Combinatorial Designs and Hypergraphs of Diameter One

Jean-Claude Bermond, Johnny Bond

► To cite this version:

Jean-Claude Bermond, Johnny Bond. Combinatorial Designs and Hypergraphs of Diameter One. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1989, 576 (1 Graph Theory), pp.51-62. 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1989.tb16382.x . hal-02493603

HAL Id: hal-02493603

<https://hal.science/hal-02493603>

Submitted on 27 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

COMBINATORIAL DESIGNS

AND

HYPERGRAPHS OF DIAMETER ONE

J-C. Bermond and J. Bond

* Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique
U.A. 410 du C.N.R.S., Université de Paris Sud
F-91405 ORSAY Cedex

Abstract

The problem considered here is motivated by the study of combinatorial properties of interconnection networks, where the processors share a communication medium like a bus. It consists in finding the maximum number of vertices of a hypergraph of maximum degree Δ , maximum edge-size r and diameter D . Here we consider the case $D = 1$, that is when each pair of vertices belongs to at least one edge. In [6], we solved the case Δ small and also the case $r \leq 3$. Here we solve completely the case $r = 4$; we show that the maximum number of vertices is 3Δ for $\Delta \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and $3\Delta+1$ otherwise, thus answering a problem of [6]. This leads also to study new covering designs related to the work of C. Huang, E. Mendelsohn and A. Rosa [11]. In particular we prove that there exists a decomposition of K_v into a K_{10} and K_4 's if and only if $v \equiv 7$ or $10 \pmod{12}$, $v \neq 7, 19, 22$.

* research partially supported by P.R.C. Math Info

1. Introduction

Recent advances in technology, especially the advent of very large scale integrated (VLSI) circuit technology have enabled very complex interconnection networks to be constructed. Thus it is of great interest to study the topologies of interconnection networks, and, in particular, their associated graphical properties. Usually point to point connections are considered and the computer network is modeled by a graph in which the nodes or vertices correspond to the computer centers in the network and the edges correspond to the communication links. Surveys on classical interconnection networks can be found in [4, 7, 9].

Here we consider the case in which the computers share a communication medium such as a bus; the network is modeled by a hypergraph, where the nodes correspond to the computer centers and the (hyper)edges to the buses.

Although these networks are now less used than the classical ones with point-to-point connections, their importance is growing and they might be very important in the near future. Our interest in this subject came from discussions with persons working at the French National Telecommunication Center (CNET), on a project called REBUS.

One important measure of the power of an interconnection network is the length of the longest path that the messages must travel from one node to another in the network, i.e. the distance between the nodes. It is advantageous to make these distances as small as possible, since this will reduce the message delay and also the message traffic density in the links. Worst case distance corresponds to the diameter of the associated graph or hypergraph. Similarly other network characteristics correspond to parameters of graphs or hypergraphs, e.g. mean distance, symmetry, connectivity, ...

Furthermore physical limitations usually restrict the number of connections for each processor; for bus connection networks it means that a node must not belong to too many buses or equivalently that each vertex has a bounded degree.

For bus connection networks one more constraint is to be considered: the traffic load on each bus is limited. Since, for general networks without symmetry properties, the traffic per bus is not easily calculated, we will restrict our study to networks with some uniformity and, to a first approximation, replace the constraint of traffic load by the limitation of the number of processors connected to each bus. This means that the size r of the edges of the associated hypergraph is bounded.

It is easy to see that it is impossible to optimize all the criteria at the same time: a comparison of some different criteria on a few structures has been done by Wittie [14]; see also [5]. Here we consider the extremal problem of determining the

maximum number of vertices of a bus network with given maximal degree, maximal bus-size and diameter. We restrict our attention to the case of diameter one, pursuing the study initiated in [6]. For other cases in particular $\Delta = 2$ and references on bus networks see [5].

2. Notation and definitions

We shall follow the terminology of Berge's book [3].

- $H = (X, E)$ will denote a *hypergraph* with vertex set X and edge set E
- the *degree* of a vertex is the number of edges containing it
- the *maximum degree* will be denoted by Δ
- the *maximal size of an edge* will be denoted by r
- if all the edges have size r , the hypergraph is said *r -uniform* (also sometimes called *r -graph* in the literature)
- a *path* connecting x and y in a hypergraph is a sequence $x = x_1, E_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, E_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, E_p, x_{p+1} = y$ with $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \subseteq E_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.
- the *length* of a path will be the number of its edges
- the *distance* between two vertices is the length of a shortest path between them
- the *diameter* of the hypergraph is the maximum of the distances over all pair of vertices.

