Vulnerability of super extra edge-connected graphs

Chia-Wen Cheng, Sun-Yuan Hsieh, Ralf Klasing

► To cite this version:

Chia-Wen Cheng, Sun-Yuan Hsieh, Ralf Klasing. Vulnerability of super extra edge-connected graphs. Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 2020, 108, pp.1-9. 10.1016/j.jcss.2019.07.002 . hal-02397462

HAL Id: hal-02397462

<https://hal.science/hal-02397462>

Submitted on 28 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Vulnerability of Super Extra Edge-Connected Graphs^{*†}

Chia-Wen Cheng^{1‡}, Sun-Yuan Hsieh^{1,2§} and Ralf Klasing^{3¶}

¹Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering
National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, TAIWAN

²Institute of Medical Informatics
National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, TAIWAN

³ CNRS, LaBRI, Université de Bordeaux
351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France

Abstract

Edge connectivity is a crucial measure of the robustness of a network. Several edge connectivity variants have been proposed for measuring the reliability and fault tolerance of networks under various conditions. Let G be a connected graph, S be a subset of edges in G , and k be a positive integer. If $G - S$ is disconnected and every component has at least k vertices, then S is a k -extra edge-cut of G . The k -extra edge-connectivity, denoted by $\lambda_k(G)$, is the minimum cardinality over all k -extra edge-cuts of G . If $\lambda_k(G)$ exists and at least one component of $G - S$ contains exactly k vertices for any minimum k -extra edge-cut S , then G is super- λ_k . Moreover, when G is super- λ_k , the persistence of G , denoted by $\rho_k(G)$, is the maximum integer m for which $G - F$ is still super- λ_k for any set $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq m$. Previously, bounds of $\rho_k(G)$ were provided only for $k \in \{1, 2\}$. This study provides the bounds of $\rho_k(G)$ for $k \geq 2$.

Key words: Edge-connectivity, extra edge-connectivity, fault tolerance, persistence of networks, super extra edge-connectivity.

^{*}A preliminary version of this paper appeared in *Proceedings of The 21st Annual International Computing and Combinatorics Conference (COCOON'15)*, under the title “Bounds for the Super Extra Edge Connectivity of Graphs,” Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Computer Science series (LNCS) 9198, pp. 624–634, 2015.

[†]This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology under grant MOST-104-2811-E-006-016.

[‡]E-mail: p78981037@mail.ncku.edu.tw

[§]Corresponding author. E-mail: hsiehsy@mail.ncku.edu.tw

[¶]E-mail: ralf.klasing@labri.fr

1 Introduction

Connectivity is a crucial measure of the reliability and fault tolerance of networks. Let G be the underlying network. A vertex set X of G is a *vertex cut* of a connected graph G if $G - X$ is disconnected. An edge set S of G is an *edge-cut* of G if $G - S$ is disconnected. A classic measure for the fault tolerance and reliability of a communication network is the *connectivity* of G , denoted by $\kappa(G)$, which is the minimum cardinality of a vertex set S such that $G - S$ is disconnected or has only one vertex. Another measure is the *edge-connectivity* of G , denoted by $\lambda(G)$, which is the minimum cardinality of an edge-cut. The remaining graph is connected when the number of vertices deleted is less than $\kappa(G)$ or the number of edges deleted is less than $\lambda(G)$. Therefore, the higher the $\kappa(G)$ ($\lambda(G)$) is, the more reliable the network.

Harary [11] discussed the minimum cardinality of a set of vertices (edges) whose deletion disconnects the graph, and every remaining component satisfies a graph-theoretic property. Given a graph-theoretic property $\mathcal{P}$, let $\kappa(G; \mathcal{P})$ be the minimum cardinality of a vertex-cut such that every remaining component satisfies $\mathcal{P}$, where the number of vertices is more than two. The notation $\lambda(G; \mathcal{P})$ for the special edge-cut of G can be defined similarly. Numerous studies have considered special cases of $\kappa(G; \mathcal{P})$. For example, Xu et al. [30, 31] restricted $\mathcal{P}$ to be “no vertex of a degree less than h ” for an integer $h \geq 0$. Wang and Zhang [28] discussed a case in which $\mathcal{P}$ is “at least two of components contain cycles.”

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Fàbrega and Fiol [9, 10] generalized the concept of connectivity and edge-connectivity proposed by Harary [11], to k -extra connectivity and k -extra edge-connectivity. A vertex-cut X of G is a *k -extra vertex-cut* of G if each component of $G - X$ has at least k vertices. In other words, $\kappa(G; P) = \kappa_k(G)$ and $\lambda(G; P) = \lambda_k(G)$ in which P express “the number of vertices is more than k ”. A edge-cut X of G is a *k -extra edge-cut* of G if each component of $G - X$ has at least k vertices. Then, the *k -extra connectivity* (*k -extra edge-connectivity*) of G , denoted by $\kappa_k(G)$ ($\lambda_k(G)$), is the minimum cardinality of a k -extra vertex-cut (k -extra edge-cut) of G . Extra connectivity and extra edge-connectivity in certain classes of graphs have received considerable attention in recent years [1, 5, 6, 13, 17, 18, 20, 21, 27, 34]. Hsieh and Chang [17]

discussed the 3-extra connectivity of a k -ary n -cube for $k \geq 4$ and $n \geq 5$. Chang et al. [6] discussed the 4-extra connectivity and 4-extra edge-connectivity of folded hypercubes. Chang and Hsieh [5] investigated the $\{3, 4\}$ -extra connectivity of hypercube-like networks. In addition, Meng and Ji [21] showed that regular graphs with an order of more than 5 have at least one 3-extra edge-cut. Hong and Hsieh [13] discussed the 4-extra edge-connectivity of hypercube-like networks. Lü et al. [18] derived the 2-extra edge-connectivity of product graphs. Furthermore, Balbuena and Marcote [1] discussed the k -extra edge-connectivity of product graphs for $k \geq 3$. Zhao and Ou [34] derived the 2-extra edge-connectivity of lexicographic product graphs.

