



HAL
open science

The effect of deer browsing and understory light availability on stump mortality and sprout growth capacity in sessile oak

Anders Mårell, Jean-Pierre Hamard, Thomas Perot, Sandrine Perret, Nathalie Korboulewsky

► To cite this version:

Anders Mårell, Jean-Pierre Hamard, Thomas Perot, Sandrine Perret, Nathalie Korboulewsky. The effect of deer browsing and understory light availability on stump mortality and sprout growth capacity in sessile oak. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 2018, 430, pp.134-142. 10.1016/j.foreco.2018.08.015 . hal-02499909

HAL Id: hal-02499909

<https://hal.science/hal-02499909>

Submitted on 5 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Journal:** Forest Ecology and Management

2 **Type of article:** Regular paper

3 **Title:** The effect of deer browsing and understory light availability on stump mortality and sprout
4 growth capacity in sessile oak

5 **Version:** 2018-08-06

6 **Authors:** Anders Mårell^{a*}, Jean-Pierre Hamard^a, Thomas Pérot^a, Sandrine Perret^a, Nathalie
7 Korboulewsky^a

8

9 ^aIrstea, UR EFNO, F-45290 Nogent-sur-Vernisson, France

10

11 E-mail: anders.marell@irstea.fr, jean-pierre.hamard@irstea.fr, sandrine.perret@irstea.fr,
12 thomas.perrot@irstea.fr, nathalie.korboulewsky@irstea.fr

13

14 Manuscript: 10294 words

15 Abstract: 308 words

16 Number of tables: 4

17 Number of figures: 4

18 Number of references: 86

19

20 ***Corresponding author:** Irstea, UR EFNO, Domaine des Barres, F-45290 Nogent-sur-Vernisson,
21 France. Tel: 02.38.95.04.53. E-mail: anders.marell@irstea.fr. ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3328-4834

22

23 **Abstract** (max 400 words)

24 Coppice forestry is a conventional silvicultural practice that takes advantage of a tree's capacity to
25 respond to disturbances by sprouting. Sprouting capacity is determined by many intrinsic and
26 extrinsic factors such as parent tree age/size, understory light availability and deer browsing, which,
27 under closed canopy conditions are important limiting factors for stump survival or sprout growth.
28 However, the combined effect of potentially confounding abiotic and biotic factors on stump survival
29 and sprout growth remains elusive, even more so under closed canopy conditions. This study aims to
30 quantify the effect of deer browsing on stump mortality and sprout growth under closed-canopy
31 conditions and to compare this effect with other known determinants. Here we show that stump
32 survival and sprout growth in sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* Matt.) depend on deer browsing,
33 understory light availability and the diameter of the parent tree. By studying paired fenced-unfenced
34 plots, we confirmed that deer browsing decreased stump survival and inhibited sprout growth.
35 Furthermore, by taking advantage of a gradient in understory light availability in monospecific and
36 mixed stands of sessile oak and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.), we showed a clear positive linear
37 relationship between sprout growth and light availability. This relationship explained the observed
38 differences among stand composition types. Finally, we found that increased understory light
39 availability did not compensate for losses due to deer browsing. In the absence of deer browsing, our
40 results demonstrate that sessile oak stumps regenerate well under closed-canopy conditions and
41 maintain a moderate sprouting capacity at least until the age of 70-80 years old. Partial thinning
42 could therefore be a potential tool to renew light-demanding tree species such as sessile oak in
43 mixed high-forest stands. Nevertheless, we do not recommend coppicing sessile oak under closed
44 canopies unless the oak stumps are protected from deer browsing and understory light availability is
45 optimized as much as possible despite closed-canopy-management objectives.

46 **Keywords** (max 6): Coppice, Deer impact, Ecological threshold, Mixed forest, *Quercus petraea*, *Pinus*
47 *sylvestris*

48

49 1 Introduction

50 Deer populations have increased in numerous regions in the Northern Hemisphere (Apollonio *et al.*,
51 2010; Kaji *et al.*, 2010; Hewitt, 2011), where they have become locally overabundant. Several studies
52 report damage to forest dynamics and biodiversity. For example, high browsing pressure has led to
53 the biotic impoverishment and homogenization of forest understory plant communities (Rooney,
54 2009; Martin *et al.*, 2010; Boulanger *et al.*, 2018), and forest managers are finding it harder to
55 regenerate the forest (Gill, 1992; Putman and Moore, 1998; Danell *et al.*, 2003; Tremblay *et al.*,
56 2007).

57

58 Silvicultural systems where trees regenerate vegetatively by producing stump sprouts and where the
59 rotation is comparatively short are known as coppice forestry (Sjölund and Jump, 2013), and were
60 once quite common in many parts of Western and Central Europe in lowland temperate broadleaf
61 forests (Hédli *et al.*, 2010). In some parts of Europe, coppice forestry has recently been revived in
62 response to demands for nature conservation and renewable energy (Rydberg, 2000; Sjölund and
63 Jump, 2013). Classical forms of coppicing are based on clear felling at regular intervals, varying from
64 7 to 40 years (Müllerová *et al.*, 2016). Coppicing under closed canopy cover has also been
65 traditionally used in mountain regions as a protection against soil erosion (Nocentini, 2009).

66

67 Recently, other forms of coppicing have been developed to take advantage of sprouting after
68 thinning or partial cutting, this allows trees to regenerate under circumstances where the objectives
69 are to maintain a closed canopy for conservation purposes and to preserve mixed tree species
70 assemblages with minimal intervention (Götmark, 2013; Sjölund and Jump, 2013; Leonardsson and
71 Götmark, 2015). In this context, coppicing is seen as a way to renew and preserve light-demanding
72 tree species, such as oak, in association with more shade-tolerant tree species while maintaining a
73 continuous cover of mixed forests. Oaks are of particular interest as they are known to have strong
74 sprouting capacity and to maintain this ability well into old age (Del Tredici, 2001). The regeneration

75 of oaks in temperate forests is subject to much controversy among forest researchers and
76 practitioners (Götmark, 2007; Dey *et al.*, 2012; Bobiec *et al.*, 2018). Several factors have been
77 proposed to explain the regeneration failure of oaks such as low light levels associated with the
78 competition with shade-tolerant undergrowth (Kelly, 2002; Oliver *et al.*, 2005), browsing by large
79 herbivores (Buckley *et al.*, 1998; Kuiters and Slim, 2002; Götmark *et al.*, 2005) and large-scale
80 disturbances (Bobiec *et al.*, 2011; Dey *et al.*, 2017).

81

82 Many tree species respond to disturbances by sprouting to develop secondary replacement trunks
83 (*sensu* Del Tredici, 2001), thus rapidly regaining lost biomass and occupying space immediately after
84 the disturbance (Bond and Midgley, 2001). The ability to sprout is fairly common among adult trees
85 in all forest biomes (Vesk and Westoby, 2004) and plays an important role in driving forest dynamics
86 (Van Bloem *et al.*, 2007; Dietze and Clark, 2008; Edenius *et al.*, 2011; Marzano *et al.*, 2012). The
87 capacity of tree stumps to survive and sprout after cutting is influenced by multiple intrinsic and
88 extrinsic factors including the age and size of the parent tree (Del Tredici, 2001; Weigel and Peng,
89 2002; Matula *et al.*, 2012; Splichalova *et al.*, 2012), harvesting parameters such as cutting height and
90 season (Harrington, 1984; Xue *et al.*, 2013), the density of living residual trees and neighboring
91 stumps (Matula *et al.*, 2012; Svatek and Matula, 2015), thinning intensity and understory light
92 availability (Ducrey and Boisserie, 1992; O'Hara and Berrill, 2010) and damage by deer browsing or
93 pathogens (Kay, 1993; Cooke and Lakhani, 1996; Pyttel *et al.*, 2013; Forrester *et al.*, 2014; Marcais
94 and Desprez-Loustau, 2014). Most of these factors can be controlled by the forest manager in order
95 to optimize sprouting response after thinning or partial cutting, but the outcomes are uncertain as
96 results on sprouting responses are contradictory.

