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Abstract

This work was devoted to the study of the selective catalytic reduction of NO by NH$_3$ on calcined and hydrothermal treated cerium loaded zeolite catalysts. The parent faujasite zeolite Na-F (Si/Al = 1.32 and $S_{\text{BET}} = 749$ m$^2$/g) used as support for the preparation of the catalysts was obtained from industrial sodium metasilicate and aluminum scraps. As expected, the NO conversion increased with increasing the percentage of cerium in the structure of the faujasite zeolite. Total NO conversion into N$_2$ was reached at 400°C at a space velocity of 250,000 h$^{-1}$. The high conversion is due to the redox shift between Ce$^{3+}$/ Ce$^{4+}$ and the strong acid sites related to the rare earth present in the framework that is the key in SCR of NO process. Moreover, the highest loaded cerium catalyst retained high almost its activity after thermal hydrotreatment at 850°C. This higher loading is desirable for both activity and stability provided that two stages of preparation are used to put the Ce ions in the sodalite cages.
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1. Introduction

The nitrogen oxide NO is a harmful gas which is produced during combustion of fossil fuels at high temperatures. It is essentially issued from mobile or stationary sources by oxidation of the nitrogen of the air. The major sources that produce NO are the fossil fuels like coal in the electrical power plants or fuels in the engines of gasoline and diesel cars[1]. NO is harmful to health and environment; it contributes to death and serious respiratory illness like asthma,
chronic bronchitis [2], [3]. Furthermore, NO acidifies surface water (acid rain) reducing biodiversity and killing fishes. It is also damage forest ecosystem and contribute to the decrease of visibility by the formation of the ‘‘smog’’. For these reasons, the emissions of NO must be limited.

The NO molecule is thermodynamically unstable. Nevertheless, it does not decompose because of its high activation energy (364kJ/mol). Thus, a catalyst must be used to facilitate its decomposition and reduced it to N₂ and water [4, 5]. The oxygen produced from the decomposition of NO or the gaseous mixture strongly adsorbed on the active sites of catalyst which causes their poisoning[5]. To avoid this inhibiting effect on the transformation rate of NO, it is necessary to work in the presence of reducing agents like H₂, NH₃, hydrocarbon (HC)[6, 7]. The most common technology in the elimination of NO is the selective catalytic reduction of NO by NH₃ (NH₃-SCR). Two main types of catalysts are commercially used for this technology: V₂O₅·WO₃/TiO₂ and Cu,Fe-zeolites. For the former type of catalyst, vanadium, which is toxic sublimes at high at high temperature. Moreover, it shows high oxidation of SO₂ to SO₃ which leads to decrease the activity and selectivity of NOₓ at 400°C[8–10]. For these reasons, copper and iron exchanged zeolites have been developed and are preferred for mobile Diesel sources [11–13]. In the last decades, the cerium-catalysts were investigated in the NH₃-SCR of NO because of its redox property (Ce³⁺ to Ce⁴⁺) that promotes the activation of reactants on the surface of the catalysts[14, 15]. The redox shift between Ce⁴⁺ and Ce³⁺ of CeO₂ can promote NO oxidation to NO₂, which is beneficial to the NH₃-SCR reaction[16]. As such, CeO₂ has been widely used as key component of selective catalytic reduction catalyst for NOₓ removal such as CeO₂/TiO₂[17], CeO₂/Al₂O₃[18], CeO₂/zeolite[19], Mn-Ce/TiO₂[20]. It had been reported that ceria based catalyst has great resistance to SO₂ and H₂O poisoning in the NH₃-SCR reaction[21]. Wang et al. [22] investigated the influence of cerium precursor in the NH₃-SCR of NO at low
temperature. They found that the catalyst prepared from Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$.6H$_2$O precursor (Ce–NO-R) with nanorods morphology exhibits higher NO$_x$ conversion and superior SO$_2$/H$_2$O resistance. Zhang et al. [23] studied the ceria loaded zirconium phosphate catalysts in NH$_3$-SCR. They found that the addition of 20 wt.% ceria to zirconium phosphate catalyst exhibited more than 98% NO$_x$ conversion and 98% N$_2$ selectivity in a wide temperature window of 250–425 °C[23]. Carja et al. [24] studied the reduction of NO by NH$_3$ on Mn-Ce/ZMS-5 catalysts which present NO conversion about 75-100% in the temperature range of 244-550 °C. The work reported by Qi et al. [25] demonstrated a good NO conversion at 120°C of MnOx-CeO$_2$ catalyst. Wu et al. [26] showed that the introduction of cerium on MnO$_x$/TiO$_2$ catalyst leads to steady NO conversion of 84 % in the presence of SO$_2$ for 6.5 hours in the reaction stream. Compared to MnO$_x$/TiO$_2$ catalyst, there is a largely decrease of NO conversion to 30 %.

