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ABSTRACT: The development of cytosolic 5'-nucleotidase II (cN-II) inhibitors is essential to validate cN-II as a potential target for the reversion of resistance to cytotoxic nucleoside analogues. We previously reported a fragment-based approach combined with molecular modelling, herein, the selected hit-fragments were used again in another computational approach based on the Ilib-diverse (a software enabling to build virtual molecule libraries through fragment based de novo design) program to generate a focused library of potential inhibitors. A molecular scaffold related to a previously identified compound was selected and led to a novel series of compounds. Ten out of nineteen derivatives showed 50 to 75% inhibition on the purified recombinant protein at 200 µM and among them three derivatives (12, 13 and 18) exhibited $K_i$ in the sub-millimolar range (0.84, 2.4 and 0.58 mM, respectively). Despite their only modest potency, the cN-II inhibitors showed synergistic effects when used in combination with cytotoxic purine nucleoside analogues on cancer cells. Therefore, these derivatives represent a family of non-nucleos(t)idic cN-II inhibitors with potential usefulness to overcome cancer drug resistance especially in hematological malignancies in which cN-II activity has been described.
INTRODUCTION

5’-nucleotidases (EC 3.1.3.5) are a family of enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of 5’-nucleoside monophosphates (5’-nucleotides) into their corresponding parent nucleosides and inorganic phosphate, and thereby play a key role in the metabolism of nucleotides. The membrane-bound ecto-5’-nucleotidase, CD73, is mainly responsible for the conversion of extracellular adenosine 5’-monophosphate (AMP) into adenosine, whereas intracellular 5’-nucleotidases (cN-I, cN-II, cN-III, cdN, mdN) regulate the intracellular pools of both purine- and pyrimidine-based 5’-nucleotides and nucleosides, depending on their substrate specificity and intracellular compartments [1] [2]. cN-IA uses both AMP and pyrimidines and is expressed in muscles, whereas its homologue cN-IB is AMP specific and ubiquitously expressed. The cytosolic cN-II and cN-IIIA are GMP/IMP and pyrimidine preferring, respectively. cN-II is ubiquitously expressed and at a higher level in highly proliferating cells, whereas cN-IIIB is important in erythrocytes but has also been found in many other tissues. More recently, a cN-IIIB was described and found to be specific to 7-methyl-GMP [3]. Finally, the cytosolic cdN and the mitochondrial mdN are active on deoxyribonucleosides phosphorylated on 3’ or 5’, with a preference towards pyrimidine derivatives.

Several studies have shown that deficiencies of these proteins are associated to severe diseases. For example, deficiency in cN-III due to genetic mutations leads to hemolytic anemia [4], CD73 deficiency is associated to arterial calcification [5], and the NT5C2 (coding for cN-II) containing locus has been associated with autosomal recessive spastic paraplegia and psychiatric disorders [6-8]. In addition, high expression level or activity of cN-II in tumor cells is associated with resistance to cytotoxic nucleoside analogues and nucleobases [9-11]. Its direct role in this resistance was shown by the sensitization of human cancer cells to purine nucleoside analogues after inhibition of its expression by shRNA [12]. Based on these observations, cN-II represents an attractive target to revert cancer resistance, and the use of cN-II inhibitors in combination with chemotherapy involving cytotoxic nucleosides may be of great interest.

Purine ribonucleoside analogues modified at the 5’-position were the first inhibitors of cN-II reported in the literature (Fig. 1) [13]. 5’-Deoxy-5’-isobutylthio derivatives of adenosine and inosine inhibited 50% of the nucleotidase activity (extracted from rat liver) at 2-10 mM. Recently, it was shown that fludarabine was able to interfere with human cN-II as a mixed-inhibitor ($K_i$ 0.5 mM and $K'_i$ 9 mM) [14]. Over the last decade, we have also identified, developed and studied cN-II inhibitors by using virtual screening [15], a substrate analogue-based approach [16-18], and finally a fragment-based drug discovery (FBDD) strategy [19]. All these derivatives behaved as weak to modest cN-II inhibitors with $K_i$ in the millimolar range (Fig. 1). Since these compounds act as competitive
inhibitors and taking into account that cN-II is also named high-$K_M$ 5'-nucleotidase, it is not surprising to observe such high $K_i$ values ($K_M$ for inosine 5'-monophosphate (IMP) is ~ 0.5 mM). Despite this, co-exposure of cancer cells to nucleoside analogues and some of these cN-II inhibitors potentiated the effect of cytotoxic nucleoside analogues [14, 15].

