Phylogenetic relationships in the cricket tribe Xenogryllini (Orthoptera, Gryllidae, Eneopterinae) and description of the Indian genus *Indigryllus* gen. nov.

Ranjana Jaiswara, Jiajia Dong, Tony Robillard

► To cite this version:

Ranjana Jaiswara, Jiajia Dong, Tony Robillard. Phylogenetic relationships in the cricket tribe Xenogryllini (Orthoptera, Gryllidae, Eneopterinae) and description of the Indian genus *Indigryllus* gen. nov.. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research, 2019, 57 (4), pp.789-805. 10.1111/jzs.12298 . hal-02453481

HAL Id: hal-02453481

<https://hal.science/hal-02453481>

Submitted on 23 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Phylogenetic relationships in the cricket tribe Xenogryllini (Orthoptera, Gryllidae, Eneopterinae) and description of the Indian genus *Indigryllus* gen. nov.

Page headlines: Phylogeny of Xenogryllini

*Ranjana Jaiswara** (1,2[†],3)

*Jiajia Dong** (3,4)

Tony Robillard (3)

1_ Department of Biological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, 140306, Punjab, India

2[†]_Department of Zoology, Panjab University, 160014, Chandigarh, India

3_ Institut de Systématique, Evolution et Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, 57 rue Cuvier, CP 50, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

4_College of Life Science, Shaanxi Normal University, 710119, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China

** Ranjana Jaiswara and Jiajia Dong should be considered joint first authors*

† Present address

Corresponding author: Ranjana Jaiswara (ranjana.jaiswara@gmail.com)

Abstract

Subfamily Eneopterinae is known greatly for its diversified acoustic modalities and disjunct distribution. Within Eneopterinae, tribe Lebinthini is the most studied group, due to its highest species diversity (*ca.* 150 species in 12 genera), endemic distribution on the islands of Southeast Asia and of the South West Pacific, males' ability to produce high-frequency calling songs and evolution of females' vibrational response. To investigate the distribution pattern and diversification of acoustic and behavioural attributes in a larger frame, clear understanding of phylogenetic relationships within other tribes of Eneopterinae is vital. In this study, we focus on the tribe Xenogryllini, sister group of Lebinthini. Xenogryllini, as opposed to Lebinthini, is known by fewer species (11 species in 2 genera), distributed widely in continental Asia and Africa, and for producing low-frequency calling songs. We describe a new genus *Indigryllus* with a new species of the tribe Xenogryllini, discovered from the Southwest of India. We used eight genetic markers to reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships. The resultant phylogenetic tree is used to compare and discuss distribution patterns and acoustic modalities between Lebinthini and Xenogryllini.

Keywords: Western Ghats, *Xenogryllus*, *Pseudolebinthus*, Lebinthini, Biogeography, Systematics

1. INTRODUCTION

The crickets of the subfamily Eneopterinae have been studied for the diversity of their communication signals and related structures (e.g., Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas 2004a, b; Robillard et al., 2013; ter Hofstede et al., 2015; Schneider et al., 2017), and for their biogeographical patterns (Nattier et al., 2011; Vicente et al., 2017; Dong et al., 2018). Most of these recent works have focused on the tribe Lebinthini, that shows the highest species diversity (*ca.* 150 species in 12 genera; Cigliano et al., 2019) and endemic distribution in the islands of Southeast Asia and South West Pacific. The Lebinthini have also developed an original system of communication involving female vibrational responses to male high-frequency calling songs and loss of phonotaxis (ter Hofstede et al., 2015). To investigate how this diversity emerged and how the original traits evolved, it is necessary to investigate the context in which it happened. Being the sister group of Lebinthini, the tribe Xenogryllini therefore is the best “control lineage” to better understand the evolution of unique features in Lebinthini. A taxonomic and phylogenetic focus on the Xenogryllini is thus particularly needed.

Tribe Xenogryllini is a group of crickets with contrasting features under subfamily Eneopterinae. It is currently known only by two genera, *Xenogryllus* Bolívar, 1890 (eight species) and *Pseudolebinthus* Robillard, 2006 (three species) according to recent revision works (Jaiswara et al., 2018 & 2019). Unlike Lebinthini, members of Xenogryllini are distributed widely in continental regions, from Asia to Africa. Calling songs have recently been documented for five species of the genus *Xenogryllus* and is unknown for *Pseudolebinthus* (Jaiswara et al., 2018). As opposed to Lebinthini, Xenogryllini members produce calling songs with longer syllables and lower dominant frequencies. In the present study, we describe a new genus and a new species of Xenogryllini from South India, *Indigryllus kudremu* Robillard & Jaiswara gen. nov. et sp. nov. and provide an emended key to Xenogryllini genera. Considering the recent advances in information with respect to species diversity, distribution and acoustic signals for Xenogryllini, this study focuses on establishing phylogenetic relationships among species of all three genera of the tribe. According to the resultant phylogenetic relationships we contrast Xenogryllini and Lebinthini in terms of species diversity,

patterns of distribution and signals of communication. In the light of comparable taxonomic treatment, we assess whether *Xenogryllini* are truly less diversified than *Lebinthini*, as suggested earlier (Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas, 2004b). We then discuss how different are the distributions and calling songs of both tribes with respect to their parallel biogeographical history and evolution of an original system of communication in *Lebinthini*.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Taxonomy

Specimens of *Indigryllus kudrmu* gen. nov. et sp. nov. come from a field work expedition in India (2007) and are deposited in the collections of Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata (ZSI) and Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (MNHN). The descriptions of the new genus and species follow terminologies as proposed by Robillard et al. (2014). Observations of external morphological characters and dissection of male and female genitalia were performed using Leica stereomicroscopes MZ16. Terminologies for male forewing (FW) venation follow Ragge (1995) and Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas (2004b). Male and female genitalia were dissected from dry preserved specimens by making a small slit between paraproct and subgenital plate. Female copulatory papilla was dissected out by cutting the membrane between ovipositor and subgenital plate. Dissected genitalia were cleared in 10% cold KOH solution and preserved in glass vials containing glycerine. Terminologies for genitalia follow Desutter (1987), modified in Desutter-Grandcolas (2003) and Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas (2004a). Imaging of male and female genitalia were made using an AmScope MU1000 digital camera (www.Amscope.com) or with a Canon EOS 40D Digital SLR camera. To highlight the structural components of male and female genitalia, water solution containing a drop of JBL Punktol was used. To fix orientations and stabilization of genitalia for photography, a clear and viscous Power Plast Hand Sanitizer was used following Su (2016).

89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112

Abbreviations

General morphology: FI, FII, FIII, fore, median, hind femur; FW, forewing; TI, TII, TIII, fore, median, hind tibia; Tarsomere I/II/III-1: basal segment of fore, median and hind leg tarsomere.

Tegminal venation: 1A-4A, first to fourth anal veins; CuA, anterior cubitus; CuA1, CuA2, ... first, second, ... branches of CuA; CuP, posterior cubitus; M, median vein; R, radial vein; Sc, subcostal vein and its branches; c1-3, first to third cells of C alignment; d1 cell (mirror), first cell(s) of D alignment; d2, second cell of D alignment; e1, first cell of E alignment.

2.2. Taxon sampling

The tribe Xenogryllini is composed of three genera and 12 valid species: *Xenogryllus* Bolívar (8 species), *Pseudolebinthus* Robillard (3 species) and *Indigryllus kudremu* Robillard & Jaiswara gen. nov. et sp. nov. (1 species). To reconstruct the phylogeny of the tribe Xenogryllini, a total of 20 individuals representing 8 species belonging to the three genera were sampled: *Xenogryllus* is represented by 6 species sampled for 1-4 populations per species (the species *X. lamottei* Robillard and *X. maniema* Robillard & Jaiswara are represented by only old specimens which could not be sequenced); *Pseudolebinthus* is represented by one individual of *P. gorochovi* Robillard; *Indigryllus* by one individual of the species *I. kudremu* sp. nov.

For outgroup selection, we referred to a previous study (Anso et al., 2016) and selected 8 species representing all five tribes of the Eneopterinae subfamily, as well as two more distant species belonging to the subfamily Gryllinae. Whenever possible, we obtained DNA sequence data from the same previous voucher specimens. See Table 1 for detailed information about taxon and genetic markers.

2.3. DNA markers, PCR amplification and sequence alignment

We used DNA markers from eight genes, five from the mitochondrial and three from the nuclear genome based on previous studies (Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas, 2006; Nattier et al., 2011, 2012 & 2013; Chintaun-Marquier et al., 2016). The mitochondrial markers were partial sequences of the *12S rRNA* gene (*12S*, amplicon ~ 400 bp), the *16S rRNA* gene (*16S*, ~ 500 bp), of the *cytochrome b* gene (*Cytb*, ~ 400 bp), and of the *cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1* (*CO1*, ~ 750 bp) and *subunit 2* (*CO2*, ~ 400 bp). Nuclear markers were partial sequences of protein coding *histone H3* gene (*H3*, ~ 330 bp), and partial sequences of two non-protein-coding genes corresponding to nuclear ribosomal subunits *18S rRNA* (*18S*, ~ 650 bp) and *28S rRNA* (*28S*, ~ 400 bp).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing for all studied taxa, except for three Indian *X. transversus* samples, were carried out at Service de Systématique Moléculaire of the MNHN, following the protocols as described in Nattier et al. (2012). For the Indian *X. transversus* specimens (lab codes: Xtr_715, 765, 766; see details in Table 1), DNA was extracted using QIAGEN DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kits at IISER Mohali, and sequencing was outsourced to 1st BASE DNA Sequencing Services (Base Asia).

