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Abstract

Planorbidae snails are the intermediate host for the trematode parasite of the Schistosoma genus which is responsible for schistosomiasis, a disease that affects both humans and cattle. The microbiota for Schistosoma has already been described as having an affect on host/parasite interactions, specifically through immunological interactions. Here we sought to characterize the microbiota composition of seven Planorbidae species and strains. Individual snail microbiota was determined using 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing. The bacterial composition was highly specific to host strain with limited inter-individual variation. In addition, it displayed complete congruence with host phylogeny, revealing a phylosymbiosis pattern. These results were confirmed in common garden, suggesting that the host highly constrains microbial composition. This study presents the first comparison of bacterial communities between several intermediate snail hosts of Schistosoma parasites, paving the way for further studies on the understanding of this tripartite interaction.

1 Introduction

A microbiota consists of microbial communities in association with a host. Here, we defined the microbiota as all microorganisms involved in a long lasting interaction with a host, excluding parasites and pathogen microorganisms (Bordenstein & Theis, 2015). The microbiota is involved in numerous functions, including nutrition (McCutcheon et al., 2009), development (Fraune & Bosch, 2010; McFall-Ngai, 2002), reproduction (Perlman et al., 2008; Werren et al., 2008) and immunity (Hahn & Dheilly, 2016; Lee & Mazmanian, 2010). For example, the bacterial microbiota of the mosquito gut is involved in the immune response of its host against DENV pathogen virus, through direct inhibition of the virus towards bacterial metabolites as well as through indirect effects by stimulating its basal immunity (Saraiva et al., 2016). This illustrates the importance of considering microbiota in host-pathogen interactions.
Numerous studies have already explored the factors shaping microbiota composition in several models and highlighted the role of neutral processes (Burns et al., 2015), environment (Roder et al., 2015), host genetic background (Brucker & Bordenstein, 2011) or host physiology/immunity (Chu & Mazmanian, 2013; Hahn & Dheilly, 2016). Results from these studies demonstrate the effect of the host immune system in microbiota homeostasis. In Hydra, the nature and combination of antimicrobial peptides belonging to the arminin family are involved in the species-specificity of host microbial communities that follow host phylogeny (Franzenburg et al., 2013).

Here, we characterized the microbiota of several genera of Planorbidae, a family of freshwater snails. These snails are the intermediate hosts for the parasite Schistosoma spp., a genus of trematode parasites which develop asexually in the snails before infecting vertebrates where sexual reproduction takes place. Human Schistosoma species, mainly S. mansoni, S. haematobium and S. japonicum, infect about 250 million people (Hotez et al., 2014) annually, and each year, more than 200 000 people die as a result of the infection worldwide (WHO, 2019). While Biomphalaria glabrata and Biomphalaria pfeifferi snails can be infected with Schistosoma mansoni (responsible for human intestinal schistosomiasis), Planorbarius metidjensis is responsible for the transmission of S. bovis, and Bulinus truncatus snails are natural hosts for S. bovis as well as S. haematobium (agent of the human urinary schistosomiasis). Interestingly, it has been shown that within the B. glabrata species, some strains can be completely refractory to infection depending on the parasite strain, a phenomenon called compatibility polymorphism (Galinier et al., 2017; Theron et al., 2014). These snail-parasite interactions, resulting from coevolution dynamics, reflect differences in host immune capacities or differences in immunobiological interactions between different host-parasite combinations.

The snail immune response in this interaction is complex with a specificity according to the parasite strain (Portela et al., 2013). Indeed, snails immune effectors and receptors seem to be specific to the parasite and the type (cellular or humoral) and efficiency of immune response is linked to the infection type (primo-infection or challenge (homologous or heterologous) (Pinaud et al., 2016). A shift in microbiota composition following an infection was observed after an immune challenge, where humoral immunity took place (Portet et al., 2018). This highlights the importance of further in-depth studies of the relationship between the host’s immune and vectorial capacities and its microbiota composition. To do this, it is essential to first characterize the factors that shape microbial communities and their host specificity.