**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of the cN-II inhibitors reported in the literature. IBTA: 5'-Isobutyl thioadenosine; IBTI: 5'-Isobutylthiinosine; AdiS: Anthraquinone-2,6-disulfonic acid, disodium salt; C: cytosine; Y=H and X=OH, or Y=OH and X=H.

Herein, we pursue the development of cN-II inhibitors using a small set of fragment hits, which have been previously selected by a FBDD approach [19], to build up a virtual library. The latter was generated using the Ilib-diverse software (http://www.inteligand.com), and involved the random association of various fragments and chemical groups in order to enhance binding affinity and/or retain drug-like properties such as water solubility. These *in silico* approaches have been successfully applied to the design of potential lead compounds such as creatine kinase [20] and phospholipase [21] inhibitors. *In silico* virtual screening was performed on the basis of experimental cN-II crystal structures [22] and compounds with the most favorable docking scores were carefully analyzed. Thus, one derivative was hand-picked to guide structural optimization, on the basis of its resemblance with a previously identified inhibitor.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**In silico drug design, library generation and selection process.** We combined *in silico* drug design approaches including virtual library generation followed by *in silico* screening by molecular docking to identify potential inhibitors [23, 24]. Briefly, virtual flasks containing the most active fragments previously identified by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) screening and measurement of the inhibition of the enzyme activity *in vitro* [19], were used for the combinatorial generation of novel chemical scaffolds. An overview of the process is presented in Figure 2. Flask 1 contained 21 fragments, whereas flasks 2 and 3 contained 15 fragments each (structures of the components are listed in table S1 and mainly include aromatic and bicyclic derivatives, nitrogen-containing heterocycles such as benzimidazoles, purines…). Finally, flask 4 contained 43 polar or ionisable building blocks (alcohols, carboxylic acids, phosphoesters…, see Table S1) available from the Ilib-diverse software.

**Figure 2.** Schematic view of the focused library generation. Virtual flasks 1, 2 and 3 contain hit fragments previously identified as cN-II binders by NMR. Combination of one fragment from each flask with an additional functional polar group (flask 4) is achieved *in silico* using the Ilib-diverse software and leading to a virtual library of 3,000 compounds. All obtained compounds were evaluated for their theoretical binding affinity by docking on cN-II crystal structure.

The Ilib-diverse tool generated a focused virtual library by using a Monte-Carlo-based random fragment connection including general rules of chemical synthesis feasibility. During this process, the software is randomly selecting fragments from each flask and generates molecules with a broad molecular diversity. In our setup, we defined a higher importance for experimentally validated hit fragments (cN-II binders identified by NMR) meaning that the frequency of insertion of these
fragments in the final library will be higher compared to the low-active fragments (underlined fragments in Table S1). To further increase the diversity and also to improve the aqueous solubility of compounds, combination of one fragment taken from each of the three flasks was followed by the addition of a fourth ionisable chemical group (building blocks already included in the program that can be also considered as a fourth flask). Thus, a total of 3,000 compounds was generated (Ilib-diverse virtual library), all meeting the criteria of orally-delivered drug like compounds according to Lipinski’s rule of five (one exception was the molecular weight with an upper limit at 600 Da instead of 500 Da). Then, all compounds were evaluated by molecular docking on cN-II. The ones showing a lower score than that of our previously identified lead compound (Fig. 1, UA2132) and hitherto most potent cN-II inhibitor reported were discarded, leaving a total of 884 compounds for further consideration. To narrow the number of molecules to be further examined for synthetic accessibility, we focused on compounds with highest docking scores (using a cut-off value of 125, which is approximately 20 points above the docking score of UA2132) resulting in a selection of 25 chemical structures (Table S2).

The analysis of structure similarity and fragments composition of these 25 selected hits indicates that some skeletons were privileged during the library generation and filtering process. Indeed, numerous assemblies consisting of polycyclic aromatic structures linked to a phenyl or biphenyl fragment were found to promote a favorable binding according to the docking results. Among these, one derivative particularly attracted our attention (Fig. 3B, Ilib-72) due to its structural similarity with the previously identified cN-II inhibitor UA2132 (Fig. 3A). In addition, several hit compounds with docking scores being slightly lower than our cut-off value (125) were identified as structural analogues of UA2132 or at least holding an adenine moiety linked to an aromatic cycle through an amide link (see Table S2, hits highlighted in bold characters). Therefore, instead of performing the chemical synthesis of all 25 best-ranked hit compounds, we prioritized the structure optimization of our lead compound considering the structural variations observed in Ilib-72 as it was the best-ranked analogue of UA2132.

