Recent progress on the application of ^2H solid-state NMR to probe the interaction of antimicrobial peptides with intact bacteria

Valerie Booth, Dror E. Warschawski, Nury Santisteban, Marwa Laadhari,
Isabelle Marcotte

► To cite this version:

Valerie Booth, Dror E. Warschawski, Nury Santisteban, Marwa Laadhari, Isabelle Marcotte. Recent progress on the application of ^2H solid-state NMR to probe the interaction of antimicrobial peptides with intact bacteria. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta Proteins and Proteomics*, 2017, 1865 (11), pp.1500-1511. 10.1016/j.bbapap.2017.07.018 . hal-02567650

HAL Id: hal-02567650

<https://hal.science/hal-02567650>

Submitted on 7 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Recent progress on the application of ^2H solid-state NMR to probe the interaction of antimicrobial peptides with intact bacteria

Valerie Booth^{1,2}, Dror E. Warschawski^{3,4}, Nury P. Santisteban², Marwa Laadhari⁴ and Isabelle Marcotte^{4*}

¹Department of Biochemistry, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada
A1B 3X9

²Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada A1B 3X7

³UMR 7099, CNRS - Université Paris Diderot, IBPC, 13 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, F-75005
Paris, France

⁴Department of Chemistry, Université du Québec à Montréal, P.O. Box 8888, Downtown Station, Montréal, Canada, H3C 3P8.

*Corresponding author

Department of Chemistry, Université du Québec à Montréal, P.O. Box 8888, Downtown Station, Montréal, Canada, H3C 3P8.

Tel: 1-514-987-3000 #5015

Fax: 1-514-987-4054

E-mail: marcotte.isabelle@uqam.ca

Abstract

Discoveries relating to innate immunity and antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) granted Bruce Beutler and Jules Hoffmann a Nobel prize in medicine in 2011, and opened up new avenues for the development of therapies against infections, and even cancers. The mechanisms by which AMPs interact with, and ultimately disrupt, bacterial cell membranes is still, to a large extent, incompletely understood. Up until recently, this mechanism was studied using model lipid membranes that failed to reproduce the complexity of molecular interactions present in real cells comprising lipids but also membrane proteins, a cell wall containing peptidoglycan or lipopolysaccharides, and other molecules. In this review, we focus on recent attempts to study, at the molecular level, the interaction between cationic AMPs and intact bacteria, by ^2H solid-state NMR. Specifically-labeled lipids allow us to focus on the interaction of AMPs with the heart of the bacterial membrane, and measure the lipid order and its variation upon interaction with various peptides. We will review the important parameters to consider in such a study, and summarize the results obtained in the past 5 years on various peptides, in particular aurein 1.2, caerin 1.1, MSI-78 and CA(1-8)M(1-10).

Keywords

Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, in-cell NMR, membrane interactions, action mechanism

Abbreviations

AFM = atomic force microscopy; AMP = antimicrobial peptides; CD = circular dichroism; CFU = colony forming unit; CL = cardiolipin; d_{31} -PA = deuterated palmitic acid; DPC = dodecylphosphocholine; DHPC = dihexanoylphosphatidylcholine; DMPC = dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine; DMPG = dimyristoylphosphatidylglycerol; DPC = dodecylphosphocholine; DSC = differential scanning calorimetry; LPS = lipopolysaccharides; MAS = magic-angle spinning; MIC = minimum inhibitory concentration; MTT = 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide; PC = phosphatidylcholine; PG = phosphatidylglycerol; PGN = peptidoglycan; PE = phosphatidylethanolamine; PI = phosphatidylinositol; POPC = palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine; POPE = 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine; POPG = 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-*rac*-glycerol); REDOR = rotational-echo double resonance; SS-NMR = solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance

Introduction

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) have received a great deal of attention due to their potential to help solve the crisis of antibiotic resistance to conventional small molecule drugs [1-8]. Applications of AMPs to cancer treatment are also being explored [9-11]. A wide variety of organisms, from bacteria to humans, produce AMPs as part of their innate immune defense systems [12]. In addition to natural AMP sequences, such as caerin 1.1 and aurein 1.2 from Australian tree frogs [13,14], a number of synthetic sequences have been generated, including MSI-78 [15] and CAME [16,17]. Many AMPs exhibit a degree of specificity and can kill pathogens at concentrations that do not harm host cells. Much of this specificity is thought to relate to the cationic charge that most AMPs possess. AMPs are generally small, positively charged, have a substantial hydrophobic content and can form amphipathic structures [12]. Most AMPs are largely unstructured in solution, and fold upon membrane binding. A variety of structures have been observed in membrane-bound AMPs, including α -helical and β -sheet type structures. In this review, we focus on cationic, α -helical AMPs.

The amphipathicity of AMP structures confers a propensity to interact with lipid bilayers. And indeed, much of the research into AMP mechanisms has focused on their interaction with membranes, either as their direct mechanism of killing via membrane permeabilization, or as a means of getting inside the cell to disrupt intracellular targets. Membrane interactions are likewise implicated in the specificity of positively charged AMPs which have stronger interactions with anionic membranes, for example bacterial or cancer cell membranes. The non-specific nature of AMP pathogen interactions is thought to underlie the relative rarity of resistance development to AMPs as compared to conventional small molecule drugs [3,18-23]. However, there is a growing awareness that it is also critical to consider the interactions between AMPs and non-lipidic components of the target cell, such as the peptidoglycan layer or

intracellular AMP targets. Consequently, many biophysicists who study AMPs are starting to include more whole cell experiments [24-27], along with the more traditional model membrane work.

AMP mechanisms

Mechanisms of AMP killing and growth inhibition of bacteria include both direct effects on the membrane, i.e. permeabilization, as well as targeting of intracellular components and modulation of the host cell immune system [19,28-30]. Intracellular targets of AMPs are proposed to include DNA, RNA, ribosomes, chaperone proteins, and enzymes [22,31-35].

Studies of AMPs interacting with model lipid bilayers have led researchers to suggest a variety of possible mechanisms for membrane disruption, including toroidal pores, disordered toroidal pores, carpet and barrel-stave models [22,23,36] as illustrated in Figure 1. In toroidal pores, for example, the polar/positively charged face of the amphipathic AMP structure interacts with the headgroup of the negatively charged lipids, while the hydrophobic AMP face makes contact with the lipid acyl chains, inducing bending of the bilayer, and thus stabilizing lipid pore structures. Such defects may only need to be lined by just one or two AMPs [37,38]. Although the various membrane disruption models are frequently presented as different mechanisms, many of them can be unified by considering a phase diagram of AMP mechanism as a function of peptide concentration and lipid composition [39]. While models of membrane disruption mechanisms provide valuable insight into how AMPs function, it is clear that they are not the whole story, as there is often very poor correlation between membrane permeabilization induced by AMPs and cell growth inhibition or death [40-43]. Such observations have led many researchers to suggest that at least some AMPs kill target pathogens via a multi-hit mechanism that may well include membrane permeabilization, but with other important targets as well (e.g.

[22,30,34,41,44]). In addition to intracellular AMP targets, there are a variety of non-lipid components in the cell envelope of pathogens that are likely to affect AMP activity.

Bacterial cell envelopes and AMP interactions

Cell envelope components that may complicate the picture of AMP-bacteria interactions derived from model lipid studies include the peptidoglycan (PGN) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) layers, as well as membrane proteins, membrane domains, bilayer asymmetry, and the specifics of lipid composition [45-47]. Understanding how non-lipidic components affect AMP activity is critical. For instance, some cell wall constituents appear to protect bacteria from certain AMPs, while for other AMPs the opposite is true; the presence of non-lipidic cell envelope components appear to sensitize or attract AMPs to the bacteria [48-50].

The architecture of the bacterial cell envelope is different for Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (**Figure 2**) [51,52]. In Gram(+) bacteria, there is a single lipid bilayer and a thick (20-80 nm) PGN layer, while Gram(-) bacteria have a much thinner (6-8 nm) PGN layer but are enveloped by a LPS layer, the outer membrane, that is not present in the Gram(+) bacteria. The PGN layer is comprised of repeating units of disaccharide-peptide building blocks that are cross-linked, via both the peptide and carbohydrate components, to form a continuous network. The length and composition of the peptide stem varies from species to species [53]. Also integral are the teichoic acids that are covalently linked to the PGN. The teichoic acids supply structural integrity to the cell wall and also assist infection by contributing to adhesion and host interactions [54]. Because the teichoic acids confer a substantial negative charge to the cell envelope, they are potentially important considerations for interactions with cationic AMPs.

