Exponential Molecular Amplification by H₂O₂-Mediated Autocatalytic Deprotection of Boronic Ester Probes to Redox Cyclers

Justine Pallu, Charlie Rabin, Geordie Creste, Mathieu Branca, François Mavré, Benoit Limoges

► To cite this version:

Justine Pallu, Charlie Rabin, Geordie Creste, Mathieu Branca, François Mavré, et al.. Exponential Molecular Amplification by H₂O₂-Mediated Autocatalytic Deprotection of Boronic Ester Probes to Redox Cyclers. Chemistry - A European Journal, 2019, 25 (31), pp.7534-7546. 10.1002/chem.201900627 . hal-02390846

HAL Id: hal-02390846

<https://hal.science/hal-02390846>

Submitted on 6 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Exponential Molecular Amplification by H₂O₂-mediated Autocatalytic Deprotection of Boronic Ester Probes to Redox Cyclers

Justine Pallu, Charlie Rabin, Geordie Creste, Mathieu Branca,* François Mavr,* Benot Limoges*^[a]

Abstract: Herein, we describe a new molecular autocatalytic reaction scheme based on a H₂O₂-mediated deprotection of a boronate ester probe into a redox cycling compound, generating an exponential signal gain in the presence of O₂ and a reducing agent or enzyme. For such a purpose, new chemosensing probes built around a naphthoquinone/naphthohydroquinone redox-active core, masked by a self-immolative boronic ester protecting group, were designed. With these probes, typical autocatalytic kinetic traces with characteristic lags and exponential phases were obtained using either a UV-visible or fluorescence optical detection, or also using an electrochemical monitoring. Detection of concentrations as low as 0.5 µM H₂O₂ and 0.5 nM of a naphthoquinone derivative were achieved in a relatively short time (< 1 hr). From kinetic analysis of the two cross-activated catalytic loops associated to the autocatalysis, the key parameters governing the autocatalytic reaction network were determined, indirectly showing that the analytical performances are currently limited by the slow nonspecific self-deprotection of boronate probes. Collectively, the present results demonstrate the potential of this new exponential molecular amplification strategy, which, due to its generic nature and modularity, is quite promising for coupling to a wide range of bioassays involving H₂O₂ or redox cycling compounds, or for being used as a new building block in the development of more complex chemical reaction networks.

Introduction

Molecular and biomolecular amplifications are of upmost importance in the transduction of (bio)chemical events into sensitive output signals, with many implications in areas ranging from cell signaling¹ to in vitro diagnostic.^{2, 3} Among the wide variety of molecular amplification schemes, those based on non-linear signal-gain are undeniably the most powerful in terms of sensitivity and dynamic range. An emblematic example is the exponential molecular amplification of nucleic acids by PCR, a technique that was proven decisive in achieving the ultimate specific quantification of a few target nucleic acid sequences in a few tens of microliters.^{4, 5} Generally speaking, exponential

amplifications originate from autocatalytic reaction schemes in which one of the products catalyzes its own formation,⁶ a process that is facilitated with nucleic acids thanks to the rich panoply of biological reagents (e.g., polymerases, nucleases, ...) available to selectively replicate DNA or RNA,^{4, 5, 7} or even self-replicate RNA through cleverly designed aptazyme.⁸ However, the autocatalytic concept of target replication or self-replication is much challenging to transpose to non-nucleic acid such as proteins or small organic molecules.⁹ This is particularly true for proteins, even though the trypsinogen to trypsin conversion may be considered as a self-replicative process.¹⁰ For small organic molecules, apart from the enzyme-based ATP/ADP self-amplifying substrate cycle,^{11, 12} self-replication has only been recently demonstrated through the emergence of a range of new molecular-based autocatalytic concepts such as the dendritic chain reactions,¹³⁻¹⁹ self-propagating thiolate-disulfide exchanges,^{20, 21} activation of a supramolecular allosteric catalyst,²² or photoinduced amplification of a radical chain reaction.²³ These different strategies have been established for the detection of small analytes such as fluoride^{16, 18, 19} or chloride anions,²² thiols,^{14, 20, 21} or H₂O₂.^{13, 15, 17, 23} Among these small analytes, hydrogen peroxide is of particular interest because it plays an important role in a variety of biological processes or diseases, such as cell signaling, immune response, circadian redox oscillations, Alzheimer diseases, and cancer.^{24, 25} Building new signal amplification systems based on a H₂O₂-triggered autocatalytic reaction is therefore of great interest not only for analytical purposes, but also to better understand some biological processes and to develop new molecular information processing systems for synthetic biology.^{10, 12, 20, 26, 27} Herein, we describe a new molecular-based autocatalytic reaction network (or autocatalytic set²⁸) that leads to the sensitive detection of H₂O₂ through an exponential signal gain engendered by a H₂O₂-mediated autocatalytic deprotection of a chemosensitive probe (pro-RCC) into a redox cycling compound (RCC), as depicted in Scheme 1A. The main originality of this reaction scheme is the coupling between two catalytic loops. A first one leading to the release of a strongly reducing redox cycling compound (RCC_{Red}) from the reaction of H₂O₂ with the pro-RCC probe, which then undergoes autoxidation in the presence of dissolved O₂ to give the oxidized form RCC_{Ox} and H₂O₂, thereby closing a first catalytic loop (*Loop 1*) by further reacting with a new molecule of pro-RCC (Scheme 1C). A second one where RCC_{Red} is regenerated from RCC_{Ox} by the direct action of a reducing chemical agent (S) or indirectly through a reducing enzyme (Enz) able to catalyze the electron transfer between the substrate S and RCC_{Ox} (Scheme 1D). As long as S and O₂ are present in solution, H₂O₂ is uninterruptedly produced by this second catalytic loop (*Loop 2*).

[a] J. Pallu, Dr. C. Rabin, G. Creste, Dr. M. Branca, Dr. F. Mavr, Dr. B. Limoges
Laboratoire d'Electrochimie Molculaire, UMR 7591 CNRS
Universit Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cit
15, rue Jean-Antoine de Baf, F-75205 Paris Cedex 13, France
E-mail: limoges@univ-paris-diderot.fr; francois.mavre@univ-paris-diderot.fr; mathieu.branca@univ-paris-diderot.fr

The supporting information is available online.

Scheme 1. (A) Principle of the H₂O₂-mediated autocatalytic deprotection of pro-RCC to RCC in the presence of O₂ and a reducing substrate S, the reaction with S being possibly catalysed by an enzyme Enz. (B) Boronate-based probes (pro-RCC) used in this work. (C) Principle of the H₂O₂-mediated catalytic deprotection of pro-RCC to RCC. (D) Principle of the redox cycling of RCC.

The whole process (Scheme 1A), depending on the relative rates of the two loops, can then produce an overstoichiometric quantity of H₂O₂ from a single deprotection event, which is equivalent to the following global reaction:

that becomes naturally autocatalytic when $n > 1$.

Alternatively, the proposed autocatalytic reaction network can be viewed as two interconnected catalytic loops that mutually activate (or catalyze) each other, wherein *Loop 1* relies on H₂O₂ as a catalyst and produces RCC, while *Loop 2* relies on RCC as a redox catalyst and produces H₂O₂. The symmetric nature of the reaction scheme therefore implies that this process can be indifferently triggered by any of the cycling partners (*i.e.*, H₂O₂ or RCC). Once started, the reaction is expected to generate with time an exponential accumulation of both H₂O₂ and RCC (as well as P) until pro-RCC and/or S or O₂ are eventually fully consumed. This novel strategy conceptually differs from the dendritic chain reactions previously reported,^{13–18} since it does not require the design of a dendritic probe functionalized by multiple self-immolative protecting groups, the latter of which is often synthetically challenging. In the present work, the use of rationally designed pro-RCCs (Scheme 1B) in an either all-molecular or enzyme-aided format proves the relevance of this conceptually new molecular amplification strategy, as well as its versatility in assays involving either detection of H₂O₂ or screening/detection of molecular compounds with redox cycling abilities.

Results and Discussion

1. Design of the autocatalytic set

To demonstrate the feasibility of our approach, we first had to consider the following criteria in the design of the autocatalytic set: (i) to select an appropriate protection strategy of the RCC

compound in order to obtain a pro-RCC probe capable to rapidly and selectively deprotect in the presence of H₂O₂ and, at the same time, to ensure an efficient masking of the RCC redox activity, (ii) to identify a RCC with good redox cycling abilities (*i.e.*, by choosing a redox-active compound able to reversibly and rapidly cycle in the presence of a reducing agent and dissolved O₂), (iii) to use a reducing chemical agent having a sufficiently strong reducing power towards the electron acceptor RCC_{Ox} and no cross-reactivity with O₂, or, in the case of an enzyme catalyzed reduction, to take advantage of an oxidoreductase able to efficiently and selectively reduce RCC_{Ox} but unable to generate O₂^{•−} or H₂O₂ from O₂, and last (iv) to find reaction conditions that ensure a good chemical stability and favorable reactivity of the assorted partners and intermediates.