Given a vertex subset $X \subseteq V(G)$, the notation $[X, \overline{X}]$ is used to denote the set of edges having one endpoint in X and another endpoint in $\overline{X}$, where $\overline{X} = V(G) - X$. Apparently, $[X, \overline{X}]$ is an edge-cut of G . The notation $G[X]$ is used to denote the subgraph of G induced by X . Let $\omega_G(X) = |[X, \overline{X}]|$ and $\xi_k(G) = \min\{\omega_G(X) : X \subseteq V(G), |X| = k, \text{ and } G[X] \text{ is connected}\}$. Obviously, $\xi_k(G)$ is always an upper bound of $\kappa_k(G)$ and $\lambda_k(G)$. If $\kappa_k(G) = \xi_k(G)$, then G is said to be maximally k -connected (or optimal- κ_k). If $\lambda_k(G) = \xi_k(G)$, then G is said to be maximally k -edge-connected (or optimal- λ_k). The properties of optimal- κ_1 and optimal- λ_k for certain classes of graphs have been discussed [1, 12, 18, 22, 24, 25, 29]. Hellwig et al. [12] discussed properties of optimal- κ_1 and optimal- λ_1 graphs. Lü et al. [18] stated sufficient conditions for product graphs to be optimal- λ_2 , and Shang and Zhang [24, 25] provided sufficient conditions for various graphs to be optimal- λ_2 and optimal- λ_3 . Wang et al. [29] provided sufficient conditions for graphs with a diameter of 2 to be optimal- λ_k for $k \geq 1$. In addition, Balbuena and Marcote [1] provided sufficient conditions for product graphs to be optimal- λ_k for $k \geq 3$.

Graph G is *super k -extra connected* (*super- κ_k*) if every minimum k -extra vertex-cut of G isolates a component with order k . Graph G is *super k -extra edge-connected* (*super- λ_k*) if every minimum k -extra edge-cut of G isolates a component with order k . The properties of super- κ_1 and super- λ_k of certain classes of graphs have been discussed [1, 7, 19, 24, 25, 29, 34]. Meng [19] provided sufficient conditions for connected vertex-transitive and edge-transitive graphs to be super- κ_1 . Shang and Zhang [24, 25] provided sufficient conditions for various graphs to be super-

Table 1: Summary of Related Studies ($\eta_k(G)$ is the maximum number of edge-disjoint connected subtrees with order k such that each subtree H satisfies $\omega_G(V(H)) = \xi_k(G)$.)

The properties which the graph G satisfies	The elements of F	Lower bound of $ F $	Upper bound of $ F $	Property of $G - F$	Ref.
Optimal- κ_1 , $\eta_1(G) \geq \delta(G)$, and $\kappa_2(G)$ exists	Vertices	$\min\{\kappa_2(G) - \delta(G), \delta(G) - 1\}$	$\delta(G) - 1$	Optimal- κ_1	[15]
Super- κ_1 , $\eta_1(G) \geq \delta(G)$, and $\kappa_2(G)$ exists	Vertices	$\min\{\kappa_2(G) - \delta(G) - 1, \delta(G) - 1\}$	$\delta(G) - 1$	Super- κ_1	[15]
Super- λ_1 and $\lambda_2(G)$ exists	Edges	$\min\{\lambda_2(G) - \delta(G) - 1, \delta(G) - 1\}$	$\delta(G) - 1$	Super- λ_1	[14]
Super- λ_2 , $\eta_2(G) \geq \delta(G)$, and $\lambda_3(G)$ exists	Edges	$\min\{\lambda_3(G) - \xi_2(G) - 1, \delta(G) - 1\}$	$\delta(G) - 1$	Super- λ_2	[16]
Super- λ_k and $\lambda_{k+1}(G)$ does not exist for $2 \leq k \leq \delta(G)$	Edges	$\lambda(G) - 1$	$\lambda(G) - 1$	Super- λ_k	this paper
Super- λ_2 and $\lambda_3(G)$ exists	Edges	$\min\{\lambda_3(G) - \xi_2(G) - 1, \eta_2(G) - 1, \lambda(G) - 1\}$	$\lambda(G) - 1$	Super- λ_2	this paper
Super- λ_3 and $\lambda_4(G)$ exists	Edges	$\min\{\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1, \eta_3(G) - 1, \lambda(G) - 1\}$	$\lambda(G) - 1$	Super- λ_3	this paper
Super- λ_k and $\lambda_{k+1}(G)$ exists for $k \geq 4$	Edges	$\min\{\lambda_{k+1}(G) - \xi_k(G) - 1, \eta_k(G) - 1, \delta(G) - k + 1, \lambda(G) - 1\}$	$\lambda(G) - 1$	Super- λ_k	this paper

λ_2 and super- λ_3 . Furthermore, Zhao and Ou [34] provided sufficient conditions for lexicographic product graphs to be super- λ_2 , and Balbuena and Marcote [1] provided sufficient conditions for product graphs to be super- λ_k for $k \geq 3$.

Link (edge) and process (vertex) faults may occur when a network is activated; therefore, it is crucial to consider faulty networks. Recently, Hong and Zhang [15] discussed the vertex fault tolerance of optimal- κ_1 and super- κ_1 graphs. Hong et al. [14] discussed the edge fault tolerance of super- λ_1 graphs, and Wang and Lu [26] examined the bounds in graphs in detail. Hong and Xu [16] discussed the edge fault tolerance of super- λ_2 graphs under a condition. In this paper, we discuss the edge fault tolerance of super- λ_k graphs for $k \geq 2$. In other words, we determine the number of faulty edges allowed for graph to remain super- λ_k . We illustrate the bounds when $k = 2$, $k = 3$, and $k \geq 4$. (More details about the results are provided in Table 1.)

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides definitions and notation. Section 3 shows the edge fault tolerance of super- λ_3 graphs. Section 4 shows the edge fault tolerance of super- λ_k graphs when $k \geq 4$. Section 4 shows the edge fault tolerance of product graphs with some properties. Section 6 provides concluding remarks.

