we measured the mass variation of our cement paste at $T=22°C$, RH = 0.6%. A cured cement paste, initially dried at 22°C and HR = 6%, was dried under vacuum with RH = 0.6%. Decreasing RH from 6 to 0.6% leads to a mass difference of only 0.3%, negligible compared to the absorption variations with temperature (5-10%) shown in Fig. 1. Thus, we consider that all the liquid water is evaporated. We can therefore conclude that the difference in water absorption measured subsequently is not the indirect consequence of Relative Humidity variations but the direct consequence of temperature variations.

Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry confirms the increase in water absorption of the cement paste (see Fig. 1). Indeed, a significant difference in total porosity between drying at 30°C and 105°C was observed. This increase in water absorption with the drying temperature may be explained by two phenomena. First, during the drying, because of the creation of a temperature gradient, microcracking may take place in the hardened cement paste. This micro-cracking could explain the increase of water absorption with
temperature [19,36,37]. The second phenomenon is a dehydration of the hydrates of the cement paste during drying [36–40] potentially causing a collapse of the gel structure. The pore size distributions for the different drying temperatures show that the increase of the drying temperature doubles the pore size in the cement paste (see Fig. 2). However, this result does not allow us to distinguish which phenomenon precisely is at the origin of this increase in pore size. Microcracking creates large pores as could dehydration.

However, we submitted a recycled aggregate to multiple drying/humidification steps and showed that the increase in absorption at 105°C is reversible (see Fig. 3). Indeed, after a drying at 105°C followed by a re-imbibition in water and, to finish, drying at 30°C, the final measured water absorption was the same as for the reference dried directly at 30°C. This reversible behavior favors the hypothesis of dehydration of hydrates of the cement paste during drying at 105°C. Indeed, in the presence of microcracking, the water absorption of the recycled aggregate after the drying cycle would be equivalent to that initially measured during drying at 105°C. We remind the reader here that our paste W/C ratio of 0.5 does not support the idea of a potential self-healing of the micro-cracks during the re-imbibition phase [41,42]. Therefore, we suggest here that microcracking at 105°C is unlikely to create a significant increase in porosity and explain the crease in absorption with temperature.

We therefore focus now on relating the Ettringite dehydration shown in Fig. 4 to the measured absorption variations. In order to compare the observed increase in porosity with the total volume of Ettringite water, we calculated the volume fraction of water molecules in an Ettringite molecule (C₆A₃S₃H₂₈). Considering the molecular weight of each component of Ettringite, the mass proportion of water contained is 46%. Then, considering that Ettringite density is 1.79 [19,27,43], we estimate that 82% of the volume of Ettringite corresponds to the volume of the water molecules. The volume content of Ettringite measured experimentally for our cement paste (W/C 0.5) is 9.5%. Thus, the water contained in the Ettringite corresponds to a volume fraction of 8%. We compare this result with the change of porosity between a drying
The porosity is computed from the water absorption with equation (2):

\[
\text{Porosity} = \frac{W - W_s}{W_{\text{max}} - W_s}
\]

where \(W\) is the weight of the material, \(W_s\) is the weight of the material saturated with water, and \(W_{\text{max}}\) is the weight of the material with the maximum water content. The difference in porosity measured between drying at 30°C and 105°C is also 8%.

Thus, we suggest that the increase in porosity measured when the drying temperature is higher than the ambient temperature is due to the dehydration of Ettringite during drying.

The same kind of analysis is performed varying the W/C ratio. In Fig. 5 we observe that whatever the microstructure of the hardened cement paste, drying at high temperature has a very similar impact (on average equal to 5%) on water absorption. On the other hand, the XRD results presented in Fig. 6 showed that drying at high temperature leads to dehydration of Ettringite, whatever the W/C ratio of the cement paste. It also showed that the measured Ettringite content did have a complex dependency on the W/C ratio of the cement paste.

**Fig. 7.** Comparison of the volume of water present in the Ettringite for each cement paste (cement L) and the difference in porosity between 30 and 105°C as a function of the W/C ratio.
From the ettringite content in fig. 6, we computed the volume of bound water and compared this volume and the difference of porosity between drying at 30 and 105°C. In Fig. 7, we see that the bound water contents in Ettringite follow the same trend as the measured porosity difference between 30 and 105°C.

