Phosphasalen group IV metal complexes: Synthesis, Characterisation and Ring Opening Polymerization of Lactide

Adrien T. Normand,^a Raluca Malacea-Kabbara,^a Rosita Lapenta,^c Aymeric Dajnak,^a Philippe Richard,^a Hélène Cattey,^a Anaëlle Bolley,^c Alfonso Grassi,^d Stefano Milione,^d Audrey Auffrant,^{*b} Samuel Dagorne^{*c} and Pierre Le Gendre^{*a}

We report the synthesis of a series of Zr and Ti complexes bearing phosphasalen which differs from salen by the incorporation of two P atoms in the ligand backbone. The reaction of phosphasalen proligands (**1a-1c**)H₂ with Zr(CH₂Ph)₄ led to different products depending on the nature of the N,N-linker in the ligand. In case of ethylene-linked phosphasalen, octahedral Zr complex **2a** formed as a single stereoisomer in *trans* geometry. With the phenylene linker, it was shown by dynamic NMR spectroscopy that complex **2b** exists as a mixture of *trans* and *cis*- β isomers in solution, both enantiomers (Δ and Λ) of the *cis*- β isomer being in fast equilibrium with respect to the NMR time-scale. The use of a propylene-linked phosphasalen proligand **1c**H₂ led to a mixture of complexes among which a binuclear Zr complex **2c** bridged only by one phosphasalen ligand could be isolated and characterized. Addition of 2 equiv of ^tPrOH to **2a** and **2b** afforded diisopropoxy Zr complexes **3a** and **3b** as a mixture of *trans* and *cis*- β isomers, the latter undergoing fast Δ/Λ isomerization in solution. Addition of B(C₆F₅)₃ to **2a** and **2b** gave cationic monobenzyl Zr complexes **4a** and **4b** which have been further converted into cationic alkoxy Zr complexes **5a-b** and **6a-b** by alcoholysis with ^tPrOH and (*S*)-methyl-lactate, respectively. The reaction of the phosphasalen proligands with Ti(NMe₂)₄ proceeded diastereoselectively giving rise to Ti complexes **7a-c** in octahedral geometry with *cis*- β wrapping of the ligand. The complexes have been tested for the ROP of *rac*-lactide. The neutral phosphasalen Ti and Zr complexes showed only poor activity probably due to the encumbered and electron donating nature of the phosphasalen ligand. In contrast, the cationic Zr alkoxides **5a**, **6a** and **6b** are effective initiators for the controlled and hetero-selective ROP of *rac*-lactide.

Introduction

Poly(lactic acid) (PLA) is considered today as the most promising biosourced and biodegradable alternative to petrochemically based plastics.¹ Depending on its microstructure, PLA features highly different mechanical and thermal properties and can find applications ranging from soft materials to engineering plastic materials.² The Ring Opening Polymerization (ROP) of lactide constitutes the most attractive route to produce such polymers in a controlled manner. Intensive research has been carried out in this field and highly active initiators able to give high molecular weight PLA polymers with low polydispersity and controlled tacticity have been described.³ Group 4 complexes have shown great potential as ROP initiators and some

combinations with salen (I), salan (II) and salalen (III) ligands have been found to be particularly efficient (Figure 1).⁴ For example, dialkoxy titanium complexes with salen ligands lead to atactic PLA with narrow PDIs and high molecular weights.⁵ Switching to more flexible salan and salalen ligand leads to chelate zirconium and hafnium complexes able to produce high molecular weight heterotactically or isotactically enriched PLA from *rac*-lactide.⁶ In 2011, one of us described for the first time phosphasalen ligands (IV), a variant of salen which consists in a bis(iminophosphorane) moiety flanked by two phenoxy groups.⁷ Phosphasalen ligands resemble salen but their wrapping pattern and electron donating ability differ notably. In the former, the tetrahedral phosphorus atoms impart both increased flexibility and steric bulk to the ligand. Additionally,

^a Institut de Chimie Moléculaire de l'Université de Bourgogne (ICMUB), Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté (UMR-CNRS 6302), 9, av. A. Savary, 21078 Dijon, France.

^b Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire, CNRS UMR 9168, École Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau, France.

^c Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg (UMR-CNRS 7177), Université de Strasbourg.

^d Dipartimento di Chimica e Biologia, Università degli Studi di Salerno, via Giovanni Paolo II, 132-84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: CIF files for compounds **1aH₂**, **1bH₂**, **1cH₂**, **2a**, **2c'**, **2c''**, **3a**, **3b**, **6c**, **7c**; NMR spectra of new compounds and ROP studies.

Figure 1 Salen (I), salan (II), salalen (III) and phosphasalen (IV) ligands

the stronger basicity of iminophosphoranes compared to imines, along with their lack of π -accepting properties, make phosphasalen better net donors than salen. These ligands, associated with rare earth metals (Sc, Y, La, Lu), were shown to be powerful initiators for the polymerization of *rac*-lactide with excellent rates and high stereoselectivities.⁸ In light of these results, we set out to combine phosphasalen ligands with group IV metal complexes to develop new lactide ROP catalysts. To the best of our knowledge, such combination has yet to be reported. Herein, we describe the synthesis and characterisation of a series of phosphasalen Ti and Zr complexes along with a preliminary assessment of their performance as lactide ROP initiators.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of the phosphasalen proligands

Phosphasalen proligands (**1a-1c**)H₂ were synthesized by adapting a previously reported procedure based on the Kirsanov reaction between 4,6-di(*tert*-butyl)-2-(diphenylphosphino)phenol with bromine and the appropriate diamine, using either tributylamine or DABCO as HBr trapping agent (Scheme 1).^{8b,8c} Further deprotonation with two equivalents of potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide led to the proligands (**1a-1c**)H₂ in 41-55% yields. The range of diamines were chosen to study the impact of the bridging unit on the structure of the resultant metal complex and on the polymerization performances. Ortho-*tert*-butyl-substituted ligands were selected for their propensity to form discrete mononuclear species. The phosphasalen proligands (**1a-1c**)H₂

were characterized by ³¹P{¹H}, ¹H, ¹³C NMR, EA and X-Ray diffraction analysis. In the solid state, **1bH₂** shows intramolecular hydrogen bonding between iminophosphorane moieties and nearby hydroxyl groups (Figure 2). Both phenol rings are tilted by 43° and 71° with respect to the mean plane defined by the four atoms N1, C1, C2 and N2 with the phenol oxygens O1 and O2 dipping below this plane. P-N bond lengths in **1bH₂** are 1.579(2) Å and 1.586(2) Å in the range of those reported for iminophosphoranes.^{7b,9} Proligands **1aH₂** and **1cH₂** feature different dipolar form and spatial arrangement compared to **1bH₂**. Both phenolic protons are transferred to iminophosphoranes resulting in zwitterionic forms. This is consistent with the more basic character of the iminophosphorane functions in **1aH₂** and **1cH₂** due to the alkylene spacer. Intramolecular electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions between the two opposite phenoxyaminophosphonium in a complementary pairing constrain the ligands to adopt a helical twist in a C₂-symmetric fashion⁶. As expected, P-N bond lengths in **1aH₂** and **1cH₂** are longer than that in **1bH₂** due to protonation (1.637(2) Å for **1aH₂** and 1.624(2), 1.623(2) Å for **1cH₂**).¹⁰ The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **1bH₂** shows a signal at 18.1 ppm in the range observed for other iminophosphoranes,^{7a} while **1aH₂** and **1cH₂** give downfield shifted signals at δ = 42.7 and 39.4 ppm, respectively.

Synthesis and characterization of the phosphasalen Zr complexes

Based on previous studies of group IV metal complexes with salan/salalen ligands, we first targeted Zr complexes that generally lead to more active ROP initiators. If phosphasalen behaves as tetradentate ligand, three modes of coordination around the octahedral metal centre can be expected: *trans*, *cis- α* or *cis- β* (Figure 3). In the last two cases, complexes are chiral and can exist as two enantiomers (Δ and Λ).

Scheme 1 Synthesis of phosphasalen proligands (**1a-1c**)H₂

Figure 3 Possible coordination modes of tetradentate O,N,N,O ligands around an octahedral metal centre

Figure 2 ORTEP view of phosphasalen proligands (**1a-1c**)H₂. For the sake of clarity, H atoms (except for relevant ones) as well as disorder and solvent molecules (if any) are not shown. ¹: 1-X, +Y, 3/2-Z.

The reaction of the prolignands (**1a-1c**)H₂ with Zr(CH₂Ph)₄ led to different outcomes (Schemes 2-4). The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of complex **2a** in C₆D₆ at 298 K shows a singlet at δ = 36.5 ppm upfield shifted with respect to the signal of **1a**. The presence of only two singlets for the ¹Bu groups, one singlet for the two residual benzylic groups and one multiplet for the ethylene spacer in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2a** suggests a C_{2v}-symmetric *trans* complex in solution. X-ray diffraction analysis conducted on a single crystal of **2a** corroborated this hypothesis. The Zr atom is located on a true crystallographic C₂-symmetry axis and, as shown in Figure 4, exhibits a pseudo-octahedral coordination scheme. Comparison with (tBuSalfen)Zr(CH₂Ph)₂ reported by Arnold in the same *trans* geometry shows shorter Zr-N bond distances (by ca 0.15 Å) and longer Zr-C distances (by ca 0.03 Å) in the phosphasalen complex.¹¹ P-N bonds lengths are slightly shortened (by ca 0.018(3) Å) compared to those in **1a**H₂.

The reaction of the prolignand **1b**H₂ with Zr(CH₂Ph)₄ gave complex **2b** as a mixture of *trans* and *cis*-β isomers which was characterized by VT and low temperature NMR experiments (Scheme 3). At 200 K, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **2b** recorded at 243 MHz in d₈-THF shows one peak at δ = 29.5 ppm, which can be tentatively assigned to the *trans* isomer and a set of two peaks at δ = 28.3 and 30.8 ppm consistent with a C₁-symmetric *cis*-β complex (Figure 5). Upon heating up to 330 K, the ³¹P signal of the *trans* isomer does not change significantly whereas those of *cis*-β isomer broaden slowly, collapse at 300 K and then decoalesce to give one broad peak centred at δ = 28.3 ppm (Δν_{1/2} = 131.9 Hz).

Scheme 2

Figure 4 ORTEP view of complex **2a** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): C2–Zr 2.350(2), N–P 1.6194(18), N–Zr 2.2033(17), O–Zr 2.0582(14), C2–Zr–C2 143.92(12), N–Zr–N 74.74(9), O–Zr–O 122.96(8). ¹1-X, +Y, 3/2-Z.

Scheme 3 (only one *cis*-β enantiomer shown for clarity)

Figure 5 Variable-temperature ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of **2b** (243 MHz, d₈-THF)

Heating the sample also induces the partial isomerization of *trans*-**2b** to *cis*-β-**2b** (ratio *trans*:*cis*-β = 1:1.4 at 200 K and 1:2.4 at 330 K). In the ¹H NMR spectra of complex **2b** recorded from 200 K up to 330 K, the benzyl methylenic protons of the *trans* isomer appear as one singlet at chemical shifts ranging from 1.74 to 1.83 ppm consistent with a C_{2v}-symmetric complex. The same protons in the *cis*-β isomer produce four multiplets centred at δ = 1.67, 1.79, 2.09 and 2.37 ppm at 210 K and one broad singlet centred at δ = 2.06 ppm at 300 K. The dynamic NMR behaviour of the *cis*-β isomer of **2b** can be explained by an isomerization between Δ and Λ enantiomers. In order to get accurate thermodynamic constants, analogous VT NMR experiments were conducted in d₈-toluene solution of **2b** within a broader temperature range (200 K to 350 K). An Eyring plot of ln(k/T) against 1/T gives an enthalpy of activation ΔH[‡] = 45.9 (±4.3) kJ.mol⁻¹ and an entropy of activation ΔS[‡] = -34 (±16) J.mol⁻¹,⁵⁵ comparable to other *cis*-β Zr complexes which undergo the same inversion of configuration at the metal centre (Fig. S33, SI).¹²

In the case of the prolignand **1c**H₂, the reaction with one equivalent of tetrabenzylzirconium led to a mixture of products among which two binuclear complexes **2c** (major) and **2c'** as well as one mononuclear complex **2c''** (Scheme 4). Complex **2c**, which features two tris(benzyl)zirconium fragments bridged by one phosphasalen ligand, was independently prepared from

Scheme 4

1cH₂ and two equivalents of $\text{Zr}(\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})_4$ and isolated in 59% yield.¹³ Two characteristic ^tBu signals at $\delta = 1.51$ and 1.22 ppm integrating for 18 protons each and one benzylic methylene signal at $\delta = 1.83$ ppm integrating for 12 protons can be observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2c**. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows a signal at $\delta = 30.8$ ppm. Complexes **2c'** and **2c''** could be identified by X-ray diffraction analysis of single crystals. In complex **2c'**, a second phosphasalene ligand bridges the two Zr centres giving a centrosymmetric binuclear complex with both Zr atoms in a pseudo-octahedral geometry where the coordinating atoms of the same nature (C,C), (N,N) and (O,O) are located *cis* to one another (Figure 6). Complex **2c''** is a mononuclear complex with only one benzyl group present and the phosphasalene ligand coordinated in an unusual pentadentate fashion by the four ONNO atoms and by one C atom of the propylene linker (Figure 7). Complex **2c''** is best

binuclear complexes formation and/or side reactions at the propylene backbone.