2 Preliminaries

An undirected *graph* $G = (V, E)$ is a pair of the *vertex set* V and *edge set* E , where V is a finite set and E is a subset of $\{(u, v) \mid (u, v) \text{ is an unordered pair of } V\}$. $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and edge set of G , respectively. Let $n(G) = |V(G)|$ be the *order* of G . Two vertices u and v are *adjacent* if (u, v) is an edge in G . The edge (u, v) is *incident to* u and v , and u and v are the *endpoints* of (u, v) . The *degree* of vertex v , denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the number of edges incident to it. Let $\delta(G) = \min\{d_G(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$. A *path* $\langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t \rangle$ is a sequence of distinct vertices such that any two consecutive vertices are adjacent. Vertices v_1 and v_t are the *endpoints* of the path. A *cycle* $\langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_t, v_0 \rangle$ for $t \geq 2$ is a sequence of vertices such that any two consecutive vertices are adjacent, where $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_t$ are all distinct. A *complete graph* is a simple graph whose vertices are pairwise adjacent; a (unlabeled) complete graph with n vertices is denoted K_n .

An *isomorphism* from a simple graph G to a simple graph H is a one-to-one and onto function $\pi : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that $(u, v) \in E(G)$ if and only if $(\pi(u), \pi(v)) \in E(H)$. We say that “ G is *isomorphic to* H ”, written $G \cong H$, if there is an isomorphism from G to H .

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. An edge set $S \subseteq E(G)$ is a *k-extra edge-cut* if $G - S$ is disconnected and each component has at least k vertices. The *k-extra edge-connectivity* of G , denoted by $\lambda_k(G)$, is defined as the minimum cardinality over all k -extra edge-cuts of G . If $\lambda_k(G)$ exists, then G is said to be λ_k -connected and $\lambda(G) = \lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_2(G) \leq \lambda_3(G) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k(G)$. The following lemma shows the necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be λ_k -connected.

Lemma 1. [23] *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and G be a connected graph. If G has an order of at least $3k - 2$, then G is a λ_k -connected graph if and only if G contains no vertex u such that every component of $G - \{u\}$ has an order of at most $k - 1$.*

The terms $[X, \overline{X}]$, $\omega_G(X)$, and $\xi_k(G)$ have been defined in Section 1. Studies have shown that $\lambda_k(G) \leq \xi_k(G)$ holds for any λ_k -connected graph, where $1 \leq k \leq 3$ [3, 4, 8, 21]. For $k \geq 4$, Bonsma et al. [4] observed that the inequality $\lambda_k(G) \leq \xi_k(G)$ is no longer true in general.

Let $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$ be m copies of K_t , where $m \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$. Let v be a new vertex such that v is adjacent to every vertex in $\cup_{i=1}^m V(G_i)$. Then, the resulting graph is denoted by $G_{m,t}^*$. When $t = 1$, $G_{m,t}^*$ is a star. The following lemma shows a sufficient condition for a graph G to be λ_k -connected and $\lambda_k(G) \leq \xi_k(G)$ for $1 \leq k \leq \delta(G) + 1$.

Lemma 2. [33] *Let G be a connected graph with an order of at least $2(\delta(G) + 1)$. If G is not isomorphic to $G_{m,\delta(G)}^*$ for any positive integer m , then $\lambda_k(G)$ exists, and $\lambda_k(G) \leq \xi_k(G)$ for any k with $1 \leq k \leq \delta(G) + 1$.*

A graph G is said to be λ_k -optimal if it satisfies $\lambda_k(G) = \xi_k(G)$. Some properties of λ_k -optimal graphs were investigated in [32]. Moreover, if $\lambda_k(G)$ exists and at least one component of $G - S$ contains exactly k vertices for any minimum k -extra edge-cut S , then G is said to be super- λ_k ; $\lambda_k(G) = \xi_k(G)$ if G is super- λ_k . The following lemma shows the necessary and sufficient condition for a λ_k -connected graph to be super- λ_k .

Lemma 3. *Let G be a λ_k -connected graph with $\lambda_k(G) \leq \xi_k(G)$ for some $k \geq 1$. Then, G is super- λ_k if and only if G is not λ_{k+1} -connected or $\omega_G(X) > \xi_k(G)$ holds for any vertex set $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $k + 1 \leq |X| \leq \lfloor n(G)/2 \rfloor$ and $G[X]$, $G[\overline{X}]$ being connected.*

Proof. According to Lemma 1.4 in [16], G is super- λ_k if and only if G is not λ_{k+1} -connected or $\lambda_{k+1}(G) > \xi_k(G)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Because $\omega_G(X) > \xi_k(G)$ holds for any vertex set $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $k + 1 \leq |X| \leq \lfloor |V(G)|/2 \rfloor$ and $G[X]$ and $G[\overline{X}]$ being connected, $\lambda_{k+1}(G) > \xi_k(G)$. Therefore, the result holds. $\square$

Let $\eta_k(G)$ denote the maximum number of edge-disjoint connected subtrees with order k such that each subtree H satisfies $\omega_G(V(H)) = \xi_k(G)$. For example, consider the graph G shown in Fig. 1. Because vertices a and b are the only two vertices with a minimum degree in this graph, $\eta_1(G) = 2$. Moreover, because $\omega_G(\{(a, b)\}) = \xi_2(G) = 4$ and each of the other edges e does not satisfy the condition (i.e., $\omega_G(\{\text{the endpoints of } e\}) \neq \xi_2(G) = 4$), $\eta_2(G) = 1$. The equality $\eta_3(G) = 2$ holds because we can find two edge-disjoint paths $\langle a, c, b \rangle$ and $\langle a, d, b \rangle$ such

that $\omega_G(\{a, c, b\}) = \omega_G(\{a, d, b\}) = \xi_3(G) = 4$. Finally, the equality $\eta_4(G) = 2$ holds because we can find two edge-disjoint paths $\langle a, c, d, b \rangle$ and $\langle d, a, b, c \rangle$ such that $\omega_G(\{a, b, c, d\}) = \xi_4(G) = 2$.