Primers and annealing temperatures for each DNA marker are given in Table 2. Sequences were cleaned and checked for sequencing errors in Sequencher v.4.9 (Gene Codes Co.) and BioEdit v.7.0.5.3 (Hall, 1999), then blasted with NCBI blast tools, and submitted to GenBank (Table 1). The *CO1* sequences of *X. marmoratus* specimens were discarded because of the suspicion of pseudogenes. The sequences were aligned with MAFFT version 7 online (Kuraku et al., 2013; Katoh et al., 2017). The complete combined dataset consists of 3,684 aligned base pairs (bp) for a total of 35 terminals available as supplementary material S1: 416 bp for *12S*, 521 bp for *16S*, 707 bp for *CO1*, 335 bp for *CO2*, 346 bp for *Cytb*, 328 bp for *H3*, 652 bp for *18S* and 379 bp for *28S*.

2.4. Phylogenetic analyses

Preliminary phylogenetic analyses were carried out for each DNA marker to check for possible contaminations and artifacts, using the IQ-TREE web server (<http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/>; Trifinopoulos et al., 2016). The aligned sequences of all eight markers were further concatenated in Geneious R9.0.2 (Biomatter Ltd., New Zealand, www.geneious.com, Kearse et al., 2012).

The concatenated dataset was analyzed using Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML). For both BI and ML, PartitionFinder V2.1.1 (Lanfear et al., 2017) was used to determine the best-fit partitioning schemes and the associated substitution models. One partition was specified for each of the non-protein-coding genes (*12S*, *16S*, *18S* and *28S*) and one partition per codon for the protein-coding genes (*CO1*, *CO2*, *Cytb* and *H3*). PartitionFinder analyses were carried out using the default ‘greedy’ algorithm option and either the ‘*mrbayes*’ or ‘*raxml*’ set of models (for BI and ML analyses, respectively). The Bayesian information criterion (BIC) was preferentially used to compare partitioning schemes and substitution models following Ripplinger and Sullivan (2008).

Bayesian inference analyses were performed using MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012) and ML analyses using IQ-TREE 1.6.2 (Nguyen et al., 2015). All corresponding analyses were performed on the CIPRES Science Gateway 3.3 (Miller et al., 2015) web portal.

For ML analyses, best-scoring trees were obtained using heuristic searches relying on 100 random-addition replicates. Clade support was assessed using non-parametric bootstrap; for each analysis 1,000 bootstrap replicates were conducted. Nodes supported by bootstrap support values (BS) $\geq 70\%$ were considered strongly supported following Hillis and Bull (1993).

For BI analyses we conducted two independent runs with eight Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC): one cold and seven incrementally heated that ran for 50 million generations with trees sampled every 1,000 generations. We used a conservative burn-in of 12.5 million generations per run after checking for stability on the log-likelihood curves and the split-frequencies of the runs in Tracer v.1.7 (Rambaut et al., 2014). Support of nodes for MrBayes analyses was provided by clade posterior

probabilities (PP) as directly estimated from the majority-rule consensus topology. A clade with a PP value higher than 0.95 was considered as well supported following Erixon et al. (2003).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Phylogenetic results

The complete DNA sequence matrix comprises 3,684 base pairs sampled for 35 terminals (Table 1 and Supporting information S1). The separate ML analyses of each DNA marker show no major conflict in topology (see Supporting information S2).

The best-fit partition schemes and substitution models used in BI and ML analyses of the combined datasets are showed in Supporting information (S3). Both BI and ML phylogenetic analyses yielded robust and largely congruent topologies (Fig. 7, see also Supporting information S4 for original outputs of both BI and ML analyses).

In both analyses, the subfamily Eneopterinae and the tribe Xenogryllini are recovered as monophyletic with high support values (PP of 1.0 and BS of 100% for Eneopterinae, and PP of 1.0 and BS of 99% for Xenogryllini). The sister relationship between tribes Lebinthini and Xenogryllini is strongly supported by the ML analysis (BS of 93%), but not by the BI analysis, where the tribe Lebinthini is paraphyletic, with the Xenogryllini being sister of the main clade of the Lebinthini with low PP value (0.68).

Within the tribe Xenogryllini, all species are recovered as monophyletic. In both analyses, *Xenogryllus* is recovered as sister of *Indigryllus* (BS of 100% and PP of 1.0), and this clade is sister to *Pseudolebinthus* (BS of 99% and PP of 1.0). Within *Xenogryllus*, the species *X. mozambicus* and *X. eneopteroides* from Africa form a well-supported clade in both BI and ML analyses (PP of 1.0 and BS of 100%); they form the sister group of a clade including the remaining species distributed in Asia. In the latter clade, except for well-defined position of *X. marmoratus* (BS of 80% and PP of 1.0) as sister to the other species, the positions of *X. transversus*, *X. maichauensis* and *X. ululiu* are not well-supported: In BI analysis, *X. transversus* is found as the sister species of the clade (*X. ululiu* – *X.*

188 *maichauensis*), while the ML analysis finds *X. maichauensis* as the sister species of (*X. transversus* –
189 *X. ululiu*).

190
191 **3.2. Systematics**

192

193 **3.2.1. Key to Xenogryllini genera modified from Robillard (2006)**

194 1. TII with two inner apical spurs. FWs and hind wings of similar dimensions in male and female
195 (Fig.1). Male. Forewing venation (Fig. 3A-B): left and right forewings similar in sclerotization and
196 colouration; harp oblique veins not swollen, with an incomplete oblique between diagonal and first
197 complete oblique; harp distal angle concave; FWs cells with thin longitudinal wrinkles on surface.

198 *Male genitalia*: pseudepiphallic sclerite longer than rami (Fig. 4); basis of pseudepiphallus sclerotized
199 (Fig. 4A); ectophallic arc not sclerotized; ectophallic fold entirely sclerotized; lateral arms of
200 endophallic sclerite very long (Fig. 4B); endophallic crest absent. Female. FWs and hind wings as long
201 as in male. Ovipositor apex well differentiated, rounded (Fig. 6A-
202 B)..... 2.

203 – TII with one inner apical spur. Male with longer FWs than female, hind wings absent in both sexes.
204 Male. Forewing venation: left FW less sclerotized than right FW; harp with swollen oblique veins,
205 without an incomplete vein between diagonal and first harp vein; harp distal angle flat; FW cells
206 without longitudinal wrinkles. *Male genitalia* (Fig. 5D): pseudepiphallic sclerite shorter than rami,
207 basis of pseudepiphallus membranous; ectophallic arc slightly sclerotized; ectophallic fold partly
208 sclerotized; lateral arms of endophallic sclerite short; endophallic crest absent. Female. FWs very
209 short, not reaching second abdominal tergite; hind wings vestigial. Ovipositor apex little
210 differentiated, pointed..... *Pseudolebinthus*

211 Robillard, 2006

212

213 2. Eyes small and located on face (Fig. 2D-F). FIII narrow along its whole length. FWs as long or
 214 slightly longer than abdomen in both sexes. Hind wings longer than FWs, forming a tail posterior to
 215 FWs. Male. *Male genitalia*: Pseudepiphallic parameres with one strong rectangular ventral lobe
 216 covered with scale-like sculptures (Fig. 5B-C). Female. Apex of ovipositor spatula-shaped (Fig.
 217 6B)..... *Xenogryllus* Bolívar,
 218 1890

219 – Eyes larger and lateral (Fig. 2A-C). FIII wide basally, muscular. FWs reaching abdomen mid-length,
 220 hind wings vestigial. Male. *Male genitalia*: Pseudepiphallic parameres with two short claw-shaped
 221 sclerotized lobes ventrally (Fig. 4D). Female. Apex of ovipositor triangular (Fig.
 222 6A).....*Indigryllus* Robillard & Jaiswara gen. nov.

223

224 3.2.2. *Indigryllus* Robillard & Jaiswara gen. nov.

225

226 **Type species.**

227 *Indigryllus kudremu* Robillard & Jaiswara sp. nov.