Alkoxide derivatives were then targeted for use as lactide ROP initiators. Complexes **3a** and **3b** could be readily prepared by adding two equivalents of ^tPrOH to dichloromethane solutions of **2a** and **2b**, respectively (Scheme 5). The NMR spectroscopic characterization of **3a** and **3b** revealed that both complexes are fluxional in solution and form as mixtures of *trans* and *cis*- β isomers. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **3a** (CD_2Cl_2 , 250 K), isopropoxy groups resonances consist of a heptet at $\delta = 3.70$ ppm for the *trans* isomer and a set of two heptets at $\delta = 4.60$ and 3.23 ppm for the *cis*- β isomer with integrations corresponding to a *trans*:*cis*- β ratio of 1:4. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **3a** recorded under the same conditions displays a

Figure 6 ORTEP view of complex **2c'** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): N1–P1 1.630(2), N1–Zr 2.289(2), N2–P2 1.614(2), N2–Zr 2.295(2), O1–Zr 2.0840(19), O2–Zr 2.0370(18), N1–Zr–N2 94.87(8), O2–Zr–O1 95.76(7), C63–Zr–C56 87.45(10). ¹-X, ¹-Y, ¹-Z.

described as a pseudo-square pyramidal complex with the remaining benzyl ligand in apical position and one of the basal positions occupied by two atoms (N2 and C1) which form a small acute N2–Zr–C1 angle of $38.27^\circ(11)$. The formation of **2c''** probably involves an entropically favored (toluene is liberated) σ -bond metathesis reaction between the C–H bond α to N and the Zr–C bond of the benzyl moiety.¹⁴ Thus, the greater flexibility of ligand **2c** brought by the extra carbon spacer favours

Figure 7 ORTEP view of complex **2c''** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except on C1). Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zr–O1 2.0717(19), Zr–O2 2.064(2), Zr–N1 2.248(3), Zr–N2 2.193(2), Zr–C1 2.207(4), Zr–C56 2.282(3), P1–N1 1.593(2), P2–N2 1.583(2), O1–Zr–O2 97.13(8), N1–Zr–N2 81.50(9), C1–Zr–C56 85.90(12).

Scheme 5

signal at $\delta = 32.7$ ppm for the *trans* isomer and a set of two signals at $\delta = 31.6$ and 29.6 ppm for the *cis*- β isomer. Similar characteristic signals can be found in the ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR

spectra of **3b** in d_8 -THF at 250 K with a different *trans*:*cis*- β ratio of 1:1. Variable temperature experiments were conducted on **3a** and **3b** in d_8 -toluene and line broadening analysis revealed activation parameters for the Δ - Λ isomerisation of $\Delta H^\ddagger = 63.7$ (± 4.1) kJ.mol $^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = 6$ (± 13) J.mol $^{-1}$ for **3a** and $\Delta H^\ddagger = 48.5$ (± 4.6) kJ.mol $^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = -48$ (± 16) J.mol $^{-1}$ for **3b** (Fig. S52 and Fig. S65, SI).^{5f} The slightly positive entropy of activation for **3a**, as opposed to the negative values for complexes **2b** and **3b**, might be indicative of a dissociation/recoordination mechanism for the ethylene-linked complex **3a**, whereas **2b** and **3b**, with a more rigid phenylene linker, would isomerize via a Bailar twist process.¹⁵ It is worth noting that a partial and reversible *cis*- β -*trans* isomerization was also observed in complex **3a** upon heating. Suitable crystals for X-Ray diffraction analysis of **3a** and **3b** were obtained by vapour diffusion of pentane into saturated CH_2Cl_2 solutions of the complexes. The ORTEP view of **3a** shows the zirconium atom in a pseudo-octahedral environment with the phosphasalen ligand adopting a *cis*- β coordination mode (Figure 8). As for the proligand, the phosphasalen ligand is able to undergo geometrical deformation, the phosphorus atom authorizing the *fac*-wrapping mode around the metal. Comparison with the salan and salalen-Zr complexes reported by Jones in the same *cis*- β configuration shows that the coordination sphere is a little bit more congested with phosphasalen with shorter Zr-N bond distances (by ca 0.1 Å) and

Figure 8 ORTEP view of complex **3a** (For the sake of clarity, H atoms as well as disorder and solvent molecules are not shown). Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): N1–P1 1.601(2), N1–Zr 2.321(2), N2–P2 1.609(2), N2–Zr 2.286(2), O1–Zr 2.0424(17), O2–Zr 2.1236(17), O3–Zr 2.0095(17), O4–Zr 1.9586(17), O1–Zr–O2 92.67(7), N1–Zr–N2 73.89(7), O3–Zr–O4 92.43(7).

longer Zr–O*i*Pr distances (by ca 0.05 Å).^{6d,6f} The solid state structure of **3b** shows the complex in a distorted octahedral *trans* configuration with *cis* angles in the range 69.77(16)–125.61(15) Å (Figure 9). The phenylene linker is bent upward by 26.1(6)° and the two aryloxy rings tilted downward (by 51.8(2)° and 49.4(2)°) with respect to the mean coordination plane defined by O1, N1, N2 and O2. As a consequence, one of the two isopropoxy groups is sandwiched between the two aryloxy rings and short intramolecular CH/ π interactions can be found. The Zr–O and Zr–N bond lengths are slightly longer by a value ranging from 0.051(4) to 0.073(5) Å than those in complex **2a**, also in *trans* configuration. P–N bonds are slightly elongated (0.022(5) Å to 0.035(5) Å) compared to those in **1bH₂**.

Considering that the Zr atom in these phosphasalen complexes is coordinatively saturated, which may limit the reactivity, we envisaged alternative cationic complexes with an open

coordination site. We synthesized the cationic benzyl complexes **4a** and **4b** by addition of tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane to the dibenzyl complexes **2a** and **2b** (scheme 6). NMR and EA analysis confirmed the formation of the cations as a single product. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of **4a** shows one signal at $\delta = 38.2$ ppm downfield shifted with respect to its neutral counterpart. The ^1H NMR spectrum displays two ^tBu peaks at $\delta = 1.10$ and 1.57 ppm, and two signals integrating each for two protons for the benzyl groups on zirconium and boron ($\delta = 2.02$ and 2.78 ppm, respectively). The ethylene spacer protons appear as a poorly resolved multiplet which simplifies to a signal corresponding to an AA'BB' system upon ^{31}P decoupling of the spectrum. All these

Scheme 6

Figure 9 ORTEP view of complex **3b** (For the sake of clarity, H atoms as well as disorder and solvent molecules are not shown). Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): N1–P1 1.608(5), N1–Zr 2.276(5), N2–P2 1.614(5), N2–Zr 2.269(4), O1–Zr 2.125(4), O2–Zr 2.109(4), O3–Zr 1.956(4), O4–Zr 1.979(4), N1–Zr–N2 69.77(16), O1–Zr–O2 125.61(15), O3–Zr–O4 164.94(18).

observations are consistent with a C_s -symmetry in solution for **4a**. Complex **4b** showed similar NMR patterns. Cationic alkoxyde complexes **5a–5b** and **6a–6b** were next synthesized *via* an alcoholysis route using either one equivalent of isopropanol or one equivalent of (*S*)-methyl-lactate. NMR characterization revealed that **5a**, **6a** and **6b** have formed as a single product whereas **5b** was contaminated by 15% of an impurity, which was identified as an isopropanol adduct **5b-iPrOH** (Fig. S94–S96, SI). The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of **5a** displays one signal at $\delta = 37.0$ ppm reasonably downfield shifted with respect to those of **3a**. The ^1H NMR spectrum is comparable to that of **4a** with the signals corresponding to the isopropoxy group replacing those of the benzyl group. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of **6a** and **6b** are similar showing only two sharp singlets ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 3$ Hz) of equal intensity at $\delta = 35.7/35.5$ ppm and 33.1/31.6 ppm, respectively. The complexes **6a** and **6b** exhibit only two CH_3 resonances for

the methyl and methoxy groups of the lactate confirming the presence of only one species in solution. With regards to the geometry of the complexes, if the lactate were in κ^2 -coordination mode, phosphasalen ligands would be necessary in *cis*- α or *cis*- β wrapping. This would imply that complexes **6a** and **6b** are formed as a single diastereoisomer (out of six possible!). Considering that *cis*- β wrapping creates highly asymmetric complexes, it should be reflected in NMR observables, which is not the case. For example, the ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **6a** shows for the ethylene spacer a set of signals with reasonable shape symmetry composed of two doublets of doublets at $\delta = 52.1$ and 51.9 ppm, respectively, with similar ^{13}C – ^{31}P coupling constant values ($^3J_{\text{CP}} = 12.8/11.8$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 3.9/4.0$ Hz). On the other hand, the ethylene spacer in **3a** (*cis*- β isomer) gives signals with different multiplicity and more distinct chemical shifts $\{\delta$ (ppm) = 52.9 (d, $^2J_{\text{PC}} = 3.3$ Hz), 50.1 (dd, $^3J_{\text{PC}} = 18.2$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{PC}} = 4.7$ Hz)}. Additionally, the ^1H NMR spectrum of **6a** shows two multiplets at $\delta = 3.48$ and 3.09 ppm for the ethylene bridge whereas four well-distinct signals are observed in the case of **3a** ($\delta = 3.90, 2.80, 2.68, 2.55$ ppm). On the other hand, a *cis*- α wrapping mode would lead to a pseudo C_2 -symmetric complex but would require considerable distortion of the phosphasalen skeleton, which is also unlikely, especially for **6b**. It therefore rather suggests that **6a** and **6b** are five coordinated complexes with methyl-lactate in a κ^1 -coordination mode. Conversely, a downfield shift in ^{13}C NMR of the carbonyl carbon of the lactate ligand in complexes **6a** ($\delta = 192.9$ ppm) and **6b** ($\delta = 192.8$ ppm) with respect to methyl lactate ($\delta = 176.1$ ppm) is indicative of a coordination of the carbonyl to the Zr centre.¹⁶ This apparent inconsistency could be explained i) either by a hemilabile behavior of the lactate ligand in solution, giving rise to a fast equilibrium between a five- and six- coordinate metal centre as previously described for salen methyl lactate Al complexes;¹⁷ or ii) by an alternative coordination mode (*i.e.* not derived from *cis*- α , *cis*- β or *trans* geometries) displaying a pseudo C_2 symmetry.

Synthesis and characterization of the phosphasalen Ti complexes

The ability of phosphasalen ligand to form Zr(IV) complexes being demonstrated we next turned our attention toward Ti. To

Scheme 7

Figure 10 ORTEP view of complex **7c** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ti–N1 2.247(2), Ti–N2 2.126(2), Ti–O1 1.9414(17), Ti–O2 2.0260(16), Ti–N3 1.951(2), Ti–N4 1.980(2), O1–Ti–O2 93.83(7), N1–Ti–N2 83.38(7), N3–Ti–N4 94.91(9).

avoid the delicate and tedious synthesis of $Ti(CH_2Ph)_4$, we used instead $Ti(NMe_2)_4$ also *a priori* suitable for undergoing protonolysis reaction with the phosphasalen proligands **(1a–1c)H₂**. The targeted bis(dimethylamido) phosphasalen Ti complexes **7a–c** were obtained as a single product in 68–72% yields (Scheme 7). Complexes **7a–c** are not fluxional in solution on the NMR-time scale. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of complexes **7a–c** recorded at 300 K show a set of two sharp peaks ($\Delta\nu_{1/2} < 6$ Hz) at $\delta = 32.0/26.1$, $27.0/17.9$ and $34.4/30.3$ ppm, respectively. The ^1H NMR spectra of **7a–c** display four signals for the $t\text{Bu}$ groups and two signals for the NMe_2 ligands in the aliphatic region. It therefore appears that all three phosphasalen ligands wrap the metal in a *cis*- β fashion and that they are locked in solution. Single crystal diffraction analysis confirmed that complex **7c** adopts a *cis*- β geometry (Figure 10). Complex **7c** displays a pseudo-octahedral geometry with *cis* arrangement of the NMe_2 ligands ($N3\text{--}Ti\text{--}N4 = 94.91^\circ(9)$). The Ti–N1 bond *trans* to the NMe_2 ligand is longer than Ti–N2 bond *trans* to the aryloxy ligand (by $0.121(3)$ Å) and, to a lesser extent, the P1–N1 bond is shorter than the P2–N2 bond (by $0.017(3)$ Å).

ROP of Lactide

The phosphasalen Ti and Zr complexes **2a–7a** and **2b–4b** were tested as ROP initiators of *rac*-lactide. The results are compiled in Table 1. Both neutral Zr(IV) dibenzyl complexes **2a** and **2b** showed poor activity (90°C , toluene), though the ethylene-bridged complex **2a** led to PLA with higher molecular weight and better conversion after a shorter reaction time (entries 1–2). Likewise, the Ti diamido complex **6a** also exhibited poor activity (entry 5). Performing lactide ROP using **2a–2b** in the presence of an alcohol source ($i\text{PrOH}$, 1 equiv) for *in situ* generation of a $M\text{--}O^i\text{Pr}$ species led to ill-defined and broadly disperse materials. Both neutral diisopropoxy Zr complexes **3a** and **3b** promote ROP of lactide in toluene at 90°C to give oligomers with low conversions, as deduced from GPC and NMR data (entries 3–4). Comparison with literature values shows that the neutral phosphasalen Zr complexes display much lower ROP performances, whether regarding activity and ROP control, compared to the best systems described by Jones and Kol based on salalen ligands and the titanium-salen complexes reported by Gibson and Long.^{5a,6} This is likely due steric and electronic factors: i) the severe steric bulk of phosphasalen ligand certainly prevents effective lactide coordination/activation and thus

subsequent ring-opening; *ii*) the strong electron-donating properties of the phosphasalen ligand may drastically quench the Lewis acidity of the metal center. With this in mind, we set out using coordinatively unsaturated cationic Zr(IV) benzyl/alkoxide complexes **4a-b**, **5a** and **6a-b** as lactide ROP initiators. The use of discrete cationic metal complexes for promoting ROP of cyclic esters to enhance the electrophilicity of the metal and its reactivity is a well-established approach but remains little explored with group 4 metal complexes.¹⁸ Initial ROP tests using Zr–Bn⁺ cations **4a** and **4b** as initiators showed that the ethylene-bridged cation **4a** efficiently polymerize lactide in toluene solution (86% conv. within 6 h, toluene, 90 °C), yet to afford ill-defined PLA material (GPC data with a bimodal distribution). The phenylene-bridged complex **4b** is a less active ROP catalyst than **4a** (entries 6-7, Table 1), indicating that the flexibility of the metal-chelate is beneficial to ROP activity.¹⁹ However, under bulk polymerization reactions (130 °C, neat lactide; entries 9-10, table 1), the more thermally robust phenylene-bridged **4b** allowed the production of well-defined PLA according to GPC data.