Oxidative deprotection of aromatic boronates by H₂O₂ is a well-known biorthogonal reaction to generate phenols,²⁹ which, thanks to its good chemoselectivity and capacity to operate efficiently under mild or near-neutral aqueous media (either directly³⁰ or indirectly through self-immolative spacers^{18, 31, 32}), has been demonstrated as a reaction of choice in the design of a myriad of boronic acid- or boronic ester-based H₂O₂-chemosensing probes.^{30, 33–36}

From there, the next criterion is to select a suitable RCC with effective redox cycling properties. We thus postulated that redox cyclers bearing a quinone center belonging to the family of naphthoquinones (NQs) would be particularly suitable since they were shown to readily redox cycle in the presence of O₂ and a reductant³⁷ or a reductase,^{38, 39} a property at the origin of their wide utilization as potent therapeutic cytotoxic agents.^{40, 41, 42} Indeed, their reduction potential is sufficiently low relative to benzoquinones to normally ensure fast autooxidation by molecular oxygen,^{43, 44, 45} and high enough compared to anthraquinones to undergo efficient chemical or enzymatic reduction.

Having in mind the use of naphthoquinones as RCCs, we looked for chemical and enzyme reducing agents that would efficiently

react with the 1,4-naphthoquinone (1,4-NQ). Ascorbate has been first considered as a chemical reducing agent.^{37, 46-48} Alternatively, the thermostable FMN-dependent diaphorase (DI) from the *Bacillus stearothermophilus* (EC 1.6.99.-) has been envisioned as a reducing enzyme thanks to its ability to efficiently catalyze the reduction of a wide range of NQs by NADH. Interestingly, a rate constant as high as $10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ has been reported for the reduction of menadione by DI at pH 8.5,⁴⁹ emphasizing the high reducing rate of this enzyme towards NQs. A further advantage of DI is its absence of cross-reactivity with O_2 .^{49, 50} As an additional reducing enzyme, we have also considered the pyrroloquinoline quinone-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (PQQ-GDH) because of its high catalytic activity towards glucose oxidation and concomitant reduction of a broad range of quinones,⁵¹ and, as for DI, an absence of reactivity with O_2 .

Finally, a slightly alkaline 0.1 M Tris buffer of pH 8.5 was chosen because the oxidative deprotection of arylboronates by H_2O_2 and autoxidation of RCC_{red} by O_2 are both faster at higher pHs (the nucleophile HO_2^- being the reactant involved in the chemoselective deprotection of arylboronates,^{29, 52} and the doubly deprotonated hydroquinone being the more reactive form for O_2 reduction owing to a higher driving force⁴⁴). Moreover, this buffer and pH value were previously shown optimal for the catalytic reduction of a wide range of electron acceptors by DI in the presence of NADH.^{49, 50} Besides, EDTA was added to the reaction solution to scavenge and prevent any deleterious effects of adventitious traces of heavy metal cations, the latter being known to catalyze the oxidation of NQH₂s by O_2 and to produce reactive oxygen species.^{53, 54}

The first pro-RCC compound we have investigated is the probe **1** (pro-NQH₂) shown in Schemes 1B and 2 (see Experimental Section for chemical synthesis), wherein an aromatic boronate protecting group has been installed, *via* a self-immolating spacer, in place of one of the hydroxyl group of the 1,4-naphthohydroquinone. Oxidative cleavage of the boronic ester group in **1** by H_2O_2 is thus assumed to produce an intermediate that rapidly self-immolates (through a 1,6-elimination) into the desired 1,4-NQH₂, which in the presence of O_2 then leads to 1,4-NQ and H_2O_2 (Scheme 2). Under the selected conditions, probe **1** was found relatively stable in solution (Figure S1), showing, after fast hydrolysis of the pinacol ester (leading to a small drop in absorbance during the first 10 minutes),⁵⁵ no significant absorbance change over at least 2 hrs incubation in the Tris buffer. Moreover and as expected, after adding one equivalent of H_2O_2 , probe **1** is fully converted into 1,4-NQ in less than 2 hrs (Figure S1). More interestingly, substoichiometric ratios of H_2O_2 can fully convert **1** into 1,4-NQ (Figure S2), highlighting the catalytic nature of H_2O_2 in the chemical transformation of compound **1**. This behavior strongly supports the mechanism of *Loop 1* in Scheme 2. These results also show that probe **1** is an *a priori* worthy candidate to explore the proposed concept of exponential molecular amplification.

Separately, we have checked whether the stability of the generated 1,4-NQ in solution is satisfying or not. Figure S1 show that the UV-vis spectra of a freshly prepared Tris buffer solution of 1,4-NQ evolves very slowly with time into a new one (as attested by the isobestic points at $\lambda = 236 \text{ nm}$, 262 nm , 325 nm and 361 nm), a transformation that appears only marginally accelerated in the presence of H_2O_2 .

In order to determine the deprotection rate of **1** by H_2O_2 we have followed the reaction by fluorescence (the boronic probe **1** exhibiting a marked fluorescence at 465 nm in contrast to 1,4-NQH₂ or 1,4-NQ which do not fluoresce – see Figure S3A). The time-course decreases of fluorescence emitted by probe **1** as a function of different concentrations of H_2O_2 are reported in Figure S3B. In agreement to the catalytic nature of *Loop 1* all fluorescence kinetic traces converge to a value close to zero according to a monoexponential decay, indicating again complete transformation of probe **1** into 1,4-NQ, even for substoichiometric ratios of H_2O_2 . Fitting the experimental curves with a single exponential function allowed extracting k_{obs} , which once plotted as a function of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ leads to a linear relationship (Figure S3C) from which the second order deprotection rate constant k_1 could be determined. A value of $k_1 = 3 \pm 1 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was obtained which is close to the deprotection rate constant of $5 \pm 1 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ that can also be recovered from analysis of the initial rates of absorbance increase in Figure S2 (corresponding thus in this case to an indirect evaluation of k_1 from the growth rate of 1,4-NQ). This value is typically within the range of kinetic rate constants previously published for H_2O_2 -mediated deprotection of arylboronic esters at neutral or slightly basic pHs (*i.e.*, rate constants ranging from 0.07 to $4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).⁵⁶⁻⁶⁰

Scheme 2. Full autocatalytic reaction scheme involving probe **1** (the undesired nonspecific self-deprotection of **1** is also included – dashed arrow).

It is important to note that the H_2O_2 -mediated deprotection of **1** is a two-step process wherein the second step of 1,6-elimination, if not fast, can be rate-limiting.³¹ The fact that in Figure S3B the overall curves fit well to a monoexponential decay and also that in Figure S3C there is a perfect linear relationship between k_{obs} and $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$, even for substoichiometric $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]/[\mathbf{1}]$ ratios, strongly supports a liberation rate of the spacer (*i.e.*, k'_1) that is never rate-determining under our conditions. This would also mean that k'_1 is most likely $> 0.001 \text{ s}^{-1}$, a value which agrees with that reported for analogous self-immolative spacers.^{31, 61} The two other assumptions we have implicitly made for the determination of k_1 is that the autoxidation rate of 1,4-NQH₂ by O_2 is fast relative to the other reaction steps in *Loop 1* and it leads to a

stoichiometric production of H_2O_2 , which is reasonable given what has been reported in a previous study of the 1,4-NQH₂ autoxidation at neutral pH.⁴⁵ In order to determine how fast is the autoxidation of 1,4-NQH₂ under our experimental conditions, we performed cyclic voltammetric experiments under redox-mediated catalysis (Figure S4). From analysis of the electrocatalytic current response, an apparent rate constant of $k_2 = 3300 \pm 300 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ was determined. This value confirms that, whatever the experimental conditions, the oxidation rate of 1,4-NQH₂ by O_2 is fast enough to be none rate-limiting in *Loop 1*. Another interesting information we can recover from experiments in Figure S3 is the slow self-deprotection rate of **1** in the absence of H_2O_2 , an undesired process which is assumed to lead to the nonspecific release of 1,4-NQ but also possibly to the less problematic release of the boronic group by protodeboronation.^{62, 63} An apparent first-order rate constant of $4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was deduced.