228

229 **Etymology**

230 The genus name is derived from India and the suffix *-gryllus* in reference to the name *Xenogryllus*.

231

232 **Diagnosis**

233 *Indigryllus* presents all the characteristics of *Xenogryllini*, but majorly shares a combination of
 234 morphological features of *Xenogryllus* and *Pseudolebinthus* (Fig. 1A-D). The new genus resembles
 235 *Pseudolebinthus* by shape of head and eyes, brachypterous wings barely reaching abdomen mid-length
 236 in males, shorter in females (but longer in *Indigryllus* than in females of *Pseudolebinthus*), muscular
 237 FIII (thinner in *Xenogryllus*), and long, rather slender abdomen. *Indigryllus* is however more similar to

Xenogryllus in terms of size, homogeneous light brown colouration, male FWs symmetry, without swellings of harp veins as in *Pseudolebinthus*, and male genitalia with long sclerotized pseudepiphallic lophi (Fig. 5A).

Description

Size average for the tribe. Head shape (Fig. 2A-C) close to that of *Pseudolebinthus* and tribe Lebinthini, with eyes larger and more protruding than in *Xenogryllus*. In dorsal view (Fig. 2A), eyes combined width represents ca. 45% of head width, as for example in *Macrobenthus* Robillard & Dong, 2016. Head slightly higher than wide in facial view (Fig. 2B); face with a whitish mask with black spots as in *Xenogryllus*, underlined laterally with a dark line prolonged on eyes; flat in lateral view (Fig. 2C). Fastigium twice as wide as scape, almost square, slightly divergent apically, not discontinuous from vertex nor furrowed longitudinally; fastigium twice as wide as scape, with many setae up to median ocellus. Ocelli flat, arranged in a wide triangle; lateral ocelli almost circular, located at basis of fastigium; median ocellus ellipsoidal, subapical in location. Scape small. Antennae thin. Maxillary palpi long, their 5th joint as long as 3rd and slightly widened apically. Pronotum dorsal disc slightly wider than long, posterior margin straight; lateral margins not carinated. TI with two tympana; inner tympanum covered by a sclerotized expansion, its membrane visible along a small longitudinal slit only; outer tympanum oval in shape, its surface smooth. TI with two inner and two outer apical spurs. TII with two inner spurs of equal lengths, and two outer spurs, the dorsal the longest. FIII apex filiform, their bases muscular, wider than in *Xenogryllus*. TIII serrulated over their whole length, slightly furrowed dorsally, with four pairs of subapical spurs and three pairs of apical spurs; inner and outer spurs (both subapical and apical) of almost similar size, straight and slightly curved apically. Abdomen long and slender, conical.

Male. Metanotal glandular structures well developed, similar to that of *Xenogryllus*, with a large median process on scutum, scutellum raised medially and carrying a bunch of setae oriented anteriorly. Posterior part of mesonotum setose and extended posteriorly, covering metanotal scutum. Hind wings shorter than the FWs. FWs short (Fig. 3A-B), reaching two thirds of abdomen length,

265 symmetrical, not widened as in *Xenogryllus*. FW cells with longitudinal wrinkles as in *Xenogryllus*.
266 FWs light brown, translucent, with the following pattern of black spots on dorsal field: wide black
267 transverse band anterior to transverse part of 1A, black marking in cell c1 and in anterior and external
268 corners or mirror. Harp wide, oblique veins as in *Xenogryllus*, with two complete bisinuate veins and
269 one incomplete vein posteriorly. Mirror large and rounded, as in *Xenogryllus*, crossed at mid-length by
270 a complete accessory vein. Cell d2 widened. Apical field short, including four narrow cell alignments.
271 Lateral field crossed by numerous projections of Sc along its whole length, as in *Xenogryllus*.
272 Subgenital plate clog-shaped, its apex slightly indented.

273 *Male genitalia* (Fig. 4A-D). Close to *Xenogryllus*, with long sclerotized pseudepiphallic lophi (Fig.
274 5A), their apex with a short inner hook-shaped dorsal expansion. Membrane at anterior basis of
275 pseudepiphallus slightly sclerotized, as in *Xenogryllus*; anterior margin of pseudepiphallus
276 strengthened by a ventral sclerotization. Rami rather short and strong, their apex slightly convergent.
277 Pseudepiphallic parameres strong, with a strong dorsal lobe and two ventral lobes clearly
278 individualised (Fig. 4D); surface of parameres thinly denticulate. Ectophallic fold homogeneously
279 sclerotized. Ectophallic arc not sclerotized, as in *Xenogryllus*; ectophallic apodemes long, lamellate
280 apically; their basis with strong ventral arms. Endophallic sclerite forming a wide flat plate rounded
281 anteriorly, with long thin lateral arms oriented posteriorly and a long medio-posterior expansion fused
282 with ectophallic fold. Endophallic apodeme with a thin dorsal median crest and narrow lateral
283 lamellas.

284 *Female*. FWs shorter than in males (Fig. 3C), not reaching abdomen mid-length; colouration pale
285 brown; dorsal field with six strong longitudinal veins; lateral field triangular; M vein faint; R/Sc area
286 wide, brown with faint transverse veins; Sc with two projections. Subgenital plate slightly indented
287 apically (Fig. 6C). Apex of ovipositor rounded and smooth, more pointed than in *Xenogryllus* (Fig.
288 6A).

289 *Female genitalia*. Copulatory papilla (Fig. 6E-G) more elaborate than in *Xenogryllus*, with a wide
290 cylindrical basal part sclerotized ventrally, and a narrowed cylindrical apical membranous part, thinly
291 plicate and slightly folded ventrally.

292

293 **3.2.3. *Indigryllus kudremu* Robillard & Jaiswara sp. nov.**

294 (Figs 1A-D; 2A-C; 3B-C; 4A-D; 5A; 6A, C, E-G; 7)
295

296 *Xenogryllus* n. sp. – Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas, 2004a: 579; 2004b: 275 (morphological
297 phylogeny); 2006: 644.

298 *Xenogryllus* sp. – Nattier et al., 2011: 2201 (molecular phylogeny); Vicente et al., 2017: 2203
299 (historical biogeography).

300

301 **Type material**

302 *Holotype*, ♂, **India:** Kudremukh, 29.V.2007 KWC-93-00.229, identified *Xenogryllus marmoratus* S.
303 Rawat & R. Balakrishnan (ZSI). *Allotype*, ♀, **India:** Same locality, date and collector as HT, KCW-
304 92-00.228, identified *Xenogryllus* sp. by R. Balakrishnan, lab code for molecular sample X3Xsp2
305 (ZSI). *Paratype* (1 ♂), **India:** same locality, date and collector as HT, NCW-0230, identified
306 *Xenogryllus carmichaeli*? by R. Balakrishnan, identified *Xenogryllus* sp. by T. Robillard, MEB cerque
307 g., lab code for molecular sample Xsp (MNHN-EO-ENSIF2738).

308

309 **Type locality**

310 India, Karnataka, Chikkamagaluru district, Kudremukh.

311

312 **Etymology**

313 The species is named after the type locality.

314

315 **Diagnosis**

316 *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov. is recognized by its light colouration, brachypterous wings in both sexes,
317 long abdomen, and male genitalia with pseudepiphallic lophi forming two blades partly fused basally
318 (Fig. 5A), close to that of *X. marmoratus*, converging basally, their apex diverging, with a short hook-
319 shaped dorsal expansion.

320

321 **Description**

322 In addition to the characters of the genus, colouration mostly ochre (Fig. 1A-D); vertex with four faint
323 longitudinal brown bands and two triangular lines posterior to eyes (Fig. 2A). Scapes with dark brown
324 patterns; antennae uniformly yellow brown, except first article dark brown. Pronotum dorsal disc light
325 brown, mottled with orange brown; pronotum lateral lobes almost homogeneously golden brown with
326 black dots, dorsal margin underlined with dark brown; anterior ventral corner with a yellow spot;
327 posterior margin mottled with yellow and dark brown. FI-II yellow brown mottled with dark brown;
328 TI-II and Ta-I-II yellow dark brown apically. FIII almost homogeneously orange brown. Abdomen
329 light brown mottled with black, whitish ventrally. Cerci long, their inner face dark, rest light brown.

330 *Male*. FWs mostly light brown (Fig. 3B), veins light brown or yellow; anterior dark brown colouration
331 on dorsal field including whole width of 1A. Apical field with yellow brown longitudinal veins and
332 strong dark brown transverse veins. Lateral field with 12-14 ($n = 2$) projections of Sc and 3-6 more
333 ventral veins.

334 *Male genitalia* (Fig. 4A-D). Pseudepiphallic lophi long (Fig. 5A), setose, curved dorsally in lateral
335 view, forming two blades partly fused basally, converging at mid-length then diverging apically; apex
336 of lophi with short inner hook-shaped dorsal expansions.

337 *Female*. Ovipositor short, about half of FIII length.

338 *Calling song*. Unknown.

339 *Measurements*. See Table 3.

340

Distribution and natural history

The type locality is in the west of the Western Ghats, one of the evergreen forests in India. Since the species was never found again in the same or close-by areas therefore, we hypothesize that the type locality does not correspond to the natural habitat of the species.

