Systematic investigation of biomass and lipid productivity by microalgae in photobioreactors for biodiesel application
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A B S T R A C T

We describe a methodology to investigate the potential of given microalgal species for biodiesel production by characterizing their productivity in terms of both biomass and lipids. A multi-step approach was used: determination of biological needs for macronutrients (nitrate, phosphate and sulphate), determination of maximum biomass productivity (the “light-limited” regime), scaling-up of biomass production in photobioreactors, including a theoretical framework to predict corresponding productivities, and investigation of how nitrate starvation protocol affects cell biochemical composition and triggers triacylglycerol (TAG) accumulation. The methodology was applied to two freshwater strains, Chlorella vulgaris and Neochloris oleoabundans, and one seawater diatom strain, Cylindrotheca closterium. The highest total lipid content was achieved with N. oleoabundans (25–37% of DW), while the highest TAG content was found in C. vulgaris (11–14% of DW). These two species showed similar TAG productivities.

1. Introduction

Microalgae display high areal productivity, and some of them are able to accumulate significant amounts of lipids. They are therefore seen as promising candidates for the industrial production of biodiesel. To expand this novel feedstock, research and development is needed in several domains, from the selection of suitable strains to the optimization of the different steps required for mass scale operation (biomass production, harvesting, lipid extraction).

Quantity, quality and productivity of lipid are obviously of primary relevance. They depend not only on the strains, but also on culture conditions; for example, it is well known that nitrate starvation can trigger lipid accumulation, especially triacylglycerols (TAGs) suitable for biodiesel production (Chisti, 2007; Hu et al., 2008; Rodolfi et al., 2009). Finding the best species implies screening a broad biodiversity of microalgae and characterizing their productivity. This task is less straightforward than it may seem. Optimization involves controlling a range of parameters affecting productivity. For photosynthetic organisms such as microalgae, this requires especially controlling the light supply, the main variable governing growth. This was recently studied in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii in the general case of maximum biomass productivity achievement (Takache et al., 2010) and also in the specific case of hydrogen production (Degrenne et al., 2010; Fouchard et al., 2008). For both biomass and hydrogen, well-defined conditions of a lab-scale photobioreactor (PBR) enabled the influence of culture parameters on productivities to be successfully studied.

We conducted analogous experiments in lab-scale PBRs to investigate lipid production by Neochloris oleoabundans, a species known for its ability to accumulate lipids, and especially TAG (Tornabene et al., 1983). Lipid productivities were quantified in conditions maximizing biomass productivity, and also under nitrogen starvation, which triggers lipid accumulation. Similar productivities were observed for TAG irrespective of the protocol tested. Although nitrate starvation was necessary to induce TAG accumulation (18% of dry weight), TAG productivity was sustained when operating at maximum biomass productivity, because of natural TAG content in N. oleoabundans as obtained in continuous mode in light-limited condition (3% of dry weight). These results emphasize the difficulty quantifying the utility of a strain for lipid production because of the close dependence on culture conditions and the production strategies applied.

This work is extended here by applying the procedure to Chlorella vulgaris, a freshwater species also known for lipid accumulation (Rodolfi et al., 2009; Scragg et al., 2002), and because its mass production at industrial scale has already been demonstrated (Doucha and Livansky, 2006; Richmond, 2004). Nitrogen starvation effects were characterized by investigating changes in the biochemical composition of N. oleoabundans and C. vulgaris strains. N. oleoabundans production was finally scaled up in a 0.130 m³ airlift PBR. Results are compared with those of a model representing...
light-limited growth in a PBR. This model applied to \textit{N. oleobundans} was validated in PBRs of different geometries, with volumes from $10^{-3}$ to 0.130 m$^3$, illustrating the usefulness of a theoretical approach in the scaling-up of such systems.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Strains

Three strains were investigated: two freshwater green algae, \textit{N. oleobundans} (strain 1185) and \textit{C. vulgaris} (strain CCAP 211/19) (Chlorophyceae), and \textit{C. closterium}, a marine diatom. The first was obtained from the culture collection of the University of Austin (Texas, USA). \textit{C. vulgaris} and \textit{C. closterium} were collected from the culture collection of IFREMER-Nantes (Nantes, France). Growth media for the two freshwater strains \textit{N. oleobundans} and \textit{C. vulgaris} were based on Bold Basal Medium (BBM). Growth medium for \textit{C. closterium} was based on natural seawater from the coastal area of Saint-Nazaire in France, filtered-sterilized through a 0.22 μm pore size filter, enriched with Conway medium (3 mL/L of seawater) and a 40 g/L stock solution of Na$\text{2}$SiO$_3$$\cdot$5 H$_2$O (1 mL/L of seawater). Each medium was adjusted to avoid mineral limitation as described below. Exact composition of BBM and Conway medium are given in Pruvost et al. (2009) and Loubiere et al. (2009), respectively.

