Azaindenocorannulenes: Synthesis, Properties, and Chirality

Xiaoqi Tian, Loïc M Roch, Nicolas Vanthuyne, Jun Xu, Kim K Baldrige, Jay S Siegel

► To cite this version:

Xiaoqi Tian, Loïc M Roch, Nicolas Vanthuyne, Jun Xu, Kim K Baldrige, et al.. Azaindenocorannulenes: Synthesis, Properties, and Chirality. *Organic Letters*, 2019, 21 (10), pp.3510-3513. 10.1021/acs.orglett.9b00718 . hal-02514517

HAL Id: hal-02514517

<https://hal.science/hal-02514517>

Submitted on 23 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Structure correlation over the electrochemical data of 18 compounds including 7–9 and previous studies^{10,14,16,17}

Figure 2. Crystal packing of **8** along a, b, and c axes, respectively.

reveals a tendency for imido-, aza-indeno-, and indeno-annulations to lower the first reduction potential compared to **10** (Table 1, Figure 3). The variance in the data seems to

Table 1. E_c^* (eV), $E_{1/2}$ (eV), and I_{pa}/I_{pc} for **7**, **8**, and **9**

	7	8	9
E_c^* (eV) ^a	-1.62 (-1.47) ^b	-1.48 (-1.42)	-1.53 (-1.47)
$E_{1/2}$ (eV)	-1.53	-1.42	-1.46
I_{pa}/I_{pc}	0.73	0.87	0.84

^aSolvent: THF. Working electrode: glassy carbon. Reference electrode: Ag/Ag⁺ in acetonitrile. Counter electrode: platinum wire. Scan rate: 0.1 V s⁻¹. Supporting electrolyte: tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆). E_c^* = reduction potential. $E_{1/2}$ = half-wave potential. I_{pa} = peak anodic current. I_{pc} = peak cathodic current. ^bB97-D/Def2-TZVPP(THF) values in parentheses, using $E_c^\circ = -\Delta H/nF - \text{ref}_{\text{Ag/AgCl}}$, where $n = 1$, $F = 1$ eV.

Figure 3. Experimental and B97-D/Def2-TZVPP(THF) first reduction potentials for **7**–**21**. R = H, Me (**12**, **12'**, **17**, **17'**, **18**, **18'**).

come from three effects: (1) expansion of the π orbital volume by additional benzene rings; (2) nitrogen atom doping; (3) stabilization by electron-withdrawing imide functionality.

Although expansion of the π -system has an initial effect of nearly 0.5 eV, it wanes to the point that the fifth ring lowers the potential by only 0.04 eV, a tenth of the effect of the first. Nitrogen doping has a more consistent effect of ca. 0.05–0.1 eV/nitrogen atom. Imide functionality appears to play the largest role in reducing the first reduction potential of the parent, nearly 1.0 eV. Bowl structure **8**, which combines imide functionality, two annulations, and two nitrogen atoms, yields the lowest reduction potential in this study at -1.48 eV (calcd = -1.42), placing its reduction potential closer to C₆₀ at -0.98^{18,19} (herein calcd -1.03) eV than to corannulene at -2.49 (calcd -2.46) eV.

Reduction potential values as a function of increasing number of indeno-annulations supports a saturation as opposed to an additive substituent effect (Figure 4). In a

Figure 4. Experimental, regression estimate, and B97-D/TZVPP(THF) determined reduction potential data for **10**–**15**.

simple saturation model there is a base substituent value (S) and an attenuation factor. Assuming a base value for indeno annulation of 0.45 eV and an attenuation factor of 0.5 reasonably rationalizes the series **10**–**15**, as $E_{(\text{est})} = -2.49 + \Sigma S(0.5)^{n-1}$, n = first to fifth substituent.

The strong influence of the imido group on corannulene has been seen previously.²⁰ This perturbation also influences the effect of π -annulation, effectively limiting the distribution of the HOMO in the radical anion (Figure 5). Whereas simple

Figure 5. B97-D/Def2-TZVPP(THF) HOMO orbitals of select radical anions.

indenocorannulenes **12** and **18** show contributions from the whole π -volume, **21** shows almost no contribution from the indeno segments and also no change in reduction potential compared to **19**. For **8** there appears a small contribution from the azaindeno segments and a small lowering of the reduction potential. These results highlight the importance of understanding the interaction among substituents when designing molecular properties, such as reduction potential—additive behavior cannot be assumed.

In contrast to the electrochemical properties, the trends in photophysical properties are less dramatic (Figure 6, Table 2).

Figure 6. UV and fluorescence spectra of **7**: (—) natural, (---) protonated (HOTf).

Table 2. Photophysical Properties of **7, **8**, and **9****

compd	τ (ns)	X^2	Φ (%)	Ex (nm)	Em (nm)	λ_{abs} (nm)
7 ^a	5.61	1.20	1.55	329	529	264, 310, 329
7-H ^b	N		2.50	327	559	327
8 ^a	8.50	0.96	2.75	329	525, 562	278, 330
8-H ^b	N		1.09	338	592	265, 299, 340
9 ^a	7.27	1.05	3.49	332	528, 563	274, 332
9-H ^b	N		1.33	317	608	275, 316, 346

^aSolvent is cyclohexane. ^bSolvent is dichloromethane.