The type specimens were found in a backyard garden that had diverse plantation, but was dominated by areca nuts. The garden was least managed and had pineapple, hibiscus, paper mulberry and other shrubs. The specimens were collected during the monsoon season when the average temperature ranges between 25 to 37°C and average humidity is *ca.* 77%.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Diversity and distribution of the *Xenogryllini*

The taxonomic revision of the *Xenogryllini* achieved in Jaiswara et al. (2018, 2019) and completed here by the description of the new genus *Indigryllus*, was based on the thorough study of specimens from natural history collections belonging to 17 institutions and on field work in Mozambique, China and India. These studies reveal that the *Xenogryllini* tribe is relatively less diversified compared to the other tribes of the subfamily Eneopterinae, and more specifically to its sister tribe, the Lebinthini. The taxonomic revisions clarified the status of all previously described species and subspecies and added five new species to the *Xenogryllini*: three to *Xenogryllus* (Jaiswara et al., 2019), one to *Pseudolebinthus* (Jaiswara et al., 2018), and the new genus *Indigryllus* with one new species from Southern India. The combination of these three studies allows drawing a map of distribution for all the species of the *Xenogryllini* (Figure 8).

The discovery of *Indigryllus* is particularly relevant to improve the knowledge of eneopterines. First, it is the first record of Eneopterinae in the southern half of India. Until now, eneopterines were represented in the region only by some populations of *X. transversus* distributed in Northern and Eastern India and in Pakistan (Bhowmik, 1976, 1985; Saeed et al., 1990). Second, *Indigryllus* shows

original combination of characters from the other two genera of the tribe: it is brachypterous with long abdomen and large eyes as *Pseudolebinthus*, while its male genitalia and FW venation are clearly more similar to that of *Xenogryllus*. As mentioned in the taxonomic part, *I. kudremu* sp. nov. is documented by three specimens only, collected once, and the type locality belongs to the west of the Western Ghats, one of the evergreen forests in India. Since the species was never found again in the same area, we hypothesize that its type locality may not correspond to the natural habitat of the species. The Western Ghats is one of the biodiversity centres in India; therefore, it has been a focus region for numerous recent faunal discoveries in diverse groups such as frogs, birds, shield tail snakes and lizards (e.g., Kuramoto & Joshy, 2003; Giri et al., 2004; Biju & Bossuyt, 2005, 2009; Biju et al., 2009; Robin et al., 2017; Jins et al., 2018; Sadasivan et al., 2018; Raj et al., 2018; Agarwal *et al.*, 2018). In the last two decades, only a handful of new cricket species belonging to Gryllidae, Phalangopsidae and Pteroplistinae have been described (Gorochoy, 2002, 2003, 2009, 2018; Desutter-Grandcolas & Jaiswara, 2012; Jaiswara & Desutter-Grandcolas, 2014). Present discovery of *Indigryllus* suggests that the region of the Western Ghats may still keep some undescribed lineages of crickets.

4.2. Phylogenetic relationships and biogeographical patterns

The molecular phylogenetic analysis confirms the monophyly of the tribe Xenogryllini with a high support, and its sister relationship with the Lebinthini tribe. These results are consistent with the previous phylogenetic analyses based on a lower Xenogryllini sampling with morphological (Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas, 2004a; Robillard, 2006) and DNA sequence data (Nattier et al., 2011; Vicente et al., 2017). The paraphyly of the Lebinthini found in the BI analysis is probably due to low taxonomic sampling of this tribe in our study, since the Lebinthini are clearly monophyletic and distinct from the Xenogryllini in all previous phylogenetic studies, as recovered by our ML analysis.

Within Xenogryllini, the new Indian genus *Indigryllus* is the sister group of *Xenogryllus*, which is distributed in Asia and Africa. The clade that they form together is sister to *Pseudolebinthus*, which is endemic to Southeast Africa. This pattern suggests a complex biogeographical history, which was

partly addressed, but shortly discussed, in the study of Vicente et al. (2017). The study included representatives from the three Xenogryllini genera (*Indigryllus kudremu* was identified as “*Xenogryllus* sp.” and *Pseudolebinthus gorochovi* as “*Pseudolebinthus* sp.”). The study also dated the stem group of the Xenogryllini, revealing the split between Xenogryllini and Lebinthini *ca.* 59.64 Ma, and the Xenogryllini crown group *ca.* 52.35 Ma. The results of the ancestral area reconstructions suggested an origin of Xenogryllini both in Asia and India (DEC results), suggesting that Africa was colonized twice independently by the Xenogryllini after 50 Ma: once by *Pseudolebinthus* and once by *Xenogryllus eneopteroides*, which was the only species of the genus distributed in Africa at the time of this study. The new lineages of Xenogryllini recently described, including three new species of *Xenogryllus* from Africa and the additional information concerning the geographical distribution of the tribe, question these conclusions. In particular, among the new species of *Xenogryllus*, *X. lamottei* Robillard, 2018, more resembles morphologically the species distributed in Asia (no lateral carinae on pronotum, shape of pseudepiphallid lophi of male genitalia). It suggests an alternative biogeographic scenario, involving an early colonization of Africa by the Xenogryllini and a later independent recolonization event of India and of continental Southeast Asia by *Indigryllus* and by a clade of *Xenogryllus*. Since several new species including *X. lamottei* could not be included in our phylogenetic study, the biogeographic hypothesis could not be tested. The biogeographic history of the Xenogryllini will have to be revisited by considering these new data into account in order to address specifically the routes and timing of colonization between Asia and Africa.

4.3. Comparison between tribes Xenogryllini and Lebinthini

The recent systematic revision of the Xenogryllini (Jaiswara et al., 2018 & 2019) highlights several interesting contrasts between the Xenogryllini and its sister tribe, the Lebinthini, in terms of species diversity, distribution patterns and signals of communication.

As hypothesised by Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas (2004a), there is a taxonomic imbalance between the two tribes. The species ratio Lebinthini / Xenogryllini was 34/7 in 2004, but the recent taxonomic discoveries in both clades changed drastically this ratio: with a similar taxonomic

treatment, the Xenogryllini now includes a total of 12 species under 3 genera, whereas the Lebinthini include *ca.* 150 species under 12 genera (*e.g.*, Robillard et al., 2014; Vicente et al., 2015; Anso et al., 2016; Robillard et al., 2016; Robillard & Su, 2018), and many Lebinthini taxa yet remain undescribed (T. Robillard, pers. obs.). This contrast is corroborating the hypothesis that the Lebinthini were likely subject to a taxonomic radiation, possibly in relation with their innovations in terms of communication system (Robillard et al., 2007).

Xenogryllini and Lebinthini are also contrasted in terms of distribution patterns. The Xenogryllini are mostly distributed in continental regions, except for *X. marmoratus* which is found both in continental Asia (China, South Korea) and in islands of Japan, China (Taiwan, Hainan) and Sri Lanka (Fig. 8). In contrast, the Lebinthini fully express their species diversity when they are distributed in islands (*e.g.*, Desutter-Grandcolas & Robillard, 2006; Nattier et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2018). As a consequence, the two tribes only barely overlap in their marginal distributions in coastal regions of China and Japan, where a few Lebinthini occur in sympatry with *X. marmoratus*. In association with this difference of tribe distribution, the species of the tribes differ by their range sizes: the Xenogryllini species, and in particular that of *Xenogryllus*, show relatively large distribution areas. This feature may be due to their continental distribution and the fact that they live in open habitats. In contrast, very high rates of speciation have been demonstrated in crickets distributed on islands (Mendelson & Shaw, 2005). The Lebinthini species living on islands are most often found in forested areas; they also usually have more restricted distributions, which could in turn promote higher rates of speciation (Dong et al., 2018). These differences could partly explain the imbalance of diversity observed between the two tribes. However, exceptions exist, as for example the Lebinthini species *Cardiodactylus novaeguineae* (Haan, 1842), which lives in open coastal areas and shows a very large distribution across the Western Pacific (Robillard & Ichikawa, 2009).