#### 2.2. Design of the growth media

Only photoautotrophic growth was investigated here. To obtain “light-limited” conditions, the growth medium must contain all the necessary nutrients (macro and micro) in sufficient quantities, and must thus be adjusted according to the biomass concentration planned. This has already been discussed for \textit{N. oleobundans} (Pruvost et al. 2009). The same procedure was applied here (only the main aspects are given. Refer to the earlier work for full details).

As a first estimate of macronutrient requirements, the elemental composition of each strain was determined. The biomass content of elements C, H, O, N, S, P can then be used to define an overall stoichiometry translating elements into nitrate (or ammonium, depending on the nitrogen source chosen), phosphate and sulphate concentrations (see Roels (1983)) for the general method). Macronutrient requirement was then checked by measuring mineral consumption by anionic chromatography during batch culture (again see Pruvost et al. 2009) for an example).

For micronutrients, elementary analysis is also possible but difficult due to the very low concentrations (Cogne et al. 2003). Standard media composition for micronutrients was thus generally adopted and tested for possible limitations in a second step. After macronutrient formulation is chosen, a continuous culture is conducted in a PBR and the quantity of micronutrients is then doubled. Any increase in biomass concentration (over at least six residence times to obtain biomass and medium steady-state) indicates a prior limitation of one or more micronutrients. This approach was used here.

One advantage of precisely controlling macronutrients formulation is the possibility of planning nitrate starvation, without sulphate or phosphate limitations, to increase lipid production. Biomass from a PBR operating in continuous mode with no limitations but with most of the nitrates consumed may be used to inoculate a second PBR operating in batch mode where nitrate consumption during initial growth initiates starvation promptly (progressive starvation protocol described in Pruvost et al. (2009)). This experiment can be repeated, as the PBR operating in continuous mode can supply the same biomass and media every day. However, because the time taken to obtain nitrate starvation depends on the rate of consumption of each species, we elected to centrifuge and then re-suspend cells in a nitrate-free medium under light ($q_0 = 220 \mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Nitrate depletion was thus applied from the start of each experiment (sudden deprivation protocol also described in Pruvost et al. (2009)). Cells were harvested from a PBR operating in continuous mode to ensure the same initial physiological state.

#### 2.3. Description of photobioreactors

All the small-scale experiments were conducted in flat-panel airlift photobioreactors (PBR1) of a volume $V = 10^{-3}$ m$^3$ and depth of culture $L_2 = 0.055$ m, giving a specific illuminated area $a_{\text{light}} = S/V$, of 33.3 m$^{-1}$ (Fig. 1a). Four PBR were used in parallel for faster screening of operating conditions. Each one was controlled for temperature and pH (by automatic injection of pure CO$_2$) and equipped for continuous production. A more complete description is given in Pruvost et al. (2009).

A second type of PBR was especially designed for scaling up production in controlled conditions (Fig. 1b). This system (PBR2) had a volume $V = 0.13$ m$^3$ with a greater depth of culture $L_2 = 0.055$ m, giving a specific illuminated area $a_{\text{light}} = S/V$, of 18.2 m$^{-1}$. PBR2 was made of stainless steel (quality 316 L), except for the optical surface (front side), which was made of transparent polycarbonate. Both PBR1 and PBR2 were pneumatically agitated. PBR2 was illuminated on two sides using 20 fluorescent tubes (Sylvania luxline plus F50W/860). Various values of incident photon flux density (FPF) could be applied simply switching on appropriate number of fluorescent tubes. All the PBR used in this study (flat panel type PBR) present one-dimensional light attenuation along the depth of culture z, perpendicular to the optical flat surface (see Pottier et al. (2005) for details). As described below, this option was chosen to facilitate the control and modelling of radiative transfer conditions inside the culture (see next section for details).