97

98 This is particularly true for the oak genus (*Quercus* sp.), where results diverge among studies. On the
99 one-hand, several studies of oaks have revealed negative relationships between parent tree
100 diameter and stump survival and sprout growth (Khan and Tripathi, 1986; Weigel and Peng, 2002;

101 Matula *et al.*, 2012; Splichalova *et al.*, 2012). On the other hand, other studies have found the
102 opposite relationship (Xue *et al.*, 2013) or no relationship at all (Leonardsson and Götmark, 2015).
103 Likewise, the effect of thinning intensity remains elusive. Thinning intensity affected stump survival
104 and sprout growth for *Quercus nigra* L. (Gardiner and Helmig, 1997), whereas a study on *Quercus*
105 *pagoda* Raf. found no or very little effect (Lockhart and Chambers, 2007). This indicates that
106 sprouting responses are either species-specific or interact with other factors not controlled for in the
107 studies, such as deer browsing, pathogens, competing understory vegetation or forest stand history.
108
109 The present study aimed to simultaneously take into account the presence of deer browsing, light
110 availability and neighboring species (stand composition) to study sprout survival and growth, and to
111 assess their magnitude. From a management point of view, the main goal of the study was to
112 evaluate whether partial thinning and the subsequent regrowth from cut stumps could be a potential
113 method of forest renewal for a light-demanding tree species, in this case sessile oak (*Quercus*
114 *petraea* Matt.), in high forest stands subjected to light to moderate deer browsing pressure. The
115 objectives of the study were two-fold:
116 1) First, we compared sessile oak stump mortality and sprout growth in the presence and absence of
117 deer in mono-specific and mixed stands of sessile oak and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). We
118 hypothesized a negative impact of deer browsing on stump survival and sprout growth (H1), and no
119 effect of stand composition on these parameters (H2).
120 2) Second, we compared the explanatory power of deer browsing in relation to other intrinsic and
121 extrinsic environmental factors potentially affecting stump mortality and sprout growth. We
122 hypothesized that a large parent-tree diameter would increase stump mortality (H3), while increased
123 light, small parent-tree diameters and low plant cover for neighboring vegetation would increase
124 sprout growth (H4).
125

126 2 Materials and Methods

127 2.1 Study site and sampling design

128 The study took place in the center of France, in the Orleans National Forest (France, 47°49' N, 2°29'
129 E) where elevation ranges from 107 m to 174 m above sea level. Throughout the forest, the soil is
130 relatively poor and acidic with a sandy clay-loam texture, and is classified as a planosol (IUSS Working
131 Group WRB, 2015). Superimposed layers of clay and sand lead to a temporary perched water table in
132 winter, but the low soil water storage capacity reduces available water for plants in summer. The
133 area has a temperate continental climate with an oceanic influence: mean annual temperature is
134 10.8 °C and mean annual rainfall is 729 mm based on 1981-2010 data from the SAFRAN and the ISBA
135 analytical platforms provided by Météo-France (Durand *et al.*, 1993).

136

137 Roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus* L.) and red deer (*Cervus elaphus* L.) are the main large herbivores,
138 while large predators are absent from the study area. Wild boar (*Sus scrofa* L.) is also present, but is
139 not known to cause any damage to oak sprouts, nor did we observe any damage that could be
140 attributed to wild boar browsing during the study period. During the 2013/2014 hunting season,
141 hunters killed on average 1.5 roe and 2.0 red deer individuals per square kilometer, indicating that
142 the population densities of roe and red deer are low to moderate (Supplementary Figure 1). The
143 study sites were equipped with camera traps (Moultrie 80XT) that were used to estimate local site
144 use (hereafter 'local density') (Supplementary Figure 1). The estimated local density integrates
145 habitat use and is not be confused with estimated absolute population density, as the camera traps
146 were set up in particular forest habitats and not randomly throughout the home range of the deer
147 population. We used a random encounter model (REM) to estimate local densities (Rowcliffe *et al.*,
148 2008; Lucas *et al.*, 2015). The average local density at the study plots was estimated as 0.041 ± 0.013
149 (mean \pm SE; n=6) and 0.11 ± 0.032 (n=6) individuals per square kilometer for red and roe deer,
150 respectively. The large discrepancy between these estimates of local densities and the hunting

151 statistics is mainly due to habitat selection. Our study plots were situated in mature forest stands
 152 with relatively sparse understory vegetation. These habitats are often avoided by deer, who prefer
 153 young dense forest stands, forest edges or open habitats (Latham *et al.*, 1996).

154

155 Six sites with paired fenced-unfenced 0.5-ha plots were set up in stands of sessile oak and Scots pine
 156 in the framework of the OPTMix experiment (Korboulewsky *et al.*, 2015). Fences were erected in the
 157 winter of 2013/2014 to exclude large wild ungulates; the fences are approximately 2.0 m tall with a
 158 mesh size of about 15 cm. Our selected stands were even-aged stands between 70 and 80 years old
 159 with a dominant height of between 18 and 21 m for oaks and between 19 and 24 m for pines. The 12
 160 plots, including the buffer zone (20 m wide), are all being managed under the same silvicultural
 161 treatments and they have similar forest stand characteristics (Table 1). The stands are relatively low
 162 density as a result of a dynamic management strategy which allows for understory regrowth from
 163 coppice stumps, similar to a coppice-with-standards (CWS) silvicultural system. The CWS system is
 164 composed of a two-story forest structure with a coppice understory and scattered taller single-
 165 stemmed trees as an overstory (Sjölund and Jump, 2013).

166

167 Table 1. Stand characteristics in 2015 of the paired fenced-unfenced study plots in even-aged mono-
 168 specific stands of sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* Matt.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.), and in even-
 169 aged mixed stands of sessile oak and Scots pine at the six study sites (O12, O214, O57, O216, O83,
 170 O200). N = number of stems per hectare; G = basal area per hectare at 1.3 m height; DBH = diameter
 171 at 1.3 m height; PACL_{OBS} = percentage of observed above-canopy light at five random spots and at 2
 172 m in height

	Oak		Mixed		Pine	
	O12	O214	O57	O216	O83	O200
<i>Unfenced</i>						
N _{oak} (stems/ha)	392	370	252	215	-	-
G _{oak} (m ² /ha)	14.5	14.7	9.9	8.1	-	-
DBH _{oak} (cm)	20.9 (6.0)	21.7 (5.8)	21.1 (7.4)	21.1 (5.9)	-	-

N_{pine} (ind/ha)	-	-	86	112	290	200
G_{pine} (m ² /ha)	-	-	10.6	9.3	25.4	19.5
DBH _{pine} (cm)	-	-	39.2 (6.1)	31.8 (6.1)	32.9 (5.5)	34.9 (4.9)
PACL _{OBS} (%)	21.4 (2.6)	19.8 (2.2)	29.8 (3.6)	30.2 (4.0)	36.3 (1.9)	34.3 (7.8)
<i>Fenced</i>						
N_{oak} (ind/ha)	354	294	194	170	-	-
G_{oak} (m ² /ha)	15.3	14.0	8.5	7.1	-	-
DBH _{oak} (cm)	22.6 (6.3)	24.0 (5.6)	22.5 (7.3)	21.8 (7.8)	-	-
N_{pine} (ind/ha)	-	-	94	116	239	202
G_{pine} (m ² /ha)	-	-	11.7	9.8	24.6	19.4
DBH _{pine} (cm)	-	-	39.3 (6.6)	32.0 (7.5)	35.8 (5.3)	34.7 (4.4)
PACL _{OBS} (%)	20.7 (3.7)	17.2 (4.2)	28.8 (4.8)	28.4 (3.9)	35.1 (4.2)	33.4 (1.7)

173

174 2.2 Stump mortality and sprout growth

175 The study plots were thinned during the 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 winter seasons (Table 2) in order
176 to reach targeted stand tree composition (monospecific and mixed stands) and densities (low
177 density). Cut stems were monitored in March 2015 and 2016, just before the start of the plant
178 growing season. A stump was considered dead if it had no living buds or shoots at the time of the
179 inventories. For all living stumps, the vertical height of the tallest shoot was measured as well as the
180 length of the five most vigorous shoots. Vertical shoot height was defined as the vertical distance
181 between the ground and the tip of the shoot and shoot length was defined as the distance following
182 the curvature of the stem from the base to the tip of the shoot. The five most vigorous shoots were
183 tagged in 2015 and re-measured in 2016. Sprout growth in the 2015 growing season was estimated
184 by calculating the difference in height/length of the shoots between the two measuring campaigns;
185 this figure was used in subsequent analyses on sprout growth capacity. Signs of deer browsing (twigs
186 showing a ragged tear or splintered cut on one side) and fraying (bark rubbed off by the antlers) or
187 other types of damages by rodents (twigs showing sharp and inclined scissor-like cuts, bark gnaws
188 and peeling), gall formations (abnormal outgrowths), plant tissue losses due to insect herbivory
189 (ragged leaf edges, leaves perforated, skeletonization, leaf mining patterns), fungal attacks

190 (discoloration, wilting, fruiting bodies), climatic events (dead tissue, wilting) and damage of other
 191 origins were recorded at the stump level.

192

193 In all, 301 oak stumps were monitored for two years (2015 and 2016) of which 148 (49.2%) were still
 194 alive at the end of the study. All stumps in the pure oak stands originated from single-stemmed
 195 parent trees, while 15-20% of the stumps in the pure pine and mixed stands originated from multi-
 196 stemmed parent trees. Multi-stemmed parent trees likely originated from former coppices, contrary
 197 to the single-stemmed parent trees which probably grew from seeds through natural regeneration or
 198 had been planted as seedlings. Furthermore, all the parent trees in the pure pine stands were
 199 subordinate oak trees before thinning, whereas in the mixed pine / oak and pure oak stands,
 200 respectively 92-96% and 75-77% of the parent trees were subordinate. Six hundred and sixty-six
 201 shoots were tagged in 2015, of which 563 shoots were re-measured in 2016 to calculate annual
 202 growth in length. The other 103 shoots had died. The overall average DBH_{parent} of cut trees was 15.9
 203 cm (SD = 4.7 cm, range 7-25.2 cm, n = 301).