Table 1 ROP of lactide mediated by phosphasalen Ti and Zr complexes^a

Entry	Cat.	t (h)	Conv. (%) ^b	$M_{n, exp}^c$ (g mol ⁻¹)	$M_{n, theo}^d$ (g mol ⁻¹)	$\bar{D}^e$
1	2a	6	34	2835	4900	1.34
2	2b	24	10	425	1441	1.03
3	3a	24	22	430	3171	1.11
4	3b	24	15	505	2162	1.11
5	7a	24	35	578	5045	1.35
6	4a	6	86	13370 ^f	12395	--
7	4b	24	60	5150 ^f	8648	--
8 ^g	2a	4	76	700	10960	--
9 ^g	4a	1	78	27460 ^f	11250	--
10 ^g	4b	4	92	16060	13260	1.42
11 ⁱ	5a	14	98	12000	14130	1.10
12 ^h	5a	15	93	9840	13404	1.20
13 ⁱ	6a	14	99	11000	14250	1.07
14 ^h	6b	15	32	5820	4610	1.09

^a Polymerization conditions: $[rac-LA]_0 = 1$ M, 100 equiv of *rac*-LA, 1 equiv of metal catalyst, toluene, 90 °C. ^b Monomer conversion. ^c Measured by GPC in THF (30 °C) using PS standards and corrected by applying the appropriate correcting factor (0.58). ^d Calculated using $M_{n,theo} = [rac-LA]_0/[catalyst]_0 \times M_{LA} \times \text{conversion}$. ^e Measured by GPC in THF (30 °C). ^f bimodal distribution. ^g Bulk polymerisation conditions (100 equiv of *rac*-LA, 130 °C). ^h Reaction performed at 70 °C. ⁱ Reaction duration not optimized.

Figure 11. Plot of $\ln([M]_0/[M])$ versus time for the ROP of *rac*-lactide mediated by initiator **5a** (M = monomer). Conditions: $[M]_0 = 1$ M, $[M]_0/[5a]_0 = 100$, toluene, 90 °C.

Figure 12. Plot of M_n (GPC) of PLA versus monomer conversion for the ROP of *rac*-lactide mediated by initiator **5a**. Conditions: $[M]_0 = 1$ M, $[M]_0/[5a]_0 = 100$, toluene, 90 °C.

The ROP tests with cations **4a** and **4b** (toluene, 90 °C) in the presence of *i*PrOH (1 equiv), for *in situ* generation of **5a** and **5b**, only led to the formation of oligomers. Satisfyingly, well-defined cationic Zr–O⁺Pr and Zr–lactate complexes **5a** and **6a-b** turned out to be more active and well-behaved lactide ROP catalysts (entries 11-14, Table 1). Thus, in toluene (70 or 90 °C), the Zr–O⁺Pr cation **5a** mediated the ROP of *rac*-lactide (100 equiv) to quantitatively afford chain-length controlled and narrow disperse PLA, as deduced from GPC and ¹H NMR data. Kinetic data and PLA characterization agree with a well-behaved ROP process proceeding through a coordination-insertion mechanism. These data include: *i*) an observed pseudo-first order in monomer (Figure 11), *ii*) a linear correlation between the PLA M_n values and monomer conversion as the ROP proceeds (Figure 12) and *iii*) MALDI-TOF MS data for PLA in line with GPC-estimated values, consistent with the presence an O⁺Pr group at the ester end and marginal transesterifications during the ROP (Fig. S145-S147, SI). Thus, due to a more electrophilic metal and a less sterically hindered metal centre, the ROP activity of cation **5a** is far superior to those of its neutral di-alkoxide counterpart **3a**. It gets close to the performances of the best salen, salalen and salan group IV metal complexes but does not compare with other phosphasalen pentacoordinate yttrium and indium catalysts.^{6,8} In regards to the stereoselectivity of the ROP of *rac*-LA, it should be noted that cation **5a** produced PLA moderately heterotactic ($P_r = 0.63$ for the ROP test conducted at 90 °C). With a similar activity to that of **5a**, the ethylene-bridged Zr–(S)-Me-lactate cation **6a** also efficiently catalyzed the controlled ROP of *rac*-LA with the production of narrow disperse and heterotactic-enriched PLA ($P_r = 0.71$), as deduced from GPC and NMR analysis (Fig. S149-S150, SI). The phenylene-bridged **6b** is much less active than **5a/6a** in lactide ROP (entry 14 vs 12-13, Table 1), further reflecting the importance of a flexible ligand backbone for optimal ROP performances in these systems. The MALDI-TOF MS data of isolated PLA (from lactide ROP with **6b**) confirmed the presence of a Me-lactate moiety at the ester end of PLA and little transesterification reactions during the ROP process (Fig.

Conclusions

Experimental section

All reactions were carried out under Ar using conventional Schlenk techniques or in an Ar glovebox. Toluene, CH₂Cl₂, Et₂O, pentane and THF were dried using an MBraun MB SPS-800 solvent purification system. Bromobenzene was distilled over CaH₂ and stored over activated 3 Å molecular sieves in the glovebox. Deuterated solvents were dried by passage through a short column of activated neutral alumina (Brockman grade II) and stored over activated 3 Å molecular sieves in the glovebox, either at room temperature (C₆D₆, C₆D₅Br...) or at -18°C (d₈-THF, CD₂Cl₂...). Alumina and molecular sieves were activated by heating for at least 6 hours above 230°C under vacuum. Diatomaceous earth (dicalite) was dried in an oven at 110 °C. Compound Zr(CH₂Ph)₄ was prepared according to literature

Analyses

Ring nomenclature: the positions of carbon and hydrogen atoms in the aromatic rings were labelled according to the following Figure. Where applicable, the phenyl rings of the diphenylphosphino moiety are differentiated in chiral compounds (PPh¹, PPh²).

The number-average, weight-average molar masses (M_n and M_w , respectively) and molar mass distribution (M_w/M_n) of PLA samples were determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) at 30 °C with Shimadzu LC20AD ultra-fast liquid chromatography equipped with a Shimadzu RID10A refractometer detector. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was used as the eluent and the flow rate was set up at 1.0 mL/min. A Varian PLGel pre-column and a Varian PLGel 5 μ m were used. Calibrations were performed using polystyrene standards (400-100000 g/mol) and raw values of M_n values ($M_{n, \text{GPC}}$) were thus obtained. Mass spectra were acquired on a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (MALDI-TOF-TOF-Autoflex II TOF-TOF, Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a nitrogen laser (λ = 337 nm) at the « Service de Spectrométrie de Masse de l'Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg » and run in a positive mode. An external multi-point calibration was carried out before each measurement using the singly charged peaks of a standard peptide mixture (0.4 μ m in water acidified with FlewAnalysis 3.0 software). α -cyano-4-hydroxy-cinnamic acid (CHCA) was obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA) and 1,8, 9-anthracenetriol (dithranol) from Alfa Aesar (Karlsruhe,

Germany). Matrix solutions were freshly prepared: CHCA was dissolved to saturation in H₂O, CH₃CN, HCOOH (50/50.1%) solution and dithranol to saturation in THF. Typically, a 1/1 mixture of the sample solution in CH₂Cl₂ was mixed with the matrix solution and 0.5 µL of the resulting mixture was deposited on the stainless plate.

X-ray diffraction analyses

Crystals of all compound were selected and mounted on a mylar loop with oil on a 'Bruker APEX-II CCD' or a 'Bruker D8 venture Photon 100' diffractometer. Crystal were kept at 115 K or 100 K during data collection. Using Olex2,²² the structures were solved with the ShelXT²³ structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the XL²⁴ refinement package using Least Squares minimization. More details about crystals, data collections and refinements can be found in the Supporting Information and in the Crystallographic Information Files in which are included .res and .hkl files.

Synthesis of compounds

For the syntheses of the proligands (**1a-c**)H₂ not included here, see the Supporting Information.

Synthesis of complex 2a

Ligand **1a**H₂ (1.155 g, 1.38 mmol) and tetrabenzylzirconium (0.630 g, 1.38 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (15 mL) and stirred for 10 min. The volatiles were removed under vacuum, and the residue was suspended in hexane (10 mL). The resulting solid was filtered and washed with hexane (4 x 2 mL) to give **2a** as a yellow powder (1.263 g, 80%). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 36.5 (v_{1/2} ≈ 4 Hz). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 7.82 (d, 2H, ⁴J_{HH} = 2.10 Hz, H₃ of PAr), 7.30-7.22 (m, 8H, o of PPh₂), 7.12-7.07 (m, 12H, overlapping m and p of PPh₂), 6.74 (m, 4H, m of ZrBn), 6.65 (dd, ³J_{PH} = 16.0 Hz, ⁴J_{HH} = 2.1 Hz, 2H, H₅ of PAr), 6.56 (m, 2H, p of ZrBn), 6.13 (m, 4H, o of ZrBn), 3.15 (m, 4H, NCH₂), 2.36 (s, 4H, CH₂ of ZrBn), 1.96 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^tBu²), 1.13 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 166.5 (d, ²J_{PC} = 2.2 Hz, C1 of PAr), 155.9 (s, i of ZrBn), 138.9 (d, ³J_{CP} = 7.2 Hz, C2 of PAr), 138.4 (d, ³J_{CP} = 15.4 Hz, C4 of PAr), 133.8 (d, ²J_{CP} = 9.9 Hz, o of PPh₂), 132.3 (s, p of PPh₂), 129.8 (s, C3 of PAr), 129.1 (d, ³J_{CP} = 12.1 Hz, m of PPh₂), 129.0 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 115.9 Hz, i of PPh₂), 127.7 (d, ²J_{CP} = 14.9 Hz, C5 of PAr), 127.5 (s, m of ZrBn), 125.5 (s, o of ZrBn), 116.7 (s, p of ZrBn), 108.2 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 130.0 Hz, C6 of PAr), 59.8 (s, CH₂ of ZrBn), 51.9 (dd, ³J_{CP} = 17.6 Hz, ²J_{CP} = 3.8 Hz, NCH₂), 36.2 (s, C of ^tBu²), 34.3 (s, C of ^tBu⁴), 31.6 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 30.9 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²). Elemental Analysis: calculated for C₆₈H₇₈N₂O₂P₂Zr: C, 73.68; H, 7.09; N, 2.53. Found: C, 73.17; H, 7.34; N, 2.20.

Synthesis of complex 2b

Ligand **1b**H₂ (1.770 g, 2.00 mmol) and tetrabenzylzirconium (0.912 g, 2.00 mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane (50 mL) then stirred at room temperature for 3h30, during which a yellow precipitate appeared. The suspension was filtered, and the solid was washed with dichloromethane (3x5 mL) and dried under vacuum to give complex **2b** containing 1.2 eq of CH₂Cl₂