PFD was measured using a plane cosine quantum sensor (LI-COR LI-190-SA, Lincoln, NE), which quantifies photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) in the 400–700 nm waveband received in a 2m solid angle. PFD was measured on the rear side of the optical surface to take into account attenuation of light travelling through this surface (average of several measuring locations). For all the experiments in PBRs, a controlled temperature of 25 °C and pH of 7.5 were set (sensor Mettler Toledo SG 3253) by air blowing and CO$_2$ injection respectively. All the PBR could be run in batch or continuous (chemostat) production mode. In the latter case, the input liquid flow rate was controlled using a dosing pump for PBR1 (Stepdos® pump 08/RC, KNF Neuberger) and a pump combined with a mass flow-meter (Bronkhorst) for PBR2. For all the PBRs, the culture was harvested by overflowing, keeping the volume of the reactor constant.

#### 2.4. Analysis

Methods for measuring dry weight, elemental composition, total lipids and nutrients (nitrate, sulphate and phosphate) have already been described in Pruvost et al. (2009). Measuring fluorescence to estimate photosynthetic activity for different irradiances is described in Takache et al. (2010). Here we added the following analysis:

- **Pigment content:** pigment content was determined using a spectrophotometric method. A volume $V_1$ of culture was centrifuged for 5 mn at 13,400 rpm in a 2 mL tube, the supernatant was eliminated and the pellet was extracted with 1.5 mL methanol (99.9%) for 30 min in the dark at 45 °C. Samples were centrifuged (13,400 rpm, 5 mn) before measurements. Absorption spectra were collected in the range 400–750 nm (spectropho-
tometer Jenway 6500) in a cell with a path length of 1 cm. Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), chlorophyll-b (Chl-b) and photoprotective carotenoid (PPC) concentrations were determined according to the equations of Ritchie (2006) for chlorophylls and Strickland and Parsons (1968) for carotenoids:

\[
\text{[Chl-a]} \mu\text{g/mL} = -8.0962 \times A_{652} + 16.5169 \times A_{665};
\]

\[
\text{[Chl-b]} \mu\text{g/mL} = 27.4405 \times A_{652} - 12.1688 \times A_{665};
\]

\[
\text{[Carotenoids]} \mu\text{g/mL} = 4 \times A_{480}.
\]

Absorbencies at 480, 652 and 665 nm were corrected for turbidity by subtracting absorbencies at 750 nm. Results given are an average of three measurements. \( V_1 \) was chosen to obtain absorbances between 0.1 and 0.7.

- **Protein content:** cells were first subjected to an alkaline lyses followed by an acid neutralization and protein concentration was finally determined by the Lowry method (Lowry et al., 1951). A volume \( V_1 \) of culture was centrifuged for 10 min. at 13,400 rpm in a 2 mL tube, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet was suspended in a volume \( V_2 \) of deionised water. One millilitre of this solution was mixed with 1 mL of 2 N NaOH in a 15 mL plastic tube and heated in a water bath at 95 °C for 6 min. and left to then cool. One millilitre of 1.6 N HCl was added for neutralization. This solution was used for protein measurement by the Lowry method. BSA was used as protein standard in the range 0.2–0.4 g/L and dilution factor \( V_2/V_1 \) was chosen accordingly.

- **Total sugar content:** total sugar content was determined by the phenol–sulphuric acid method of Dubois et al. (1956). A volume \( V_1 \) of culture was centrifuged for 10 min. at 13,400 rpm in a 2 mL tube, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet was suspended in a volume \( V_2 \) of deionised water. 0.5 mL of this solution was added to 0.5 mL of a 50 g/L phenol solution in a 15 mL polypropylene tube. No mixing was done and careful attention was paid to avoid any drops on the walls. 2.5 mL of 95–97% H₂SO₄ was added rapidly directly on the liquid surface. After 10 min. of incubation the tube was vortexed vigorously for 10', let at room temperature and then placed in a water bath at 35 °C for 30 min. Absorbance was measured at 483 nm. Glucose was used as a standard in the range 0.02–0.08 g/L and dilution factor \( V_2/V_1 \) was chosen accordingly.

- **TAGs:** total lipids including chlorophyll and pigments were extracted by the Folch method and weighed on a 0.1 mg laboratory scale. Initial culture volume was chosen to obtain a total weight of lipid including pigments in the range 7–10 mg. Three replicates were done (estimated precision was ±5%). The ratio of total lipids was obtained relative to dry biomass (three replicates in the range 7–10 mg). Neutral lipids and especially TAG were separated from the other lipids by column chromatography on silica gel (GracePur, 500 mg, Alltech) using CHCl₃:MeOH 98/2 v/v% (neutral lipids), CHCl₃:MeOH 5:1 v/v% (glycolipids) and MeOH (phospholipids). The TAG fraction was collected separately and weighed, and its purity was checked using thin-layer chromatography on 20 cm x 20 cm x 0.25 mm silica gel plates (polygram sil G, Alltech, France). The plates were developed in hexane-diethyl ether-acetic acid (60:15:0.75, v/v/v) and stained using iodine vapour. For TAG, precision was estimated at ±10%.