An increase in the number of annulations correlates with an increase in the wavelength of absorption and emission for the hydrocarbon and aza-derivatives. Predictably, hydrocarbons tend to be insensitive to pH in normal ranges, whereas aza-containing derivatives undergo protonation that shifts the absorption and emission spectra to longer wavelengths (ca. 30–50 nm).²¹ Fluorescence quantum yields are generally thought to decrease with greater curvature, but the present data do not support this trend. Lifetime data for **7**, **8**, and **9** ranges from 5.61 to 8.50 ns, only a slightly larger variance than that seen previously for diindenocorannulenes **12** and **21**.¹⁰

Enantiomeric resolution of indenocorannulenes allows investigation of bowl inversion barriers by racemization studies.¹ Racemization of monoaza-indenocorannulene **16** shows that replacement of CH by N results in only a minor perturbation. As such, chiral monoaza-diindenocorannulene-imide **9** should display a bowl inversion barrier representative of diindenocorannulenes in general. Two diastereomers in a 1:1 ratio were found for **7** and **9**, with different bowl configurations. The chiroptical properties are mainly due to the bowl shape rather than the stereogenic carbon.

Preparative HPLC of **9** over Chiralpak IF resolved the diastereomers. HPLC analysis of timed-sequenced aliquots of **9** (diphenyl ether @ 218 °C) revealed a half-life of thousands of hours, which for a first-order asymmetric transformation correlates to a remarkable barrier of 192 ± 5 kJ/mol (Table 3). B97-D/Def2-TZVP(diphenylether) calculations predict a barrier of 199 kJ/mol, in good agreement with the

Table 3. Bowl-Inversion Parameters of **7 and **9****

compd	k (s ⁻¹)	$t_{1/2}$ (h)	ΔG (kJ mol ⁻¹)	calcd ^a	T (K)
7	2.84×10^{-5}	3.4	111.6	108.1	335
9	3.84×10^{-8}	2509	192 ± 5	199.6	491

^aB97-D/Def2-TZVP(CHCl₃) (**7**), B97-D/Def2-TZVP((C₆H₅)₂O) (**9**), with the same solvent choice as in the experiment.

experimental value. This bowl-inversion barrier exceeds that found for triazasumanene (ca. 180 kJ/mol),⁵ another remarkably rigid bowl-shaped molecule. This barrier also exceeds the barrier to rotation in 1,1'-binaphthalene-2,2'-diol (ca. 160 kJ/mol),^{22,23} the barrier to inversion in triarylphosphines (150 kJ/mol),^{24,25} and the formation enthalpy for most coordinative-covalent bonds (<150 kJ/mol).²⁶ It is on the order of weak covalent bonds, such as the carbon–iodine bond (ca. 210–240 kJ/mol).²⁷ Thus, atropisomeric^{28,29} bowl **9** can be as configurationally inert as many classical tetrahedral stereocenters.

As carbon sheets in general are not rigid constructs, they may not be considered as the best medium for building chiral scaffolds. Warping graphenes by several tens of degrees costs less than 50 kJ/mol.³⁰ Twisted acenes with twist angles well over 90° still rapidly racemize in solution.^{31,32}

[*n*]Helicenes (*n* > 5) represent the classic series of chiral carbon ribbons that display barriers to racemization sufficient to allow resolution; however, their barriers to racemization show a plateau effect around 180 kJ/mol for [10]helicene and higher homologues.^{33–35} In contrast, indenocorannulene bowl-inversion barriers are predicted to exceed even C–C covalent bond-formation energies. With increasing efficiencies in the synthesis of bowl-shaped aromatics, bowls are well poised to be the next major chiral scaffolds for chiral materials and catalysts.

Configurational assignments for these chiral structures can be made by comparison of the experimental vibrational and electronic circular dichroism spectra (VCD and ECD, respectively) with their quantum mechanically predicted spectra (Figure 7). The VCD of **7** and **9** were measured

Figure 7. Experimental (—) and wB97X-D/Def2-TZVP(CHBr₃) (---) VCD spectra of **7** (top, red) and **9** (bottom, blue), expt first eluted.

(CHBr₃) and compared to wB97x-D/Def2-TZVP(CHBr₃) determined spectra. The rigid character of these nanometer-sized scaffolds facilitates the quantum mechanical analysis by restricting the conformational diversity. Comparison of the regions of the spectra 1200–1550 cm⁻¹ enabled configurational assignment.

The ECD spectra of **7** and **9** were also measured (CH₃CN) and compared to TD-camB3LYP/Def2-TZVP(CH₃CN) determined spectra. Assignments by VCD were corroborated by comparison of experimental and computed ECD spectra; see Supporting Information.