In this work, the reduction of NO by NH$_3$ on fresh and hydro-treated cerium-Faujasite zeolite catalysts was investigated. The parent zeolite Na-F (Si/Al = 1.32 and $S_{BET} = 749 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) used as support for the preparation of catalysts was obtained from industrial sodium metasilicate and aluminum scraps. Moreover a large part of this study was devoted to the characterization of fresh and hydro-treated cerium-Faujasite zeolite catalysts by different techniques (XRD, FTIR, BET, UV–vis and H$_2$-TPR).

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Industrial sodium metasilicate (Na$_2$SiO$_3$.5H$_2$O, PQ CORPORATION) was used as silicon source for the preparation of the FAU zeolite. The aluminum source’s was aluminum scraps collected from metal manufacturing industry in the region of Sfax(Al= 99.77% ± 0.02, Fe= 0.207± 0.006 and Cu= 0.008±0.001). The other chemical products are sodium hydroxide
pellets (NaOH, Sigma Aldrich 99% purity) and cerium (III) chloride heptahydrate CeCl$_3$.7H$_2$O (Sigma Aldrich 99, 99%).

2.2 Preparation of the zeolite support

Firstly, NaOH pellets were dissolved in the required quantity of distilled water. Then the alkaline solution was divided to equal volumes in polypropylene bottles. The desired quantity of aluminum scraps was dissolved in one half of hydroxide solution volume and then filtered to remove the impurities. The silicon sources was added to the other half of hydroxide solution volume and mixed in capped bottle until clear. In order to obtain the aluminosilicate hydrogel, the silicon solution was poured to the aluminum solution quickly. The obtained thickly gel was stirred during a period of 10 min and then was aged for 14 h at room temperature (RT). Finally, the aged gel was heated at 80°C for 6 and 24hours. After the crystallization was achieved, the obtained solids were filtered, washed with distilled water until pH around 9, and then dried at 80°C for 24h.

2.3. Preparation of the catalysts

Cerium-zeolite faujasite (Ce-F) catalysts were prepared by ionic exchange method in aqueous solution by varying the concentration of cerium chloride: 0.02 M; 0.01 M and 0.005M. The required amount of cerium chloride was dissolved in 50mL of distilled water and then 1g of Na-F zeolite were added to the solution and mixed for 5 h at 50 °C. The slurry was then centrifugated, washed three times with distilled water to remove the non-exchanged cerium and adsorbed chlorides on the surface of the zeolite. The recovered solids were dried for 24h at 80 °C and then calcined for 1 hour at 450 °C. The obtained catalysts were called Ce(x)-F, with x the cerium amount in wt%.

Another catalyst called Ce(20)-Fc was also prepared using 0.02M of cerium chloride solution. Nevertheless, after drying at 80 °C, the powder was calcined for 1 hour at 750 °C to
ensure the siting of cerium in the sodalite cages. Finally, it was exchanged another time with 0.02M of cerium chloride solution and then calcined for 1 hour at 450 °C.

