Bridging music and speech rhythm: Rhythmic priming and audio–motor training affect speech perception

Nia Cason, Corine Astésano, Daniele Schön

► To cite this version:

Nia Cason, Corine Astésano, Daniele Schön. Bridging music and speech rhythm: Rhythmic priming and audio–motor training affect speech perception. *Acta Psychologica*, 2015, 155, pp.43-50. 10.1016/j.actpsy.2014.12.002 . hal-02446657

HAL Id: hal-02446657

<https://hal.science/hal-02446657>

Submitted on 30 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title: Bridging music and speech rhythm: rhythmic priming and audio-motor training affect speech perception

Author names and affiliations: Nia Cason^{a,b*}, Corine Astésano^{c,d} & Daniele Schön^{a,b}.

a) Aix-Marseille Université, Institut de Neurosciences des Systèmes, Marseille, France

b) INSERM, U1106, Marseille, France

c) UMR 7309, Laboratoire Parole et Langage, CNRS & Aix-Marseille University, 5 avenue Pasteur, 13006 Aix-en-Provence, corine.astesano@lpl-aix.fr

d) EA 4156, U.R.I. Octogone-Lordat, 5 allées Antonio Machado, 31058 Toulouse Cedex 09, France, corine.astesano@univ-tlse2.fr

*** Corresponding author.** *Email Address:* niacason@yahoo.co.uk

Contact Details:

Nia Cason: niacason@yahoo.co.uk, Tel: 0033 (0) 4 91 38 55 77

Corine Astésano: corine.astesano@univ-tlse2.fr, Tel: 0033 (0) 5 61 50 24 69

Daniele Schön: daniele.schon@univ-amu.fr Tel: 0033 (0) 4 91 38 55 77

Abstract

Following findings that musical rhythm enhances the perception of subsequently presented speech, we investigated whether priming for spoken sentences with metrical rhythms can enhance phonological processing - the building blocks of speech - and to what extent this priming effect is sensitive to rhythmic audio-motor training. Participants heard a metrical prime followed by a sentence (with a matching/mismatching prosodic structure in terms of both stress patterns and the number of elements), for which they performed a phoneme detection task. Behavioural (RT) data were collected from two groups of participants: a group who underwent audio-motor training with the musical rhythms (AM group), and a group who did not (A group).

We hypothesised that 1) inducing metrical expectations about sentence prosody through a musical rhythmic prime (i.e. metrical priming) would enhance the phonological processing of sentences when expectations were matched, and 2) that audio-motor training with musical rhythms would enhance this effect. Indeed, our results show that providing a matching rhythmic prime context resulted in faster phoneme detection, thus revealing a cross-domain effect of musical rhythm on the phonological processing. In addition, our results indicate that rhythmic audio-motor training enhances this priming effect. These results have important implications for rhythm-based speech therapies, and suggest that metrical rhythm in music and speech may rely on shared temporal processing brain resources.

Keywords: Speech, Music, Prosody, Metre, Rhythm

Abbreviations: A group (Auditory modality exposure group); AM group (Audio-motor training group); Reaction Times (RTs).

1. Introduction

Though speech and music may seem distinct from one another, both are hierarchically-organised, rule-based systems whose processing shares a wide range of similarities (Jäncke, 2012; Patel, 2011). Here, the current focus is how cross-domain similarities in the processing of ‘rhythm’ can explain the potential for musical rhythm to impact on speech processing. Rhythm (in both domains) can be broadly defined as the temporal organisation of acoustic events which unfold over time. Two basic properties of rhythm can be said to be its ability to give rise to a sense of ‘beat’ - a series of regular and recurrent psychological events (Cooper & Meyer, 1960) - and ‘meter’, which can be described as an emergent temporal structure that results in a hierarchical organisation of salient and less salient events (London, 2012). The present focus is with metre: how musical metre can inform a listener about speech meter, and the consequences of this in terms of speech processing.

Western music typically has a binary, march-like metre (12 12 12) or a ternary, waltz-like metre (123 123 123). The emergence of metre from a sequence of rhythmic sounds is not only automatic (London, 2012), but its perception also said to be present from infancy (Hannon & Johnson, 2005). This preference for perceiving metrical patterns has long been acknowledged: even on hearing an isochronous sequence of identical sounds, we automatically project a metrical structure onto them, engendering a perceptual illusion of weak and strong elements (Bolton, 1894).

Similarly in speech, salient and less salient syllables form the metrical patterning of utterances. Though speech does not possess the same degree of regularity as music (Patel, 2008), metrical ‘rules’ allow for a degree of rhythmic predictability in speech; a final stressed syllable marks the end of word groups in French, for example (Hirst & Di Cristo, 1998). We will refer here to stress as to the relative emphasis that is not uniquely signaled by intensity changes, but also importantly by changes in pitch and vowel duration. There are multiple

1 levels of saliencies in speech rhythm: there are different *types* of prosodic stress (e.g. lexical
2 stress, pitch stress, emphatic stress), all of which have different functions in speech, as well as
3
4 different *degrees* of stress (e.g. primary and secondary lexical stress; high, low or complex
5 pitch stress). As in the music domain, it is the interactions between these multiple levels of
6
7 stress that allow for the emergence of speech rhythm (Handel, 1989: pp. 383).
8
9