(1.999 g, 80 %). The mixture of isomers was characterized by multinuclear NMR in d₈-THF at 210 K on a 500 MHz, spectrometer. Under these conditions, the *cis*-β^Δ / *cis*-β^Λ isomerisation was frozen. Some signals in the aromatic regions of ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra could not be assigned, in which case they are labelled as CH-Ar or CH-Ar. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (243 MHz, d₈-THF, 210 K): δ = 30.5 (s, v_{1/2} ≈ 3 Hz, P(β)), 29.2 (s, v_{1/2} ≈ 4 Hz, P(T)), 28.0 (s, v_{1/2} ≈ 5 Hz, P(β)). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, d₈-THF 210 K): δ = 7.83 (m, 1H, CH-Ar), 7.75-7.63 (m, CH-Ar), 7.63-7.51 (m, CH-Ar overlapping with H₃ of PAr(T)), 7.51-7.43 (m, 1H + 8H, H₃ of PAr(β) overlapping with o of PAr(T)), 7.31 (s, 1H, H₃ of PAr(β)), 7.23 (m, CH-Ar), 7.08 (d, 1H, ³J_{PH} = 15.6 Hz, H₅ of PAr(β)), 6.75 (m, 2H, m of ZrBn(β)), 6.72-6.65 (m, CH-Ar overlapping with H₅ of PAr(β)), 6.65 (d, 1H, ³J_{PH} = 15.0 Hz, H₅ of PAr(β) overlapping with CH-Ar), 6.56-6.38 (m, CH-Ar overlapping with m of ZrBn(T), m of ZrBn(β), H₂ of NAr(T) and p of ZrBn(β)), 6.52 (m, 4H, m of ZrBn(T)), 6.46 (m, 2H, m of ZrBn(β)), 6.40 (m, 1H, p of ZrBn(β)), 6.35 (d, ³J_{PH} = 15.7 Hz, H₅ of PAr(T)), 6.31 (m, 2H, p of ZrBn(T)), 6.22 (t, ³J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, H₃ of NAr(β) overlapping with p of ZrBn(β)), 6.18 (m, 1H, p of ZrBn(β) overlapping with two H₃ of NAr(β), H₂ of NAr(β) and H₃ of NAr(T)), 6.18-6.10 (m, 2+1 H, H₃ of NAr(β), H₂ of NAr(β) and H₃ of NAr(T) overlapping with p of ZrBn(β)), 6.01 (m, 4H, o of ZrBn(T)), 5.77 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H₂ of NAr(β)), 2.37 (d, ²J_{HH} = 10.1 Hz, 1H, CH₂ of ZrBn(β)), 2.09 (d, ²J_{HH} = 8.3 Hz, 1H, CH₂ of ZrBn(β)), 1.79 (d, ²J_{HH} = 10.1 Hz, 1H, CH₂ of ZrBn(β)), 1.74 (s, 4H, CH₂ of ZrBn(T)), 1.67 (d, ²J_{HH} = 8.3 Hz, 1H, CH₂ of ZrBn(β)), 1.42 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu²(β)), 1.27 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^tBu²(T)), 1.18 (two s, 18H, two overlapping CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(β)), 1.13 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(T)), 0.52 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu²(β)). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (150.9 MHz, d₈-THF, 210 K): δ = 166.7 (s, C1 of PAr(T)), 165.6 (s, C1 of PAr(β)), 163.0 (s, C1 of PAr(β)), 154.7 (s, i of ZrBn(T)), 154.5 (s, i of ZrBn(β)), 153.2 (s, i of ZrBn(β)), 145.1 (dd, ³J_{PC} = 13.0 Hz, ²J_{PC} = 2.1 Hz, C1 of NAr(β)), 144.9 (dd, ³J_{PC} = 14.3 Hz, ²J_{PC} = 2.8 Hz, C1 of NAr(β)), 142.3 (dd, ³J_{PC} = 17.7 Hz, ²J_{PC} = 2.7 Hz, C1 of NAr(T)), 139.9 (d, ³J_{PC} = 15.1 Hz, C4 of PAr(β) overlapping with C2 of PAr(β)), 139.8 (d, ³J_{PC} = 7.2 Hz, C2 of PAr(β) overlapping with C4 of PAr(β)), 138.7 (d, ³J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz, C2 of PAr(β) overlapping with C4 of PAr(β)), 138.7 (d, ³J_{PC} = 13.1 Hz, C4 of PAr(β) overlapping with C2 of PAr(β)), 138.2 (d, ³J_{PC} = 15.4 Hz, C4 of PAr(T)), 138.0 (d, ³J_{PC} = 6.7 Hz, C2 of PAr(T)), 135.5 (d, ²J_{PC} = 10.4 Hz, o of PPh₂(β)), 135.0 (d, ²J_{PC} = 8.8 Hz, o of PPh₂(β)), 134.5 (m, p of PPh₂(β)), 134.5 (s, CH-Ar), 134.0 (d, ²J_{PC} = 9.9 Hz, o of PPh₂(T) overlapping with CH-Ar), 133.9 (s, CH-Ar overlapping with o of PPh₂(T) and CH-Ar), 133.8 (s, CH-Ar overlapping with CH-Ar), 133.5 (s, CH-Ar), 132.9 (d, ²J_{PC} = 10.0 Hz, o of PPh₂(β)), 132.2 (s, CH-Ar), 131.7 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 89.6 Hz, i of PPh₂(β)), 130.6 (s, C3 of PAr(T)), 130.4 (s, CH-Ar overlapping with CH-Ar), 130.3 (s, CH-Ar overlapping with CH-Ar and i of PPh₂(β)), 130.3-129.7 (m, several overlapping CH-Ar overlapping i of PPh₂(β)), 129.9 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 90.4 Hz, i of PPh₂(β)), 129.2 (s, C3 of PAr(β)), 129.1-128.8 (m, overlapping CH-Ar), 128.4 (d, ²J_{PC} = 15.4 Hz, C5 of PAr(β) overlapping with i of PPh₂(β)), 128.2 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 89.8 Hz, i of PPh₂(β) overlapping with C5 of PAr(β) and C5 of PAr(T)), 127.9 (d, ²J_{PC} = 12.9 Hz, C5 of PAr(T) overlapping with two i of PPh₂(β)), 127.8 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 72.4 Hz, i of PPh₂(β) overlapping with C5 of PAr(T) and m of ZrBn(T)), 127.3 (s, m of ZrBn(T) overlapping with i of

PPh₂(β) and *i* of PPh₂(T)), 127.0 (s, *m* of ZrBn(β) overlapping with *i* of PPh₂(T)), 126.9 (s, *m* of ZrBn(β) overlapping with *i* of PPh₂(T)), 126.9 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 92.6 Hz, *i* of PPh₂(T) overlapping with two *m* of ZrBn(β)), 126.5 (s, *o* of ZrBn(β)), 125.8 (m, *o* of ZrBn(T) overlapping with *o* of ZrBn(β)), 124.4 (d, ³J_{PC} = 6.0 Hz, C2 of NAr(β)), 122.2 (d, ³J_{PC} = 3.3 Hz, C2 of NAr(β)), 121.1 (s, C3 of NAr(β)), 120.7 (d, ³J_{PC} = 10.2 Hz, C2 of NAr(T)), 120.6 (s, C3 of NAr(β)), 119.9 (s, C3 of NAr(T)), 118.1 (two overlapping s, *p* of ZrBn(β)), 117.5 (s, *p* of ZrBn(T)), 115.3 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 120.4 Hz, C6 of PAr(T)), 112.9 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 118.0 Hz, C6 of PAr(β)), 111.7 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 110.7 Hz, C6 of PAr(β)), 68.2 (s, CH₂ of ZrBn(β)), 64.0 (s, CH₂ of ZrBn(T)), 62.6 (s, CH₂ of ZrBn(β)), 36.1 (s, C of ^tBu²(β)), 35.9 (s, C of ^tBu²(T)), 35.3 (s, C of ^tBu²(β)), 34.8 (s, C of ^tBu⁴(β)), 34.7 (s, C of ^tBu⁴(β)), 34.6 (s, C of ^tBu⁴(T)), 31.5 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(T)), 31.4 (two overlapping s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(β)), 30.6 (two overlapping s, CH₃ of ^tBu²(T) and CH₃ of ^tBu²(β)), 28.7 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²(β)). **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for C₇₂H₇₈N₂O₂P₂Zr: C, 74.77; H, 6.80; N, 2.42. Found: C, 69.03; H, 7.15; N, 2.59. A satisfactory elemental analysis could not be obtained (C was always too low).

Synthesis of complex 2c

Ligand **1cH₂** (172mg, 0.2 mmol) was suspended in Et₂O (10ml) and tetrabenzylzirconium (0.182 g, 0.4 mmol) were added then stirred at room temperature for 4h, during which a yellow precipitate appeared. The suspension was filtered, and the solid was washed with Et₂O (2x5 mL) and dried under vacuum to give complex **2c** containing 1 eq of Et₂O (195 mg, 59 %). **³¹P{¹H} NMR (243MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K):** δ = 30.8 (v_{1/2} = 7 Hz). **¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K):** δ = 7.71 (s, 2H, H3 of PAr), 7.35-7.29 (m, 4H, PPh₂), 7.25-7.17 (m, 16H, PPh₂), 6.95 (brt, ³J_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, 12H, *m* of ZrBn), 6.76 (brd, ³J_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, 12H, *o* of ZrBn), 6.72 (bd, ³J_{PH} = 13.1 Hz, 2H, H5 of PAr), 6.58 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, 6H, *p* of ZrBn), 2.25 (dt, ³J_{PH} = 25.5, ³J_{HH} = 8.1, 4H, NCH₂), 1.83 (s, 12H, CH₂ of ZrBn) 1.51 (s, 18H, ^tBu²), 1.35 (bs, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.22 (s, 18H, ^tBu⁴). **¹³C{¹H} NMR (150.9 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K):** δ = 165.4 (s, C1 of PAr), 148.4 (s, C1 of ZrBn), 140.3 (d, ³J_{CP} = 12.2 Hz, C4 of PAr), 139.5 (d, ³J_{CP} = 5.9 Hz, C2 of PAr), 133.7 (s, *p* of PPh₂), 133.4 (d, *J*_{CP} = 9.8 Hz, *o*/*m* of PPh₂), 130.7 (s, C3 of PAr), 129.6 (d, *J*_{CP} = 12.1 Hz, *m*/*o* of PPh₂), 129.0 (d, ²J_{CP} = 10.8 Hz, C5 of PAr), 128.3 (s, *m* of ZrBn), 126.6 (s, *o* of ZrBn), 125.2 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 103.1 Hz, C1 of PPh₂), 120.6 (s, *p* of ZrBn), 109.4 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 100.1 Hz, C6 of PAr), 72.3 (s, CH₂ of ZrBn), 40.8 (d, ³J_{CP} = 4.3 Hz, NCH₂), 35.4 (s, C of ^tBu²), 34.4 (s, C of ^tBu⁴), 33.7 (s, NCH₂-CH₂), 31.5 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 30.5 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²). **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for C₉₇H₁₀₈N₂O₂P₂Zr₂: C, 73.82; H, 6.90; N, 1.77. Found: C, 71.09; H, 7.19; N, 1.89. A satisfactory elemental analysis could not be obtained (C was always too low).

Synthesis of complex 3a

Complex **2a** (340 mg, 0.3 mmol) and ⁱPrOH (180 mg, 3.0 mmol) were mixed in 6 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min, during which it turned gradually colourless. The volatiles were removed under vacuum, and the solid residue was triturated with pentane, then dried under vacuum, yielding **3a** as a white solid (88 mg, 28 %). The low yield is due to the solubility of **3a** in pentane. Alternatively, **3a** may be synthesized *via* the reaction of ligand **1aH₂** with [Zr(NMe₂)₄] followed by alcoholysis with ⁱPrOH. **³¹P{¹H} NMR (243 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 250 K):**

δ = 32.7 (s, v_{1/2} ≈ 5 Hz, P(T)), 31.6 (s, v_{1/2} ≈ 5 Hz, P(β)), 29.6 (s, v_{1/2} ≈ 5 Hz, P(β)). **¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 250 K):** δ = 7.98 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂(β)), 7.88 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂(β)), 7.66-7.58 (m, CH-Ar), 7.58-7.32 (m, CH-Ar), 7.22 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂(β)), 6.78 (dd, ³J_{PH} = 16.0 Hz, 1H, ⁴J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz, H5 of PAr(β)), 6.69 (dd, ³J_{PH} = 13.3 Hz, ⁴J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H5 of PAr(β)), 6.46 (dd, ³J_{PH} = 15.6 Hz, 1H, ⁴J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz, H5 of PAr(T)), 4.60 (hept, ³J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, CH of ⁱPr(β)), 3.90 (m, 1H, CH₂N(β)), 3.70 (hept, ³J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, 1H, CH of ⁱPr(T)), 3.23 (hept, ³J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, 1H, CH of ⁱPr(β)), 3.13 (m, 4H, CH₂N(T)), 2.80 (m, 1H, CH₂N(β)), 2.68 (m, 1H, CH₂N(β)), 2.55 (m, 1H, CH₂N(β)), 1.47 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu²(β)), 1.45 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^tBu²(T)), 1.22 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃ of ⁱPr(β)), 1.17 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu²(β) overlapping with CH₃ of ⁱPr(β)), 1.16 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃ of ⁱPr(β) overlapping with CH₃ of ^tBu²(β)), 1.13 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(β)), 1.08 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(β)), 1.04 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(T)), 0.64 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃ of ⁱPr(β)), 0.54 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃ of ⁱPr(β)), 0.51 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.0 Hz, 12H, CH₃ of ⁱPr(T)). **¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 250 K):** δ = 168.3 (d, ²J_{PC} = 4.1 Hz, C1 of PAr(β)), 167.3 (d, ²J_{PC} = 1.9 Hz, C1 of PAr(T)), 164.5 (d, ²J_{PC} = 2.2 Hz, C1 of PAr(β)), 139.3 (d, ³J_{PC} = 6.9 Hz, C2 of PAr(β)), 139.0 (d, ³J_{PC} = 7.6 Hz, C2 of PAr(β)), 137.9 (d, ³J_{PC} = 7.6 Hz, C2 of PAr(T)), 137.0 (d, ³J_{PC} = 14.3 Hz, C4 of PAr(β)), 136.1 (d, ³J_{PC} = 14.9 Hz, C4 of PAr(T)), 134.0 (d, ³J_{PC} = 13.7 Hz, C4 of PAr(β) overlapping with *o* of PPh₂(β)), 133.9 (d, ²J_{PC} = 9.0 Hz, *o* of PPh₂(β) overlapping with C4 of PAr(β)), 133.6 (d, ²J_{PC} = 9.2 Hz, *o* of PPh₂(β) overlapping with *o* of PPh₂(β)), 133.5 (d, ²J_{PC} = 10.2 Hz, *o* of PPh₂(β) overlapping with *o* of PPh₂(β)), 133.4 (d, ²J_{PC} = 9.5 Hz, *o* of PPh₂(T)), 133.1 (d, ²J_{PC} = 9.2 Hz, *o* of PPh₂(β)), 132.1 (d, ⁴J_{PC} = 2.4 Hz, *p* of PPh₂(β)), 132.0 (d, ⁴J_{PC} = 1.7 Hz, *p* of PPh₂(β)), 131.8 (d, ⁴J_{PC} = 1.7 Hz, *p* of PPh₂(T) overlapping with *i* of PAr(β)), 131.6 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 89.5 Hz, *i* of PAr(β) overlapping with *p* of PPh₂(T) and *p* of PPh₂(β)), 131.5 (d, ⁴J_{PC} = 2.2 Hz, two *p* of PPh₂(β) overlapping with *i* of PAr(β)), 130.3 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 113.5 Hz, *i* of PAr(β) overlapping with *i* of PAr(β)), 130.0 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 88.1 Hz, *i* of PAr(β) overlapping with *i* of PAr(β)), 129.2 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 90.9 Hz, *i* of PAr(T)), 128.6-128.3 (m, overlapping CH-Ar), 128.3 (s, CH-Ar), 128.0 (s, C3 of PAr(β)), 127.6 (d, ²J_{PC} = 11.3 Hz, C5 of PAr(β)), 127.3 (d, ²J_{PC} = 12.8 Hz, C5 of PAr(β) overlapping with *i* of PAr(β)), 127.1 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 87.9 Hz, *i* of PAr(β)), 126.4 (d, ²J_{PC} = 14.2 Hz, C5 of PAr(T)), 112.1 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 122.0 Hz, C6 of PAr(T)), 109.8 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 102.1 Hz, C6 of PAr(β)), 108.7 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 117.4 Hz, C6 of PAr(β)), 69.4 (s, CH of ⁱPr(β)), 69.2 (s, CH of ⁱPr(β)), 67.6 (s, CH of ⁱPr(T)), 52.9 (d, ²J_{PC} = 3.3 Hz, CH₂N(β)), 51.6 (dd, ³J_{PC} = 17.5 Hz, ²J_{PC} = 3.9 Hz, CH₂N(T)), 50.1 (dd, ³J_{PC} = 18.2 Hz, ²J_{PC} = 4.7 Hz, CH₂N(β)), 35.7 (d, ⁴J_{PC} = 1.4 Hz, C of ^tBu²(β)), 35.5 (m, C of ^tBu²(T)), 35.3 (d, ⁴J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz, C of ^tBu²(β)), 34.0 (s, C of ^tBu⁴(β)), 33.9 (s, C of ^tBu⁴(β) overlapping with C of ^tBu⁴(T)), 31.3 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(β)), 31.2 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(T)), 31.1 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴(β)), 29.7 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²(β) overlapping with CH₃ of ^tBu²(T)), 29.4 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²(β)), 27.5 (s, CH₃ of ⁱPr(β)), 27.5 (s, CH₃ of ⁱPr(β)), 26.9 (s, CH₃ of ⁱPr(T)), 26.7 (s, CH₃ of ⁱPr(β)), 26.7 (s, CH₃ of ⁱPr(β)). **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for C₆₀H₇₈N₂O₄P₂Zr: C, 69.00; H, 7.53; N, 2.68. Found: C, 67.32; H, 7.31; N, 2.62.