### 2.4 Characterization and analysis

The obtained samples were characterized by a variety of conventional techniques. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained using D8 ADVANCE BRUKER 40 Kv with Cu Kα radiation. The diffraction pattern was collected in the 2θ range of 5-50° at a scan speed of 0.02°. The prepared zeolite was compared with a commercial Na-Y zeolite (Aldrich, cat. no 33,444-8, lot 06402LR, Si/Al=2.5). Infrared spectra were scanned from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ using the FourierTransform infrared model Perkin Elmer spectrum BX spectrometer. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken on a Hitachi S 4800 operated at 5Kv. The BET surface areas (S_BET) and pore size distributions were determined by nitrogen adsorption–desorption on an ASAP2020 instrument (Micromeritics Instrument Corporation, GA. Prior to these measurements, the samples were degassed at 250 °C in vacuum. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) experiments were carried out on Perkin Elmer Simultaneous Thermal Analyser STA 6000 under an air at a flow rate of 100 cm³ min⁻¹. The temperature increased from 30 to 900 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min. H₂-TPR studies were conducted on a MicromeriticsAutochem 2910 instrument. 80 mg of oven dried sample was placed in one arm of a U-shaped quartz tube on quartz wool. Prior to TPR, the catalysts samples were pretreated with 20% O₂/N₂ at 550 °C for 30 min (10°/min) to remove the water present, then are cooled in air at 50 °C. The TPR analysis was carried out in a reducing mixture consisting of 3% H₂/Ar and heated from 50 to 800°C (10 °C/min). UV/Vis diffuse reflectance spectra were collected by Lambda 40 Perkin spectrometer.

The NH₃-SCR of NO catalytic test was performed in temperature programmed surface reaction (TPSR, 5°C/min)) using a flow reactor operating at atmospheric pressure with a
space velocity of 250,000 h\(^{-1}\). The catalyst sample (24 mg) was put in quartz reactor positioned between two layers of inert quartz wool. The reactant gas composition was adjusted to 1000ppm NO, 1000ppm NH\(_3\), 8 % O\(_2\), 3.5 % H\(_2\)O and balance with helium.

Hydrothermal treatment of catalysts was carried out in quartz fixed-bed microreactor. However, 1 g of catalyst was placed in the reactor and heated to the desired temperature in flowing 20% O\(_2\)/He. Then water is injected by circulating the airflow through a bubbler containing deionized water at 47 °C (10% H\(_2\)O vapour) during 4 hours. All gas lines were heated to prevent water condensation. Four different hydrothermal temperatures were used: 700°C (ht 700), 800°C (ht 800), 850°C (ht 850) and 900°C (ht 900). The resulting solids were labeled Cu(x)-F ht XXX).

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Characterization of the prepared zeolites

The molar composition of the starting gel used to study the effect of crystallization time is the following:

**5.19 Na\(_2\)O: Al\(_2\)O\(_3\):2.9 SiO\(_2\):182.76 H\(_2\)O.** After aging for 14 hours at room temperature, the gel was crystallized at 80°C for 6 and 24 hours.

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of the obtained solids at 80°C for 6h(Na-F\(_{6h}\)) and 24h(Na-F\(_{24h}\)). After 6 h of crystallization, one can see fine diffraction peaks characteristic of the FAU zeolite. The increase of the crystallization time to 24 h, there is an increase of the intensity of the peaks.
In table 2, we reported the chemical analysis of the two samples Na-F<sub>6h</sub> and 24 h Na-F<sub>24h</sub> performed by EDS in five different spots. The Si/Al molar ratios of the two samples are comparable; Si/Al = 1.27 for Na-F<sub>6h</sub> and Si/Al = 1.32 for 24 h Na-F<sub>24h</sub>[27].

**Figure 1**: XRD of Na-F<sub>6h</sub>, Na-F<sub>24h</sub> prepared at 80°C for 6 and 24 hours, respectively.

**Table 1**: Chemical analysis of Na-F<sub>6h</sub>, Na-F<sub>24h</sub> samples performed with EDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Na (wt. %)</th>
<th>Al (wt.%)</th>
<th>Si (wt. %)</th>
<th>Si/Al (mol/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-F&lt;sub&gt;6h&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>19.62</td>
<td>35.26</td>
<td>46.74</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-F&lt;sub&gt;24h&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>21.07</td>
<td>33.95</td>
<td>46.97</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SEM micrographs of Na-F24h (A1, A2) were displayed in **Figure 2**. The micrographs showed some crystals fused together forming agglomerate particles. Moreover, the particle size of isolated crystals was less 1µm. It can be seen from the image that the catalyst shows perfect degree of crystallization which is in accordance with the XRD results (
Figure 1). In the rest of the work we use the sample Na-F_{24h} and it will be named Na-F.
Figure 2: SEM of (A1, A2) Na-F, (B1, B2) Ce (5)-F, and (C1, C2) Ce (20)-Fc.

