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Abstract

Ni-containing CO-dehydrogenases (CODHs) allow some microorganisms to couple ATP synthesis to CO oxidation, or to use either CO or CO\textsubscript{2} as a source of carbon. The recent detailed characterizations of some of them has evidenced a great diversity in terms of catalytic properties and resistance to O\textsubscript{2}. In an effort to increase the number of available CODHs, we have heterologously produced in Desulfovibrio fructosovorans, purified and characterized the two CooS-type CODHs (CooS\textsubscript{1} and CooS\textsubscript{2}) from the hyperthermophilic archaeon Thermococcus sp. AM4 (\textit{Tc}). We have also crystallized CooS\textsubscript{2}, which is coupled in vivo to a hydrogenase. CooS\textsubscript{1} and CooS\textsubscript{2} are homodimers, and harbour five metalloclusters: two NiFe\textsubscript{4}S\textsubscript{4} C clusters, two [4Fe4S] B clusters and one interfacial [4Fe4S] D cluster. We show that both are dependent on a maturase, CooC\textsubscript{1} or CooC\textsubscript{2}, which is interchangeable. The homologous protein CooC\textsubscript{3} does not allow Ni insertion in either CooS. The two CODHs from \textit{Tc} have similar properties: they can both oxidize and produce CO. The Michaelis constants (\textit{K}\textsubscript{m}) are in the microM range for CO and in the mM range (CODH 1) or above (CODH 2) for CO\textsubscript{2}. Product inhibition is observed only for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction, consistent with CO\textsubscript{2} binding being much weaker than CO binding. The two enzymes are rather O\textsubscript{2} sensitive (similarly to CODH II from Carboxydothermus hydrogenoformans), and react more slowly with O\textsubscript{2} than any other CODH for which these data are available.
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1. Introduction

Some representatives of the archaeal genus *Thermococcus* oxidize one-carbon compounds (carbon monoxide and/or formate) to gain energy for hydrogenogenic growth [1]. The genomes of these organisms all contain gene clusters coding for three modules: a CO or formate dehydrogenase (CODH or Fdh, respectively), a H₂-evolving proton translocating membrane-bound hydrogenase (MBH), and a Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter. The mechanism of energy conversion from formate through such a bioenergetic system has been described in the case of *Thermococcus onnurineus*. The coupling of formate oxidation and H₂ production leads to proton translocation across the membrane, which drives the establishment of a Na⁺ gradient involving a Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter; this gradient drives ATP synthesis through a Na⁺-ATP synthase [2,3]. Although not experimentally confirmed, the hypothesis that a similar mechanism occurs during CO-driven hydrogenogenic growth with CODH in place of Fdh is supported by the following observations: 1) the genomes of the *Thermococcus* species that grow on CO contain a three-module gene cluster coding for a CODH, a MBH and a Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter [1] and 2) the transfer of the trimodular cluster from *T. onnurineus* into *Pyrococcus furiosus* renders this organism capable of CO-driven hydrogenogenic growth [4]. In some cases, in the presence of elemental sulfur, CO or formate oxidation is coupled to the production of H₂S (sulfidogenic growth) but the genetic and biochemical bases of this bioenergetic process are unknown.

Hyperthermophilic *Thermococcus* sp. AM4 (TAM4), isolated from a deep sea hydrothermal vent [5], is capable of CO-driven hydrogenogenic and sulfidogenic growth, but does not grow on formate [1]. This organism has two genes encoding for CODH: TAM4_582 and TAM4_1067, which we rename herein cooS1 and cooS2, respectively.

The gene cooS1 is probably responsible for CO oxidation necessary for lithoheterotrophic growth on CO plus sulfur [6]. Indeed, cooS1 is part of a gene cluster (figure 1A) that is also found in *T. gammatolerans* EJ3, the phylogenetically closest Thermococcales species, which is only able to perform CO-driven sulfidogenic growth. This cluster contains three genes encoding for putative ferredoxins (TAM4_766, TAM4_648 and TAM4_719). TAM4_594, annotated as a FAD-dependent pyridine nucleotide-disulfide oxidoreductase on the KEGG website (https://www.genome.jp/kegg/), encodes for a putative flavin containing oxidoreductase of unknown function. TAM4_809, TAM4_575 and TAM4_768 are respectively annotated as hydrogenase 3 maturation protease, iron-molybdenum cofactor-binding protein, and malic acid transport protein. Whether this cluster is involved in CO-dependent sulfidogenic growth has not been investigated yet. A gene encoding a putative CODH accessory nickel-insertion protein CooC (TAM4_754) is located upstream of this cluster and oriented in the opposite direction (figure 1A). We rename this gene cooC1.
Figure 1: Organization of the gene clusters containing cooS1 and cooS2. A) Gene cluster containing cooS1 (TAM4_582). TAM4_594 (light blue) encodes for an annotated FAD-dependent pyridine nucleotide-disulfide oxidoreductase of unknown function which is the putative redox partner of CooS1. B) Gene cluster containing cooS2 (TAM4_1067). This cluster contains the bioenergetic three-module system composed of a CODH, a membrane-bound hydrogenase and a putative Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter. C) Gene cluster containing the third annotated cooC copy (cooC3). TAM4_1355, TAM4_1396 and TAM4_1337 encode, respectively, for a putative cobalt/zinc/cadmium cation efflux pump protein, a putative nickel responsive regulator NikR, and a putative secreted cellulase. The CODH encoding genes are in green, the CooC encoding genes are in red, the putative ferredoxin encoding genes are in yellow.

As expected for a Thermococcus species capable of a CO-driven hydrogenogenic growth, the genome of TAM4 contains a putative trimodular CODH-MBH-Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter gene cluster (figure 1B) [6]. The CODH encoding gene is cooS2. This cluster is homologous to that found in other Thermococcus species [7]. We rename cooC2 the gene TAM4_1059, which encodes for a putative accessory nickel-insertion protein CooC.

The genes cooS1 and cooS2 encode for Ni-containing CooS-type CODHs, whose archetypes are the CODH from Rhodospirillum rubrum (Rr) and CODH II from Carboxydothermus hydrogenoformans (Ch) [8]. These enzymes are intertwined homodimers containing five metalloclusters of three different types [9–11]. Each monomer contains a C cluster, which is the Ni-Fe-S containing active site where CO/CO₂ interconversion occurs. The C cluster is a [Ni-3Fe-4S] cubane connected through a bridging sulfide to a unique Fe ion. At the interface between the two monomers, at the surface of the protein, the D cluster, an iron-sulfur
cluster coordinated by two cysteines of each monomer, is the putative entry/exit point of electrons that are transferred from the C cluster to the enzyme redox partner, and vice versa. The nature of the D cluster is determined by the protein sequence at the N-terminus: it is a [4Fe-4S] cluster when the two coordinating cysteines are in a C-X₇-C motif, or a [2Fe-2S] cluster when the motif is C-X₂-C [12]. Last, two classical [4Fe-4S] clusters (called B clusters), buried in each monomer, probably mediate electron transfer between the D and C clusters (see also figure 2A for the overall structure of CODHs).