Finally, taking into account the overall rate constants which characterize the catalytic mechanism of *Loop 1* (i.e., k_1 , k'_1 , k_2 , k_0), we were able from numerical simulations of kinetics to reproduce quite well the experimental UV-Vis kinetic traces characterizing the growth rate of 1,4-NQ from deprotection of probe **1** (Figure S5), a result which finally allows for a rational quantitative prediction of *Loop 1*. From an analytical point of view, probe **1** can be directly used to reveal the presence of the catalyst/trigger of *Loop 1*, i.e. H_2O_2 . To assess the ability of probe **1** to sensitively detect H_2O_2 under the catalytic conditions of *Loop 1*, the calibration curve of H_2O_2 was plotted by reporting the absorbance in Figure S2 for 1 hour reaction as a function of H_2O_2 concentration. From this calibration plot, an H_2O_2 detection limit of 1.5 μM can be estimated.

2. Triggering the autocatalytic reaction with H_2O_2

Switching from a catalytic (*Loop 1*) to an autocatalytic (*Loop 1* $\times$ *Loop 2*) reaction scheme requires only the addition of a reducing agent in solution. As explained in the introduction, the reduction of 1,4-NQ can be achieved either chemically (using ascorbate) or enzymatically (using diaphorase/NADH or PQQ-GDH/glucose as enzyme/substrate couples), two different strategies we have investigated.

2.1. Autocatalytic set with ascorbate as reducing agent

Ascorbate (Asc) was first tested as a chemical reducing agent of 1,4-NQ. The reaction between **1** (50 μM) and different concentrations of H_2O_2 (ranging from 0 to 150 μM) has thus been characterized in the presence of an excess ascorbate (0.5 mM). The kinetic measurements were achieved with a kinetic microplate reader for high throughput screening, wherein the reaction solutions (200 μL working volume) distributed in the microplate were left open to the air during the whole process. Figure 1A shows a typical set of kinetics traces, the sigmoidal shapes of which are experimental evidences for an autocatalytic behavior.⁶ The autocatalytic nature of these kinetics is also supported by the linearity (over more than one decade) found between the threshold time t_c (defined here as the time required to reach 50% of amplitude signal change) and the H_2O_2 concentration in a semi-logarithmic scale (Figure 1D). In the absence of H_2O_2 , the prevalence of a nonspecifically triggered autocatalytic reaction severely restricts the detection limit of

H_2O_2 to the micromolar range (at lower concentrations all t_c values converge to a same limit of $\sim 31 \text{ min}$ – see Figure 1D). Understanding the origin of this nonspecifically triggered response is therefore of crucial importance to improve the analytical performances of the method, an issue that is reminiscent of exponential molecular amplifications.⁶⁴ It is likely that, in our case, the nonspecific response predominantly arises from the moderate stability of **1** that slowly self-deprotects in aqueous solution to cause the undesired leakage of 1,4-NQH₂ ($k_0 \sim 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Another plausible contribution is the slow cross reactivity between O_2 and Asc that can lead to the release of $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ and so indirectly to the slow production of H_2O_2 by either dismutation or cross-reactions with reducing species.⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷

With the aim to rationally predict the sigmoidal kinetic traces obtained in Figure 1A and to better highlight the validity of the autocatalytic reaction mechanism postulated in Scheme 2, we completed our analysis of rate constants by an independent kinetics characterization of *Loop 2*. The time-course of this redox cycling reaction was monitored spectrophotometrically through the absorbance decrease of Asc at 262 nm as a function of different 1,4-NQ and Asc concentrations in an air-saturated Tris buffer (pH 8.5). From analysis of the experimental kinetic traces, a k_3 rate constant value of $170 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was estimated (see Supporting Information for details). Compared to the apparent autoxidation rate constant k_2 of $3300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ independently determined by cyclic voltammetry, the magnitude of k_3 here definitely establishes that the redox cycling process is under kinetic control of the reduction of 1,4-NQ by Asc.

An additional reaction that was necessary to evaluate as a control but also for its potential influence on the nonspecific response of the system is the autoxidation of ascorbate in an air-saturated buffer. Monitoring the UV-vis absorbance change of ascorbate solutions in Tris buffer (pH 8.5) allowed us to demonstrate a slow zeroth order kinetics autoxidation of Asc (Figure S8), leading to an apparent first order autoxidation rate of $2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (a value which is in line to those previously published^{65, 67}). Assuming that the O_2 concentration remains constant (i.e., 0.25 mM at 1 atm and 25°C), a second order rate constant of $k'_0 = 0.08 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ could thus be estimated. This slow autoxidation of ascorbate does not mean it necessarily leads to a significant production of H_2O_2 and so to a large contribution to the nonspecific triggering of the autocatalytic process. In order to evaluate the degree to which this autoxidation may affect or not the nonspecific response, Asc solutions (0.5 mM in Tris buffer) were allowed to age between 15 min and 3 hrs before being tested with probe **1** in the presence or absence of one equivalent H_2O_2 . The results we obtained (not shown) demonstrate that, regardless of the ageing time, the autocatalytic responses are identical to those recovered from a freshly prepared Asc solution, thus definitively eliminating the ascorbate autoxidation as a main source of nonspecific response.

Knowing the absolute values of all of the rate constants involved in *Loop 1* and *Loop 2*, it was then interesting to numerically simulate the autocatalytic kinetics traces resulting from the combination of these two cross-activated catalytic loops (see Supporting Information for details). Remarkably, a quite good correspondence between the simulated and experimental kinetic traces was obtained using the following set of parameters:

Figure 1. (A, B, C) UV-vis kinetic traces monitored at (A, C) 262 nm or (B) 340 nm in a 96-wells microtiter plate during the reaction generated from the mixing of different concentrations of H_2O_2 (from left to right: 150, 50, 15, 5, 1.5, 0.5, 0.15, and 0 μM) to solutions containing 50 μM **1** and either (A) 0.5 mM Asc, (B) 10 nM DI and 250 μM NADH, or (C) 10 nM PQQ-GDH and 1 mM glucose. All experiments were conducted in an air-saturated 0.1 M Tris buffer (pH 8.5) containing 10 μM EDTA. (D) Semi-logarithmic calibration curves obtained from the threshold times (t_c) recovered from the crossing of the horizontal dashed line in A, B and C to the kinetic traces (t_c is defined here as the time for which the absorbance is decrease approximately half to the maximal absorbance change amplitude). Errors bars are standard deviations from triplicates.

$k_1 = 6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k'_1 = 0.001 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_2 = 3300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_0 = 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_3 = 170 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k'_0 = 0.08 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 2), a result which finally corroborates the proposed mechanism and confirms a nonspecific response mainly governed by the slow spontaneous self-deprotection of probe **1** to NQH_2 .

2.2. Autocatalytic set with enzymatic reduction

We next investigated the reaction of **1** (50 μM) with H_2O_2 in the presence of 10 nM DI and 250 μM NADH. The consumption of NADH was easily followed at 340 nm (Figure 1B). Again, sigmoidal kinetic traces are observed, showing well-defined lags and exponential phases. A good linearity is found between t_c and $\log([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_0)$ over two decades from 1 to 100 μM (Figure 1D). Compared to ascorbate, the detection of H_2O_2 was improved up to the submicromolar range (a LOD of 0.5 μM H_2O_2 can be estimated). Improvement of the detection limit mainly results from a nonspecific blank response delayed to longer times. More surprising is the somewhat lower analytical sensitivity compared with ascorbate (the linear part of the calibration curve in Figure 1D is indeed steeper for NADH/diaphorase than for Asc, demonstrating thus a slower response time for a given $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_0$ concentration). This lower sensitivity is unexpected considering the higher reducing efficiency of the NADH/DI couple compared to ascorbate. As we will see later on, such an effect can be explained by an inhibition of the autoxidation of 1,4-NQH₂ by DI, leading thus to a slower

production rate of H_2O_2 by redox cycling. For this system, besides the spontaneous self-deprotection of **1**, the non-specific response may also originate from residual traces of NADH oxidase activity in DI (the DI supplier report an activity < 0.01 wt. %), or from NADH autoxidation even if the latter is very slow compared to Asc (which is attested by the excellent stability of a NADH solution in an air-saturated Tris buffer – Figure S8).⁶⁸

Figure 2. (Left) Same experimental absorbance kinetic traces than those reported in Figure 1A but after normalization to the initial absorbance. The H_2O_2 concentrations are (from left to right): 150, 50, 15, 5, 1.5, 0.5, 0.15 and 0 μM . (Right) Theoretical normalized kinetic traces obtained from numerical simulation of the autocatalytic mechanism proposed in Scheme 2 and using the following set of rate constants: $k_1 = 6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k'_1 = 0.001 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_2 = 3300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_0 = 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_3 = 170 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k'_0 = 0.08 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see Supporting Information for details). The color code is the same than on the left graph.

In an attempt to disentangle these different possible contributions, we have investigated the effect of a pre-incubation period of **1** in the reaction buffer onto the nonspecific blank response generated under autocatalysis conditions. It is clear from the results in Figure S9 that a prolonged pre-incubation of **1** in the Tris buffer drastically shortens the lag phase associated to the nonspecific response, suggesting again a major contribution of the pro-RCC instability in this undesired process.