Another negatively charged cell envelope component is LPS, a major constituent of the outer membrane of Gram(-) bacteria. LPS has lipid A, core oligosaccharide, and O-antigen components. Some of the carbohydrate components of lipid A are highly phosphorylated, which

is what confers the negative charge to the LPS. Divalent cations (Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+}) bind the phosphate groups and thus help to link the LPS molecules together. AMPs have been proposed to move across the LPS via a “self-promoted” uptake mechanism, whereby the AMPs displace the LPS stabilizing divalent cations, destabilizing the outer membrane and allowing the AMP to permeate the LPS [55]. As reviewed in Malanovic and Lohner [50], non-lipidic cell wall components may either entrap AMPs and prevent them from reaching the inner lipid bilayer or, alternatively may attract AMPs and cause them to accumulate near the membrane surface. In the Booth lab, preliminary work has found that disrupting the PGN of *Bacillus subtilis* increases the activity of one AMP, MSI-78, but reduces the activity of another AMP, CA(1-8)ME(1-10) [49]. And, for the histidine-rich Gad-1 and Gad-2 AMPs, the effect of PGN disruption on AMP activity depends on the pH [48].

Lipid composition itself, and in particular the anionic content of lipids, is known to be an important factor in AMP selectivity and activity [15,22,56]. More than one group has noted how alterations in lipid composition can affect AMP membrane interactions, even when the overall anionic/zwitterionic composition is conserved [57,58]. There is a substantial variation in the lipid composition of membrane found in different pathogens. For example, while most bacteria contain phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), phosphatidylglycerol (PG) and cardiolipin (CL), their relative proportions vary tremendously. There have also been reports of asymmetry between the lipid composition of the inner and outer leaflets of Gram(-) bacteria, with PG preferentially localizing to the outer leaflet, phosphatidylinositol (PI) and PE to the inner leaflet, while CL is evenly distributed through both leaflets [59]. As illustrated in **Table 1**, the inner membranes of Gram(-) bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* has a different relative proportion in PG and PE compared to a Gram(+) bacterium such as *B. subtilis*. Even more striking, while both *B. subtilis*

and *Staphylococcus aureus* are Gram(+) bacteria, *S. aureus* has no PE, but only PG and CL [60] (Table 1).

Table 1: Average lipid composition of selected bacteria expressed in average weight % of total lipids. Values from Warschawski et al. [61] and Marcotte and Booth [60], and references therein.

Bacterium	PE	PG	CL	LPS	GL
<i>E. coli</i>					
inner	60	33	7	0	0
outer	61	13	1	25	0
<i>B. subtilis</i>	13	77	4	0	6
<i>S. aureus</i>	0	58	42	0	0

PE=phosphatidylethanolamine, PG=phosphatidylglycerol, CL=cardiolipin, LPS=lipopolysaccharides, GL=galactolipids.

Traditional NMR approaches to study AMPs' action mechanism

To assess the effect of AMPs on lipid ordering and determine their action mechanism on membrane specifically, model phospholipid membranes have been traditionally used in combination with ^{31}P - and ^2H solid-state (SS) NMR. The location of the phosphorus atom in the lipid headgroup provides information on perturbation of the bilayer surface by ^{31}P SS-NMR. In this review, we focus on ^2H SS-NMR which, used in combination with acyl-chain deuterated phospholipids, reveals effects in the hydrophobic portion of the bilayer. Generally, the lipid composition used aims at mimicking that of the natural bacterial membrane (Table 1). Mimicking is never perfect when trying to reproduce headgroup and acyl chain diversity, let alone the asymmetry in composition between inner and outer leaflets. The anionic character of the bacterial membrane is therefore usually insured by the use of negatively charged PG, which is mixed with zwitterionic PE or, more often zwitterionic phosphatidylcholine (PC), since perdeuterated PCs are commercially available and more affordable than PE or PG. The PG proportion is quite variable, and in some cases, especially for ^{31}P NMR experiments, pure PG membranes are used, such as dimyristoylPG (DMPG) with thanatin [62]. For example, a PC/PG molar ratio of 4:1 was used to study the interaction of aurein 1.2 with lipids [63], while a PC/PG

3:1 molar ratio was employed for the study of PGLa [64], magainin 2 and aurein 3.3 [65] in model membranes, and a PC/PG 2:1 ratio was used with fallaxidin 4.1a [66]. Saturated lipids are employed, but also unsaturated ones such as 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-*rac*-glycerol) (POPG), which with their low gel-to-fluid phase transition temperatures ensure membrane fluidity at room temperature, similar to natural bacterial membranes [67].

Different model membranes can be employed, such as multilamellar vesicles (MLVs), magnetically-oriented bicelles and mechanically-oriented bilayers deposited on glass plates. These membranes have been thoroughly reviewed [61] and show different pros and cons. Briefly, the multilayer nature of MLVs lacks biological relevance, but the local constraints provided by the vesicle size and curvature resemble that of a cell. Also, the high lipid concentration is an asset for NMR analysis of peptide-lipid interactions. The membrane insertion of fallaxidin 4.1a [66], and AMPs from frog skin such as aurein 1.2 [63,68], citropin 1.1 maculatin 1.1 and caerin 1.1 [68], were, for example, studied by ^2H SS-NMR with PC/PG MLVs. Given the orientational dependence of the quadrupolar splitting, as will be detailed below, oriented samples provide simplified spectra and facilitate the assessment of the quadrupolar splittings and insertion degree of AMPs. Bicelles are disk-shaped bilayers, typically made of DMPC and dihexanoylPC (DHPC); however up to 25 mol% DMPC can be replaced by DMPC to prepare bacterial-like oriented membranes, as reviewed by Marcotte and Auger [69]. Their planar surface offers morphology close to biological membranes. In some particular conditions (lipid composition, temperature range, hydration level, etc.) bicelles spontaneously orient in the magnetic field (B_0) with the bilayer normal perpendicular to B_0 . In other conditions, for example when DMPC/DHPC molar ratio (q) < 2 , small size bicelles leads to their fast tumbling in solution [61,70]. This size tunability is advantageous as it enables the use of bicelles

in solution NMR as well as other spectroscopic techniques such as circular dichroism (CD). Therefore the molecular structure of AMPs can be studied in a similar membrane environment with a variety of biophysical methods. For example, the structure of mastoparan X from wasp venom [71], and alamethicin from the fungus *Trichoderma virid* [72] were determined by ^1H NMR in $q=0.5$ bicelles. Bilayers can also be supported on glass plates that can be stacked in an appropriate NMR probe [61]. Their orientation with respect to B_0 direction can be varied, but a 0° orientation of the lipid normal provides maximum quadrupolar splitting and sensitivity to membrane perturbations, as will be shown below. Despite their low hydration level and tedious preparation, as reviewed elsewhere [61], mechanically aligned lipid bilayers have been combined to ^2H SS-NMR to study the interaction mechanism of AMPs, for example tachyplesin [73] or dermcidin [74] in POPE/POPG aligned bilayers.

Approaches to study AMPs interacting with membranes in whole cells

SS-NMR is a great tool to study molecular interactions, because it can focus on specific parts of a molecule, even within a system as complex as a whole microorganism. NMR offers various ways to focus on a specific chemical environment, for example filtering either rigid or dynamic ^{13}C -labeled molecules [75], or observing ^{31}P nuclei present in the lipid headgroups. But the most common way to do so is to isotopically enrich the molecule of interest [76]. Knowledge of bacterial metabolism is of great help and has allowed us to probe bacterial membrane order, by focusing on lipid acyl chains labeled with ^2H isotopes. Since the natural abundance of ^2H is 0.01% and that high ^2H -labelling of the bacterial lipids is reached (typically $\sim 70\%$) with the methodologies described below, the ^2H NMR spectrum of a complex cell sample will predominantly show the labeled acyl chains.

Unlike many other cells, bacteria provided with free fatty acids will ingest them during growth and incorporate most of them, without modification, to some of their lipid acyl chains. At the same time, the bacterium may also produce additional lipids, trying to maintain the natural diversity in its acyl chain distribution (chain length, number of unsaturations, etc.). In the case of Gram(-) bacteria (*E. coli* and *Vibrio splendidus* for example), we have noticed that when the bacteria are provided with saturated deuterated fatty acids (generally palmitic acid), the final balance is displaced in favor of saturated acyl chains. In other words, the metabolic adaptation, that usually maintains a particular balance in saturation/unsaturation [67], fails to some extent here when bacteria are exposed to a high level of saturated fatty acids. Thus, it is helpful to incorporate non-deuterated fatty acids in the growth media, generally oleic acid, to help compensate for this unbalance. An appropriate balance of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in the growth media also improves the viability of the cells during the NMR experiment. In some bacteria, such as *E. coli*, a 1:1 ratio of palmitic to oleic acid works well [77-79], whereas for others, such as *B. subtilis*, a lower level of oleic acid, or even none at all, is necessary [78,80] (see protocol section for more details).

Static ^2H SS-NMR provides spectra that reflect the membrane lipid order, and how it is affected under external perturbation, such as temperature change or the addition of AMPs. Initial attempts at ^2H NMR of bacteria dates back to 1972 with *Acholeplasma laidlawii* [81], but was greatly improved by the development of the solid echo technique [82], allowing to measure order parameters, transition temperatures, spectral moments, relaxation times, the effect of cholesterol etc. The method was extended to other intact bacteria such as *E. coli* [77,83,84], *Mycoplasma capricolum* [85], *Bacillus megaterium* [86], or even intact sea urchin sperm cells [87]. However it suffers one inconvenience: since the amount of ^2H in the sample is small, since ^2H SS-NMR is

not very sensitive, and since ^2H spectra are broad, each experiment lasts at least a couple of hours.