10
11 In both domains, metre is key in allowing a listener to form predictions about what
12 will happen next. For instance, on hearing a ternary metrical sequence in music (123 123
13 123), we are able to automatically and implicitly predict that a ‘123’ (weak-weak-strong)
14 pattern will follow. This is also the case in speech, whereby hearing a list of trisyllabic words
15 with a final stress induces expectations for a word with the same metre (Pitt & Samuel, 1990).
16
17 Given this, it is perhaps not surprising that attentional accounts have been used to explain
18 predictive mechanisms in both speech (Pitt & Samuel, 1990) and in music (Jones, 2008;
19 Large and Palmer, 2002). In speech, The Attentional Bounce hypothesis states that attention is
20 oriented to syllables which are expected to be stressed (Pitt & Samuel, 1990). It claims that
21 the position of these stressed syllables can be predicted on the bases of the metrical patterns of
22 speech, and that this is reflected by quicker phoneme detection at attended syllables (Pitt &
23 Samuel, 1990; Rothermich, Schmidt-Kassow & Kotz, 2011). In music, the Dynamic
24 Attending Theory (DAT) predicts that, on hearing a metrical rhythm (external oscillators),
25 neural rhythms synchronise to it with similar phase and period relations (internal oscillators),
26 and that this coupling dynamically modifies attention in time, with more attentional resources
27 being allocated to strong (predictable) metrical positions (Jones, 2008; Large and Jones, 1999;
28 London, 2012; Snyder and Large, 2005). As a result, auditory events occurring at these more
29 predictable locations are better processed (Barnes & Jones, 2000; Ellis & Jones, 2010; Jones,
30 Boltz & Kidd, 1982; Jones, Moynihan, MacKenzie & Puente, 2002). This effect of auditory

1 rhythmic expectation is also cross-modal, and can enhance the detection of visual targets, too
2 (Brochard et al., 2013; Escoffier et al., 2010).
3

4 To conclude thus far, metre can be said to dynamically modulate attention in time in
5 such a way that processing efficiency is influenced. This possibly takes place in a similar way
6 in music and speech, as shown by impacts of musical metre on the phonological processing of
7 speech (Cason & Schön, 2012). Should this be the case, expectations induced by musical
8 metre can be hypothesised to impact on the processing of speech metre, and, as a
9 consequence, to impact on the phonological processing of speech. Notably, this prediction is
10 scale free: it considers only metrical relations, which are considered to include ‘number’
11 expectations (how many elements to expect) and ‘stress’ expectations (what stress patterning
12 to expect), and not the exact durational expectations (i.e. inter onset intervals).
13

14 This hypothesis (that musical rhythm can have a cross-domain impact on speech
15 processing) has been received convincing support. For instance, temporal alignment between
16 stressed beats and stressed syllables allows for a greater comprehension of speech (Gordon,
17 Magne & Large, 2011). More specifically in relation to the current research question,
18 inducing rhythmic expectations can have also have a cross-domain effect on *subsequent*
19 speech processing (Cason & Schön, 2012). In that experiment, expectations about beat and
20 metre were induced by a musical rhythmic prime, which was followed by a bi- or trisyllabic
21 pseudoword with a final stressed syllable. Focussing here on the meter aspect, we found
22 electrophysiological (EEG) evidence of an enhanced processing when the speech metre
23 matched the prime metre (for example, when a prime with a ternary metre (123 123 123) was
24 followed by a trisyllabic word with the same ternary metre (123)). These results indicate that
25 musical rhythm impacts on phonological processing (stimuli for this experiment were
26 pseudowords with no semantic meaning) by inducing domain-general metrical expectations.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

To build upon these findings, the current study aimed to investigate whether this effect - seen at the level of single pseudowords - would also be present for real sentences.

Because active (audio-motor) engagement with musical rhythm may allow listeners to further internalise musical rhythms which can be shared by speech, a second aim of the present study was to investigate the role of rhythmic sensorimotor training on this cross-domain priming effect. In both speech and music, audio-motor interactions are vitally important for the consolidation of accurate production and the continual monitoring of output (Guenther, 2006; Lappe, Herholz, Trainor & Pantev, 2008; Levelt et al., 1999; Zatorre, Chen & Penhune, 2007). In music, audio-motor training can strongly influence the perception of rhythm (Phillips-Silver & Trainor, 2005, 2007; Su & Pöppel, 2012) and can enhance listeners' sensitivity to metrical deviants (Geiser, Sandmann, Jancke & Meyer, 2010; Vuust et al., 2005). Considering this, rhythmic training would intuitively result in a stronger priming effect, through an enhanced metrical sensitivity. From a clinical standpoint, the importance of musical audio-motor training in enhancing speech fluency has not been fully acknowledged. In therapies such as Rhythmic Speech Cueing (Thaut, 2005), for example, it is often the therapist who produces the rhythm on a drum whilst the patient is asked only to produce speech (rather than themselves actively participating in the musical rhythm production). In this case, any further benefits of motor rhythmic engagement are not fully potentiated.

In the present experiment, a musical metrical prime sequence was used to induce metrical expectations about both stress patterns and the number of elements. A subsequently-heard sentence either conformed to these stress and number expectations - and thus to listeners' metrical expectations - or did not. Considering the impact of rhythmic predictability on processing efficiency, we hypothesised that in conditions where metrical expectations were met, phonological processing of a target sentence would be enhanced. The experiment employed a phoneme detection task to measure phonological processing, a building block of

speech perception, with faster reaction times (RTs) indicating a facilitated access to phonological information. To address the second aim of this study, we tested two groups: one group who underwent audio-motor training with the musical rhythms presented in the experiment (Audio-Motor (AM) Group), and another group without this training (Auditory only (A) Group). We hypothesised that the positive effect of metrical priming on phonological processing would be more striking in the AM Group due to the consolidation of metrical representations afforded by audio-motor training.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Stimuli

Experimental trials consisted of a rhythmic prime sequence followed by a sentence. We used four rhythmic prime sequences that comprised four experimental blocks (Prime 1, Prime 2, Prime 3, Prime 4), and four sentence types built around these same rhythms (Figure 1).

