400 m rolling-shutter-based optical camera communications link

Elizabeth Eso, Shivani Teli, Navid Bani Hassan, Stanislav Vitek, Zabih Ghassemlooy, Stanislav Zvanovec

► To cite this version:

Elizabeth Eso, Shivani Teli, Navid Bani Hassan, Stanislav Vitek, Zabih Ghassemlooy, et al.. 400 m rolling-shutter-based optical camera communications link. Optics Letters, 2020, 45 (5), pp.1059. 10.1364/OL.385423 . hal-02572149

HAL Id: hal-02572149

<https://hal.science/hal-02572149>

Submitted on 19 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

400 m Rolling Shutter based Optical Camera Communications Link

ELIZABETH ESO¹, SHIVANI TELI², NAVID BANI HASSAN³, STANISLAV VITEK², ZABIH GHASSEMLOOY^{1,4}, AND STANISLAV ZVANOVEC²

¹Optical Communication Research Group, Northumbria University Newcastle, UK

²Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, CR

³Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde Glasgow, UK

⁴QIEM, HI, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Quanzhou, China

*Corresponding author: elizabeth.eso@northumbria.ac.uk

Compiled February 12, 2020

In this paper, we develop a novel technique to increase the link span (L_s) of a rolling shutter (RS) based optical camera communications (OCC) system by reducing the spatial bandwidth of the camera in the out of focus regions. We demonstrate a 400 m line-of-sight RS-based OCC link, which is to date, the longest L_s reported in these systems and developed a detection method to extract the information out of the video frames, successfully. The proposed system relaxes the condition of a large surface area for the transmitter light source. Consequently, we show that at 400 m L_s and exposure times of 100 - 800 μ s, a data rate of 450 bps is achieved successfully. © 2020 Optical Society of America

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/ao.XX.XXXXXX>

1. INTRODUCTION

Optical camera communications (OCC) is considered as a pragmatic form of visible light communications (VLC), which utilizes image sensor (IS) (i.e., cameras) as the receiver (Rx) and light-emitting diodes (LEDs), laser diodes (LDs) and liquid crystal displays as the transmitter (Tx) [1, 2]. In OCC, the camera captures two-dimensional data in the form of image sequences, thus enabling multidimensional data transmission over the free space channel. OCC offers multiple functionalities of vision, data communications and localization, which can be used in a number of applications including all-optical Internet of things (OIoT) [3, 4]. OIoT-based applications include device-to-device communications, mobile attocells, vehicle-to-everything (V2X), smart environments, etc. [2], which releases the needed radio frequency spectrum for use in areas most required. Inspired by IoT, the internet of vehicles (IoV) is currently of high interest within the research community [4]. Recently, the widespread use of LEDs as taillights, brake lights, headlights, street and traffic lights has opened up the potential opportunities for implementation of the intelligent transportation systems (ITS) to mitigate the traffic congestion and therefore improve the quality of life and the economy [2]. In addition, the availability of cameras in traffic

networks, security surveillance, vehicles, etc., can be effectively exploited as an optical Rx, thus enabling the implementation of VLC-OCC links.

One of the advantages of camera-based Rx's over the photodiodes is the higher signal to noise ratio (SNR) due to longer exposure time (T_{exp}) and larger overall photosensitive area, which results in longer link distances. OCC can be deployed in ITS for vehicle to vehicle, infrastructure-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) communications, therefore a long link-span helps to establish a robust system [2, 5]. The complementary-metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS)-based rolling shutter (RS) camera, which sequentially (row-by-row) integrates light illuminating the pixels thus operating similarly as scanning function, can be used to increase the data rate (R_b) higher than the frame rate (R_f) of the camera [6]. In [7], global shutter (GS) based OCC with a R_b of 15 bps over a link range of 328 m was reported for use in smart city applications with $\sim 4\%$ wrongly decoded received bit streams. While in [8], a RS-based OCC link for the outdoor application with a maximum link span of 120 m, a bit error rate (BER) of $> 10^{-2}$ (at 120 m) and an achieved maximum R_b of 200 bps at a 4 m link span was reported. Further investigations on long-distance OCC links (beyond 120 m) using RS is yet to be investigated to the author's best knowledge.