Figure 1: Illustration of $\eta_k(G)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$

Definition 1. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. The *persistence* of the super- λ_k graph G , denoted by $\rho_k(G)$, is the maximum integer m for which $G - F$ is still super- λ_k for any set $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq m$.

Hong et al. [14] showed that $\min\{\lambda_2(G) - \delta(G) - 1, \delta(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_1(G) \leq \delta(G) - 1$ for any super- λ_1 and λ_2 -connected graph G . In addition, Hong and Xu [16] showed that $\min\{\lambda_3(G) - \xi_2(G) - 1, \delta(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_2(G) \leq \delta(G) - 1$ for any super- λ_2 and λ_3 -connected graph G with $\eta_2(G) \geq \delta(G)$. This study determines the following bounds of $\rho_k(G)$ for $k \geq 2$:

1. $\rho_k(G) = \lambda_1(G) - 1$ if graph G is super- λ_k , but not λ_{k+1} -connected,
2. $\min\{\lambda_3(G) - \xi_2(G) - 1, \eta_2(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_2(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$ for any super- λ_2 and λ_3 -connected graph G ,
3. $\min\{\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1, \eta_3(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_3(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$ for any super- λ_3 and λ_4 -connected graph G , and
4. $\min\{\lambda_{k+1}(G) - \xi_k(G) - 1, \eta_k(G) - 1, \delta(G) - k + 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_k(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$ for any super- λ_k and λ_{k+1} -connected graph G , where $k \geq 4$.

3 Bounds on the persistence of super- λ_3 graphs

If a graph $G = (V, E)$ has a path with endpoints u and v , then the *distance* between u and v , denoted by $d_G(u, v)$ or simply $d(u, v)$, is the shortest length of a path between u and v . If

G has no such path, then $d(u, v) = \infty$. The *eccentricity* of a vertex u , denoted by $\epsilon(u)$, is $\max_{v \in V} d(u, v)$.

Let H_1 be a connected graph that has an order of at least 6 and satisfies the following conditions: (a) H_1 contains no cycles of a length greater than 3 and (b) there exists exactly one vertex $v_0 \in V(H_1)$ with a degree greater than 2, and v_0 has eccentricity equal to or less than 2. Fig. 2 illustrates graphs H_1 and H_2 . The following lemma shows the necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be λ_3 -connected.

Figure 2: Illustration of Lemmas 4 and 5

Lemma 4. [4] *A connected graph with an order of 6 is not λ_3 -connected if and only if G is isomorphic to H_1 or H_2 (Fig. 2). Furthermore, if G is λ_3 -connected, then $\lambda_3(G) \leq \xi_3(G)$.*

According to Lemma 4, we can determine the edge fault tolerance of a λ_3 -connected graph.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a λ_3 -connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq 3$. Then, $G - F$ is a λ_3 -connected graph and $\lambda_3(G - F) \leq \xi_3(G - F)$ for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $G - F$ is not λ_3 -connected. According to Lemma 4, $G - F \cong H_1$ or $G - F \cong H_2$. Consider the following scenarios.

Case 1: $\delta(G - F) \geq 2$. In this case, $G - F \cong H_3$ (Fig. 2) and there are at least six vertices with a degree of 2 in $G - F$. Therefore,

$$|F| = |E(G)| - |E(G - F)| \geq \lceil \frac{(6\delta(G) - 6 \cdot 2)}{2} \rceil = 3\delta(G) - 6 \geq \delta(G) \geq \lambda_1(G),$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Case 2: $\delta(G - F) = 1$. Because $|F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1 \leq \delta(G) - 1$, exactly one vertex in $G - F$ has a degree of one, and all edges of F are incident to this vertex v . Therefore, $G - F$ is not isomorphic to H_2 . According to Lemma 4, $G - F \cong H_4$ (Fig. 2), and at least four vertices with a degree of 2. All edges of F are incident to the vertex with a degree of 1; therefore,

$$|F| = |E(G)| - |E(G - F)| \geq 4\delta(G) - 4 \cdot 2 \geq \delta(G) + 1 \geq \lambda_1(G),$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Combining the two cases completes the proof. $\square$

Next, we show the bounds of $\rho_3(G)$ for the super- λ_3 graph G in Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a super- λ_3 graph with $\delta(G) \geq 3$. Then the following statements hold: (a) If G is not λ_4 -connected, then $\rho_3(G) = \lambda_1(G) - 1$. (b) If G is λ_4 -connected, then $\min\{\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1, \eta_3(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_3(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$.*

Proof. There exists one edge set F with size $\lambda_1(G)$ such that $G - F$ is disconnected. Then, $G - F$ is not super- λ_3 , implying that $\rho_3(G) \leq |F| - 1 = \lambda_1(G) - 1$. Next, we determine the lower bound of $\rho_3(G)$ according to whether G is λ_4 -connected.

(a) To prove that $\rho_3(G) \geq \lambda_1(G) - 1$, it suffices to show that for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$, $G - F$ is super- λ_3 . According to Lemma 5, $G - F$ is λ_3 -connected with $\lambda_3(G - F) \leq \xi_3(G - F)$. Because G is not λ_4 -connected, $G - F$ is also not λ_4 -connected. According to Lemma 3, $G - F$ is super- λ_3 and (a) is proved.

(b) Let $m = \min\{\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1, \eta_3(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\}$. To prove that $\rho_3(G) \geq m$, it suffices to show that for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq m$, $G' = G - F$ is super- λ_3 .