Under normal growth conditions, the insertion of Ni into the C clusters depends on the accessory ATPase CooC, but in some cases the lack of cooC can be alleviated by an excess of Ni in the growth medium [13–15]. Besides the two cooC copies found in each of the two CODH clusters, TAM4 contains a third cooC copy (which we rename cooC3) located in a different part of the genome (TAM4_1296). According to the KEGG website, this gene is probably part of a cluster whose expression is dependent on divalent cations. Indeed, upstream from TAM4_1296, three genes (TAM4_1355, TAM4_1396 and TAM4_1337) encode for a putative cobalt/zinc/cadmium cation efflux pump protein, a putative nickel responsive regulator NikR, and a putative secreted cellulase, respectively (figure 1C). We recently determined that the product of TAM4_1337 is indeed a cellulase, which loses its thermostability upon incubation with divalent cations such as Ni²⁺ and Co²⁺ (unpublished data). We therefore hypothesized that CooC3 is an alternative accessory protein likely superseding CooC1 and/or CooC2 in response to Ni excess or depletion.

In this paper, we present the first purification and characterization of the two CODHs from TAM4: Tc CODH 1 and Tc CODH 2. We investigate the effects of the co-expression of the maturases CooC1, CooC2 or CooC3 on the activity and metal content of the enzymes. We determine the structure of a not fully-mature form of CooS2, and we compare the catalytic properties of the two fully-mature CODHs: catalytic bias, Michaelis constants, product inhibition, and reactivity with O₂.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Strains

*E. coli* strain DH5α (F-, endA1, hsdR17(rK - mK +), supE44, thi-1, λ-, recA1, gyrA96, relA1, Δ(argF- lacZΔM15)U169, φ80lacZΔM15) was used as a host for the construction of recombinant plasmids. The cultures were routinely grown at 37 °C in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin or 20 μg/mL gentamicin when needed. *Desulfovibrio fructosovorans* (Df) strain MR400 (hyn::npt ΔhynABC) carrying a deletion in the [NiFe] hydrogenase operon [16] was grown anaerobically for 5 days at 37 °C in fructose/sulfate medium as previously described [17]. 50 μg/mL kanamycin was present routinely, and 20 μg/mL gentamicin was added only when cells harbored the expression vectors.

2.2 Plasmids construction for the production of *Tc* CODH 1 and 2 in *Desulfovibrio fructosovorans*

We designed plasmids to express *Tc* cooS1 or *Tc* cooS2 in the absence or presence of their corresponding cooC maturation gene. The expression is under the control of the strong
promoter of the \(Df\) [NiFe] hydrogenase operon by using the pBGF4 plasmid, a shuttle vector of the pBM family, carrying a gentamicin resistance gene as described in [18]. For PCR amplifications we used *Thermococcus* sp. AM4 genomic DNA, kindly provided by Dr Philippe Oger (Laboratoire Microbiologie, Adaptation et Pathogénie, UMR 5240, CNRS/INRA/Université Lyon 1, France).

For *Tc* CODH 1, *Tc* cooS1 (TAM4_582) was amplified by PCR using the primers pair 1 and 2 (see Supporting Table S5). The 5’ extremity of the PCR product (called PCR product 1) contains a RBS functional in *D. fructosovorans*, the start codon and the sequence encoding streptag-II. PCR product 1 was cloned into pBGF4 without ligase using the Hot Fusion polymerase as described in [19]. First, to add overlapping sequences with the vector to be joined at the 5’ and 3’ ends, PCR product 1 was used as a template for PCR amplification using the primers pair 3 and 4 (see supporting Table S5). The resulting PCR product 2 and AgeI/KpnI-digested pBGF4 were mixed with T5 exonuclease and Hot Fusion polymerase according to the protocol described in [19]. The resulting plasmid was called pBG_TcCooS1. *Tc* cooC1 (TAM4_754) was amplified by PCR using the primers pair 5 and 6 (Table S5). The 5’ extremity of the PCR product (called PCR product 3) contains a RBS functional in *D. fructosovorans* and the start codon. Given that attempts to clone PCR product 3 in pBG_TcCooS1 without ligase failed, we decided to clone it using the conventional method with restriction enzymes and ligase. PCR product 3 was then flanked by KpnI sites by PCR amplification using the primers pair 7 and 8 (Table S5). The resulting PCR product 4 was ligated into KpnI-digested pBG_TcCooS1 to yield pBG_TcCooSC1.

For *Tc* CODH 2, *Tc* cooS2 (TAM4_1067) was amplified by PCR using the primers pair 9 and 10 (Table S5). The 5’ extremity of the PCR product contains the Agel site, the RBS, the start codon and the sequence encoding streptag-II. This PCR product was cloned into the blunt pJET1.2 vector (PCR Cloning Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the resulting plasmid was used as a template for site directed mutagenesis to remove the Agel site within *Tc* cooS2 using the primers pair 11 and 12 (Table S5). For this purpose, a silent mutation G332G was introduced. The Agel-KpnI fragment of the mutated plasmid was cloned into Agel/KpnI-digested pBGF4 to yield the plasmid pBG_TcCooS2. *Tc* cooC2 (TAM4_1059) was amplified using the primers pair 13 and 14 (Table S5). The 5’ extremity of the PCR product contains a KpnI site, a RBS functional in *D. fructosovorans* and the start codon. It was ligated into KpnI-digested pBG_TcCooS2 to yield the plasmid pBG_TcCooSC2.

To assess the potential involvement of cooC3 in Ni insertion into CODH 1 and CODH 2, TAM4_1059 was cloned into pBG_TcCooS1 and pBG_TcCooS2. TAM4_1059 was amplified by PCR with the primers pair 15 and 16 (Table S5). The 5’ end of the PCR product contains a KpnI site, a RBS functional in *D. fructosovorans* and the start codon. This KpnI-digested PCR product was inserted into KpnI-digested pBG_TcCooS1 and pBG_TcCooS2 to yield pBG_TcCooS1C3 and pBG_TcCooS2C3.

To study the potential effect of cooC1 and cooC2 on Ni-insertion into CODH 1 and CODH 2, we cloned the KpnI-digested *Tc* cooC1 and *Tc* cooC2 PCR products described above in KpnI-digested pBG_TcCooS2 and pBG_TcCooS1, respectively. The resulting plasmids are called pBG_TcCooS2C1 and pBG_TcCooS1C2.

The recombinant plasmids were verified by sequencing and introduced into the *Df* strain.
MR 400 by electrotransformation. The proteins were purified as described in [18] except that cells were disrupted in the glovebox by sonication. The gels are available in SI figure 10.