To identify the effect of host identity in bacterial microbiota composition, we used 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing to analyse the bacterial communities at the individual level (10 to 15 samples per condition/strain) for six snail strains: four different strains of Biomphalaria glabrata, one strain of Biomphalaria pfeifferi, one strain of Planorbarius metidjensis, and one strain of Bulinus truncatus. Our results provided the first characterization of microbiota for several strains of molluscs, the intermediate hosts of the Schistosoma sp. parasite.

2 Material & Methods
2.1 Rearing conditions
2.1.1 Individual tank experiment

To determine the bacterial microbiota composition and specificity, we used four strains of *Biomphalaria glabrata*, one from Guadeloupe (B. gla GH2), two from Brazil (B. gla BAR2 and B. gla BRE2) and one experimentally selected for reduced compatibility to different *S. mansoni* parasite strains (B. gla BS902) (Theron et al., 2014). In addition, *Biomphalaria pfeifferi* (Oman) as well as another Planorbinae genus, *Planorbarius metidjensis* (Spain), and a Planorbidae non-Planorbinae species, *Bulinus truncatus* (Spain) were used (Table 1).

All strains were reared in the same conditions: 20 individuals of each strain were maintained in separate tanks (3L) and fasted one week before sampling to avoid changes in microbiota composition associated with diet. Snail shell size (diameter 7-8 mm), which is directly correlated to age, was similar for each experimental group.

2.1.2 Common garden experiment

Thirty molluscs of each strain were maintained for two months within the same 8L tank, where perforated baskets separating the strains were used in order to avoid mixing and potential antagonistic interactions, but which favoured the potential exchange of microbiota as they were reared in the same tank. Molluscs were fed with lettuce every 2 days (and fasted one week before sampling) and 50% of the water was renewed weekly.

2.2 Sampling

The mollusc shells were cleaned with cotton buds soaked in bleach (to avoid transfer of contaminants), and molluscs were then removed from the shell by dissection and flash-frozen individually in liquid nitrogen before being kept at -80°C until DNA extraction. DNA extraction and sequencing

DNA was extracted with the Nucleospin® tissue extraction kit from Macherey-Nagel and quantified with Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer following the procedure described in the Qubit™ dsDNA HS Assay Kit, to check its purity and yield.

For samples with highest DNA yield and quality (11 to 15 depending on snail strains for individual tank experiment, and 10 per strain for the common garden experiment, Table S1), 16S rRNA gene (V3-V4 regions) (Klindworth et al., 2013) libraries were generated using PCR primers 341F (5’-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3’) and 805R (3’-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-5’) following the standard Illumina two-step procedure. Libraries were paired-end sequenced with 250 bp read length on three different flow cells using the MiSeq system (Illumina) at the Génomé Québec Innovation Centre, McGill University Montréal, Canada. A blank sample was sequenced in each of the three runs but as very few sequences were obtained, this dataset was not further analyzed.

2.3 Analysis of 16S sequences

The FROGS (Find Rapidly OTU with Galaxy Solution) pipeline implemented on a galaxy instance (http:// sigenae-workbench.toulouse.inra.fr/galaxy/) was used for data processing (Escudié et al., 2017). In brief, paired reads were merged using FLASH (Magoč & Salzberg, 2011). After denoising and primers/adapters removal with CUTADAPT (Martin, 2011), de novo clustering was performed using SWARM with a local clustering threshold (Mahé et al., 2014), with aggregation distance d = 3 after denoising. Chimeras were removed using VSEARCH (Rognes et al., 2016). We filtered the
dataset for singletons and performed affiliation using Blast+ against the Silva database (release 128, September 2016) for 16S rRNA gene amplicons. Finally, OTU tables were produced in a standard BIOM format for subsequent analyses.