For some fragile samples, this leads to cell degradation over time, and thus we have recently come up with an accelerated version of this approach by performing the same experiment under magic-angle spinning (MAS). Similar information can therefore be obtained in 20 minutes, as illustrated in Figure 3 [79,80]. Issues regarding the detrimental aspect of MAS on living cells have been addressed. As seen by our and other groups' [88] viability assays, the centrifugal force under MAS does not seem to affect the integrity of the bacterial envelop, nor induce any leakage.

Deuterium is a quadrupole with a spin 1, resulting in a wide quadrupolar doublet for each nucleus. The splitting of this doublet, $\Delta\nu_Q$, is proportional to the motionless quadrupolar splitting ν_Q , reduced by the order parameter of the CD bond at this deuterium position, S_{CD} , described by equation 1. While for simple spectra, the linewidth of each resonance can be related to the dynamics of the corresponding spin, ^2H SS-NMR spectra (whether static or MAS) require a mathematical formalism to extract spectral “moments” that provide similar information. Spectral moments are normalized and weighted integrals of the spectrum, and they are also related to the order parameter through a factor a_n (equation 2). Their measurements can be simplified in the case of a spectrum obtained under MAS (equation 3, ω_r being the spinning rate and A_N being the area of the N^{th} sideband). First and second moments, M_1 and M_2 , reflect the average membrane order parameter and squared order parameter, respectively. An additional parameter, called Δ_2 , characterizes the *distribution* of order parameters (equation 4), and informs on membrane heterogeneity [89]. These parameters can be extracted and compared, at various temperatures, with or without AMP. It should be reminded that in biological samples low sensitivity can translate into high uncertainty on M_1 and M_2 values that propagates into a very high uncertainty

on Δ_2 values. Consequently, Δ_2 parameter should be used cautiously as a qualitative indication of heterogeneity increase or decrease rather than a quantitative measure of it.

$$\Delta v_Q = \frac{3}{4} v_Q S_{CD} \quad (1)$$

$$M_n = \frac{\int_0^\infty \omega^n f(\omega) d\omega}{\int_0^\infty f(\omega) d\omega} = a_n v_Q^n \langle S_{CD}^n \rangle \quad (2)$$

$$M_n = \omega_r^n \frac{\sum_{N=0}^\infty N^n A_N}{\sum_{N=0}^\infty A_N} \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{M_2}{1.35 M_1^2} - 1 \quad (4)$$

As expected, when large quantities of AMPs are introduced, membrane disruption translates into an important spectral moment reduction, which is what we see [77,80]. More surprising is the effect observed when small amounts of AMPs are added to the cells: depending on the peptide and bacteria, the membrane can either be slightly disordered (in most cases) or, unexpectedly, slightly more ordered (in the case of aurein with *B. subtilis*), indicating a different initial type of interaction between the peptide and the cell wall or membrane, and therefore probably a different mechanism [80].

Deuteration and viability assessment protocols

Wild-type and mutated bacterial strains (*E. coli* fatty acid auxotroph strains, such as L51 or LA8) have been used in the past [77,78,83] and in both cases are grown at 37°C under moderate shaking in LB (Luria broth) medium. Good knowledge of metabolic pathways can help to design precise specific ^2H labelling, and this review covers the successful attempts that have so far focussed mainly on simple acyl chain labelling methods. For deuteration of non-mutated strains,

the bacterial culture is supplemented with 0.2 mM deuterated palmitic acid (incorporated into 1 mM dodecylphosphocholine (DPC) micelles) and, when necessary, with 0.2 mM *non-deuterated* oleic acid. Cells are harvested in the mid-log growth phase, pelleted, rinsed three times with a final saline solution made of deuterium-depleted water, pelleted again and studied fresh by SS-NMR. Lipid fatty acid chain profile is determined by gas-phase chromatography combined with mass spectrometry, which allows for the quantification of deuterated fatty acids. For non-mutated strains *ca.* 70% of the saturated fatty acid chains are deuterated [79,80,84].

Cell viability after the NMR experiments can be assessed either by colony forming unit (CFU) assays [77] or by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assays [84]. Between 40% and 80% of the bacteria remain viable after several hours in the NMR spectrometer [77], and spinning the sample only lowers this amount by 10% [79,80]. For peptide treatment, the pellet is resuspended and the appropriate amount of peptide is added and incubated for 5 minutes. The sample is then pelleted again and studied fresh by SS-NMR. We need to emphasize that our SS-NMR experiments are performed on bacterial pellets, and hence the number and concentration of bacteria (and hence lipids) examined are several orders of magnitude greater than the cell amounts and concentrations employed in MIC assays. Thus, in the Marcotte lab the approach has been to treat a relatively large (compared to MIC assays) number of cells at the MIC concentration of AMP, while the Booth lab has taken the approach of controlling the AMP:bacteria dry weight (and hence AMP:lipid) ratio. To facilitate comparison between the two methods, an estimate of the AMP concentration is provided in Table 4 based on the approximate volume of the cell suspension used in the AMP treatment phase.

***In vivo* NMR study of aurein 1.2 and caerin 1.1**

Aurein 1.2 and caerin 1.1 are active peptides against bacteria, fungi and cancer cells [90,91]. They are cationic AMPs produced from the skin secretion of Australian tree frogs from the

Litoria genus [13,92]. As shown in **Table 2** aurein 1.2 and caerin 1.1 are respectively composed of 13 and 25 amino acid residues. The C-terminus of both peptides is amidated – a functional group that adds a positive charge and is important for their antimicrobial activity [93]. They also share a common Gly-Leu motif at the N-terminus. Glycines are known as “helix breakers” and often contribute to the conformational flexibility that is thought to participate to AMP function and selectivity [94]. While random coil in solution, these AMPs adopt an α -helical conformation in a membrane environment (**Figure 4**), as demonstrated by CD with model membranes and intact bacteria [93,95,96]. Contrarily to aurein 1.2, caerin 1.1 is composed of two helices separated by a flexible region initiated by a proline residue (Pro15) [14,91] which facilitates the orientation of hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions with respect to the membrane, important for the antimicrobial activity [93,97].

Table 2: Amino acid sequences of antimicrobial peptides and overall charge

AMP	Sequence	Charge at pH 7
Aurein 1.2	GLFDIISKIAESF-NH ₂	+1
Caerin 1.1	GLLSVLGSAKHVLPVVPVIAEHL-NH ₂	+1.3
MSI-78 (pexiganan)	GIGKFLKKAKKFGKAFVKILKK-NH ₂	+10
CA(1-8)M(1-10)	MKWKLFKKIGIGAVLKVLT-NH ₂	+6

Aurein 1.2 and caerin 1.1 are active against several Gram(-) bacteria, and more specifically towards Gram(+) [87]. Extensive work from the Separovic’s group on the action mechanism of these AMPs has elucidated their action towards model membranes [13,63,98]. Using several techniques, including atomic force microscopy (AFM) with model DMPC/DMPG membranes, they showed that caerin 1.1 could lyse red blood cells at very high concentrations (>250 μ g/ml[87]), but that aurein 1.2 was unable to make holes in membranes, even at concentrations

where cell lysis is observed [63]. ^{31}P and ^2H SS-NMR experiments showed that aurein 1.2 had a disordering effect on the top region of the lipid acyl chains, indicating that this peptide remains on the bilayer surface [63]. These studies suggest that aurein 1.2 acts via a carpet mechanism to provoke cell lysis. This group also showed that caerin 1.1 inserts into the lipid membrane via a toroidal pore mechanism. Indeed a combination of ^{31}P - and ^2H SS-NMR analyses showed that this AMP induced coexistence of gel, fluid and isotropic lipid phases in DMPC/DMPG bilayers, consistent with the formation of lipid domains of different acyl chain orders observed for highly curved regions of toroidal pores [98].

Recently, we have studied the interaction of aurein 1.2 and caerin 1.1 with intact *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* by ^2H SS-NMR, to better take into account the presence of all cell wall constituents, and thus refine the action mechanism of these AMPs [80]. To do so, the lipid acyl chains of non-mutated bacteria were deuterated using PA- d_{31} in the growth medium. Bacteria were first exposed to AMPs at their minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of about 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for *E. coli* and 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for *B. subtilis*, respectively, for both peptides. When looking at *E. coli*, the effects observed on intact cells were in agreement with the results published with model membranes. Indeed, spectra shown in **Figure 5** reveal that both peptides have a membrane disordering effect on *E. coli* membranes. This is further appreciable from the spectral moment analysis (**Table 3**), where the values of M_1 and M_2 decreased in the presence of the AMPs, especially aurein 1.2. Some membrane disruption was revealed by an increase in the isotropic component. These results agreed with the more abrupt destabilisation of aurein 1.2 through its carpet mechanism. As for caerin 1.1, its insertion into bilayers by formation of transmembrane pores has a less destructive effect on bacterial membranes.

Table 3. Spectral moment analysis of the MAS (10 kHz) ^2H NMR spectra of labelled *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*, without and with the presence of AMPs at different concentrations. Adapted from Laadhari et al. [80] with permission.