2.3 Activity assays

We measured the CO oxidation and CO$_2$ reduction activity in a glove box (Jacomex, filled with N$_2$, O$_2$ < 4 ppm) using a Varian Cary 50 spectrophotometer with a probe of 1 cm optical length. The CO oxidation activity was monitored at 37 °C by following the reduction of methyl viologen (MV) over time at 604 nm ($\varepsilon = 13.6$ mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) in a plastic cuvette. Before recording the CO oxidation activity, Tc CODHs (+/- CooC) were diluted to a final concentration of 0.44 µM in 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8 (untreated enzyme). To assess the possible activation of the enzymes under reducing conditions in the presence of Ni or not, Tc CODHs (+/- CooC) were diluted to a final concentration of 0.44 µM in 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8 solution containing either 2.4 mM NiCl$_2$ and 1.2 mM sodium dithionite (NaDT) or 2.4 mM NiCl$_2$, 1.2 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) and 4 mM Na$_2$S. The untreated or treated enzymes were incubated for 1, 15, and 90 minutes or 24 hour before recording the CO oxidation activity. To start the reaction, 5 µL of the untreated or treated enzymes were injected in a magnetic-stirred 1 mL solution containing 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8), 2.4 mM MV, 10 µM NaDT and 25 µM CO (25 µL of a CO-saturated solution were injected just before the addition of the enzyme).

The CO$_2$ reduction activity was monitored at 25 °C by following the formation of carboxy-hemoglobin over time at 433 nm ($\varepsilon = 185$ mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). To start the reaction, 2.5 µg of untreated enzyme was injected in a magnetic-stirred 1 mL solution containing 0.1 M Tris/HCl (pH 8) or 0.1 M CHES (pH 6), 0.1 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaHCO$_3$ (CO$_2$ source), 0.25 mM MV, 2 mM NaDT and 0.2 mg hemoglobin.

2.4 Elemental analysis

We determined the nickel and iron contents of the protein samples (500 µL of 5-10 µM protein solution) by ICP optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) using an iCAP 6000 spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific).

2.5 Electrochemical experiments

Electroactive films of Tc CODHs were prepared by simply depositing 0.5 µL of enzyme stock solution (10 - 30 µM in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8) onto a rotating disc pyrolytic graphite edge electrode (RDE, 2.5 mm diameter), as described in [20]. All electrochemical experiments were performed in a standard three-electrode cell filled with 2 mL of the buffer solution of choice, with the RDE graphite electrode as working electrode, a platinum wire as counter electrode, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE, from Radiometer Analytical, France) as reference placed in a distinct compartment filled with 0.1 M NaCl. All electrode potentials are reported with respect to the SHE. The electrodes were connected to an Autolab PGSTAT128N potentiostat (Metrohm, The Netherlands), controlled through the software GPES, and the working electrode was also connected to an Autolab RDE 2 electrode rotator (Metrohm, The Netherlands). All experiments were carried out by rotating the working electrode at rotation rates of 4000-5000 rpm to avoid depletion of the substrate at the electrode surface, inside a Jacomex glove box filled with N$_2$ (O$_2$ < 4 ppm).
2.5.1 Catalytic bias

These experiments were performed in a pH 6 buffer solution containing 0.2 M MES and 0.1 M NaCl, through cyclic voltammetry at 20 mV/s, by submitting films of CODH to four consecutive injections of either 1 M carbonate solution or CO-saturated solution. We first injected 10 mM carbonate (to give 7 mM CO$_2$) at the cycle 0; when the CO$_2$ reduction current completely disappeared we injected 0.1 mM CO at the cycle $n$; then again 10 mM carbonate at the cycle $2n$, and finally again 0.1 mM CO at the cycle $3n$.

2.5.2 Determination of $K_m$ for CO

We have measured the $K_m$ values relative to the substrate CO by monitoring through chronoamperometry (at -0.31 V vs. SHE) the CO oxidation current following injections in the electrochemical cell of aliquots of CO-saturated buffer. Such experiments were performed at pH 7 in a mixed buffer solution containing 5 mM each of MES, sodium acetate, HEPES, TAPS and CHES, and 0.1 M NaCl. The CO-saturated solution was prepared by bubbling CO gas for about 40-45 min in a 16 mL gas-tight Hungate tube filled with 8 mL of the same pH 7 mixed buffer, so that the concentration of CO dissolved in the buffer was 1 mM. Different aliquots of the CO-saturated solution (between 50 and 200 µL) were injected in the electrochemical cell through a gas-tight syringe (SGE Analytical Science). The exchange of gas between the electrochemical cell and the atmosphere of the glove box results in an exponential decrease in the concentration of CO in the cell [21]. We have quantitatively modeled the electrochemical signal by taking into account mass-transport limitations, using the software QSoas [22] (http://qsoas.org) and the method described in [23].

2.5.3 Determination of $K_m$ for CO$_2$

These experiments were performed through chronoamperometry (at -0.66 V vs. SHE) in a pH 6 buffer solution containing 0.2 M MES and 0.1 M NaCl, through injections of different aliquots of carbonate solution using the following procedure: the electrochemical cell was filled with 1.6 mL of pH 6 buffer; other 0.4 mL of the same buffer were placed in a vial and mixed with an aliquot of 1 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ (between 1 and 20 µL); after 30 s from mixing the carbonate with the buffer in the vial, such solution was injected in the cell to have a final volume of 2 mL. In this way, the carbonate equilibrates in the pH 6 buffer before being added in the electrochemical cell, becoming 70% CO$_2$ and 30% HCO$_3$-, the major species in equilibrium at pH 6 since pKa(CO$_2$/HCO$_3$) = 6.4. Therefore, the solution injected in the cell contained CO$_2$ with a concentration equal to ~70% of the initial carbonate concentration. Also in this case, the exchange of gas between the cell and the atmosphere of the glove box results in an exponential decrease in the concentration of CO$_2$ in the cell, slower than the decrease of CO. We have quantitatively modeled the electrochemical signal assuming that all the CO$_2$ and hydrated CO$_2$ species stay in permanence at equilibrium, using the software QSoas [22] and Eq. (2), corresponding to the following command:

\[
\text{fit-arb im/(1+}km/\text{co2})+io /with=co2:1,exp
\]

For the fits, we have used \([\text{CO}_2]_0 = 70\% \) of the injected carbonate concentration.
2.5.4 Product inhibition

These experiments were performed in a pH 6 buffer solution containing 0.2 M MES and 0.1 M NaCl, through chronoamperometry at -0.31 V to study the inhibition of CO oxidation by CO₂, and at -0.66 V to study the inhibition of CO₂ reduction by CO. All experiments were carried out using a two-injection procedure, injecting first the substrate, and immediately after the inhibitor. For the inhibition of CO oxidation by CO₂, we injected 100 µL of CO-saturated buffer and, after 10 s, an aliquot of 1 M carbonate (up to 10 µL) to give 70% of CO₂ after a few seconds. For the inhibition of CO₂ reduction by CO, we injected 400 µL of buffer + 5 µL of 1 M carbonate (already mixed in a vial as described above) and, after 20 s, an aliquot of CO-saturated buffer (up to 50 µL). We have quantitatively modeled the electrochemical signal using the software QSoas and Eq. (3), corresponding to the following command:

\[
\text{fit-arb im/(1+km/co2*(1+co/ki))+io /with=co2:1,exp;co:1,exp}
\]

The fits were performed by imposing the values of \(K_m\) relative to CO₂, which were equal to 0.3 mM in the case of \(Tc\) CODH 1 (value of \(K_m\) previously found), and 17 mM for \(Tc\) CODH 2 (like in Figure 6).