Fig. 1. Sketches of lab-scale 10⁻³ m³ PBR (PBR1, a) and 0.130 m³ PBR used to scale up biomass production (PBR2, b). For rapid screening of operating conditions, four lab-scale PBR were used in parallel. Both PBRs are airlift flat-panel systems allowing both batch and continuous production.
2.5. Theoretical considerations

2.5.1. Light-limited growth model

The biomass concentration $C_b$ can be obtained by a standard mass balance on a continuous PBR assuming perfectly mixed conditions (Cornet et al., 2003; Pruvost et al., 2008):

$$\frac{dC_b}{dt} = (r_s) - \frac{C_b}{\tau}$$

(1)

with $C_b$ the biomass concentration, $(r_s)$ the mean biomass volumetric growth rate in the PBR, and $\tau$ the residence time for the PBR resulting from the liquid flow rate of the feed (fresh medium) (with $\tau = 1/D$, where $D$ is the dilution rate).

Solving Eq. (1) implies determining the mean volumetric growth rate $(r_s)$. In light-limited conditions, the growth rate is linked only to the light received. Moreover, it is well known that the concentration and backscattered fraction, leading to an heterogeneous light distribution inside the PBR culture volume. This results in a local value of the volumetric growth rate $r_s$ that has to be averaged over the reactor volume to obtain the mean volumetric growth rate $(r_s)$.

$$(r_s) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L r_s \cdot dz$$

(2)

where $L$ is the photobioreactor depth.

Formulation of the volumetric growth rate $r_s$ is obviously a key step in the modelling. Numerous kinetic models exist for describing the growth of photosynthetic microorganisms (Aiba, 1982; Muller-Feuga, 1998). For photosynthetic eukaryotic cells such as microalgae, growth is the result of the biomass increase caused by photosynthesis in chloroplasts (anabolism) and its partial degradation by respiration in mitochondria (catabolism). The total growth rate can thus be expressed as the sum of two terms (Fouchard et al., 2009):

$$r_s = r_{sg} + r_{sr}$$

(3)

with $r_{sg}$ and $r_{sr}$ related respectively to the photosynthetic growth and the respiration process.

Cornet and Dussap (2009) provide an interesting formula by linking the photosynthetic growth rate to the local radiant light power density absorbed $\phi$ and thus the local value of irradiance $G$ inside the PBR, according to:

$$r_{sg} = \rho_p \phi / \phi = \rho_p \frac{K}{K + G} \phi E_a C_b$$

(4)

where $G$ the irradiance, $\rho_p$ the maximum energetic yield for photon conversion, $\phi$ the mass quantum yield for the Z-scheme of photosynthesis, $K$ the half saturation constant for photosynthesis and $E_a$ the mass absorption coefficient.

This formulation was applied successfully to the cyanobacteria Arthrospira platensis. As a prokaryotic cell, with therefore a common electron carrier chain for photosynthesis and respiration, A. platensis displays no respiration in light (Gonzalez de la Vara and Gomez-Lojero, 1986). This simplify the kinetic formulation by setting $r_{sr} = 0$, which may not be assumed for microalgae. Thus here we opted to adapt the formulation by introducing a catabolism respiration term $(r_{sr})$ dependant on the biomass concentration. This is the same as introducing a constant specific respiration rate $\mu_r$, giving:

$$r_{sr} = -\mu_r C_b$$

(5)

Though at first sight as over-simple, this formulation was shown to be sufficient in the case investigated here (N. oleoabundans). This can be explained by the low respiration activity observed in light for this strain (as shown later). Ultimately, the contribution of respiration to the overall growth rate remains low.