We then used the packages phyloseq 1.24.2 (Mcmurdie & Holmes, 2013) and vegan 2.5-4 (Oksanen et al., 2018) with RStudio (R Core Team (2017). Sample B. gla BRE _JC_7 had too low coverage (155 reads) and was thus discarded from subsequent analyses. Non-bacterial sequences as well as singletons remaining after all the secondary filtering steps were discarded from the dataset. We rarefied the data according to the sample with fewer sequence numbers (18299 reads for the Individual Experiment and 15969 reads for the Common Garden) in order to normalize for sequencing coverage. We characterized the beta-diversity dissimilarities using Principal Coordinates Analyses (PCoA) and Hierarchical Clustering on Bray-Curtis distance matrix (ranging from 0 for identical communities to 1 for completely different communities).

2.4 Core microbiota

To determine the core microbiota, which is the most stable part of the microbiota, we identified the families and genera that were either present in 100% of individuals or absent from a maximum of one individual for each strain, and represented at least 0.5% of sequences for each strain.

2.5 Snail Phylogeny

Phylogenetic analysis was performed using 28S rRNA gene sequences from the NCBI database (B. glabrata: AF435694.1, B. pfeifferi: MG461588.1, P. metidjensis: AF435671.1 and B. truncatus: AF435659.1). The 28S rRNA gene sequence of a Physidae species, Physa sp. (Egypt) (sister family of the Planorbidae) was used as an outgroup (AF435654.1). The sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (v3.8.31) and the tree was reconstructed using the maximum likelihood method implemented in the PhyML program (v3.1/3.0 aLRT) with 500 bootstraps on http://www.phylogeny.fr (Dereeper et al., 2008; Dereeper et al., 2010).

2.6 Statistical analyses

We analysed the variance due to host effect on dissimilarity matrices using Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA). PERMANOVA were done with 999 permutations. For all analyses, the threshold significance level was set at 0.05.

We used an indicator value index and 999 permutations (multipatt, {indicspecies}) (Caceres and Legendre 2009) to identify OTUs associated with the different host species. P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using Benjamini and Hochberg’s method (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995) (p.adjust, {stats}).

3 Results

3.1 Bacterial composition

At the phylum level, the composition of bacteria was similar in all Biomphalaria samples (Figure 1), with Proteobacteria being the predominant phylum for the different strains, in which the Flavobacteriaceae, Rhodobacteraceae, Comamonadaceae and Xanthomonadaceae families were the most represented. This is consistent with the results found by Portet et al. (2018). P. metidjensis composition also displayed a high proportion of Proteobacteria, in particular Alphaproteobacteria,
Microbiota of Planorbidae snails represented by Rhodobacteraceae (Table S1). In the case of *B. truncatus*, more pronounced differences were visible at the phylum level, where Proteobacteria and Tenericutes were dominant (Figure 1), with the latter represented mainly by Mycoplasmataceae, and more specifically by the genus *Mycoplasma* (Table S1).

The core microbiota was determined as all bacterial families that were either present in 100% of individuals or absent from a maximum of one individual. The core microbiota was composed of 44 families, for all strains included (Table S2). The core microbiota composition varied between strains; whereas seven bacterial families were common to all strains, where *Cloacibacterium* (a Flavobacteriaceae genus) were found as part of the core microbiota in all *Biomphalaria* strains and species, except for *B. glabrata* BS90, which was absent in the core microbiota of *P. metidjensis* and *B. truncatus*.

### 3.2 Beta-diversity, ordination and clustering

An ordination using PCoA was performed on Bray-Curtis (BC) distance matrix to visualize the similarities between individuals according to their bacterial composition (Figure 2). The first two axes explained 30% of the variability observed. Individuals tended to group according to host species. *Biomphalaria* strains were grouped at the exception of the *B. glabrata* BS902 strain. Individuals of the two other species, *Planorbarius metidjensis* and *Bulinus truncatus* were separated from *Biomphalaria* individuals.

The hierarchical clustering analysis based on BC distance on the core microbiota confirmed a grouping between individuals of the same strain or species. Moreover, the dendrogram of bacterial communities reflected host phylogeny (Figure 3). *B. truncatus* and *P. metidjensis* were separated from *Biomphalaria* species, and *B. pfeifferi* was separated from *B. glabrata* strains. The microbiota specificity according to host genetic background was confirmed by Manova on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix on core microbiota (p<0.001).