2.5.5 Inhibition by O₂

To characterize the reactivity of the enzymes with O₂, we have used the method described in ref. [23]: the experiments were performed in a pH 7 mixed buffer through chronoamperometry at -0.31 V vs. SHE, by injecting in the cell six consecutive aliquots of 100 µL CO-saturated buffer. Injections 1, 3 and 5 were preceded by 20 s poise at -0.76 V, and an aliquot of O₂-saturated (or air-saturated) buffer was injected 10 s after injection 3 (see Supplementary Figure S7).

2.6 Crystal structure determination

2.6.1 Crystallization

Crystals of the Ni-free CooS2 were obtained by sitting-drop vapor diffusion under anoxic conditions in an atmosphere of 95% N₂/5% H₂ inside a glove box (model B; COY Laboratory Products, Inc.). The crystals were grown in a condition containing 0.1 M phosphate/citrate (pH 4.2) and 40% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 300 by mixing of equivalent volumes of reservoir with 15 mg/ml protein in 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8) with 2 mM Na-dithionite. The crystal was flash-cooled in liquid N₂ with 15% (v/v) 2R, 3R-butanediol as a cryo-protectant.

2.6.2 Data collection, structure determination and refinement

Diffraction data were collected at 100 K on beamline BL 14.1 (BESSY, Berlin, Germany) [24]. Diffraction data were integrated and scaled using XDSAPP [25]. A search model for molecular replacement was generated by Swiss-Model (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) using the structure of \(Ch\) CODH II with 48% sequence identity [14], as a homologous search structure (PDB ID 3B53). Initial phases were obtained from Patterson search techniques with AutoMR from Phenix [26]. Several cycles of automated model building and refinement were carried out with AutoBuild from Phenix. After iterative manual model building using Coot [27], further refinements were performed using phenix.refine from Phenix.
Since there was no experimental support for the presence of Ni or Fe at the Ni-binding site, we exclusively refined the C cluster of CooS2 as a Ni-free cluster with three chains in the asu. Data collection and refinement statistics of the reported structures are given in Table S1. Coordinate and structure factor amplitude have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession number 6T7J.

Channels were calculated using MOLEonline (version: Mole 2.5) [28]. All figures of structures in this work were prepared using UCSF Chimera version 1.12 [29].

3 Results

3.1 Nomenclature
In the first part of the results section, we have used the denomination “CooS1” to refer to the product of the gene cooS1 expressed in the absence of any maturase, and the denominations “CooS1+C1”, “CooS1+C2”, etc. to refer to the product of the gene cooS1 co-expressed with the maturase cooC1 (or cooC2). The same thing was done in the case of “CooS2”. In the second part of the results section, the proteins we have used were the result of the expression of either cooS1 or cooS2 co-expressed in the presence of their corresponding maturase. We have abbreviated the CooS1+C1, CooS2+C2 notations to simply CODH 1 and CODH 2.

3.2 Production and characterization of the two Tc CODHs
For each of the two structural genes encoding for CODH, cooS1 and cooS2, we have constructed 8 plasmids, for either expressing the protein alone (decorated with an affinity tag for purification), or co-expressing it with cooC1, cooC2 or cooC3. We have produced the corresponding proteins and purified them to homogeneity. We have determined their metal content using ICP-OES, and their CO oxidation rates in solution assays using oxidized methyl viologen as electron acceptor. The results are presented in table 1.
Table 1: CO oxidation activity at pH 8 (determined from solution assays) and metal content (determined by ICP-OES) for all the possible combinations of co-expression of cooS1 or cooS2 with the three maturases (8 constructions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme (number of analyzed preparations)</th>
<th>CO oxidation rate at pH 8 (μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Fe / monomer (expected = 10)</th>
<th>Ni / monomer (expected = 1)</th>
<th>Ni content / Fe content (expected = 0.1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tc CooS1 (n=4)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CooS1+C1 (n=4)</td>
<td>27 ± 3</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CooS1+C2 (n=2)</td>
<td>62 ± 1</td>
<td>8.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CooS1+C3 (n=1)</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CooS2 (n=5)</td>
<td>10.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CooS2+C2 (n=5)</td>
<td>210 ± 80</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CooS2+C1 (n=2)</td>
<td>262 ± 20</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CooS2+C3 (n=2)</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>9.7 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results show that both CooS1 and CooS2 require co-expression with either CooC1 or CooC2 to achieve optimal activity. CooC3 seems to have no effect on the activity of the expressed enzymes, whose activities and nickel contents are comparable with those observed after expression without maturase. While CooC1 and CooC2 seem interchangeable, it is remarkable that CooS1 is significantly more active when co-expressed with CooC2 than with CooC1. This is probably the result of a better Ni-incorporation into the active site of CooS1, which has 1.4 times more Ni when produced with CooC2 than with CooC1.

We have observed that the enzymes activate to some extent (up to a factor of 2) upon incubation with nickel under reducing conditions (see SI Table S1). However this activation is much less pronounced than in the case of Desulfovibrio vulgaris (Dv) CODH, for which activation factors of up to 20 could be measured between the as-prepared enzyme and the enzyme incubated with nickel and dithionite [18]. Therefore, in the case of Tc CODHs we have chosen to functionally characterize the as-prepared enzymes.
3.3 Crystal structure of Ni-free CooS2 (expressed without maturase)

3.3.1 Overall structure

We determined the crystal structure of CooS2 produced in the absence of any CooC maturation protein (which we refer to as just “CooS2”). The structure of CooS2 has been refined including reflections to a d_{min} of 2.34 Å with R_{work}/R_{free} of 20.6/25.6 (SI Table S2). The crystal had the orthorhombic space group P2_12_12 and contained three molecules in asymmetric unit (asu). The overall structure of CooS2 shows the typical CooS-type CODH architecture of a homodimer with five metal clusters (figure 2A). These clusters show a V-type arrangement with a [4Fe-4S] cluster (the D cluster) covalently linking the homodimer. All clusters are placed within distances expected to allow fast electron transfer (~ 11-12 Å) from the active site (C cluster) at the tip of the V. Each subunit has another [4Fe-4S]-cluster (B cluster) between the D cluster and the C cluster. A putative gas channel, formed by a group of conserved hydrophobic residues, leads to the Ni binding site in the C cluster. The overall structure can be superimposed on that of other CooS-type CODHs, e.g. Desulfovibrio vulgaris (Dv CODH), Carboxydotermus hydrogenoformans (Ch CODH II and IV), Rhodospirillum rubrum and Moorella thermoacetica, with rmsd values for C\alpha-atoms of less than 1.4 Å (SI Table S3). SI Figure S1 shows that the gas channels of CooS2 are similar to those observed in other CooS-type CODHs, especially in the vicinity of the C cluster.