To extend the model, the irradiance field in the PBR had to be given (Eq. (4)). The irradiance field is a function of PBR geometry, light source and cell optical properties. All the PBRs investigated in this study met to the “one-dimensional” hypothesis, where light attenuation occurs mainly along a single direction perpendicular to the illuminated surface (the depth of culture). The two-flux model was found to give accurate results in this case (Pottier et al., 2005). The irradiance profile $G(z)$ along the depth of culture $z$ is then given by the following equation:

$$G(z) = \frac{2}{q_0} \frac{\chi (1 + \alpha \exp(-\delta L) - (1 - \alpha) \exp(-\delta L) \exp(\delta z) + (1 + \alpha) \exp(\delta L) - \chi (1 - \alpha) \exp(\delta L) \exp(\delta z)}{(1 + \alpha)^2 \exp(-\delta L) - (1 - \alpha)^2 \exp(-\delta L) - \chi (1 - \alpha^2) \exp(\delta L) + \chi (1 - \alpha^2) \exp(-\delta L)}$$

(6)

where $q_0$ is the hemispherical incident light flux (same as PFD) and $\chi$ is the reflection coefficient of the wall defining the depth of culture. This wall was made of stainless steel for all the PBR used in the present study (for cooling). The reflection coefficient was thus equal to 0.51 (Takache et al., 2010).

In Eq. (6), $\alpha$ (linear scattering modulus) and $\delta$ (two flux extinction coefficient) are given by:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{E_a}{E_a + 2bE_s}}$$

$$\delta = C_s \sqrt{E_a(E_a + 2bE_s)}$$

where $E_a$ and $E_s$ are the absorption and scattering mass coefficients respectively, and $b$ is the backscattered fraction. These three values defined the optical properties used in the two-flux model. They were determined here for N. oleoabundans (see below).

2.5.2. Determination of model parameters for N. oleoabundans

The light-limited growth model described above requires determining several variables. Radiative properties are deduced from pigment content measurement and image analysis provides cell shape and size. The experimental procedure is the same as described in Pottier et al. (2005). N. oleoabundans cells were considered as Tchebyschev particles $T_2(0.043)$ of order two, with a deformation parameter $\varepsilon = 0.043$ (Mishchenko et al., 1998). A size distribution of log-normal type with an equivalent radius of $3.2 \mu m$ and standard deviation $\sigma = 1.16$ was retained. Based on this analysis, a predictive approach, applying the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory with the anomalous diffraction approximation (Cornet, 2007; Van de Hulst, 1981), was then used to calculate corresponding radiative properties (i.e. the mass absorption coefficient $E_a$, the scattering coefficients $E_s$ and the backscattered fraction $b$). These values are a function of the pigment contents. For N. oleoabundans (classical growth conditions) we found a mass content of 4% 1% and 1.6% of chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b and carotenoids respectively. Corresponding radiative properties were $E_a = 360 m^2 kg^{-1}$, $E_s = 2380 m^2 kg^{-1}$ and $b = 0.003$. The linear scattering modulus $\alpha$ was thus equal to 0.98.

The determination of kinetic parameters for the photosynthetic growth model requires various measurements and methods. A full
description of each lies outside of the scope of this work, but detailed procedures may be found elsewhere (Cornet and Dussap, 2009; Takache et al., 2010). Following Cornet and Dussap (2009), the maximum energy yield for photon dissipation in antennae was set to \( \rho_M = 0.8 \), as a moderately species-independent value. The mean spatial quantum yield for the Z-scheme of photosynthesis was calculated from the elementary composition (CH\(_{1.715}\)O\(_{0.427}\)N\(_{0.148}\)S\(_{0.014}\)P\(_{0.012}\)) of N. oleoabundans (Pruvost et al., 2009), giving the value \( \phi = 1.83 \times 10^{-3} \) kg mmol\(^{-1}\). The two remaining parameters are the half saturation constant \( K \) and the specific respiration rate \( \mu_r \). The first was estimated as in Takache et al. (2010) for Chlamydomonas reinhardtii by using the saturation pulse method to measure the maximum PSII yield (maximum yield = Fv/Fm) as a function of irradiance (Schreiber et al., 1998) using a WaterPam chlorophyll fluorometer (Walz, Germany). The second was estimated by biomass loss during a dark period. Both values were finally adjusted by global regression on experimental data (permitting a maximum variation of 30% on parameters), leading finally to \( K = 90 \) mmolm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) and \( \mu_r = 5 \times 10^{-3} \) h\(^{-1}\) for N. oleoabundans.