Therefore, a hypergraph is of diameter 1 if and only if any pair of vertices belongs to at least one edge.

3. The $(\Delta, 1, r)$ -hypergraph problem

Let us call a hypergraph of diameter D , maximum degree Δ and maximum size of edges r , a (Δ, D, r) -hypergraph. The problem considered in the introduction consists in determining the maximum number $n(\Delta, D, r)$ of vertices of a (Δ, D, r) -hypergraph. Here we deal with the case $D = 1$.

Let us recall first some results of [6]:

Proposition 1: $n(\Delta, 1, r) \leq 1 + \Delta(r-1)$.

Proposition 2: $n(\Delta, 1, r) = 1 + \Delta(r-1)$ if and only if there exists a $(v, r, 1)$ -design with $v = 1 + \Delta(r-1)$.

Proposition 3: $n(\Delta, 1, 3) = 2\Delta + 1$ if $\Delta \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$

and

$n(\Delta, 1, 3) = 2\Delta$ if $\Delta \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Proposition 4: (i) $n(\Delta, 1, 4) = 3\Delta + 1$ if $\Delta \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$

(ii) $n(\Delta, 1, 4) = 3\Delta$ if $\Delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

(iii) $n(\Delta, 1, 4) = 3\Delta$ or $3\Delta - 1$ if $\Delta \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

It is easy to prove that $n(3, 1, 4) = 8$ which is $3\Delta - 1$. In [6], we conjectured that $n(\Delta, 1, 4) = 3\Delta$ for $\Delta \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\Delta > 3$. The original aim of this article was to prove this conjecture. Different tentatives to solve it gave rise to some new problems in design theory, which are interesting in themselves.

Main Theorem : $n(\Delta, 1, 4) = 3\Delta$ if $\Delta \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\Delta > 3$.

4. Notations of design theory

We use the classical notations in design theory [10]. Let K be a set of integers, λ and v be integers. A *pairwise balanced design* $B(K, \lambda, v)$ is a collection of subsets, called *blocks*, of a given set on v vertices, such that the size of each block belongs to K and each pair of vertices belongs to exactly λ blocks. In what follows, we will always consider the case $\lambda = 1$ and we will denote a $B(K, 1, v)$ shortly by $B(K, v)$. A $B(K, v)$ design can be viewed as a partition of the edges of the complete graph on v vertices into complete subgraphs, each of size belonging to K . A $B(K, v)$ can also be considered as a hypergraph with vertex set of cardinality v , edges of size belonging to K and of diameter one.

We will denote by $B(K)$ the set of values of v for which there exists a $B(K, v)$ design. For example $B(3)$ is the set of values for which there exists a Steiner triple system and it is well known that $B(3) = \{v \mid v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{6}\}$. It is also known that $B(4) = \{v \mid v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{12}\}$. When all the blocks have the same size k except one which has size m , we will write $B(\{k, m^*\}, v)$ and $B(\{k, m^*\})$ (the star meaning that there exists exactly one block of size m). For example Brouwer's theorem in [8] states that $B(4, 7^*) = \{v \mid v \equiv 7 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{12}, v \neq 10, 19\}$.

If furthermore the blocks can be partitioned into parallel classes (where a parallel class form a partition of the vertices), then the design is called *resolvable* and denoted by $RB(K, v)$ and the set of values of v for which there exists a $RB(K, v)$ by $RB(K)$. For example in the case $K = \{3\}$ a $RB(3, v)$ is known as a Kirkman triple system and it has been proved by Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson in [13], that $RB(3) = \{v \mid v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}\}$.

5. Short proof of the main theorem

Main Theorem: $n(\Delta, 1, 4) = 3\Delta$ if $\Delta \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\Delta > 3$.