Synthesis of complex 3b

Complex **2b** (0.588 g, 0.5 mmol) was suspended in dichloromethane (15 mL) then isopropanol (0.30 g, 5 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for 3 hrs. The volatiles were removed under vacuum. The residue was suspended in pentane (5 mL), filtered and washed with pentane (3x5 mL), yielding **3b** as a white powder (0.418 g, 77%). **³¹P{¹H} NMR (243 MHz, d₈-THF, 250 K):** δ = 26.8 (s, $\nu_{1/2} \approx 3$ Hz, P(β)), 24.3 (s, $\nu_{1/2} \approx 3$ Hz, P(T)), 21.5 (s, $\nu_{1/2} \approx 4$ Hz, P(β)). **¹H NMR (600 MHz, d₈-THF, 250 K):** δ = 8.01 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂(β)), 7.86-7.71 (m, CH-Ar overlapping with *o* of PPh₂(β)), 7.82 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂(T) overlapping with CH-Ar), 7.71-7.55 (m, CH-Ar), 7.61 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂(T)), 7.52-7.43 (m, CH-Ar overlapping with *p* of PPh₂(β)), 7.46 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂(β) overlapping with CH-Ar), 7.40 (m, CH-Ar), 7.35 (d, $^4J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, 1H, H3 of PAR(β) overlapping with CH-Ar), 7.33-7.24 (m, CH-Ar overlapping with two H3 of PAR(β) and H3 of PAR(T)), 7.32 (d, $^4J_{HH} = 2.1$ Hz, 1H, H3 of PAR(T) overlapping with CH-Ar), 7.30 (m, H3 of PAR(β) overlapping with CH-Ar), 7.03 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂(β)), 6.61 (dd, $^3J_{PH} = 16.2$ Hz, 1H, $^4J_{HH} = 1.9$ Hz, H5 of PAR(β)), 6.53 (dd, $^3J_{PH} = 15.5$ Hz, $^4J_{HH} = 2.2$ Hz, 1H, H5 of PAR(β)), 6.42 (dd, $^3J_{PH} = 15.9$ Hz, 1H, $^4J_{HH} = 2.1$ Hz, H5 of PAR(T)), 7.61-6.03 (m, H2 / H3 of NAr(β / T)), 6.03-5.97 (m, H2 / H3 of NAr(β / T)), 4.19 (hept, $^3J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, CH of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 3.78 (hept, $^3J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 1H, CH of ^{*i*}Pr(T)), 3.40 (hept, $^3J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 1H, CH of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 1.36 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu²(β)), 1.30 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu²(T)), 1.12 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(β)), 1.07 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(β) overlapping with CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(T)), 1.06 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(T) overlapping with CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(β)), 1.02 (d, $^3J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 3H, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β) overlapping with CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu²(β)), 1.00 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu²(β) overlapping with CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 0.86 (d, $^3J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 3H, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 0.79 (d, $^3J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 3H, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 0.65 (d, $^3J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 12H, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(T)), 0.49 (d, $^3J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 3H, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β)). **¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, d₈-THF, 250 K):** δ = 169.1 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 2.9$ Hz, C1 of PAR(β)), 168.8 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 1.9$ Hz, C1 of PAR(T)), 165.1 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 1.2$ Hz, C1 of PAR(β)), 146.8 (dd, $^3J_{PC} = 10.2$ Hz, $^2J_{PC} = 2.2$ Hz, C1 of NAr(β)), 143.7 (dd, $^3J_{PC} = 18.5$ Hz, $^2J_{PC} = 2.4$ Hz, C1 of NAr(T)), 143.2 (dd, $^3J_{PC} = 18.7$ Hz, $^2J_{PC} = 5.2$ Hz, C1 of NAr(β)), 139.9 (d, $^3J_{PC} = 7.6$ Hz, C2 of PAR(β)), 138.6 (d, $^3J_{PC} = 7.6$ Hz, C2 of PAR(β)), 137.9 (d, $^3J_{PC} = 15.1$ Hz, C2 of PAR(T)), 137.7 (d, $^3J_{PC} = 15.2$ Hz, C4 of PAR(β)), 136.5 (d, $^3J_{PC} = 15.5$ Hz, C4 of PAR(T)), 135.0 (d, $^3J_{PC} = 14.4$ Hz, C4 of PAR(β)), 134.7 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 9.0$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂(β)), 134.4 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 10.2$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂(β) overlapping with *o* of PPh₂(β)), 134.3 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 10.1$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂(β) overlapping with *o* of PPh₂(β)), 134.2 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 9.5$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂(T)), 133.9 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 9.4$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂(β)), 133.0 (m, *p* of PPh₂(β)), 132.7 (m, *p* of PPh₂(T)), 132.5 (m, two overlapping *p* of PPh₂(β) overlapping with *i* of PAR(β)), 131.6 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 89.5$ Hz, *i* of PAR(β) overlapping with *p* of PPh₂(T) and *p* of PPh₂(β)), 132.1 (m, *p* of PPh₂(β)), 131.2 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 107.6$ Hz, *i* of PAR(β)), 130.2 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 89.1$ Hz, *i* of PAR(β)), 129.5-129.1 (m, overlapping CH-Ar overlapping with *i* of PAR(β)), 129.1 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 88.2$ Hz, *i* of PAR(β) overlapping with CH-Ar), 128.8-128.6 (m, CH-Ar overlapping with *i* of PAR(β)), 128.2 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 89.2$ Hz, *i* of PAR(β) overlapping with C5 of PAR(β) and *i* of PAR(T)), 128.1 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 13.9$ Hz, C5 of PAR(β) overlapping with *i* of PAR(β) and *i* of PAR(T)), 127.8 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 92.0$ Hz, *i* of PAR(T) overlapping with C5 of PAR(β) and *i* of PAR(β)), 126.9 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 14.8$ Hz, C5 of PAR(T)), 126.5 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 14.4$ Hz, C5 of PAR(β)), 124.5 (d, $^3J_{PC} = 5.1$ Hz, C2 of NAr(β)), 121.2 (s, C3 of NAr(β)),

120.1-119.9 (m, overlapping C2 of NAr(β) and NAr(T)), 118.7 (s, C3 of NAr(T)), 118.2 (s, C3 of NAr(β)), 117.2 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 123.6$ Hz, C6 of PAR(T)), 115.4 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 124.0$ Hz, C6 of PAR(β)), 109.4 (d, $^1J_{PC} = 110.6$ Hz, C6 of PAR(β)), 70.2 (s, CH of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 69.9 (s, CH of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 68.9 (s, CH of ^{*i*}Pr(T)), 36.0 (d, $^4J_{PC} = 1.1$ Hz, C of ^{*t*}Bu²(β)), 35.7 (d, $^4J_{PC} = 1.7$ Hz, C of ^{*t*}Bu²(T)), 35.5 (d, $^4J_{PC} = 1.5$ Hz, C of ^{*t*}Bu²(β)), 34.5 (s, C of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(β)), 34.4 (s, C of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(T)), 34.3 (s, C of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(β)), 31.6 (m, two CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(β) overlapping with CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(T)), 30.4 (s, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴(T)), 30.2 (s, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu²(β)), 30.0 (s, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu²(β)), 27.5 (s, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β) overlapping with CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(T)), 27.4 (s, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 27.3 (s, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β)), 27.1 (s, CH₃ of ^{*i*}Pr(β)). **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for C₆₄H₇₈N₂O₄P₂Zr: C, 70.36; H, 7.20; N, 2.56. Found: C, 69.03; H, 7.15; N, 2.59.

Synthesis of complex 4a

Complex **2a** (1.00 g, 0.87 mmol) and tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane (0.447 g, 0.87 mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL) and stirred for 10 min. The volatiles were removed under vacuum to obtain a foamy solid, which was triturated in pentane (5 mL) to give a light-brown oil. The supernatant solution was removed and the oil was washed with pentane (5x5 mL), and then dried under vacuum to give **6a** as a beige powder containing 66 mol% of pentane (1.181 g, 78%). The ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR characterization was performed on a batch prepared by direct evaporation of a dichloromethane solution, hence the presence of 19 mol% of dichloromethane. **³¹P{¹H} NMR (122 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K):** δ = 38.2 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 5$ Hz). **¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K):** δ = 7.73 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with H3 of PAR), 7.71 (d, $^4J_{HH} = 2.4$ Hz, 2H, H3 of PAR overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 7.69 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂), 7.59 (m, 4H, *m* of PPh₂), 7.53 (m, 4H, *m* of PPh₂), 7.38 (m, 4H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.26 (m, 4H, *o* of PPh₂), 6.85 (m, 2H, *m* of BBn overlapping with *m* of ZrBn), 6.83 (m, 2H, *m* of ZrBn overlapping with *m* of BBn), 6.79 (m, 1H, *p* of ZrBn overlapping with *p* of BBn), 6.76 (m, 1H, *p* of BBn overlapping with *p* of ZrBn), 6.72 (m, 2H, *o* of BBn), 6.58 (dd, $^3J_{PH} = 15.9$ Hz, $^4J_{HH} = 2.4$ Hz, 2H, H5 of PAR), 6.23 (m, 2H, *o* of ZrBn), 3.43 (m, 2H, CH₂N), 3.34 (m, 2H, CH₂N), 2.79 (bs, 2H, CH₂ of BBn), 2.03 (s, 2H, CH₂ of ZrBn), 1.58 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu²), 1.11 (s, 18H, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴). **¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K):** δ = 161.4 (s, C1 of PAR), 149.2 (s, *i* of BBn), 148.6 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 236$ Hz, CF of C₆F₅), 145.0 (s, *i* of ZrBn), 144.0 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 15.0$ Hz, C4 of PAR), 139.1 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 7.0$ Hz, C2 of PAR), 138.0 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 241$ Hz, CF of C₆F₅), 136.7 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 255$ Hz, CF of C₆F₅), 134.4 (d, $^4J_{CP} = 2.4$ Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 134.3 (d, $^4J_{CP} = 2.5$ Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 133.4 (d, $^2J_{CP} = 10.4$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 133.2 (d, $^2J_{CP} = 10.3$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 131.4 (m, C3 of PAR), 130.1 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 2.7$ Hz, *m* of PPh₂), 130.0 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 2.4$ Hz, *m* of PPh₂), 129.1 (s, *m* of ZrBn), 128.9 (s, *o* of BBn), 128.2 (d, $^2J_{CP} = 13.8$ Hz, C5 of PAR), 127.1 (s, *m* of BBn), 126.2 (m, *o* of ZrBn), 125.6 (m, two overlapping *i* of PPh₂), 122.6 (s, *p* of BBn), 122.4 (s, *p* of ZrBn), 111.9 (d, $^1J_{CP} = 115.8$ Hz, C6 of PAR), 67.6 (s, CH₂ of ZrBn), 52.1 (dd, $^3J_{CP} = 15.4$ Hz, $^2J_{CP} = 4.1$ Hz, CH₂N), 36.2 (s, C of ^{*t*}Bu²), 34.8 (s, C of ^{*t*}Bu⁴), 31.9 (n.o., CH₂ of BBn, visible in HSQC spectrum), 31.3 (s, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu⁴), 30.3 (s, CH₃ of ^{*t*}Bu²). **¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (282 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K):** δ = -131.4 (m, *o* of C₆F₅), -164.8 (t, $J_{FF} = 20.6$ Hz, *p* of C₆F₅), -167.7 (m, *m* of C₆F₅). **¹¹B{¹H} NMR (96 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K):** δ = -12.7. **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for

$C_{86}H_{78}BF_{15}N_2O_2P_2Zr(C_5H_{12})_{0.66}$: C, 64.30; H, 5.19; N, 1.68. Found: C, 63.60; H, 4.94; N, 1.75.