The core microbiota beta-diversity was analysed using the same approach with a PCoA ordination based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index (Figure 3). Individuals belonging to the same strain tended to cluster together and *Biomphalaria* strains were grouped, except for, again, the BS902 strain. In addition, individuals from the two other species, *Planorbarius metidjensis* and *Bulinus truncatus* were separated from *Biomphalaria* individuals. This analysis of the core microbiota composition confirmed the pattern obtained for the whole microbiota with specific core microbiota associations for individuals belonging to the same phylogenetic group (strain, species or genus), suggesting a phylosymbiosis pattern, driven by host species among snail intermediate hosts of schistosomiasis.

We used indicator value index and permutation tests to identify OTUs significantly associated with each host species. In average, each species had 37 specific OTUs belonging to 88 genera (Table S3). Although the 88 genera were mostly (77%) specific of each host species, this analysis highlighted that specific OTUs belonging to 5 genera (*Pirellula*, *Planctomyces*, *Candidates Odyssella*, *Mesorhizobium*, and *Pseudomonas*) were found in more than 50% of host species. Strikingly, specific OTUs from *Mesorhizobium* and *Pseudomonas* showed identical distribution within host species (presence in BRE, BS90, Pfe, and Plan), suggesting that these bacteria might cooperate within host microbiome.

### 3.3 Common Garden
Environmental conditions and/or host genetics can both affect microbiota composition. To investigate the main key drivers for core microbiota composition, we performed an additional experiment with all strains raised together in the same water for two months. However, we couldn't include B. pfeifferi in this analysis because most individuals did not survive until the end of the experiment as they escaped their basket and were predated.

The PCoA ordination revealed a similar microbiota specificity by strain to those observed in the first experiment with a grouping by strain then species (Figure S1), as confirmed by Manova analysis on host effect on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities between host strains (p<0.001).

4 Discussion

To understand the host effect in shaping microbiota in Planorbidae schistosomiasis vector snails, we characterized the individual bacterial communities associated with several strains of Biomphalaria glabrata, B. pfeifferi, P. metidjensis and B. truncatus snails. Working on individuals reared in lab conditions favoured the control of most of the parameters that can influence microbiota composition.

In the present study, the whole microbiota was characterized using 16S amplicon sequencing. We identified 31207 OTUs among the six different snail strains. Most of OTUs were not assigned to the species level and 63% were assigned to the genus level. This corresponds to the limitation of the 16S V3V4 marker resolution. In addition, the blast+-based pipeline we use for taxonomic affiliation avoids false affiliation when a sequence matches with several sequences in the database. If several blast results have identical scores for a given OTU, and these taxonomies differ across hits, the OTU is set to “Multi-affiliation” (Escudié et al. 2017).

A few studies have characterized the cultivable flora of B. glabrata and have identified Aeromonadaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, Moraxellaceae and Pseudomonadaceae as being the most prevalent bacterial families in this species (Ducklow et al., 1979; Ducklow et al., 1981; Silva et al., 2013). The dominant families described in the previous studies were also represented in our dataset. However, the relative composition of microbiota at the phylum level revealed that Proteobacteria were dominant for most of the different Biomphalaria strains, represented by three main families: Rhodobacteraceae (Alphaproteobacteria), Comamonadaceae (Betaproteobacteria) and Xanthomonadaceae (Gammaproteobacteria). Not all bacterial families can easily be cultivated; the MiSeq technology allows identifying the whole bacterial diversity. Our results are consistent with those found for B. glabrata BRE bacterial microbiota in Portet et al. (2018), in which these three families were the most abundant of the core microbiota. The microbiota of a Guadeloupian strain of B. glabrata was also described using a similar approach (Allan et al., 2018) and similarly, the dominant phyla were Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes.

