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I. INTRODUCTION

The rise of graphene [1] has paved the way to the intensive investigation of Dirac fermions in condensed matter [2]. Since then, Dirac fermion physics has also been analyzed in many other two-dimensional (2D) systems [3]. From a general point of view, the presence of the massless Dirac cones is protected against any single-particle and many-body perturbations, at least as long as the interaction does not lead to a spontaneous breaking of symmetry [4–9]. The latter means that disorder cannot open the band gap in the massless Dirac model with only the linear terms in momentum [10–15]. Relatively less attention has been devoted to the study of disorder effects in the massive Dirac model [16–18]. The analysis within the self-consistent Born approximation (SCBA) reveals a band-gap closing above a threshold of the disorder strength. We show that due to quadratic corrections to the Dirac Hamiltonian, the band gap is always affected from the linear approximation [2]. Moreover, it was shown that contrary to the name “topological Anderson insulator,” such weak-disorder topological transition is not an Anderson transition at all, and it can be treated within the SCBA [36–38]. Although the mentioned works [35–38] are based on the tight-binding calculations on the square lattice with the constant a (typically a = 5 nm [35–38]), they indicate that the disorder effects in the BHZ Hamiltonian may differ significantly from those known in the linear Dirac model.

II. TWO-BAND BHZ MODEL AND SCBA

The low-energy BHZ Hamiltonian has the form

$$H_{2D}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{BHZ}(k) & 0 \\ 0 & H_{BHZ}^*(-k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the asterisk stands for complex conjugation, $k = (k_x, k_y)$ is the momentum in the plane, and $H_{BHZ}(k) = \epsilon(k) \mathbf{1}_2 + d_s(k) \sigma_y$. Here, $\mathbf{1}_2$ is a 2 × 2 unit matrix, $\sigma_y$ are the Pauli matrices, $\epsilon(k) = C - Dk^2$, $d_s(k) = -Ak_x$, $d_2(k) = -Ak_y$, $d_3(k) = M - Bk^2$, and $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$. In the QWs case, the mass parameter M describes inversion between the electronlike E1 and hololevel H1 subbands: $M > 0$ corresponds to a trivial state, while $M < 0$ for a quantum spin Hall insulator (QSHI) state [28]. For the surface states of 3D TIs, nonvanishing $M$ conforms to the gap opened due to the tunnel coupling
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between the opposite surfaces in the thin films [25–27].
A block-diagonal form of $H_{3D}(\mathbf{k})$ in Eq. (1) (cf. Refs. [40,41])
allows one to focus on the upper block only, while the calculations for the lower block are performed in the same way.

In order to calculate the DOS in the presence of disorder, we add the random impurity potential to $H_{BHZ}(\mathbf{k})$:

$$V_{\text{imp}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_j v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j), \quad v(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^2} \tilde{v}(\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{R}_j$ denotes the position of impurities and $v(\mathbf{r})$ is the potential of an individual impurity, which is assumed to be isotropic, i.e., $\tilde{v}(\mathbf{q}) = \tilde{v}(q)$ with $|q| = q$. Then, we start from the Dyson equation in the momentum representation for the disorder-averaged Green’s function $\hat{G}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ and the self-energy matrix $\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ considered in the SCBA, and illuminate the dependence on the direction of $\mathbf{k}$ by applying a unitary transformation such as $\hat{H}_{BHZ}(k) = U(\theta_k)\hat{H}_{BHZ}(k)U(\theta_k)^{-1}$.

After some calculations provided in the Supplemental Material [42], we get the following self-consistent equations:

$$\hat{\Sigma}(k, \varepsilon) = n_i \int_0^{K_k} \frac{k'dk'}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} V_0(k, k')^2 G_{11} & V_{-1}(k, k')^2 G_{12} \\ V_{+1}(k, k')^2 G_{21} & V_0(k, k')^2 G_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_{\text{v}}(k, k')^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} |\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')|^2 \cos \theta,$$

where $n_i$ is the concentration of impurities, and $G_{ij}(k', \varepsilon)$ are the components of the Green’s function $\hat{G}(k, \varepsilon) = [\varepsilon - \hat{H}_{BHZ}(k) - \hat{\Sigma}(k, \varepsilon)]^{-1}$. In Eqs. (3), we introduce a cut-off wave vector $K = \pi/a_0$ (where $a_0$ is the lattice constant), corresponding to the size of the Brillouin zone (cf. Refs. [16–18]). Once the Green’s function is known, the DOS can be calculated as

$$D(\varepsilon) = -\frac{g_s}{\pi} \int_0^{K_k} \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \text{Im}[\text{Tr}[\hat{G}(k, \varepsilon + i0)]],$$

where the factor $g_s = 2$ takes into account the contribution from the lower block in Eq. (1).