Prime sequences were created in Adobe Audition and differed in number of beats (6 or 7) and in the placement of two stressed events. These rhythmic patterns were composed of percussion sounds, which had a stimulus onset asynchrony of 225 msec (beat level=450ms). The first stressed percussion sound of each prime had a rim shot timbre, a duration of 196 ms and an average Root Mean Square (RMS) of -41.25 dB, the second stressed percussion sound of each prime had a snare timbre, a duration of 353 ms and an RMS of -28.88 dB, and unstressed percussion sounds had a closed high hat timbre, a duration of 138 ms and an RMS of -43.63 dB.

Sentence stimuli were constructed around the four prime rhythms, thus using two syllable groups of 3 or 4 syllables (the most common meter in French, whatever the speaking

style, Astésano, 2001). 40 sentences were constructed for each of the four prime rhythms, resulting in a total of 160 sentences. The final word of the sentence (in which the phoneme target was present 50% of the time) was selected using Lexique 3 (New, Pallier, Ferrand & Matos, 2001). These sentence-final words were bisyllabic, had a CV/CV structure (at the phonological level), and were balanced for lexical frequency across the four sentence rhythm types. The last syllable of each word (an open CV syllable) contained a target vowel, for instance, as /i/ in /mari/ for 'mari', and the previous consonant macro-class (liquid, nasal, unvoiced fricative, voiced fricative, unvoiced stop and voiced stop) was balanced over the 4 rhythmic conditions.

Sentences were recorded in a soundproof booth by a native French speaker. Sentences were spoken within a carrier sentence simply to control for sentence-ending prosodic effects; the extracted (first) half of the sentence was followed by a carrier (second) half of the sentence which had exactly the same prosodic structure. To present an example, from '*J'ai bu un bon café*' *n'est pas facile à dire* [*I drank a good coffee* is not easy to say], we extracted the first part of the sentence (*J'ai bu un bon café*) "x x x X x X", which has exactly the same prosodic structure as the following (discarded) '*n'est pas facile à dire*' ("x x x X x X").

Without these carrier sentences, the prosodic structure of the sentences may have been confounded, due to phrase-final falling intonation, a well-established phenomenon in French.

This characteristic sentence-final stress pattern is marked by lengthening, pitch changes and, to a minor extent, intensity. By recording within carrier sentences, we thus eliminated this final stress.

In addition, all sentences were presented in all possible conditions across participants (fully counterbalanced design) thus eliminating any possibility that acoustic differences in syllable saliencies could bias results. Determining syllable onsets and offsets of extracted sentences (PRAAT speech segmentation software, Boersma & Weenink, 2012) allowed duration values to be calculated (sentence duration, final syllable duration, and the

onset of the final word relative to the sentence onset). These duration values were used to determine when each sentence would be presented following the prime as well as to timelock RT data to the onset of the final (target) vowel. Examples of the 4 primes and corresponding sentences are available as supplementary audio material.

2.2 Participants

Behavioural (RT) data were collected from two groups of healthy participants. The ‘auditory only’ group (A Group) was exposed to the rhythmic primes auditorily during the experimental task, whilst the ‘audio-motor’ group (AM Group) underwent an additional period of audio-motor training with the rhythmic primes. In the A Group, 17 participants (7 female) between the ages of 22 and 39 years (mean = 28 years, 4 months) participated in the experiment. In the AM Group, 17 participants (7 female) between the ages of 23 and 42 (mean = 30 years) participated in the experiment. All participants had normal hearing, were non-musicians and were native French speakers. Behavioural data were collected during a RT (phoneme detection) task. Each participant gave informed consent prior to experimentation and was compensated for their time with a gift.

2.3 Procedure

For both groups (A and AM), participants sat in front of a computer screen. The volume for auditory stimuli was adjusted to a comfortable level. For the duration of each trial, participants were presented with a target vowel on a computer screen and were asked to decide if it was or was not present in the final syllable of the auditorily-presented sentence,

1 and to make their responses as quickly as possible by pressing either a ‘yes’ button or a ‘no’
2 button. The hand in which each button was held was balanced across subjects.
3
4

5 After a training session of 12 trials, the experiment began. The experiment consisted
6 of 4 blocks of 40 trials each, with each block dedicated to one of the four primes (order
7 counterbalanced across subjects). For each trial, the target vowel (/a/, /e/, /i/, /y/, /u/ or /o/)
8 was present within the heard sentence 50% of the time. These vowel targets were equally
9 distributed across all conditions for each participant. In order to minimise the effects of beat
10 entrainment, the beat relationship between the prime and the sentence was disrupted: the first
11 stressed syllable of sentences landed 2.5 beats (1125 ms) after the final stressed beat of the
12 prime.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 As to the manipulation of interest, sentences could either match or mismatch the
26 metrical structure of the prime in terms of both the number of elements and/or the stress
27 pattern (number and stress are two aspects which contribute to ‘metre’); ‘number’ refers to the
28 number of the prime’s percussion sounds compared to the number of syllables in the
29 following sentence, and ‘stress’ refers to the patterning of stressed and unstressed percussion
30 sounds compared to the patterning of stressed and unstressed syllables in the following
31 sentence. Overall, Condition 1 presented a matching metrical structure between the prime and
32 the sentence, and Conditions 2, 3 and 4 presented mismatching metrical structures of varying
33 degrees. More specifically, the experimental conditions were as follows: Condition 1) number
34 and stress match; Condition 2) number match, stress mismatch; Condition 3) number
35 mismatch, *partial* stress match (the first half of the sentence matched the first half of the
36 prime’s stress patterning); Condition 4) number mismatch, stress mismatch (full mismatch).
37 Conditions 2, 3 and 4 therefore each provided different degrees of a metric mismatch between
38 the prime and sentence (see Figure 2 for an example). The order (and frequency) of these 4
39 experimental conditions was pseudo-randomised within each experimental block, yielding 40
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 trials per condition for the whole experiment. Participants heard each sentence only once,
2 though sentences were used in all four conditions across participants (i.e. it was a fully
3 balanced design). Error feedback was received at the end of each experimental block. Both
4 visual (target phoneme) and auditory stimuli were presented using Presentation Software.
5
6
7
8
9