In order to establish long distance VLC there are a number of options including large area light sources with high power (meeting the eye-safety), multiple light sources, wide area optical Rx (at cost of reduced bandwidth) and multiple Rx's (i.e., cameras). In [7], a large size Tx with a dimension of $48 \times 48 \text{ cm}^2$ was used. However, using a large Tx is impractical, therefore in this paper, we propose a novel reception technique in order to increase the transmission link-span of RS-based OCC by reducing camera's spatial bandwidth in the out-of-focus areas. This helps to have a larger footprint of the light source on the IS without reducing the field of view (FoV). We also develop a detection algorithm to effectively extract the received information from captured video frames. Previous works reported on OCC have mainly used cameras in the focused mode. However, cameras can also be used in their defocused modes depending on applications e.g indoor non-line-of-sight communications or V2I-VLC [9]. We have used a light source (i.e., $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ cm}^2$), which is

19 times smaller than the source that was adopted in [7]. The proposed system is attractive in distance critical applications requiring relatively low R_b , as in ITS (e.g., exchange of safety and traffic messages and positioning-related information) for smart traffic management. In this work, we achieve a communication link of up to 400 m with 100% success rate in data transmission achieving a R_b of 450 bps. The demonstrated system, to the best of our knowledge, is the longest link-span achieved for a RS-based OCC link.

2. SYSTEM MODEL AND DETECTION

The block diagram of the proposed system is shown in Fig. 1. At the Tx, an on-off keying non-return to zero (OOK-NRZ) data $s(t)$ is used for intensity modulation of the LED (a $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ cm}^2$ size chip on board (COB)) via the LED driver. The intensity-modulated light $x(t)$ is transmitted over a free space channel and is captured at the Rx using a CMOS RS camera with a 1000 mm telephoto lens. For the line of sight (LOS) link, the received signal is given by [10]:

$$y(t) = \eta x(t) \otimes h(t) + n(t), \quad (1)$$

where $h(t)$ is the combined impulse response of the channel and camera, η is the quantum efficiency of the IS and $n(t)$ is the additive white Gaussian noise including the ambient light-induced shot noise and the noise in the camera (i.e., fixed pattern, thermal, photocurrent shot and flicker noise sources) [11]. The channel DC gain for the LOS link can be obtained as [10]:

$$H(0)_{\text{LOS}} = \begin{cases} \frac{A_{\text{Tx-img}}(m+1)}{2\pi d_L^2} \cos^m(\Phi) g(\theta) T_s(\theta), & 0 \leq \theta \leq \xi \\ 0, & \theta > \xi \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $A_{\text{Tx-img}}$ is the area of the projected illuminated light source on the IS, d_L is the distance between the Tx and the camera's lens, $T_s(\theta)$ and $g(\theta)$ are the gains of the optical filter and optical concentrator, respectively. Φ denotes the irradiance angle, ξ is the FoV semi-angle of the camera and m represents Lambertian order of emission of the Tx. The incidence angle $\theta = \phi_{\text{tilt}} + \sin^{-1}[(H_{\text{Tx}} - H_{\text{Rx}})/d_L]$, while the Rx tilt angle, heights of the Tx and Rx are denoted as ϕ_{tilt} , H_{Tx} and H_{Rx} respectively.

Fig. 1. Schematic block diagram of the long distance OCC link

Usually, CMOS camera sensors (adopted as the Rx in this work) uses the RS readout method, such that each pixel row is exposed in a row-by-row sequential manner with a fixed time delay (row readout time T_r). Moreover, in RS-based cameras, the exposure of each row takes place before the readout and not all at once as in the case of GS-based cameras. Consequently, each pixel row's exposure does not commence at the same time. This is an advantage in OCC systems, which can lead to increased R_b higher than the camera's R_f , but at the cost of reduced coverage

distance, hence we propose a new technique to extend this coverage distance. Note that with RS-OCC, a flicker free transmission is achievable hence we employ this technique in this work.

Note that, in OCC the captured Tx's focused image size decreases with the increasing link span as given by the relationship $d_{\text{img}} = d_{\text{Tx}} f / d_L$, where d_{Tx} and d_{img} are the diameter of the Tx and the diameter of the projected Tx's image on the IS at the focal length f of the lens in use, respectively. This therefore, constitutes a limitation for RS-based OCC links as the received signal area on the IS of the camera, which determines the number of rows N_{rows} (i.e the ON and OFF states of the Tx) obtainable decreases with the increasing transmission distance. Consequently, we reduce this limitation by operating the camera in its out-of-focus (defocused) mode. Fig. 2 shows a lens, object and IS configuration. The IS could be moved from the position 1 to 2 where varying sizes of the projected object's image is obtainable. Importantly, we use the defocusing feature of the camera i.e., by altering the distance between the 1000 mm telephoto lens and the IS, to allow the Tx's image to converge beyond the focal point, whereby a larger footprint of the Tx is obtained.