204

205 Table 2. Date of thinning events and the number of oak stumps monitored at the six study sites in the
 206 paired fenced-unfenced 0.5-ha plots

	Oak		Mixed		Pine	
	O12	O214	O57	O216	O83	O200
Thinning						
Date	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Dec 2012	Nov 2013	Nov 2013	Feb 2014
Number of oak stumps						
Unfenced	29	30	27	30	16	21
Fenced	30	30	21	30	16	21

207

208 2.3 Covariates

209 For each of the 12 plots, all trees with a diameter above 7.5 cm DBH were inventoried and mapped
 210 before and after thinning. We used diameter at breast height of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}) as a
 211 potential explanatory variable and as a proxy for tree size.

212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236

Understory light availability at oak stump locations was estimated by a model based on the Beer-Lambert law, which predicts from data on basal area the percent of above-canopy light (PACL) that reaches the understory vegetation (Sonohat *et al.*, 2004; Balandier *et al.*, 2006). The model had been calibrated for mono-specific and mixed stands of sessile oak and Scots pine and its predictive ability evaluated for the plots concerned (see Perot *et al.*, 2017). As proposed by Perot *et al.* (2017), a single model was applied to both mono-specific and mixed stands as follows:

$$PACL_i = e^{(-b_{oak}G_{oak}-b_{pine}G_{pine})} + \varepsilon_i$$

where $PACL_i$ is the percent of above-canopy light at point i , G is the local basal area per hectare at point i , b is the coefficient of extinction and ε_i is the residual part of the model. Parameters and variables associated to sessile oak are annotated with the *oak* index and those associated with Scots pine are annotated with the *pine* index. PACL is defined as the ratio between below-canopy and above-canopy photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), which is the equivalent of the solar radiation transmittance of the tree canopy. Model predictions of PACL are hereafter referred to as PACL_{EST}.

Competition with the surrounding vegetation was determined within a radius of two meters centered on the stumps by visually estimating the vertical projection of plant cover of the most common and most dominant understory plant species (*Molinia caerulea* L., *Calluna vulgaris* L., *Pteridium aquilinum* L., *Rubus fruticosus* agg. L.). Seven classes were used: absence, cover <1%; 1% < cover < 5%; 5% < cover < 20%; 20% < cover < 50%; 50% < cover < 75%; and 75% < cover < 100%. We pooled the cover data before all subsequent analyses and assumed the independence of the spatial distribution of plant individuals. We applied the method developed by Fischer (2015) to estimate overall plant cover for the understory vegetation layer. The pooled plant cover was bounded between 0-100%.

237 2.4 Statistical analyses

238 Generalized mixed effects models (GLMM) were used to test the effect of deer browsing and stand
239 composition on oak stump mortality and sprout growth capacity. A random intercept model was
240 fitted as reference model to test for differences related to the experimental design, where stand
241 composition and herbivore exclusion and their interaction were fixed terms. For analyses at the
242 stump level, the random terms were composed of site and study plot nested within site in order to
243 take into account the nested sampling design of paired fenced-unfenced plots. For analyses at the
244 shoot level, stump was added as an additional random effect nested within plot. Then, in order to
245 see whether DBH_{parent} , $PACL_{EST}$ and plant cover of competing vegetation—potential covariates—
246 improved model fitting or could replace one of the fixed terms in the reference model, they were
247 added to the model which was then compared to the reference model. Finally, a minimal adequate
248 model was selected corresponding to the most parsimonious model. Model selection was based on
249 the Akaike information criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc) (Burnham and Anderson,
250 1998). When the difference between the minimum AICc value and the AICc values of the other
251 possible models was less than 2, we judged the models to be of equivalent explanatory power and
252 retained the most parsimonious one.

253

254 We used the maximum likelihood (ML) estimation method to compare and fit the models because
255 we were comparing models that had different fixed effects (Pinheiro and Bates, 2000). The final
256 selected models were fitted with the restricted maximum likelihood (REML) method in order to
257 better estimate the variance components (Pinheiro and Bates, 2000). Model efficiency (EF), which
258 can be used as a proxy for the proportion of variation explained in non-linear models (Mayer and
259 Butler, 1993), was calculated as follows:

260

$$EF = 1 - \frac{\sum(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum(y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$

261 where y_i is the observed value, \hat{y}_i is the fitted value and \bar{y} is the mean of the observed values. EF was
262 computed both with and without random effects, which allowed us to evaluate the proportions
263 explained by the fixed and the random part of the model.

264

265 For stump mortality, which is a binary variable (dead or alive), we used a binomial error distribution.

266 For shoot growth, which is a continuous variable, we used a normal error distribution. Statistical
267 analyses were performed with the R software, version 3.1.0 (R Development Core Team, 2011).

268 Mixed effects models were run with the `glmer` function of the `lme4` package for data with a binomial
269 error distribution (Bates *et al.*, 2014) and with the `lme` function of the `nlme` package for data with a
270 normal error distribution (Pinheiro *et al.*, 2011).


271

272 3 Results

273 3.1 Stump survival

274 Oak stump survival after 2-3 growing seasons in late winter 2016 was on average 1.6 times higher in
275 fenced than in unfenced plots (Figure 1). Adding DBH_{parent} enhanced model predictions (Table 3) and
276 showed a negative linear correlation between survival rate and DBH_{parent} (Figure 2). Although stand
277 composition and its interaction with herbivory were not retained in our most parsimonious model,
278 we observed a lower survival rate ($P_{oak}=0.03$ and $P_{pine}=0.02$) of oak stumps inside fenced plots in
279 mixed oak-pine stands (see Supplementary Figure 3).


280



281

282 Figure 1. Survival rate of oak stumps in late winter 2016 in fenced and unfenced plots. Values
 283 correspond to the predictions of the most parsimonious model (Table 3) and error bars represent the
 284 95% confidence interval around the model predictions, without taking random effects into account.

285



286

287 Figure 2. Observed survival (alive or dead) in 2016 of 301 oak stumps in relation to the diameter at
 288 breast height of the parent tree, DBH_{parent} , as well as the predicted survival rates for stumps in fenced
 289 (solid line) and unfenced (dotted line) plots taken from the most parsimonious $GLMM_{binom}$. For
 290 comparison, the results obtained by Splichalova *et al.* (2012) for equivalent tree ages and diameters
 291 are shown as a shaded gray interval.

292

293 Table 3. Summary of the statistics for the models predicting the survival rate (SURV) of sessile oak
 294 stumps in mono-specific and mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands

Model [§]	N	df	σ_{site}	σ_{plot}	AICc	EF _{full}	EF _{fix}
<i>Null model</i>							
SURV = INTERCEPT	301	3	<0.001	0.80	399.4	0.16	-
<i>Reference model (experimental design)</i>							
SURV = C + H + C × H	301	8	0.32	<0.001	396.5	0.15	0.10
SURV = H	301	4	0.37	0.21	397.8	0.16	0.037
<i>Diameter at breast height of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}) as covariate</i>							
SURV = C + H + C × H + DBH _{parent}	301	9	0.30	<0.001	393.1	0.17	0.12
SURV = C + H + C × H + DBH _{parent} + H × DBH _{parent}	301	10	0.31	<0.001	394.2	0.17	0.12
SURV = H + DBH_{parent}	301	5	0.19	0.42	394.6	0.18	0.051

295 [§]A first model was fitted that tested for differences related to the experimental design (reference model), where stand
 296 composition (C), herbivore exclusion (H) and their interaction were fitted as fixed terms. The random term was composed
 297 of site and study plot nested within site in order to take into account the nested sampling design (paired fenced-unfenced
 298 plots). DBH_{parent} was added as a covariate (including interaction terms) in order to see whether this variable improved
 299 model fitting or could replace one of the fixed terms in the first model. Model selection was based on the Akaike
 300 information criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc). Only the best models, with a difference in AICc of less than 2,
 301 are displayed. The most parsimonious models are shown in bold.


302

303 3.2 Sprout growth

304 The annual maximum height growth on oak stumps was greater in fenced than in unfenced plots
 305 (Figure 3a), the latter showing on average an annual height growth equal to zero in all stand
 306 compositions (Figure 3a). In fenced plots, sprout growth differed among stand compositions (Table
 307 4). Growth tended to be the strongest for oak stumps in mono-specific pine stands (mean ± CI;
 308 62.5±22.3 cm), intermediate in mixed oak-pine stands (31.8±16.9 cm) and the weakest in mono-

309 specific oak stands (22.0 ± 9.1 cm). The annual growth in length of the five most vigorous shoots per
310 stump showed a similar pattern (Figure 3b).