The last factor distinguishing Xenogryllini and Lebinthini are their modalities of communication, which are understudied in the Xenogryllini. The calling songs of five *Xenogryllus* species were recently formally described (Jaiswara et al., 2019), but that of *Indigryllus* and *Pseudolebinthus* species remain unknown. It would thus be erroneous to pretend that the Xenogryllini

are characterized by calling songs made of long syllables with relatively low frequencies, since these features are probably linked with the peculiar morphology of the males of *Xenogryllus*, which present widened FWs and long stridulatory files carrying a high number of teeth, two characteristics favoring the production of long syllables and low frequencies. The two other *Xenogryllini* genera, *Pseudolebinthus* and *Indigryllus*, were never acoustically recorded, and although very particular in their own ways, they do not share these morphological features of *Xenogryllus*. It is thus impossible yet to predict the features of their calling songs, except that they are probably different from that of *Xenogryllus*. Comparatively, the songs of the Lebinthini are generally characterised by shorter syllables and high dominant frequencies. These acoustic features participate to a new system of communication which was recently described, involving absence of female phonotaxis and female vibratory response to male calls (ter Hofstede et al., 2015). These differences, and in particular the innovations allowing the Lebinthini to use new communication resources as key innovations in a context of adaptive radiation, has been proposed as a possible explanation for the imbalance of diversity observed between the two tribes (Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas, 2004a). Now that both tribes were subject to comparable taxonomic revisions, the hypothesis of adaptive radiation will have to be formally tested in future studies by estimating rates of speciation, extinction and adaptive phenotypic evolution using comparative phylogenetic methods (e.g., Herrera, 2017).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the Indo-French Centre for Promotion of Advanced Research (CEFIPRA) for funding this project (PIs: Rohini Balakrishnan & Laure Desutter-Grandcolas), and the National Biodiversity Authority of the Government of India for providing the necessary permits to allow us to carry out the fieldwork. We owe our thanks to Professor Rohini Balakrishnan for bringing to our attention the existence of unknown Eneopterine in India. We thank gratefully to the Director of IISER Mohali, Professor N. Sathyamurthy, Dr. Manjari Jain and Professor Anand K. Bachhawat for hosting RJ as postdoctoral fellow and providing necessary infrastructure to carry out the research work. We also thank the Orthopterist Society for supporting RJ with one-month project grant to visit MNHN in

2017. The collaboration between France and China was facilitated by CAMPUS FRANCE and the
program PHC XU GUANGQI (2012). Part of this work was conducted in the context of the PhD
thesis of JD, which was funded by China Scholarship Council (CSC), the Innovation Funds of
Graduate Programs of Shaanxi Normal University [2012CXB019], the National Science Foundation of
China [Grant No. 31402006] and a supporting grant from “La Société des Amis du Muséum” and
ISYEB. Laboratory access and assistance was provided by the “Service de Systematique Moleculaire”
of the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris (CNRS UMS 2700). The molecular work was
partly supported by agreement no. 2005/67 between the Genoscope (Evry, France) and the MNHN
project ‘Macrophylogeny of life’; sequencing was also undertaken in the project @SpeedID proposed
by F-BoL, the French Barcode of life initiative and the network ‘Bibliothèque du vivant’ funded by
the CNRS, INRA and MNHN, and through several MNHN grants (ATM “Génomique et collections”;
ATM blanche). We thank Andrej Gorochov (ZIN), Sigfrid Ingrisih (ZFMK), Hojun Song (Univ.
Texas) for providing samples for the molecular phylogeny. We also thank Simon Poulain (MNHN) for
his help photographing the specimens, and Karen Salazar (MNHN) for her help with line drawing
figures. We thank Jean-Yves Rasplus (CBGP, Montpellier) and Oliver Pascal for organising the 2009
expedition in Mozambique; this expedition was made possible by generous contributions from the
Prince Albert II of Monaco Foundation and the Stavros Niarchos Foundation; we would like to thank
Pro-Natura International and the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris, for organising the
expedition under their Our Planet Reviewed programme, and the Instituto de Investigação Agrária de
Moçambique for helping with the administration and the collecting permits issue.

496 REFERENCES

- 497 Agarwal, I., Khandekar, A., Ramakrishnan, U., Vyas, R., & Giri, V. B. (2018). Two new species of
498 the *Ophisops microlepis* (Squamata: Lacertidae) complex from northwestern India with a key to
499 Indian Ophisops. *Journal of Natural History*, 52, 819–847.
500 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2018.1436203>
- 501 Anso, J., Barrabe, L., Desutter-Grandcolas, L., Jourdan, H., Grandcolas, P., Dong, J., & Robillard, T.
502 (2016). *Pixibinthus*, a new cricket genus endemic in New Caledonia: phylogenetic study of a
503 potential relict lineage from maquis minier vegetation. *PLoS ONE*, 11, e0150920.
504 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150920>.
- 505 Audacity Team (2016). Audacity(R): Free Audio Editor and Recorder [Computer application].
506 Version 2.0.0 retrieved January 12th 2016 from <https://audacityteam.org/> [1].
- 507 Bhowmik, H.K. (1976). On the gryllid fauna (Gryllidae: Orthoptera) of the districts of the North
508 Bengal, with description of three new species. *Indian Museum Bulletin*, 11, 42–48.
- 509 Bhowmik, H. K. (1985). Contribution to the gryllid fauna of the Western Himalayas (orthoptera:
510 Gryllidae). *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*, 73, 67.
- 511 Biju, S. D., & Bossuyt, F. (2005). A new species of frog (Ranidae, Rhacophorinae, Philautus) from the
512 rainforest canopy in the Western Ghats, India. *Current Science*, 88, 175–178.
- 513 Biju, S. D., & Bossuyt, F. (2009). Systematics and phylogeny of *Philautus* Gistel, 1848 (Anura,
514 Rhacophoridae) in the Western Ghats of India, with descriptions of 12 new species. *Zoological*
515 *Journal of the Linnean Society*, 155, 374–444. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2008.00466.x)
516 [3642.2008.00466.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2008.00466.x)
- 517 Biju, S. D., Bocxlaer, I., Giri, V. B., Loader, S. P., & Bossuyt, F. (2009). Two new endemic genera
518 and a new species of toad (Anura: Bufonidae) from the Western Ghats of India. *BMC Research*
519 *Notes*, 2, 241. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-2-241>
- 520 Chintauan-Marquier, I. C., Legendre, F., Hugel, S., Robillard, T., Grandcolas, P., Nel, A., Zuccon, D.,
521 & Desutter-Grandcolas, L. (2016). Laying the foundations of evolutionary and systematic

522 studies in crickets (Insecta, Orthoptera): a multilocus phylogenetic analysis. *Cladistics*, 32, 54–
 523 81. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cla.12114>

524 Cigliano, M.M., H. Braun, D.C. Eades & D. Otte. Orthoptera Species File. Version 5.0/5.0. [Retrieved
 525 on April 04, 2019]. <http://Orthoptera.SpeciesFile.org>

526 Contreras, D., & Chapco, W. (2006). Molecular phylogenetic evidence for multiple dispersal events in
 527 gomphocerine grasshoppers. *Journal of Orthoptera Research*, 15, 91–98.
 528 [https://doi.org/10.1665/1082-6467\(2006\)15\[91:MPEFMD\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1665/1082-6467(2006)15[91:MPEFMD]2.0.CO;2)

529 Desutter, L. (1987). Structure et évolution du complexe phallique des Gryllidea (Orthoptera) et
 530 classification des genres néotropicaux de Grylloidea. 1re partie. *Annales de la Société*
 531 *entomologique de France (N.S.)*, 23, 213–239.

532 Desutter-Grandcolas L. (2003). Phylogeny and the evolution of acoustic communication in extant
 533 Ensifera (Insecta, Orthoptera). *Zoologica Scripta*, 32, 525–561. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1463->
 534 [6409.2003.00142.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1463-6409.2003.00142.x)

535

536 Desutter-Grandcolas, L., & Robillard, T. (2006). Phylogenetic systematics and evolution of
 537 *Agnoteocus* in New Caledonia (Orthoptera : Grylloidea, Eneopteridae). *Systematic Entomology*,
 538 31, 65–92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3113.2005.00299.x>

539 Desutter-Grandcolas, L., & Jaiswara, R. (2012). Phalangopsidae crickets from the Indian Region
 540 (Orthoptera, Grylloidea), with the descriptions of new taxa, diagnoses for genera, and a key to
 541 Indian genera. *Zootaxa*, 3444, 1–39. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3948.3.5>

542 Dong, J., Kergoat, G. J., Vicente, N., Rahmadi, C., Xu, S., & Robillard, T. (2018). Biogeographic
 543 patterns and diversification dynamics of the genus *Cardiodactylus* Saussure (Orthoptera,
 544 Grylloidea, Eneopterinae) in Southeast Asia. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 129, 1–
 545 14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2018.06.001>.

546 Erixon, P., Svennblad, B., Britton, T., & Oxelman, B. (2003). Reliability of Bayesian posterior
 547 probabilities and bootstrap frequencies in phylogenetics. *Systematic Biology*, 52, 665–673.
 548 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150390235485>

549 Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., & Vrijenhoek, R. (1994). DNA primers for amplification
 550 of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates.
 551 *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology*, 3, 294–299.

552 Giri, V. B., Gower, D., & Wilkinson, M. (2004). A new species of *Indotyphlus* Taylor (Amphibia:
 553 Gymnophiona: Caeciliidae) from the Western Ghats, India. *Zootaxa*, 739, 1–19.
 554 <http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.739.1.1>

555 Giribet, G., Carranza, S., Baguna, J., Riutort, M., & Ribera, C. (1996). First molecular evidence for the
 556 existence of a Tardigrada plus arthropoda clade. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 13, 76–84.
 557 DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025573

558 Giribet, G., Carranza, S., Riutort, M., Baguna, J., & Ribera, C. (1999). Internal phylogeny of the
 559 Chilopoda (Myriapoda, Arthropoda) using complete 18S rDNA and partial 28S rDNA
 560 sequences. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological*
 561 *Sciences*, 354, 215–222. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1999.0373

562 Gorochov, A. V. (2002). Taxonomy of Podoscirtinae (Orthoptera: Gryllidae). Part 1: the male
 563 genitalia and Indo-Malayan Podoscirtini. *Zoosystematica Rossica*, 10, 346.