Note that $|F| \leq m \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$. According to Lemma 5, $G - F$ is λ_3 -connected with $\lambda_3(G - F) \leq \xi_3(G - F)$. If $G - F$ is not λ_4 -connected, then according to Lemma 3, $G - F$ is super- λ_3 and (b) is proved. If $G - F$ is λ_4 -connected, then let X be any subset of $V(G')$ with $|X| \geq 4$ and $|\overline{X}| \geq 4$ such that $G'[X]$ and $G'[\overline{X}]$ are connected. Therefore,

$$\omega_{G-F}(X) \geq \omega_G(X) - |F| \geq \lambda_4(G) - (\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1) = \xi_3(G) + 1. \quad (1)$$

Because $|F| \leq m \leq \eta_3(G) - 1$, there exists one subtree A with an order of 3 such that $\omega_G(A) = \xi_3(G)$ and $A = A - F$. In other words,

$$\xi_3(G) = \omega_G(A) \geq \omega_{G-F}(A) \geq \xi_3(G - F). \quad (2)$$

According to equations (1) and (2), $\omega_{G-F}(X) > \xi_3(G - F)$. According to Lemma 3, $G - F$ is super- λ_3 and (b) is proved. $\square$

Evidently, $\lambda_1(G) - 1$ is a necessary term in the proposed lower bound formula because the value is also used as an upper bound in Theorem 1. Examples 1 and 2 demonstrate that the other values are also necessary.

Figure 3: Illustration of Examples 1 and 2

Example 1. Consider the graph $G \cong H_5$ shown in Fig. 3. A vertex $v \in V(H)$ is adjacent to all the other vertices in G . Because $\lambda_1(G) = \lambda_3(G) = \xi_3(G) = 3$ and $\lambda_4(G) = 6$, according to Lemma 3, G is super- λ_3 . Moreover, $\min\{\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} = 2$ and $G' = G - \{e_1, e_2\}$ is not super- λ_3 because $\lambda_3(G') = \lambda_4(G') = 6$. Therefore, $\eta_3(G) - 1$ is a necessary term in the proposed lower bound formula (in this case, $\eta_3(G) - 1 = 0$).

Example 2. Consider the graph $G \cong H_6$ shown in Fig. 3; $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2t}\}$ form two separate cycles. The vertices u_i and v_j are adjacent when $j \in \{2i - 1, 2i\}$. Because $\lambda_1(G) = 4$, $\lambda_3(G) = \xi_3(G) = 6$, and $\lambda_4(G) = 7$, according to Lemma 3, G is super- λ_3 . Moreover, $\min\{\eta_3(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} = 3$ and $G' = G - \{e\}$ is not super- λ_3 because $\lambda_3(G') = \lambda_4(G') = 6$. Therefore, $\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1$ is a necessary term in the proposed lower bound formula (in this case, $\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1 = 0$).

Figure 4: Illustration of Example 3

Example 3. Consider the graph $G \cong H_7$ shown in Fig. 4; $\rho_3(G) = 2$. Because $\lambda_1(G) = \lambda_3(G) = \xi_3(G) = 3$ and $\lambda_4(G) = 6$, according to Lemma 3, G is super- λ_3 . According to Theorem 1, $\min\{\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1, \eta_3(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} = 2 = \lambda_1(G) - 1$. Therefore, the lower bound given in Theorem 1 is sharp.

Corollary 1. *Let G be a super- λ_2 and λ_3 -connected graph. Then, $\min\{\lambda_3(G) - \xi_2(G) - 1, \eta_2(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_2(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$.*

Proof. Note that $n(G) \geq 6$ because G is λ_3 -connected. Let $m = \min\{\lambda_3(G) - \xi_2(G) - 1, \eta_2(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\}$. We first prove that $G - F$ is λ_2 -connected with $\lambda_2(G - F) \leq \xi_2(G - F)$ for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq m$.

When $\delta(G) = 1$, $|F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1 \leq \delta(G) - 1 \leq 0$ and $G = G - F$. Therefore, $G = G - F$ is not a star because G is λ_3 -connected. When $\delta(G) \geq 2$, assume that $G - F$ is a star, and there exists $n(G) - 1$ vertices with a degree of 1 in $G - F$. Because $\delta(G) \geq 2$, at least $\delta(G) - 1$ edges of F are incident to each of the aforementioned $n(G) - 1$ vertices. Consequently,

$$\lceil \frac{([n(G) - 1][\delta(G) - 1])}{2} \rceil \leq |E(G)| - |E(G - F)| = |F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1 \leq \delta(G) - 1.$$

In other words, $n(G) \leq 3$, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, $G - F$ is not a star. According to the results of [3, 21], $G - F$ is λ_2 -connected with $\lambda_2(G - F) \leq \xi_2(G - F)$ if $G - F$ is not a star; therefore, $G - F$ is λ_2 -connected with $\lambda_2(G - F) \leq \xi_2(G - F)$. Consequently, this result of Corollary 1 can be proved using a method similar to that used in Theorem 1. $\square$

Figure 1 of [16] shows that the lower bound given in Corollary 1 is sharp.

4 Bounds on the persistence of super- λ_k graphs for $k \geq 4$

First, we determine the edge fault tolerance of λ_k -connected graphs for a positive integer $k \geq 2$.

Lemma 6. *Let G be a λ_k -connected graph with $2 \leq k \leq \delta(G)$, and $n(G) \geq 3\delta(G) - 2$. Then, $G - F$ is λ_k -connected graph for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$.*

Proof. Apparently, $G - F$ is connected because $|F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$. If $G - F$ is not λ_k -connected, according to Lemma 1, there exists $n(G) - 1$ vertices with a degree of at most $k - 1$ in $G - F$. Because $\delta(G) \geq k$, at least one edge of F is incident to each of the aforementioned $n(G) - 1$ vertices. Thus,

$$|F| \geq \lceil (n(G) - 1)/2 \rceil \geq \lceil (3\delta(G) - 3)/2 \rceil > \delta(G) - 1 \geq \lambda_1(G) - 1,$$

which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, $G - F$ is a λ_k -connected graph. $\square$

Now, let G be a super- λ_k graph, but not λ_{k+1} -connected for $k \geq 2$. We show the bounds of $\rho_k(G)$ in Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a super- λ_k graph with $2 \leq k \leq \delta(G)$, and $n(G) \geq 3\delta(G) - 2$. If G is not λ_{k+1} -connected, then $\rho_k(G) = \lambda_1(G) - 1$.*

Proof. There exists one edge set F with size $\lambda_1(G)$ such that $G - F$ is disconnected. Then, $G - F$ is not super- λ_k . We have $\rho_k(G) \leq |F| - 1 = \lambda_1(G) - 1$.