To proceed further, we assume $\tilde{v}(q) = u_0$, which corresponds to the disorder formed by the short-range impurities [16–18]. In this case, the self-energy matrix is independent of $k$ and has the form $\hat{\Sigma}(\varepsilon) = \Sigma_0(\varepsilon) \mathbb{I}_2 + \Sigma(\varepsilon) \mathbb{I}_2$. Under these conditions, the set in Eq. (3) is written as

$$\Sigma_0 = \frac{W^2}{4\pi} \int_0^{K_k} \frac{X + Dx}{\Lambda(x, \varepsilon)} dx, \quad \Sigma = \frac{W^2}{4\pi} \int_0^{K_k} \frac{Y - Bx}{\Lambda(x, \varepsilon)} dx,$$

where $W$ is a disorder strength defined as $W^2 = n_i n_0^2$, $X(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon - C - \Sigma_0(\varepsilon)$, $Y(\varepsilon) = M + \Sigma(\varepsilon)$, and $\Lambda(x, \varepsilon) = (D^2 - B^2)x^2 + (2BY + 2DX - A^2)x + X^2 - Y^2$. Note that the above integrals are calculated analytically [42], transforming Eq. (5) into the set of algebraic equations numerically solved by simple iterations.

First, we consider the case of the linear Dirac model, corresponding to zero values of $B$ and $D$. As shown in Fig. 1, the band gap of the massive Dirac fermions decreases by increasing $W$ until it vanishes above a critical value $W^*$. Such behavior was also investigated previously [16–18]. For the gapless system, disorder does not open a band gap as was shown before for graphene [10–15]. This is also seen from Eq. (5), as $\Sigma_0(\varepsilon) = 0$ is the self-consistent solution at $M = 0$ and $B = D = 0$. Note that the changes of DOS with $W$ are independent of the sign of $M$ in the linear model.

The situation changes dramatically if we consider the square terms $\propto Bk^2\varepsilon$. Although we also include the terms $\propto Dk^2\varepsilon^2$ representing the electron-hole asymmetry, the DOS evolution remains qualitatively the same even as for $D = 0$. Further, we focus on HgTe QWs, which require nonvanishing $D$ for their description [39,43]. As the band ordering in HgTe QWs is affected by hydrostatic pressure [44], temperature [45,46], and strain [47,48], we note to consider HgTe/Cd$_{0.7}$Hg$_{0.3}$Te QWs grown on (001) CdTe buffer at zero temperature and pressure [44].

Figure 2 shows the evolution of DOS with the disorder strength $W$ for the HgTe QWs of different QW width. As is seen, in contrast to the linear model, now the band-gap evolution strongly depends on the sign of $M$. If $M > 0$, the band gap decreases with $W$ and vanishes at a critical value $W^*$, and then it is reopened again at $W > W^*$. Such behavior represents the disorder-induced topological phase transition previously discovered in the tight-binding calculations [35,36]. Let us now analyze it within the continuous BHZ model.

Since the finite DOS is associated with a finite imaginary part of the functions $\Sigma_0(\varepsilon)$ and $\Sigma(\varepsilon)$, the band-gap region is characterized by the solution of Eq. (5) with purely real quantities $\Sigma_0(\varepsilon)$ and $\Sigma(\varepsilon)$. The band edges can be obtained by solving the following equations:

$$\overline{X}(\varepsilon) = \overline{Y}(\varepsilon), \quad \overline{X}(\varepsilon) = \overline{-Y}(\varepsilon),$$

where the overbar stresses the values found on the set of real numbers. As seen from Fig. 2, two curves described by Eq. (6) cross at the transition point $W = W^*$, where $\overline{Y}(\varepsilon)$ changes the sign. As shown by Groth et al. [36], $\overline{Y}(\varepsilon)$ has a meaning of the renormalized topological mass and its negative sign corresponds to the TAI state. We note that the disorder-induced phase transition at $M > 0$ in Fig. 2 is caused by the negative values of $B$ in HgTe QWs [28,41,43], resulting in $\Sigma_0(\varepsilon) < 0$. In the systems with $B > 0$, such transition arises at $M < 0$. 