564 Gorochov, A. V. (2003). Taxonomy of Podoscirtinae (Orthoptera: Gryllidae). Part 2: Indo-Malayan
 565 and Australo-Oceanian Podoscirtini. *Zoosystematica Rossica*, 11, 274.

566 Gorochov, A. V. (2009). Sixth addition to the revision of Itarinae (Orthoptera: Gryllidae).
 567 *Zoosystematica Rossica*, 18, 222.

568 Gorochov, A. V. (2018). New or Little-Known Crickets of the Subfamily Phalangopsinae (Orthoptera,
 569 Gryllidae): 12. The Genus *Parendacustes* (Part 3) and Other Taxa. *Entomological Review*, 98,
 570 170–183. <https://doi.org/10.1134/s0013873818020069>

571 Haan, D. (1844). Verhandeligen over de Natuurlijke Geschiedenis der Nederlandsche Overzeesche
572 Bezittingen, 24, 235.

573 Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program
574 for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series*, 41, 95–98.

575 Herrera, J. P. (2017). Testing the adaptive radiation hypothesis for the lemurs of Madagascar. *Royal*
576 *Society Open Science*, 4, 161014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.161014>

577 Hillis, D. M., & Bull, J. J. (1993). An Empirical Test of Bootstrapping as a Method for Assessing
578 Confidence in Phylogenetic Analysis. *Systematic Biology*, 42, 182–192.
579 <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/42.2.182>

580 Jaiswara, R., & Desutter-Grandcolas, L. (2014). Revision of the genus *Pteroplistes* in India, with the
581 description of two new species *Pteroplistes kervasae* Jaiswara, n. sp. and *Pteroplistes*
582 *masinagudi* Jaiswara, n. sp. (Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Pteroplistinae). *Zootaxa*, 3814, 96–108.
583 <http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3814.1.5>

584 Jaiswara, R., Dong, J., & Robillard, T. (2018). Revision of the genus *Pseudolebinthus* (Orthoptera,
585 Gryllidae, Eneopterinae) with the description of a new species from Southeast Africa. *Zootaxa*,
586 4521, 265–274. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4521.2.7>

587 Jaiswara, R., Dong, J., Ma, L., Yin, H., & Robillard, T. (2019). Taxonomic revision of the genus
588 *Xenogryllus* (Orthoptera, Gryllidae, Eneopterinae). *Zootaxa*, 4545, 301–338.
589 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4545.3.1>

590 Jamieson, B.G.M., Tillier, S., Tillier, A., Justine, J.-L., Ling, E., James, S., McDonald, K., & Hugall,
591 A.F. (2002). Phylogeny of the Megascolecidae and Crassiclitellata (Annelida, Oligochaeta):
592 combined versus partitioned analysis using nuclear (28S) and mitochondrial (12S, 16S) rDNA.
593 *Zoosystema*, 24, 707–734.

594 Jins, V. J., Sampaio, F. L., & Gower, D. J. (2018). A new species of *Uropeltis* Cuvier, 1829
595 (Serpentes: Uropeltidae) from the Anaikatty Hills of the Western Ghats of India. *Zootaxa*, 4415,
596 401–422. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4415.3.1>

597 Kambhampati, S. (1995). A Phylogeny of Cockroaches and Related Insects Based on DNA-Sequence
 598 of Mitochondrial Ribosomal-Rna Genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
 599 *the United States of America*, 92, 2017–2020.

600 Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., & Yamada, K. D. (2017). MAFFT online service: multiple sequence
 601 alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 1–7.
 602 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108>

603 Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A.,
 604 Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P., & Drummond, A. (2012).
 605 Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization
 606 and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 1647–1649.
 607 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199>

608 Kuraku, S., Zmasek, C. M., Nishimura, O., & Katoh, K. (2013). aLeaves facilitates on-demand
 609 exploration of metazoan gene family trees on MAFFT sequence alignment server with enhanced
 610 interactivity. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41, 22–28. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt389

611 Kuramoto, M., & Joshy, S. H. (2003). Two New Species of the genus *Philautus* (Anura:
 612 Rhacophoridae) from the Western Ghats, Southwestern India. *Current Herpetology*, 22, 51–60.
 613 <https://doi.org/10.5358/hsj.22.51>

614 Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P. B., Wright, A. M., Senfeld, T., & Calcott, B. (2017). PartitionFinder 2: New
 615 methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological
 616 phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34, 772–773.
 617 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw260>

618 Maroja, L.S., Andrés, J.A., & Harrison, R.G. (2009). Genealogical Discordance and Patterns of
 619 Introgression and Selection Across a Cricket Hybrid Zone. *Evolution*, 63, 2999–3015.
 620 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00767.x>

621 Mendelson, T. C., & Shaw, K. L. (2005). Rapid speciation in an arthropod. *Nature*, 433, 375–376.
 622 <https://doi.org/10.1038/433375a>

623 Miller, M. A., Schwartz, T., Pickett, B. E., He, S., Klem, E. B., Scheuermann, R. H., Passarotti, M.,
624 Kaufman, S., & O'Leary, M. A. (2015). A RESTful API for Access to Phylogenetic Tools via
625 the CIPRES Science Gateway. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online*, 11, 43–48.
626 <https://doi.org/10.4137/ebo.s21501>

627 Nattier, R., Robillard, T., Desutter - Grandcolas, L., Couloux, A., & Grandcolas, P. (2011). Older than
628 New Caledonia emergence? A molecular phylogenetic study of the eneopterine crickets
629 (Orthoptera: Grylloidea). *Journal of Biogeography*, 38, 2195–2209.
630 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2011.02563.x>

631 Nattier, R., Grandcolas, P., Elias, M., Desutter-Grandcolas, L., Jourdan, H., Couloux, A., & Robillard,
632 T. (2012). Secondary Sympatry Caused by range expansion informs on the dynamics of
633 microendemism in a biodiversity hotspot. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e48047.
634 [doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048047](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048047).

635 Nattier, R., Grandcolas, P., Pellens, R., Jourdan, H., Couloux, A., Poulain, S., & Robillard, T. (2013).
636 Climate and soil type together explain the distribution of microendemic species in a biodiversity
637 hotspot. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e80811. [doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080811](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080811).

638 Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
639 stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and*
640 *Evolution*, 32, 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>

641 QGIS Development Team (2018). QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial
642 Foundation Project. <http://qgis.osgeo.org>.

643 Raj, P., Dinesh, K. P., Das, A., Dutta, S. K., Kar, N. B., & Mohapatra, P. (2018). Two new species of
644 cricket frogs of the genus *Fejervarya* bolikay, 1915 (Anura: Dicroglossidae) from the Peninsular
645 India. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India, A Journal of Indian Zoology*, 118, 1–21.
646 <https://doi.org/10.26515/rzsi/v118/i1/2018/121436>

647 Ragge, D. R. (1955). The wing venation of the Orthoptera Saltatoria. *British Museum Natural History*,
648 Londres, vi, + 159.

649 Rambaut, A., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., & Drummond, A.J. (2014). Tracer v1.6, Available from
650 <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer>

651 Ripplinger, J., & Sullivan, J. (2008). Does choice in model selection affect maximum likelihood
652 analysis? *Systematic Biology*, 57, 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150801898920>

653 Robillard, T., & Desutter-Grandcolas, L. (2004a). High-frequency calling in Eneopterinae crickets
654 (Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopteridae): adaptive radiation revealed by phylogenetic analysis.
655 *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 83, 577–584. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2004.00417.x)
656 [8312.2004.00417.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2004.00417.x)

657 Robillard, T., & Desutter-Grandcolas, L. (2004b). Phylogeny and the modalities of acoustic
658 diversification in extant Eneopterinae (Insecta, Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopteridae).
659 *Cladistics*, 20, 271–293. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2004.00025.x>

660 Robillard, T. & Desutter-Grandcolas, L. (2006). Phylogeny of the cricket subfamily Eneopterinae
661 (Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopteridae) based on four molecular loci and morphology.
662 *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 40, 643–661.
663 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.10.019>

664 Robillard, T. (2006). Phylogenetic systematics of *Pseudolebinthus*, a new genus of Eneopterinae
665 crickets (Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopteridae) from south-east Africa. *Systematic Entomology*,
666 31, 671–683. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3113.2006.00347.x>

667 Robillard, T., Grandcolas, P., & Desuter-Grandcolas, L. (2007). A shift toward harmonics for high-
668 frequency spectra in Eneopterinae crickets (Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopteridae). *Canadian*
669 *Journal of Zoology*, 85, 1264–1275. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z07-106>

670 Robillard, T., & Ichikawa, A. (2009). Redescription of Two *Cardiodactylus* Species (Orthoptera,
671 Grylloidea, Eneopterinae): The Supposedly Well-Known *C. novaeguineae* (Haan, 1842), and
672 the Semi-Forgotten *C. guttulus* (Matsumura, 1913) from Japan. *Zoological Science*, 26, 878–
673 891. DOI: 10.2108/zsj.26.878