3.3.2 Active site

Electron densities of the C cluster were carefully inspected and the structure of CooS2 refined with a complete C cluster including Ni, as well as without Ni. The following observations clearly indicate the absence of Ni in the C cluster: I) when we initially modelled a complete C cluster including the Ni at its binding site with similar occupancy (~80%) as the other atoms in the C cluster, we observed a strong negative F_o-F_c difference density on the Ni site (SI Figure S2). II) When scanning the Ni K-edge of the CooS2 crystal, no increase in X-ray fluorescence was observed, indicating that Ni is absent in the crystal (SI Figure S3). III) Anomalous scattering contributions in difference Fourier maps calculated from Bijvoet pairs of complete datasets collected at 1.732 Å (Fe K-edge) and 1.479 Å (Ni K-edge) clearly indicated the presence of Fe (both wavelengths), but not of Ni in any of the three copies in the asymmetric unit. In summary, there is either no or only an undetectably small amount of Ni in the crystal.

We therefore modelled and refined the active site with a Ni-free C cluster (figure 2B). Anomalous scattering contributions of the four Fe ions allowed placing of the C cluster in all chains as a Ni-free [3Fe-3S-μ_3S-Fe_1]-cluster, with the [3Fe-4S]-subcluster having an open site at the Ni-binding site. As in in the Ni-containing C cluster, Fe_1 is in exo position bridged by a μ_3-S ligand to the [3Fe-3S]-subcluster, but has no water/hydroxo ligand (figure 2B and SI Figure S4). The C cluster structures from the three molecules in the asymmetric unit are well superimposable (SI Figure S4-C). Cys522, the Ni-coordinating Cys residue, is in the Ni-coordinating conformation in chain B, whereas in chains A and C it was refined with two conformations (figure 2B and SI Figure S4).

The Ni-free C cluster of CooS2 is very similar to the C cluster of Ch CODH II (PDB ID: 3B53) [14] and Dv CODH (PDB ID: 6B6X) [12] with the only apparent difference being the missing Ni ion, while the remaining parts of the C cluster are almost unchanged (figure 3). The
Ni-free C cluster of CooS2 also resembles the [3Fe-4S]-Fe$_1$ site of the C cluster of CODHs produced without CooC (Dv CODH$^{\text{CooC}}$) or devoid of the D cluster (Dv CODH(ΔD)$^{\text{CooC}}$), neither of which can be activated by incubation with Ni [30].

Recently, we suggested that a tight packing of residues at the backside of the [3Fe-4S]-subcluster of the C cluster can contribute to O$_2$-resistance in Ch CODH IV, whereas the loose packing is typical of highly O$_2$-sensitive CODHs [15]. Residues M556, F322, I206, C304, K559 and S557 of CooS2 are in close contact to the backside of the C cluster (figure 3B), resembling more the tightly shielded backside of Ch CODH IV than the loosely packed Ch CODH II.

Figure 2: Crystal structure of CooS2. A) Overall dimer structure. One subunit is shown with light-blue helices and pink strands, while the other subunit is colored by domains: N-terminal in green, middle in cyan and C-terminal in blue. The B and C clusters from the same subunits are marked by a star. “N” and “C” indicate the N- and C-termini. B) Ni-free C cluster. Sigma-A weighted electron density maps are shown as: 2F$_o$-F$_c$ (gray surface at 1.4 rmsd), F$_o$-F$_c$ omit (green mesh at 6.0 rmsd) and Fe-anomalous difference map (red mesh at 4.5 rmsd). Atoms are color-coded: C in dark-green, N in blue, S in yellow and Fe in dark-red.
3.4 Dependence of activity on potential and catalytic bias

Electrochemical experiments were performed by adsorbing the enzyme as a film on the surface of a pyrolytic graphite edge electrode (PGE) [31], which ensures electronic connection between the electrode and the electron relay site of the enzyme (most probably the D cluster at the CODH surface). The electrode is rotated to speed up mass-transport of substrates towards the electrode. We used cyclic voltammetry, in which the electrode potential is cycled repeatedly between two values, to study the CO/CO₂ "catalytic bias" [32], that is the relative rate of the reaction when the enzyme is forced to either oxidize CO or reduce CO₂. In the experiments shown here, the current recorded is the "catalytic current", corresponding to electrons produced or consumed in the presence of the substrate (CO or CO₂) through the equation:

\[ \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

Positive currents correspond to CO oxidation, whereas negative currents correspond to CO₂ reduction. The current is proportional to the enzymatic activity in either direction, according to the equation:

\[ |i| = nF\Gamma \times k_{\text{cat}} \]

in which \( n = 2 \) is the number of electrons, \( F \) the Faraday constant, \( \Gamma \) the electrode area, \( \Gamma \) the surface concentration of electroactive enzymes and \( k_{\text{cat}} \) the turnover frequency.

We systematically used carbonate as the source of CO₂ in solution. The concentrations we
report are the dissolved CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations, taking into consideration the equilibrium between dissolved CO\textsubscript{2} and carbonate.

Figure 4 shows voltammograms of films of \textit{Tc} CODH 1 or \textit{Tc} CODH 2 obtained in the presence of either CO (0.1 mM, green curves) or CO\textsubscript{2} (7 mM, red curves). Panels A and C show the raw data, together with a background signal recorded in the absence of either substrate, and panels B and D show the background-subtracted voltammograms. The current magnitudes are significantly higher for CODH 2 (panels C-D) than for CODH 1 (panels A-B). However, since the quantity of electroactive enzymes on the electrodes is unknown and can possibly greatly vary from one film to another, or from one enzyme to another, it makes no sense to compare the absolute values of the currents. Nevertheless, the voltammetry gives reliable information on the relative rates of catalysis in either direction, as the voltammograms with CO alone on one hand and CO\textsubscript{2} alone on the other hand were recorded with the same film, so that we can compare the reduction and oxidation currents for each CODH. In both cases, the currents for CO oxidation and for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction are of the same magnitude, suggesting that, under these conditions, the reduction and oxidation activities are comparable. We determined the catalytic bias as the ratio of the oxidation current over the reduction current taken either 300 mV above or below the equilibrium potential. We note that, in the case of reversible enzymes, for which a small driving force is enough to generate significant current in either direction [32], comparing the values of the currents too close to the equilibrium potential necessarily yields to a bias close to 1. In contrast, examining the current values at an overpotential of ±300 mV, like we do here, ensures that the ratio represents the intrinsic catalytic bias of the enzyme.