311



312

313 Figure 3. Predicted annual growth in (a) sprout height and (b) length of the five most vigorous shoots
314 from oak stumps during the 2015 growing season in fenced and unfenced plots in mono-specific and
315 mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval around the
316 model predictions.

317


318 Further, we found that annual height growth of shoots from oak stumps was equally well explained
319 by a model where composition was replaced by $PACL_{EST}$ (percent of above-canopy light). Although
320 the model with $PACL_{EST}$ had a slightly higher AICc ($\Delta = 0.61$) than the model with composition and
321 explained less of the variability (49% versus 51%) (Table 4), we gained in model simplicity. That is, the
322 model had fewer parameters because a continuous variable replaced a categorical variable with
323 three levels. Indeed, $PACL_{EST}$ differed at stump locations among stand compositions (Figure 4).
324 $PACL_{EST}$ was the greatest for stumps in pine stands (mean = 43%, min = 35%, max = 51%, SD = 4.1%, n
325 = 35), intermediate in mixed stands (mean = 33%, min = 24%, max = 45%, SD = 4.4%, n = 57) and the
326 least in oak stands (mean = 24%, min = 19%, max = 36%, SD = 3.3%, n = 71). In fenced plots, the

327 model predicted a 14.4 cm increase in annual height growth for every 10% increase in $PACL_{EST}$, while
 328 in unfenced plots the growth was not significantly different from zero irrespective of $PACL_{EST}$ (Figure
 329 4).

330

331 Adding DBH_{parent} or total plant cover as covariates did not improve model fitting for either annual
 332 sprout growth in height or annual growth in length of the five most vigorous shoots from oak stumps
 333 (Table 4 and Supplementary Table 1).

334



335

336 Figure 4. Observed annual growth in (a) sprout height and (b) length of the five most vigorous shoots
 337 from oak stumps during the 2015 growing season in fenced and unfenced plots in mono-specific and
 338 mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands in relation to the percent of above-canopy light ($PACL_{EST}$).

339 GLMM_{normal} model predictions for shoots in fenced (solid line) and unfenced (dotted line) plots are
 340 displayed.

341

342 Table 4. Summary of statistics for the models predicting annual height growth (HEIGHT) of sprouts on
 343 sessile oak stumps in mono-specific and mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands

Model [§]	N	df	σ_{site}	σ_{plot}	$\sigma_{resid.}$	AICc	EF _{full}	EF _{fix}
--------------------	---	----	-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	------	--------------------	-------------------

<i>Null model</i>								
HEIGHT = INTERCEPT	301	4	<0.001	554.5	507.2	1355.7	0.54	
<i>Reference model (experimental design)</i>								
HEIGHT = C + H + C × H	301	14	<0.001	<0.001	541.0	1291.6	0.51	0.51
<i>Diameter at breast height of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}) as covariate</i>								
HEIGHT = C + H + C × H + DBH_{parent}	301	15	<0.001	<0.001	540.4	1294.1	0.51	0.51
HEIGHT = C + H + C × H + DBH _{parent} + H × DBH _{parent}	301	16	<0.001	<0.001	534.4	1295.5	0.51	0.51
<i>Percentage of above-canopy light (PAC_{EST}) as covariate</i>								
HEIGHT = H + PAC_{EST} + H × PAC_{EST}	301	12	<0.001	<0.001	503.1	1292.2	0.49	0.49
HEIGHT = C + H + PAC _{EST} + H × PAC _{EST}	301	14	<0.001	<0.001	506.6	1293.4	0.50	0.50
<i>Total plant cover (COVER_{TOT}) as covariate</i>								
HEIGHT = C + H + C × H + COVER_{TOT}	301	15	<0.001	<0.001	543.0	1294.0	0.51	0.51
HEIGHT = C + H + C × H + COVER _{TOT} + H × COVER _{TOT}	301	16	<0.001	<0.001	533.6	1295.8	0.51	0.51
HEIGHT = C + H + C × H + COVER _{TOT} + C × COVER _{TOT}	301	17	<0.001	<0.001	545.7	1295.8	0.51	0.51

344 [§]A first model was fitted to test for differences related to the experimental design (reference model), where stand
345 composition (C), herbivore exclusion (H) and their interaction were fixed terms. The random term was composed of site and
346 study plot nested within site in order to take into account the nested sampling design (paired fenced-unfenced plots).
347 Covariates were added to the model to see whether they improved model fitting or could replace one of the fixed terms in
348 the first model. Model selection was based on the Akaike information criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc). Only
349 the best models with a difference in AICc of less than 2 are displayed. The most parsimonious models are shown in bold.
350

351 3.3 Shoot damage

352 All the stumps (100%) in the unfenced plots were browsed by deer and showed few signs of other
353 types of damage (13.0% in 2015 and 4.1% in 2016). Although no browsing by deer was observed
354 inside fenced plots, damage did occur: in 2015 and 2016, respectively, only 16.3% and 6.9 % of
355 stumps and 49.1% and 66.2% of tagged shoots were undamaged in the fenced plots. Some damage
356 in the fenced plots was unidentified but we were able to determine most causes: powdery mildew
357 attacks (36.9%₂₀₁₅ and 21.6%₂₀₁₆, though frost damage could not be excluded as plausible alternative

358 cause), browsing by hares and small rodents (<1%₂₀₁₅ and 4.0%₂₀₁₆), and parasitic damage mostly due
359 to gall formation and plant tissue losses through insect herbivory (<1%). Competing vegetation
360 hindered growth for less than <1% of shoots in 2015 and 3.1% in 2016. Oak shoots in pure pine stands
361 had less damage in 2015 (corresponding to the 2014 growing season) than the shoots in
362 monospecific oak and mixed stands (Supplementary Figure 2). Powdery mildew attacks (or possibly
363 frost damage) were less common in pine stands during the entire study period and less common in
364 mixed stands in 2016 (corresponding to the 2015 growing season) (Supplementary Figure 2b). An
365 increase in damage caused by rodents and lagomorphs as well as hindered growth by vegetation
366 competition was observed in monospecific pine and mixed stands in 2016 (Supplementary Figure
367 2c,d). Some parasitic attacks on oak shoots in monospecific oak stands were also observed in 2016,
368 but not in monospecific pine and mixed stands. Finally, concerning repeated seasonal damage, in
369 unfenced plots, the shoots tagged in 2015 that were found dead in 2016 had all but one been
370 browsed at the time of measurement during previous growing season (65 shoots). In fenced plots,
371 three quarters of the dead shoots had shown signs of damage by powdery mildew/frost (61%) and to
372 a lesser extent by rodent/lagomorph browsing (11%) during the previous growing season; one
373 quarter of the dead shoots had shown no signs of damage when measurements were taken during
374 the previous growing season.

375

376 4 Discussion

377 We examined the effect of deer browsing on oak stump survival and sprout growth capacity. In
378 accordance with H1, deer browsing negatively affected both the survival and growth of stump
379 sprouts. However, contrary to H2, oak sprout growth differed among the three stand composition
380 types (mono-specific and mixed oak/pine stands). In agreement with H4, we showed that these
381 differences were partly due to differences in understory light availability among stand composition
382 types. Contrary to H4, we found no effect on growth of total competing vegetation cover or diameter

383 at breast height of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}). Although DBH_{parent} had no effect on sprout growth, it
384 did have a relatively strong negative effect on stump survival, in agreement with H3.

385

386 4.1 Effects of deer browsing

387 Oak stumps experienced high browsing pressure: all the stumps in the unfenced plots were browsed
388 despite low to moderate deer population densities. Taking into account data from the camera trap
389 survey, local densities could even be considered very low. The high browsing pressure observed was
390 probably due palatability; stump sprouts are highly-preferred browse for deer, more than shoots
391 from seedlings and saplings that originate from seeds (Moore and Johnson, 1967). Consequently, and
392 in agreement with previous studies (Cooke and Lakhani, 1996; Espelta *et al.*, 2006; Cutini *et al.*, 2011;
393 Pyttel *et al.*, 2013; Forrester *et al.*, 2014; Royo *et al.*, 2016), we showed that deer browsing reduced
394 the height growth of oak stump shoots. Although stump sprouts are highly resilient to herbivory due
395 to their carbohydrate reserves (Bond and Midgley, 2001; Nzunda *et al.*, 2014), we showed that
396 sprout growth can still be totally inhibited. The mean maximum sprout height only reached the lower
397 limit of the browsing zone ('molar zone'; 0.2-1.8 m; Waller and Alverson, 1997; Frerker *et al.*, 2013).
398 In a short-term perspective, this is in line with the 'browsing lawn' concept (Cromsigt and Kuijper,
399 2011), in which intense browsing leads to increased resource availability (leaves and shoots within
400 the browsing height) and a proportional increase of palatable resources (oak sprouts) in the patch.
401 However, in a long-term perspective, it is likely that the positive effect of the immediate increase in
402 palatable food resources due to browsing will quickly fade away as stump mortality caused by the
403 same browsing increases (see below). Likewise, Cooke and Lakhani (1996) showed that ash sprouts
404 ceased to grow in height in unfenced areas that experienced high browsing pressure by muntjac
405 deer. However, forage preferences vary with tree species, and deer densities can differ greatly
406 among sites. This means that browsing pressure on sprouts can vary widely among forest areas (Royo
407 *et al.*, 2016). In other studies, the effect of deer browsing was less important, or even negligible, for
408 less palatable tree species than oak or in areas with apparently low deer population densities (Cutini

409 *et al.*, 2011; Royo *et al.*, 2016). These findings corroborate the idea that deer population densities do
410 not linearly translate into equivalent deer browsing impacts. Rather, they suggest that browsing
411 impact is context-dependent and depends on many factors other than deer density alone.