To prove that $\rho_k(G) \geq \lambda_1(G) - 1$, it suffices to show that for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$, $G - F$ is super- λ_k . According to Lemma 6, $G - F$ is λ_k -connected. Because G is not λ_{k+1} -connected, $G - F$ is also not λ_{k+1} -connected. Thus, every minimum k -extra edge-cut of $G - F$ isolates at least one connected subgraph of order k . Therefore, $G - F$ is super- λ_k and this theorem is proved. $\square$

Next, we determine the bounds of $\rho_k(G)$ for a super- λ_k and λ_{k+1} -connected graph G .

Lemma 7. *Let G be a λ_k -connected graph with $\lambda_k(G) \leq \xi_k(G)$, $k \leq \delta(G) + 1$, and $n(G) \geq 2\delta(G) + 2$. Then, $G - F$ is a λ_k -connected graph and $\lambda_k(G - F) \leq \xi_k(G - F)$ for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq \min\{\delta(G) - k + 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\}$.*

Proof. Because $|F| \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$, $G - F$ is connected. If $G - F$ is not isomorphic to $G_{m,k-1}^*$ for any positive integer m , according to Lemma 2, $G - F$ is λ_k -connected and $\lambda_k(G - F) \leq \xi_k(G - F)$ because $n(G) \geq 2\delta(G) + 2 \geq 2\delta(G - F) + 2$.

Therefore, we consider only the case when $G - F$ is isomorphic to $G_{m,k-1}^*$ for a positive integer m . Consider the following scenarios.

Case 1: $\delta(G) \geq k$. Because $G - F \cong G_{m,k-1}^*$, there exists $n(G) - 1$ vertices with degree $k - 1$ in $G - F$. Because $\delta(G) \geq k$, at least $\delta(G) - k + 1$ edges of F are incident to each of the aforementioned $n(G) - 1$ vertices. Therefore,

$$\lceil \frac{([n(G) - 1][\delta(G) - k + 1])}{2} \rceil \leq |E(G)| - |E(G - F)| = |F| \leq \delta(G) - k + 1,$$

which implies that $n(G) \leq 3$ and leads to a contradiction.

Case 2: $\delta(G) = k - 1$. In this case, $|F| = \delta(G) - k + 1 = 0$ and $G - F = G$. Evidently, $G - F$ is a λ_k -connected graph and $\lambda_k(G - F) \leq \xi_k(G - F)$. $\square$

Theorem 3. Let G be a super- λ_k graph with $k \geq 4$ and $n(G) \geq 2\delta(G) + 2$. If G is λ_{k+1} -connected, then $\min\{\lambda_{k+1}(G) - \xi_k(G) - 1, \eta_k(G) - 1, \delta(G) - k + 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_k(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$.

Proof. There exists one edge set F with size $\lambda_1(G)$ such that $G - F$ is disconnected. Then, $G - F$ is not super- λ_k . We have $\rho_k(G) \leq |F| - 1 = \lambda_1(G) - 1$.

Let $m = \min\{\lambda_{k+1}(G) - \xi_k(G) - 1, \eta_k(G) - 1, \delta(G) - k + 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\}$. To prove that $\rho_k(G) \geq m$, it suffices to show that for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leq m$, $G' = G - F$ is super- λ_k . Note that $|F| \leq m \leq \min\{\delta(G) - k + 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\}$; therefore, according to Lemma 7, $G - F$ is λ_k -connected with $\lambda_k(G - F) \leq \xi_k(G - F)$.

If $G - F$ is not λ_{k+1} -connected, according to Lemma 3, $G - F$ is super- λ_k and this theorem is proved. If $G - F$ is λ_{k+1} -connected, then let X be any subset of $V(G')$ with $|X| \geq k + 1$ and $|\overline{X}| \geq k + 1$ such that $G'[X]$ and $G'[\overline{X}]$ are connected. Therefore,

$$\omega_{G-F}(X) \geq \omega_G(X) - |F| \geq \lambda_{k+1}(G) - (\lambda_{k+1}(G) - \xi_k(G) - 1) = \xi_k(G) + 1. \quad (3)$$

Because $|F| \leq m \leq \eta_k(G) - 1$, there exists one subtree A with order k such that $\omega_G(A) = \xi_k(G)$ and $A = A - F$. In other words,

$$\xi_k(G) = \omega_G(A) \geq \omega_{G-F}(A) \geq \xi_k(G - F). \quad (4)$$

According to equations (3) and (4), $\omega_{G-F}(X) > \xi_k(G - F)$. According to Lemma 3, $G - F$ is super- λ_k and this theorem is proved. $\square$

5 Bounds on the persistence of product graphs

In this section, the results obtained in Sections 3 and 4 are applied to product graphs. The product graph $G * H$ of two graphs G and H was introduced in [2].

Definition 2. [2] Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ and $H = (V(H), E(H))$ be two graphs. Let π_{xy} be a permutation of $V(H)$ such that $\pi_{xy}^{-1} = \pi_{yx}$ for each edge $xy \in E(G)$. Then, the product graph $G * H$ is the graph with $V(G) \times V(H)$ as its vertex set, and two vertices, (x, x') and (y, y') , are adjacent in $G * H$ if and only if either $x = y$ and $x'y' \in E(H)$ or $xy \in E(G)$ and $y' = \pi_{xy}(x')$.

Lemma 8. [1] Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Let G be an r -regular connected graph and let H be an s -regular connected graph, with $r \geq 2k - 1$ and $s \geq r + 2k - 1$, respectively. Assume that $\lambda_1(G)|V(H)| \geq 2k(s - k) + 2$ and H is λ_{k+1} -connected with $\lambda_{k+1}(H) \geq 2(s - k)$. Then, the $(r + s)$ -regular graph $G * H$ is super- λ_k .