Sample	AMP concentration ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	M_1 (10^4 s^{-1})	M_2 (10^9 s^{-2})
<i>B. subtilis</i> ^a		9.2 (0.2) ^a	15 (2)
+ caerin 1.1	30 (~MIC)	9.4 (0.6)	15 (1)
	45	6.6 (0.3)	8 (1)
	60	4.0	3.3
+ aurein 1.2	30(~MIC)	12 (2)	23 (3)
	45	13 (3)	27 (4)
	60	3.8 (0.6)	3 (2)
<i>E. coli</i> ^a		3.2 (0.3)	1.6 (0.7)
+ caerin 1.1	100 (~MIC)	2.8 (0.3)	1.6 (0.6)
+ aurein 1.2	100 (~MIC)	2.5 (0.2)	1.4 (0.2)

^a Standard deviation based on four measurements.

While membrane dynamics was increased in all cases upon large addition of AMPs, we have observed a difference in bacterial behavior when AMPs were added in smaller quantities, around the MIC. In the case of Gram(+) bacterium *B. subtilis*, reduced membrane dynamics were induced by both AMPs at their MIC (**Figure 5** and **Table 3**) – an effect that was not observed on *E. coli*, when exposed at, or even below, the MIC. It is only when exposed to caerin 1.1 at higher concentrations, such as 45 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, that lower M_1 and M_2 values revealed lipid disordering and increased isotropic component indicated some membrane lysis. Our results thus suggest that there must be some different mechanism in *B. subtilis* vs. *E. coli*, for example an interaction with PGN components such as the negatively-charged wall teichoic and lipoteichoic acids, which are absent in *E. coli*, or a different pathway towards a different intracellular target. Without AMP, the isotropic peak comes from natural abundance D_2O (despite the use of ^2H -depleted water) or lipids, but it is small relative to the rest of the signal. With AMP, this isotropic signal is sometimes unaffected, and sometimes largely increased (**Figure 5**). Bear in mind that the central component does not contribute much to the spectral moments (equation 2), and the observation

of an isotropic phase induced by AMPs will be accompanied by an increase in membrane dynamics and a decrease in moment values.

The membrane disordering and disruption was enhanced at 60 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of caerin 1.1. As for aurein 1.2, the increased lipid disordering in *B. subtilis* membranes was only observed at 60 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of peptide (**Figure 6 and Table 3**). These results indicated that a higher concentration of aurein 1.2 is actually required to exert its action on the membrane. Aurein 1.2 is shorter and more cationic than caerin 1.1, and most likely to be retained by teichoic and lipoteichoic acids, thus reducing their local concentration on the lipid membrane, and limiting their interaction with negatively-charged lipids.

The similar structure of aurein 1.2 and caerin 1.1 determined in different membrane mimetics such as trifluoroethanol, large unilamellar vesicles or intact bacteria suggest a similar interaction with the membrane environment [93,95,96]. Indeed when studied by ^2H SS-NMR in oriented DMPC/DHPC bicelles and in less hydrated DMPC bilayers mechanically-aligned on glass plates, the same trends were observed in terms of lipid perturbation [13]. Therefore we can assume that the nature of the interaction of AMPs with lipids is the same, in models of different morphologies, different hydration and in cells.

As discussed before, and detailed in Wimley et al. [41], it is difficult to compare NMR and other biophysical experiments with biological assays such as MIC, typically carried out at 10^4 - 10^6 bacterial cells/mL. In *in vivo* NMR experiments, bacteria are pelleted and thus samples contain more cells than in biological assays, affecting the AMP-to-lipid ratio studied. Wimley et al. [41] estimate a bound peptide-to-lipid ratio of 100:1 for 5 μM peptide in a sample of 10^5 cells/mL, suggesting that AMPs must be interacting with other non-lipid components. Also, it only takes 10-15 minutes to AMPs to bind bacteria. Even if SS-NMR experiments were done using

two orders of magnitude higher in cell concentration, the peptide:lipid ratio will still be elevated. The lipid interaction that is measured is the same, and this is what SS-NMR probes.

***In vivo* NMR study of MSI-78 and CA(1-8)M(1-10)**

An alternate approach to employing natural AMP sequences such as aurein 1.2 and caerin 1.1, is to design AMP sequences *de novo*, or to modify natural sequences with the goal of improving properties such as potency, specificity and stability. Two of the best studied artificial sequences are MSI-78 and CAME (both reviewed, for example, in [16]). [17].—MSI-78, also known as pexiganan, is a 22-residue, highly positively charged (**Table 2**), synthetic analog of magainin 2, an AMP from the skin of *Xenopus laevis* [15]. It has been shown to have a broad spectrum of activity against fungi and both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria [15,99], while maintaining relatively low activity against red blood cells. MSI-78 has been thoroughly studied, in large part due to its initial promise as a topical therapeutic [15]. MSI-78 is unstructured in solution and takes on a helical structure in the presence of POPC lipid vesicles [100]. Solution NMR was used to solve its structure in zwitterionic DPC micelles. The peptide was shown to take on a dimeric antiparallel α -helical coiled structure, where the dimer is stabilized by a phenylalanine zipper [101].

A number of biophysical experiments, including SS-NMR, have been carried out to probe MSI-78's interactions with model lipids. ^{15}N SS-NMR of MSI-78 in mechanically-oriented POPC and 3:1 POPC/POPG bilayers suggested the peptide orients parallel to the bilayer, at least at the particular AMP:lipid molar ratio employed (3 mol%) [100]. Peptide orientation was looked at in more detail in a later study, and it was found that the peptide tilt angle depends on both the peptide-to-lipid ratio and the choice of lipids used in the model membranes [102]. Oriented peptide/lipid samples are generally thought to be less well hydrated than vesicles and so it is also beneficial to consider NMR studies of MSI-78 with vesicles. MSI-78 was shown to increase acyl

chain disorder in ^2H -NMR spectra of POPC- d_{31} vesicles and could permeabilize both 3:1 POPC/POPG vesicle membranes and the outer membrane of *E. coli* [100], in keeping with the suggestion that membrane permeabilization [103] may be the mechanism by which this AMP inhibits pathogens. A clue as to the mechanism for permeabilization comes from dynamic scanning calorimetry (DSC) data with MSI-78-POPE dispersions that show MSI-78 can induce positive curvature strain [100]. On the other hand, DSC studies carried out with intact *E. coli* showed that MSI-78 binds, destabilizes and inhibits *E. coli* ribosomes [32]. This finding brings up the possibility that MSI-78 acts against both membranes and ribosomes, or even that its main target is inside the bacteria and the membrane permeabilization observed is just coincident with MSI-78's penetration into the cells. Another non-lipidic cell component that affects MSI-78's ability to inhibit cell growth is the PGN layer. Preliminary work in our lab has shown that disrupting *E. coli* PGN prior to AMP treatment increases MSI-78's ability to inhibit cell growth – in contrast with CA(1-8)M(1-10), where PGN disruption protects bacteria from the AMP [49].

The CAME series of peptides encompass a number of different sequence variations, but are all based around hybrids of cecropin A from moths, and melittin A from bees [16,17]. Peptides in this group include CA(1-7)M(2-9), composed of an N-terminal region comprised of residues 1-7 from cecropin A and a C-terminal region of melittin A residues 2-9 [104], as well as CA(1-8)M(1-12) [105], CA(1-8)M(1-18) [104], BP100 [56], CAMEL0 [106] and more [107-109]. CAME peptides have shown promise in animal models of human disease, for instance in a sepsis model of resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection [110]. NMR and other biophysical experiments with model membranes have been used to try to pick apart the structure-function relationships of CAME peptides. For example, solution NMR was used to determine the high resolution structure of CA(1-8)M(1-12) in DPC micelles [111] and to examine the role of a central hinge (either proline or Gly-Ile-Gly) known to be important for function [109]. CA(1-

7)M(2-9) and CA(1-8)M(1-18) take on α -helical structures in the presence of model membranes and membrane interactions are highly dependent on the balance of zwitterionic and anionic lipids in the model membranes [104].