The FTIR spectrum of Na-F zeolite was reported in Erreur ! Nous n’avons pas trouvé la source du renvoi. We found at 560 cm⁻¹ the characteristic band related to the double six ring (D6R) in the faujasite zeolites[28]. The bands at 666 cm⁻¹ and 957 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to TO₄ symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibration modes of Si-O, respectively. The peak at 447 cm⁻¹ was attributed to T-O bending mode. The peak at 745 cm⁻¹ is characteristic for symmetric stretching vibrations of external linkages[29]. The peak at 1646 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the bending mode of physically adsorbed water. According to Flanigen et al.[30], the T-O band near 455 cm⁻¹ for the zeolite FAU type Y contains a high-frequency shoulder which is not present in zeolite FAU type X. Also, the 406 cm⁻¹ pore opening band present in X zeolite is not found in Y zeolite.
Figure 3: FTIR spectrum of Na-F zeolite.

3.2. Characterisation of the prepared catalysts

Figure 4 showed the XRD patterns of the carrier Na-F and the Ce-exchanged catalysts Ce(5)-F, Ce(10)-F, Ce(20)-F and Ce(20)-Fc. The XRD catalyst profiles demonstrate only peaks relative to the zeolite FAU without any supplementary peaks of cerium oxide or other Ce based phases. Thus, one can conclude that the cerium cations are not agglomerated and well dispersed into the zeolite porosity [30, 31]. In general, with calcination at high temperature (>300 °C), cerium ions located in the supercages starts to migrate to the sodalite cage and are stabilized by the framework oxygens [33]. All catalysts have a light yellow color, this observation indicates the presence of cerium tetravalent[34].

On the other hand, the crystallinity of the catalysts decreased as the percentage of cerium increased. This feature can be explained by the removal of Al atoms from the framework without the destruction of the structure [32] due to the siting of cerium in the sodalite cages. It indicated that cerium had a strong interaction with the matrix of the zeolite[35].
Figure 4: XRD of Na-F, Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce (20)-F and Ce (20)-F$_C$

The SEM micrographs of catalysts Ce (5)-F (B1, B2) and Ce (20)-F$_C$ (C1, C2) are reported in Figure 2. From this analysis we demonstrate that the two catalysts have the same morphology of the zeolite Na-F (Figure 2) with nanoscale crystals. Nevertheless, it was observed an agglomeration which is due to the interconnection of small particles, but the cerium particles is not observed in this magnification because they presented in a small amount.

ERROR! Nous n'avons pas trouvé la source du renvoi. presents the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of the samples Na-F, Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce (20)-F and Ce (20)-F$_C$. A typical type I adsorption isotherm is obtained in each case, indicating that the solids are microporous according to the classification of IUPAC[36]. The presence of hysteresis loop from 0.66 to 0.98 arises indicated the presence of mesoporous from the packing of zeolite nanocrystals[37]. In ERROR! Nous n'avons pas trouvé la source du renvoi. were reported the BET surface area $S_{\text{BET}}$, external surface, micropore volume and micropore surface area of the samples Na-
F, Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce (20)-F and Ce (20)-F\textsubscript{C}. The BET total surface area ($S\text{BET}$) has changed under the condition of different Ce percentages from low to high with respect to microporous materials of Na-F zeolite itself, which possess rich specific surface area ($S\text{BET}=749m^2/g$). The introduction of the rare earth cations causes a decrease in the micropore surface area and volume. It is attributed to the existence of the cerium cations or extra-framework aluminum species in the cages or channels blocking the pore structure[38].

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5**: BET of Na-F, Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce(20)-F and Ce (20)-F\textsubscript{C}.