Fig. 2. Example of lens, image sensor and object configuration

Consequently more N_{rows} are visible (detectable by the Rx (camera)) as illustrated in Fig. 3 for the defocused modes. Note

Fig. 3. Examples of width of captured Tx images on IS based on varying lens and IS configurations

that, defocusing of the camera results in a disc-shaped pattern

known as a circle of confusion (CoC), which is convoluted with the image as given by [12]:

$$G_o(x, y) = G_i(x, y) \otimes \otimes G_{\text{CoC}}(x, y), \quad (3)$$

where, $G_o(x, y)$ and $G_i(x, y)$ are the defocused and focused image intensity functions, respectively. $\otimes \otimes$ is the 2 dimensional convolution operator and $G_{\text{CoC}}(x, y)$ is the CoC disc function, which is the same shape as the camera's lens aperture. For a circular aperture, we have [12]:

$$G_{\text{CoC}}(x, y) = U(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) - U(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - 0.5D_{\text{CoC}}), \quad (4)$$

and the diameter of CoC, D_{CoC} , is the same as the width of the defocused image of a point source and is given as [12]:

$$D_{\text{CoC}} = \frac{D_{\text{lens}}}{2d_c} |d_c - d_{\text{IS}}|, \quad (5)$$

where $U(\cdot)$ is Heaviside step function, $D_{\text{lens}} = f/f_{\text{stop}}$, is the diameter of the lens aperture, f_{stop} is the focal stop number of the lens aperture, d_{IS} is the distance between the centre of the lens to the IS and d_c represents the distance between the centre of the lens and the image, which can be obtained as [12]:

$$d_c = \frac{d_L f}{d_L - f}. \quad (6)$$

Note that, the size of CoC depends on the aperture diameter D_{lens} for collecting the light rays of d_{IS} and d_c . Consequently, to increase defocusing (i.e., D_{CoC}) in order to have the best signal area, $|d_c - d_{\text{IS}}|$ must be maximised and lower values of f_{stop} should be used to obtain larger D_{lens} . However, the amount of achievable defocusing is limited to the camera's optics design configuration. Fig. 4 illustrates the N_{rows} as a function of the transmission distances for focused and three different defocused image modes for d_{IS} of f , $0.3f$, $0.5f$ and $0.7f$, $f = 1000$ mm and $D_{\text{lens}} = f/10$ and width of rows = 0.05 cm. The N_{rows} increases with d_{IS} . E.g., at d_L of 20 m the N_{rows} has increased from 2 for the focused image mode to 33, 52 and 71 for d_{IS} of $0.7f$, $0.5f$ and $0.3f$, respectively thus enabling longer RS-OCC link spans. The width of one row in pixel (representing one bit or symbol for a fixed camera pixel clock) [13], $w_b = 1/(2f_{\text{Tx}}T_r)$, where f_{Tx} denotes the Tx's switching frequency. Note, the N_{rows} increasing with the defocusing is at the cost of reduced light intensity level per pixel (i.e., lower peak SNR (PSNR)/pixel) since the received light is spread over a higher number of pixels. Interestingly, the latter can be compensated for by increasing the gain parameter of the camera (i.e. its sensitivity), the aperture size and T_{exp} (within the required bandwidth) so as to capture more light but only to the extent that the rows still remains distinctive and not mixed-up. In addition, a robust image processing algorithm, see Fig. 5, is proposed to enhance the success rate of received bits.

Consequently, at the Rx side, the output of the camera (IS) is processed off-line in MATLAB. As portrayed in the detection flowchart (Fig. 5), every video frame is converted from the red, green and blue (RGB) colour format to the grayscale for both the calibration and data videos after pixelation (i.e., digitizing the image to obtain the intensity/pixel value). The data videos are the captured transmitted data whereas the captured calibration videos are the template shape of the Tx, which is used for equalisation or otherwise described as the intensity compensation of the data video frames. Next, the region of interest (ROI) is selected (i.e., the footprint of the light source on the IS, which is the CoC) and then averaged over the rows to form a column

Fig. 4. N_{rows} versus d_L for a range of d_{IS}

vector. In order to avoid noise amplification at the start and end of the pixel rows in each frame, at least 10-pixel rows are eliminated from the top and bottom of the CoC. The received signal is then up-sampled to increase the resolution of the received signal. The correlation between the transmitted and received signal is carried out to determine the delay between them in order to extract the required received samples. A matched filter (MF) (via postprocessing in Matlab) is applied to recover the data. The recovered data bits vector is then compared with the transmitted data to ascertain the success rate of received bits by determining the ratio of the wrongly decoded bits to the total number of transmitted bits (i.e BER).