According to Lemma 8, Corollary 1, and $\xi_2(G) = 2r + 2s - 2$ for any $(r + s)$ -regular graph G , the following result is true.

Corollary 2. Let G be an r -regular connected graph and let H be an s -regular connected graph, with $r \geq 3$, $s \geq r + 3$. Suppose that $\lambda_1(G)|V(H)| \geq 4s - 6$, and also that H is λ_3 -connected with $\lambda_3(H) \geq 2s - 4$. Then the $(r + s)$ -regular graph $G * H$ is super- λ_2 and $\min\{\lambda_3(G * H) - 2r - 2s + 1, \eta_2(G * H) - 1, \lambda_1(G * H) - 1\} \leq \rho_2(G * H) \leq \lambda_1(G * H) - 1$.

If G is an $(r + s)$ -regular graph, then $\xi_3(G) = 3r + 3s - 6$ if G contains a triangle or $\xi_3(G) = 3r + 3s - 4$ if G contains no triangle. According to Lemma 8 and Theorem 1, the

following results are true.

Corollary 3. *Let G be an r -regular connected graph and let H be an s -regular connected graph, with $r \geq 5$ and $s \geq r+5$, respectively. Assume that $\lambda_1(G)|V(H)| \geq 6s-16$ and H is λ_4 -connected with $\lambda_4(H) \geq 2s-6$. If H has a triangle subgraph, then the $(r+s)$ -regular graph $G*H$ is super- λ_3 and $\min\{\lambda_4(G*H) - 3r - 3s + 5, \eta_3(G*H) - 1, \lambda_1(G*H) - 1\} \leq \rho_3(G*H) \leq \lambda_1(G*H) - 1$.*

Corollary 4. *Let G be an r -regular connected graph and let H be an s -regular connected graph, with $r \geq 5$ and $s \geq r+5$, respectively. Assume that $\lambda_1(G)|V(H)| \geq 6s-16$ and H is λ_4 -connected with $\lambda_4(H) \geq 2s-6$. If G and H have no triangle subgraph, then the $(r+s)$ -regular graph $G*H$ is super- λ_3 and $\min\{\lambda_4(G*H) - 3r - 3s + 3, \eta_3(G*H) - 1, \lambda_1(G*H) - 1\} \leq \rho_3(G*H) \leq \lambda_1(G*H) - 1$.*

According to Lemma 8 and Theorem 3, the following result is true.

Corollary 5. *Let $k \geq 4$ be an integer. Let G be an r -regular connected graph and let H be an s -regular connected graph, with $r \geq 2k-1$ and $s \geq r+2k-1$, respectively. Assume that $\lambda_1(G)|V(H)| \geq 2k(s-k)+2$ and H is λ_{k+1} -connected with $\lambda_{k+1}(H) \geq 2(s-k)$. Then, the $(r+s)$ -regular graph $G*H$ is super- λ_k and $\min\{\lambda_{k+1}(G*H) - \xi_k(G*H) - 1, \eta_k(G*H) - 1, r + s - k + 1, \lambda_1(G*H) - 1\} \leq \rho_k(G*H) \leq \lambda_1(G*H) - 1$.*

In [1], the super- λ_k property of a product of two complete graphs was proved.

Lemma 9. [1] *For all integers $k \geq 1$, $r \geq 2k+1$, and $s \geq r+2k-1$, the graph $K_r * K_s$ is super- λ_j for every $1 \leq j \leq k$.*

According to Lemma 9, Corollary 1, and $\eta_2(G) = |E(G)|$, for any regular graph G , the following result is true.

Corollary 6. *For all integers $r \geq 5$ and $s \geq r+3$, the graph $G = K_r * K_s$ is super- λ_2 and $\min\{\lambda_3(G) - 2r - 2s + 5, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_2(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$.*

For any complete graph K_s for $s \geq 4$, we can prove that $\eta_3(K_s) \geq s-1$ by induction on s . When $s = 4$, there are three edge-disjoint paths with an order of 3. Therefore, $\eta_3(K_4) = 3 \geq s-1$. Assume that the result holds when $s \leq t$. Consider $s = t+1 > 4$, and let v be a vertex of K_s .

According to the induction hypothesis, there are at least $(s - 2)$ edge-disjoint paths with an order of 3 in $K_s - \{v\}$. The vertex v and two other vertices in $K_s - \{v\}$ can form additional edge-disjoint paths with an order of 3. Then, $\eta_3(K_s) \geq s - 1$. Moreover, $\eta_3(K_r * K_s) \geq r(s - 1) \geq r + s - 2 \geq \lambda_1(K_r * K_s)$ for $r \geq 1$ and $s \geq 4$. According to Lemma 9 and Theorem 1, the following result is true.

Corollary 7. *For all integers $r \geq 7$ and $s \geq r + 5$, the graph $G = K_r * K_s$ is super- λ_3 and $\min\{\lambda_4(G) - 3r - 3s + 11, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_3(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$.*

We have

$$\xi_k(K_r * K_s) = k(r + s - 2) - k(k - 1) = k(r + s - 1) - k^2.$$

According to Lemma 9 and Theorem 3, the following result is true.

Corollary 8. *For all integers $k \geq 4$, $r \geq 2k + 1$, and $s \geq r + 2k - 1$, the graph $K_r * K_s$ is super- λ_k and $\min\{\lambda_{k+1}(G) + k^2 - k(r + s - 1) - 1, \eta_k(G) - 1, r + s - k - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_2(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$.*

6 Conclusion

Fault tolerance is critical for retaining a system's reliability. This study investigates the edge fault tolerance of super- λ_k graphs. The bounds of this result for $k \in \{1, 2\}$ have recently been presented. In this paper, we show that (1) $\rho_k(G) = \lambda_1(G) - 1$ if the graph G is super- λ_k , but not λ_{k+1} -connected for $k \geq 2$, (2) $\min\{\lambda_3(G) - \xi_2(G) - 1, \eta_2(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_2(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$ for any super- λ_2 and λ_3 -connected graph G , (3) $\min\{\lambda_4(G) - \xi_3(G) - 1, \eta_3(G) - 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_3(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$ for any super- λ_3 and λ_4 -connected graph G , and (4) $\min\{\lambda_{k+1}(G) - \xi_k(G) - 1, \eta_k(G) - 1, \delta(G) - k + 1, \lambda_1(G) - 1\} \leq \rho_k(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) - 1$ for any super- λ_k and λ_{k+1} -connected graph G , where $k \geq 4$. Future studies should evaluate tighter bounds of $\rho_k(G)$ for graphs that satisfy specific conditions.