As suggested earlier, PQQ-GDH is also a good candidate to play the role of a reducing enzyme. However, glucose has the inconvenient to be UV-vis transparent contrary to ascorbate and NADH. It led us to monitor the reaction progress either through the increase of the UV-vis absorbance at 262 nm, a wavelength selective to the oxidized 1,4-NQ, or the decrease of the fluorescence of **1** at 465 nm. Results in UV-vis are gathered in Figure 1C and those for fluorescence are reported in Figure S10. We may observe an exponential increase in absorbance change that is synchronized to the exponential decrease in fluorescent signal, witnessing an autocatalytic process wherein 1,4-NQ exponentially accumulates concomitantly with the consumption of pro-RCC. One may also observe that the analytical sensitivity with this alternative reducing enzyme is not significantly different from that of ascorbate (the linear part of the H_2O_2 calibration curves are almost overlaid in Figure 1D). Moreover, the dynamic range of the calibration curve is narrower than DI (less than two decades, ranging from ca. 5 to 100 μM), mainly restricted by the prevalence of a strong nonspecific response which reduces the LOD to $\sim 1 \mu\text{M}$ H_2O_2 .

Improving the different rates of the autocatalytic set (e.g. by improving the rate constants of each of the two catalytic loops) is a way to improve the analytical performances of the system. On that regard, increasing the pH is supposed to have a positive role on both loops (simultaneously favoring the reactivity between the probe and H_2O_2 as well as the auto-oxidation of RCC_{Red}). We thus performed the reaction at a slightly higher pH of 9.0 and as expected it had a positive impact on the kinetics of the reaction (as exemplified with probe **1** and PQQ-GDH in Figure S10, which shows a shift of t_c towards shorter response times). However no better performances could be reached, meaning that slightly higher pH also concomitantly affects the chemical stability of probe **1** and so the nonspecific response. Another possibility to tune the autocatalytic reaction kinetics is to adjust the enzyme concentration as this potentially alters the kinetics of Loop 2. In principle, lowering the concentration of the enzyme would decrease the regeneration rate of RCC_{Red} and therefore would impact the required overstoichiometric production of H_2O_2 to reach an autocatalytic regime. Figures S11 and S12 clearly illustrate this effect on the non-specific autocatalytic response involving either DI or PQQ-GDH (enzyme concentrations were varied from 30 nM to 30 pM). Indeed, at concentration lower than 1 nM DI or 3 nM PQQ-GDH, the lag phase tends to disappear and the abrupt exponential absorbance decrease is progressively converted to a linear decrease (which is typical of a redox cycling process rate-controlled by a zeroth order autooxidation kinetics), meaning that the reaction enters a nearly linear kinetic regime for which the value of n in eq. 1 is probably only slightly greater than 1. Besides, for concentrations above 1 nM DI or 3 nM PQQ-GDH, the higher the concentration, the steepest is the kinetic curve during its exponential phase. What is somehow surprising for these higher concentrations is the influence of the enzyme concentration on the duration of the lag phase, especially for DI. Counter-intuitively, the longer lag phase is observed for the

highest enzyme concentration of 30 nM. This behavior witnesses an inhibitory effect of the enzyme at the early stage of the amplification, an inhibition that most likely slows down the autooxidation rate of 1,4-NQH₂ by O_2 . This inhibitory effect, particularly pronounced for DI, may explain the unexpectedly lower sensitivity of the H_2O_2 calibration curve in Figure 1D when using NADH/DI rather than ascorbate as a reducing agent. Further investigations are required to clarify this effect.

Independently of the optical detection methods, the autocatalytic process was also investigated using electrochemistry, taking advantage of the electroactivity of the released naphthoquinone. Indeed, the redox activity of 1,4-NQ can be recovered from the magnitude of the catalytic current response in cyclic voltammetry associated to the redox-mediated reduction of O_2 (see Figure S4 and Figure 3A below). To avoid the interference of H_2O_2 that is electrocatalytically generated during a cyclic voltammetric scan, the electrochemical measurement was performed outside of the reaction vessel by periodically sampling a few tens of microliters of the reaction mixture (using a miniaturized screen-printed electrochemical cell). The resulting kinetic plots obtained for a sample of 15 μM H_2O_2 and a negative control with no H_2O_2 are reported in Figure 3B. As expected, the data show an exponential growth of the electrochemical response, which arises at an earlier t_c value for the sample than for the negative control. This result is in good correlation with that obtained using an UV-vis detection mode (Figure 1B).

Figure 3. (A) Cyclic voltammetric responses of a solution mix containing 15 μM H_2O_2 , 50 μM **1**, 10 nM DI and 250 μM NADH, recorded at different reaction times (the time is reported on the graph). Scan rate: 50 $\text{mV}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. (B) Autocatalytic kinetic traces obtained by reporting the sampled catalytic current responses in cyclic voltammetry as a function of the reaction time for a starting H_2O_2 concentration of (blue dots) 15 μM and (red stars) 0 μM .

3. Triggering the reaction with RCC through redox cycling-based H_2O_2 production

A versatile function of this cross-catalytic reaction network lies in its capacity to be triggered not only by H_2O_2 , but also by any RCC as illustrated by the reaction network depicted in Scheme 3 (the pro-RCC probe is here represented by the pro-NQH₂ probe **1**). This might be viewed as a drawback since any traces of RCC contaminating the pro-RCC probe would lead to an autocatalytic transformation of pro-RCC. However we can turn this as an advantage for the detection of RCC traces or more generally of any redox cycling compound that is a potent trigger of the system by producing H_2O_2 .

3.1. Screening the triggering capacity of different RCC

By definition, any RCC in the presence of O_2 and a well-chosen reducing agent can redox cycle and lead to the production of hydrogen peroxide. In the presence of our pro-NQH₂, H_2O_2

produced can further react and trigger the above described autocatalytic process. This triggering capacity is also a mean to assess the ability of a RCC to efficiently produce H_2O_2 by redox cycling. It was thus investigated for a series of naphthoquinones (0.2 μM of each NQ was added to a solution containing substrate **1**, DI and NADH). Note in that case the nature of the NQ trigger can be different from the 1,4-NQ released by deprotection of compound **1**, and so the role of the NQ trigger is only to start the reaction, while this is the 1,4-NQ that exponentially accumulates over the long term.

Scheme 3. Principle for the determination of the RCC triggering capacity using **1** as a pro-NQH₂ probe.

As shown in Figure 4 (top), the different NQs induced an autocatalytic response that is faster than the blank recorded in the absence of NQ, evidencing their triggering capacity. The time response is also observed to vary significantly as a function of the nature of RCC. The 2-amino-3-chloro- and 2,3-dichloro-naphthoquinones provoked the fastest responses ($t_c \sim 12$ -13 min), suggesting that they are more amenable to redox-cycle rapidly and so to produce more H_2O_2 in a shorter time. For these two molecules, redox cycling is so efficient that, during the lag phase, NADH is well discernably linearly consumed (during the first 5-7 minutes of the reaction) before the autocatalytic process becomes kinetically significant and takes over. NQs can be ranked according to their ability to redox-cycle more or less rapidly, which under our experimental conditions (here in the presence of DI) leads to the following ranking (from faster to slower): 2-amino-3-chloro-1,4-NQ $\approx$ 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ > 2-methoxy-1,4-NQ > 1,4-NQ $\approx$ 2-hydroxy-1,4-NQ > 1,2-NQ > menadione. These results highlight the versatility and potentiality of our approach since it can be used as a time-readout-based method for the screening of redox cycling properties of any compound.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ In order to see if there is a linear free-energy relationship between the triggering properties of NQs and their reduction potential, the t_c value of each NQ has been reported on the bottom graph of Figure 4 as a function of the apparent midpoint potential of NQs ($E_{1/2}$, independently determined by cyclic voltammetry at pH 8.5 in the absence of O_2). If we assumed that, in the presence of NADH/DI, the autoxidation of NQ by O_2 is the rate-limiting step of Loop 2, one should thus expect that the lower the $E_{1/2}$ of the NQ/NQH₂ couple (or more rigorously the lower is the reducing potential of the NQ^{•-}/NQH₂

Figure 4. (Top) UV-vis kinetic traces recorded at 340 nm (monitoring of NADH consumption) in a 96-wells microtiter plate. Experiments were performed in an air-saturated 0.1 M Tris buffer (pH 8.5) containing 10 μM EDTA, 50 μM **1**, 10 nM DI, 250 μM NADH, and 0.2 μM of (orange) 1,4-NQ, (olive) 2-amino-3-chloro-1,4-NQ, (red) 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ, (blue) 1,2-NQ, (magenta) 2-methoxy-1,4-NQ, (purple) 2-hydroxy-1,4-NQ, (cyan) menadione, and (black) no quinone. (Bottom) Threshold time (normalized to the nonspecific one obtained from the blank) vs. apparent midpoint potential of NQs.

couple relative to the reducing potential of $\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2^{\bullet -}$ ⁴³, the faster the autoxidation reaction with O_2 to produce H_2O_2 . The graph however shows that there is no obvious trend from the plot, showing for instance with the 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ an impressively fast response while its reducing potential is among the highest of NQs. This behavior reflects a more complex reality of the reduced naphthoquinones reactivity towards molecular oxygen⁴³ and also probably towards diaphorase. More important is the fact that 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ is the best hit from this screening experiment, allowing us to further consider it for the design of an improved pro-RCC probe (*vide infra*).