Fig. 5. Flowchart of detection

3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND RESULTS

The system configuration and experimental setup for the long-distance OCC are shown in Fig. 6. The Tx was located on the 6th floor (height of 25 m) and the Rx was placed outdoor at a height of 1.40 m above the ground. At the start of the measurement campaign, the weather was partly cloudy/sunny while by the end it was sunny, with a temperature range of 23 - 25°C and the wind speed and humidity of 2 - 6 mph and 51 - 65%, respectively. The key experimental parameters include

Fig. 6. Proposed OCC link (a) system configuration (b) experimental setup

the LED drive current, FoV and m are 0.33 A, 122° and 0.96, respectively. The Tx data packet is 18 bits, the Rx's R_f is set to 25 fps for all experimental configurations considered and the number of received data bits is ~ 32 per frame. The Rx's video resolution, pixel size, lens aperture and f are 648×484 (RGB32), $2.2 \times 2.2 \mu\text{m}^2$, $f/10$ and 1000 mm, respectively. Measurements were carried out for a range of link spans L_s and T_{exp} of 150 to 400 m and 100 to 800 μs , respectively. Image frames of the transmitted data were captured with up to 100% success rate of received bits for all links considered in this work. In order to quantify the link performance for each L_s , we used the image quality metric of PSNR, which is given as [14]:

$$P_{\text{SNR}} = 10 \log \frac{I_{\text{max}}^2}{\epsilon(i)} \quad (7)$$

where I_{max} is the maximum possible pixel value, $I_{\text{max}} = 2^n - 1$, $n = 8$ for a grayscale image, and $\epsilon(i)$ is the pixel luminance mean squared error, which is defined by:

$$\epsilon(i) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{rows}}} \sum_1^{N_{\text{rows}}} (I_{\text{Tx}}(i) - I_{\text{Rx}}(i))^2. \quad (8)$$

$I_{\text{Tx}}(i)$ and $I_{\text{Rx}}(i)$ are the difference between pixel and average pixel values for transmitted and received symbols (1 and 0), respectively, while i represents the row's index number. Fig. 7 shows the PSNR versus L_s for a range of T_{exp} . As illustrated, PSNR improves with T_{exp} and decreases with the increasing L_s e.g., for T_{exp} of 800 μs , PSNR drops by 2.1 dB when increasing L_s from 200 to 400 m. The maximum values of PSNR are 3.3 and 0.2 dB for T_{exp} of 800 μs and 100 μs at L_s of 150 m.

Fig. 7. PSNR versus link span for a range of camera's T_{exp} .

4. CONCLUSION

We have developed a novel technique to increase the link-span of RS-based OCC by reducing the spatial bandwidth of the camera in the out of focus regions. The experimental analysis of the proposed scheme demonstrates a 100% success rate of received bits for a L_s of up to 400 m using a small surface area Tx of $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ cm}^2$. The choice of the T_{exp} played a key role in determining the value of PSNR. To the best of authors' knowledge, no works have been reported for long distance OCC links using RS.

Funding Information The European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant (764461 (VISION)) and Scientific Research Instrument and Equipment CAS, China (YJKYYQ20170052).