### 2.5.3. Biomass productivity prediction

Biomass concentration in light-limited conditions was obtained by solving the mass balance equation (Eq. (1)) (Matlab software) using the kinetic growth (Eqs. (4) and (5)) and radiative models. For continuous mode, at steady-state (dC/dt = 0), this gives the volumetric biomass productivity \( (r_x) \) directly. For a given species (characterized by its optical properties and kinetic growth parameters), this value will be a function of operating parameters, such as the PFD received on the PBR surface and by the light transfer conditions. As will be demonstrated here, this makes this tool of primary relevance in process optimization and prediction of PBR productivity evolution as a function of those key parameters.

More directly (without numerical resolution), the maximum biomass productivity \( (r_x)_{\text{max}} \) can also be obtained. This was recently proposed by Cornet and Dussap (2009), who observed that maximum productivity was obtained for the particular case of full light absorption, but with no dark zone (the so-called luminostat regime). This allows an analytical solution for maximum biomass volumetric productivity to be calculated, so for the flat-panel PBR investigated here:

\[
(r_x)_{\text{max}} = \rho_M \phi \frac{2 \alpha}{1 + \alpha} a_{\text{light}} K \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{q_x}{K} \right]
\]

or in terms of maximum areal biomass productivity:

\[
(S_x)_{\text{max}} = \rho_M \phi \frac{2 \alpha}{1 + \alpha} a_{\text{light}} K \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{q_x}{K} \right]
\]

All the parameters required are presented above. This equation was applied successfully (Takache et al., 2010) on the microalga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii in two different PBR geometries (toric and cylindrical PBR). It was tested here with N. oleoabundans cultivated in different PBRs (all of the flat panel type).

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization of the maximum biomass productivity in light-limited conditions for the three different species

As a preliminary step, light-limited growth was investigated for C. vulgaris and C. closterium and compared with results obtained with N. oleoabundans (Pruvost et al., 2009). Mineral limitation was avoided by following the medium design procedure described in Section 2.2 and by checking mineral concentration during cultivation (all concentrations were found to exceed 100 mg/L for nitrate, and 30 mg/L for phosphate and sulphate). It results in a productivity that is a function of only the light supply, as set by the PFD received on the PBR surface and by the light transfer conditions inside the reactor volume (“light-limited” growth conditions). As a result, for a given PFD, the dilution rate affects biomass concentration and thus light transfer conditions. If the biomass concentration is too low, some of the light is transmitted through the culture (low absorption, favouring the “kinetic” regime). Conversely, if the biomass is too high, a dark zone appears in the depth of culture (favouring the light limitation regime). Both situations result in a loss of biomass productivity. In the first case, the light is not fully absorbed, while in the second case, the dark zone, where respiration is predominant, has a negative influence.

![Fig. 2. Biomass areal productivity (solid lines) and concentration (dashed lines) for C. vulgaris (triangle), C. closterium (square) and N. oleoabundans (circle) as a function of the dilution rate applied in continuous culture (example for a PFD \( q_0 = 270 \) mmole m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\)). In light-limited growth, an optimal biomass concentration was found, giving maximum productivity. In practice, this corresponds to an optimal operating zone of dilution rate. For N. oleoabundans, simulation results obtained with the light-limited growth model are also given.](Image)
on the kinetic performance of the process. There is thus an optimal biomass concentration, corresponding exactly to the appearance of the physical limitation by light (all light absorbed but no dark zone). This also corresponds to the maximum biomass productivity of the PBR (volume and surface).

The optimal biomass concentration can be sought experimentally (Takache et al., 2010) or theoretically (Cornet and Dussap, 2009). Experimental determination is straightforward and consists in varying the dilution rate with simultaneous measurement of steady-state biomass concentration $C_t$ to calculate corresponding volumetric biomass productivity $\langle r_x \rangle = D C_t$. Results are given in Fig. 2 for the three strains. Evolution of biomass productivity with the dilution rate was clearly observed, as well as the maximum biomass productivity. We note that almost the same maximum biomass productivity was achieved (Table 1), with $\langle S_o \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.016 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ($\langle r_x \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.53 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$), $\langle S_o \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.017 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ($\langle r_x \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.56 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) and $\langle S_o \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.014 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ($\langle r_x \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.46 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) for $N. \text{oleoabundans}$, $C. \text{vulgaris}$ and $C. \text{closterium}$ respectively (all values accurate to 15%). This confirms that differences among strains in light-limited growth conditions are only slight (at least for easy growing strains like those presented here). Analytical formulæ (Eqs. (7) and (8)) enabling direct estimation of maximum productivity were tested. For $N. \text{oleoabundans}$ a maximum areal biomass productivity $\langle S_x \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.015 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ was obtained ($\langle r_x \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.5 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$). This clearly demonstrates here the interest and power of such a formulæ in the characterization of maximal performances of a given PBR and microalgal species (an acceptable deviation of 7% was obtained in this case).