10 This protocol was identical for both the A and AM groups. However, the AM group
11 also had a short audio-motor training: they were asked to copy (vocally) the prime rhythm
12 using different sounds to distinguish between strong and weak elements of the rhythmic prime
13 (e.g. ‘ba’ and ‘ka’: a prime of x x X x x X would be repeated as ‘ba ba KA ba ba KA). For
14 each experimental block (i.e. each prime), participants in the AM Group underwent two
15 periods of this audio-motor training, once before the experimental block (n=10 trials), and
16 once midway through (n=10 trials). This made a total of 20 audio-motor rehearsals per prime,
17 which were recorded using a M-Audio Microtrack 24/96 recorder.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 For clarity, what follows is a summary of the experimental protocol: there were 4
32 prime blocks and 4 experimental conditions. For each experimental trial, participants were to
33 decide if a target vowel (presented on-screen) was present in the final syllable of the sentence
34 as quickly as possible, using a ‘yes’/‘no’ button press. We expected to see quicker RTs for
35 metrically matching conditions (Condition 1), and that any differences in the mismatching
36 conditions (Conditions 2, 3 and 4) may depend on the type of mismatch (stress/number/partial
37 stress mismatch). In addition, there were 2 groups; one with audio-motor training (AM group)
38 and one without (A Group), the hypothesis being that audio-motor training would augment
39 the cross-domain priming effect, and also that the ability to reproduce the rhythm of a given
40 prime may be related to the subsequent priming effect.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2.4 Data Acquisition and Analyses

Analyses on behavioural RT data were performed for correct trials only. For each participant, outlier responses were removed from data (RTs which were $\pm 2.5 \times \text{s.d.}$ from the mean). Repeated-measures analysis of variance (RM-ANOVA, mixed factorial design) was used, with the categorical predictor 'Group' as a between-subjects factor (2 levels, A Group or AM Group). Within subject factors included 'Prime' (4 levels; Prime 1, Prime 2, Prime 3, Prime 4), and 'Condition' (4 levels; Condition 1, Condition 2, Condition 3, Condition 4). All p-values reported below were adjusted using the Greenhouse-Geisser correction for nonsphericity, when appropriate, and Fisher least significant difference (LSD) test was used in post-hoc comparisons. Prime reproduction (for the AM group, during training) were scored as follows: 1 for a correct reproduction (the number/stress pattern of the prime sequence was reproduced without errors), 0.5 for a correct reproduction but with a noticeable hesitation, and 0 for incorrect prime reproduction. Of the 17 participants in the AM Group, we collected recordings from 13 participants. The scores for the first 5 repetitions of each prime were taken. Friedman's test was used for this reproduction analyses.

[Insert Figure 1 around here]

[Insert Figure 2 around here]

3. Results

All participants were able to perform the task easily (mean percentage of incorrect/missed trials in the experimental blocks = 5.88% for A group, 5.55% for AM group; standard deviation across condition was 0.35).

A three-way RM-ANOVA was run on correct response data with 'Group' (A group/AM group) as a between-subjects factor and 'Prime' (Prime 1/Prime 2/Prime 3/Prime 4) and Condition (Condition 1/Condition 2/Condition 3/Condition 4) as within-subject factors.

3.1 The Effect of a Matching Metrical Prime

To consider first the main effects over both groups, there was a main effect of Condition ($F(3, 96)=3.5072$, $p=.0182$). A post-hoc analysis revealed this to be the result of quicker RTs in the matching Condition 1 (mean 521.805 msec) compared to those in Conditions 2 ($p=0.004$, mean 547.08 msec), Condition 3 ($p=0.064$, mean 538.157) and Condition 4 ($p=0.0077$, mean 545.605) (metrically mismatching conditions).

Whilst the triple interaction (Group x Prime x Condition) was not significant, we found there to be a strong trend towards significance in the Group x Condition interaction ($F(3, 96)=2.3$, $p=0.08$). Post-hoc comparisons showed that Condition 1 (matching) resulted in shorter RTs compared to all three other conditions ($p<0.04$, $p<0.002$, $p<0.0002$, respectively) in the AM group only (Figure 3).

There was also a Prime x Condition interaction ($F(9, 288)=2.342$, $p=.014$), revealing that the main effect of Condition was driven by Prime 1 and Prime 2: a post-hoc analysis revealed there to be an experimental effect of Condition only in Prime 1 and Prime 2 (Figure 4). There was no effect of condition for Prime 3 and Prime 4. For Prime 1, Condition 1 (matching) resulted in significantly shorter RTs compared to Condition 2 ($p=0.00004$), and Condition 4 ($p=0.0036$), and was not significantly different from Condition 3 ($p=0.618$). For Prime 2, Condition 1 (matching) resulted in faster RTs compared to condition 2 ($p=0.077$), Condition 3 ($p=0.04$) and Condition 4 ($p=0.024$). Interestingly, results of the behavioural

measures during audio-motor rhythm training showed that (for the AM Group), Primes 1 and 2 were also the easiest to reproduce (mean error rates: Prime 1: 1.875 %, s.d. 4.411; Prime 2: 6.736%, s.d. 11.27; Prime 3: 9.556%, s.d. 24.09; Prime 4: 10.417%, s.d. 13.97), although these differences did not reach statistical significance.