Disclosures The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. M. J. Jang, "IEEE 802.15 WPAN 15.7 Amendment Study Group," (2019).
2. Z. Ghassemlooy, L. N. Alves, S. Zvanovec, and M.-A. Khalighi, *Visible light communications: Theory and Applications* (2017).
3. M. K. Hasan, M. Z. Chowdhury, M. Shahjalal, V. T. Nguyen, and Y. M. Jang, *Appl. Sci. (Switzerland)* **8** (2018).
4. S. R. Teli, S. Zvanovec, and Z. Ghassemlooy, *IEEE International Conference on IoT and Intelligence*, Indonesia (2018).
5. P. Luo, M. Zhang, Z. Ghassemlooy, H. L. Minh, H. M. Tsai, X. Tang, and D. Han, *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **28**, 139 (2016).
6. T. Nguyen, C. Hong, N. T. Le, and Y. Jang, *The Seventh International Conference on Ubiquitous and Future Networks*, Japan. (2015).
7. P. Chavez-Burbano, V. Guerra, J. Rabadan, and R. Perez-Jimenez, *Int. Conf. on Commun. China* (2017).
8. P. Chavez-Burbano, S. Vitek, S. R. Teli, V. Guerra, J. Rabadan, R. Perez-Jimenez, and S. Zvanovec, *Electron. Lett.* **55**, 334 (2019).
9. E. Eso, Z. Ghassemlooy, S. Zvanovec, A. Gholami, A. Burton, N. B. Hassan, and O. I. Younus, *2nd West Asian Colloquium on Opt. Wirel. Commun. (WACOWC)* pp. 85–89 (2019).
10. J. M. Kahn and J. R. Barry, *Proc. IEEE* (1997).
11. N. B. Hassan, "Mimo visible light communications with camera-based receiver for intelligent transport systems," Ph.D. thesis, Northumbria University (2019).
12. N. B. Hassan, Y. Huang, Z. Shou, Z. Ghassemlooy, A. Sturmiolo, S. Zvanovec, P. Luo, and H. Le-Minh, *11th Int. Symp. on Commun. Syst. Networks Digit. Signal Process. (CSNDSP)*, Budapest (2018).
13. S. Verma, "Analysing the Performance and Stability of LED-to-Camera Links," Master's thesis, Delft University of Technology (2017).
14. Q. Huynh-Thu and M. Ghanbari, *Electron. Lett.* **44**, 800 (2008).

FULL REFERENCES

1. M. J. Jang, "IEEE 802.15 WPAN 15.7 Amendment Study Group," (2019).
2. Z. Ghassemlooy, L. N. Alves, S. Zvanovec, and M.-A. Khalighi, *Visible light communications : Theory and Applications* (2017).
3. M. K. Hasan, M. Z. Chowdhury, M. Shahjalal, V. T. Nguyen, and Y. M. Jang, "Performance analysis and improvement of optical camera communication," *Appl. Sci. (Switzerland)* **8** (2018).
4. S. R. Teli, S. Zvanovec, and Z. Ghassemlooy, "Optical internet of things within 5g: Applications and challenges," *IEEE International Conference on IoT and Intelligence, Indonesia* (2018).
5. P. Luo, M. Zhang, Z. Ghassemlooy, H. L. Minh, H. M. Tsai, X. Tang, and D. Han, "Experimental demonstration of a 1024-QAM optical camera communication system," *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **28**, 139–142 (2016).
6. T. Nguyen, C. Hong, N. T. Le, and Y. Jang, "High-speed asynchronous optical camera communication using led and rolling shutter camera," *The Seventh International Conference on Ubiquitous and Future Networks, Japan.* (2015).
7. P. Chavez-Burbano, V. Guerra, J. Rabadan, and R. Perez-Jimenez, "Optical camera communication for smart cities," *Int. Conf. on Commun. China* (2017).
8. P. Chavez-Burbano, S. Vitek, S. R. Teli, V. Guerra, J. Rabadan, R. Perez-Jimenez, and S. Zvanovec, "Optical camera communication system for Internet of Things based on organic light emitting diodes," *Electron. Lett.* **55**, 334–336 (2019).
9. E. Eso, Z. Ghassemlooy, S. Zvanovec, A. Gholami, A. Burton, N. B. Hassan, and O. I. Younus, "Experimental demonstration of vehicle to road side infrastructure visible light communications," *2nd West Asian Colloquium on Opt. Wirel. Commun. (WACOWC)* pp. 85–89 (2019).
10. J. M. Kahn and J. R. Barry, "Wireless infrared communications," *Proc. IEEE* (1997).
11. N. B. Hassan, "Mimo visible light communications with camera-based receiver for intelligent transport systems," *Ph.D. thesis, Northumbria University* (2019).
12. N. B. Hassan, Y. Huang, Z. Shou, Z. Ghassemlooy, A. Sturmiolo, S. Zvanovec, P. Luo, and H. Le-Minh, "Impact of Camera Lens Aperture and the Light Source Size on Optical Camera Communications," *11th Int. Symp. on Commun. Syst. Networks Digit. Signal Process. (CSNDSP), Budapest* (2018).
13. S. Verma, "Analysing the Performance and Stability of LED-to-Camera Links," *Master's thesis, Delft University of Technology* (2017).
14. Q. Huynh-Thu and M. Ghanbari, "Scope of validity of PSNR in image/video quality assessment," *Electron. Lett.* **44**, 800–801 (2008).