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#### Abstract

The sample size is a significant feature of any empirical study in which the goal is to make inferences about a population from a sample. In order to generalize from a random sample and avoid sampling errors or biases, a random sample needs to be of adequate size. This study presents a summary of how to calculate the survey sample size in social research and information system research.
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## 1 Survey Sample Size

In order to generalize from a random sample and avoid sampling errors or biases, a random sample needs to be of adequate size. What is adequate depends on several issues which often confuse people doing surveys for the first time. This is because what is important here is not the proportion of the research population that gets sampled, but the absolute size of the sample selected relative to the complexity of the population, the aims of the researcher and the kinds of statistical manipulation that will be used in data analysis (Taherdoost, 2016). While the larger the sample the lesser the likelihood that findings will be biased does hold, diminishing returns can quickly set in when samples get over a specific size which need to be balanced against the researcher's resources (Gill et al., 2010).
To put it bluntly, larger sample sizes reduce sampling error but at a decreasing rate. Several statistical formulas are available for determining sample size. There are numerous approaches, incorporating a number of different formulas, for calculating the sample size for categorical data.
$n=\frac{p(100-p) z^{2}}{E^{2}}$
n is the required sample size
$P$ is the percentage occurrence of a state or condition $E$ is the percentage maximum error required
Z is the value corresponding to level of confidence required

There are two key factors to this formula (Bartlett et al., 2001). First, there are considerations relating to the estimation of the levels of precision and risk that the researcher is willing to accept:
E is the margin of error (the level of precision) or the risk the researcher is willing to accept (for example, the plus or minus figure reported in newspaper poll results). In the social research a 5\% margin of error is acceptable. So, for example, if in a survey on job satisfaction $40 \%$ of respondents indicated they were dissatisfied would lie between $35 \%$ and $45 \%$. The smaller the value of E the greater the sample size required as technically speaking sample error is inversely proportional to the square root of $n$, however, a large sample cannot guarantee precision (Bryman and Bell, 2003).
Z concern the level of confidence that the results revealed by the survey findings are accurate. What this means is the degree to which we can be sure the characteristics of the population have been accurately estimated by the sample survey. Z is the statistical value corresponding to level of confidence required. The key idea behind this is that if a population were to be sampled repeatedly the average value of a variable or question obtained would be equal to the true population value. In management research the typical levels of confidence used are 95 percent ( 0.05 : a Z value equal to 1.96 ) or 99 percent ( 0.01 : $\mathrm{Z}=2.57$ ). A 95 percent level of confidence implies that 95 out of 100 samples will have the true population value within the margin of error (E) specified.
The second key component of a sample size formula concerns the estimation of the variance or heterogeneity of the population (P). Management
researchers are commonly concerned with determining sample size for issues involving the estimation of population percentages or proportions (Zikmund, 2002). In the formula, the variance of a proportion or the percentage occurrence of how a particular question, for example, will be answered is $\mathrm{P}(100-\mathrm{P})$. Where, $\mathrm{P}=$ the percentage of a sample having a characteristic, for example, the $40 \%$ of the respondents who were dissatisfied with pay, and ( $100-\mathrm{P}$ ) is the percentage ( $60 \%$ ) who lack the characteristic or belief. The key issue is how to estimate the value of P before conducting the survey? Bartlett et al. (2001) suggest that researchers should use $50 \%$ as an estimate of P , as this will result in the maximization of variance and produce the maximum sample size (Bartlett et al., 2001).
The formula for determining sample size, of the population has virtually no effect on how well the sample is likely to describe the population and as Fowler (2002) argues, it is most unusual for it (the population fraction) to be an important consideration when deciding on sample size (Fowler, 2002).
Table 1 and 2 present sample size that would be necessary for given combinations of precision, confidence levels, and a population percentage or variability of $50 \%$ (the figure which many researchers suggest to maximize variance).

Table 1: Sample size based on Desired Accuracy with Confidence Level of $95 \%$

Source: (Gill et al., 2010)

|  | Variance of the population $\mathrm{P}=50 \%$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Confidence level=95\% Margin of error |  |  |
| Population Size | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 50 | 44 | 48 | 50 |
| 75 | 63 | 70 | 74 |
| 100 | 79 | 91 | 99 |
| 150 | 108 | 132 | 148 |
| 200 | 132 | 168 | 196 |
| 250 | 151 | 203 | 244 |
| 300 | 168 | 234 | 291 |
| 400 | 196 | 291 | 384 |
| 500 | 217 | 340 | 475 |
| 600 | 234 | 384 | 565 |
| 700 | 248 | 423 | 652 |
| 800 | 260 | 457 | 738 |
| 1000 | 278 | 516 | 906 |
| 1500 | 306 | 624 | 1297 |
| 2000 | 322 | 696 | 1655 |
| 3000 | 341 | 787 | 2286 |
| 5000 | 357 | 879 | 3288 |
| 10000 | 370 | 964 | 4899 |


|  $\begin{array}{c}\text { Variance of the population } \mathbf{P}=\mathbf{5 0 \%} \\ \text { Confidence level=95\% } \\ \text { Margin of error }\end{array}$   <br>  378   <br> 1023 6939   <br> 25000 381   <br> 50000 383   <br> 1045 8057   <br> 100000 384   <br> 250000 1063  $) 92462$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 384 | 1065 | 9423 |
| 1000000 | 384 | 1066 | 9513 |

Table 2: Sample size based on Desired Accuracy with Confidence Level of $99 \%$

Source: (Gill et al., 2010)

|  | Variance of the populationP=50\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Confidence level=99\% Margin of error |  |  |
| Population Size | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 50 | 46 | 49 | 50 |
| 75 | 67 | 72 | 75 |
| 100 | 87 | 95 | 99 |
| 150 | 122 | 139 | 149 |
| 200 | 154 | 180 | 198 |
| 250 | 181 | 220 | 246 |
| 300 | 206 | 258 | 295 |
| 400 | 249 | 328 | 391 |
| 500 | 285 | 393 | 485 |
| 600 | 314 | 452 | 579 |
| 700 | 340 | 507 | 672 |
| 800 | 362 | 557 | 763 |
| 1000 | 398 | 647 | 943 |
| 1500 | 459 | 825 | 1375 |
| 2000 | 497 | 957 | 1784 |
| 3000 | 541 | 1138 | 2539 |
| 5000 | 583 | 1342 | 3838 |
| 10000 | 620 | 1550 | 6228 |
| 25000 | 643 | 1709 | 9944 |
| 50000 | 652 | 1770 | 12413 |
| 100000 | 656 | 1802 | 14172 |
| 250000 | 659 | 1821 | 15489 |
| 500000 | 660 | 1828 | 15984 |
| 1000000 | 660 | 1831 | 16244 |

## 2 Conclusion

As mentioned, for sample size calculation, Table 1 and 2 or the provided formula can be used. The sample sizes reflect the number of obtained responses, and not necessarily the number of questionnaires distributed (this number is often
increased to compensate for non-response). However, in most social and management surveys, the response rates for postal and e-mailed surveys are very rarely $100 \%$ (Taherdoost, 2016). Probably the most common and time effective way to ensure minimum samples are met is to increase the sample size by up to $50 \%$ in the first distribution of the survey (Bartlett et al., 2001).
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