In **Figure 7A** is shown the static spectra of ^2H -membrane-enriched *E. coli* with and without the AMP MSI-78. Without MSI-78, there is a well-defined shoulder at ± 12 kHz arising from deuterons in the motionally-constrained region of the deuterated chains near the headgroup. The presence of 17% (by dry weight of the bacteria) MSI-78 causes a shift in the intensity from larger splittings to smaller splittings. This shift in ^2H -splitting intensity indicates decrease in average orientational order, i.e., even in the presence of the entire bacterium we detect an AMP-induced increase in lipid chain disorder. This effect on lipid order is qualitatively similar to what is seen in ^2H SS-NMR studies of AMPs interacting with model lipid bilayers, but in the presence of the bacterium, a much greater AMP:lipid ratio, about 100X, is required to see an effect on chain order, as compared to the same experiment in a model lipid system [77]. **Figure 7B** also shows ^2H -membrane-enriched *E. coli*, but the strain of *E. coli* employed, LA8, has been mutated so that it cannot synthesize or metabolize lipid acyl chains and hence may reduce the “background” ^2H signal. MSI-78’s effect on the two *E. coli* strains is very similar. Unlike *E. coli*, *B. subtilis* is a Gram(+) bacterium and thus has a very different cell envelope. However, in **Figure 7C** we see that it gives a very similar static ^2H SS-NMR spectrum to *E. coli*. The narrowness of the ^2H splittings in **Figure 7C** as compared to **Figure 5** most likely derives from the differences in the fatty acids the *B. subtilis* were grown on; in **Figure 5**, no unsaturated fatty acids were used [78] and in **Figure 7C** a small (compared to palmitic acid) amount of oleic acid was included [80]. This serves to illustrate how sensitive the ^2H NMR profile is to differences in growth conditions. When 30% of the AMP CA(1-8)M(1-10) is added to *B. subtilis*, we see a shift in the intensity from wider splittings to smaller splittings, similar to that seen for *E. coli*., indicating a decrease

in acyl chain order. It is interesting to note that although CA(1-8)M(1-10) has a substantially smaller positive charge than MSI-78, +6 as opposed to +10 (**Table 2**), there is a qualitatively similar effect on lipid chain order.

The AMP-induced change in the ^2H SS-NMR spectra can be quantified by calculating the first and second moments of the spectra (M_1 and M_2) (**Table 4**). The values are very dependent on where the cursors for the integration are set, and also depend on the details of sample preparation – i.e. depending on how the sample is prepared we often observe a highly ordered component in the spectra which we include in the calculation of M_1 and M_2 . Like caerin 1.1, MSI-78 can be seen to reduce both M_1 and M_2 in a concentration dependent manner. On the other hand, the measured M_1 and M_2 actually increase with the addition of CA(1-8)M(1-10), to *B. subtilis*, although the appearance of the spectra indicates a shift to smaller splittings. We believe this incongruence is due to the rigid (wide splitting) component in these *B. subtilis* spectra which contributes substantially to the M_1 and M_2 values and masks the AMP induced changes in the more central part of the spectra.

It is important to note that the AMP:lipid ratios in the MSI-78 and CA(1-8)M(1-10) experiments is much lower than would be present in MIC experiments – i.e. we expect this to be a sublethal dose of AMP. Equally important, we have shown that ~75% or more of the cells do remain viable, even after 6-8 hours in the spectrometer (see the protocol section).

Table 4: Spectral moment analysis from static ^2H SS-NMR spectra of ^2H -membrane-enriched bacteria [77,78][and unpublished results].

Cells	AMP (% of dry weight of bacteria)	Approximate AMP concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	M_1 (10^4 s^{-1})	M_2 (10^9 s^{-2})
<i>E. coli</i> LA8	none	-	4.6 ^a	3.7 ^a
<i>E. coli</i> LA8	10% MSI-78	~150 (25xMIC)	4.1	2.8
<i>E. coli</i> LA8	20% MSI-78	~300 (50xMIC)	3.6	2.4
<i>E. coli</i> LA8	30% MSI-78	~450 (70xMIC)	3.4 ^a	2.2 ^a
<i>E. coli</i> LA8	60% MSI-78	~900 (150xMIC)	2.8	1.5
<i>E. coli</i> JM109	none	-	10.0	1.9
<i>E. coli</i> JM109	17% MSI-78	~250 (10xMIC)	8.3	1.6
<i>B. subtilis</i>	none	-	6.5 ^b	8.4 ^b
<i>B. subtilis</i>	30% CA(1-8)M(1-10)		7.3 ^b	12.2 ^b

^aAverage of two measurements (all others are single experiments).

^bValues are dominated by a highly ordered component of the spectrum. Although M_1 and M_2 increase with AMP, inspection of the spectra show that, like the rest of the data in the table, there is a shift in intensity towards the centre of the spectrum with AMP.

Conclusion

^2H SS-NMR is a valuable method to investigate *in vivo* how AMPs - or other antimicrobial agents - act on the actual bacterial membrane. This is advantageous considering that AMP interactions can depend on model membrane composition [104]. Lipid perturbations induced through mechanisms such as the carpet model with aurein 1.2 or the toroidal pore with caerin 1.1 (Figure 1) can be revealed through spectral changes. Varying the AMP concentration can also allow help elucidate different events in the action of antibacterial agents, including loss of lipid

mobility (observed for example with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide detergent [84]) that can occur before an actual increase in membrane fluidity, and of course reveal membrane loss of integrity through micellization. The experimental design is easy to establish. Indeed deuteration of lipids in bacteria membranes can be easily carried out by supplementing the appropriate saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in the growth medium. Moreover, the NMR experiments are simple and robust. This methodology certainly enables ascertaining how much of the mechanism is lipid-related vs. other mechanisms, involving the cell wall or other proteins for example.

How does the effect of AMPs on the ^2H -NMR spectra of ^2H -labelled membranes in **intact bacteria** compare to the same results for ^2H -labelled **model** membranes? As might be expected, the answer depends on the AMP in question. One challenge in synthesizing the results of different kinds of AMP experiments is in quantifying how much AMP is required to see a particular effect. MIC measurements provide a concentration of AMP needed to see growth inhibition. However, MICs are measured at much more dilute cell densities than NMR experiments employ and so have a difference in molar AMP to lipid ratio of many orders of magnitude [41,77].

While the data presented in this review come from two different research groups and consequently use somewhat different protocols for preparing cells for NMR, the AMP treatments employed are generally in the 10s to 100s of $\mu\text{g/ml}$, i.e., around the MIC concentration or higher (**Tables 3 and 4**). One of the most notable results is that, compared to ^2H SS-NMR studies with model lipids, the AMP:lipid molar ratio needed to see an effect on the spectra of intact bacteria is on the order of 100 times greater [73]. There are at least two reasons why more peptide might be needed to see comparable effects on the membrane of intact bacteria compared to model lipid bilayers. One possibility is that most of the AMP reaches the lipid bilayer but, for some reason, is less able to disrupt bilayer chain order at low concentrations than is the case in model systems

where lower concentrations are sufficient to cause observable effects. Another possibility is that the AMP is only transiently in the membrane on its way to an intracellular target. A more likely possibility, though, is that much of the peptide does not reach the lipid membrane. It may be bound up in other components of the cell envelope, such as the LPS layer of Gram(-) bacteria, or the teichoic acid in Gram(+)'s PGN, as detailed by Hancock et al. [12]. Thus, ^2H SS-NMR of the membranes of intact bacteria emphasize the importance of AMP interactions with non-lipid components of bacteria in the bactericidal activity, considering how little the membrane is perturbed at the MIC for AMPs such as caerin 1.1 and MSI-78. This suggests that optimizing AMPs for clinical use should also take into consideration AMP interactions with non-lipid components.

While this review covers the study of AMPs interaction with living bacteria by ^2H SS-NMR, it is also the template of other studies, such as other molecules like detergents and pigments interacting with bacteria [84], other microorganisms such as microalgae for example [69] [75]. Other nuclei such as ^{31}P , ^{15}N , ^{13}C have also been exploited to characterize intact bacteria and investigate the action of antibiotics. For example, wall teichoic acids have been studied by ^{31}P solid-state NMR, and the PGN explored using through-bond and through-space ^{13}C correlation experiments [112] under hydrated conditions. Interactions with the PGN have been studied using ^{13}C - ^{15}N rotational-echo double resonance (REDOR) [113] of lyophilised labeled bacteria, as well as ^{13}C - ^{19}F and ^{15}N - ^{19}F REDOR to determine the structure of [^{19}F]-antibiotic-PGN complexes [114,115]. The ^2H SS-NMR results summarized here show that not only whole-cell but *in vivo* solid-state NMR is a valuable strategy, and one of the rare tools that can scrutinize molecules at an atomic level within a living organism. This is only the beginning of a new chapter in NMR.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (grant 326750-2013 to I.M., grant 312676 - 2011 to V.B.) and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (UMR 7099 to D.E.W.). M.L. is grateful to the Groupe de Recherche Axé sur la Structure des Protéines (GRASP), to Pharmaqam and to the Faculté des Sciences of the Université du Québec à Montréal for the award of scholarships.