References

- [1] C. Balbuena and X. Marcote : The k-restricted edge-connectivity of a product of graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics 161(1), 52-59 (2013)

- [2] J. C. Bermond, C. Delorme, and G. Farhi : Large graphs with given degree and diameter II. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 36(1), 32V48 (1984)
- [3] F. T. Boesch and J. F. Wang : Super line-connectivity properties of circulant graphs. *SIAM Journal on Algebraic Discrete Methods* 7(1), 89-98 (1986)
- [4] P. Bonsma, N. Ueffing, and L. Volkmann : Edge-cuts leaving components of order at least three. *Discrete Mathematics* 256(1), 431-439 (2002)
- [5] N. W. Chang and S. Y. Hsieh : (2,3)-Extraconnectivities of hypercube-like networks. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* 79(5), 669-688 (2013)
- [6] N. W. Chang, C. Y. Tsai, and S. Y. Hsieh : On 3-extra connectivity and 3-extra edge connectivity of folded hypercubes. *IEEE Transactions on Computers* 63(6), 1594-1600 (2014)
- [7] E. Cheng, M. J. Lipman, and H. Park : Super connectivity of star graphs, alternating group graphs and split-stars. *Ars Combinatoria* 59, 107-116 (2001)
- [8] A. H. Esfahanian and S. L. Hakimi : On computing a conditional edge-connectivity of a graph. *Information Processing Letters* 27(4), 195-199 (1988)
- [9] J. Fàbrega and M. A. Fiol : Extraconnectivity of graphs with large girth. *Discrete Mathematics* 127(1), 163-170 (1994)
- [10] J. Fàbrega and M. A. Fiol : On the extraconnectivity of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics* 155(1), 49-57 (1996)
- [11] F. Harary : Conditional connectivity. *Networks* 13, 347-357 (1983)
- [12] A. Hellwig, L. Volkmann : Maximally edge-connected and vertex-connected graphs and digraphs: a survey. *Discrete Mathematics* 308(15), 3265-3296 (2008)
- [13] W. S. Hong and S. Y. Hsieh : Extra edge connectivity of hypercube-like networks. *International Journal of Parallel, Emergent and Distributed Systems* 28(2), 123-133 (2013)
- [14] Y. Hong, J. Meng, and Z. Zhang : Edge fault tolerance of graphs with respect to super edge connectivity. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 160(4), 579-587 (2012)
- [15] Y. Hong and Z. Zhang : Vertex fault tolerance of optimal- κ graphs and super- κ graphs. *Information Processing Letters* 109(20), 1151-1155 (2009)
- [16] Z. M. Hong and J. M. Xu : Vulnerability of super edge-connected networks. *Theoretical Computer Science* 520, 75-86 (2014)
- [17] S. Y. Hsieh and Y. H. Chang : Extraconnectivity of k-ary n-cube networks. *Theoretical Computer Science* 443(20), 63-69 (2012)
- [18] M. Ĺu, G. L. Chen, and X. R. Xu : On super edge-connectivity of product graphs. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 207(2), 300-306 (2009)
- [19] J. Meng : Connectivity of vertex and edge transitive graphs. *Discrete applied mathematics* 127(3), 601-613 (2003)
- [20] J. X. Meng : Optimally super-edge-connected transitive graphs. *Discrete Mathematics* 260(1), 239-248 (2003)
- [21] J. X. Meng and Y. H. Ji : On a kind of restricted edge connectivity of graphs. *Discrete applied mathematics* 117, 183-193 (2002)
- [22] O. R. Oellermann : Connectivity and edge-connectivity in graphs: a survey. *Congressus Numerantium* 116, 231-252 (1996)
- [23] J. Ou : Edge cuts leaving components of order at least m. *Discrete mathematics* 305(1), 365-371 (2005)
- [24] L. Shang and H. Zhang : Sufficient conditions for graphs to be λ' -optimal and super- λ' . *Networks* 49(3), 234-242 (2007)
- [25] L. Shang and H. Zhang : Degree conditions for graphs to be λ_3 -optimal and super- λ_3 . *Discrete Mathematics* 309(10), 3336-3345 (2009)

- [26] D. Wang and M. Lu : Edge fault tolerance of super edge connectivity for three families of interconnection networks. *Information Sciences* 188, 260-268 (2012)
- [27] M. Wang and Q. Li : Conditional edge connectivity properties, reliability comparison and transitivity of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics* 258, 205-214 (2002)
- [28] B. Wang and Z. Zhang : On cyclic edge-connectivity of transitive graphs. *Discrete Mathematics* 309(13), 4555-4563 (2009)
- [29] S. Wang, S. Lin, and C. Li : Sufficient conditions for super k -restricted edge connectivity in graphs of diameter 2. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309(4), 908-919 (2009)
- [30] J. M. Xu : On conditional edge-connectivity of graphs. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica* 16(4), 414V419 (2000)
- [31] J. M. Xu and Q. Liu : 2-restricted edge connectivity of vertex-transitive graphs. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 30, 41-50 (2004)
- [32] J. Xu : Topological structure and analysis of interconnection networks. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated (2010)
- [33] Z. Zhang and J. Yuan : A proof of an inequality concerning k -restricted edge connectivity. *Discrete mathematics* 304(1), 128-134 (2005)
- [34] W. Zhao and J. Ou : On restricted edge-connectivity of lexicographic product graphs. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics* 91(8), 1618-1626 (2014)