Photoacoustic guidance of high intensity focused ultrasound with selective optical contrasts and time-reversal

Arik Funke, Jean-François Aubry, Mathias Fink, Albert-Claude Boccara, Emmanuel Bossy

► To cite this version:

Arik Funke, Jean-François Aubry, Mathias Fink, Albert-Claude Boccara, Emmanuel Bossy. Photoacoustic guidance of high intensity focused ultrasound with selective optical contrasts and time-reversal. Applied Physics Letters, 2009, 94 (5), pp.054102. 10.1063/1.3077018 . hal-02481867

HAL Id: hal-02481867

<https://hal.science/hal-02481867>

Submitted on 17 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Photoacoustic guidance of high intensity focused ultrasound with selective optical contrasts and time-reversal

Cite as: Appl. Phys. Lett. **94**, 054102 (2009); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3077018>

Submitted: 14 December 2008 . Accepted: 08 January 2009 . Published Online: 03 February 2009

Arik R. Funke, Jean-François Aubry, Mathias Fink, Albert-Claude Boccara, and Emmanuel Bossy

View Online

Export Citation

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Photoacoustic imaging in biomedicine

Review of Scientific Instruments **77**, 041101 (2006); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2195024>

Time reversal of photoacoustic waves

Applied Physics Letters **89**, 184108 (2006); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2382732>

Iterative reconstruction algorithm for photoacoustic imaging

The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **112**, 1536 (2002); <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1501898>

Lock-in Amplifiers

Find out more today

Zurich Instruments

Photoacoustic guidance of high intensity focused ultrasound with selective optical contrasts and time-reversal

Arik R. Funke, Jean-François Aubry, Mathias Fink, Albert-Claude Boccara, and Emmanuel Bossy^{a)}

Institut Langevin, ESPCI ParisTech, CNRS UMR 7587, 10 rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

(Received 14 December 2008; accepted 8 January 2009; published online 3 February 2009)

The authors present a method of focusing high intensity ultrasound by time-reversing the photoacoustic response of an optically selective target in a nonselective background. The target's photoacoustic response was isolated from the background by subtracting the photoacoustic waveforms obtained at different optical wavelengths and convolved with a continuous signal. It was found that the focus produced was comparable in quality to that obtained by delay-law beam-forming. The method holds the promise of allowing precise targeting of high intensity focused ultrasound on nonechogenic targets, in moving environments, independently of the presence of aberrating layers. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.3077018]

High intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) allows the noninvasive treatment of tumors by raising the tissue temperature locally to induce apoptosis and thermal necrosis without damage to surrounding tissues.^{1,2} However, the ability to focus ultrasound is essential to ensure sufficiently localized energy deposition and thus limit the thermal necrosis to the target region. This requirement becomes a limiting factor to the application of the method where the target region lies behind an ultrasonically aberrating layer such as an irregular layer of fat tissue, intracostally, or intracranially. Other challenges arise from the motion of the target, e.g., due to respiration, or from nonechogenic targets leading to uncertainty in the position of the target.

One approach that has been shown to allow compensating very effectively for aberration effects is time reversal, or in the continuous wave case, phase-conjugation methods. Given that the wave equation exhibits time-reversal invariance, if a point source at the desired focus can be generated and its signal be acquired after traversing the aberrating layer and reemitted temporally reversed, the reemitted signal will reconverge at the position of the point source.^{3,4}

One essential requirement for time-reversal methods is the existence of a point source at the desired focus. Depending on the application, various methods have been developed to generate such a point source. An early, and to date still the most effective, approach, was to implant a needle hydrophone intracranially to serve as a point source via reciprocity.^{5,6} While this approach can be minimally invasive, a noninvasive technique would be preferable especially in the case of the brain. Alternatives are the generation of a cavitation bubble⁷ or the simulation of a point source in a virtual model of the acoustic medium that was acquired by x-ray computed tomography.^{8–10} The first alternative may suffer from the uncertainty in the axial position of the generated bubble. The second one is limited by the duration of the required computations and the need for the alignment between the virtual model and real world with millimetric precision. Various methods have been developed for the challenge of motion compensation, e.g., based on cross cor-

relation of the acoustic speckle pattern.^{10,11} For targeting of nonechogenic targets, multimode systems with a shared coordinate system are currently necessary for precise treatment, e.g., HIFU under magnetic resonance imaging guidance.¹²

In this letter, a method of HIFU guidance is proposed. It potentially offers convenient solutions to the problems of aberration correction, motion compensation, and nonechogenic targets via photoacoustic generation in the target.

Photoacoustic generation refers to the generation of acoustic waves by an optical absorber that undergoes thermoelastic expansion upon absorption of a light pulse. The resulting photoacoustic pressure field p is given by

$$\Delta p - \frac{1}{c_s^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} = - \frac{\beta}{c_p} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t},$$

where $H(\vec{r}, t) = \mu_a(\vec{r}) \phi(\vec{r}, t)$ and where Δ is the Laplacian, H is the density of absorbed optical energy per unit time, c_s is the adiabatic sound speed, β is the isobaric thermal expansion coefficient, c_p is the specific heat, μ_a is the optical absorption coefficient, and ϕ is the fluence rate. In an earlier work, we showed that the photoacoustic signal from a black sphere suspended in an optically scattering medium can be used with time-reversal methods to correct for aberrating layers.¹³ However, only a single optical absorber was present. This is in stark contrast to a biological environment where numerous different chromophores and structures, such as, for example, blood vessels, may produce strong photoacoustic signals compared to the target of interest.