Reference

- [1] L.M. Rossi, P. Rangasamy, J. Zhang, X.Q. Qiu, G.Y. Wu, Research advances in the development of peptide antibiotics, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 97 (2008) 1060-1070.
- [2] B. Spellberg, R. Guidos, D. Gilbert, J. Bradley, H.W. Boucher, W.M. Scheld, J.G. Bartlett, J.J. Edwards, The epidemic of antibiotic-resistant infections: a call to action for the medical community from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, 46 (2008) 155-164.
- [3] A.T. Yeung, S.L. Gellatly, R.E. Hancock, Multifunctional cationic host defence peptides and their clinical applications, *Cell Mol. Life Sci.*, 68 (2011) 2161-2276.
- [4] H.W. Boucher, G.H. Talbot, J.S. Bradley, J.E. Edwards, D. Gilbert, L.B. Rice, M. Scheld, B. Spellberg, J. Bartlett, Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, 48 (2009) 1-12.
- [5] K.E. Greber, M. Dawgul, Antimicrobial Peptides Under Clinical Trials, *Curr. Top Med. Chem.*, 17 (2017) 620-628.
- [6] F. Guilhelmelli, N. Vilela, P. Albuquerque, L.d.S. Derengowski, I. Silva-Pereira, C.M. Kyaw, Antibiotic development challenges: the various mechanisms of action of antimicrobial peptides and of bacterial resistance, *Front. Microbiol.*, 4 (2013) 353.
- [7] C.M. Morel, E. Mossialos, Stoking the antibiotic pipeline, *BMJ*, 340 (2010) c2115.
- [8] A.C. Rios, C.G. Moutinho, F.C. Pinto, F.S. Del Fiol, A. Jozala, M.V. Chaud, M.M. Vila, J.A. Teixeira, V.M. Balcão, Alternatives to overcoming bacterial resistances: State-of-the-art, *Microbiol. Res.*, 191 (2016) 51-80.
- [9] S. Al-Benna, Y. Shai, F. Jacobsen, L. Steinstraesser, Oncolytic Activities of Host Defense Peptides, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 12 (2011) 8027-8051.
- [10] D.W. Hoskin, A. Ramamoorthy, Studies on anticancer activities of antimicrobial peptides, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1778 (2008) 357-375.
- [11] C. Yates, S. Sharp, J. Jones, D. Topps, M. Coleman, R. Aneja, J. Jaynes, T. Turner, LHRH-conjugated lytic peptides directly target prostate cancer cells, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 81 (2011) 104-110.
- [12] R.E.W. Hancock, M.G. Scott, The role of antimicrobial peptides in animal defenses, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U S A*, 97 (2000) 8856-8861.

- [13] I. Marcotte, K.L. Wegener, Y.-H. Lam, B.C.S. Chia, M.R.R. de Planque, J.H. Bowie, M. Auger, F. Separovic, Interaction of antimicrobial peptides from Australian amphibians with lipid membranes, *Chem. Phys. Lipids*, 122 (2003) 107-120.
- [14] H. Wong, J.H. Bowie, J.A. Carver, The solution structure and activity of caerin 1.1, an antimicrobial peptide from the Australian green tree frog, *Litoria splendida*, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 247 (1997) 545-557.
- [15] L.M. Gottler, A. Ramamoorthy, Structure, membrane orientation, mechanism, and function of pexiganan — A highly potent antimicrobial peptide designed from magainin, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1788 (2009) 1680-1686.
- [16] H.G. Boman, D. Wade, I.A. Boman, B. Wahlin, R.B. Merrifield, Antibacterial and antimalarial properties of peptides that are cecropin-melittin hybrids, *FEBS Lett.*, 259 (1989) 103-106.
- [17] J.M. Ageitos, A. Sánchez-Pérez, P. Calo-Mata, T.G. Villa, Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs): Ancient compounds that represent novel weapons in the fight against bacteria, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, in press (2016).
- [18] B. Bechinger, E.S. Salnikov, The membrane interactions of antimicrobial peptides revealed by solid-state NMR spectroscopy, *Chem. Phys. Lipids*, 165 (2012) 282-301.
- [19] E.F. Haney, R.E.W. Hancock, Peptide design for antimicrobial and immunomodulatory applications, *Pept. Sci.*, 100 (2013) 572-583.
- [20] M. Zasloff, Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms, *Nature*, 415 (2002) 389-395.
- [21] K.A. Brogden, Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or metabolic inhibitors in bacteria?, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 3 (2005) 238-250.
- [22] L.T. Nguyen, E.F. Haney, H.J. Vogel, The expanding scope of antimicrobial peptide structures and their modes of action, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 29 (2011) 464-472.
- [23] W.C. Wimley, K. Hristova, Antimicrobial peptides: successes, challenges and unanswered questions, *J. Membr. Biol.*, 239 (2011) 27-34.
- [24] J.M. Freire, D. Gaspar, A.S. Veiga, M.A. Castanho, Shifting gear in antimicrobial and anticancer peptides biophysical studies: from vesicles to cells, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 21 (2015) 178-185.
- [25] M.L. Gee, M. Burton, A. Grevis-James, M.A. Hossain, S. McArthur, E.A. Palombo, J.D. Wade, A.H.A. Clayton, Imaging the action of antimicrobial peptides on living bacterial cells, *Sci. Rep.*, 3 (2013).
- [26] K. Hall, T.H. Lee, A.I. Mechler, M.J. Swann, M.I. Aguilar, Real-time measurement of membrane conformational states induced by antimicrobial peptides: balance between recovery and lysis, *Sci. Rep.*, 4 (2014) 5479.
- [27] G. Maisetta, A. Vitali, M.A. Scorciapino, A.C. Rinaldi, R. Petruzzelli, F.L. Brancatisano, S. Esin, A. Stringaro, M. Colone, C. Luzi, A. Bozzi, M. Campa, G. Batoni, pH-dependent disruption of *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and model membranes by the human antimicrobial peptides hepcidin 20 and 25, *FEBS J.*, 280 (2013) 2842-2854.
- [28] D.M. Bowdish, D.J. Davidson, D.P. Speert, R.E. Hancock, The human cationic peptide LL-37 induces activation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase and p38 kinase pathways in primary human monocytes, *J. Immunol.*, 172 (2004) 3758-3765.
- [29] M. Hemshekhar, V. Anaparti, N. Mookherjee, Functions of Cationic Host Defense Peptides in Immunity, *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*, 9 (2016).
- [30] H. Jenssen, P. Hamill, R.E.W. Hancock, Peptide Antimicrobial Agents, *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 19 (2006) 491-511.
- [31] D. Andreu, L. Rivas, Animal antimicrobial peptides: an overview, *Biopolymers*, 47 (1998) 415-433.

- [32] A.M. Brannan, W.A. Whelan, E. Cole, V. Booth, Differential scanning calorimetry of whole *Escherichia coli* treated with the antimicrobial peptide MSI-78 indicate a multi-hit mechanism with ribosomes as a novel target, *PeerJ* 3(2015) e1516.
- [33] H. Brotz, G. Bierbaum, K. Leopold, P.E. Reynolds, H.G. Sahl, The lantibiotic mersacidin inhibits peptidoglycan synthesis by targeting lipid II, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 42 (1998) 154-160.
- [34] J.F. Marcos, M. Gandia, Antimicrobial peptides: to membranes and beyond, *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.*, 4 (2009) 659-671.
- [35] C.B. Park, H.S. Kim, S.C. Kim, Mechanism of action of the antimicrobial peptide buforin II: buforin II kills microorganisms by penetrating the cell membrane and inhibiting cellular functions, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 244 (1998) 253-257.
- [36] N. Marín-Medina, D.A. Ramírez, S. Trier, C. Leidy, .Mechanical properties that influence antimicrobial peptide activity in lipid membranes, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 100 (2016).
- [37] M.H. Khatami, M. Bromberek, I. Saika-Voivod, V. Booth, Molecular dynamics simulations of histidine-containing cod antimicrobial peptide paralogs in self-assembled bilayers., *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1838 (2014) 2778-2787.
- [38] D. Sengupta, H. Leontiadou, A.E. Mark, S.J. Marrink, Toroidal pores formed by antimicrobial peptides show significant disorder, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1778 (2008) 2308-2317.
- [39] B. Bechinger, Insights into the mechanisms of action of host defence peptides from biophysical and structural investigations, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 17 (2011) 306-314.
- [40] J. He, A.J. Krauson, W.C. Wimley, Toward the de novo design of antimicrobial peptides: Lack of correlation between peptide permeabilization of lipid vesicles and antimicrobial, cytolytic, or cytotoxic activity in living cells, *Biopolymers*, 102 (2014) 1-6.
- [41] W.C. Wimley, Describing the mechanism of antimicrobial peptide action with the interfacial activity model, *Chem. Biol.*, 5 (2010) 905-917.
- [42] M. Wu, E. Maier, R. Benz, R.E. Hancock, Mechanism of interaction of different classes of cationic antimicrobial peptides with planar bilayers and with the cytoplasmic membrane of *Escherichia coli*, *Biochemistry*, 38 (1999) 7235-7242.
- [43] C.L. Friedrich, D. Moyles, T.J. Beveridge, R.E. Hancock, Antibacterial action of structurally diverse cationic peptides on gram-positive bacteria, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 44 (2000) 2086-2092.
- [44] C.L. Friedrich, A. Rozek, A. Patrzykat, R.E. Hancock, Structure and mechanism of action of an indolicidin peptide derivative with improved activity against gram-positive bacteria, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 276 (2001) 24015-24022.
- [45] J.R. Brender, A.J. McHenry, A. Ramamoorthy, Does cholesterol play a role in the bacterial selectivity of antimicrobial peptides?, *Front Immunol.*, 3 (2012) 195.
- [46] R.M. Epand, R.F. Epand, Lipid domains in bacterial membranes and the action of antimicrobial agents, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1788 (2009) 289-294.
- [47] R.M. Epand, R.F. Epand, Bacterial membrane lipids in the action of antimicrobial agents, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 17 (2011) 298-305.
- [48] M. Acreman, Does peptidoglycan sensitize or protect Gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis* from the bactericidal activity of antimicrobial peptides GAD-1 and GAD-2, in, Department of Biochemistry, Memorial University, 2016.
- [49] J. Collings, Minimal inhibitory concentrations of MSI-78 and CAME against lysozyme digested and undigested *Bacillus subtilis*, in, Department of Biochemistry, Memorial University, 2016.