674 Robillard, T., Montealegre-Z, F., Desutter-Grandcolas, L., Grandcolas, P., & Robert, D. (2013).
675 Mechanisms of high-frequency song generation in brachypterous crickets and the role of ghost
676 frequencies. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 216, 2001–2011.
677 <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.083964>

678 Robillard, T., Gorochoy, A. V., Poulain, S., & Suhardjono, Y. R. (2014). Revision of the cricket genus
679 *Cardiodactylus* (Orthoptera, Eneopterinae, Lebinthini): the species from both sides of the
680 Wallace line, with description of 25 new species. *Zootaxa*, 3854, 1–104.
681 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3854.1.1>

682 Robillard, T., Dong, J. Legendre, F., & Agauvoa, S. (2016). The brachypterous Lebinthini crickets
683 from Papua New Guinea, with description of two new genera and four new species (Orthoptera:
684 Gryllidae: Eneopterinae), in ROBILLARD T., LEGENDRE F., VILLEMANT C. & LEPONCE
685 M. (eds), Insects of Mount Wilhelm, Papua New Guinea. Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle,
686 Paris, *Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle*, 209, 149–202. ISBN : 978-2-85653-
687 784-8

688 Robillard, T., & Su, Y. N. (2018). New lineages of Lebinthini from Australia (Orthoptera: Gryllidae:
689 Eneopterinae). *Zootaxa*, 4392, 241–266. <https://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4392.2.2>

690 Robin, V. V., Vishnudas, C. K., Gupta, P., Rheindt, F. E., Hooper, D. M., Ramakrishnan, U., &
691 Reddy, S. (2017). Two new genera of songbirds represent endemic radiations from the Shola
692 Sky Islands of the Western Ghats, India. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 17, 31.
693 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-017-0882-6>

694 Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Mark, P. V. D., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L.,
695 Suchard, M. A., & Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic
696 Inference and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. *Systematic Biology*, 61, 539–542.
697 <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>

698 Sadasivan, K., Ramesh, M. B., Palot, M. J., Ambekar, M., & Mirza, Z. A. (2018). A new species of
699 fan-throated lizard of the genus *Sitana* Cuvier, 1829 from coastal Kerala, southern India.
700 *Zootaxa*, 4374, 545–564. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4374.4.5>

701 Saeed, A., & Yousuf, M. (1990). New record of family Eneopteridae (Grylloidea: Orthoptera) from
702 Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 22, 309.

703 Schneider, E. S., Römer, H., Robillard, T., & Schmidt, A. K. D. (2017). Hearing with exceptionally
704 thin tympana: Ear morphology and tympanal membrane vibrations in eneopterine crickets.
705 *Scientific Reports*, 7, 15266. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15282-z>

706 Shapiro, L.H., Strazanac, J.S., & Roderick, G.K. (2006). Molecular phylogeny of Banza (Orthoptera:
707 Tettigoniidae), the endemic katyids of the Hawaiian Archipelago. *Molecular Phylogenetics*
708 *and Evolution*, 41, 53–63. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.04.006

709 Su, Y. N. (2016). A simple and quick method of displaying liquid-preserved morphological structures
710 for microphotography. *Zootaxa*, 4208, 592–593. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4208.6.6>

711 ter Hofstede, H. M., Schoneich, S., Robillard, T., & Hedwig, B. (2015). Evolution of a communication
712 system by sensory exploitation of startle behavior. *Current Biology*, 25, 1–8.
713 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.10.064>

714 Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L-T., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B.Q. (2016). W-IQ-TREE: a fast online
715 phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nuclei Acids Research*, 44, W232-W235.
716 doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw256

717

718 Vicente, N. M., Olivero, P., Lafond, A., Dong, J., & Robillard, T. (2015). *Gnominthus* gen.nov., a new
719 genus of crickets endemic to Papua New Guinea with novel acoustic and behavioral diversity
720 (Insecta, Orthoptera, Gryllidae, Eneopterinae). *Zoologischer Anzeiger*, 258, 82–91.
721 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2015.06.005>

722 Vicente, N. M, Kergoat, G. J., Dong, J., Yotoko, K., Legendre, F., Nattier, R. & Robillard, T. (2017).
723 In and out of the Neotropics: historical biogeography of Eneopterinae crickets. *Journal of*
724 *Biogeography*, 44, 2199–2210. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13026>
725

726 **Tables**

727 **Table 1.** Taxon and gene sampling. List of all Eneopterinae crickets and outgroups used in this study with current geographical distribution and GenBank
728 accession numbers. NA means missing data. Abbreviation of museums: IISERM- Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, Punjab, India;
729 MNHN-Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France; ZFMK- Zoologisches Forschungsinstitut und Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany; ZIN-
730 Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, S. Petersburg, Russia and ZSI- Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, India.

Species	Voucher / Lab code (type status)	16S	12S	COI	COII	Cytb	18S	28S	H3
<i>Acheta domesticus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	MNHN-EO-ENSIF3523 / Adom	AF248698	ADZ97611	JX897403	JX897439	AF248682	AD18SITS1	JX897465	KR903150
<i>Agnotecous meridionalis</i> Desutter-Grandcolas, 2006	MNHN-ENSIF-2772 / AmeIP	JX897349	JX897401	JX897420	NA	JX897311	JX897579	JX897488	JX897553
<i>Agnotecous meridionalis</i> Desutter-Grandcolas, 2006	MNHN-EO-ENSIF-2771 / AmePB	JX897350	JX897402	JX897410	JX897442	JX897313	JX897597	JX897489	JX897550
<i>Cardiodactylus novaeguineae</i> (Haan, 1844)	MNHN-ENSIF2038 / C3CnoNC	JF972520	JF972504	MH662977	MH662880	JF972488	JF972535	MH663420	NA
<i>Cardiodactylus novaeguineae</i> (Haan, 1844)	MNHN-EO-ENSIF2030 / C2CnoPe	JF972521	JF972506	KU705563	KU705551	JF972490	JF972537	KR903500	KR903151
<i>Eneoptera guyanensis</i> Chopard, 1931	MNHN-EO-ENSIF2741 / Egu	AY905301	AY905272	JX897404	KU705553	AY905355	AY905331	KU705581	JX897547
Eurepini sp.	MNHN-EO-ENSIF3155 / Eursp	KR903674	KR903834	KU705565	KU705554	KR903331	KR904028	KR903503	KR903153
<i>Gryllus bimaculatus</i> De Geer, 1773	MNHN-EO-ENSIF3524/3404 / Gbi	AF248685	AY905292	NA	KU705555	AF248659	AF514509	KR903002	KR903154
<i>Indigryllus kudremu</i> sp.nov.	ZSI / X3Xsp2 (AT)	KY595509	KY595483	KY646248	MK761340	AY905377	AY905345	KY605247	KY646293
<i>Lebinthus bitaeniatus</i> Stål, 1877	MNHN-EO-ENSIF4393 / L18LbiP1	MK761250	MK761274	MK761331	MK761341	MK761353	MK761293	MK761313	MK761370
<i>Lebinthus bitaeniatus</i> Stål, 1877	MNHN-EO-ENSIF4394 / L28LbiP2	MK761252	MK761275	MK761332	NA	MK761354	MK761294	MK761314	MK761371
<i>Lebinthus luae</i> Robillard & Tan, 2013	MNHN-EO-ENSIF2740 / L8LbiS1 (PT)	JF972524	KR904017	KU705567	KU705557	JF972493	KR904199	KR903665	KR903321
<i>Lebinthus luae</i> Robillard & Tan, 2013	MNHN / L10LbiS3	MK761253	MK761276	MK761333	MK761342	MK761355	MK761295	MK761315	MK761372
<i>Microbinthus santoensis</i> Robillard, 2009	MNHN-EO-ENSIF2484 / L7LsaPe	KU705528	KU708011	KU705569	NA	KU705535	KU705543	KU705585	KU705601
<i>Microbinthus santoensis</i> Robillard, 2009	MNHN-EO-ENSIF2437 / LsaV (PT)	JF972527	JF972511	JX897405	JX897441	JF972495	JF972542	JX897467	JX897548
<i>Nisitrus vittatus</i> (Haan, 1842)	MNHN-EO-ENSIF2742 / NviS	MH575026	MH575158	KU705572	NA	MH662741	AY905340	KR903667	JX897546
<i>Pseudolebinthus gorochovi</i> Robillard, 2018	ZIN / X17PsMal1 (HT)	KY595508	KY595472	KY646231	NA	NA	KY595511	KY605231	MK761373
<i>Xenogryllus eneopteroides</i> Bolívar, 1890	MNHN-EO-ENSIF3159 / XenAC	KR903829	KR904023	KY646249	NA	KR903490	KR904205	KR903670	KR903328
<i>Xenogryllus eneopteroides</i> Bolívar, 1890	MNHN-EO-ENSIF3442 / XenCI	MK761256	MK761279	NA	NA	NA	MK761298	NA	MK761375
<i>Xenogryllus eneopteroides</i> Bolívar, 1890	MNHN-EO-ENSIF3442 / XenGA	MK761257	MK761280	NA	NA	NA	MK761299	MK761318	MK761376
<i>Xenogryllus maichauensis</i> Gorochov, 1992	ZFMK / XtrTh	MK761258	NA	NA	NA	MK761357	NA	NA	MK761377
<i>Xenogryllus marmoratus</i> (Haan, 1844)	MNHN-EO-ENSIF3161 / Xma2	KR903830	KR904024	NA	MK761343	KR903491	KR904206	NA	KR903329
<i>Xenogryllus marmoratus</i> (Haan, 1844)	MNHN-EO-ENSIF1599 / XmaCh1	KY595510	KY595484	NA	MK761344	KY646274	KY595518	KY605248	KY646292
<i>Xenogryllus marmoratus</i> (Haan, 1844)	MNHN-EO-ENSIF1594 / XmaCh2	MK761261	MK761283	NA	MK761345	MK761360	MK761302	MK761320	MK761379
<i>Xenogryllus marmoratus</i> (Haan, 1844)	MNHN-EO-ENSIF3562 / XmaCh3	MK761262	MK761284	NA	MK761346	MK761361	MK761303	MK761321	MK761380
<i>Xenogryllus mozambicus</i> Robillard, 2019	MNHN-EO-ENSIF1515 / XenMoz (PT)	MK761263	MK761285	MK761336	NA	MK761362	MK761304	NA	MK761381
<i>Xenogryllus transversus</i> (Walker, 1869)	IISERM / Xtr715	MK761264	MK761286	NA	NA	MK761363	MK761305	MK761322	MK761382