Proof: Let $\Delta = 4t+3$ and $n = 3\Delta = 12t+9$ with $t \geq 1$. Brouwer's theorem [8] shows that there exists a $B(\{4, 7^*\}, v)$ for $v \equiv 7$ or $10 \pmod{12}$, $v \neq 10, 19$. In particular for $t \geq 1$, there exists a decomposition of K_{12t+10} into K_4 's and exactly one K_7 . Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_7$ be the vertices of the K_7 . Consider the hypergraph on $12t+9$ vertices obtained by deleting the vertex v_7 , the edges of the K_7 constructed on the vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_7$ and adding the three quadruples $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, $\{v_1, v_2, v_5, v_6\}$, $\{v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$; this hypergraph is clearly of diameter one, edge size at most 4 (all the K_4 's containing v_7 are now K_3 's) and the maximum degree is $4t+3$. Indeed a vertex v_i was in $4t+1$ K_4 's and a K_7 , and therefore it belongs now to $4t+3$ K_4 's. Any other vertex was in $4t+3$ K_4 's and now it belongs to $4t+2$ K_4 's and one K_3 .

□

6. Structural properties

Proposition: If there exists a $(\Delta, 1, 4)$ -hypergraph on 3Δ vertices then any vertex x is of degree Δ and of one of the following kinds:

type a: x belongs to exactly one K_3 and furthermore the intersection of any two edges containing it is reduced to this vertex.

type b: x belongs only to K_4 's and there exists a unique vertex y such that the pair $\{x, y\}$ belongs to exactly two K_4 's, otherwise for any other vertex z , the pair $\{x, z\}$ belongs to exactly one K_4 .

Proof: Let x be a given vertex. The diameter being 1, any vertex different from x must belong to an edge containing x . Suppose x belongs to δ_i edges of size i ($i = 2, 3, 4$). We have $\delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4 \leq \Delta$ and $n \leq 1 + \delta_2 + 2\delta_3 + 3\delta_4$. If $\delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4 = d(x) \leq \Delta - 1$, then $n \leq 3(\Delta - 1) + 1 = 3\Delta - 2$, a contradiction. Therefore every vertex is of degree Δ . We cannot have $\delta_2 \geq 1$, otherwise $n \leq 3(\Delta - 1) + 2 = 3\Delta - 1$. We cannot have $\delta_3 \geq 2$, otherwise $n \leq 3(\Delta - 2) + 5 = 3\Delta - 1$. If $\delta_3 = 1$, then $n \leq 3\Delta$ and the equality is possible if and only if all the edges containing x are disjoint (except in x). If $\delta_3 = 0$, $n = 3\Delta$ implies that exactly two edges intersect in two vertices x and y .

□

Let n_a be the number of vertices of type a and n_b those of type b. By the proposition above we have:

$$n_a + n_b = 3\Delta$$

$$n_a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$n_b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

That implies $n_b \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Furthermore if $\Delta = 4t+3$ then $n_a \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$.

Note that $n_b = 0$ is impossible, otherwise by adding a new vertex to all the K_3 's (which are disjoint) that will give rise to a decomposition of K_{12t+10} into K_4 's which does not exist. So the smallest possible value for n_b is 6 and that was the key of the construction in the proof of the main theorem, where we have exactly six vertices of type b, i.e. $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_6$. In what follows we consider what happens for different admissible values of n_a and n_b .

7. A construction for the case $\Delta \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$

Here we propose a construction, for the case $\Delta \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$, in which the number of vertices of type a and the number of vertices of type b are increasing with Δ .

Suppose $\Delta = 12t+7$, and we have $n = 36t+21$ vertices. We shall construct a $(12t+7, 1, 4)$ -hypergraph with $24t+15$ vertices of type a and $12t+6$ vertices of type b. As $24t+15 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, there exists a Kirkman Triple System, $RB(3, 24t+15)$, covering our vertices of type a. Let S_i , $1 \leq i \leq 12t+7$ denote the parallel classes; each of them contains $8t+5$ triples. Let b_i , $1 \leq i \leq 12t+6$ be the vertices of type b. To each triple of S_i , $1 \leq i \leq 12t+6$ we add the vertex b_i , therefore obtaining edges of size 4. On the set of vertices of type b, of size $12t+6$, we construct a $(4t+2, 1, 4)$ -hypergraph (by proposition 4-(ii)). In this way we obtain a hypergraph of diameter 1, maximal edge size 4. Each vertex of type a belongs to $12t+7$ triplets in the Kirkman Triple System, and therefore is of degree $12t+7$. A vertex b_i , belongs to $4t+2$ edges of the hypergraph constructed on the vertices of type b and to $8t+5$ quadruples obtained from the triples of the parallel class S_i . Its degree is also $12t+7$.