**Table 2**: BET surface area, external surface, micropore volume and micropore surface area of the samples Na-F, Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce (20)-F and Ce (20)-F\textsubscript{C}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyts</th>
<th>$S\text{BET}(m^2/g)$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{external}}(m^2/g)$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{micropore}}(cm^3/g)$</th>
<th>Micropore area (m$^2/g$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-F</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The TGA/DTGA profiles of three samples were displayed in Figure 6. The Na-F, Ce(20)-F and Ce(20)-Fc show a mass loss at the range of temperature (30-400°C) corresponding to water adsorbed on the surface and that present in the zeolite channels contributing to 21%, 25%, and 18% of their total masses, respectively.
The diffuse reflectance UV-Vis spectroscopy is used to identify and characterize the metal ion coordination and its existence in metal position in the framework and/or extra-framework of zeolite. The diffuse reflectance UV-Vis spectra of catalysts Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce (20)-F, Ce (20)-F_C and CeO_2 are reported in Figure 7. It showed single peak at ~ 310 nm and its intensity increases with an increase in the Ce content of the samples. This band may be attributed to the charge transfer from O 2p to Ce 4f. The electronic transitions from oxygen to cerium need more energy for a hexa-coordinated than tetra-coordinated Ce^{4+}. So, the band at 310 nm is due to the presence of one type of well-dispersed Ce^{4+} species probably in a tetra-coordinated environment[39]. For the pure ceria, the profile showed two peaks at 256 and 347nm. According to the literature [40] pure ceria presents three peaks at 255, 285 and 340nm. The first peak corresponds to O^{2-} to Ce^{3+}
charge transfer. Whereas, the other two peaks may be attributed to the O$_2^-$ to Ce$^{4+}$ charge transfer (285nm) and interband (340nm) transition.

**Figure 7**: UV-vis spectroscopy of Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce (20)-F, Ce (20)-F$_C$ and CeO$_2$.

The H$_2$-TPR analysis was used to study the reducibility of Ce present in the catalysts. The reduction profiles of the different catalysts (Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F and Ce (20)-F$_C$) and CeO$_2$ (Sigma Aldrich 316970) are reported in

**Figure 8**. The profile of the pure CeO$_2$ presents two reduction peaks. The first one at lower temperature (520°C) can be related to the reduction of the surface capping oxygen Ce$^{4+}$-O-Ce$^{4+}$. The second peak at high temperature around 890°C correspond to the reduction of oxygen in the bulk (elimination of O$_2^-$)[41]. For the three catalysts Ce(5)-F, Ce(10)-F and Ce(20)-F$_C$, the large peaks around 527, 557 and 512°C, respectively were attributed to the
reduction of Ce$^{4+}$ to Ce$^{3+}$. It is noted that the H$_2$ consumption increases with the increasing of the quantity of cerium in zeolite. For the catalyst Ce(20)-F$_C$, the deconvolution of the reduction profile showed two peaks at 461 and 541°C. The first one could be attributed to the reduction of Ce$^{4+}$ ions present in the supercages whereas the second one to the reduction of Ce$^{4+}$ ions in the sodalites. The presence of larger cerium aggregates on the external surface of the zeolite and the second one can be related to isolated cerium species[42]. With the two steps preparation including the high temperature of calcinations, Ce ions may enter are in the S$_1$ sites coordinated with three oxygen atoms of the zeolitic framework and have substituted the sodium in the sodalite cages. Ce$^{4+}$ ions located in the sodalites are more difficult to be reduced. For that reason, the H$_2$ consumption is refer to the oxide existing in the surface and in the supercage of zeolite [43].

![Figure 8: H$_2$-TPR of Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F and Ce (20)-F$_C$ and pure CeO$_2$.](image-url)
3.3 Selective catalytic reduction of NO by NH₃