412

413 In line with the results obtained by Pyttel *et al.* (2013), we observed that oak stump mortality was
414 higher in unfenced plots. Probably the high browsing pressure on shoots and the repeated
415 consumption of sprouts in the unfenced areas increased stump mortality, similarly to what has been
416 shown for shrubs sprouting from below-ground organs (Moreno and Oechel, 1991). It is therefore
417 likely that repeated deer browsing depletes the plant's stored reserves (Paula and Ojeda, 2011;
418 Schutz *et al.*, 2011) and subsequently causes the plant to die (Canadell and Lopez-Soria, 1998). Royo
419 *et al.* (2016), on the other hand, found no relationship between deer browsing and tree stump
420 sprouting probability. None of the tested covariates or the correlations among covariates was able to
421 explain the difference in survival rate we found between mixed and mono-specific stands. Most
422 likely, the higher mortality in fenced mixed stands was due to higher attack rates of powdery mildew
423 (Supplementary Figure 2). Here it seems as if the oak stumps in pure pine stands benefited from the
424 absence of adult oak trees in the canopy which could be a local contamination source; much fewer
425 powdery mildew attacks were observed in pure pine stands. Powdery mildew infections are known
426 to be particularly severe on oak coppicing, but also to be present in mature trees where it is an
427 aggravating factor after insect defoliation (Marcais and Desprez-Loustau, 2014). It is also possible
428 that the observed lower survival rates were due to unknown differences in forest stand history such
429 as ancient forest fires or previous coppice treatments. However, we would then have expected a
430 lower survival rate in both the fenced and unfenced plots in the mixed stands, which was not the
431 case. Indeed, the survival rate in the unfenced mixed plots and the unfenced pure stands was similar
432 to the low rate in fenced mixed plots. Unknown covariates such as belowground interactions,
433 including competitive interactions, and fungal infections could also account for the observed
434 differences.

435

436 4.2 Effects of understory light availability

437 The quantity of light that reaches the forest floor is a strong determinant of growth, density and
438 species composition of trees, shrubs and herbaceous plants under closed canopy conditions (Kobe *et*
439 *al.*, 1995; Decocq *et al.*, 2004; Bartels and Chen, 2010). Not surprisingly, we found a positive linear
440 relationship between understory light availability and sprout height growth for oak stumps protected
441 from deer in the fenced plots. This is in agreement with other studies on oak stumps that have found
442 shoot height growth to be higher in heavily thinned stands than in lightly thinned ones (Ducrey and
443 Boisserie, 1992; Gardiner and Helmig, 1997). However, Lockhart and Chambers (2007) did not find
444 any significant differences between lightly and heavily thinned stands. In our unfenced plots, we
445 found that high understory light availability did not compensate for the impacts of deer browsing.
446 Height growth was nil irrespective of light levels, even at the highest observed light availability. We
447 believe that this was due to repeated browsing of shoots, which constantly kept them at the lower
448 limit of the browsing zone.

449

450 4.3 Effects of diameter at breast height of the parent tree

451 Tree age and size is a strong determinant of forest dynamics; inequalities in tree size affect tree
452 responses to disturbances (Merlin *et al.*, 2015), competitive interactions among neighbors
453 (Cordonnier and Kunstler, 2015) and productivity (Bourdier *et al.*, 2016). We showed that stump
454 mortality increased with increasing size of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}). These results are in line with
455 North American (Dey and Jensen, 2002; Weigel and Peng, 2002; Sands and Abrams, 2009) and other
456 European (Matula *et al.*, 2012; Splivalova *et al.*, 2012) studies of oak species. The predicted
457 decrease in stump survival between the smallest and the largest trees in our sample set (25.1%) was
458 equivalent to the observed drop in stump survival between fenced and unfenced plots (23.2%). From
459 a management perspective, these results imply that the sprouting probability is acceptable for small

460 sessile oak trees in the absence of deer browsing, while sprouting is unacceptably low for larger trees
461 in the presence of deer browsing. Compared to other European tree species, the survival rate of
462 sessile oak stumps seems to be intermediate, lying between strongly-sprouting tree species such as
463 the small-leaved lime (*Tilia cordata* Mill.) and European hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus* L.), and weakly-
464 sprouting tree species such as silver and downy birch (*Betula pendula* L. and *B. pubescens* L.) and
465 European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) (Rydberg, 2000; Matula *et al.*, 2012; Leonardsson and Götmark,
466 2015).

467

468 5 Conclusion

469 Our results show that deer browsing was the most important determinant of sprouting capacity with
470 strong effects on both stump survival and sprout growth. Diameter at breast height of the parent
471 tree (DBH_{parent} , a proxy for tree size) was as important as deer browsing with regard to stump
472 survival. For sprout growth, understory light availability was of lesser importance than deer
473 browsing. We confirmed the results of other studies that deer browsing increases stump mortality
474 and can even totally inhibit the height growth of coppice shoots under closed-canopy conditions,
475 even at low deer population densities. We conclude that deer browsing is an important factor
476 potentially responsible for explaining vegetative regeneration failures of oaks in temperate forests
477 and forest managers should therefore carefully consider the presence of deer when coppicing is the
478 desired management system. Furthermore, we do not recommend coppicing under closed canopy
479 cover as a silvicultural practice unless stumps are protected from deer browsing. Even at relatively
480 high light availabilities (PAFL = 50%) corresponding to relatively large canopy openings, light was not
481 sufficient to compensate for the losses due to deer browsing.

482

483 We also showed that understory light availability is a determinant for sprout growth, but that it did
484 not seem to have any major effect on stump survival. On the other hand, DBH_{parent} did have a

485 relatively strong negative effect on stump survival, though our results confirm that sessile oak
486 maintains an acceptably sprouting capacity into older age in the absence of deer browsing. These
487 results imply that partial thinning could be useful to renew sessile oak under closed canopy
488 conditions and to preserve light-demanding tree species in association with more shade-tolerant tree
489 species in mixed high-forest stands. However, one should interpret our results cautiously as our
490 study only spans two to three growing seasons, and does not take into consideration the long-term
491 survival of re-sprouts under closed canopy conditions.

492

493 Additionally, we showed that the effect of a covariate (in our case PACL) on a response variable
494 (sprout growth) might depend on another explanatory variable (deer browsing). These kinds of
495 interactions may explain why some previous studies have found contradictory results. More
496 specifically, in our study, browsing pressure cancelled or neutralized the effect of understory light
497 availability ($PACL_{EST}$) and might explain some previous results related to the effect of thinning
498 intensity. We therefore recommend that confounding factors be carefully taken into account and
499 that deer browsing should systematically be controlled for in the experimental design of future
500 studies on tree re-sprouting capacity.

501

502 6 Acknowledgements

503 This work was carried out at the OPTMix (Oak Pine Tree Mixture, <http://optmix.irstea.fr/>)
504 experimental site, managed by Irstea and supported by the Centre-Val de Loire Region and the
505 French National Forest Office (ONF). The work was financed by the French Ministry of the
506 Environment through a grant to AM (Contract DEB-Irstea "Gestion des milieux et biodiversité" 2016-
507 2017, action number 6). The experimental site belongs to the SOERE F-ORE-T network which is
508 supported annually by Ecofor, Allenvi and the French national research infrastructure ANAEE-F
509 (<http://www.anaee-france.fr/fr/>). We thank all the members of the OPTMix team for their technical

510 help. We are grateful to the ONF and the French National Agency for Wildlife (ONCFS) for providing
511 hunting statistics for the study site. We also address special thanks to Kamel Zouaydia and Jessica
512 Maurize for their field work. We thank Victoria Moore for her assistance in correcting the English
513 version of the manuscript.