8. Possible extensions

In the case $\Delta = 4t+3$, the smallest possible value for n_a is 3. If there exists a $(4t+3, 1, 4)$ -hypergraph containing 3 vertices of type a, then by deleting these vertices we obtain a decomposition of the edges of a K_{12t+6} plus a perfect matching (constructed on the vertices of type b) into K_4 's and 3 parallel classes of K_3 's. Conversely if there exist a decomposition of a K_{12t+6} plus a perfect matching into K_4 's and 3 parallel classes of K_3 's, we can construct easily a $(4t+3, 1, 4)$ -hypergraph on $12t+9$

vertices by adding three vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 , and adding them respectively to the triples of each class. Therefore another solution to our problem could be found if we can solve the following conjecture:

~~*~~ **Conjecture** : K_{12t+6} plus a perfect matching can be decomposed into K_4 's and three parallel classes of K_3 .

More generally, suppose we have a decomposition of K_{12t+9} with n_a vertices of type a and that the edges of the K_{n_a} constructed on the n_a vertices can be partitioned into K_4 's and a parallel class of K_3 . That can be done if and only if $n_a \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{12}$. Indeed if we add a vertex we must obtain a $B(4, n_a+1)$ design. Then, by deleting these n_a vertices what remains is a decomposition of K_{n_b} plus a perfect matching into K_4 's and n_a parallel classes of K_3 's. Note that it implies that $2n_a \leq n_b$. An interesting case is when $2n_a = n_b$. If $n = 12t+9$ this implies $n_a = 4t+3$ and $n_b = 8t+6$, and with the constraint $n_a \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$ we have $t = 3k$. In this case we must have a decomposition of K_{24k+6} plus a perfect matching into parallel classes of K_3 .

More generally we conjecture:

~~*~~ **Conjecture**: K_{6t} plus a perfect matching can be decomposed into parallel classes of K_3 ($t \geq 3$).

This problem is similar to the problem of decomposing K_{6t} minus a perfect matching into parallel classes of K_3 , ("nearly Kirkman Triple systems", see the article of Baker and Wilson [2]).

Example for $6t = 18$:

Let $X = (\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ then 9 parallel classes are:

$$C_i = \{(i,0), (i+1,0), (i+3,0)\} \cup \{(i+2,0), (i+7,0), (i+2,1)\} \cup \{(i+8,0), (i+1,1), (i+7,1)\} \cup \\ \cup \{(i+4,0), (i,1), (i+5,1)\} \cup \{(i+5,0), (i+6,1), (i+8,1)\} \cup \{(i+6,0), (i+3,1), (i+4,1)\}.$$

The other cases give rise to the general problem.

Problem: For what values of t and m can K_{6t} plus a perfect matching be decomposed

* This conjecture have been quite completely solved by Assaf, Mendelsohn and Stinson [1] who proved it for $t > 22$.

xx This conjecture has been proved by Mills, who proved also the main theorem [Combinatorica 54 (1986) 137-143]

into K_4 's and m classes of K_3 (where $m \leq 3t$).

The case $m = 0$ follows from the fact that the edges of K_{6t} can be covered with $3t^2$ quadruples (see Mills in [12]). In such a covering each vertex belongs exactly to $2t$ K_4 's, and therefore for each vertex there is exactly one edge covered twice. That is equivalent to say that K_{6t} plus a perfect matching can be decomposed into K_4 's.

9. Existence of $B(\{4, 10^*\}, v)$

Now let us fix $n_b = 12k+6$. Similarly as in the proof of the main theorem, we can obtain a $(4t+3, 1, 4)$ -hypergraph on $n = 12t+9$ vertices ($t \geq k$), if we can decompose K_{n+1} into a K_{n_b+1} and K_4 's; indeed as we have seen just above K_{n_b} plus a perfect matching can itself be decomposed into K_4 's. Let $m = n_b+1$, then this problem is equivalent to the existence of a $B(\{4, m^*\}, v)$ for $m = 12k+7$. More generally one can ask the following problem:

Problem: Determine $B\{4, m^*\}$ for $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. *

Note that this problem was first considered by Huang, Mendelsohn and Rosa in [11]. In fact they defined a $PRP\ 2-(3, 4, v, m)$, as a partition of K_v into K_4 's and m parallel classes of K_3 . By adding m points each joined to the triples of a parallel class from a $PRP\ 2-(3, 4, v, m)$ we obtain a $B(\{4, m^*\}, v+m)$ design.