Proteobacteria have been described as key factor in marine bivalve digestion, like the great scallop Pecten maximus, as they are involved in the degradation of major alimentary components contained in their diet (Lasa et al., 2016). This phylum is also dominant in other molluscs, as is the case for oysters Crassostrea corteziensis, C. gigas and C. sikamea (Trabal et al., 2012). As this is the first study to characterize the bacterial microbiota of B. glabrata BS902, P. metidjensis, B. truncatus, it is not possible to compare with previous results and to draw any definitive conclusions.

Interestingly, the bacterial families that comprise the core microbiota for each mollusc strain were also among the most abundant taxa in the whole microbiota, which is consistent with previous studies on this model (Portet et al., 2018). In corals, for example, the OTUs belonging to core microbiota are among the rare taxa and are difficult to detect within the whole microbiota (Ainsworth et al., 2015).
Due to the high inter-individual variation, in some studies, the core microbiota in the coral model was defined by OTUs present in a limited proportion of individuals, 30% in Ainsworth et al. (2015) and 50% in Brener-Raffalli et al. (2018). In our study, the most impressive case of high abundance in core taxa concerns B. truncatus, with the Mycoplasmataceae family, and more precisely the Genus Mycoplasma, that represents more than 47% of the whole microbiota. The Genus Mycoplasma was originally described as an obligate vertebrate parasite and the causative agent of human genital and respiratory diseases with a high tissue specificity (Razin et al., 1998). This genus has been described in other models including algae and several invertebrates such as oysters (Clerissi et al., 2018; King et al., 2012), abalone (Huang et al., 2010) and Sacoglossans (Davis et al., 2013). It has also been described as being one of the most abundant microorganisms in the deep-sea bone-eating snail, Rubyspira osteovora (Aronson et al., 2016). Its role in these organisms remains unclear but some authors hypothesized that they may help with digestion (Aronson et al., 2016; Duperron et al., 2012; Fraune & Zimmer, 2008), notably because of its presence in the digestive tract. In the present study, bacteria belonging to the genus Cloacibacterium have been found in all Biomphalaria strains and species, and C. haliotis has been described in another mollusc, the sea snail Haliotis discus (Hyun et al., 2014).

The results of dissimilarity between strains revealed that the bacterial microbiota of B. truncatus individuals was distinct from other species with most of the Bray-Curtis distance values ranging between 0.8 and 0.99. The phylogenetic distance of this species from the others could explain this difference. Indeed, this is the only species, in this study, belonging to Bulinae sub-family, whereas all the others are classified in Planorbinae sub-family. Moreover, the bacterial microbiota of this species seems to be very specific, as suggested by the high abundance of Mycoplasma. Interestingly, individuals of the strain Bg BS90 also displayed strong dissimilarities with the other species and even the other strains of B. glabrata, with most of the dissimilarity values also ranging from 0.8 and 0.99.

As the different strains were maintained in separate tanks, we performed a common garden experiment to circumvent potential biases due to mollusc maintenance and tested whether the same microbial environment would lead to a homogeneous distribution of the bacterial communities between snail strains. This result confirmed a specificity of the microbiota by strain/species, suggesting that the importance of the host effect in microbiota composition is higher than the effect of rearing conditions. The microbiota can nevertheless vary during the host lifespan, with an initial recruitment of bacterial communities occurring during early development. It would be interesting to test the possibility of microbiota transfers from the environment in different developmental stages when the definitive flora is not yet fully established. A recent study showed a loss of microbial communities from one generation to the next in laboratory reared mosquitoes (Akorli et al., 2019), which presents another avenue for our model to be further investigated.