514

515 7 References

516 Apollonio, M., Andersen, R., Putman, R., 2010. European ungulates and their management in the 21st
517 century. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

518 Balandier, P., Sonohat, G., Sinoquet, H., Varlet-Grancher, C., Dumas, Y., 2006. Characterisation,
519 prediction and relationships between different wavebands of solar radiation transmitted in
520 the understorey of even-aged oak (*Quercus petraea*, *Q. robur*) stands. *Trees* 20, 363-370.
521 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-006-0049-3>

522 Bartels, S.F., Chen, H.Y.H., 2010. Is understory plant species diversity driven by resource quantity or
523 resource heterogeneity? *Ecology* 91, 1931-1938. <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1376.1>

524 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2014. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen
525 and S4. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4>

526 Bobiec, A., Jaszcz, E., Wojtunik, K., 2011. Oak (*Quercus robur* L.) regeneration as a response to natural
527 dynamics of stands in European hemiboreal zone. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 130, 785-797.
528 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-010-0471-3>

529 Bobiec, A., Reif, A., Öllerer, K., 2018. Seeing the oakscape beyond the forest: a landscape approach to
530 the oak regeneration in Europe. *Landsc. Ecol.* 33, 513-528. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-018-0619-y)
531 [018-0619-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-018-0619-y)

532 Bond, W.J., Midgley, J.J., 2001. Ecology of sprouting in woody plants: the persistence niche. *Trends*
533 *Ecol. Evol.* 16, 45-51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(00\)02033-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)02033-4)

534 Boulanger, V., Dupouey, J.-L., Archaux, F., Badeau, V., Baltzinger, C., Chevalier, R., Corcket, E., Dumas,
535 Y., Forgeard, F., Mårell, A., Montpied, P., Paillet, Y., Picard, J.-F., Saïd, S., Ulrich, E., 2018.
536 Ungulates increase forest plant species richness to the benefit of non-forest specialists. Glob.
537 Chang. Biol. 24, e485-e495. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13899>

538 Bourdier, T., Cordonnier, T., Kunstler, G., Piedallu, C., Lagarrigues, G., Courbaud, B., 2016. Tree size
539 inequality reduces forest productivity: An analysis combining inventory data for ten
540 european species and a light competition model. PLoS ONE 11, e0151852.
541 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151852>

542 Buckley, D.S., Sharik, T.L., Isebrands, J.G., 1998. Regeneration of northern red oak: Positive and
543 negative effects of competitor removal. Ecology 79, 65-78. <https://doi.org/10.2307/176865>

544 Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 1998. Model selection and interference: a practical information-
545 theoretic approach. Springer-Verlag, New York.

546 Canadell, J., Lopez-Soria, L., 1998. Lignotuber reserves support regrowth following clipping of two
547 Mediterranean shrubs. Funct. Ecol. 12, 31-38. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
548 2435.1998.00154.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00154.x)

549 Cooke, A.S., Lakhani, K.H., 1996. Damage to coppice regrowth by muntjac deer *Muntiacus reevesi* and
550 protectin with electric fencing. Biol. Conserv. 75, 231-238. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-
551 3207\(95\)00070-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(95)00070-4)

552 Cordonnier, T., Kunstler, G., 2015. The Gini index brings asymmetric competition to light. Perspect.
553 Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 17, 107-115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2015.01.001>

554 Cromsigt, J.P.G.M., Kuijper, D.P.J., 2011. Revisiting the browsing lawn concept: Evolutionary
555 Interactions or pruning herbivores? Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 13, 207-215.
556 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2011.04.004>

557 Cutini, A., Bonghi, P., Chianucci, F., Pagon, N., Grignolio, S., Amorini, E., Apollonio, M., 2011. Roe deer
558 (*Capreolus capreolus* L.) browsing effects and use of chestnut and Turkey oak coppiced areas.
559 Ann. For. Sci. 68, 667-674. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-011-0072-4>

560 Danell, K., Bergström, R., Edenius, L., Ericsson, G., 2003. Ungulates as drivers of tree population
561 dynamics at module and genet levels. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 181, 67-76.
562 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(03\)00116-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(03)00116-6)

563 Decocq, G., Aubert, M., Dupont, F., Alard, D., Saguez, R., Wattez-Franger, A., Foucault, B.D., Delelis-
564 Dusollier, A., Bardat, J., 2004. Plant diversity in a managed temperate deciduous forest:
565 understorey response to two silvicultural systems. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 41, 1065-1079.
566 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-8901.2004.00960.x>

567 Del Tredici, P., 2001. Sprouting in temperate trees: A morphological and ecological review. *Bot. Rev.*
568 67, 121-140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02858075>

569 Dey, D.C., Gardiner, E.S., Schweitzer, C.J., Kabrick, J.M., Jacobs, D.F., 2012. Underplanting to sustain
570 future stocking of oak (*Quercus*) in temperate deciduous forests. *New For.* 43, 955-978.
571 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-012-9330-z>

572 Dey, D.C., Jensen, R.G., 2002. Stump sprouting potential of oaks in Missouri Ozark forests managed
573 by even- and uneven-aged silviculture. in: Shifley, S.R., Kabrick, J.M. (Eds.), *Proceedings of*
574 *the Second Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project Symposium: Post-treatment Results of*
575 *the Landscape Experiment*, St. Paul, MN: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, North
576 Central Forest Experiment Station, pp. 102-113.

577 Dey, D.C., Kabrick, J.M., Schweitzer, C.J., 2017. Silviculture to restore oak savannas and woodlands. *J.*
578 *For.* 115, 202-211. <https://doi.org/10.5849/jof.15-152>

579 Dietze, M.C., Clark, J.S., 2008. Changing the gap dynamics paradigm: Vegetative regeneration control
580 on forest response to disturbance. *Ecol. Monogr.* 78, 331-347. [https://doi.org/10.1890/07-](https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0271.1)
581 [0271.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0271.1)

582 Ducrey, M., Boisserie, M., 1992. Natural regrowth of holm oak coppice (*Quercus ilex* L.) following
583 partial cuts. *Ann. sci. for.* 49, 91-109. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19920202>

584 Durand, Y., Brun, E., Merindol, L., Guyomarc'h, G., Lesaffre, B., Martin, E., 1993. A meteorological
585 estimation of relevant parameters for snow models. *Ann. Glaciol.* 18, 65-71.
586 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260305500011277>

587 Edenius, L., Ericsson, G., Kempe, G., Bergström, R., Danell, K., 2011. The effects of changing land use
588 and browsing on aspen abundance and regeneration: A 50-year perspective from Sweden. *J.*
589 *Appl. Ecol.* 48, 301-309. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01923.x>

590 Espelta, J.M., Habrouk, A., Retana, J., 2006. Response to natural and simulated browsing of two
591 Mediterranean oaks with contrasting leaf habit after a wildfire. *Ann. For. Sci.* 63, 441-447.
592 <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2006024>

593 Fischer, H.S., 2015. On the combination of species cover values from different vegetation layers.
594 *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 18, 169-170. <https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12130>

595 Forrester, J.A., Lorimer, C.G., Dyer, J.H., Gower, S.T., Mladenoff, D.J., 2014. Response of tree
596 regeneration to experimental gap creation and deer herbivory in north temperate forests.
597 *For. Ecol. Manage.* 329, 137-147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.06.025>

598 Frerker, K., Sonnier, G., Waller, D.M., 2013. Browsing rates and ratios provide reliable indices of
599 ungulate impacts on forest plant communities. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 291, 55-64.
600 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.11.041>

601 Gardiner, E.S., Helmig, L.M., 1997. Development of water oak stump sprouts under a partial
602 overstory. *New For.* 14, 55-62. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006502107495>

603 Gill, R.M.A., 1992. A review of damage by mammals in north temperate forests: 3. Impact on trees
604 and forests. *Forestry (Oxf.)* 65, 363-388. <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/65.4.363-a>

605 Götmark, F., 2007. Careful partial harvesting in conservation stands and retention of large oaks
606 favour oak regeneration. *Biol. Conserv.* 140, 349-358.
607 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2007.08.018>

608 Götmark, F., 2013. Habitat management alternatives for conservation forests in the temperate zone:
609 Review, synthesis, and implications. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 306, 292-307.
610 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.06.014>

611 Götmark, F., Berglund, Å., Wiklander, K., 2005. Browsing damage on broadleaved trees in semi-
612 natural temperate forest in Sweden, with a focus on oak regeneration. *Scand. J. For. Res.* 20,
613 223-234. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02827580510008383>

614 Harrington, C.A., 1984. Factors influencing initial sprouting of red alder. *Can. J. For. Res.* 14, 357-361.
615 <https://doi.org/10.1139/x84-065>

616 Hédl, R., Kopecký, M., Komárek, J., 2010. Half a century of succession in a temperate oakwood: from
617 species-rich community to mesic forest. *Divers. Distrib.* 16, 267-276.
618 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00637.x>

619 Hewitt, D.G., 2011. *Biology and management of white-tailed deer*. CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group,
620 New York.

621 IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015. *World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015*.
622 International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps.
623 World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. FAO, Rome, p. 192.