Necessary conditions for the existence of a $B(\{4, m^*\}, v)$ are

$$v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{12} \text{ if } m \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{12}$$

$$v \equiv 7 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{12} \text{ if } m \equiv 7 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{12}$$

$$\text{and if } v \neq m, v \geq 3m+1.$$

Indeed the number of edges of $K_v - K_m$ must be a multiple of 6, that is $v(v-1) \equiv m(m-1) \pmod{12}$; furthermore the degree must be a multiple of 3 that is $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Finally as the existence of such a design corresponds to a decomposition of K_{v-m} into K_4 's and m parallel classes of K_3 's, the degree of any vertex of K_{v-m} is at least $2m$, that is $v-m-1 \geq 2m$ or $v \geq 3m+1$.

For $m = 4$ the existence of a $B(\{4, m^*\}, v)$ corresponds to the existence of a $B(4, v)$ design. For $m = 7$ Brouwer's theorem shows that these necessary conditions are sufficient, that is

$$B(\{4, 7^*\}) = \{v \mid v \equiv 7 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{12}; v \neq 10, 19\}.$$

Here we prove that they are also sufficient for $m = 10$.

* This problem has been recently solved (except in a few number of cases) by (Shen and others) (1980)

Theorem: $B(\{4, 10^*\}) = \{v \mid v \equiv 7 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{12}; v \neq 7, 19, 22\}$.

Proof: We are looking for a decomposition of $K_v - K_{10}$ into K_4 's or equivalently a decomposition of K_{v-10} into K_4 's and 10 parallel classes of K_3 's. The proof proceeds exactly as Brouwer's one.

Lemma 1: If $3t+m$ and $3h+m \in B(\{4, m^*\})$ and $t \geq h$ then $12t+3h+m \in B(\{4, m\})$.

Proof (similar to lemma 2, p 282 of [8]): If $v = 12t+3h+m$, $K_v - K_m$ is the disjoint union of four $K_{3t+m} - K_m$, a $K_{3h+m} - K_m$ and the multipartite graph $K_{3t, 3t, 3t, 3t, 3h}$. The $K_{3t+m} - K_m$ and $K_{3h+m} - K_m$ can be decomposed into K_4 's by hypothesis. As $3t+m \in B(\{4, m^*\})$ then $t \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ therefore there exists a transversal design $T(5, 1, v)$ (or 3 orthogonal latin squares of order v) or equivalently a decomposition of $K_{t, t, t, t, t}$ into K_5 's. Therefore $K_{t, t, t, t, h}$ for $h \leq t$ can be decomposed into K_5 's and K_4 's and as $K_{3, 3, 3, 3, 3}$ and $K_{3, 3, 3, 3}$ can be decomposed into K_4 's, and it follows that $K_{3t, 3t, 3t, 3t, 3h}$ can be decomposed into K_4 's. □

That reduces the determination of $B(\{4, m^*\})$ to the verification of the existence of a finite number of $B(\{4, m^*\})$ designs. For $m = 10$ it suffices to prove the existence of a $B(\{4, m^*\}, v)$ for $v = 31, 34, 43, 46, 55, 58, 67, 70, 79, 82, 91, 103, 118, 139, 151, 199$.

Lemma 2 (Brouwer, Lemma 7 in [8]): For $t > 1$, $9t+4 \in B(\{4, 3t+1^*\})$.

Here with $t = 3$ we have $31 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$; with $t = 11$ we have $103 \in B(\{4, 34^*\})$ and therefore to $B(\{4, 10^*\})$ if $34 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$. Similarly with $t = 15$ $139 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$ if $46 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$.

Lemma 3 (similar to Lemma 10 of [8]): If $1 \leq s \leq 4t+1$ and $3s+1 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$, then $36t+3s+13 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$.

Here

- with $s = 3, t = 1$ we have $58 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$
- with $s = 10, t = 3$ we have $151 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$
- with $s = 14, t = 4$ we have $199 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$.

Lemma 4: If $t+6 \in B(\{4, 7^*\})$ then $4t+6 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$.