To compare the electrochemical data with the results obtained in solution assays, we determined the activities for CO oxidation and CO\textsubscript{2} reduction at the same pH as in the electrochemical experiments (pH 6). All the results are gathered in table 2. Whereas on the electrode the two enzymes seem equally efficient for both the reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} and oxidation of CO, the activities measured in solution assays are very strongly biased towards CO oxidation.
Figure 4: Dependence of CO oxidation/CO$_2$ reduction activities as a function of the potential. (A-C) Original and (B-D) background subtracted CVs recorded with films of (A-B) Tc CODH 1 and (C-D) Tc CODH 2 submitted to injections of 7 mM CO$_2$ (red curves) and 0.1 mM CO (green curves). Black curves: background CVs in the absence of substrates. Conditions: T = 25 °C, pH 6 (200 mM MES), ω = 5000 rpm, scan rate = 20 mV/s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>CO oxidation current (µA)$^a$</th>
<th>CO$_2$ reduction current (µA)$^a$</th>
<th>Bias CO/CO$_2$</th>
<th>CO oxidation activity (µmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$)$^b$</th>
<th>CO$_2$ reduction activity (µmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$)$^b$</th>
<th>Bias CO/CO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tc CODH 1</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CODH 2</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>70 ± 10</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.05</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The current was measured at -0.16 V for CO oxidation and -0.76 V for CO$_2$ reduction (±0.3 V from the equilibrium potential of the couple CO/CO$_2$ at pH 6, which is -0.46 V vs. SHE).

$^b$ Activities in solution were measured at 37 °C, pH 6.

Table 2: Electrochemical currents and solution activities for the oxidation of CO and reduction of CO$_2$ at pH 6. The currents are background-subtracted, determined from panels B and D in figure 4.
3.5 Michaelis constants for CO and CO$_2$

Figure 5: Chronoamperometric measurements of the Michaelis constants relative to CO. The time is normalized by the characteristic time of departure of CO from solution (determined from the fits of Eq. (2)). A) Concentration of CO in the cell vs. time. B and C) Black lines: current observed with a film of (B) Tc CODH 1 and (C) Tc CODH 2 submitted to a single injection of 50 µM CO at t = 0 (the CO departure time constants are $\tau = 54$ s and 35 s for B and C, respectively). Experimental conditions: $T = 25 \, ^\circ C$, pH 7, electrode rotation rate $\omega = 5000$ rpm, $E = -0.31$ V vs. SHE. Red dashed lines: fit of the chronoamperograms using equation (9) (model (c)) in [23]. Parameters of the fits: B) $K_m = 0.3$ µM, nFA$\mu = 0.35$ µA/µM, nFA$m = 0.18$ µA/µM, $i_0 = -6.7$ nA, $[CO]_0 = 50$ µM, $\tau = 54$ s, and C) $K_m = 0.9$ µM, nFA$\mu = 3.37$ µA/µM, nFA$m = 0.21$ µA/µM, $i_0 = -1.2$ nA, $[CO]_0 = 50$ µM, $\tau = 35$ s.

For the determination of the Michaelis constants relative to CO and CO$_2$, $K_m$, we used chronoamperometry, in which a constant potential is applied to the electrode and the current is measured as a function of the time. In this way, we can study time-dependent processes, such as the response in current of the CODH film to a transient exposure to CO or CO$_2$. We have previously shown that the injection of a dissolved gas into an open electrochemical cell results in a near-instant increase in concentration followed by a perfectly mono-exponential decrease over time [21,33] (panel A in figure 5). This makes it possible to probe the response to a large range of substrate concentration in a single experiment, allowing the determination of even very small values of $K_m$ [15]. Figure 5 shows the response in current over time of films of CODH 1 and 2 poised at a constant potential after the injection of an aliquot of CO-saturated buffer. In both cases, the film responds by the instant appearance of a CO oxidation current, followed by a short-lived plateau, in which the current varies little in spite of the decrease in the concentration of CO, indicating that the enzymes are saturated with CO. In the case of CODH 1, the current even slowly increases further during the minute that follows the injection, reflecting
an increase in activity, probably an activation by CO. After the plateau, the current decreases in a sigmoidal fashion, ending in a perfect mono-exponential decay. This is exactly as observed in the case of other CODHs [15,23]. CODHs are in general so active for CO oxidation that the electrode rotation cannot prevent depletion of CO in the vicinity of the electrode: therefore, mass-transport limitations have to be taken into account. We did so by fitting the model described in ref. [23] to the chronoamperometric traces to determine the values of $K_m$ for CO oxidation: $0.26 \pm 0.03 \, \mu$M for $Tc$ CODH 1 ($n = 14$), and $0.9 \pm 0.3 \, \mu$M for $Tc$ CODH 2 ($n = 30$) at $T = 25^\circ C$, pH = 7, E = -0.31 V vs. SHE. The experiments were performed with films of variable coverage, and hence under varying conditions of mass-transport limitation, which decreases the influence of possible artifacts of mass-transport limitation. The other parameters of the model are $i_0$: an offset current when no substrate is present, $m$: the mass-transport coefficient, here determined as the product $nFAM$ (where $n$ is the number of electrons, $F$ the Faraday constant and $A$ the electrode area), $\mu = \Gamma \times k_{\text{eff}}$: the product of the enzymatic surface concentration by the catalytic efficiency (as in the case of $m$, determined as the product $nFA\mu$), $\tau$: the time constant of CO departure from the solution.

We used a similar strategy for determining the Michaelis constants for CO$_2$ reduction. We submitted films of the enzyme to injections of CO$_2$ (under the form of carbonate solutions, see the methods section) and monitored the resulting CO$_2$ reduction (negative) currents over time. The results are shown in figure 6. The observed behaviour depends on the enzyme. In the case of CODH 1 (figure 6B), after injection of CO$_2$ a slowly decreasing plateau is first observed, followed by a faster decrease, suggesting that the initial concentration is above the $K_m$ value. On the contrary, in the case of CODH 2, we always observed a pure mono-exponential decay of the current after injection of CO$_2$: in that case, the $K_m$ value is significantly larger than the initial CO$_2$ concentration. The catalytic efficiency of the CODHs for CO$_2$ is much smaller than for CO, so it was not necessary to take into account mass-transport limitation when fitting the data [23]. For both enzymes, we have therefore fitted the Michaelis-Menten equation to the data:

$$i(t) = \frac{i_m}{1 + \frac{K_m}{[CO_2](t)}} + i_0$$

in which $i(t)$ is the current, $i_m$ the maximum current (at saturation), $K_m$ the Michaelis constant, $i_0$ an offset current, and $[CO_2](t)$ the concentration of CO$_2$ (which decreases exponentially). In the case of CODH 1, we could determine a value of $K_m = 0.3 \pm 0.1 \, \text{mM}$. In the case of CODH 2, the value of $K_m$ could not be determined because we could not approach saturation even at $[CO_2] = 7 \, \text{mM}$. Indeed, we show in SI Figure S5 and Table S4 that the fits are equally good with any value of $K_m > 7 \, \text{mM}$. 
3.6 Product inhibition