3.2. Direct detection of RCC

In order to evaluate the lowest concentration of RCC leading to a distinct autocatalytic kinetic trace from the nonspecific one, 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ was tested as a trigger over a range of concentrations from 0.5 nM to 1.5 μM in the presence of **1**, DI and NADH. As shown in Figure 5, the lower the concentration of 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ, the longer is the time required to observe the autocatalytic response. The t_c values for concentrations below 50 nM follow an approximately linear relationship with the log scale of the initial RCC_{ox} concentration (bottom graph in Figure 5), and then tends to deviate from linearity at higher concentrations before reaching a kinetic regime no longer controlled by autocatalysis but simply by the catalytic Loop 1.

Figure 5. (Top) UV-vis kinetic traces recorded at 340 nm (monitoring of NADH consumption) in a 96-wells microtiter plate. All experiments were conducted in an air-saturated 0.1 M Tris buffer (pH 8.5) containing 10 μ M EDTA, 50 μ M **1**, 10 nM DI, 250 μ M NADH and the following decreasing concentrations of 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ (from left to right): 1500, 500, 150, 50, 15, 5, 1.5, and 0 nM. (Bottom) Semi-logarithmic calibration plot obtained by reporting the threshold time recovered from the crossing horizontal dashed line in A as a function of 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ concentration. Errors bars correspond to standard deviations from triplicates.

This loss of linearity suggests again some mechanistic complications which probably arise from competition reactions between the different radical intermediates generated by the system. Overall, subnanomolar concentrations of 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ are easily detected with this method, a performance that could not be reached through an only redox cycling molecular amplification. Any event that could produce low amounts of this RCC would therefore potentially be sensitively detected through this approach.¹⁵ This performance also advocates the use of this compound in the design of an improved ester boronic substrate.

3.3. Design of a new pro-RCC

Improving the different rate constants of the autocatalytic set could help to improve the response of the system either by shortening the assay time required for the detection of the targeted H_2O_2 or RCC molecules, or by improving the discrimination between the specific and nonspecific autocatalytic responses. For such purpose, we have examined the possibility to tune the reaction rates of the redox cycle (*Loop 2*) by selecting a better redox cyclers to design the probe. In particular, we postulated that it would be advantageous to substitute the masked 1,4-NQ in probe **1** by a 2,3-dichloro-1,4-NQ. We therefore synthesized probe **2** (Scheme 1B, see Experimental Section for chemical synthesis). In the absence of H_2O_2 , **2** undergoes a first rapid hydrolysis of its pinacol ester followed by a slow and relatively negligible nonspecific self-deprotection

over several hours (Figure S13, A and E), which is very analogous to that obtained with probe **1**. This pro-RCC also readily reacts in the presence of one equivalent H_2O_2 (Figure S13, C and E) at a deprotection rate that is similar to probe **1**. The substituted naphthoquinone also evolves slowly in the buffer either in the presence or absence of one equivalent H_2O_2 (Figure S13, B, D and F), but the time scale over which these transformations occur remains slow. Reaction of this new probe with H_2O_2 in the presence of NADH/DI led to the autocatalytic kinetic curves shown in Figure 6. As expected, complete NADH consumption occurs in a much shorter time than probe **1**, indicating an effectively accelerated autocatalytic reaction that results in an improved analytical sensitivity. Corollary, this faster kinetics is also associated with a non-specific autocatalytic response that arises earlier than previously. Consequently, even though the response time is ~ 4 -fold shortened with probe **2**, only concentrations over the micromolar range are clearly discernible from the blank response, which in terms of limit of detection is finally worse than with probe **1**. These results show that accelerating the kinetics of *Loop 2* is well adapted to shorten the autocatalytic responses but unfortunately is not necessarily appropriate to improve the detection limit. Such behavior can be easily rationalized by the fact that the non-specific response resulting from the leakage of 1,4-NQ in *Loop 1* (i.e., related the

Figure 6. (Top) UV-vis kinetic traces recorded at 340 nm (monitoring of NADH consumption) in a 96-wells microtiter plate. All experiments were conducted in an air-saturated 0.1 M Tris buffer (pH 8.5) containing 10 μ M EDTA, 50 μ M **2**, 10 nM DI, 250 μ M NADH and the following H_2O_2 concentrations (from left to right): (black) 150, (red) 50, (olive) 15, (magenta) 5, (purple) 1.5, (royal) 0.5, (blue) 0.15, and (magenta) 0 μ M. (Bottom) Semi-logarithmic H_2O_2 calibration curve obtained by reporting (red dots) the threshold time recovered from the crossing of the horizontal dashed line in A as a function of H_2O_2 concentration. Errors bars correspond to standard deviations from triplicates. For comparison, the data obtained with probe **1** (blue squares) under the same experimental conditions are added (same data than in Figure 1D).

instability of the self-immolative protecting group installed on the pro-RCC) is amplified as effectively as the 1,4-NQ specifically released through reaction with H₂O₂. This also demonstrates that such an issue cannot be solved by simply changing the nature of the naphthyl core. We may therefore conclude that improvement of the system will compulsorily necessitate the design of alternative caging strategies, much stable chemically and less prone to self-deprotection.

Conclusions

In summary, the present work demonstrates the feasibility of building a simple molecular autocatalytic reaction network based on two cross-activated catalytic loops, necessitating only a H₂O₂-sensitive probe and a reducing agent in a mild aqueous media. The relevance of such autocatalytic reaction scheme is exemplified with the triggering of the autocatalytic process by H₂O₂, leading to the simple and sensitive detection of submicromolar H₂O₂ concentrations. A very simple all-molecular format is proposed by taking advantage of ascorbate as a reducing agent and UV-vis absorbance as a simple read-out. Alternatively, enzymes such as DI or PQQ-GDH may equally participate in the reduction process of the redox cycling loop. So far, the best results are obtained with DI, leading to a 0.5 μ M H₂O₂ detection limit and a linear range over nearly two decades. An interesting feature of the system is the possibility to easily switch from a catalytic (*Loop 1*) to an autocatalytic (*Loop 1* $\times$ *Loop 2*) reaction scheme by simply adding a reducing agent in solution. Comparison of the two systems (Figure S2 vs. Figure 1d) highlights the relevance of the autocatalytic regime in terms of detection limit, linear range and reproducibility (time vs. absorbance). One must note that this strategy is conceptually different from the previously reported autocatalytic dendritic self-immolative chain reactions, which all require the challenging design of a chemosensing probe capable to release by self-immolation at least two equivalents of the trigger (directly or indirectly).¹³⁻¹⁷ Here, no such dendritic chemosensing probe is necessary because once deprotected by one equivalent of the trigger (here H₂O₂), the probe leads to the release of a redox-active catalyst which then induces an over production of the trigger by redox cycling. The analytical performances of this new exponential molecular amplification is also significantly improved compared to the dendritic chain reaction,¹³ leading to a 10-fold lower detection limit of H₂O₂ (*i.e.*, 0.5 μ M H₂O₂) in the presence of the diaphorase/NADH reducing species.

Our reported simple autocatalytic reaction network also presents great versatility. It can be used to efficiently reveal the redox cycling ability of any compound, necessitating only a RCC-releasing probe such as **1** and a reducing agent. Rapid and sensitive detection of nanomolar concentration of a RCC in a mildly basic aqueous media is also demonstrated. The nonspecific self-deprotection of the pro-RCC triggering molecules currently limits the analytical performances of the system. The design of more robust pro-RCC molecules is therefore needed to reach improved analytical performances. We believe that the present approach is particularly significant for analytical purposes but also for cell imaging or drug delivery applications, notably by taking advantage of probes or pro-drugs able to deliver *in vivo* the reporting/active molecule in a selective and autocatalytic manner. It could be also useful as a building block in the development of more complex chemical reaction networks such as those giving rise to complex spatiotemporal

responses, including oscillations and pattern formation. **Erreur ! Signet non défini.**

Experimental Section

1. Material and methods

NADH was purchased from TCI. Trizma[®] base (minimum 99.9% titration), EDTA (99.999%), DMSO (anhydrous $\geq$ 99.9%) and PQQ were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Hydrogen peroxide for analysis and D-Glucose were acquired from Acros Organics, and hydrochloric acid (37 wt.%) was purchased from VWR. Lyophilized diaphorase I from *Bacillus stearothermophilus* (DI, EC 1.6.99.-) was obtained from Nipro (Japan). Before use, the mother solution of DI was centrifuged (11000 $\times$ g, 30 min, 4°C) over a Nanosep membrane of 10 kDa molecular weight cut-off (Pall Corporation) pre-equilibrated with PBS Buffer. The apoenzyme form of PQQ-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (PQQ-GDH) was produced by controlled expression in an *E. Coli* strain by N. Mano's group (University of Bordeaux, France) and reconstituted in its holo form following a published procedure.⁵¹ Glucose Oxidase from *Aspergillus Niger* was purchased from sigma.