In both individual and common garden experiments, almost every individual of each strain grouped together in the dendrogram, supporting the specificity according to the host. Additionally, the topology of microbiota dissimilarities was congruent with the mollusc phylogeny, despite a limited number of strains but that covers species, genera and sub-families of Planorbidae. This reveals a pattern of phyllosymbiosis driven by the host species level among snail intermediate hosts of schistosomiasis. This has already been described in other models, for both vertebrates and invertebrates. In vertebrates, for example, a loose phyllosymbiosis pattern was identified between 44 species of coral reef fishes and their skin microbiota (Chiarello et al., 2018), possibly related to a plasticity in the immune system. Host immune genes and other factors like nutrient production by the host and vertical transmission have also been hypothesized to explain phyllosymbiosis between
several populations of American pika, *Ochotona princeps* (Kohl *et al.*, 2017). For invertebrates, this pattern was shown in three *Nasonia* species, in a controlled environment, with such a codiversification and coevolution that there is a lethality of hybrids from a breed between two *Nasonia* species (Brucker & Bordenstein, 2013). This codiversification as a mechanism leading to phylosymbiosis has also been hypothesized in a study comparing microbiota composition of 15 *Cephalotes* species (Sanders *et al.*, 2014), whereas it would not be the main driver of this phenomenon in corals, in which phylosymbiosis would be led by other mechanisms like biogeography or host traits (Pollock *et al.*, 2018). Similar findings of phylosymbiosis driven by the host have been identified between two different species of *Hydra* (Fraune & Bosch, 2007) and many studies have shown that the host genetic background shape the microbiota in numerous models (Chaston *et al.*, 2016; Coon *et al.*, 2016; Paniagua Voirol *et al.*, 2018; Parker *et al.*, 2017; Sánchez-Cañizares *et al.*, 2017). In our model, this correlation between host and microbiota indicates that host phylogeny highly constrains the microbiota composition and structuration (Brooks *et al.*, 2016; Chiarello *et al.*, 2018). However, this pattern may not be ubiquitous and a few studies on *Drosophila* (Chandler *et al.*, 2011), mosquitoes (Osei-Poku *et al.*, 2012) or flea beetles (Kelley & Dobler, 2011) identified no correlation between host phylogeny and microbiota composition. Nevertheless, we could not assess the phylosymbiosis pattern at a lower phylogenetic level (i.e. the strain) as we cannot determine the genetic distance between the different *Biomphalaria glabrata* strains because of inbreeding in the laboratory and high differentiation between strains.

In our case, the phylosymbiosis pattern could not be considered as a hallmark of coevolution because we focused on the whole bacterial community of a host, with very complex interactions, and not on a specific symbiont. Here, we defined coevolution according to O’Brien and collaborators (2019), as a "reciprocal evolution of [...] a broad range of interactions such as predator-prey, host-symbiont and host-parasite interactions, or interactions among the members of a community of organisms such as a host and its associated microbiome". O’Brien *et al.* noticed that hosts and their symbiont phylogenies are often mirrored, which can be interpreted as a parallel divergence called a codivergence. This codivergence has often led to obligatory symbiosis, as is the case between pea aphids and bacteria from the genus *Buchnera* (Baumann *et al.*, 2006) and is notably found in mutualistic symbiosis (O’Brien *et al.*, 2019). In this case, the protagonists have a very close interaction, with participation in each other’s physiological mechanisms.

We considered the phylosymbiosis pattern to illustrate the high interaction between the snails and their microbiota, bacterial communities, suggesting a impact of the latter on its host fitness toward several functions like nutrition, development, reproduction and immunity. Given that, in this model, Planorbidae snails are intermediate hosts of *Schistosoma* parasites, it would be interesting to study the tripartite interaction between the trematodes, the molluscs and their microbiota.

Indeed, previous studies highlighted a variation in the compatibility phenotype between different combinations of *B. glabrata* strains and *S. mansoni* parasites (Galinier *et al.*, 2017; Theron *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, *P. metidjensis* and *B. truncatus* are not compatible with the same *Schistosoma* species. This compatibility polymorphism can be seen as a hallmark of differences in immune capacities. As the phylosymbiosis pattern suggests a strong link between host and microbiota, the hypothesis of a relationship between the snails’ immune capacities and the composition of their microbiota can be made.