Synthesis of complex 4b

Complex **2b** (1.000 g, 0.75 mmol) and tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane (0.386 g, 0.75 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (20 mL) and stirred for 1 h. The volatiles were removed under vacuum to give a foamy solid. The solid was suspended in pentane (10 mL), resulting in the formation of a brown oil, and the supernatant was removed. The oil was washed with pentane (4x5 mL) then dried under vacuum to give **6b** as a pale yellow powder containing 66 mol % of toluene (1.148 g, 88%). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (202 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = 34.5 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 3$ Hz). 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = 7.83 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂), 7.70 (d, $^4J_{HH} = 2.0$ Hz, 2H, *H3* of PAr overlapping with *m* of PPh₂), 7.69 (m, 4H, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with *H3* of PAr), 7.64–7.57 (m, 4H, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 7.56 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂), 7.37–7.30 (m, 4H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.27 (m, 4H, *m* of PPh₂), 6.87 (m, 2H, *m* of BBn), 6.81–6.66 (m, 8H, *p* of BBn overlapping with *o* of BBn, *H5* of PAr, *m* of ZrBn and *p* of ZrBn), 6.60 (m, 2H, *o* of ZrBn), 6.37 (m, 2H, *H3* of NAr), 6.07 (m, 2H, *H2* of NAr), 2.84 (bs, 2H, CH_2 of BBn), 2.15 (s, 2H, CH_2 of ZrBn), 1.36 (s, 18H, CH_3 of $^tBu^2$), 1.19 (s, 18H, CH_3 of $^tBu^4$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (126 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = 161.4 (d, $^3J_{PC} = 1.0$ Hz, C1 of PAr), 149.1 (s, *i* of BBn overlapping with CF of C_6F_5), 148.6 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 241$ Hz, CF of C_6F_5 overlapping with *i* of BBn), 144.1 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 14.5$ Hz, C4 of PAr), 140.0 (dd, $^3J_{CP} = 13.6$ Hz, $^2J_{CP} = 3.7$ Hz, C1 of NAr), 139.2 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 6.4$ Hz, C2 of PAr), 138.0 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 243$ Hz, CF of C_6F_5 overlapping with *i* of ZrBn and CF of C_6F_5), 137.0 (s, *i* of ZrBn overlapping with two CF of C_6F_5), 136.7 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 246$ Hz, CF of C_6F_5 overlapping with CF of C_6F_5 and *i* of ZrBn), 135.1 (d, $^4J_{CP} = 2.7$ Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 134.4 (d, $^4J_{CP} = 2.8$ Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 134.1 (d, $^2J_{CP} = 10.7$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 133.1 (d, $^2J_{CP} = 10.2$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 131.8 (d, $^4J_{CP} = 1.8$ Hz, C3 of PAr), 130.2 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 13.1$ Hz, *m* of PPh₂), 130.0 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 12.7$ Hz, *m* of PPh₂), 129.6 (s, *m* of ZrBn), 129.0 (s, *o* of BBn), 128.8 (n.o., *i* of C_6F_5 visible in HMBC spectrum), 128.5 (d, $^2J_{PC} = 15.0$ Hz, C5 of PAr overlapping with toluene signal and *o* of ZrBn), 128.4 (s, *o* of ZrBn overlapping with C5 of PAr), 127.1 (s, *m* of BBn), 126.2 (d, $^1J_{CP} = 96.5$ Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 125.4 (d, $^1J_{CP} = 89.1$ Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 125.0 (s, *p* of ZrBn), 122.6 (s, *p* of BBn), 122.5 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 5.6$ Hz, C2 of NAr), 122.4 (s, C3 of NAr), 113.3 (d, $^1J_{CP} = 114.7$ Hz C6 of PAr), 67.3 (s, CH_2 of ZrBn), 35.9 (s, C of $^tBu^2$), 34.9 (s, C of $^tBu^4$), 31.8 (n.o., CH_2 of BBn visible in HSQC spectrum), 31.3 (s, CH_3 of $^tBu^4$), 30.4 (s, CH_3 of $^tBu^2$). $^{19}F\{^1H\}$ NMR (470 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = -131.3 (m, *o* of C_6F_5), -165.0 (t, $^1J_{CF} = 20.5$ Hz, *p* of C_6F_5), -167.8 (m, *m* of C_6F_5). $^{11}B\{^1H\}$ NMR (160 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = -12.7. Elemental Analysis: calculated for $C_{90}H_{78}BF_{15}N_2O_2P_2Zr(C_5H_8)_{0.66}$: C, 65.40; H, 4.90; N, 1.63. Found: C, 65.06; H, 5.11; N, 1.63.

Synthesis of complex 5a

Complex **4a** (0.339 g, 0.2 mmol) was dissolved in 6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and a 0.2 M solution of i PrOH in CH_2Cl_2 (500 μ L) was added over 1 min. The solution became progressively paler and some precipitate appeared. The suspension was filtered over diatomaceous earth in a pipette fitted with a borosilicate fiber filter. The clear solution was evaporated to dryness, and the

residue was triturated in 5 mL of pentane. The supernatant solution was discarded and the residue was dried under vacuum, yielding complex **5a** as a white powder containing 50 mol% of pentane (0.195 g, 86 %). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (243 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = 37.0 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 9$ Hz). 1H NMR (600 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = 7.72 (m, 2H, two overlapping *p* of PPh₂), 7.69 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 7.64–7.51 (m, 12H, *H3* of PAr overlapping with *m* of PPh₂, *o* of PPh₂ and *m* of PPh₂), 7.45 (m, 4H, *o* of PPh₂), 6.86 (m, 2H, *m* of BBn), 6.77 (m, 1H, *p* of BBn overlapping with *o* of BBn), 6.73 (m, 2H, *p* of BBn overlapping with *o* of BBn), 6.63 (dd, $^3J_{PH} = 15.3$ Hz, $^4J_{HH} = 1.2$ Hz, 2H, *H5* of PAr), 3.95 (m, 1H, CH of i Pr), 3.50 (m, 2H, CH_2N), 3.33 (m, 2H, CH_2N), 2.80 (bs, 2H, CH_2 of BBn), 1.51 (s, 18H, CH_3 of $^tBu^2$), 1.09 (s, 18H, CH_3 of $^tBu^4$), 0.73 (d, 6H, $^3J_{HH} = 5.8$ Hz, CH_3 of i Pr). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (151 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = 162.0 (s, C1 of PAr), 149.1 (s, *i* of BBn), 148.6 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 238$ Hz, CF of C_6F_5), 142.8 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 14.0$ Hz, C4 of PAr), 139.1 (d, $^3J_{CP} = 7.0$ Hz, C2 of PAr), 137.9 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 243$ Hz, CF of C_6F_5), 136.8 (dm, $^1J_{CF} = 245$ Hz, CF of C_6F_5), 134.1 (m, *p* of PPh₂), 133.3 (d, $^2J_{CP} = 10.0$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 133.2 (d, $^2J_{CP} = 10.2$ Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 131.0 (s, C3 of PAr), 130.0 (m, *m* of PPh₂), 129.0 (s, *o* of BBn), 128.8 (n.o., *i* of C_6F_5 visible in HMBC), 127.7 (d, $^2J_{CP} = 13.6$ Hz, C5 of PAr), 127.1 (s, *m* of BBn overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 126.8 (d, $^1J_{CP} = 93.4$ Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂ and *m* of BBn), 126.0 (d, $^1J_{CP} = 93.8$ Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 122.6 (s, *p* of BBn), 111.6 (d, $^1J_{CP} = 115.0$ Hz, *i* of PAr), 76.1 (bs, CH of i Pr), 52.0 (dd, $^3J_{CP} = 16.2$ Hz, $^2J_{CP} = 4.1$ Hz, NCH_2), 36.1 (s, C of $^tBu^2$), 34.7 (s, C of $^tBu^4$), 32.0 (n.o., CH_2 of BBn visible in HSQC), 31.2 (s, C of $^tBu^4$), 30.2 (s, CH_3 of $^tBu^2$), 26.2 (bs, CH_3 of i Pr). $^{19}F\{^1H\}$ NMR (565 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = -131.4 (m, *o* of C_6F_5), -165.1 (t, $J_{FF} = 20.5$ Hz, *p* of C_6F_5), -167.9 (t, $J_{FF} = 19.9$ Hz, *m* of C_6F_5). $^{11}B\{^1H\}$ NMR (192 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ = -12.8. Elemental Analysis: calculated for $C_{82}H_{78}BF_{15}N_2O_3P_2Zr(C_5H_{12})_{0.5}$: C, 62.55; H, 5.22; N, 1.72. Found: C, 61.93; H, 4.96; N, 1.32. HRMS (ESI-pos): calculated for $C_{57}H_{71}N_2O_3P_2Zr^+ [M-B(C_6F_5)_3CH_2Ph]^+$: 983.39815. Found: 983.38712 (-11.2 ppm). Calculated for $C_{55}H_{67}N_2O_3P_2Zr^+ [M-B(C_6F_5)_3CH_2Ph-O^iPr+MeO]^+$: 955.36685. Found: 955.36372 (-3.3 ppm).

Synthesis of complex 6a

Complex **4a** (0.339 g, 0.2 mmol) was dissolved in 6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and (-)-L-methyl lactate (21 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min, then it was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was triturated in 5 mL of pentane. The supernatant solution was discarded and the residue was dried under vacuum, yielding complex **6a** as a white powder containing 90 mol% of pentane and 10 mol% of toluene (0.240 g, 86 %). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (243 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ = 37.7 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 3$ Hz), 37.5 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 3$ Hz). 1H NMR (600 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ = 7.73–7.66 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 7.65–7.55 (m, 12H, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *H3* of PAr and *o* and *m* of PPh₂), 7.46–7.36 (m, 8H, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with *o* of PPh₂), 6.86 (m, 2H, *m* of BBn), 6.81–6.71 (m, 5H, *p* of BBn overlapping with *o* of BBn and *H5* of PAr), 4.84 (q, $^3J_{HH} = 7.0$ Hz, 1H, CH of lactate), 3.68 (s, 3H, OCH_3 of lactate), 3.48 (m, 2H, CH_2N), 3.09 (m, 2H, CH_2N), 2.81 (bs, 2H, CH_2 of BBn), 1.36 (s, 9H, CH_3 of $^tBu^2$), 1.34 (s, 9H, CH_3 of $^tBu^2$), 1.30 (d, $^3J_{HH} = 7.0$ Hz, 3H, CH_3 of lactate), 1.15 (s, 18H, CH_3 of $^tBu^4$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (151

MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ = 192.9 (s, C=O of lactate), 162.6 (d, $^2J_{PC}$ = 1.6 Hz, C1 of PAr), 162.5 (d, $^2J_{PC}$ = 1.8 Hz, C1 of PAr), 149.1 (s, *i* of BBn overlapping with CF of C₆F₅), 148.6 (dm, $^1J_{CF}$ = 237 Hz, CF of C₆F₅), 142.1 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 12.7 Hz, C4 of PAr overlapping with C4 of PAr), 142.1 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 12.7 Hz, C4 of PAr overlapping with C4 of PAr), 139.3 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 6.7 Hz, C2 of PAr overlapping with C2 of PAr), 139.2 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 6.7 Hz, C2 of PAr overlapping with C2 of PAr), 137.9 (dm, $^1J_{CF}$ = 243 Hz, CF of C₆F₅ overlapping with CF of C₆F₅), 136.7 (dm, $^1J_{CF}$ = 246 Hz, CF of C₆F₅ overlapping with CF of C₆F₅), 134.0 (d, $^4J_{PC}$ = 2.8 Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 133.9 (d, $^4J_{PC}$ = 2.8 Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 133.7 (d, $^4J_{PC}$ = 2.7 Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 133.6 (m, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *o* of PPh₂), 133.6 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 9.9 Hz, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 133.5 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 10.2 Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 133.1 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 2.2 Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 133.0 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 2.1 Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 130.6 (d, $^4J_{CP}$ = 1.9 Hz, C3 of PAr), 130.6 (d, $^4J_{CP}$ = 1.9 Hz, C3 of PAr), 129.8 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 3.0 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with *m* of PPh₂), 129.8 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 2.2 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with two *m* of PPh₂), 129.7 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 3.2 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with two *m* of PPh₂), 129.7 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 1.9 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with *m* of PPh₂), 129.1 (s, *o* of BBn), 128.8 (n.o., *i* of C₆F₅ visible in HMBC), 127.6-124.9 (m, four overlapping *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with two C5 of PAr and *m* of BBn), 127.2 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 13.0 Hz, C5 of PAr overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 127.1 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 13.2 Hz, C5 of PAr overlapping with *i* of PPh₂ and *m* of BBn), 127.1 (s, *m* of BBn overlapping with C5 of PAr and *i* of PPh₂), 122.6 (s, *p* of BBn), 112.2 (d, $^1J_{CP}$ = 50.0 Hz, C6 of PAr), 111.5 (d, $^1J_{CP}$ = 50.0 Hz, C6 of PAr), 77.2 (s, CH of lactate), 56.6 (s, OCH₃ of lactate), 52.1 (dd, $^3J_{CP}$ = 12.8 Hz, $^2J_{CP}$ = 3.9 Hz, NCH₂), 51.9 (dd, $^3J_{CP}$ = 11.8 Hz, $^2J_{CP}$ = 4.0 Hz, NCH₂), 35.7 (d, $^4J_{PC}$ = 1.7 Hz, C of ^tBu²), 35.7 (d, $^4J_{PC}$ = 1.7 Hz, C of ^tBu²), 34.7 (s, C of ^tBu⁴), 32.1 (bs, CH₂ of BBn), 31.4 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴ overlapping with CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 31.3 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴ overlapping with CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 29.9 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²), 29.8 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²), 21.4 (s, CH₃ of lactate). **¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (565 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):** δ = -131.4 (m, *o* of C₆F₅), -165.1 (m, *p* of C₆F₅), -167.8 (m, *m* of C₆F₅). **¹¹B{¹H} NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):** δ = -12.7. **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for C₈₃H₇₈BF₁₅N₂O₅P₂Zr(C₅H₁₂)_{0.9}(C₇H₈)_{0.1}: C, 62.07; H, 5.29; N, 1.64. Found: C, 61.14; H, 5.43; N, 1.48. **HRMS (ESI-pos):** calculated for C₅₈H₇₁N₂O₅P₂Zr⁺ [M-B(C₆F₅)₃CH₂Ph]⁺: 1027.38798. Found: 1027.38350 (-4.4 ppm). Calculated for C₅₅H₆₇N₂O₃P₂Zr⁺ [M-B(C₆F₅)₃CH₂Ph-lactate+MeO]⁺: 955.36685. Found: 955.36399 (-3.0 ppm).