[Insert Figure 3 around here]

[Insert Figure 4 around here]

4. Discussion

The aim of this experiment was two-fold. First, we wanted to extend previous findings that musically-induced rhythmic expectations (rhythmic priming) can impact on speech processing of spoken pseudowords (Cason & Schön, 2012). We did this by testing whether rhythmic primes would allow a listener to form rhythmic expectations about a subsequently-presented sentence. Importantly, the rhythmic primes induced metrical expectations - the number and stress patterning of elements - rather than durational (IOI) expectations such as those induced by 'beat'. Second, we wanted to investigate how additional audio-motor training with the musical rhythms influences this cross-domain effect.

We found that, under certain conditions, sentences preceded by a matching metrical prime were processed more efficiently, as seen by quicker RTs to a phoneme detection task. This effect was more prominent with primes that were more easily reproduced, and when participants were trained to reproduce the prime before the experiment (AM Group). We will first discuss the effect of rhythmic priming on speech processing and will then consider how additional audio-motor training with musical rhythm may enhance the effects of rhythmic priming.

4.1 *The effect of metrical priming on sentence processing*

In the experimental task, the prosodic structure of heard sentences either matched or mismatched a prior metrical prime in terms of both stress patterning and/or number of elements. No relation was made between durational relations, however (i.e. rhythmic primes were isochronous and sentences were spoken naturally, and so were not isochronous). RTs were taken as a measure of sentence processing efficiency, with quicker RTs to the phoneme detection task taken to indicate a facilitated access to phonological information. Here, we will discuss the finding that metrical predictability of sentences, afforded by musical rhythm, can enhance sentence processing.

Metrical expectations about number and stress were induced. The number of prime sounds was mirrored by the number of syllables in the sentence (Condition 1 and 2) or not (Condition 3 and 4). In the case of stress patterning, the weak and strong prime sounds were fully mirrored by the sentence metre (Condition 1), partially mirrored by the sentence metre (Condition 3) or were not mirrored by the sentence metre (Condition 2 and 4). In partially matching stress patterning (Condition 3), the first half of the sentence conformed to listeners' expectations and diverged from metrical expectations at the 6th syllable, whilst in fully mismatching stress patterning (Conditions 2 and 4), the first stressed syllable occurred either one syllable earlier or one syllable later than anticipated and so diverged earlier, at either the 3rd or 4th syllable. These four conditions were chosen in order to give an indication about which aspects of musical metre (stress pattern, number of elements, or both) might contribute most to inducing metrical expectations. We found that a rhythmic sequence which well-primed for the target sentence (Condition 1) resulted in an enhanced phonological processing

of sentences; RTs were significantly quicker than stress mismatching conditions (reaching significance for Conditions 2 and 4, almost significant for the partial stress matching Condition 3). Number and stress are two components thought to comprise metre, and so the finding that partially-matching stress context (Condition 3) resulted in RTs which were more similar to those of the metrically matching condition (Condition 1) could indicate that stress patterning is a more salient metrical cue than number, and that stress expectations which are met in the first half of a sentence may thus also enhance sentence processing somewhat.

The finding that rhythmic predictability can enhance auditory processing is central to the hypotheses presented in this paper. Musical rhythm may induce expectations about ‘when’ events are expected to occur (Jones & Boltz 1989; Large & Jones, 1999), as well as ‘what’ events are expected to occur. For instance, on hearing a musical rhythm a listener forms expectations about when in time a future event will occur, and events conforming to these expectations are better perceived in both music (Jones et al., 1982, 2002) as well as speech (Cason & Schön, 2012). The current interest was the ability for rhythmic priming to also induce cross-domain expectations about ‘what’ speech events will occur (the sequence of weak and strong elements). We reasoned that hearing musical metrical patterns would have the ability to induce expectations about speech metre, and in isolation from durational/beat expectations - the formation of metrical expectations in speech does not require temporally isochronous stimuli (Pitt & Samuel, 1990). Noteworthy, however, is Quené & Port’s (2005) findings that whilst temporal regularity in speech (priming for ‘beat’) enhanced phonological processing, inducing metrical expectations did not. This study differs from our own in several ways, however: firstly, their target stimuli were single words whilst our own were sentences, secondly, we disrupted beat relations between the prime and the sentence so as to measure only the effects of metrical expectancies on sentence processing, and lastly, rather than using speech itself to induce metrical expectations we used a musical rhythmic prime.

While compared to music synchronisation is not afforded to the same degree by natural speech, temporal features of speech are nonetheless vital for perception and comprehension: when speech metre is clearly primed by music, different levels of speech processing may be affected more strongly than speech which is primed by regular speech alone. These different levels may include the phonological level (Cason & Schön, 2012; Pitt & Samuel, 1990; Quene & Port, 2005), syntactic level (Roncaglia-Denissen, Schmidt-Kassow & Kotz, 2013; Schmidt-Kassow & Kotz, 2009), and also semantic level of processing (Rothermich et al., 2011). We would therefore expect the results found here, for phonological processing, to apply to these other levels of speech processing, too. Since no participants claimed to be aware of the rhythmic relationship between the primes and sentences, speech metre may well have been implicitly recognised, much like that of music can be (Schultz et al., 2013); further research would be required to determine whether listeners need to be aware of this metrical relationship for it to impact on their speech processing.

4.2 A differential effect of the four rhythmic primes

There was a difference between primes in their ability to impact on sentence processing: Prime 1 (x x X x x X) and Prime 2 (x x X x x x X) drove the main effect of rhythmic priming on phonological processing; matching conditions (Condition 1) resulted in quicker RTs for these two primes only. We will now discuss why these two rhythms in particular may have been most successful in priming for speech prosody.