- [50] N. Malanovic, K. Lohner, Gram-positive bacterial cell envelopes: The impact on the activity of antimicrobial peptides, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1858 (2016) 936-946.
- [51] R. Nygaard, J.A. Romaniuk, D.M. Rice, L. Cegelski, Spectral snapshots of bacterial cell-wall composition and the influence of antibiotics by whole-cell NMR, *Biophys. J.*, 108 (2015) 1380-1389.
- [52] G. Wang, B. Mishra, R.F. Epand, R.M. Epand, High-quality 3D structures shine light on antibacterial, anti-biofilm and antiviral activities of human cathelicidin LL-37 and its fragments, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1838 (2014) 2160-2172.
- [53] W. Vollmer, D. Blanot, M.A. De Pedro, Peptidoglycan structure and architecture, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 32 (2008) 149-167.
- [54] S. Brown, J.P. Santa Maria, S. Walker, Wall teichoic acids of Gram-positive bacteria, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 67 (2013) 10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155620.
- [55] K.L. Piers, R.E.W. Hancock, The interaction of a recombinant cecropin/melittin hybrid peptide with the outer membrane of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 12 (1994) 951-958.
- [56] M.C. Manzini, K.R. Perez, K.A. Riske, J.C. Bozelli Jr, T.L. Santos, M.A. da Silva, G.K.V. Saraiva, M.J. Politi, A.P. Valente, F.C.L. Almeida, H. Chaimovich, M.A. Rodrigues, M.P. Bemquerer, S. Schreier, I.M. Cuccovia, Peptide:lipid ratio and membrane surface charge determine the mechanism of action of the antimicrobial peptide BP100. Conformational and functional studies, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1838 (2014) 1985-1999.
- [57] J.T. Cheng, J.D. Hale, M. Elliott, R.E. Hancock, S.K. Straus, The importance of bacterial membrane composition in the structure and function of aurein 2.2 and selected variants, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1808 (2011) 622-633.
- [58] L. Soblosky, A. Ramamoorthy, Z. Chen, Membrane interaction of antimicrobial peptides using E. coli lipid extract as model bacterial cell membranes and SFG spectroscopy, *Chem. Phys. Lipids*, 187 (2015) 20-33.
- [59] D. Marquardt, B. Geier, G. Pabst, Asymmetric lipid membranes: towards more realistic model systems, *Membranes*, 5 (2015) 180-196.
- [60] I. Marcotte, V. Booth, ²H solid-state NMR study of peptide-membrane interactions in intact bacteria, in: F. Separovic, A. Naito (Eds.) *Advances in biological solid-state NMR: proteins and membrane-active peptides*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2014, pp. 459-475.
- [61] D.E. Warschawski, A.A. Arnold, M. Beaugrand, A. Gravel, É. Chartrand, I. Marcotte, Choosing membrane mimetics for NMR structural studies of transmembrane proteins, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1808 (2011) 1957-1974.
- [62] É. Robert, T. Lefèvre, M. Fillion, B. Martial, J. Dionne, M. Auger, Mimicking and Understanding the Agglutination Effect of the Antimicrobial Peptide Thanatin Using Model Phospholipid Vesicles, *Biochemistry*, 54 (2015) 3932-3941.
- [63] D.I. Fernandez, A.P. Le Brun, T.C. Whitwell, M.-A. Sani, M. James, F. Separovic, The antimicrobial peptide aurein 1.2 disrupts model membranes via the carpet mechanism, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 14 (2012) 15739-15751.
- [64] J. Misiewicz, S. Afonin, A.S. Ulrich, Control and role of pH in peptide-lipid interactions in oriented membrane samples, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1848 (2015) 833-841.
- [65] C. Kim, J. Spano, E.-K. Park, S. Wi, Evidence of pores and thinned lipid bilayers induced in oriented lipid membranes interacting with the antimicrobial peptides, magainin-2 and aurein-3.3, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1788 (2009) 1482-1496.
- [66] P.J. Sherman, R.J. Jackway, J.D. Gehman, S. Praporski, G.A. McCubbin, A. Mechler, L.L. Martin, F. Separovic, J.H. Bowie, Solution Structure and Membrane Interactions of the

- Antimicrobial Peptide Fallaxidin 4.1a: An NMR and QCM Study, *Biochemistry*, 48 (2009) 11892-11901.
- [67] S. Morein, A.-S. Andersson, L. Rilfors, G. Lindblom, Wild-type *Escherichia coli* cells regulate the membrane lipid composition in a Window between gel and non-lamellar structures, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 271 (1996) 6801-6809.
- [68] J.D. Gehman, F. Luc, K. Hall, T.-H. Lee, M.P. Boland, T.L. Pukala, J.H. Bowie, M.-I. Aguilar, F. Separovic, Effect of Antimicrobial Peptides from Australian Tree Frogs on Anionic Phospholipid Membranes, *Biochemistry*, 47 (2008) 8557-8565.
- [69] I. Marcotte, M. Auger, Bicycles as model membranes for solid- and solution-state NMR studies of membrane peptides and proteins, *Concepts Magn. Res.*, 24A (2005) 17-37.
- [70] U.H.N. Dürr, M. Gildenberg, A. Ramamoorthy, The Magic of Bicycles Lights Up Membrane Protein Structure, *Chem. Rev.*, (2012).
- [71] R.R. Vold, R.S. Prosser, A.J. Deese, Isotropic solutions of phospholipid bicycles: a new membrane mimetic for high-resolution NMR studies of polypeptides, *J. Biomol. NMR*, 9 (1997) 329-335.
- [72] J. Dittmer, L. Thøgersen, J. Underhaug, K. Bertelsen, T. Vosegaard, J.M. Pedersen, B. Schiøtt, E. Tajkhorshid, T. Skrydstrup, N.C. Nielsen, Incorporation of Antimicrobial Peptides into Membranes: A Combined Liquid-State NMR and Molecular Dynamics Study of Alamethicin in DMPC/DHPC Bicycles, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 113 (2009) 6928-6937.
- [73] T. Doherty, A.J. Waring, M. Hong, Peptide-lipid interactions of the beta-hairpin antimicrobial peptide tachyplesin and its linear derivatives from solid-state NMR, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1758 (2006) 1285-1291.
- [74] C. Song, C. Weichbrodt, E. Salnikov, M. Dynowski, B.O. Forsberg, B. Bechinger, C. Steinem, B.L. de Groot, U. Zachariae, K. Zeth, Crystal structure and functional mechanism of a human antimicrobial membrane channel, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 110 (2013) 4586-4591.
- [75] A.A. Arnold, B. Genard, F. Zito, R. Tremblay, D.E. Warschawski, I. Marcotte, Identification of lipid and saccharide constituents of whole microalgal cells by ^{13}C solid-state NMR, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1848 (2015) 369-377.
- [76] Xavier L. Warnet, Alexandre A. Arnold, I. Marcotte, Dror E. Warschawski, In-Cell Solid-State NMR: An Emerging Technique for the Study of Biological Membranes, *Biophys. J.*, 109 (2015) 2461-2466.
- [77] J. Pius, M.R. Morrow, V. Booth, ^2H solid-state NMR investigation of whole *Escherichia coli* interacting with antimicrobial peptide MSI-78, *Biochemistry*, 51 (2012) 118-125.
- [78] N.P. Santisteban, M.R. Morrow, V. Booth, Protocols for Studying the Interaction of MSI-78 with the Membranes of Whole Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria by NMR, in: P.R. Hansen (Ed.) *Methods Mol. Biol.*, vol. in press, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2017, pp. 217-230.
- [79] X.L. Warnet, M. Laadhari, A.A. Arnold, I. Marcotte, D.E. Warschawski, A ^2H magic-angle spinning solid-state NMR characterisation of lipid membranes in intact bacteria, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1858 (2016) 146-152.
- [80] M. Laadhari, A.A. Arnold, A.E. Gravel, F. Separovic, I. Marcotte, Interaction of the antimicrobial peptides caerin 1.1 and aurein 1.2 with intact bacteria by ^2H solid-state NMR, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1858 (2016) 2959-2964.
- [81] E. Oldfield, D. Chapman, W. Derbyshire, Lipid mobility in *Acholeplasma* membranes using deuterium magnetic resonance, *Chem. Phys. Lipids*, 9 (1972) 69-81.