Proof: Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4 \cup Y$ with $|Y| = 6$ and $|X_i| = t$. Then the multipartite graph constructed on $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4$ isomorphic to $K_{t, t, t, t}$ can be decomposed into K_4 's as

$t \neq 2, 6$. Choose a particular K_4 , $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ with $x_i \in X_i$. Then the edges of the K_v constructed on X can be partitioned into the four $K_{t+6}-K_7$ (each decomposable into K_4 's by hypothesis) constructed on $K_{X_i \cup Y} - K_{\{x_i \cup Y\}}$, the K_4 's of $K_{t,t,t,t}$ distinct from $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and the K_{10} constructed on $K_{Y \cup \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}}$.

□

By choosing

$$t+6 = 22 \text{ we have } 70 \in B(\{4, 10^*\})$$

$$t+6 = 34 \text{ we have } 118 \in B(\{4, 10^*\}).$$

Therefore to prove the theorem it suffices to show the existence of a $B(\{4, 10^*\}, v)$ design for $v = 34, 43, 46, 55, 67, 79, 82, 91$.

For $v = 34$ we will give a decomposition of K_{24} into K_4 's and 10 parallel classes of K_3 's as follows. Let X , the set of vertices of K_{24} , be of the form $X = \mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$; the vertices are labeled (i, j) with $i = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The decomposition is given below:

Classes of K_3 's:

$\{(0, j), (1, j), (2, j)\}$	$\{(3, j), (4, j+1), (5, j)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+1), (3, j)\}$	$\{(2, j), (4, j), (5, j+1)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+2), (4, j)\}$	$\{(2, j), (3, j+2), (5, j)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+3), (5, j)\}$	$\{(2, j), (3, j+3), (4, j+2)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (2, j+1), (3, j+1)\}$	$\{(1, j), (4, j+1), (5, j+3)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (2, j+2), (4, j+1)\}$	$\{(1, j), (3, j+1), (5, j+2)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (2, j+3), (5, j+1)\}$	$\{(1, j), (3, j+2), (4, j)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (3, j+2), (4, j+2)\}$	$\{(1, j), (2, j+1), (5, j)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (3, j+3), (5, j+2)\}$	$\{(1, j), (2, j+2), (4, j+3)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$
$\{(0, j), (4, j+3), (5, j+3)\}$	$\{(1, j), (2, j+3), (3, j)\}$	for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$

Class of K_4 's:

$$\{(i, 0), (i, 1), (i, 2), (i, 3)\} \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, 5$$

For $v = 43$ we will give a decomposition of K_{33} into K_4 's and 10 parallel classes of K_3 's as follows*. Let X be the set of vertices of K_{33} , of the form

* This decomposition is due to Douglas Stinson. In a preliminary version of the paper we gave an erroneous decomposition and we are very indebted for D. Stinson to indicate the error and find the nice following decomposition.

$$X = \mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \cup \{a_0, a_1, a_2\};$$

the vertices of $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ being labeled (i, j) with $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. The decomposition is given below:

10 parallel classes of K_3 's:

we first have 6 parallel classes ($i = 0, \dots, 5$), where the a_i are taken modulo 3, each class consisting of the following 11 K_3 's.

$$\begin{aligned} \{(i, 1), (i, 3), (i, 4)\} & \quad \{(i+5, 1), (i+1, 2), (i+2, 3)\} \\ \{(i+3, 1), (i, 2), (i+4, 4)\} & \quad \{(i+2, 1), (i+1, 3), (i+5, 4)\} \\ \{(i+1, 1), (i+5, 3), a_{i+1}\} & \quad \{(i+4, 1), (i+4, 5), a_i\} \\ \{(i+3, 2), (i+2, 5), a_{i+2}\} & \quad \{(i+5, 2), (i+1, 4), (i+3, 5)\} \\ \{(i+2, 2), (i+4, 3), (i+5, 5)\} & \quad \{(i+4, 2), (i+3, 4), (i, 5)\} \\ \{(i+3, 3), (i+2, 4), (i+1, 5)\} & \end{aligned}$$