In this respect, molecular docking studies were performed to predict and compare the potential binding modes of Ilib-72 with UA2132.
Figure 3. Chemical structures of the potential cN-II inhibitors. (A) Previously identified derivative UA2132 with inhibition mode and constant; (B) Hand-picked derivative (Ilib-72) from the focused library; (C) Generic structure proposed for structural optimization.

Since UA2132 is a competitive inhibitor, the docking of hit compounds was achieved in the substrate binding site (Fig. 4). One should note that the crystal structure used for modelling (PDB 2XCW) was an inactive mutant in which one of the catalytic residues D52 was mutated to N. Compared to other crystal structures, this mutation does not change the coordination of Mg$^{2+}$ ion (hexa-coordinated with D/N52, D54, D351, 2 water molecules and one phosphate oxygen from IMP). This mutation was used by Wallden and colleagues in order to prevent substrate hydrolysis during crystallization, thereby giving an image of the position of the substrate [25]. Similar docking poses have been obtained using the wild-type enzyme (2JC9). For UA2132, the prediction suggests only one binding mode with the adenine moiety near the catalytic residues which interact with the magnesium ion (the two first via coordination bonds and the latter via a water molecule). In contrast to UA2132, two binding orientations were predicted for Ilib-72 (Fig. 4A & 4B) with comparable docking scores (126.9 and 126.1). The most favorable binding mode is shown in Figure 4A with the purine and the benzyloxyethyl group near the magnesium ion. However, in the second binding mode the $\alpha$-hydroxyacetic acid chain is chelating the magnesium ion within a more compressed structure (Fig. 4B). This latter may reflect the real binding orientation of this compound since the
natural substrate (IMP) also carries a negative charge on the phosphate oxygen. Interestingly, **Ilib-72** occupies a large space which extends beyond the substrate binding cavity (Fig. 4C).

Based on this docking information, we decided to keep the N-6 biaryl substituted adenine skeleton (present in **UA2132**) and to add various groups either on the N-7 or N-9 position of the purine, and/or a five-membered nitrogen containing heterocycle on the biaryl moiety (Fig. 3C and 5). Both substituents were chosen for their ability to either increase affinity and/or improve solubility of the final derivatives. Indeed, under physiological conditions tetrazole and phosphonate groups would be partially or completely protonated (Fig. 5).

**Figure 4.** A) Preferential binding mode predicted by molecular docking for **UA2132** (orange sticks) and **Ilib-72** (cyan sticks). B) Second binding mode identified for **Ilib-72** showing an inversed orientation allowing the ionic interaction between carboxylic group and magnesium ion (depicted as a green sphere). C) Same docking as in panel (B) with cN-II in surface representation to appreciate the substrate binding site. Catalytic residues are shown in grey thin sticks.
Figure 5. Structures of the studied compounds 6-13, 18-20, 24a-e and 25.

Synthesis. The targeted compounds, presented in Figure 5, may be divided into three groups corresponding to: (i) N-7 or N-9 substituted derivatives of UA2132 (6-13), (ii) Ilib-72 analogues incorporating a polar substituent on the imidazole ring (18-20) and (iii) analogues incorporating a tetrazole ring as ionisable five-membered ring (24-25).

First, different substitutions on positions N-7 or N-9 of the purine heterocycle (Fig. 5) were envisaged: (i) a hydroxyethyl chain (8 and 11), a methyl phosphonate (10 and 13) or a methylcarboxylate (9 and 12) in order to improve the aqueous solubility of UA2132 as this was identified as a limit for its in vivo evaluation (unpublished data); (ii) a benzyl (6a-b) or a benzyloxymethyl (7a-b) as observed within the structure of Ilib-72 for better affinity to the target protein. Then, due to synthetic issues we envisaged, as the closest analogues of Ilib-72, the replacement of the α-hydroxyacetic acid chain by non-classical bio-isosteres such as a methyl
phosphonate group (compounds 18, 19 and 20) mimicking the acetate anion and being partially ionized at physiological pH. Another modification consisted in the replacement of both the imidazole ring and the \( \alpha \)-hydroxyacetic acid chain by a tetrazole ring (compounds 24a-e). Indeed, tetrazole is known to present similar physicochemical properties as a carboxyl group, and it is increasingly used in drug development. Furthermore, tetrazole can exist in both neutral and anionic states. The latter would allow the formation of salts that may be valuable for water solubility.