FIG. 1. (a) Color map of the DOS as a function of the disorder strength $W$ for the massive Dirac fermions with the energy $E = \pm \sqrt{M^2 + A^2F^2}$, where $|M| = 9.5$ meV and $A = 358$ meV nm. The white curves represent the band edges with vanishing DOS described by Eq. (6). (b), (c) The DOS at different values of $W$ for $|M| = 9.5$ meV and $|M| = 0$ meV.
As mentioned above, the disorder does not open the gap for the linear massless Dirac fermions. Figure 2 demonstrates that due to the square terms \( \propto Bk^2 \sigma_z \), the gapless state becomes a critical state with \( W^* = 0 \), and the band gap is now affected by the disorder. Interestingly, one may conclude that since the square terms \( \propto k_b^2 \sigma_z \), they are not robust to the surface disorder. However, the parameters \( M \) and \( B \) are not independent for 3D TIs [25–27].

In the absence of the tunnel coupling between the opposite surfaces, \( n_i \) and \( M \) are not independent for 3D TIs [25–27]. The latter prevents the band-gap opening by the disorder.

We have considered a role of the square terms in the disorder-induced topological phase transition in Dirac systems. Further, we investigate how the higher-order terms beyond the BHZ model affect the band gap in the real structures. These terms are crucial for the side maxima (SM) of the valence subband in HgTe QWs [39,44].

### III. Four-Band 2D Model and SCBA

The advanced Hamiltonian for HgTe QWs including the second electronlike \( E2 \) and holelike \( H2 \) subbands is written as

\[
H_{2D}(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
H_{s\times4}(k) & 0 \\
0 & H_n^{\ast\ast}(-k)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with the blocks \( H_{s\times4}(k) \) and \( H_n^{\ast\ast}(-k) \) defined as

\[
H_{s\times4}(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_k + d_3(k) & -Ak_+ & R_1k_+^2 & S_0k_- \\
-Ak_- & \epsilon_k - d_3(k) & 0 & R_2k_-^2 \\
R_1k_+^2 & 0 & \epsilon_{H2}(k) & A_2k_+ \\
S_0k_+ & R_2k_-^2 & A_2k_- & \epsilon_{E2}(k)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \epsilon_{E2}(k) = C + M + \Delta_{E1E2} + B_{E2}(k_+^2 + k_-^2), \) \( \epsilon_{H2}(k) = C - M - \Delta_{H1H2} + B_{H2}(k_+^2 + k_-^2), \) and \( \Delta_{E1E2} \) and \( \Delta_{H1H2} \) are the gaps between the \( E1 \) and \( E2 \) subbands and the \( H1 \) and \( H2 \) subbands, respectively [39].

Then, with a unitary transformation such as \( \hat{H}_{s\times4}(k) = V(\theta_k)H_{s\times4}(k)V(\theta_k)^{-1} \), the self-energy matrix \( \Sigma_{s\times4}(k, \epsilon) \) in the SCBA has the form [42]

\[
\Sigma_{s\times4}(k, \epsilon) = n_i \int_0^{K_c} \frac{k'dk'}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix}
V_{01}^2 G_{11} & V_{01}^2 G_{12} & V_{02}^2 G_{13} & V_{02}^2 G_{14} \\
V_{12}^2 G_{21} & V_{12}^2 G_{12} + V_{03}^2 G_{23} & V_{23}^2 G_{13} + V_{23}^2 G_{14} & V_{23}^2 G_{14} \\
V_{23}^2 G_{31} & V_{23}^2 G_{32} & V_{05}^2 G_{33} & V_{05}^2 G_{34} \\
V_{43}^2 G_{41} & V_{43}^2 G_{42} & V_{43}^2 G_{43} + V_{43}^2 G_{44} & V_{43}^2 G_{44}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(9)

where \( n_i, K_c, \) and \( V_{0n}(k, k') \) are the same as those for Eq. (3), while \( G_{ij} \equiv G_{ij}(k', \epsilon) \) are the components of the averaged Green’s function \( \hat{G}(k, \epsilon) = [\epsilon - \hat{H}_{s\times4}(k) - \hat{\Sigma}(k, \epsilon)]^{-1} \). In the case of the short-range impurities, the self-energy matrix is diagonal and independent of \( \epsilon \) and Eq. (9) transforms into the set of algebraic equations numerically solved by an iteration procedure [42].