Then we have 3 parallel classes : $i = 0, 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \{(i+1, 1), (i+1, 2), (i+2, 2)\} & \quad \{(i+4, 1), (i+4, 2), (i+5, 2)\} \\ \{(i+2, 1), (i, 4), (i+4, 5)\} & \quad \{(i+5, 1), (i+3, 4), (i+1, 5)\} \\ \{(i, 1), (i+5, 4), (i+5, 5)\} & \quad \{(i+3, 1), (i+2, 4), (i+2, 5)\} \\ \{(i, 3), (i+1, 3), (i, 5)\} & \quad \{(i+3, 3), (i+4, 3), (i+3, 5)\} \\ \{(i, 2), (i+3, 2), a_i\} & \quad \{(i+1, 4), (i+4, 4), a_{i+1}\} \\ \{(i+2, 3), (i+5, 3), a_{i+2}\} & \end{aligned}$$

Finally the last class consists of $\{(i, j), (i+2, j), (i+4, j)\}$ with $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 0, \dots, 4$ and $\{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$.

K_4 's (here again the a_i are modulo 3) :

$$\begin{aligned} \{(i, 1), (i+2, 4), (i+3, 5), a_{i+1}\} & \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, 5 \\ \{(i, 2), (i, 3), (i+3, 4), a_{i+1}\} & \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, 5 \\ \{(i, 1), (i+1, 1), (i+5, 2), (i+2, 3)\} & \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, 5 \\ \{(i, 2), (i+5, 3), (i, 4), (i+1, 4)\} & \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, 5 \\ \{(i, 2), (i+4, 3), (i, 5), (i+1, 5)\} & \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, 5 \\ \{(i, 1), (i+3, 1), (i+1, 5), (i+4, 5)\} & \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

For $v = 46$ we will give a decomposition of K_{36} into 10 parallel classes of K_3 's and 5 parallel classes of K_4 's as follows. Let X be the set of vertices of K_{36} , of the form $X = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$; the vertices are labeled (i, j) with $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, 8$.

The decomposition uses the known decomposition of K_9 into 4 parallel classes of K_3 's ([13]). For $t = 0, 1, 2, 3$ we form 8 parallel classes by using K_3 's on vertices of the type (i, j) $i \neq t$ and use two parallel classes of the K_9 on the vertices (t, j) . The last two parallel classes are formed on the unused classes of the K_9 's.

Classes of K_3 's:

$\{(0, j), (1, j+7), (2, j+3)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$	and a class from $(3, j)$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+8), (2, j+6)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$	and a class from $(3, j)$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+4), (3, j+2)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$	and a class from $(2, j)$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+6), (3, j+7)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$	and a class from $(2, j)$
$\{(0, j), (2, j+4), (3, j+1)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$	and a class from $(1, j)$
$\{(0, j), (2, j+7), (3, j+6)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$	and a class from $(1, j)$
$\{(1, j), (2, j+4), (3, j+6)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$	and a class from $(2, j)$
$\{(1, j), (2, j+6), (3, j+4)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$	and a class from $(2, j)$
a class from (i, j)	for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$	
a class from (i, j)	for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$	

Classes of K_4 's:

$\{(0, j), (1, j), (2, j), (3, j)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+1), (2, j+2), (3, j+3)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+2), (2, j+1), (3, j+5)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+3), (2, j+5), (3, j+8)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$
$\{(0, j), (1, j+5), (2, j+8), (3, j+4)\}$	for $j = 0, \dots, 8$

For $v = 55, 67, 79, 82, 91$ we will give a cyclical decomposition of K_{v-10} into K_4 's and 10 parallel classes of K_3 's as follows. Let X be the set of vertices of K_{v-10} , of the form $X = \mathbb{Z}_{v-10}$; the vertices are labeled i with $i = 0, 1, \dots, v-11$. The decomposition is given below, where the first K_3 's form a parallel class and the other form 3 parallel classes (note that the vertices belong to different congruences modulo 3).

