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On Chip Rapid Control Prototyping for Education

Romain Delpoux, Lubin Kerhuel, Vincent Lécappé and Arnaud Duvernois

Abstract—In this note various test benches for Rapid Control Prototyping (RCP) targeting microcontrollers are presented. The methodology relies on a Matlab/Simulink interface which makes the target configuration and coding easier. Developing low level embedded code is bypassed by a high-level implementation which is straightforward for control system engineers. These benches are intended for students, engineers or researchers looking to validate the effectiveness of their control algorithms on low cost industrial targets.

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital controllers are increasingly present in industrial applications (automotive, aeronautics, space, industry...). However, manufacturers seek solutions to reduce global cost while maintaining good performances. Developing embedded code for microcontrollers requires skills that are out of scope of the curriculum of control system engineers which is typically limited to high level tools like Matlab®/Simulink® and RCP tools like dSPACE® or Speedgoat. This task is often left to embedded system engineers who are specialists of hardware architecture but have little knowledge in control theory. According to some recent discussions with automotive and aerospace specialists, there is a lack of engineers able to deal with the full process from control design to hardware implementation. This observation is also confirmed by the increasing desire of students in control system courses to learn how to implement algorithms directly on dedicated hardware. From this assessment, it has been decided to develop test benches using simple and fast methodology for RCP based on the interface of a microcontroller directly with Matlab/Simulink by taking advantage of the graphical interface provided by the Microchip Technology MPLAB® device blocks for Simulink. It is important to note that unlike some existing setup [1], the objective is not to ‘hide’ and make totally transparent the code generation but on the contrary to make it accessible in order to tackle the problems that arise from this implementation. In addition to the teaching benefit, the second objective is to fill the gap between convenient but expensive RCP platforms and complex but cheaper microcontroller tools. The developed methodology will speed up the implementation of advanced control algorithms on real hardware by removing the phase of coding on the target. A dsPIC® Digital Signal Controllers (DSCs) and its dedicated MPLAB® toolbox for Simulink has been used for this article but other manufacturer DSCs or Microcontroller Unit (MCU) may benefit from this methodology whenever a dedicated toolbox exists. The goal of demonstrators presented in this note is to propose low cost hardware to illustrate real-time control for linear and nonlinear Single Input Single Output (SISO) and Multi Input Multi Output (MIMO) systems regardless of the targeted architecture. The proposed control algorithms are available online.

Many works in the literature present RCP solutions, however, they are usually aimed at control teaching on a dedicated board or platform, and do not propose a flexible solution that allows a deep analysis of the implementation problems: [2] (with Lego Mindstorms NXT), [3], [4] (with Arduino), [1] (with a Digital Signal Processing (DSP) system), [5] (16 bit microcontroller). Some other works on RCP are dedicated to research purposes but they are usually focused on illustrating the efficiency of the control algorithm than providing a handy interface to analyse in detail the technical issues related to hardware coding: [6] (dsPIC DSCs), [7] (RTAI-Lab).

The contributions of the proposed method is twofold i) it speeds up the control design process using the well known Simulink interface to go back and forth from simulation to implementation on hardware. The hardware configuration is as easy as a dSPACE or Speedgoat RCP setup, but with the major difference that it targets low cost microcontrollers which can embedded in commercial or custom designed board. ii) it smoothes the learning curve for students in control system course by providing a handy interface that offers a way to gradually introduce some implementation issues (data acquisition, discretization, fixed-point conversion, Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) generation,...).

II. DEMO BENCHES

This section is devoted to the description of different test benches used for labs at INSA de Lyon concerning control theory. The proposed demonstrators allow to easily implement the notion of linear and non-linear control for SISO or MIMO systems. The idea for these lab benches is to propose low-cost hardware solution for RCP. Note that all the benches described below are using Matlab/Simulink. Further details on RCP are given in Section IV.

A. Mobile robots

In this section we present two mobile robots the first is a line follower (Fig. 1) and the second is an inverted pendulum (Fig. 2). Both robots are equipped with the 16-bit dsPIC
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DSCs 33FJ126MC802 because it embeds two Quadrature Encoder Interface (QEI) which allows to properly control the two DC motors on each wheels. The line follower detects the line to follow through a serial link from a camera then a linear controller is designed to ensure speed and orientation control. The inverted pendulum use the acceleration and the angular rate acquired from an Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI) bus to reconstruct the pendulum attitude. The synthesized control law is a Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) using a linearized model of the pendulum. Both systems represent an interesting Lab of Engineering with increasing complexity.

B. Motor Control

The benches described in this subsection have been developed for the Electrical department at INSA de Lyon France for students specialized in motor and converter control. They are controlled using the Microchip MCLV-2 demo board equipped with the 16-bit dsPIC DSCs 33EP256MC506. The first bench is a DC motor (Fig. 3). This bench was developed to help the student understanding the real time environment required for such a control. For speed control, PWM interface, Analog Digital Converter (ADC) interface synchronized with PWM to measure current and QEI to measure the speed are needed. The proposed controller is a linear state feedback considering the Direct Current (DC) and the velocity. From this configuration, more advanced controllers can be designed on Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM) or Induction Motor (Fig. 4). On the picture both motors are connected by their shaft. This bench permits to implement on these motors basic control algorithm as well as advanced control algorithm such as field oriented control. Both motors can be used as motor or generator which play the load to the other motor.