Figure 3 shows the DOS evolution with the disorder for the same QW widths as in Fig. 2. As is seen for the 6-nm QW, the disorder-induced phase transition at \( W = W^* \) is still identified. However, the values of \( W^* \) and the areas with the vanishing DOS differ significantly in two models. Particularly, the renormalized band gap in the BHZ model may even exceed the largest gap known for the HgTe QWs [47], while the four-band model predicts the lower values.

Another feature, which cannot be addressed in the BHZ model, is the evolution of the DOS associated with the SM of the top valence subband. In the clean limit, the side maxima result in the large steplike increasing of the DOS. At nonzero \( W \), such steplike behavior can be also used for qualitative determination of the SM position. The white and green curves in the top panels of Fig. 3 represent the evolution of the band edges in the \( \Gamma \) point and the side maxima, respectively.

![Figure 2](Image)

**FIG. 2.** Band structure and color map of the DOS as a function of the disorder strength \( W \) calculated in the two-band BHZ model for HgTe QW at different QW width: \( d = 6 \text{ nm} (M > 0), d = d_c (M = 0), \) and \( d = 7 \text{ nm} (M < 0) \). The band parameters are provided in the Supplemental Material [42]. The white curves represent the edges of the area with the vanishing DOS described by Eq. (6). The green curve is found from \( T(\epsilon) = 0 \). The bottom panels show the DOS at several values of \( W \).
FIG. 3. Band structure and the DOS as a function of the disorder strength $W$ calculated in the four-band 2D model \[39\] for HgTe QW at different QW widths: $d = 6$ nm, $d = d_c$, and $d = 7$ nm. The band parameters are provided in the Supplemental Material \[42\]. The white curves represent the edges of the area with the vanishing DOS found from the numerical calculations. The green curve shows the energy edge of the side maxima identified in the DOS. The bottom panels represent the DOS at several values of $W$ for each of the QW widths.

contrast to the BHZ model, these curves can be identified only in the numerical calculations. As the SM position primarily depends on the distance between $E_2$ and $H_2$ subbands \[39\], its evolution with the disorder remains qualitatively the same for any values of $M$.

As is seen, the SM contribution increases with the disorder and strongly affects the area with the vanishing DOS. For the inverted HgTe QWs, the SM may result in the band-gap closing and transition into the semimetal state. The latter is clearly seen for the 9-nm-wide HgTe QW representing indirect-gap QSHI (see Fig. 4). Indeed, the upper boundary of the area with the vanishing DOS represents the evolution of the conduction band edge, while the lower boundary corresponds to the SM evolution. The semimetal state arises when the side maxima exceed the conduction band bottom. Note that such state also exists in the wide HgTe QWs in the clean limit \[49,50\]. Thus, the disorder may not only yield to the band inversion as explained by Groth et al. \[36\] but induce the semimetal state as well.

To clarify if the disorder range in Figs 2–4 is relevant for the HgTe QWs, we calculate the electron mobility $\mu_W$ caused by the short-range impurities \[42\]. The calculations, performed in the relaxation-time approximation \[51\] within the BHZ model, evidence that $W < 200$ corresponds to $\mu_W > 4 \text{ m}^2/\text{Vs}$ for the electron concentration $n_S = 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This is comparable with the experimental values for HgTe QWs \[52\]. Note that the mobility evaluation in the BHZ model is quite reliable for the conduction band, since it requires the description of electronic states only in the vicinity of the Fermi level, in contrast to the self-energy matrix, which is calculated over the whole Brillouin zone.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the disorder effect caused by the short-range impurities on the band gap and DOS in Dirac systems beyond the linear approximation. By using the SCBA and continuous BHZ model, we show that the quadratic corrections to the Dirac Hamiltonian always result in the band-gap renormalization even if the system is gapless in the clean limit. We have also explored the role of the high-order terms beyond the BHZ model \[36\] in the disorder effect in HgTe QWs. We have shown that the disorder-induced phase transition in the real structures may differ significantly from those predicted within the BHZ model. Our findings thus clearly demonstrate the invalidity of the BHZ model for quantitative description of the disorder effects in HgTe QWs.
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