624 Kaji, K., Saitoh, T., Uno, H., Matsuda, H., Yamamura, K., 2010. Adaptive management of sika deer
625 populations in Hokkaido, Japan: theory and practice. *Popul. Ecol.* 52, 373-387.
626 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-010-0219-4>

627 Kay, S., 1993. Factors affecting severity of deer browsing damage within coppiced woodlands in the
628 south of England. *Biol. Conserv.* 63, 217-222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207\(93\)90715-d](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(93)90715-d)

629 Kelly, D.L., 2002. The regeneration of *Quercus petraea* (sessile oak) in southwest Ireland: a 25-year
630 experimental study. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 166, 207-226. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00670-3)
631 [1127\(01\)00670-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00670-3)

632 Khan, M.L., Tripathi, R.S., 1986. Tree regeneration in a disturbed sub-tropical wet hill forest of north-
633 east India: Effect of stump diameter and height on sprouting of four tree species. *For. Ecol.*
634 *Manage.* 17, 199-209. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127\(86\)90112-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(86)90112-X)

635 Kobe, R.K., Pacala, S.W., Silander, J.A., Canham, C.D., 1995. Juvenile tree survivorship as a component
636 of shade tolerance. *Ecol. Appl.* 5, 517-532. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1942040>

637 Korboulewsky, N., Pérot, T., Balandier, P., Ballon, P., Barrier, R., Boscardin, Y., Emmanuelle, D.-R.,
638 Dumas, Y., Ginisty, C., Gosselin, M., Hamard, J.-P., Laurent, L., Mârell, A., NDiaye, A., Perret,
639 S., Rocquencourt, A., Seigner, V., Vallet, P., 2015. OPTMix: Dispositif expérimental de suivi à
640 long terme du fonctionnement de la forêt mélangée. *Rendez-vous techniques* 47, 60-70.

641 Kuiters, A.T., Slim, P.A., 2002. Regeneration of mixed deciduous forest in a Dutch forest-heathland,
642 following a reduction of ungulate densities. *Biol. Conserv.* 105, 65-74.
643 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207\(01\)00204-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00204-X)

644 Latham, J., Staines, B.W., Gorman, M.L., 1996. The relative densities of red (*Cervus elaphus*) and roe
645 (*Capreolus capreolus*) deer and their relationship in Scottish plantation forests. *J. Zool.* 240,
646 285-299. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1996.tb05285.x>

647 Leonardsson, J., Götmark, F., 2015. Differential survival and growth of stumps in 14 woody species
648 after conservation thinning in mixed oak-rich temperate forests. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 134, 199-
649 209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-014-0843-1>

650 Lockhart, B.R., Chambers, J.L., 2007. Cherrybark oak stump sprout survival and development five
651 years following plantation thinning in the lower Mississippi alluvial valley, USA. *New For.* 33,
652 183-192. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-006-9022-7>

653 Lucas, T.C.D., Moorcroft, E.A., Freeman, R., Rowcliffe, J.M., Jones, K.E., 2015. A generalised random
654 encounter model for estimating animal density with remote sensor data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*
655 6, 500-509. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12346>

656 Marçais, B., Desprez-Loustau, M.L., 2014. European oak powdery mildew: impact on trees, effects of
657 environmental factors, and potential effects of climate change. *Ann. For. Sci.* 71, 633-642.
658 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-012-0252-x>

659 Martin, J.-L., Stockton, S., Allombert, S., Gaston, A., 2010. Top-down and bottom-up consequences of
660 unchecked ungulate browsing on plant and animal diversity in temperate forests: lessons
661 from a deer introduction. *Biol. Invasions* 12, 353-371. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9628-8)
662 [9628-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9628-8)

663 Marzano, R., Lingua, E., Garbarino, M., 2012. Post-fire effects and short-term regeneration dynamics
664 following high-severity crown fires in a Mediterranean forest. *iForest* 5, 93-100.
665 <https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor0612-005>

666 Matula, R., Svatek, M., Kurova, J., Uradnicek, L., Kadavy, J., Kneifl, M., 2012. The sprouting ability of
667 the main tree species in Central European coppices: implications for coppice restoration. *Eur.*
668 *J. For. Res.* 131, 1501-1511. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-012-0618-5>

669 Mayer, D.G., Butler, D.G., 1993. Statistical validation. *Ecol. Model.* 68, 21-32.
670 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3800\(93\)90105-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3800(93)90105-2)

671 Merlin, M., Perot, T., Perret, S., Korboulewsky, N., Vallet, P., 2015. Effects of stand composition and
672 tree size on resistance and resilience to drought in sessile oak and Scots pine. *For. Ecol.*
673 *Manage.* 339, 22-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.032>

674 Moore, W.H., Johnson, F.M., 1967. Nature of deer browsing on hardwood seedlings and sprouts. *J.*
675 *Wildl. Manag.* 31, 351-353. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3798330>

676 Moreno, J.M., Oechel, W.C., 1991. Fire intensity and herbivory effects on postfire resprouting of
677 *adenostoma-fasciculatum* in southern california chaparral. *Oecologia* 85, 429-433.
678 <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00320621>

679 Müllerová, J., Pejcha, V., Altman, J., Plener, T., Dörner, P., Doležal, J., 2016. Detecting coppice legacies
680 from tree growth. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0147205. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147205>

681 Nocentini, S., 2009. Structure and management of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) forests in Italy. *iForest* 2,
682 105-113. <https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor0499-002>

683 Nzunda, E.F., Griffiths, M.E., Lawes, M.J., 2014. Resource allocation and storage relative to
684 resprouting ability in wind disturbed coastal forest trees. *Evol. Ecol.* 28, 735-749.
685 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-014-9698-7>

686 O'Hara, K.L., Berrill, J.P., 2010. Dynamics of coast redwood sprout clump development in variable
687 light environments. *J. For. Res.* 15, 131-139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10310-009-0166-0>

688 Oliver, C.D., Burkhardt, E.C., Skojac, D.A., 2005. The increasing scarcity of red oaks in Mississippi River
689 floodplain forests: Influence of the residual overstory. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 210, 393-414.
690 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.036>

691 Paula, S., Ojeda, F., 2011. Response to recurrent disturbance in two co-occurring resprouter heath
692 species: the ecological consequences of withstanding herbivores. *Plant Ecol.* 212, 2035-2045.
693 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-011-9927-x>

694 Perot, T., Mårell, A., Korboulewsky, N., Seigner, V., Balandier, P., 2017. Modeling and predicting solar
695 radiation transmittance in mixed forests at a within-stand scale from tree species basal area.
696 *For. Ecol. Manage.* 390, 127-136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.01.023>

697 Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., R Development Core Team, 2011. nlme: Linear and
698 Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>

699 Pinheiro, J.C., Bates, D.M., 2000. *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*. Springer, New York.

700 Putman, R.J., Moore, N.P., 1998. Impact of deer in lowland Britain on agriculture, forestry and
701 conservation habitats. *Mammal. Rev.* 28, 141-163. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2907.1998.00031.x)
702 [2907.1998.00031.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2907.1998.00031.x)

703 Pyttel, P.L., Fischer, U.F., Suchomel, C., Gärtner, S.M., Bauhus, J., 2013. The effect of harvesting on
704 stump mortality and re-sprouting in aged oak coppice forests. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 289, 18-27.
705 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.09.046>

706 R Development Core Team, 2011. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
707 <http://www.R-project.org/>

708 Rooney, T., 2009. High white-tailed deer densities benefit graminoids and contribute to biotic
709 homogenization of forest ground-layer vegetation. *Plant Ecol.* 202, 103-111.
710 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-008-9489-8>

711 Rowcliffe, J.M., Field, J., Turvey, S.T., Carbone, C., 2008. Estimating animal density using camera traps
712 without the need for individual recognition. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 45, 1228-1236.
713 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01473.x>

714 Royo, A.A., Kramer, D.W., Miller, K.V., Nibbelink, N.P., Stout, S.L., 2016. The canary in the coal mine:
715 Sprouts as a rapid indicator of browse impact in managed forests. *Ecol. Indic.* 69, 269-275.
716 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.04.030>

717 Rydberg, D., 2000. Initial sprouting, growth and mortality of European aspen and birch after selective
718 coppicing in central Sweden. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 130, 27-35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00187-5)
719 [1127\(99\)00187-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00187-5)

720 Sands, B.A., Abrams, M.D., 2009. Effects of stump diameter on sprout number and size for three oak
721 species in a pennsylvania clearcut. *North. J. Appl. For.* 26, 122-125.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1093/njaf/26.3.122>

723 Schutz, A.E.N., Bond, W.J., Cramer, M.D., 2011. Defoliation depletes the carbohydrate reserves of
724 resprouting *Acacia* saplings in an African savanna. *Plant Ecol.* 212, 2047-2055.
725 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-010-9883-x>

726 Sjölund, M.J., Jump, A.S., 2013. The benefits and hazards of exploiting vegetative regeneration for
727 forest conservation management in a warming world. *Forestry (Oxf.)* 86, 503-513.
728 <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpt030>

729 Sonohat, G., Balandier, P., Ruchaud, F., 2004. Predicting solar radiation transmittance in the
730 understory of even-aged coniferous stands in temperate forests. *Ann. For. Sci.* 61, 629-641.
731 <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2004061>