III. MODEL VALIDATION

In addition to the real time implementation of advanced control algorithms, this architecture gives the possibility to compare the theoretical model with real data from the experiment to ensure that the model is accurate. This phase makes possible to refine the simulation model and consider the maximum of uncertainties in the validation model. It is important to differentiate the validation model from the control models. The validation model must take into account most of the physical phenomena in order to be the closest to the experimental results. The control model designed is based on the dominant dynamics in order to propose an
efficient control law. The application of the control law on
the validation model shows the robustness of the control and
highlights some potential problems that could arise on the
real system. Possible issues may arise from saturations due
to high frequency noise derivative or dynamics not taken into
account by the control model.

Real-time experiments data can be sent using the UART
to MATLAB/Simulink. The received logs can be used in
simulation to compare an input sent both to the model and
the real system (see Fig. 5).

![Simulink model blocks](image)

**Fig. 5.** Use of log as simulation input

IV. RAPID CONTROL PROTOTYPING ON dsPIC DSCs

This section details the RCP solution. Matlab/Simulink is
used with the Embedded Coder and the fixed-point toolbox
from MathWorks. The add-on MPLAB device blocks for
Simulink adds to Simulink the capability to target up to 360
Microchip microcontrollers (dsPIC, PIC32, SAMx7). Theses
blocks rely on XC16 & XC32 compiler and the MPLAB X
IDE to compile the generated code and program the board
from the Simulink interface with a single 'Build' push button
on top right of the simulink interface (see Figure 6). The
compiled model has a discrete time solver and few dsPIC
peripheral blocks.

A. Rapid Control Prototyping (RCP)

An example of the Simulink interface using the MPLAB
device blocks is represented on Fig. 7. Here a DC motor
control scheme is proposed. On this example the control volt-
age is applied as PWM signal driving an H-bridge converter.
The control algorithm inputs are the current and velocity
measurements. The velocity is obtained from an incremental
coder through the use of the QEI peripheral. The current
is measured using a shunt resistor, conditioned with the
dsPIC DSCs internal op-amps and converted with the ADC
peripheral. The ADC conversion is synchronized with the
PWM period so that it ensures that the ADC sampling
time is taken when the low side of the H-bridge is on.
Speed and current control loops are sampled at different rate.
An option in Simulink highlights with colors the different
sampling rates in a model as presented on Fig. 7. The red
color represents the fastest sampling rate. Here the sampling
frequency for the control of the fast current dynamics is

\[ f_{\text{elec}} = 20 \text{ kHz}. \]

The green color represents the sampling rate for the mechanical dynamics, here

\[ f_{\text{mech}} = 1 \text{ kHz}. \]

Standard Simulink blocks generate code, which compiles
on a dsPIC DSCs target. Any model can thus be embedded
with respect of real-time constraints. Simulation are typically
performed in the continuous time domain. A differential
equation solver is used to solve simulation outputs. The real-
time embedded software does not implement such solver so
a discrete-time equivalent controller must be used.

In a Single tasking program, all tasks started at a given
base model time step must be completed within the end of
that time slot to respect real-time constraints.

In a Multi-tasking scheduler, a monotonic-rate scheduler
is implemented where higher rate tasks have higher priority
and interrupt lower task rate which have a lower priority. This
simple priority rule is well suited for control algorithms. Its
limited implementation penalty in execution time worth the
gain in flexibility.

Figure 8 presents a timing analysis of the cascaded PI
algorithm. One pin driven by the ‘CPU load’ block and two
pins driven by the ‘task state’ block show respectively the
CPU state (black curve where 1 is busy and 0 is idle state),
the fast task D1 (red) and slow task D2 (green) respective
start and stop on rising and falling edges. The lower graph is
a magnification of the higher priority task D1 preemption of
the lower priority task D2. Note that, the slow D2 task pin
state is not cleared when being preempted but exclusively
when task is completed. The shaded region in the tasks D1
and D2 shows the CPU execution on each task.

The code performances are surprisingly good. Peripheral
are handled in the background through interrupts. As a result,
peripheral blocks for input peripherals are not blocking as
they just have to read the last result obtained from that
peripheral; keeping the CPU time dedicated to the control
algorithm. For example, the base time step is typically
triggered by the ADC block end of conversion interrupt.

---

Footnotes:

1. PIC DSCs is a family of 16-bit microcontrollers designed by Microchip.
2. blockset is distributed as suppot package Add-on www.mathworks.com
thus when the ADC block is evaluated within the algorithm, the conversion results are already available. The ADC block does not have to wait for a sample and hold sequence nor by the following conversion sequence. The same remark holds for others peripheral blocks like the UART transmission/reception, the SPI or I2C blocks.

V. Conclusion

This note presents different benches for real time embedded control developed for education. Using RCP that targets microcontrollers, the proposed benches are very low cost but enable high level control theory implementation. The note also highlight various points required for a real-time embedded implementation like fixed point and multi-rate scheduling.
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