Standard spectrophotometric characterizations were carried out with a UV/Vis spectrophotometer Specord S600 from Analytical Jena (Jena, Germany) and a Cary Elipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer from Agilent technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). A quartz cuvette with 1-cm optical path-length was used for standard experiments. For the high throughput kinetic experiments, a Spark[®] multimode microplate reader from Tecan Trading AG (Männedorf, Switzerland) was used. In the case of fluorescence kinetics, the microwells were excited at 340 nm with a bandwidth of 20 nm (Tecan fluorescence filter ref 30113156) and the emission of fluorescence was recorded at 465 nm with a bandwidth of 35 nm (Tecan fluorescence filter ref 30113196). Standard Nunc 96-well UV transparent, clear flat-bottom microplates (Thermo Fisher) were used for the absorbance measurements, while Tecan's 96-well-black flat bottom microplates were used for the fluorescence experiments. The reactions were initiated by injecting 100 μ L solutions of different H₂O₂ concentrations into 100 μ L solutions containing the probe and any of the other desired reactants. The final working solution volume in each microwell was 200 μ L. During the whole reaction, the microplates were left open to the air.

The electrochemical experiments were carried out with a computer-controlled AutoLab PGSTAT 12 potentiostat interfaced to a GPES 4.9 software (EcoChemie B.V. Utrecht, The Netherlands). A standard three-electrode electrochemical cell was used to characterize by cyclic voltammetry the catalytic reduction of O₂ by 1,4-NQ, while miniaturized three-electrode-based screen-printed electrochemical cells, similar to the ones previously used by our group,⁵⁹ were used for monitoring the release of the 1,4-NQ during the course of an autocatalytic reaction.

The mother solutions of probes (50 mM) were prepared in DMSO and stored in the fridge no more than 2-3 days. The ascorbate and NADH solutions were prepared from solid powder just before used, while the H₂O₂ solutions at different concentrations were prepared daily for each experiment. Unless otherwise stated, a 0.1 M Tris buffer (prepared from Trizma[®] base and HCl) of pH 8.5 containing 10 μ M EDTA was systematically used. For numerical simulations of kinetics, we used the COPASI software (version 4.16).⁷²

2. Chemical synthesis

All chemicals for synthesis were purchased either from Sigma-Aldrich, Fischer Scientific or TCI Europe and used without further purification unless specified. Unless otherwise stated, reactions were conducted in air. Flash chromatography was performed on Kieselgel 60 (35-70 μ m) silica gel. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 400 MHz and were measured using CDCl₃, CD₃OD, CD₃CN, Acetone-d₆,

DMSO- d_6 or DMF- d_7 as solvent. Multiplicities are reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, sept = septuplet, m = multiplet, bs = broad singlet, bd = broad doublet. Chemical shifts are reported in δ units to 0.01 ppm precision for ^1H and 0.1 ppm for ^{13}C using residual solvent as an internal reference. Mass spectra were measured on a MAT95S Finnigan-Thermo spectrometer at the Institut de Chimie Moléculaire et des Matériaux, Université Paris-Sud.

Probe 1 was synthesized according to the following reaction scheme:

1,4-diacetoxynaphthalene 3: In a dry Schlenk and under an argon atmosphere, a mixture of 1,4-naphthoquinone (1 g, 6.3 mmol), acetic anhydride (1.6 mL, 17.1 mmol), pyridine (1.6 mL) and zinc dust (4.6 g, 70 mmol) in degassed chloroform (24 mL) was heated at 70°C for 15 min. The mixture was then cooled, filtered on celite, and the filtrate was washed twice with a 0.5 N HCl solution, twice with water and once with brine. The organic solution was then dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and the solvent evaporated yielding **3** as a white powder (1.09 g, 85%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 7.86 (dd, J = 3.3 and 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (dd, J = 3.3 and 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 6H).

1-acetoxy-4-hydroxynaphthalene 4: This compound was synthesized starting from **3** following a described procedure,⁷³ yielding **4** as brown oil which was directly used in the next step without further purification. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 8.09 (dd, J = 1.5 and 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dd, J = 1.5 and 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (ddd, J = 1.5, 6.8 and 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (ddd, J = 1.5, 6.8 and 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (s, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H).

1-acetoxy-4-(4-bromobenzyl)oxynaphthalene 5: To a solution of **4** (819 mg, 4.1 mmol) in DMF (11 mL) and under an argon atmosphere were added K_2CO_3 (1.01 g, 6.1 mmol) and *para*-bromobenzyl bromide (840 mg, 4.1 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC, the reaction medium was quenched with water (41 mL) and the resulting solution was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic phases were gathered, washed twice with water and once with brine. The organic solution was then dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and the solvent evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 10:1) yielding **5** as a white powder (541 mg, 36%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 8.32 (dd, J = 1.5 and 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dd, J = 1.5 and 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.58-7.49(m, 4H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 170.0 (C=O), 152.4 (C_{q} , Ar.), 140.5 (C_{q} , Ar.), 136.1 (C_{q} , Ar.), 131.9 (C_{H} , Ar.), 129.2 (C_{H} , Ar.), 127.7 (C_{q} , Ar.), 127.2 (C_{H} , Ar.), 126.5 (C_{q} , Ar.), 126.1 (C_{H} , Ar.), 122.7 (C_{H} , Ar.), 122.1 (C_{q} , Ar.), 121.1 (C_{H} , Ar.), 117.8 (C_{H} , Ar.), 104.5 (C_{H} , Ar.), 69.8 (CH_2), 21.1 (CH_3).

1-(4-bromobenzyl)-oxy-4-hydroxynaphthalene 6: To a solution of **5** (541 mg, 1.46 mmol) in ethanol (8.3 mL) at 0°C was slowly added 7.5 mL of a 10% wt. NaOH solution. The reaction medium was stirred for 3 h at 0°C then 15 mL of water were added and the solution. The product was then extracted three times with chloroform and the organic phases were gathered, dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and then concentrated. The crude product was next purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 10:1) to yield **6** as a white product (262 mg, 55%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 8.29-8.24 (m, 1H), 8.15-8.10 (m, 1H), 7.56-7.48(m, 4H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 5.03 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 148.8 (C_{q} , Ar.), 145.7 (C_{q} , Ar.), 136.6 (C_{q} , Ar.), 131.9 (C_{H} , Ar.), 129.2 (C_{H} , Ar.), 126.8 (C_{q} , Ar.),

126.2 (C_{H} , Ar.), 126.2 (C_{H} , Ar.), 125.6 (C_{q} , Ar.), 122.3 (C_{H} , Ar.), 122.0 (C_{q} , Ar.), 121.7 (C_{H} , Ar.), 108.0 (C_{H} , Ar.), 105.5 (C_{H} , Ar.), 70.1 (CH_2).

1-(4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane)benzyl)oxy-4-hydroxynaphthalene 1:

In a dry microwave glass tube and under an argon atmosphere were dissolved the compound **6** (100 mg, 0.30 mmol), dry potassium acetate (89 mg, 0.91 mmol) and bis(pinacolato)diboron (116 mg, 0.46 mmol) in 4 mL of anhydrous DMF. Argon was bubbled in the reaction medium for 20 min then bis(acetonitrile)palladium dichloride (11 mg, 0.04 mmol) and 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (24 mg, 0.04 mmol) were added and the bubbling was continued for 10 min. The reaction medium was then heated in a microwave at 120°C for 20 min and was then diluted in ethyl acetate, filtered on celite and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was directly purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate: 95/5) yielding **1** as a white powder (89 mg, 78%). Samples of higher purity were obtained by recrystallization of purified **1** by diffusion of pentane in diethyl ether. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 8.31-8.26 (m, 1H), 8.13-8.08 (m, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.54-7.46(m, 4H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 1.34 (s, 12H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 148.9 (C_{q} , Ar.), 145.7 (C_{q} , Ar.), 140.8 (C_{q} , Ar.), 135.2 (C_{H} , Ar.), 126.8 (C_{q} , Ar.), 126.7 (C_{H} , Ar.), 126.0 (C_{H} , Ar.), 125.6 (C_{q} , Ar.), 122.4 (C_{H} , Ar.), 121.7 (C_{H} , Ar.), 108.0 (C_{H} , Ar.), 105.5 (C_{H} , Ar.), 84.1 (C_{q}), 70.7 (CH_2), 25.1 (CH_3). HRMS: m/z Calculated for $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{25}\text{BNaO}_4^+$: 399.1742 Found: 399.1730.