Because the four primes were similar in terms of number of sounds, sound durations and tempo, differences should reflect the presence of a more solidified representation of the rhythmic structures. Primes 1 and 2 together differ from Primes 3 and 4 in their initial rhythmic unit: these ‘successful’ primes begin with a ‘x x X’ unit, whilst ‘unsuccessful’

1 primes begin with a 'x x x X' unit. Bringing the first stress earlier may therefore benefit the
2 induction of metrical expectations. This may be particularly true when using short rhythmical
3 patterns, because one may expect that using longer sequences there would be less metrical
4 ambiguity. There are two non-exclusive possibilities as to why. Considering now Prime 1, it
5 is the only prime with a repeating structure (x x X), whilst the other primes involved no
6 repetition of the same unit. Indeed, the repetition of rhythmic units (in this case, the repetition
7 of 'x x X') is favoured in speech motor rhythms (Padeloup, 2005). Listeners' native language
8 (French) may have also played a role in the ability for the rhythmical prime to influence
9 perception; the metric structure of Prime 1 is more representative of speech rhythms typically
10 found in spoken French (Wenk & Wioland, 1982), and thus a more 'familiar' sentence rhythm
11 may have been more prone to disruption in mismatching conditions and/or more prone to
12 facilitating effects in matching conditions.

33 *4.3 The effect of audio-motor training on cross-domain speech processing*

36 Since multimodal engagement with musical rhythm enhances rhythm perception (Geiser et
37 al., 2010; Manning & Schutz, 2013; Su & Pöppel, 2012), it seems intuitive that such training
38 would also impact on speech processing. As noted, while both groups showed a priming
39 effect for the Prime 1 and 2 rhythms, when considering all four prime rhythms, only the AM
40 group showed an effect.

49 When considering the fact that additional audio-motor training allowed an effect to be
50 seen for all Primes the question arises as to how audio-motor training can increase the
51 priming effect. Matching metre conditions (Condition 1) resulted in quicker RTs to the
52 phoneme detection task than did mismatching metre conditions. Important to note, however,
53 is that the metrically matching condition (Condition 1) did not seem to enhance processing in

1 the AM Group; rather, mismatching metre conditions (Conditions 2, 3 & 4) resulted in slower
2 RTs. That is to say, primes with an incongruent metrical pattern to the target sentence prosody
3
4 resulted in a more delayed processing for listeners in the AM Group. Nonetheless, this reveals
5
6 a cross-domain effect of audio-motor training on sentence perception, perhaps through a
7
8 reinforcement of metrical representations and consequent increase in sensitivity to metrical
9
10 patterns. This enhanced sensitivity to the prime could result in a greater conflict in
11
12 mismatching conditions. A similar detrimental processing when attention is allocated to
13
14 irrelevant information was shown in a study investigating the effect of a background auditory
15
16 rhythm on visual word recognition (Brochard et al., 2013). Though these are in themselves
17
18 interesting results, perhaps a greater amount of audio-motor training would be required for a
19
20 more apparent group difference to be seen. A greater effect of audio-motor training might also
21
22 be observed if participants are made aware of the rhythmic relationship between the prime
23
24 and the sentence, as they might be in a therapeutic context.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 5. Conclusions

37
38 We have replicated previous findings that musical rhythm can induce implicit prosodic
39
40 expectations, and that this impacts upon the processing of subsequent speech (Cason &
41
42 Schön, 2012). We have shown this to be the case for real speech (as opposed to pseudowords)
43
44 and in sentence contexts (as opposed to single words). Moreover, the finding that there is a
45
46 differential effect of the rhythmical primes used in the experiment suggests that listeners may
47
48 benefit from shorter, more repetitive rhythmic structures.
49
50
51
52
53

54 Most interestingly, audio-motor training seems to further sensitise listeners to musical
55
56 rhythm, which in turn increases the potential for musical rhythm to exert an effect on sentence
57
58 perception. The ability for audio-motor training to amplify the cross-domain effect from
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

music to speech in this way has important implications for using audio-motor musical rhythm engagement in speech rehabilitation contexts, though more research is required to determine how much mismatching music-speech rhythms might interfere with phonological processing, and whether more intense rhythmic training would result in a more potent effect on speech processing.

5.1 Perspectives

Whilst the current experiment has considered the effects of musical rhythmic priming on speech perception, we can also predict that speech production would benefit from rhythmic priming, and through two possible mechanisms. Auditory rhythm engages the motor system (Chen, Penhune & Zatorre, 2008; Grahn & Brett, 2007), and can provide a direct template for timely speech initiation and/or production (e.g. Cummins & Port, 1998). Second, it might be predicted that production may benefit from an enhancement in perception. Several lines of evidence indicate strong links between speech perception and production: speech perception engages areas involved in speech production (review, Galantucci, Fowler & Turvey, 2006; Geiser, Zaehle, Jäncke & Meyer, 2008; Liberman & Mattingly, 1985; Watkins, Strafella & Paus, 2003) which may reflect how the speech production system (motor) is recruited to understand and predict what might happen next (auditory) (Pickering & Garrod, 2007). In this case, an enhancement of perception will necessarily result in an enhanced production, and vice versa. The next step would therefore be to test the effect of rhythmic priming on speech production. This would have more relevance in the context of speech rehabilitation therapies, many of which already recognise musical rhythm as an important fluency-enhancing tool (e.g. Thaut, 2005).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a grant from EBRAMUS ITN (Europe BRAin and MUSic) (Grant Agreement number 238157). We thank Frederic Anguille, François Guimont, Bastien Intartaglia, Anaïs Llorens and Patrick Marquis for technical support and help with data collection.

References

Astésano, C. (2001). *Rythme et accentuation en français: invariance et variabilité stylistique*. L'Harmattan.

Barnes, R. & Jones, M. R. (2000). Expectancy, attention, and time. *Cognitive Psychology*, 41, 254–311.

Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (1999). *Praat: Doing phonetics by computer. Software*. [Computer program]. Version 5.3.05, <http://www.praat.org/>

Bolton, T. L. Rhythm. *American Journal of Psychology*, 1894, 6, 145-238.

Brochard R, Tassin M, Zagar D. (2013) Got rhythm...for better and for worse. Cross-modal effects of auditory rhythm on visual word recognition. *Cognition*, 127(2):214-9.

Cason, N. & Schön, D. (2012). Rhythmic priming enhances the phonological processing of speech. *Neuropsychologia*.

Chen, J. L., Penhune, V. B., & Zatorre, R. J. (2008). Listening to musical rhythms recruits motor regions of the brain. *Cerebral Cortex*, 18(12), 2844-2854.

Cooper, G. & Meyer, L. B. (1960). *The rhythmic structure of music*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

Cummins, F., & Port, R. (1998). Rhythmic constraints on stress timing in English. *Journal of Phonetics*, 26(2), 145-171

1 Dilley, L.C. & McAuley, J. D. (2008). Distal prosodic context affects word segmentation
2 and lexical processing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 59, 294 – 311.
3

4 Ellis, R. J., & Jones, M. R. (2010). Rhythmic context modulates foreperiod effects.
5 *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 72(8), 2274-2288.
6

7 Escoffier, N., Sheng, D. Y. J., & Schirmer, A. (2010). Unattended musical beats enhance
8 visual processing. *Acta psychologica*, 135(1), 12-16.
9

10 Galantucci, B., Fowler, C. A., & Turvey, M. T. (2006). The motor theory of speech
11 perception reviewed. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, 13(3), 361-377.
12

13 Geiser, E., Zaehle, T., Jäncke, L., & Meyer, M. (2008). The neural correlate of speech
14 rhythm as evidenced by metrical speech processing. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*,
15 20(3), 541-552.
16

17 Geiser, E., Sandmann, P., Jäncke, L., & Meyer, M. (2010). Refinement of metre
18 perception–training increases hierarchical metre processing. *European Journal of*
19 *Neuroscience*, 32(11), 1979-1985.
20

21 Gordon, R. L., Magne, C. L., & Large, E. W. (2011). EEG Correlates of Song Prosody: a
22 new look at the relationship between linguistic and musical rhythm. *Frontiers in psychology*,
23 2.
24

25 Grahn, J. A., & Brett, M. (2007). Rhythm and beat perception in motor areas of the brain.
26 *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 19(5), 893-906.
27

28 Guenther, F. H. (2006). Cortical interactions underlying the production of speech sounds.
29 *Journal of communication disorders*, 39(5), 350-365.
30

31 Handel, S. (1989). Listening: An introduction to the perception of auditory events. pp. 383
32

33 Hannon, E. E., & Johnson, S. P. (2005). Infants use meter to categorize rhythms and
34 melodies: Implications for musical structure learning. *Cognitive psychology*, 50(4), 354-377.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Hirst, D.J. & Di Cristo, A. (1998). A survey of intonation systems. In D. J. Hirst & A. Di
2 Cristo (Eds.), *Intonation systems : a survey of twenty languages* (pp. 1-44). Cambridge:
3 Cambridge University Press.
4

5
6
7 Iversen, J. R., Patel, A. D., & Ohgushi, K. (2008). Perception of rhythmic grouping
8 depends on auditory experience. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 124, 2263.
9

10
11 Jäncke, L. (2012). The relationship between music and language. *Frontiers in Psychology*,
12
13
14 3.
15

16
17 Jones, M. R. & Boltz, M. (1989). Dynamic attending and responses to time. *Psychological*
18
19 *Review*, 96, 459–491.
20

21
22 Jones, M. R., Boltz, M., & Kidd, G. (1982). Controlled attending as a function of melodic
23 and temporal context. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 32, 211-238.
24

25
26 Jones, M. R., Moynihan, H., MacKenzie, N. & Puente, J. (2002). Temporal aspects of
27 stimulus-driven attending in dynamic arrays. *Psychological Science*, 13, 313–319.
28

29
30 Jones, M. R. (2008). Musical Time in Oxford Handbook of Music Psychology S Hallam, I
31 Cross, M Thaut Eds, OUP, Oxford.
32
33

34
35 Kusumoto, K., & Moreton, E. (1997). Native language determines the parsing of
36 nonlinguistic rhythmic stimuli. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 102, 3204.
37
38

39
40 Lappe, C., Herholz, S. C., Trainor, L. J., & Pantev, C. (2008). Cortical plasticity induced
41 by short-term unimodal and multimodal musical training. *The Journal of Neuroscience*,
42
43
44 28(39), 9632-9639.
45
46

47
48 Large, E. W., & Jones, M. R. (1999). The dynamics of attending: how people track time-
49 varying events. *Psychological Review*, 106, 119–159.
50
51

52
53 Large, E. W. & Palmer, C. (2002). Perceiving temporal regularity in music. *Cognitive*
54
55
56 *Science*, 26, 1-37.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Levelt, W. J. (1999). Models of word production. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 3(6), 223-
2 232.

3
4 London, J. (2012). *Hearing in time*. Oxford University Press.

5
6
7 New, B., Pallier, C., Ferrand, L., & Matos, R. (2001). Une base de données lexicales du
8 français contemporain sur internet: LEXIQUE™//A lexical database for contemporary french:
9 LEXIQUE™. *L'année Psychologique*, 101(3), 447-462.

10
11
12 Liberman, A. M., & Mattingly, I. G. (1985). The motor theory of speech perception
13 revised. *Cognition*, 21(1), 1-36.

14
15 London, J. (2012). *Hearing in time*. OUP, USA.