732 Splichalova, M., Adamec, Z., Kadavy, J., Kneifl, M., 2012. Probability model of sessile oak (*Quercus*
733 *petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.) stump sprouting in the Czech Republic. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 131, 1611-
734 1618. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-012-0628-3>

735 Svatek, M., Matula, R., 2015. Fine-scale spatial patterns in oak sprouting and mortality in a newly
736 restored coppice. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 348, 117-123.
737 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.03.048>

738 Tremblay, J.P., Huot, J., Potvin, F., 2007. Density-related effects of deer browsing on the regeneration
739 dynamics of boreal forests. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 44, 552-562. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
740 2664.2007.01290.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01290.x)

741 Van Bloem, S.J., Murphy, P.G., Lugo, A.E., 2007. A link between hurricane-induced tree sprouting,
742 high stem density and short canopy in tropical dry forest. *Tree Physiol.* 27, 475-480.
743 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.3.475>


744 Vesk, P.A., Westoby, M., 2004. Sprouting ability across diverse disturbances and vegetation types
745 worldwide. *J. Ecol.* 92, 310-320. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-0477.2004.00871.x>

746 Waller, D.M., Alverson, W.S., 1997. The white-tailed deer: a keystone herbivore. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* 25,
747 217-226.

748 Weigel, D.R., Peng, C.-Y.J., 2002. Predicting stump sprouting and competitive success of five oak
749 species in southern Indiana. *Can. J. For. Res.* 32, 703-712. <https://doi.org/10.1139/x02-042>

750 Xue, Y., Zhang, W., Zhou, J., Ma, C., Ma, L., 2013. Effects of stump diameter, stump height, and
751 cutting season on *Quercus variabilis* stump sprouting. *Scand. J. For. Res.* 28, 223-231.
752 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2012.723742>


753



755

756 Supplementary Figure 1. Estimated local density of red (*Cervus elaphus* L.) and roe (*Capreolus*
757 *capreolus* L.) deer at the study plots. A random encounter model (REM) was used to estimate local
758 densities (Rowcliffe et al., 2008; Lucas et al., 2015). Camera trapping was performed during three
759 four-week periods: February-March, May-June and November-December. During each four-week
760 period, a single camera per unfenced study plot was left in place for 6 consecutive days at each
761 corner of the study plot for a total of 24 days of survey per four-week period and 72 days of survey
762 per year. The cameras were placed 2.0 m above the ground. The traps were checked after each 6-day
763 period. The sensor detection zone parameters r and θ were estimated at 13.7 m and 45 degrees,
764 respectively. Data on animal speed movement, v , was taken from the literature with a mean speed of
765 1.13 and 0.57 m/s for red and roe deer, respectively (Pellerin et al., 2016). In the 1980s, red deer
766 populations were high and their impact on the forest was judged to be unacceptable by the local
767 forest managers. Since then, the population density has dropped as a consequence of increased
768 hunting efforts and today the local forest managers consider the situation to be satisfactory. This

769 hunting pressure corresponds to a harvesting level that has maintained a relatively stable deer
770 population for the last ten years.
771




772

773

774 Supplementary Figure 2. Observed damage other than deer browsing on tagged shoots in fenced
 775 plots: (a) all types of damage excluding deer browsing, (b) powdery mildew or frost damage, (c)
 776 browsing by rodents or lagomorphs, (d) parasitic attacks such as gall formation and plant tissue
 777 losses due to insect herbivory and (e) vegetation competition. All shoots in the unfenced plots were
 778 browsed by deer and other types of damages were negligible.

779

780




781

782 Supplementary Figure 3. Survival rate of oak stumps in late winter 2016 in fenced and unfenced plots

783 based on observed values in monospecific and mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands.

784



785

786 Supplementary Figure 4. Observed correlation pattern between total plant cover and PACL_{EST}. Total
787 plant cover is continuous data bounded between 0% and 100%. We therefore used a Beta error
788 distribution (Chen et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2008; Damgaard, 2014) belonging to the glmmadmb
789 function of the glmmADMB package (Fournier et al. 2012, Skaug et al. 2016).

790

791 Supplementary Table 1. Summary statistics of models predicting annual growth in length (LENGTH) of
 792 the five most vigorous shoots per sessile oak stump in mono-specific and mixed sessile oak/Scots
 793 pine stands

Model [§]	N	df	σ_{site}	σ_{plot}	σ_{stump}	$\sigma_{\text{resid.}}$	AICc	EF _{full}	EF _{fix}
<i>Null model</i>									
LENGTH = INTERCEPT	563	10	<0.001	381.9	38.4	473.4	4961.8	0.48	
<i>Reference model (experimental design)</i>									
LENGTH = C + H + C × H	563	15	<0.001	17.9	37.0	474.2	4934.8	0.47	0.37
<i>Diameter at breast height of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}) as covariate</i>									
LENGTH = C + H + C × H + DBH_{parent} + H × DBH_{parent}	563	17	<0.001	26.4	34.7	471.3	4934.5	0.47	0.38
<i>Percentage of above-canopy light (PACL_{EST}) as covariate</i>									
LENGTH = C + H + PACL_{EST} + C × PACL_{EST} + H × PACL_{EST}	563	17	<0.001	11.5	40.0	470.8	4932.5	0.48	0.38
LENGTH = C + H + PACL _{EST} + C × H + C × PACL _{EST} + H × PACL _{EST}	563	19	<0.001	11.4	39.8	471.7	4933.7	0.48	0.38
<i>Total plant cover (COVER_{TOT}) as covariate</i>									
LENGTH = C + H + C × H + COVER_{TOT}	563	16	<0.001	13.8	37.4	476.0	4934.7	0.47	0.37
LENGTH = C + H + C × H + COVER _{TOT} + C × COVER _{TOT}	563	18	<0.001	12.4	35.5	475.3	4934.8	0.46	0.37
LENGTH = C + H + C × H + COVER _{TOT} + H × COVER _{TOT}	563	17	<0.001	17.1	37.3	474.5	4935.5	0.47	0.37
LENGTH = C + H + C × H + COVER _{TOT} + H × COVER _{TOT} + C × H × COVER _{TOT}	563	21	<0.001	10.5	36.4	476.4	4936.1	0.47	0.38

794 [§]A first model was fitted to test for differences related to the experimental design (reference model), where stand
 795 composition (C), herbivore exclusion (H) and their interaction were fixed terms. The random term was composed of site,
 796 study plot nested within site and stump nested within study plot in order to take into account the nested sampling design
 797 (paired fenced-unfenced plots). Covariates were added to the model to see whether they improved model fitting or could
 798 replace one of the fixed terms in the first model. Model selection was based on the Akaike information criterion adjusted
 799 for small sample size (AICc). Only the best models with a difference in AICc of less than 2 are displayed. The most
 800 parsimonious models are shown in bold.

801 **Supplementary references**

802 Chen, J., Shiyomi, M., Bonham, C.D., Yasuda, T., Hori, Y., Yamamura, Y., 2008. Plant cover estimation
803 based on the beta distribution in grassland vegetation. *Ecol. Res.* 23, 813-819.

804 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-007-0443-3>

805 Chen, J., Shiyomi, M., Yamamura, Y., Hori, Y., 2006. Distribution model and spatial variation of cover
806 in grassland vegetation. *Grassl. Sci.* 52, 167-173. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744->

807 [697X.2006.00065.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-697X.2006.00065.x)

808 Damgaard, C., 2014. Estimating mean plant cover from different types of cover data: a coherent
809 statistical framework. *Ecosphere* 5:1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1890/ES13-00300.1>

810 Fournier, D.A., Skaug, H.J., Ancheta, J., Ianelli, J., Magnusson, A., Maunder, M., Nielsen, A. and Sibert,
811 J. 2012. AD Model Builder: using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of highly

812 parameterized complex nonlinear models. *Optim. Methods Softw.* 27, 233-249.

813 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10556788.2011.597854>.

814 Lucas, T.C.D., Moorcroft, E.A., Freeman, R., Rowcliffe, J.M., Jones, K.E., 2015. A generalised random
815 encounter model for estimating animal density with remote sensor data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*

816 6, 500-509. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12346>

817 Pellerin, M., Picard, M., Saïd, S., Baubet, E., Baltzinger, C., 2016. Complementary endozoochorous
818 long-distance seed dispersal by three native herbivorous ungulates in Europe. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*

819 17, 321-332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2016.01.005>

820 Rowcliffe, J.M., Field, J., Turvey, S.T., Carbone, C., 2008. Estimating animal density using camera traps
821 without the need for individual recognition. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 45, 1228-1236.

822 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01473.x>

823 Skaug, H., Fournier, D., Bolker, B., Magnusson, A., Nielsen, A. 2016. Generalized Linear Mixed Models
824 using 'AD Model Builder'. R package version 0.8.3.3.

825