$v = 55$

K_3 's:

$\{j, j+15, j+30\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 14$

$\{i, i+1, i+5\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 44$

$\{i, i+2, i+16\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 44$

$\{i, i+7, i+17\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 44$

K_4 's:

$\{i, i+3, i+12, i+23\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 44$

$\{i, i+6, i+19, i+27\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 44$

$v = 67$

K_3 's:

$\{j, j+19, j+38\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 18$

$\{i, i+1, i+5\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 56$

$\{i, i+2, i+10\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 56$

$\{i, i+7, i+23\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 56$

K_4 's:

$\{i, i+3, i+21, i+33\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 56$

$\{i, i+6, i+17, i+31\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 56$

$\{i, i+9, i+22, i+37\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 56$

$v = 79$

K_3 's:

$\{j, j+23, j+46\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 22$

$\{i, i+1, i+5\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 68$

$\{i, i+2, i+10\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 68$

$\{i, i+7, i+20\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 68$

K_4 's:

$\{i, i+3, i+14, i+40\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 68$

$\{i, i+6, i+25, i+41\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 68$

$\{i, i+9, i+30, i+47\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 68$

$\{i, i+12, i+27, i+45\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 68$

$v = 82$

K_3 's:

$\{j, j+24, j+48\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 23$

$\{i, i+1, i+5\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 71$

$\{i, i+2, i+10\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 71$

$\{i, i+7, i+20\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 71$

K_4 's:

$\{i, i+18, i+36, i+54\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 17$

$\{i, i+3, i+12, i+41\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 71$

$\{i, i+6, i+28, i+45\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 71$

$\{i, i+11, i+26, i+51\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 71$

$\{i, i+14, i+30, i+49\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 71$

$v = 91$

K_3 's:

$\{j, j+27, j+54\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 26$
 $\{i, i+1, i+5\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 80$
 $\{i, i+2, i+10\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 80$
 $\{i, i+7, i+20\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 80$

K_4 's:

$\{i, i+3, i+9, i+37\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 80$
 $\{i, i+11, i+33, i+56\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 80$
 $\{i, i+12, i+30, i+62\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 80$
 $\{i, i+14, i+35, i+52\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 80$
 $\{i, i+15, i+39, i+55\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 80$

Acknowledgement: we thank very much A. Hartmann and D. Sotteau for stimulating discussions on this problem. Special thanks are due to D. Stinson who found the decomposition of K_{43} enabling us to finish the proof of the last theorem.

References

1. A. Assaf, E. Mendelsohn, and D.R. Stinson, "On resolvable coverings of pairs by triples," *Utilitas Math.*, 1987. to appear
2. R.D. Baker and R.M. Wilson, "Nearly Kirkman triple systems," *Utilitas Math.*, vol. 11, pp. 289-296, 1977.
3. C. Berge, *Graphs and hypergraphs*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
4. J-C. Bermond, J. Bond, M. Paoli, and C. Peyrat, "Graphs and interconnection Networks: Diameter and Vulnerability," in *Surveys in Combinatorics, Proc. of the Ninth British Combinatorial Conference.*, pp. 1-30, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series 82., 1983.
5. J-C. Bermond, J. Bond, and C. Peyrat, "Bus Interconnection Networks with each station on two buses," in *Parallel Algorithms and Architectures, Proc. Int. Coll. Parallel Algorithms and Architectures, Luminy*, pp. 155-167, North Holland, 1986.
6. J-C. Bermond, J. Bond, and J-F. Sacle, "Large hypergraphs of diameter 1," in *Graph Theory and Combinatorics, Proc. Coll. Cambridge, 1983*, pp. 19-28,

Academic Press, London, 1984.

7. J-C. Bermond, C. Delorme, and J-J. Quisquater, "Strategies for interconnexion networks: Some methods from graph theory," *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, vol. 3, pp. 433-449, 1986.
8. A.E. Brouwer, "Optimal packings of K_4 's into a K_n ," *Journal of Comb. Th., ser. A*, vol. 26, pp. 278-297, 1979.
9. T.Y. Feng, "A Survey of Interconnection Networks," *Computers*, vol. 14, pp. 12-27, 1981.
10. H. Hanani, "Balanced incomplete block designs and related designs," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 11, pp. 255-363, 1975.
11. C. Huang, E. Mendelsohn, and A. Rosa, "On partially resolvable t-partitions," in *Theory and Practice of Combinatorics, Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 12, pp. 169-183, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
12. W. H. Mills, "Covering designs I: Coverings by a small number of subsets," *Ars Combinat.*, vol. 8, pp. 199-315, 1979.
13. D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri and R. M. Wilson, "Solution of Kirkman's schoolgirl problem," in *Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., vol 19 Combinatorics*, pp. 187-204, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 1971.
14. L.D. Wittie, "Communication Structures for Large Networks of Microcomputers," *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, vol. C-30, no. 4, pp. 264-273, 1981.