Probe 2 was synthesized according to the following reaction scheme:

1-acetoxy-2,3-dichloro-4-hydroxynaphthalene 7: In a dry schlenk and under an argon atmosphere, a mixture of 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone (4g, 17.6 mmol), acetic anhydride (2 mL, 21.1 mmol), pyridine (4.3 mL, 52.8 mmol) and zinc dust (11.5 g, 176 mmol) in degassed chloroform (95 mL) was heated at 70°C for 24 h. The mixture was then cooled, filtered on celite, and the filtrate was washed twice with a 0.5 N HCl solution, twice with water and once with brine. The organic solution was then dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and the solvent evaporated. The crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane 100% to cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 4:1) yielding **7** as a brown-red powder (3.6 g, 75%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 8.15 (ddd, J = 0.8, 1.6 and 8.3 Hz, 1H), δ = 7.74 (ddd, J = 0.8, 1.5 and 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (ddd, J = 1.5, 6.7 and 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (ddd, J = 1.5, 6.7 and 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 2.53 (s, 3H).⁷⁴

1-acetoxy-2,3-dichloro-4-(4-bromobenzyl)oxynaphthalene 8: To a solution of **7** (1.11 g, 4.1 mmol) in DMF (11mL) and under an argon atmosphere were added K_2CO_3 (1.7 g, 12.3 mmol) and *para*-bromobenzyl bromide (1.5 g, 6.0 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC, the reaction medium was quenched with water (41 mL) and the resulting solution was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic phases were gathered, washed twice with water and once with brine. The organic solution was then dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and the solvent evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane 100% to cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 4:1) yielding **8** as a white powder (1.24 g, 82%). Higher purity was reached after recrystallization in hexane (846 mg, 68%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 8.05-8.01 (m, 1H), δ = 7.79-7.75 (m, 1H), 7.59-7.50 (m, 4H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (s, 2H), 2.51 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 168.1 (C=O), 150.0 (C_{q} , Ar.), 140.8 (C_{q} , Ar.), 135.5 (C_{q} , Ar.),

131.8 (C_H, Ar.), 129.8 (C_H, Ar.), 128.0 (C_H, Ar.), 127.6 (C_H, Ar.), 127.6 (C_q, Ar.), 126.8 (C_q, Ar.), 123.7 (C_q, Ar.), 123.2 (C_q, Ar.), 122.5 (C_q, Ar.), 122.4 (C_H, Ar.), 121.5 (C_H, Ar.), 75.1 (CH₂), 20.5 (CH₃).

1-acetoxy-2,3-dichloro-4-(4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane)benzyl)oxynaphthalene 9: In a dry Schlenk and under an argon atmosphere were dissolved the compound **8** (800 mg, 1.8 mmol), dry potassium acetate (535 mg, 5.5 mmol) and bis(pinacolato)diboron (692 mg, 2.7 mmol) in 30 mL of anhydrous 1,4-dioxane. Argon was bubbled in the reaction medium for 20 min then bis(acetonitrile)palladium dichloride (66 mg, 0.3 mmol) and 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (141 mg, 0.3 mmol) were added and the bubbling was continued for 10 min. The reaction medium was then heated at 90°C for 2h and was then diluted in ethyl acetate, filtered on celite and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was directly purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate: 95:5) yielding **9** as a white powder which was recrystallized in hexane to afford very pure sample (160 mg, 18%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.09-8.04 (m, 1H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), δ = 7.78-7.74 (m, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56-7.48 (m, 2H), 5.15 (s, 2H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 168.3 (C=O), 150.5 (C_q, Ar.), 140.8 (C_q, Ar.), 139.7 (C_q, Ar.), 135.3 (C_H, Ar.), 128.1 (C_H, Ar.), 127.9 (C_q, Ar.), 127.8 (C_H, Ar.), 127.5 (C_H, Ar.), 127.0 (C_q, Ar.), 123.8 (C_q, Ar.), 123.4 (C_q, Ar.), 122.8 (C_H, Ar.), 121.6 (C_H, Ar.), 84.1 (C_q), 76.0 (CH₂), 27.1 (4 x CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃).

2,3-dichloro-1-(4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane)benzyl)oxy-4-hydroxynaphthalene 2: In a dry Schlenk and under an argon atmosphere, tetramethylguanidine (104 μL, 1.03 mmol) was added to a solution of **9** (100 mg, 0.205 mmol) in degassed acetonitrile (2.2 mL). The reaction medium was stirred at 50°C for 3h. Upon completion of the reaction quenching with a saturated NH₄Cl solution was carried out and the pH of the solution was then adjusted to 2 by adding 1 N HCl. The product was extracted three times with ether, the organic phases were gathered and washed once with HCl 0.1 N. The organic solution was then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and the solvent evaporated. The crude product was then purified by gel filtration chromatography on Sephadex™ LH-20 using methanol as eluent. The fractions containing pure product **2** were then gathered and concentrated until a 2mL methanol solution was obtained. 200 μL of water was then added and the product was extracted several times with n-hexane. Hexane extracts were gathered, dried on Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. The oily residue was then dissolved in a small volume of ethanol before adding water to finally being lyophilized. Compound **2** was obtained as a slightly magenta solid (36 mg, 39%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.22-8.16 (m, 1H), δ = 8.04-7.98 (m, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.54-7.48 (m, 2H), 5.99 (s, 1H), 5.09 (s, 2H), 1.35 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.7 (C_q, Ar.), 145.4 (C_q, Ar.), 140.0 (C_q, Ar.), 135.3 (C_H, Ar.), 127.9 (C_q, Ar.), 127.9 (C_q, Ar.), 127.4 (C_H, Ar.), 126.9 (C_H, Ar.), 123.3 (C_q, Ar.), 122.7 (C_H, Ar.), 122.2 (C_H, Ar.), 122.1 (C_q, Ar.), 112.8 (C_q), 84.1 (C_q), 75.9 (CH₂), 25.1 (CH₃). HRMS: m/z Calculated for C₂₃H₂₃BCl₂NaO₄⁺: 467.0963 Found: 467.0949.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR ECOSSENS project– ANR-19-CE29-0022-0).

Keywords: autocatalysis • cross-activation • exponential amplification • redox cycling • autoxidation • naphthoquinone • hydrogen peroxide • molecular probe • chemosensor • aromatic boronate

- [1] Q. Zhang, S. Bhattacharya, M. E. Andersen, *Open Biology*, **2013**, 3, 130031.
- [2] S. Goggins, C. G. Frost, *Analyst*, **2016**, 141, 3157–218.
- [3] P. Scrimin, L. J. Prins, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2011**, 40, 4488–505.
- [4] J. Wilhelm, A. Pingoud, *ChemBioChem*, **2003**, 4, 1120–8