- [82] G.W. Stockton, K.G. Johnson, K.W. Butler, A.P. Tulloch, Y. Boulanger, I.C.P. Smith, J.H. Davis, M. Bloom, Deuterium NMR study of lipid organization in *Acholeplasma laidlawii* membranes,, *Nature*, 269 (1977) 267-268.
- [83] J.H. Davis, C.P. Nichol, G. Weeks, M. Bloom, Study of the Cytoplasmic and Outer Membranes of *Escherichia coli* by Deuterium Magnetic Resonance, *Biochemistry*, 18 (1979) 2103-2112.
- [84] C. Tardy-Laporte, A.A. Arnold, B. Genard, R. Gastineau, M. Morancais, J.L. Mouget, R. Tremblay, I. Marcotte, A ²H solid-state NMR study of the effect of antimicrobial agents on intact *Escherichia coli* without mutating, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1828 (2013) 614-622.
- [85] T.-H. Huang, A.J. DeSiervo, Q.-X. Yang, Effect of cholesterol and lanosterol on the structure and dynamics of the cell membrane of *Mycoplasma capricolum* - Deuterium nuclear magnetic resonance study, *Biophys. J.*, 59 (1991) 691-701.
- [86] L. Rilfors, J. Hauksson, G. Lindblom, Regulation and phase equilibria of membrane lipids from *Bacillus megaterium* and *Acholeplasma laidlawii* strain A containing methyl-branched acyl chains, *Biochemistry*, 24 (1994) 6110-6120.
- [87] M. Garnier-Lhomme, A. Grelard, R.D. Byrne, C. Loudet, E.J. Dufourc, B. Larijani, Probing the dynamics of intact cells and nuclear envelope precursor membrane vesicles by deuterium solid state NMR spectroscopy, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1768 (2007) 2516-2527.
- [88] G. Zandomenighi, K. Ilg, M. Aebi, B.H. Meier, In-cell MAS NMR: physiological clues from living cells, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, (2012).
- [89] J.H. Davis, Deuterium magnetic resonance study of the gel and liquid crystalline phases of dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine, *Biophys. J.*, 27 (1979) 339-358.
- [90] T. Rozek, K.L. Wegener, J.H. Bowie, I.N. Olver, J.A. Carver, J.C. Wallace, M.J. Tyler, The antibiotic and anticancer active aurein peptides from the Australian Bell Frogs *Litoria aurea* and *Litoria raniformis*, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 267 (2000) 5330-5341.
- [91] K.L. Wegener, P.A. Wabnitz, J.A. Carver, J.H. Bowie, B.C.S. Chia, J.C. Wallace, M.J. Tyler, Host defence peptides from the skin glands of the Australian Blue Mountains tree frog *Litoria citropa*, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 265 (1999) 627-637.
- [92] D.I. Fernandez, J.D. Gehman, F. Separovic, Membrane interactions of antimicrobial peptides from Australian frogs, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1788 (2009) 1630-1638.
- [93] M.P. Boland, F. Separovic, Membrane interactions of antimicrobial peptides from Australian tree frogs, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1758 (2006) 1178-1183.
- [94] B. Bechinger, C. Aisenbrey, The polymorphic nature of membrane-active peptides from biophysical and structural investigations, *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.*, 13 (2012) 602-610.
- [95] M.A. Sani, S. Carne, S.A. Overall, A. Poulhazan, F. Separovic, One pathogen two stones: are Australian tree frog antimicrobial peptides synergistic against human pathogens?, *Eur. Biophys. J.*, in press (2017).
- [96] M.A. Apponyi, T.L. Pukala, C.S. Brinkworth, V.M. Maselli, J.H. Bowie, M.J. Tyler, G.W. Booker, J.C. Wallace, J.A. Carver, F. Separovic, Host-defence peptides of Australian anurans: structure, mechanism of action and evolutionary significance, *Peptides*, 25 (2004) 1035-1054.
- [97] T.L. Pukala, C.S. Brinkworth, J.A. Carver, J.H. Bowie, Investigating the importance of the flexible hinge in caerin 1.1: solution structures and activity of two synthetically modified caerin peptides, *Biochemistry*, 43 (2004) 937-944.
- [98] D.I. Fernandez, M.-A. Sani, A.J. Miles, B.A. Wallace, F. Separovic, Membrane defects enhance the interaction of antimicrobial peptides, aurein 1.2 versus caerin 1.1, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1828 (2013) 1863-1872.

- [99] R.K. Flamm, P.R. Rhomberg, D.J. Farrell, R.N. Jones, *In vitro* spectrum of pexiganan activity; bactericidal action and resistance selection tested against pathogens with elevated MIC values to topical agents, *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*, 86 (2016) 66-69.
- [100] A. Ramamoorthy, S. Thennarasu, D.K. Lee, A. Tan, L. Maloy, Solid-state NMR investigation of the membrane-disrupting mechanism of antimicrobial peptides MSI-78 and MSI-594 derived from magainin 2 and melittin, *Biophys. J.*, 91 (2006) 206-216.
- [101] F. Porcelli, B.A. Buck-Koehntop, S. Thennarasu, A. Ramamoorthy, G. Veglia, Structures of the dimeric and monomeric variants of magainin antimicrobial peptides (MSI-78 and MSI-594) in micelles and bilayers, determined by NMR spectroscopy, *Biochemistry*, 45 (2006) 5793-5799.
- [102] P. Yang, A. Ramamoorthy, Z. Chen, Membrane orientation of MSI-78 measured by sum frequency generation vibrational spectroscopy, *Langmuir*, 27 (2011) 7760-7767.
- [103] D.K. Lee, A. Bhunia, S.A. Kotler, A. Ramamoorthy, Detergent-type membrane fragmentation by MSI-78, MSI-367, MSI-594, and MSI-843 antimicrobial peptides and inhibition by cholesterol: a solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance study, *Biochemistry*, 54 (2015) 1897-1907.
- [104] F. Abrunhosa, S. Faria, P. Gomes, I. Tomaz, J.C. Pessoa, D. Andreu, M. Bastos, Interaction and lipid-induced conformation of two cecropin-melittin hybrid peptides depend on peptide and membrane composition, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 109 (2005) 17311-17319.
- [105] D. Oh, S.Y. Shin, S. Lee, J.H. Kang, S.D. Kim, P.D. Ryu, K.S. Hahm, Y. Kim, Role of the hinge region and the tryptophan residue in the synthetic antimicrobial peptides, cecropin A(1-8)-magainin 2(1-12) and its analogues, on their antibiotic activities and structures., *Biochemistry*, 39 (2000) 11855-11864.
- [106] P. Mathur, N.R. Jagannathan, V.S. Chauhan, Alpha,beta-dehydrophenylalanine containing cecropin-melittin hybrid peptides: conformation and activity, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 13 (2007) 253-262.
- [107] S. Ji, W. Li, L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, B. Cao, Cecropin A-melittin mutant with improved proteolytic stability and enhanced antimicrobial activity against bacteria and fungi associated with gastroenteritis *in vitro*, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 451 (2014) 650-655.
- [108] W.L. Maloy, U.P. Kari, Structure-activity studies on magainins and other host defense peptides, *Biopolymers*, 37 (1995) 105-122.
- [109] S.Y. Shin, J.H. Kang, K.S. Hahm, Structure-antibacterial, antitumor and hemolytic activity relationships of cecropin A-magainin 2 and cecropin A-melittin hybrid peptides, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 53 (1999) 82-90.
- [110] R. Lopez-Rojas, F. Docobo-Perez, M.E. Pachon-Ibanez, B.G. de la Torre, M. Fernandez-Reyes, C. March, J.A. Bengoechea, D. Andreu, L. Rivas, J. Pachon, Microbiol Infect Dis Efficacy of cecropin A-melittin peptides on a sepsis model of infection by pan-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Eur. J. Clin.*, (2011).
- [111] D. Oh, S.Y. Shin, J.H. Kang, K.S. Hahm, K.L. Kim, Y. Kim, NMR structural characterization of cecropin A(1-8) - magainin 2(1-12) and cecropin A (1-8) - melittin (1-12) hybrid peptides, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 53 (1999) 578-589.
- [112] T. Kern, S. Hediger, P. Müller, C.c. Giustini, B. Joris, C. Bougault, W. Vollmer, J.-P. Simorre, Toward the characterization of peptidoglycan structure and protein-peptidoglycan interactions by solid-state NMR spectroscopy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 130 (2008) 5618-5619.
- [113] L. Cegelski, S.J. Kim, A.W. Hing, D.R. Studelska, R.D. O'Connor, A.K. Mehta, J. Schaefer, Rotational-echo double resonance characterization of the effects of vancomycin on cell wall synthesis in *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Biochemistry*, 41 (2002) 13053-13058.

- [114] S.J. Kim, L. Cegelski, M. Preobrazhenskaya, J. Schaefer, Structures of *Staphylococcus aureus* cell-wall complexes with vancomycin, eremomycin, and chloroeremomycin derivatives by $^{13}\text{C}\{^{19}\text{F}\}$ and $^{15}\text{N}\{^{19}\text{F}\}$ rotational-echo double resonance, *Biochemistry*, 45 (2006) 5235-5250.
- [115] S.J. Kim, L. Cegelski, D. Stueber, M. Singh, E. Dietrich, K.S.E. Tanaka, T.R. Parr Jr, A.R. Far, J. Schaefer, Oritavancin exhibits dual mode of action to inhibit cell-wall biosynthesis in *Staphylococcus aureus*, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 377 (2008) 281-293.