<i>Xenogryllus transversus</i> (Walker, 1869)	IISERM / Xtr765	MK761265	NA	MK761337	NA	MK761364	MK761306	MK761323	MK761383
<i>Xenogryllus transversus</i> (Walker, 1869)	IISERM / Xtr766	MK761266	NA	NA	NA	MK761365	NA	MK761324	MK761384
<i>Xenogryllus transversus</i> (Walker, 1869)	MNHN-EO-ENSIF87 / XtrIn	JF972530	NA	KY646247	MK761347	JF972499	KY595519	KY605246	KY646294
<i>Xenogryllus ululiu</i> Gorochov, 1990	ZIN / X18XulV2	MK761268	MK761287	NA	MK761348	NA	MK761308	MK761326	MK761386
<i>Xenogryllus ululiu</i> Gorochov, 1990	ZIN / X19XulSi	MK761269	MK761288	NA	MK761349	MK761367	MK761309	MK761327	MK761387
<i>Xenogryllus ululiu</i> Gorochov, 1990	MNHN-EO-ENSIF4385 / X20XulCam1	MK761270	MK761289	NA	MK761350	NA	MK761310	MK761328	MK761388
<i>Xenogryllus ululiu</i> Gorochov, 1990	ZIN / X21XulCam2	MK761271	MK761290	NA	MK761351	NA	MK761311	MK761329	MK761389
<i>Xenogryllus ululiu</i> Gorochov, 1990	ZFMK / Xulth	MK761272	MK761291	MK761339	NA	MK761368	NA	NA	NA

731

732

733

734

Table 2. Characteristics of the eight DNA markers and primers used in this study and in the phylogenetic analyses.

	Gene	Primer name	Sequencing primers (5' - 3')	Reference	Annealing temperature	Amplicon size
Mitochondrial	CO1	L2	GCAACGATGATTATTTTCCACT	Nattier et al. (2012), modified from Folmer et al. (1994)	48-50°C	~750 bp
		H2	CCTGGTAAAATTAGAATGTAACTTCTG			
	CO2	co2a	GGTCAAACAATTGAGTCTATTTGAAC	Shapiro et al. (2006)	55°C	~400 bp
		co2e	CCACAAATTTCTGAACATTGACCA			
		RNa	TACWMAYCGWTTYCTTYTTGAAGGWC	Nattier et al. (2012), modified from Contreras & Chapco (2006)	47°C	
		Rne	CGACCTGGAGTTGCATCAG			
	Cytb	427F	YTWGTWCAATGARTMTGAGG	Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas (2006)	48°C	~400 bp
		800R	CCYARTTTATTAGGAATTGATCG			
Nuclear	12S	F	TACTATGTTACGACTTAT	Kambhampati (1995)	48°C	~400 bp
		R	AAACTAGGATTAGATACCC			
	16S	AG	CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACATGT	Robillard & Desutter-Grandcolas (2006)	55°C	~500 bp
		BG	AGATCACGTAAGAATTTAATGGTC			
	H3	HexAF	ATGGCTCGTACCAAGCAGACGGC	Maroja et al. (2009)	58°C	~330 bp
		HexAR	ATATCCTTGGGCATGATGGTGAC			
Nuclear	28SA	C1'	ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCAT	Jamieson et al. (2002)	55°C	~400 bp
		D2b	GTTAGACTCCTTGGTCCGT			
	18S	A2	ATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAAC	Giribet et al. (1999)	52°C	~650 bp
		9R	GATCCTTCCGCAGGTTCACCTA	Giribet et al. (1996)		

740
741

Table 3. Measurements of *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov.

	BL	PronL	PronW	FWL	FWW	FIIL	FIIW		
Holotype male	21.2	3.1	4.9	10.3	5.0	18.4	4.5		
Male paratype	22.3	2.9	4.8	10.7	5.0	18.6	4.9		
(Male mean)	(21.8)	(3)	(4.9)	(10.5)	(5.0)	(18.5)	(4.7)		
Female allotype	18.8	3.5	4.8	4.2	3.4	18.3	5.0		
	TIIL	TIILs				TaIIIs			OL
		Ias	Ibs	Oas	Obs	Ids	Ods	Ols	
Holotype male	17.9	9	11	12	10	0	3	3	-
Male paratype	18.5	10	7	18	10	0	4	3	-
(Male mean)	(18.2)	(10)	(9)	(15)	(10)	(0)	(4)	(3)	-
Female allotype	18.7	8	12	15	13	1	6	3	10.5

Figure legends

Figure 1. *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov.: male in dorsal (A) and lateral (B) views, female in dorsal (C) and lateral (D) views. Scale bar: 5 mm.

Figure 2. Head view: *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov. in dorsal (A), facial (B) and lateral views (C); *Xenogryllus eneopteroides* in dorsal (D), facial (E) and lateral views (F). Scale bar: 1 mm.

Figure 3. *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov.: male forewing venation (A-B) and terminologies used in the systematic part, female forewing venation (C). Scale bars: 1 mm.

Figure 4. *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov.: male genitalia in dorsal (A), ventral (B) and lateral (C) views, detail of pseudepiphallic parameres in ventral view (D). Scale bar: 1 mm.

Figure 5. Right pseudepiphallic lophi of male genitalia in dorsal view: (A) *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov.; (B) *Xenogryllus eneopteroides*; (C) *Xenogryllus transversus* and (D) *Pseudolebinthus africanus*. Symbols: Preapical hook-like expansion figured in gray or with a black arrow; dotted parts represent membranous areas; thin lines represent folds and reliefs in sclerites. Scale bar: 1 mm.

Figure 6. Apex of female ovipositor: (A) *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov. and (B) *Xenogryllus eneopteroides*. Apex of female subgenital plate and base of ovipositor in ventral view: (C) *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov. and (D) *Xenogryllus eneopteroides*. Female copulatory papilla: (E-G) *Indigryllus kudremu* sp. nov. and (H-I) *Xenogryllus eneopteroides*.

Figure 7. Bayesian Inference tree of Xenogryllini tribe based on the concatenated dataset of eight genetic markers. ML bootstrap (BS) and Bayesian posterior probability (PP) support values are indicated for each node on the right; clades not recovered by the BI analysis are indicated by a symbol *. Clades corresponding to species are shaded with a colour scale. Represented species (from top to bottom): *Agotecous sarramea*, *Lebinthus bitaeniatus*, *Xenogryllus transversus*.

Figure 8. Distribution map of the species belonging to the Xenogryllini tribe. Each colour point represents a collecting locality based on recent revision studies of *Xenogryllus* (Jaiswara et al., 2019) and *Pseudolebinthus* (Jaiswara et al., 2018). Map designed using the software QGIS (QGIS Development Team (2018)).

773 **List and legend of supporting information**

774

775 **S1.** Sequence alignment of the concatenated molecular genetic markers generated by Geneious.

776 **S2.** Results of preliminary maximum likelihood (ML) analyses for each genetic marker.**S3.** Best-fit
777 models of sequence evolution and partitioning schemes selected with PartitionFinder.

778

779 **S4.** Original output results of Bayesian inference (BI) and Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses for
780 concatenated dataset.

781

784 Fig.2

785
786
787

789
790
791

792 Fig.4

793
794

796
797

798 Fig.6
799
800

801
802
803