Experimental investigation suffices to characterize the light-limited growth and thus the maximum biomass productivity for given illumination conditions and PBR. However, the theoretical approach can be regarded as complementary as it allows a deeper characterization of the strain. Small-scale systems are sufficient to determine model parameters that can then be used to predict and optimize PBR productivity at higher scale, which is obviously of great relevance in the setting of mass scale systems for biodiesel fuel production. This is illustrated below in the scaling-up of biomass production for $N. \text{oleoabundans}$.

3.2. Scaling-up of $N. \text{oleoabundans}$ biomass production

It is well known that PBR productivity is highly dependent on PBR geometry and operating conditions (especially light) (Takache et al., 2010). This explains the difficulty of comparing PBR technologies. Theoretical characterization, especially PBR modelling, is in this regard of prime interest, especially if the light-limited condition is applied. Biomass productivity can then be predicted using the same modelling approach as described above.

To illustrate this, $N. \text{oleoabundans}$ was grown in an airlift PBR (PBR2) with higher volume ($V_R = 0.13 \text{ m}^3$) and greater depth of culture ($L_z = 0.055 \text{ m}$), with illumination on two sides (total illuminated surface area $S = 2.37 \text{ m}^2$). Various PFD values were also applied, with a progressive increase to prevent photoinhibition.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident photons flux density (µmole.m(^{-2}).s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Experimental maximum biomass productivities</th>
<th>Theoretical maximum biomass productivities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBR1 ($L = 3 \text{ cm}$) $q_0 = 270$ µmole.m(^{-2}).s(^{-1})</td>
<td>$\langle r_x \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.53 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\langle S_o \rangle_{\text{max}} = 15 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBR2 ($L = 5.5 \text{ cm}$) $q_0 = 270$ µmole.m(^{-2}).s(^{-1})</td>
<td>$\langle r_x \rangle_{\text{max}} = 16 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\langle S_o \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.28 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBR2 ($L = 5.5 \text{ cm}$) $q_0 = 360$ µmole.m(^{-2}).s(^{-1})</td>
<td>Not obtained (see text)</td>
<td>$\langle S_o \rangle_{\text{max}} = 15.4 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBR2 ($L = 5.5 \text{ cm}$) $q_0 = 590$ µmole.m(^{-2}).s(^{-1})</td>
<td>Not obtained (see text)</td>
<td>$\langle S_o \rangle_{\text{max}} = 0.33 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.** Scaling-up of biomass production from lab-scale 1 L PBR up to 130 L PBR with $N. \text{oleoabundans}$. Biomass productivity is given for the two PBR investigated (circle for PBR1 and square for PBR2). The negative effect of increasing the depth of culture is shown. Increasing the PFD gives higher biomass productivity. The light-limited growth model can be used to predict the effect of different parameters on productivities or biomass concentration (dashed line for PBR1 and continuous line for PBR2).
effects (progressive increase in biomass concentration and mutual shading). The results of both experimental and simulated productivities are given in Fig. 3. The usual increase in PBR productivity with increasing PFD values is observed. Decreasing PBR depth (and thus increasing $D_{\text{avg}}$) also results in an increased biomass productivity. As often observed when increasing PBR size, we found lab-scale PBR to be more efficient (higher volumetric productivity) because of their reduced depth ($L_z = 0.03 \text{ m}$). All evolutions (influence of PBR depth, PFD, dilution rate) were predicted by the light-limited growth model, with a very close agreement between simulations and experiments. Maximum biomass productivity was also estimated using the general formula (Eq. (7)). Results are given in Table 1. For practical reasons, maximum productivity was not limited growth model, with a very close agreement between simulations and experiments. Maximum biomass productivity was also estimated using the general formula (Eq. (7)). Results are given in Table 1. For practical reasons, maximum productivity was not determined experimentally in PBR2 (as shown in Fig. 3, this would have implied a higher dilution rate, and so a large volume of medium). However, comparing theoretical results with values given in Fig. 3 shows that the theoretical calculation gives a good estimate of maximum productivity evolution for all incident PFDs. Because this is a direct analytical calculation (provided all parameters are defined), it offers a powerful tool to solve the problem of predicting productivities for different PBR geometries or operating conditions, as illustrated here with two PBRs with different volumes, illuminated surface areas and depths of culture, and run under different PFDs.