Synthesis of complex 6b

Complex **4b** (0.435 g, 0.25 mmol) and (-)-L-methyl lactate (26 mg, 0.25 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The volatiles were removed under vacuum to give an foamy solid, which was triturated in pentane (5 mL) to give a light-brown oil. The supernatant solution was removed and the oil was washed with pentane (5x3 mL), then dried under vacuum to give **6b** as a white powder containing 100 mol% of pentane (0.355 g, 85%). **³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):** δ = 33.1 ($\nu_{1/2}$ $\approx$ 3 Hz), 31.6 ($\nu_{1/2}$ $\approx$ 3 Hz). **¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):** δ = 7.81-7.72 (m, 2H, *p* of PPh₂), 7.67-7.54 (m, 12H, *o*/*m* of PPh₂ overlapping with *p* of PAr and *H3* of NAr), 7.53-7.40 (m, 6H, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 7.32 (m, 2H, *m* of PPh₂),

7.19 (m, 2H, *m* of PPh₂), 6.89 (m, 1H, *H5* of PAr overlapping with *m* of BBn), 6.87 (m, 2H, *m* of BBn overlapping with *H5* of PAr), 6.81 (m, 1H, *H5* of PAr overlapping with *o* of BBn), 6.78 (m, 1H, *p* of BBn overlapping with *H5* of PAr and *p* of BBn), 6.76 (m, 2H, *o* of BBn overlapping with *p* of BBn), 6.42 (m, 1H, *H3* of NAr overlapping with *H3* of NAr), 6.39 (m, 1H, *H3* of NAr overlapping with *H3* of NAr), 6.20 (m, 1H, *H2* of NAr), 6.16 (m, 1H, *H2* of NAr), 4.61 (q, 1H, $^3J_{HH}$ = 7.0 Hz, CH of lactate), 3.58 (s, 3H, OCH₃ of lactate), 2.82 (bs, 2H, CH₂ of BBn), 1.25-1.178 (m, 39H, ^tBu overlapping with CH₃ of lactate). **¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):** 192.8 (s, C=O of lactate), 162.6 (m, C1 of PAr), 162.4 (d, $^2J_{PC}$ = 1.1 Hz, C1 of PAr), 149.2 (s, *i* of BBn overlapping with CF of C₆F₅), 148.6 (dm, $^1J_{CF}$ = 238 Hz, CF of C₆F₅), 142.6 (d, $^3J_{PC}$ = 14.5 Hz, C4 of PAr overlapping with C4 of PAr), 142.5 (d, $^3J_{PC}$ = 15.1 Hz, C4 of PAr overlapping with C4 of PAr), 141.6 (dd, $^3J_{CP}$ = 12.9 Hz, $^2J_{CP}$ = 3.6 Hz, C1 of NAr), 141.1 (dd, $^3J_{CP}$ = 14.1 Hz, $^3J_{CP}$ = 3.7 Hz, C1 of NAr), 139.4 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 1.9 Hz, C2 of PAr overlapping with C2 of PAr), 139.4 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 1.7 Hz, C2 of PAr overlapping with C2 of PAr), 138.0 (dm, $^1J_{CF}$ = 242 Hz, CF of C₆F₅ overlapping with CF of C₆F₅), 136.7 (dm, $^1J_{CF}$ = 248 Hz, CF of C₆F₅ overlapping with CF of C₆F₅), 134.7 (d, $^4J_{CP}$ = 2.5 Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 134.5 (d, $^4J_{CP}$ = 2.6 Hz, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *o* of PPh₂), 134.4 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 10.9 Hz, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 134.1 (d, $^4J_{CP}$ = 2.8 Hz, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *o* of PPh₂), 134.1 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 11.3 Hz, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with two *p* of PPh₂), 133.9 (d, $^4J_{CP}$ = 2.7 Hz, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *o* of PPh₂), 133.5 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 10.2 Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 133.2 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 10.3 Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 131.2-131.0 (m, C3 of PAr), 130.0 (d, $^3J_{PC}$ = 2.8 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with two *m* of PPh₂), 129.9 (d, $^3J_{PC}$ = 12.6 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with three *m* of PPh₂), 129.9 (d, $^3J_{PC}$ = 2.8 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with three *m* of PPh₂), 129.7 (d, $^3J_{PC}$ = 12.6 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with two *m* of PPh₂), 129.1 (s, *o* of BBn), 128.3-125.4 (m, four overlapping *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with two C5 of PAr and *m* of BBn), 128.0 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 12.9 Hz, C5 of PAr overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 127.7 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 13.2 Hz, C5 of PAr overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 127.1 (s, *m* of BBn overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 122.7 (s, *p* of BBn), 122.5 (s, C3 of NAr), 122.3 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 5.3 Hz, C2 of NAr), 122.1 (s, C3 of NAr), 121.9 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 5.6 Hz, C2 of NAr), 112.1-111.1 (m, two overlapping C6 of PAr), 77.7 (s, CH of lactate), 56.6 (s, OCH₃ of lactate), 35.6 (m, two overlapping C of ^tBu²), 34.8 (s, C of ^tBu⁴ overlapping with C of ^tBu⁴), 34.8 (s, C of ^tBu⁴ overlapping with C of ^tBu⁴), 31.9 (n.o., CH₂ of BBn, visible in HSQC spectrum), 31.4 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 29.7 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu² overlapping with CH₃ of ^tBu²), 29.6 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu² overlapping with CH₃ of ^tBu²), 21.4 (s, CH₃ of lactate). **¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (470 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):** δ = -131.3 (m, *o* of C₆F₅), -165.0 (m, *p* of C₆F₅), -167.8 (m, *m* of C₆F₅). **¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):** δ = -12.7. **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for C₈₇H₇₈BF₁₅N₂O₅P₂Zr(C₅H₁₂): C, 63.05; H, 5.18; N, 1.64. Found: C, 62.24; H, 5.27; N, 1.60. **HRMS (ESI-pos):** calculated for C₆₂H₇₁N₂O₅P₂Zr⁺ [M-B(C₆F₅)₃CH₂Ph]⁺: 1075.38790. Found: 1075.38799 (+0.0 ppm). Calculated for C₅₈H₆₅N₂O₃P₂Zr⁺ [M-B(C₆F₅)₃CH₂Ph-lactate+OH]⁺: 989.35175. Found: 989.35238 (-0.6 ppm).

Synthesis of complex 7a

Proligand **1aH₂** (419 mg, 0.50 mmol) was suspended in pentane and Ti(NMe₂)₄ (112 mg, 0.50 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 45 min during which a red-orange precipitate appeared. The solid was filtered, rinsed with 2 mL of pentane and dried under vacuum, affording complex **7a** (362 mg, 72 %) containing 50 mol% of pentane. **³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, d₈-THF, 300 K):** δ = 32.0 ($\nu_{1/2}$ $\approx$ 3 Hz), 26.1 ($\nu_{1/2}$ $\approx$ 2 Hz). **¹H NMR (500 MHz, d₈-THF, 300 K):** δ = 8.01 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.58–7.31 (m, 18H, CH-Ar), 7.19 (m, 2H, *m* of PPh₂), 6.86 (dd, ³*J*_{PH} = 12.9 Hz, ⁴*J*_{HH} = 2.7 Hz, 1H, *H*5 of PAR), 6.81 (dd, ³*J*_{PH} = 16.3 Hz, ⁴*J*_{HH} = 2.5 Hz, 1H, *H*5 of PAR), 3.13 (s, 6H, NMe₂ overlapping with CH₂N), 3.12–2.97 (m, 1H, CH₂N overlapping with NMe₂), 2.91 (m, 1H, CH₂N), 2.74–2.63 (m, 2H, two overlapping CH₂N), 2.60 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 1.49 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu²), 1.23 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 1.10 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 1.02 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu²). **¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, d₈-THF, 300 K):** δ = 170.2 (d, ²*J*_{PC} = 4.3 Hz, C1 of PAR), 169.9 (d, ²*J*_{PC} = 2.4 Hz, C1 of PAR), 140.6 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 6.9 Hz, C2 of PAR), 140.0 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 8.4 Hz, C2 of PAR), 137.1 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 14.6 Hz, C4 of PAR), 134.8 (m, two overlapping *o* of PPh₂), 134.1 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 12.9 Hz, C4 of PAR overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 134.1 (d, ¹*J*_{PC} = 88.2 Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with C4 of PAR and two *o* of PPh₂), 133.8 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 8.0 Hz, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂ and *o* of PPh₂), 133.7 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 7.0 Hz, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂ and *o* of PPh₂), 132.7 (d, ¹*J*_{PC} = 116.5 Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 132.3 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 2.3 Hz, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 132.1 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 2.9 Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 131.7 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 2.6 Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 131.5 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 2.6 Hz, *p* of PPh₂), 130.5 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 85.6 Hz, *i* of PPh₂), 129.6 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 86.0 Hz, *i* of PPh₂), 129.0–128.7 (m, overlapping CH-Ar), 128.7–128.4 (m, overlapping CH-Ar), 127.9 (m, C3 of PAR), 127.5 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 12.7 Hz, C5 of PAR), 109.0 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 88.0 Hz, C6 of PAR), 108.2 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 108.1 Hz, C6 of PAR), 52.5–52.2 (m, two overlapping CH₂N), 51.3 (s, NMe₂), 49.0 (s, NMe₂), 36.2 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 1.8 Hz, C of ^tBu²), 35.9 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 1.8 Hz, C of ^tBu²), 34.5 (m, two overlapping C of ^tBu⁴), 31.8 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 31.6 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 30.5 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²), 30.3 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²). **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for C₅₈H₇₆N₄O₂P₂Ti(C₅H₁₂)_{0.5}: C, 72.15; H, 8.21; N, 5.56. Found: C, 71.55; H, 7.86; N, 5.56. Calculated for C₅₈H₇₆N₄O₂P₂Ti: C, 71.74; H, 7.89; N, 5.77. The sample was sent for analysis in a sealed ampule under partial vacuum, therefore it is likely that some pentane desorbed between sample preparation and analysis.

Synthesis of complex **7b**

Proligand **1bH₂** (830 mg, 0.938 mmol) was suspended in pentane and Ti(NMe₂)₄ (210 mg, 0.938 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 10 min, affording a red-orange solution. After removal of the volatiles, the residue was taken up in pentane, filtered over diatomaceous earth and evaporated to dryness. Complex **7b** (650 mg, 68 %) was obtained as a crusty orange-red solid containing 66 mol% of pentane. **³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K):** δ = 27.0 ($\nu_{1/2}$ $\approx$ 3 Hz), 17.9 ($\nu_{1/2}$ $\approx$ 3 Hz). **¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K):** δ = 8.28 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.95 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.72 (d, ³*J*_{PH} = 2.4 Hz, 1H, *H*3 of PAR overlapping with *o* of PAR), 7.68 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *H*3 of PAR), 7.62 (d, ³*J*_{PH} = 2.6 Hz, 1H, *H*3 of PAR), 7.28 (m, 2H, *m* of PPh₂), 7.19 (m, 1H, *p* of PPh₂), 7.13 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with solvent signal), 7.06 (m, 1H, *p* of PPh₂), 7.02 (m, 1H, *p* of PPh₂), 6.97–6.90 (m, 4H, two *m* of PPh₂