We also used chronoamperometric experiments to search for any evidence of product inhibition, that is the inhibition of CO oxidation by CO$_2$ and the inhibition of CO$_2$ reduction by CO. Regarding the former, we used two-injection experiments such as those in SI Figure S6, in which one first injects a solution of CO, like in the experiments shown in figure 5, followed by a solution of carbonate. SI Figure S6 shows that, even at the highest concentrations of carbonate used (5 mM), its presence has no effect on the CO oxidation activity of either CODH $1$ or CODH $2$. We used the same strategy to study the impact of CO on CO$_2$ reduction by injecting CO$_2$ and, then, CO. Figure 7 shows the resulting chronoamperometric traces. For both CODH $1$ and $2$, the injection of CO results in an instant decrease in the CO$_2$ reduction current (the current becomes less negative), showing that CO is a strong inhibitor of CO$_2$ reduction. Depending on the enzyme (and thus on the magnitude of the inhibition), the activity can either keep on decreasing due to the overall decrease in CO$_2$ concentration (figure 7C), or transiently increase as CO is removed from the electrochemical buffer before decreasing again as CO$_2$ is removed too (figure 7B). In both cases, we could fit the following equation, corresponding to
competitive inhibition of CO₂ reduction by CO, to the experimental traces:

\[ i(t) = \frac{i_m}{1 + \frac{K_m}{[CO_2](t)} \times \left(1 + \frac{[CO](t)}{K_i}\right)} + i_0 \]

in which \(i_m\) is the maximum current (at saturation without inhibition), \(K_m\) the Michaelis constant relative to CO₂ reduction, \(K_i\) the inhibition constant, and \(i_0\) an offset current. The concentrations of CO and CO₂ are given by the following equations:

\[ [CO_2](t) = [CO_2]_0 \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_0}{\tau_{CO_2}}\right) \]
\[ [CO](t) = [CO]_0 \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_1}{\tau_{CO}}\right) \]

in which \([CO_2]_0\) and \([CO]_0\) are the injected concentrations, \(t_0\) and \(t_1\) the injection times, and \(\tau_{CO_2}\) and \(\tau_{CO}\) the departure time constants of CO₂ and CO, respectively. This equation proved valid for CO inhibition of H₂ oxidation by hydrogenase, see Fig. 54 and Eq. 58 in ref. [34]. The fits are shown as dashed lines in figure 7, and they convincingly reproduce the data. Analyzing several experiments with this model yielded the following values of the inhibition constant \(K_i = 0.39 \pm 0.07\) µM for CODH 1, and 14 ± 5 µM for CODH 2, at \(T = 25^\circ C, pH = 6, E = -0.66\) V vs. SHE. To avoid parameter underdetermination, we imposed the values of \(K_m\) based on the experiments of figure 6 for fitting the data. We found that the value of \(K_i\) determined from the fits depended on the experiment and on the actual value of \(K_m\) used for the determination; the uncertainty reflects both variations. It is interesting to note that the departure times determined from the fits are systematically larger for CO₂ than for CO (about two to three fold). This is expected for two reasons: first, because of the equilibrium between CO₂ and HCO₃⁻, only a fraction of the “total CO₂” species is available under the form of a dissolved gas, which slows down the exchange. Second, given that CO₂ is significantly more hydrophilic than CO, it is not surprising that the rate of departure of CO₂ itself from water is slower than that of CO.
3.7 Inhibition by O$_2$

We used the 6-injection procedure described in ref. [20] to characterize the inhibition of both enzymes by O$_2$. Briefly, the approach consists in subjecting a film of CODH to an injection of a CO-saturated solution, followed by an injection of O$_2$ to inhibit the enzyme. The activity is followed immediately after O$_2$ injection, and then probed again by a second injection of CO after all the O$_2$ has escaped from the solution. Finally, a third CO injection following a poise at low potential is used to assess the extent of reductive reactivations (the other three CO injections serve as controls, see SI Figure S7 and ref. [20] for more details). Figure 8 shows the resulting values for both CODH 1 and CODH 2. The red points are the residual activities just after O$_2$ exposure, the blue ones after O$_2$ departure, and the green ones after the reductive poise. Both CODHs reactivate significantly once O$_2$ has been removed from the solution. However, whereas a reductive poise reactivates CODH 1 (the green curve in panel A is offset with respect to the blue one), it has no effect on CODH 2 (compare the green and blue curves in panel B). For both
CODHs, longer and lower potential poises (up to 180 s and down to -0.76 V vs. SHE) make no difference (data not shown). We also determined the second-order rate constant of reaction between CODH and O\textsubscript{2} by fitting a mono-exponential decay to the fast decrease in activity following the injection of O\textsubscript{2}, as described in [20]. The resulting apparent first-order rates as a function of the injected O\textsubscript{2} concentration are shown in figure 9, and the deduced second-order rates are shown in table 3.

Figure 8: Effect of different O\textsubscript{2} concentrations on (A) T\textsubscript{c} CODH 1 and (B) T\textsubscript{c} CODH 2 studied by PFV. Red squares: activity remaining just after O\textsubscript{2} injection; blue circles: activity recovered after removal of O\textsubscript{2}; green diamond: activity recovered after a poise at low potential. Conditions: T = 25 °C, pH 7, \(\omega\) = 5000 rpm, E = -0.31 V vs. SHE.
Figure 9: Pseudo-first-order rate constants of inhibition of Tc CODH 1 (red squares) and Tc CODH 2 (blue circles) as a function of the injected O$_2$ concentration. The dashed lines are the linear fits to find the second-order rate constants (the results are shown in table 3). Conditions: $T = 25 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, pH 7, $\omega = 5000$ rpm, $E = -0.31$ V vs. SHE.

Table 3 summarizes all the results obtained with the different CODHs that we have characterized in this work and previously. For the sake of comparing the properties of the different CODHs, we introduce the following new measures of the oxygen sensitivity. For each of the conditions of the curves in figure 8 (e.g. just after exposure to O$_2$, after O$_2$ departure, and after reductive poise), we have determined the value of the injected concentration for which 50% of the initial activity is left. We call these quantities $K_{i}^{\text{imm}}$ (just after injection), $K_{i}^{\text{ox}}$ (after O$_2$ departure) and $K_{i}^{\text{red}}$ (after reductive poise). Their values are shown in table 3, along with the values of the second-order rate constant of reaction with O$_2$. The errors are estimated from the “width” of the crossing of the 50% activity line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODH</th>
<th>$K_{i}^{\text{imm}}$ (µM O$_2$)</th>
<th>$K_{i}^{\text{ox}}$ (µM O$_2$)</th>
<th>$K_{i}^{\text{red}}$ (µM O$_2$)</th>
<th>Bimolecular rate constant (s$^{-1}$ µM$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tc CODH 1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
<td>(this work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc CODH 2</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>(this work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dv CODH</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>&gt; 20</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch CODH II</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch CODH IV</td>
<td>(ND)</td>
<td>10 ± 5</td>
<td>10 ± 5</td>
<td>(ND)</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Summary of the inhibition of different CODHs by O$_2$. The first three columns represent the quantity of O$_2$ necessary to lose over 50% of the activity just after the injection (first column), after O$_2$ departure (second column) or after a reductive poise (third column), called respectively $K_{i}^{\text{imm}}$, $K_{i}^{\text{ox}}$ and $K_{i}^{\text{red}}$. The fourth column lists the bimolecular rate constant of reaction with O$_2$. Conditions: $T = 25 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, pH 7, $\omega = 5000$ rpm, $E = -0.31$ V vs. SHE.
4. Discussion

Using *D. fructosovorans* as a host for production, we have purified and characterized for the first time the two CODHs from the archaeon *Thermococcus sp.* AM4, which are the first isolated CooS-type archaeal CODHs characterized so far. Our initial attempts to produce the two enzymes in *Escherichia coli* failed [35], but we succeeded in using *D. fructosovorans* as a host and the same expression and purification strategies as previously described for the production of *D. vulgaris* CODH. This shows that *D. fructosovorans* is a good system for the expression of recombinant CODHs, and it also raises the question of why only *Ch* CODHs could be successfully overexpressed in *E. coli* so far.