Photoacoustic spectroscopy has been used with endogenous contrasts to access functional tissue parameters.^{14,15} In this work it is proposed to use photoacoustic signals for the guidance of HIFU treatment by attaching an exogenous optically selective contrast agent to the target region and using the difference in the photoacoustic waveforms generated at different optical wavelengths to extract targeting information. The proposed method holds the promise of allowing to learn to focus on a target region beyond aberrating layers and of allowing to compensate for target motion, thanks to the rapidity of the method; time-reversal correction is physically limited by the total time of flight (typically 67 μ s for a target located 5 cm in the tissue). Given that the focusing

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: emmanuel.bossy@espci.fr.

FIG. 2. Beam-formed images based on the waveforms at different steps in the signal processing. (a) is based on the waveforms obtained at λ_1 after averaging and filtering but without depth compensation, the data of (b) were additionally compensated for depth, (c) is the analog to (b) but for λ_2 , and (d) is based on the difference waveforms: the isolated target is clearly visible. All images are on a linear gray scale and have been normalized to their respective maximum value.

ence waveforms. Focusing was verified by scanning a hydrophone in the plane of the target normal to the acoustic axis.

The effectiveness of using an optically selective absorber embedded in an environment with multiple nonselective absorbers for the targeting of ultrasound is illustrated in Fig. 3.

FIG. 3. Hydrophone scans of the focus produced by a beam-formed sinusoidal signal (fine line) and (a) by reemitting a sinusoidal signal focused by use of the phase of the photoacoustic signals obtained at 672 nm (bold line), i.e., with target and background, and (b) by reemitting a sinusoidal signal focused by use of the phase of the photoacoustic signal from the isolated target (bold line).

The figure plots the acoustic pressure amplitude measured with a hydrophone in the plane of the target. The position of the optically selective target corresponds to 0 mm. To serve as a basis for comparison, the fine line corresponds to the focus obtained by beam forming the emitted signal with a conventional delay law for homogeneous media. In Fig. 3(a) the bold line illustrates the pressure amplitude distribution obtained by convolving the sinusoidal signal with the time-reversed photoacoustic waveforms obtained at λ_1 . They contain the waveforms from the selective and nonselective absorbers and consequently produce foci on the selective and the various nonselective absorbers, spreading the acoustic energy over the entire phantom. In Fig. 3(b) the bold line plots the focus produced by convolving the sinusoidal signal with the time-reversed difference waveforms. It contains essentially the isolated photoacoustic waveforms of the optically selective target. The figure clearly shows that the full width half maximum of the focus obtained from conventional delay-law beam-forming and from convolving with the photoacoustic waveform is quasi identical.

In conclusion, a method for guiding HIFU mode ultrasound with a selective-optical contrast agent and time-reversal was proposed and its feasibility demonstrated with a tissue phantom and a commercial imaging probe. This method holds the promise of allowing overcoming three significant challenges in HIFU treatment: aberration correction, movement compensation, and targeting of nonechogenic targets. An important remaining challenge is the detection of the photoacoustic signals with sufficient SNR with a transducer that can withstand the sustained powers necessary for HIFU treatment.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (Grant No. JC07-195015).

- ¹F. Wu, Z. B. Wang, W. Z. Chen, J. Z. Zou, J. Bai, H. Zhu, K. Q. Li, F. L. Xie, C. B. Jin, H. B. Su, and G. W. Gao, *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **30**, 245 (2004).
- ²R. O. Illing, J. E. Kennedy, F. Wu, G. R. ter Haar, A. S. Protheroe, P. J. Friend, F. V. Gleeson, D. W. Cranston, R. R. Phillips, and M. R. Middleton, *Br. J. Cancer* **93**, 890 (2005).
- ³M. Fink, *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control* **39**, 555 (1992).
- ⁴M. Fink and C. Prada, *Inverse Probl.* **17**, R1 (2001).
- ⁵M. Tanter, J. L. Thomas, and M. Fink, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **103**, 2403 (1998).
- ⁶M. Pernot, J. F. Aubry, M. Tanter, A. L. Boch, F. Marquet, M. Kujas, D. Seilhean, and M. Fink, *J. Neurosurg.* **106**, 1061 (2007).
- ⁷M. Pernot, G. Montaldo, M. Tanter, and M. Fink, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**, 034102 (2006).
- ⁸J. F. Aubry, M. Tanter, M. Pernot, J. L. Thomas, and M. Fink, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **113**, 84 (2003).
- ⁹G. T. Clement and K. Hynynen, *Phys. Med. Biol.* **47**, 1219 (2002).
- ¹⁰M. Tanter, M. Pernot, J. F. Aubry, G. Montaldo, F. Marquet, and M. Fink, *Int. J. Hyperthermia* **23**, 413 (2007).
- ¹¹M. Pernot, M. Tanter, and M. Fink, *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **30**, 1239 (2004).
- ¹²C. M. C. Tempny, E. A. Stewart, N. McDannold, B. J. Quade, F. A. Jolesz, and K. Hynynen, *Radiology* **226**, 897 (2003).
- ¹³E. Bossy, K. Daoudi, A. C. Boccara, M. Tanter, J. F. Aubry, G. Montaldo, and M. Fink, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 184108 (2006).
- ¹⁴E. V. Savateeva, A. A. Karabutov, S. V. Solomatin, and A. A. Oraevsky, *Proc. SPIE* **4618**, 63 (2002).
- ¹⁵X. D. Wang, X. Y. Xie, G. N. Ku, and L. H. V. Wang, *J. Biomed. Opt.* **11**, 024015 (2006).
- ¹⁶H. J. Vanstaveren, C. J. M. Moes, J. Vanmarle, S. A. Prahl, and M. J. C. Vangemert, *Appl. Opt.* **30**, 4507 (1991).