- [5] M. Kubista, J. M. Andrade, M. Bengtsson, A. Forootan, J. Jonák, K. Lind, R. Sindelka, R. Sjöback, B. Sjöolive, L. Strömbom, A. Ståhlberg, N. Zoric, *Mol. Aspects Med.*, **2006**, 27, 95–125.
- [6] R. Plasson, A. Brandenburg, L. Jullien, H. Bersini, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **2011**, 115, 8073–85.
- [7] Y. Zhao, F. Chen, Q. Li, L. Wang, C. Fan, *Chem. Rev.*, **2015**, 115, 12491–545.
- [8] B. J. Lam, G. F. Joyce, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **2009**, 27, 288–92.
- [9] A. J. Bisnette, S. P. Fletcher, *Angew. Chem. Int.*, **2013**, 52, 12800–26.
- [10] S. N. Semenov, A. S. Y. Wong, R. M. van der Made, S. G. J. Postma, J. Groen, H. W. H. van Roekel, T. F. A. de Greef, W. T. S. Huck, *Nat. Chem.*, **2015**, 7, 160–5.
- [11] E. Valero, R. Varon, F. Garcia-Carmona, *Biochem. J.*, **2000**, 350, 237–43.
- [12] T.-R. Chen, C.-F. Hsu, C.-L. Chen, H. A. Witek, P. L. Urban, *ACS Synthetic Biol.*, **2016**, 5, 962–8.
- [13] E. Sella, D. Shabat, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2009**, 131, 9934–6.
- [14] E. Sella, R. Weinstein, R. Erez, N. Z. Burns, P. S. Baran, D. Shabat, *Chem. Commun.*, **2010**, 46, 6575–7.
- [15] K. Yeung, K. M. Schmid, S. T. Phillips, *Chem. Commun.*, **2013**, 49, 394–6.
- [16] J.-A. Gu, V. Mani, S.-T. Huang, *Analyst*, **2015**, 140, 346–52.
- [17] T. Yoshii, S. Onogi, H. Shigemitsu, I. Hamachi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2015**, 137, 3360–5.
- [18] M. E. Roth, O. Olive, S. Gnaim, D. Shabat, *Chem. Rev.*, **2016**, 116, 1309–52.
- [19] X. Sun, S. D. Dahlhauser, E. V. Anslyn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2017**, 139, 4635–8.
- [20] S. N. Semenov, L. J. Kraft, A. Ainla, M. Zhao, M. Baghbanzadeh, V. E. Campbell, K. Kang, J. M. Fox, G. M. Whitesides, *Nature*, **2016**, 537, 656–60.
- [21] X. Sun, E. V. Anslyn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2017**, 56, 9522–6.
- [22] N. C. Gianneschi, S. T. Nguyen, C. A. Mirkin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2005**, 127, 1644–5.
- [23] J. P. Goertz, I. M. White, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2017**, 56, 13411–5.
- [24] S. G. Rhee, *Exp. Mol. Med.*, **1999**, 31, 53–9.
- [25] H. Sies, *Redox Biol.*, **2017**, 11, 613–9.
- [26] S. N. Semenov, A. J. Markvoort, T. F. A. de Greef, W. T. S. Huck, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2014**, 53, 8066–9.
- [27] H. W. H. van Roekel, B. J. H. M. Rosier, L. H. H. Meijer, P. A. J. Hilbers, A. J. Markvoort, W. T. S. Huck, T. F. A. de Greef, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2015**, 44, 7465–83.
- [28] W. Hordijk, *J. Theor. Biol.*, **2017**, 435, 22–8.
- [29] H. G. Kuivila, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1954**, 76, 870–4.
- [30] E. W. Miller, A. E. Albers, A. Pralle, E. Y. Isacoff, C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2005**, 127, 16652–9.
- [31] A. Alouane, R. Labruère, T. Le Saux, F. Schmidt, L. Jullien, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2015**, 54, 7492–509.
- [32] J. L. M. Jourden, K. B. Daniel, S. M. Cohen, *Chem. Commun.*, **2011**, 47, 7968–70.
- [33] A. R. Lippert, G. C. van de Bittner, C. J. Chang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2011**, 44, 793–804.
- [34] K. Żamojć, M. Zdrowowicz, D. Jacewicz, D. Wyrzykowski, L. Chmurzyński, *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.*, **2016**, 46, 171–200.
- [35] K. B. Daniel, A. Agrawal, M. Manchester, S. M. Cohen, *ChemBioChem*, **2013**, 14, 593–8.
- [36] E. Sella, D. Shabat, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2013**, 11, 5074–8.
- [37] V. A. Roginsky, T. K. Barsukova, H. B. Stegmann, *Chem Biol. Inter.*, **1999**, 121, 177–97.
- [38] G. D. Buffinton, K. Öllinger, A. Brunmark, E. Cadenas, *Biochem. J.*, **1989**, 257, 561–71.
- [39] C. Giulivi, E. Cadenas, *Biochem. J.*, **1994**, 301, 21–30.
- [40] K. W. Wellington, *RSC Adv.*, **2015**, 5, 20309–38.
- [41] L.-O. Klotz, X. Hou, C. Jacob, *Molecules*, **2014**, 19, 14902–18.
- [42] P. Rana, R. Naven, A. Narayanan, Y. Will, L. H. Jones, *Med. Chem. Commun.*, **2013**, 4, 1175–80.
- [43] Y. Song, G. R. Buettner, *Free Rad. Biol. Med.*, **2010**, 49, 919–62.
- [44] R. Munday, *Free Rad. Biol. Med.*, **1997**, 22, 689–95.
- [45] X. Yuan, C. J. Miller, A. N. Pham, T. D. Waite, *Free Rad. Biol. Med.*, **2014**, 71, 291–302.
- [46] Ž. Anusevicius, A. Ramanavicius, J. Šarlauskas, *Chem. Papers*, **1998**, 52, 643–9.
- [47] G. Silveira-Dorta, D. Manuel Monzón, F. Crisóstomo, T. S. Martín, V. Martín, R. Carrillo, *Chem. Comm.*, **2015**, 51, 7027–30.
- [48] Y. Shang, C. Chen, Y. Li, J. Zhao, T. Zhu, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **2012**, 46, 2935–42.
- [49] B. Limoges, D. Marchal, F. Mavrè, J.-M. Savéant, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2006**, 128, 2084–92.
- [50] Y. Ogino, K. Takagi, K. Kano, T. Ikeda, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, **1995**, 396, 517–24.
- [51] F. Durand, B. Limoges, N. Mano, F. Mavrè, R. Miranda-Castro, J.-M. Savéant, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2011**, 133, 12801–9.
- [52] J. Zielonka, A. Sikora, M. Hardy, J. Joseph, B. P. Dranka, B. Kalyanaraman, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, **2012**, 25, 1793–9.
- [53] X. Yuan, A. N. Pham, C. J. Miller, T. D. Waite, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **2013**, 47, 8355–64.
- [54] G. R. Buettner, B. A. Jurkiewicz, *Radiation Res.*, **1996**, 145, 532–41.
- [55] C. Achilli, A. Ciana, M. Fagnoni, C. Balduini, G. Minetti, *Cent. Eur. J. Chem.*, **2013**, 11, 137–9.
- [56] H. G. Kuivila, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1954**, 76, 870–4.

-
- [57] A. Sikora, J. Zielonka, M. Lopez, J. Joseph, B. Kalyanaraman, *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*, **2009**, *47*, 1401–7.
- [58] E. W. Miller, A. E. Albers, A. Pralle, E. Y. Isacoff, C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2005**, *127*, 16652–9.
- [59] L. Challier, R. Miranda-Castro, D. Marchal, V. Noël, F. Mavré, B. Limoges, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, *135*, 14215–28.
- [60] C.P. Lu, C.T. Lin, C.M. Chang, S.H. Wu, L.C. Lo, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **2011**, *59*, 11403–6.
- [61] A. Alouane, R. Labruère, T. Le Saux, I. Aujard, S. Dubruille, F. Schmidt, L. Jullien, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2013**, *19*, 11717–24.
- [62] H. G. Kuivila, Jr. J. F. Reuwer, J. A. Mangravite, *Canadian J. Chem.*, **1963**, *41*, 3081–90.
- [63] P. A. Cox, M. Reid, A. G. Leach, A. D. Campbell, E. J. King, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2017**, *139*, 13156–65.
- [64] X. Sun, D. Shabat, S. T. Phillips, E. V. Anslyn, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.*, **2018**, e3827.
- [65] R. J. Wilson, A. E. Beezer, J. C. Mitchell, *Thermochim. Acta.*, **1995**, *264*, 27–40.
- [66] D. E. Cabelli, B. H. J. Bielski, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **1983**, *87*, 1809–12.
- [67] B. G. Ruiz, S. Roux, F. Courtois, C. Bonazzi, *Food Res. Inter.*, **2018**, *106*, 901–8.
- [68] T. V. Votyakova, I. J. Reynolds, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, **2004**, *431*, 138–44.
- [69] K. M. Soares, N. Blackmon, T. Y. Shun, S. N. Shinde, H. K. Takyi, P. Wipf, J. S. Lazo, P. A. Johnston, *Assay Drug Dev Technol.*, **2010**, *8*, 152–74.
- [70] P. A. Johnston, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, **2011**, *15*, 174–82.
- [71] P. Rana, R. Naven, A. Narayanan, Y. Will, L. H. Jones, *MedChemComm*, **2013**, *4*, 1175–80.
- [72] S. Hoops, S. Sahle, R. Gauges, C. Lee, J. Pahle, N. Simus, M. Singhal, L. Xu, P. Mendes, U. Kummer, *Bioinformatics*, **2006**, *22*, 3067–74.
- [73] T. Kumamoto, N. Aoyama, S. Nakano, T. Ishikawa, Y. Narimatsu, *Tetrahedron-Asymmetry*, **2001**, *12*, 791–95.
- [74] S. Ohta, S. Hatakeyama, C. Aono, T. Koike, I. Tanimizu, H. Hirakawa, *Yakugaku Zasshi*, **1992**, *112*, 585–91.