overlapping with *p* of PPh₂), 6.89 (m, 1H, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *m* of PPh₂), 6.82 (dd, ³*J*_{PH} = 16.5 Hz, ⁴*J*_{HH} = 2.4 Hz, 1H, *H*5 of PAR), 6.75 (dd, ³*J*_{PH} = 14.7 Hz, ⁴*J*_{HH} = 2.4 Hz, 1H, *H*5 of PAR overlapping with *m* of PPh₂), 6.71 (m, 2H, *m* of PPh₂), 6.46 (m, 1H, *H*2 of NAr), 6.41 (m, 1H, *H*3 of NAr), 6.26 (m, 1H, *H*3 of NAr), 6.19 (m, 1H, *H*2 of NAr), 3.44 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 2.91 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 1.76 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu²), 1.34 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu²), 1.24 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 1.17 (s, 9H, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴). **¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K):** δ = 169.3 (d, ²*J*_{PC} = 3.3 Hz, C1 of PAR), 167.1 (d, ²*J*_{PC} = 1.3 Hz, C1 of PAR), 147.4 (dd, ³*J*_{PC} = 10.9 Hz, ²*J*_{PC} = 2.8 Hz, C1 of NAr), 144.1 (dd, ³*J*_{PC} = 19.7 Hz, ²*J*_{PC} = 2.9 Hz, C1 of NAr), 140.7 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 7.7 Hz, C2 of PAR), 139.6 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 8.2 Hz, C2 of PAR), 137.1 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 15.5 Hz, C4 of PAR), 134.9 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 8.9 Hz, *o* of PPh₂), 134.3 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 15.7 Hz, C4 of PAR overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 134.0 (d, ¹*J*_{PC} = 89.4 Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with C4 of PAR and two *o* of PPh₂), 134.0 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 9.9 Hz, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 133.7 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 9.2 Hz, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 132.8 (d, ¹*J*_{PC} = 84.8 Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with *o* of PPh₂), 132.6 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 10.9 Hz, *o* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 131.8 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 2.8 Hz, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 131.7 (d, ¹*J*_{PC} = 113.8 Hz, *i* of PPh₂ overlapping with four *p* of PPh₂), 131.6 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 2.6 Hz, *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 131.5 (m, two *p* of PPh₂ overlapping with *i* of PPh₂), 130.1 (d, ¹*J*_{PC} = 85.5 Hz, *i* of PPh₂), 129.4 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 13.0 Hz, C5 of PAR), 128.8 (d, ³*J*_{PC} = 7.7 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with *m* of PPh₂ and C3 of PAR), 128.7 (d, ³*J*_{PC} = 5.8 Hz, *m* of PPh₂ overlapping with *m* of PPh₂ and C3 of PAR), 128.7 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 2.5 Hz, C3 of PAR overlapping with two *m* of PPh₂), 128.6 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 1.8 Hz, C3 of PAR), 128.4 (d, ³*J*_{PC} = 11.9 Hz, *m* of PPh₂), 128.1 (d, ³*J*_{PC} = 11.2 Hz, *m* of PPh₂), 127.7 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 14.2 Hz, C5 of PAR), 124.6 (m, C2 of NAr), 120.8 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 1.4 Hz, C3 of NAr), 118.9 (d, ³*J*_{PC} = 9.1 Hz, C2 of NAr), 117.5 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 1.5 Hz, C3 of NAr), 113.4 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 127.2 Hz, C6 of PAR), 107.9 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 108.2 Hz, C6 of PAR), 51.5 (bs, NMe₂), 49.1 (s, NMe₂), 36.1 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 1.7 Hz, C of ^tBu²), 35.6 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 1.8 Hz, C of ^tBu²), 34.3 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 0.9 Hz, C of ^tBu⁴), 34.0 (d, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 0.7 Hz, C of ^tBu⁴), 31.8 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 31.7 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu⁴), 30.8 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²), 30.5 (s, CH₃ of ^tBu²). **Elemental Analysis:** calculated for C₆₂H₇₆N₄O₂P₂Ti(C₅H₁₂)_{0.66}: C, 73.52; H, 7.93; N, 5.25. Found: C, 72.59; H, 7.70, 5.38.

Synthesis of complex **7c**

To a solution of **1cH₂** (220 mg, 0.26 mmol) in 2 mL pentane was added Ti(NMe₂)₄ (58 mg, 0.26 mmol) under argon. After one night stirring at RT the orange precipitate was filtered and washed with pentane (2*2 mL) to give of **7c** as an orange solid (180 mg, 72% yield). **³¹P{¹H} NMR (243 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K):** δ = 34.4 ($\nu_{1/2}$ = 3.9 Hz), 30.3 ($\nu_{1/2}$ = 5.5 Hz). **¹H NMR (600.2 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K):** δ = 8.16 (dd, ³*J*_{PH} = 12.53 Hz, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.67 Hz, 2H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.82–7.77 (m, 2H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.76 (d, ⁴*J*_{HH} = 2.31 Hz, 1H, *H*3 of PAR), 7.74 (d, ⁴*J*_{HH} = 2.31 Hz, 1H, *H*3 of PAR), 7.59 (dd, ³*J*_{PH} = 8.88 Hz, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.34 Hz, 2H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.49 (dd, ³*J*_{PH} = 8.94 Hz, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.32 Hz, 2H, *o* of PPh₂), 7.22 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.22 Hz, 2H, *m* of PPh₂), 7.14–7.07 (m, 4H, 2*m* and 2*p* of PPh₂), 7.06–6.95 (m, 6H, 2*m* and 2*p* of PPh₂ and 2*H*5 of PAR), 6.91 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.60 Hz, 2H, *m* of PPh₂), 4.18–4.04 (m, 1H, NCH₂), 3.63–3.51 (m, 1H, NCH₂), 3.34 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 3.06–2.90 (m, 1H, NCH₂), 2.91 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 2.77–2.67 (m, 1H, NCH₂), 1.81 (s, 9H, ^tBu²), 1.63 (s, 9H, ^tBu²), 1.28–1.21 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.23 (s, 9H, ^tBu⁴), 1.20 (s, 9H, ^tBu⁴). **¹³C NMR**

(150.9 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ = 169.7 (s, C1 of PAR), 166.4 (s, C1 of PAR), 140.4 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 7.5 Hz, C2 of PAR), 140.0 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 8.2 Hz, C2 of PAR), 136.0 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 15.1 Hz, C4 of PAR), 135.5 (d, $^1J_{CP}$ = 91.8 Hz, Ci of PPh₂), 134.4 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 3.2 Hz, o of PPh₂), 134.3 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 2.9 Hz, o of PPh₂), 133.4 (d, $^3J_{CP}$ = 13.6 Hz, C4 of PAR), 133.3 (d, $^1J_{CP}$ = 102.1 Hz, Ci of PPh₂), 133.0 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 9.7 Hz, o of PPh₂), 132.0 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 10.2 Hz, o of PPh₂), 131.2 (d, $^1J_{CP}$ = 89.4 Hz, Ci of PPh₂), 131.1 (p of PPh₂), 130.9 (p of PPh₂), 130.7 (p of PPh₂), 130.6 (d, $^1J_{CP}$ = 87.2 Hz, Ci of PPh₂), 130.5 (p of PPh₂), 128.9 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 10.9 Hz, C5 of PAR), 128.6-126.9 (overlapping 2C3 and C5 of PAR with m of PPh₂), 111.4 (d, $^1J_{CP}$ = 118.4 Hz, Ci of PAR), 115.8 (d, $^1J_{CP}$ = 108.5 Hz, Ci of PAR), 51.1 (s, NMe₂), 50.1 (s, NMe₂), 46.5 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 3.3 Hz, NCH₂), 44.6 (d, $^2J_{CP}$ = 4.4 Hz, NCH₂), 35.8 (s, C of tBu²), 35.7 (s, C of tBu²), 33.9 (s, C of tBu⁴), 33.8 (s, C of tBu⁴), 33.2 (s, NCH₂-CH₂), 31.5 (s, CH₃, tBu), 31.4 (s, CH₃, tBu), 30.6 (s, CH₃, tBu), 30.4 (s, CH₃, tBu). **HRMS (ESI-pos):** calculated for C₅₆H₆₉N₂O₃P₂Ti⁺ [M-2(NMe₂)+OMe]⁺: 927.42574. Found: 927.42835 (+2.8 ppm).

Typical procedure for *rac*-lactide polymerization

In a glovebox, the catalyst (2.5·10⁻⁶ mol, 1 equiv) and *rac*-lactide (100 equiv) were charged in a vial equipped with a TeflonTM-tight screw cap and toluene (for a 1M in *rac*-lactide) was added. Addition of ⁱPrOH (1 equiv) is also done for selected runs. The resulting mixture was heated at the appropriate temperature under vigorous stirring for the desired time. The vial was then removed from the glovebox and the reaction mixture was quenched with pentane provoking the precipitation of the polymer, which was washed several times with pentane, dried *in vacuo* until constant weight. The sample was subsequently analyzed by ¹H NMR, GPC and by MALDI-TOF spectrometry.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Support was provided by the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche, and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS). This work is part of the project CHIMIE DURABLE, ENVIRONNEMENT ET AGROALIMENTAIRE, supported by the Université de Bourgogne, Conseil Régional de Bourgogne through the plan d'actions régional pour l'innovation (PARI) and the European Union through the PO FEDER-FSE Bourgogne 2014/2020 programs. We thank also Ecole Polytechnique and Université de Strasbourg for financial support.

Notes and references

§ Crystallographic C2 axis for **1aH₂** while approximate C2 axis for **1cH₂**

§§ Expanded uncertainties at the 95% confidence interval are estimated from the residual variance of the fit.

- 1 Synthesis and Production of Poly(lactic Acid), William Andrew Publishing, Oxford, 2013.
- 2 V. Nagarajan, A. K. Mohanty and M. Misra, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2016, **4**, 2899-2916.
- 3 For reviews, see: (a) B. J. O'Keefe, M. A. Hillmyer and W. B. Tolman, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 2215-2224; (b) O. Dechy-Cabaret, B. Martin-Vaca and D. Bourissou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 6147-6176; (c) C. M. Thomas, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 165-173; (d) M. J. Stanford, A. P. Dove, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 486-494; (e) J.-C. Buffet, J. Okuda, *Polym. Chem.*, 2011, **2**, 2758-2763; (f) S. Dagorne, M. Normand, E. Kirillov, J.-F. Carpentier, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **257**, 1869-1886; (g) S. M. Guillaume, E. Kirillov, Y. Sarazin, J.-F. Carpentier, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 7988-8003.
- 4 For reviews, see: (a) A. Sauer, A. Kapelski, C. Fliedel, S. Dagorne, M. Kol, J. Okuda, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 9007-9023; (b) E. Le Roux, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **306**, Part 1, 65-85.
- 5 (a) C. K. A. Gregson, I. J. Blackmore, V. C. Gibson, N. J. Long, E. L. Marshall, A. J. P. White, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 3134-3140; (b) B. Gao, X. Li, R. Duan, X. Pang, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 2404-2408.
- 6 (a) A. J. Chmura, M. G. Davidson, M. D. Jones, M. D. Lunn, M. F. Mahon, A. F. Johnson, P. Khunkamchoo, S. L. Roberts, S. S. F. Wong, *Macromolecules*, 2006, **39**, 7250-7257; (b) E. L. Whitelaw, M. D. Jones, M. F. Mahon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 7176-7181; (c) E. L. Whitelaw, M. G. Davidson, M. D. Jones, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 10004-10006; (d) M. D. Jones, S. L. Hancock, P. McKeown, P. M. Schafer, A. Buchard, L. H. Thomas, M. F. Mahon, J. P. Lowe, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 15967-15970; (e) M. D. Jones, L. Brady, P. McKeown, A. Buchard, P. M. Schafer, L. H. Thomas, M. F. Mahon, T. J. Woodman, J. P. Lowe, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 5034-5039; (f) S. M. Kirk, G. Kociok-Köhn, M. D. Jones, *Organometallics*, 2016, **35**, 3837-3843; (g) A. Stopper, T. Rosen, V. Venditto, I. Goldberg, M. Kol, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 11540-11548.
- 7 (a) T.-P.-A. Cao, S. Labouille, A. Auffrant, Y. Jean, X. F. Le Goff, P. Le Floch, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 10029-10037; (b) I. M. Marín, A. Auffrant, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 1634-1644.
- 8 (a) C. Bakewell, T.-P.-A. Cao, N. Long, X. F. Le Goff, A. Auffrant, C. K. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 20577-20580; (b) T.-P.-A. Cao, A. Buchard, X. F. Le Goff, A. Auffrant and C. K. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 2157-2169; (c) C. Bakewell, T.-P.-A. Cao, X. F. Le Goff, N. J. Long, A. Auffrant, C. K. Williams, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 1475-1483; (d) C. Bakewell, A. J. P. White, N. J. Long, C. K. Williams, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 9226-9230; (e) C. Bakewell, A. J. P. White, N. J. Long, C. K. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 2204-2212; (f) D. Myers, A. J. P. White, C. M. Forsyth, M. Bown, C. K. Williams, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 5277-5282.
- 9 M. G. Davidson, A. E. Goeta, J. A. K. Howard, C. W. Lehmann, G. M. McIntyre, R. D. Price, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1998, **550**, 449-452.
- 10 T. K. K. Dickie, C. S. MacNeil, P. G. Hayes, *Dalton Trans.* 2020, **49**, 578-582.
- 11 A. Shafir, D. Fiedler, J. Arnold, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 555-560.
- 12 A. Stopper, J. Okuda, M. Kol, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 698-704.
- 13 Similar dinuclear Zr complexes bridged by salen ligands have been reported, see: M. Mandal, V. Ramkumar, D. Chakraborty, *Polym. Chem.*, 2019, **10**, 3444-3460.
- 14 The formation of a zircona-aziridine metallacycle through CH activation of a salen ligand by a benzyl group has been previously described, see: P. D. Knight, I. Munslow, P. N. O'Shaughnessy, P. Scott, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 894-895.
- 15 (a) S. Gong, H. Ma, J. Huang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 8237-8247; (b) I. El-Zoghbi, S. Latreche, F. Schaper, *Organometallics*,

- 2010, **29**, 1551-1559; (c) I. El-Zoghbi, T. J. J. Whitehorne, F. Schaper, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 9376-9387.
- 16 a) N. Nomura, R. Ishii, Y. Yamamoto, T. Kondo, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 4433-4451; (b) L. Wang, C. E. Kefalidis, S. Sinbandhit, V. Dorcet, J.-F. Carpentier, L. Maron and Y. Sarazin, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 13463-13478; (c) H. Wang, Y. Yang and H. Ma, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 7750-7764.
- 17 C. Kan, J. Ge and H. Ma, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 6682-6695.
- 18 (a) Y. Sarazin, J.-F. Carpentier, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 3564-3614; (b) M. Hayakawa, M. Mitani, T. Yamada, T. Mukaiyama, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1997, **198**, 1305-1317.
- 19 (a) J.-C. Buffet and J. Okuda, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 4796-4798; (b) S. L. Hancock, M. F. Mahon and M. D. Jones, *Chem. Cent. J.*, 2013, **7**, 135-135; (c) F. Della Monica, E. Luciano, G. Roviello, A. Grassi, S. Milione and C. Capacchione, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 2830-2841.
- 20 U. Zucchini, E. Albizzati, U. Giannini, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1971, **26**, 357-372.
- 21 G. R. Fulmer, A. J. M. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B. M. Stoltz, J. E. Bercaw and K. I. Goldberg, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2176-2179.
- 22 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339-341.
- 23 G. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A*, 2015, **71**, 3-8.
- 24 G. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C*, 2015, **71**, 3-8.