16
17
18 Manning, F., & Schutz, M. (2013). "Moving to the beat" improves timing perception.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 1-7.

66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Pasdeloup, V. (2005). Figures et fond dans la scène prosodique: leur résistance face aux variations du débit de parole. In *Symposium Interface Discours-Prosodie-IDP05, Aix-en-Provence, France*.

1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500

Patel, A. (2008). *Music, Language and the Brain*. New York: Oxford University Press.

1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142

1 Quené, H. & Port, R. F. (2005). Effects of timing regularity and metrical expectancy on
2 spoken-word perception. *Phonetica*, 62, 1-13.
3

4 Roncaglia-Denissen, M. P., Schmidt-Kassow, M., & Kotz, S. A. (2013). Speech rhythm
5 facilitates syntactic ambiguity resolution: ERP evidence. *PloS one*, 8(2), e56000.
6

7 Rothermich, Schmidt-Kassow & Kotz (2011). Rhythm's gonna get you: Regular meter
8 facilitates semantic sentence processing. *Neuropsychologia*, 50, 232–244.
9

10 Schmidt-Kassow, M., & Kotz, S. A. (2009). Event-related brain potentials suggest a late
11 interaction of meter and syntax in the P600. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 21(9), 1693-
12 1708.
13

14 Schultz, B. G., Stevens, C. J., Keller, P. E., & Tillmann, B. (2012). The implicit learning of
15 metrical and nonmetrical temporal patterns. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental*
16 *Psychology*, (ahead-of-print), 1-21.
17

18 Snyder, J. S., & Large, E. W. (2005). Gamma-band activity reflects the metric structure of
19 rhythmic tone sequences. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 24(1), 117-126.
20

21 Su, Y. H., & Pöppel, E. (2012). Body movement enhances the extraction of temporal
22 structures in auditory sequences. *Psychological research*, 76(3), 373-382.
23

24 Thaut, M. H. (2005). Neurologic Music Therapy in Speech and Language Rehabilitation.
25 In Thaut M. H. (Ed.), *Rhythm, music, and the brain: Scientific foundations and clinical*
26 *applications* (pp. 165–178). New York: Routledge.
27

28 Vuust, P., Pallesen, K. J., Bailey, C., van Zuijen, T. L., Gjedde, A., Roepstorff, A., &
29 Østergaard, L. (2005). To musicians, the message is in the meter: pre-attentive neuronal
30 responses to incongruent rhythm are left-lateralized in musicians. *Neuroimage*, 24(2), 560-
31 564.
32

33 Watkins, K. E., Strafella, A. P., & Paus, T. (2003). Seeing and hearing speech excites the
34 motor system involved in speech production. *Neuropsychologia*, 41(8), 989-994.
35

Wenk, B. J., & Wioland, F. (1982). Is French really syllable-timed?. *Journal of phonetics*.

Zatorre, R. J., Chen, J. L., & Penhune, V. B. (2007). When the brain plays music:
auditory–motor interactions in music perception and production. *Nature Reviews
Neuroscience*, 8(7), 547-558.

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Prime Metre	Sentence Metre
Prime 1 x x X x x X	Ils dév <u>orent</u> leur goû <u>ter</u> x x X x x X
Prime 2 x x X x x x X	Il prescr <u>it</u> le bon cach <u>et</u> x x X x x x X
Prime 3 x x x X x X	Le scandale <u>ux</u> sén <u>at</u> x x x X x X
Prime 4 x x x X x x X	Chorégr <u>aphier</u> le ballet <u>et</u> x x x X x x X

Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Condition	
1. Stress Match Number Match	<div><div>/e/</div><div><div><div>x x X x x X</div><div>225 msec</div></div><div>→</div><div>Ils dév<u>orent</u> leur goû<u>ter</u> (x x X x x X)</div></div></div>
2. Stress Mismatch Number Match	<div><div><div>x x X x x X</div><div>→</div><div>Le scandale<u>eux</u> sén<u>at</u> (x x x X x X)</div></div></div>
3. Stress partial Match Number Mismatch	<div><div><div>x x X x x X</div><div>→</div><div>Il prescr<u>it</u> le bon cach<u>et</u> (x x X x x x X)</div></div></div>
4. Stress Mismatch Number Mismatch	<div><div><div>x x X x x X</div><div>→</div><div>Chorégr<u>aphier</u> le ball<u>et</u> (x x x X x x X)</div></div></div>

Figure 3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 4
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the four rhythms used for experimental stimuli. This figure shows each of the 4 prime rhythms and their sentence rhythm ‘equivalents’ (with one example for each). Primes 1 and 2 have an opening of 2 weak beats, Primes 3 and 4 have an opening of three weak beats. Sentences were constructed around these 4 primes and examples are available as supplementary audio material.

Figure 2. The four experimental conditions. Participants heard the prime followed by a sentence, and had to decide whether a target vowel (presented on-screen for the duration of a trial) was present in the final syllable of the word. Prime-sentence pairs were matching/mismatching in terms of both the number and the stress patterning of elements. For instance, in Condition 1, primes were followed by a sentence whose metre was matching both in terms of stress and number. Conditions 2, 3, and 4 were mismatching in terms of stress only (Condition 2), were mismatching in terms of number and partially mismatching in stress (initial stress expectations met) (Condition 3), or were mismatching in terms of both stress and number (Condition 4). The examples presented here are of trials from a Prime 1 block.

Figure 3: Results illustrating RTs for each Condition in the two Groups

Figure 4. *4a, left:* Within Prime 1, Condition 1 (matching condition) resulted in faster RTs in both groups. This difference was more pronounced for the AM Group (dotted line). ***4b, right:*** Within Prime 2, Condition 1 resulted in faster RTs in the AM Group only.