It should be noted that the increase in temperature does not entirely dehydrate Ettringite. It should transform into Metaettringite (12 H$_2$O) [20,44], which implies that some water molecules remain. Besides, it is likely that other hydrated phases, like Mono-sulfoaluminate, not observed in XRD, may also dehydrate at high temperatures. However, our results show that the contribution of Ettringite dehydration is of the same order of magnitude as the change of absorption.

To further support the above, we tested cement pastes with a water to binder ratio equal to 0.5 prepared with different cements (cement LT and SPLC) and measured their water absorption at 30 and 105°C. As we use cements with various C$_3$A content, which influences the amount of Ettringite formed, we assess the influence of the drying temperature on Ettringite content and variation of porosity/absorption. To compare the different cement pastes, we estimated the volume fraction of Ettringite for each cement, using the GEMS software (Gibbs Energy Minimization Software) [45–47] coupled with the CEMDATA database [48,49]. CEMDATA is a database developed specifically for the hydration of Portland cement-based systems. Thus, by knowing the chemical composition of each cement, we modeled the hydration of each of the cement pastes tested. Then, as for the previous results, we calculated the volume of water in Ettringite to the experimental difference of the porosity between 30 and 105°C.
Fig. 8. Water volume in Ettringite (by XRD measurement and by CEMDATA) and porosity difference between 30°C and 105°C, calculated by equation 2, as a function of the C₃A. Cement pastes at W/C= 0.5 with cements L, LT and SPLC.

As the C₃A content is increased by a factor of roughly three (from 2.5 to 7.8%), the variation in porosity between 30°C and 105°C roughly doubles (see Fig. 8). The Ettringite contents obtained by simulation follow a similar trend. For C₃A=2.5%, the simulated Ettringite content is in very good agreement with the XRD measurement. Thus, the water volume contained in Ettringite, as estimated by thermodynamic simulation, is of the same order as the difference of porosity between the drying at 30 and 105°C.

From the above analysis, we suggest therefore that the increase in water absorption measured when the drying temperature is higher than ambient temperature is due to the dehydration of sulfo-aluminates (mostly Ettringite).
5. Practical consequences

5.1. Consequences on the measurement of water absorption

As suggested by our results, an increase in the drying temperature of recycled aggregates leads to an overestimation of the actual water absorption of these aggregates, due to the creation of new porosities through sulfo-aluminates dehydration. Such an artefact will occur in all assessment procedures, where aggregates are dried at high temperatures before being tested. This would for instance be the case for pan-drying or oven drying.

On the other hand, on an industrial and job-site scale, aggregates are generally stored in silos or hoppers or simply in outdoor heaps. Moreover, in large quantities, thermal inertia is dominant compared to external temperature variations. Thus, even in cases where external temperature exceeds 30°C during daytime, these aggregates should not undergo dehydration before use. However, these aggregates, even if not affected by temperature variations from a microstructural point of view, are exposed to humidity variations and their consequences on water content. It is therefore necessary to dry them in order to measure both their water content and their total water absorption.

To solve the above issue, a straightforward approach would lie in drying recycled aggregates at room temperature (≈ 30°C) and low relative humidity. However, drying at this temperature with strict control of relative humidity would result in a very long drying time (around a week), which is not suitable in an industrial context. It is therefore necessary to adapt the determination of absorption by considering the overestimation of the measured absorption value caused by drying at 105°C.
Fig. 9. Comparison of the overestimation of the water absorption caused by the drying at 105°C as a function of the W/C ratio of the cement paste.

We gather in Fig. 9 the results obtained in this study, i.e. the ratio between the absorption measured at 30°C and that measured at 105°C. We note that, in the range of standard concretes (W/C ratio between 0.4 and 0.6), the absorption ratio between 30 and 105°C is constant and around 75%.