3.3.1 NO conversion of fresh catalysts

The NO conversion to N₂ of Fresh catalysts Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce (20)-F, Ce (20)-FC and Na-F are shown in Figure 9. At first, it should be mentioned that catalysts do not produce N₂O over the whole range of studied temperatures. The support Na-F presents a poor NO conversion about 12% at 550 °C. The introduction of cerium to Na-F zeolite produces marked changes in the catalytic behavior. As seen for the less exchanged catalyst Ce(5)-F, the NO conversion increased from 2% at 250°C to 55% at 500°C. The further increase of cerium content in the catalysts; Ce(10)-F and Ce (20)-F enhanced the NO conversion. The catalyst Ce(10)-F showed an increase of NO conversion from 6% at 250 to 88% at 500°C and then remained constant (88% of NO conversion) above this temperature. For the catalyst Ce(20)-F, NO conversion is already of 40% at 200°C and then, it increased gradually with the increase of temperature and reached 100% of NO conversion at 400°C. Compared to Ce(20)-F catalyst, Ce (20)-FC was less active at temperatures below 400 °C but NO conversion reached 100% at 500 °C. On the other hand, the light-off temperature (T50), the maximum NO conversions and the related temperatures of Ce(5)-F, Ce(10)-F and catalysts were reported in Table 3. It can be seen that Ce(20)-F catalyst is more active and has the lowest light-off temperature than the other catalysts.
Furthermore, with the increase of cerium content for Ce(x)-F catalysts there was a decrease of the T50 and an increase of the NO conversions.

As said before, with the increase of cerium content there was an increase of NO conversion in the whole temperature range. Literature reported that the high activity cerium catalysts in the NH$_3$-SCR[44] is due to the redox property of Ce$^{4+}$/Ce$^{3+}$ couple. Indeed, in the presence of oxygen, Ce$^{3+}$ oxidized to Ce$^{4+}$ which oxidizes NO to NO$_2$, in low temperature region, which is among the key steps in the NH$_3$-SCR. The cerium have a redox property like the others transition metal, but the cerium have orbital f which make the distribution of catalytic sites, like oxygen vacancies, especially in the case of surface redox reactions[44], e.g. bonding with molecules like nitric oxides[45]. Also the orbital 4f of Ce$^{4+}$ cation, in the surface, has the capacity to store and release reversibly or selectively electrons, so this property can have an impact in the stabilization of adsorbed reactants and induced their reactions. So the presence of sufficient oxygen vacancies on the surface is the key to initiate the co-adsorption of NO and the later reaction for the formation of N$_2$ [44].
**Figure 9:** The NO conversion of Fresh catalysts Ce (5)-F, Ce (10)-F, Ce (20)-F, Ce (20)-Fe and Na-F support.

**Table 3:** The light of temperatures, the maximum NO conversions and the related temperatures of prepared catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>NO Con (%)</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce(5)-F</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(10)-F</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(20)-F</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(20-Fe)</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.3.2 Hydrothermal stability**

An hydrothermal treatment at high temperature is usually used for simulating the ageing of the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR catalysts for the automotive applications. To study the effect of hydrothermal treatment, two sets of experiments were performed by aging all catalysts at 700 and 800°C for 4 hours in the presence of 10% of water vapor. **Figures 10 and 11** reported the NO conversions of the hydrotreated catalysts Ce(x)-F at 700 and 800°C for 4 hours in the presence of 10% of water vapor, respectively. After hydrothermal treatment at 700 °C (
all aged catalysts show different degrees of activity loss at high temperatures compared with fresh catalysts. However, for the catalyst with highest cerium content Ce(20)-Fc ht700 shows a slight degradation of NO conversion throughout the temperature range. In table 4, we reported the NO conversions of fresh and aged catalysts at 500°C. From these results, it is noted that when the percentage of cerium increases the catalyst remains stable after hydrothermal treatment and has a good NO conversion. Indeed, the Ce(20)-Fht700 catalyst presents 96 % of NO conversion at 500 °C compared to Ce(5)-Fht700, which presents only 22 %.

On the other hand, for the
Hydrotreated catalysts at 800°C, one can observe that the increase of the aging temperature has a remarkable influence on the catalytic performance. For the two catalysts Ce(5)-Fht800 and Ce(10)-Fht800, NO conversions drastically decreased to 16% and 13%, respectively. Furthermore, the catalyst Ce(20)-Fht800 presents only 81% of conversion at 500°C. But for the Ce(20)-F_{C} ht800 the conversion remains stable (96% of NO conversion) at 500°C. The above results indicate that Ce(20)-F_{C} ht800 is more stable than other catalysts during the hydrothermal treatment. Because of its hydrothermal stability the catalyst Ce(20)-F_{C} ht800 was tested after undergoing higher hydrothermal treatment temperature, 850 and 900 °C, for 4 hours.