As previously shown for *Dv* CODH, the Ni content of *Tc* CODH 1 and 2 preparations dramatically depends on the presence of the corresponding CooC protein. This definitely settles CooC as an accessory protein essential for Ni insertion into the CODH active site. CooC is a dimer which is believed to coordinate Ni at the interface of the two monomers. Two conserved cysteines from each monomer are involved in Ni coordination [36]. That the CODH produced in the absence of CooC cannot be activated by exogenous Ni shows that CooC is not just involved in the delivery of the metal, but also in the preparation of the active site for receiving the nickel [37]. This is consistent with our previous investigation of *Dv* CODH [18,30]. Our data show that the CODHs matured in the presence of either CooC1 or CooC2, which share ~ 40% identity (SI Figure S9), have similar values of activity and nickel content. This demonstrates for the first time that two distinct CooC from a single organism are functionally exchangeable.

However, co-expressing either CooS1 or CooS2 with CooC3 did not yield any significant increase in the nickel loading nor in the activity of the enzymes with respect to the expression in the absence of CooC. This could be the result of a lack of expression of CooC3 with the constructions we have used, but it might also mean that CooC3 is in fact not a CODH maturation factor. It may be rather involved in the maturation of a yet unknown nickel protein, or even in divalent cations trafficking.

We have used a number of electrochemical methods previously developed in our lab to compare the catalytic properties of *Tc* CODH 1 and 2. Based on the values of the Michaelis constants for their substrates, the physiological direction of the reaction seems to be the oxidation of CO, since the Michaelis constants are in the (sub)micromolar range for CO, but in the millimolar range (or more) for CO₂. However, it should be emphasized that at high CO₂ concentrations (7 mM, Figure 4) the currents for the reduction of CO₂ are comparable to those for the oxidation of CO. This suggests that the limiting currents under saturating conditions are at least as high for CO₂ (for which the enzymes are not fully saturated in figure 4) as for CO (for which the enzymes are saturated in the voltammograms of figure 4). This raises the question of the origin of the large difference in *Kₘ* between the two substrates: if they can be eventually processed at comparable rates, does the difference lie in the access channel or in the ultimate binding step?

As observed in the literature with other CODHs [38,39], solution assays of *Tc* CODH 1 and 2 show much slower CO₂ reduction than CO oxidation. We argue here, however, that these numbers are misleading since the voltammograms of figure 4 show that, when immobilized on electrodes, the two CODHs are equally fast at reducing CO₂ as at oxidizing CO. This is not a property of *Tc* CODHs, since it has also been observed for the CODHs of *Ch* [40,41] and *Dv* [42]. We think that the electrochemical data reflect the intrinsic properties of the CODHs, since it
would be unlikely that the interaction of the CODHs with the electrode either selectively speed up the CO\(_2\) reduction or selectively slow down the CO oxidation. For the sake of the comparison with literature data, we have used the commonly used method for measuring the CO\(_2\) reduction activity of CODHs. However, from our data, we propose that the “traditional” solution assays for the reduction of CO\(_2\) are not optimal. This may be due to a number of factors: the solution assays use methyl viologen as electron donor, whose reduction potential is higher than that of the CO\(_2\)/CO couple and provides low driving force for CO\(_2\) reduction. Moreover, it is possible that the concentration of reduced methyl viologen used is lower than the corresponding \(K_m\). Finally, the assays rely on myoglobin for the detection of the produced CO, which might not trap all the produced CO molecules, resulting in the activity being underestimated. It may be possible to improve the CO\(_2\) reduction assay by using other reductants such as titanium citrate and using gas chromatography for the detection of the product.

As was observed before for \(Ch\) CODHs [43], CO\(_2\) does not inhibit the oxidation of CO, while CO acts as a strong inhibitor of CO\(_2\) reduction. This mirrors the relative affinities of the CODHs for their substrates: very high affinities (\(K_m\)) in the micromolar range or below) for CO oxidation, and very low affinities (\(K_m\)) in the millimolar range or above) for CO\(_2\) reduction. In the case of a simple one substrate/one product model of bidirectional catalysis, one expects the \(K_m\) value in one direction of the reaction to be the \(K_i\) value for the inhibition of the other direction, which is qualitatively compatible with the conclusions from our data. However, the agreement is not quantitative: deviations could be explained by the fact that, in addition to the changes in concentrations of substrate/product (accounted for by the simple model), the CO oxidation and CO\(_2\) reduction experiments differ by the electrode potential. Further work is required to better understand the transport and the binding of the substrates.

Both \(Tc\) CODHs are rather sensitive to O\(_2\) (CODH 1 slightly less than CODH 2) and, in terms of residual activity after exposure, behave like the previously characterized \(Ch\) CODH II (table 3) [20]. However, their bimolecular rate constants of reaction with O\(_2\) are markedly lower, especially for CODH 1 which reacts with O\(_2\) one hundred times more slowly than \(Ch\) CODH II. The lower bimolecular rate constant of CODH 1 is consistent with the fact that this enzyme keeps a larger amount of activity just after the injection of O\(_2\). However, the activity kept just after injection of O\(_2\) by CODH 1 is comparable to that of Dv CODH, in spite of a 40-fold increase in the bimolecular rate constant, showing that the latter is not the single determinant of the oxygen sensitivity, even when only considering the immediate response. It is remarkable that the amino acids that line at the back of the C cluster in the structure of \(Tc\) CODH 2 are closely packed, as was observed for \(Ch\) CODH IV, and in contrast with \(Ch\) CODH II. The second-order rate constant for the reaction of \(Ch\) CODH IV with O\(_2\) could not be determined, but this enzyme is very resistant to O\(_2\). We speculate here that the resistance of \(Ch\) CODH IV and the low bimolecular rate constants for the reaction between O\(_2\) and both \(Tc\) CODHs may originate from the close packing of the residues that surround the C cluster (these residues are conserved in \(Tc\) CODH 1 and 2, see SI Figure S8). Nevertheless, the low bimolecular rate constant of reaction with O\(_2\) does not confer high resistance towards O\(_2\). This suggests that the initial rate of reaction of O\(_2\) with the active site plays little role in the activity remaining after O\(_2\) departure, which is probably more a result of the reactivation kinetics.
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