A second-order feature in Fig. 9 is the role played by the C₃A content, which leads to a slight increase in the overestimation of the absorption value. We however consider here that, for typical C₃A content and typical W/C ratio, the absorption ratio measured between 30 and 105°C is fairly constant and equal to 75% with a standard deviation of 3%.

We define the absorption measuring the porous structure of our cementitious materials as the absorption at 30°C because this temperature does not alter the cement matrix. On the contrary, the drying at high temperature, in particular the usual preparation at 105°C degrades the hardened cement paste. Thus, this absorption at 105°C overestimates the void in the porous media.
Based on our results, we propose to estimate the absorption due to the porous structure from the absorption measured at 105°C by: $Abs_{\text{poro}} = Abs_{105^\circ\text{C}} \times 0.75$. The applicable range of W/C is between 0.4 and 0.6 and the initial C$_3$A content of the cement is less than 6%.

5.2. Consequences on mix-design and mechanical properties of concrete

To emphasize the error in the mix design due to heat treatment in the water absorption measurement, we calculate the differences induced on the effective w/c ratio and the expected mechanical strength. We consider here a cubic meter of an imaginary concrete formulated to reach an effective W/C = 0.5 after aggregates absorption. This imaginary concrete contains 400 kg of cement, 700 kg of natural sand 0/4 mm (with negligible absorption) and 1000 kg of dry recycled aggregates (> 4 mm). The water absorption of the recycled aggregates measured at 105°C is equal to 6.0% as in [50].

What is expected to happen:
The volume of water absorbed by the aggregates is expected from the measured absorption value to be 60 L. The total water introduced in the mixer shall therefore be 260 L so that the effective water after absorption reaches 200 L and the W/C ratio reaches 0.5.

What effectively happens:
The absorption measurement with drying at 105°C overestimates the absorption of aggregates stored at a lower temperature. Indeed, the absorption due to porosity is $Abs_{\text{poro}} = 6.0 \times 0.75 = 4.5\%$. The volume of water absorbed by the aggregates is reduced to 45 L and 15 L of water are therefore not absorbed by aggregates, which results, in turn, into an effective water volume of 215 L instead of 200 L and therefore an increase in the W/C of the concrete from 0.5 to 0.54.

In order to roughly assess the impact of this increase in the effective W/C ratio on the mechanical strength, we use the Bolomey formula [51], which predicts compressive strength at 28 days from the W/C ratio. This relation suggests that a decrease in
mechanical strength of the order of 10% could be expected. Many studies report a decrease in mechanical strength of the order of 10% when substitution of natural aggregates by recycled aggregates is applied [1,3,6,8]. The question we raise here is whether the systematic decrease in mechanical strength reported in many papers is due to the inherent mechanical properties of recycled aggregates and their interfaces with the cement matrix or could simply be explained by the systematic increase in effective W/C ratio induced by the high temperature artifact for absorption measurement showcased in the present study. However, if the water content is also determined at 105°C, the water content of the aggregates will also be overestimated. Thus, the two errors compensate each other.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we aimed at evaluating the impact of temperature during the drying step on the measurement of water absorption of recycled aggregates. We measured the water absorption of hardened cement pastes at various drying temperatures above ambient temperature of 30°C. We specifically studied the impact of this drying on the pore distribution of these hardened cement pastes as well as on their mineralogical composition. A one-third increase in water absorption was observed between drying at room temperature (30°C and low relative humidity) and drying at 105°C. Our results and analyses suggest that this increase in water absorption with drying temperature increases finds its origin in the dehydration of Ettringite, which results in an increase in the pore size of the hardened cement paste and therefore in an increase in total porosity. Thus, the drying step required to characterize the aggregates, in the case of recycled aggregates and depending on the temperature used, leads to a systematic overestimation of the water absorption. If the absorption and water content are measured with the same preparation protocol, there is no need for correction. However, this overestimation implies in turn a systematic error on the water correction to be applied to the mix design of recycled aggregate concretes. This results in more water being added than necessary.
to compensate for the volume of water absorbed by the aggregates, thus increasing the effective water to binder ratio of the concrete. This may, in turn, explain the typical decrease in measured mechanical strength when recycled aggregates substitute natural aggregates.
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