The protective role of whole microbiota (or gut microbiota), has indeed been shown in numerous models like the mosquitoes against dengue virus (Ramirez *et al.*, 2012) or the honey bees with the
augmentation in antimicrobial peptide production (Li et al., 2017). Another example is the microbiota of *Dysdercus fasciatus* that acts as a physical barrier to prevent the entry or attachment of a parasite (Onchuru et al., 2018). Chiu and collaborators (2017) also reviewed several examples of microbiota actions against pathogens, such as slowing or preventing the entry, installation, development and expansion of pathogens. In some models, the microbiota has a direct effect against their hosts' pathogens, producing effectors like ROS (Cirimotich et al., 2011), or an indirect effect, promoting some immune pathway. Concerning the interaction between Planorbidae and *Schistosoma*, the immune mechanisms have been well studied, however, there are very few informations concerning the tripartite interactions. Some immune genes located in a Guadeloupe resistance to parasite complex (GRC) region have been shown previously to contribute in shaping microbiota (Allan et al., 2018) highlighting a link between microbiota composition and host immunity.

The present study highlighted a *phylosymbiosis pattern* of strong host-microbiota specificity, which confirms the link between host genetics, immune capacity and microbiota composition. However, more information are needed to understand if there is a direct or indirect impact of microbiota on the host-parasite interaction.

The interaction between microorganisms and the host immune system can be complex. The microbiota stability can be affected upon parasite primo-infestation and challenge suggesting a tight control of immune system on bacterial composition (Portet et al., 2018). The next step will be to compare the microbiota dynamics during an infection kinetic with several host/parasite combinations with different immunobiological interactions. Although a shift in microbiota composition during an infection associated with changes in snail immune gene expression was clearly established according to the host/parasite combination (sympatric/allopatic) (Portet et al., 2018), further studies are needed to clarify the link between microbiota and snail host immunity. Phylosymbiosis pattern is a hallmark of tight interactions between host and microbiota, suggesting the role of microbial communities on different host physiological functions, including immunity. This study thus paves the way for future studies to decipher the role of microbiota in host fitness, including the development and transmission of parasites.
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Tables

Table 1: Origin of snail strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Strain code</th>
<th>Strain origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Biomphalaria glabrata</em></td>
<td>BAR2</td>
<td>B. gla BAR2</td>
<td>Belo Horizonte, Brazil (G. Oliveira, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Biomphalaria glabrata</em></td>
<td>BRE2</td>
<td>B. gla BRE2</td>
<td>Recife, Brazil (A. Théron, 1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Biomphalaria glabrata</em></td>
<td>GH2</td>
<td>B. gla GH2</td>
<td>Dans Fond, Guadeloupe (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Biomphalaria glabrata</em></td>
<td>BS902</td>
<td>B. gla BS902</td>
<td>Salvador, Brazil (1960)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Biomphalaria pfeifferi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. pfe</td>
<td>Anakhar, Oman (H. Moné, G. Moné, 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Planorbarius metidjensis</em></td>
<td>/</td>
<td>P. met</td>
<td>Salamanca, Spain (S. Mas-Comà, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bulinus truncatus</em></td>
<td>/</td>
<td>B. tru</td>
<td>Almeria, Spain (A. Olega, 2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure legends

Figure 1: Relative composition for the eight most abundant phyla in the microbiota for each strain of mollusc.

Figure 2: Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix for bacterial microbiota composition. Each dot is an individual and each colour, a strain. The labels are displayed at the barycentre.

Figure 3: A) Hierarchical clustering based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix and Ward linkage for OTUs of the core microbiota. Each colour represents a strain. B) Phylogenetic tree of host species based on 28S rRNA gene sequence and using Maximum Likelihood with 500 bootstraps (%) for node support. *Physa sp.* was used as an outgroup. The red numbers are the bootstrap values for the nodes.

Supplementary files

Table S1: OTU table with taxonomic affiliation and read numbers for each sample.

Table S2: Core microbiota by strain/species at the family level (representing at least 0.6% of the total sequence read number by strain). The families highlighted in blue are common to all the strains.

Table S3: OTUs significantly associated with each single host species using indicspecies.
Supplementary Figure S1: Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of every individual from each strain in the common garden experiment. Each dot is an individual and each colour a strain. The labels are displayed at the barycentre.