**Figure 10**: Hydrothermal treatment of Ce(5)-F, Ce(10)-F, Ce(20)-F and Ce(20)-F_{C} at 700°C for 4 hours.
Figure 11: Hydrothermal treatment of Ce(5)-F, Ce(10)-F, Ce(20)-F and Ce(20)-F\textsubscript{C} at 800°C for 4 hours.

Table 4: NO conversion at 500°C of fresh and aged catalysts at 700°C and 800°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>NO Conv. Fresh catalysts (%)</th>
<th>NO Conv after H.T 700°C (%)</th>
<th>NO Conv. After H.T 800°C (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce(5)-F</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(10)-F</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(20)-F</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(20)-F\textsubscript{C}</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12 presents the NO conversion of Ce (20)-F_c catalyst before and after hydrothermal treatment for 4 hours at 700, 800, 850 and 900°C. It is noted that the NO conversions decreased slightly from 100% for the fresh catalyst to about 95% for the catalysts: Ce (20)-Fcht700, Ce (20)-Fcht 800 and Ce (20)-Fcht850. Nevertheless, after hydrothermal treatment at 900°C (Ce(20)-Fcht 900) the catalytic activity was decreased to 12%. This reduction in the conversion is due to the destruction of the catalyst structure and the formation of cerium oxide at 28° and 47 ° as shown by the XRD (Figure 13).

The slight decrease of NO conversions for the catalysts hydrotreated at 700 °C, 800 °C and 850 °C can be related to the presence of cerium cations into the sodalite cages of the zeolite Na-F. Actually, during the preparation of the catalyst Ce (20)-F_c, the latter was calcined at higher temperature (750 °C) compared to the other catalysts (450 °C). The use of this higher calcination temperature permits to the cerium cations to migrate from the supercage to the sodalite cage and to form bridges with the oxygen framework which stabilize the zeolites structure[46, 47]. Y. Shu et al. [46] reported that the hydrothermal treatment of zeolite catalysts at high temperatures (500-800°C), provokes the dealumination of the framework especially for those having low Si/Al ratio. So, the aluminum atoms migrate from their
positions and settle down in zeolite channels and cages. To moderate the deactivation of the zeolite catalysts, rare earth cations like cerium were added to the formulation. The addition of cerium prevented the framework dealumination, and preserved the acid sites, which greatly ameliorated the hydrothermal stability.

**Figure 12**: The NO conversion of catalysts Ce (20)- before and after hydrothermal treatment 4h.

The NO of catalysts Fe before hydrothermal treatment 4h.
4. Conclusion

In this study, FAU zeolite has been prepared using cheap starting materials; aluminum scrap and industrial sodium metasilicate with hydrogel molar composition \(5.19 \text{ Na}_2\text{O} : \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 : 2.9 \text{ SiO}_2 : 182.76 \text{ H}_2\text{O}\). The obtained zeolite after hydrothermal synthesis at 80 °C for 24 h, has been used as carrier to prepare cerium exchanged catalysts with different cerium content. The NO conversion increased with increasing the percentage of cerium in the framework of zeolite. The best catalytic activities is concerned to the catalysts Ce(20)-F and Ce(20)-F<sub>C</sub> catalyst. It should be underlined that, after hydrothermal treatment of the catalysts, Ce(20)-F<sub>C</sub> at 850°C for 4 hours, NO conversion remains stable whereas for Ce(20)-F prepared by a classic way, a noticeable loss of activity is already observed after hydrothermal treatment at 800°C. The two steps procedure, allowing the siting of Ce ions into the sodalite cages of the
faujasite greatly improves the hydrothermal stability of the catalysts. Contrarily to copper faujasite, Ce-FAU catalysts shows hydrothermal stability comparable to other small pore zeolite structures. The increase of the hydrothermal treatment temperature to 900°C leads to a drastic decrease of NO conversion to 12%. This behavior can be related to the destruction of structure of zeolite and the appearance of CeO$_2$ peaks as shown by XRD technique and is generally observed for the other zeolite structures.
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