Palladium-mediated intramolecular arylation facilitates the synthesis of three new aza-indenocorannulenes **7–9**. A model to rationalize the first reduction potentials for 18 related molecular bowls reveals π -volume, N atom doping, and fragment orbital overlap as important factors in the design of electron-acceptor bowls. Diastereomers of **7** and **9** were resolved by chromatography over chiral stationary phase, and their absolute configurations were asserted by comparison of their experimental and computationally predicted VCD and ECD spectra. Epimerization studies revealed a barrier to bowl inversion for **7** and **9** of 111.6 and 192 kJ/mol, respectively; the bowl inversion barrier of **9** is the largest yet reported for corannulene derivatives. The nanometer dimensions and robust configurational character of these scaffolds make them ideal candidates for use in the general design of chiral materials and scaffolds for new chiral catalysts for stereoselective synthesis.

(1) Wang, Y.; Allemann, O.; Balaban, T. S.; Vanthuyne, N.; Linden, A.; Baldrige, K. K.; Siegel, J. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 6470–6474.

(2) Yokoi, H.; Hiraoka, Y.; Hiroto, S.; Sakamaki, D.; Seki, S. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8215.

(3) Tsefrikas, V. M.; Greene, A. K.; Scott, L. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2017**, *4*, 688–698.

(4) Ito, S.; Tokimaru, Y.; Nozaki, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 7256–7260.

(5) Tan, Q.; Higashibayashi, S.; Karanjit, S.; Sakurai, H. *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 891.

(6) Dix, I.; Doll, C.; Hopf, H.; Jones, P. G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *2002*, 2547–2556.

(7) Imamura, K.; Takimiya, K.; Yoshio, A.; Otsubo, T. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1859–1860.

(8) Furukawa, S.; Kobayashi, J.; Kawashima, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 14192–14193.

(9) Saito, M.; Tanikawa, T.; Tajima, T.; Guo, J.; Nagase, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 672–675.

(10) Tian, X.; Roch, L. M.; Baldrige, K. K.; Siegel, J. S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *2017*, 2801–2805.

(11) Smith, A. E.; Clapham, K. M.; Batsanov, A. S.; Bryce, M. R.; Tarbit, B. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *2008*, 1458–1463.

(12) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457–2483.

(13) Bouillon, A.; Lancelot, J.-C.; Collot, V.; Bovy, P. R.; Rault, S. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 2885–2890.

(14) Steinberg, B. D.; Jackson, E. A.; Filatov, A. S.; Wakamiya, A.; Petrukhina, M. A.; Scott, L. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 10537–10545.

(15) Jackson, E. A.; Steinberg, B. D.; Bancu, M.; Wakamiya, A.; Scott, L. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 484–485.

(16) Lampart, S.; Roch, L. M.; Dutta, A. K.; Wang, Y.; Warshamanage, R.; Finke, A. D.; Linden, A.; Baldrige, K. K.; Siegel, J. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 14648–14652.

(17) Dutta, A. K.; Linden, A.; Zoppi, L.; Baldrige, K. K.; Siegel, J. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 10792–10796.

(18) Yanilkin, V. V.; Gubskaya, V. P.; Morozov, V. I.; Nastapova, N. V.; Zverev, V. V.; Berdnikov, E. A.; Nuretdinov, I. A. *Russ. J. Electrochem.* **2003**, *39*, 1147–1165.

(19) Green, W. H.; Gorun, S. M.; Fitzgerald, G.; Fowler, P. W.; Ceulemans, A.; Titeca, B. C. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 14892–14898.

(20) Schmidt, B. M.; Topolinski, B.; Roesch, P.; Lentz, D. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 6520–6522.

(21) Zhang, J.; Chen, J.; Xu, B.; Wang, L.; Ma, S.; Dong, Y.; Li, B.; Ye, L.; Tian, W. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 3878–3880.

(22) Meca, L.; Reha, D.; Havlas, Z. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 5677–5680.

(23) Kyba, E. P.; Gokel, G. W.; De Jong, F. D.; Koga, K.; Sousa, L. R.; Siegel, M. G.; Kaplan, L.; Sogah, G. D. Y.; Cram, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 4173–4184.

(24) Sasaki, S.; Yoshifuji, M. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *11*, 17–31.

(25) Holz, J.; Jiao, H.; Gandelman, M.; Börner, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *2018*, 2984–2994.

(26) Lepetit, C.; Maraval, V.; Canac, Y.; Chauvin, R. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *308*, 59–75.

(27) Blanksby, S. J.; Ellison, G. B. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, *36*, 255–263.

(28) Siegel, J. S. *Synlett* **2018**, *29*, 2120–2121.

(29) Siegel, J. S. *Synlett* **2018**, *29*, 2122–2125.

(30) Wolf, E. L. *Graphene: A New Paradigm in Condensed Matter and Device Physics*; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2013.

(31) Gidron, O.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Bedi, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 8086–8090.

(32) Pascal, R. A., Jr. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 4809–4819.

(33) Ravat, P.; Hinkelmann, R.; Steinebrunner, D.; Prescimone, A.; Bodoky, I.; Jericek, M. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 3707–3710.

(34) Martin, R. H.; Marchant, M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1972**, *13*, 3707–3708.

(35) Janke, R. H.; Haufe, G.; Würthwein, E. U.; Borkent, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 6031–6035.