3.3. Investigation of lipid production as a response to nitrogen starvation

Nitrogen starvation induces several physiological changes in algae, deeply altering intracellular composition and growth kinetics. Biomass growth stops progressively, and lipid accumulation is triggered (concomitant with a marked decrease in pigment content). Lipid accumulation proved to be mainly TAGs, best suited to biodiesel production (Chisti, 2007; Hu et al., 2008; Pruvost et al., 2009). Nitrogen starvation was thus applied here on all three strains. Because $C. \text{closterium}$ was found to be less productive than other strains (in terms of maximum biomass productivity), only one experiment with partial analysis was conducted, and results are given here only for information. For the other two species, experiments were done in triplicate ($N. \text{oleoabundans}$) and in duplicate ($C. \text{vulgaris}$). The results are given in Fig. 4. As we see, a high reproducibility was achieved. This is explained here by the experimental procedure based on PBR cultivation in fully-controlled conditions. As described in Section 2.2, a PBR operating in continuous mode was used to produce algae in the same physiological state, and these cells were then inoculated in a second PBR where nitrate starvation was applied.

As reported by Pruvost et al. (2009), biomass growth was observed even though the cells were in nitrate-free medium. A major shift in cell composition was also observed, with a progressive lipid accumulation, a sudden increase in total sugar content from 20% to around 60% for $N. \text{oleoabundans}$ (40% for $C. \text{vulgaris}$), and a significant protein content decrease from 60% to around 20% (for both species). This major reorganization is a direct consequence of nitrogen starvation, which triggers the accumulation of storage compounds such as sugar and lipids, with a concomitant degradation in nitrogen internal sources such as chlorophyll. Lipid contents are given in Table 2, in terms of total lipids and TAG contents (relative to dry weight). The effect of nitrogen starvation on TAG accumulation was clearly observed, whatever the strain. TAG content in standard growth conditions was very low (<4%) but increased after four days of starvation up to 9–14% depending on the species. Although there was a difference in terms of total lipids (an increase was observed for $N. \text{oleoabundans}$ and $C. \text{closterium}$, but a negligible variation was found for $C. \text{vulgaris}$), no great difference was observed among species in terms of TAG content. The highest content was in this case obtained with $C. \text{vulgaris}$ (14%). This last result emphasizes the need to distinguish between

![Fig. 4. Effects of nitrate starvation on the different strains. A significant modification of cell composition was observed (lipid and total sugar accumulation, protein reduction).](image-url)
total lipids and TAG content (in terms of total lipids, N. oleoabundans showed the highest accumulation).

Table 2 also gives areal productivities for both total lipids and TAGs, using the same procedure as described in Pruvost et al. (2009). In continuous production, productivities were obtained from maximum biomass productivities and lipid natural content (normal growth conditions). In batch conditions, productivities were calculated as a function of cultivation time from biomass and lipid content time courses under nitrogen starvation. As in Pruvost et al. 2009, the highest productivity in terms of total lipids was found without mineral limitation (optimal growth conditions), with almost the same values whatever the strain (only the best results are given in Table 2). Because nitrogen starvation was necessary to trigger TAG accumulation, TAG productivities were deduced from batch experiments under nitrogen starvation. The best productivity was found with C. vulgaris (values in the range 0.8–1.1 g m⁻² day⁻¹ for the two batch cultivations), slightly higher than with N. oleoabundans (values in the range 0.7–0.9 g m⁻² day⁻¹ for the three batch cultivations) as explained by higher TAG content for C. vulgaris. Lower productivity was obtained for C. closterium (0.2–0.3 g m⁻² day⁻¹). However, as stated above, only one cultivation was conducted for this last species.

4. Conclusion

A methodology combining experiments in lab-scale PBRs with a theoretical framework used to predict biomass productivity is presented for the systematic investigation of the potential of different microalgae species for biodiesel production. We emphasize the marked effects of nitrogen starvation, triggering TAG accumulation while affecting sugar and protein contents. A higher content of total lipids was achieved with N. oleoabundans (25–37% of DW), while the highest TAG content was found in C. vulgaris (11–14% of DW). These two species gave similar TAG productivities, with a slightly higher value for C. vulgaris (1.1 g m⁻² day⁻¹) due to its higher TAG content.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency as part of the “SHAMASH” ANR Program (French National Program), and by the CNRS Program LIP-ALG. The authors also thank Christine Fouque for her technical help.

References