The general synthetic approach used to prepare new bi-aryl carboxamide derivatives of adenine incorporating various \( N-7/N-9 \)-substituents (6-13), \( N \)-methylphosphonate and imidazole moieties (18-20) or \( C \)-branched tetrazole (24a-e and 25) consists of coupling adenine or \( N-7/N-9 \)-substituted adenine (1-5) with commercially available biphenyl carbonyl chlorides (Scheme 1) or substituted biphenyl carboxylic acids (17, 23a-e) (Schemes 2 and 3). When using carboxylic acids, an excess of the activation reagent, \( N,N' \)-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI), was required as well as a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), and the coupling reaction was performed in anhydrous dimethylformamide (DMF) at 100 °C until starting material was consumed (HPLC monitoring). In addition, due to the limited thermal stability of DMF at elevated temperature (leading to side reactions such as dimethyl amination and/or formylation), DMF was replaced by \( N \)-methylpyrrolidinone (NMP) for prolonged reaction time at temperatures over 100 °C. In a few cases, low yields were observed for this coupling step and were associated to the fact that chromatographic separation of the starting material and the desired compound was difficult. In addition, chemical instability was observed for compound 7a, which was only obtained in 81.8% purity.

Alkylation reactions (Scheme 1) were carried out by reacting adenine with the appropriate commercially available alkyl halide in DMF, using potassium carbonate as base. When using benzyl bromide or benzylchloromethyl bromide, formation of both \( N-7/N-9 \)-regioisomers (compounds 1a-b and 2a-b) was observed and they were separated by silica gel chromatography. The \( N-7 \)-derivatives 1a and 2a were obtained in 20 and 43% yield, respectively. The regioselectivity of alkylation was unequivocally assigned by \( ^1 \)HNMR and UV spectra. In DMSO-d\(_6\), pronounced downfield shifts were observed for the signals corresponding to the H-8 and NH\(_2\) of \( N-7 \) when compared with those of \( N-9 \) regioisomers. UV absorption spectra of \( N-7 \) isomers showed slight bathochromic shifts (2-3 nm) compared to \( N-9 \) regioisomers. All these data are in agreement with data from the literature and corresponding to similar \( N-7 \) and \( N-9 \) purine isomers [26, 27].

The synthesis of \( N \)-(phosphonomethyl) derivatives 5 (scheme 1 for \( N-9 \) adenine substitution) or 15 (scheme 2 for \( N-1 \)-imidazole substitution) was performed employing diethyl \( p \)-toluenesulfonyloxymethylphosphonate as required alkylation reagent [28].
Scheme 1. Synthesis of N-7 or N-9 substituted derivatives of UA2132.

Reagents and conditions: (i) K$_2$CO$_3$, benzyl bromide (for 1a-b) or benzylchloromethyl ether (for 2a-b) or 2-bromoethylacetate (for 3) or ethyl-2-bromoacetate (for 4), DMF, rt, 1-48 h or NaH, diethyl p-toluene sulfonyloxy methylphosphonate (for 5), DMF, 50 °C, 17 h; (ii) biphenyl-3-carbonyl chloride, pyridine, rt or 100 °C, 1-4 h. (iii) NaOH 2M, MeOH, 0 °C, 30 min (for 11 and 12) or trimethylsilyl bromide (TMSBr), DMF, rt, 48 h (for 13).

Cleavage of the phosphonate diethyl ether groups in the final step of synthesis of compounds 13 (scheme 1) and 20 (scheme 2) was carried out using trimethylsilyl bromine (TMSBr) and the desired compounds were obtained as their corresponding phosphonic acids following purification on C$_{18}$ reverse phase and eventually cation-exchange chromatography to isolate them as sodium salts. One should notice that during the saponification of compound 16 (Scheme 2), concomitant loss of one ethyl group occurred and led to the phosphonate monoethyl derivative 17. Moreover, attempts to obtain N-imidazolylmethylphosphonate derivative 19 incorporating a benzyloxymethyl group (BOM) on the N-7 position of adenine was tedious (yield 6%) due to a relative instability of the BOM group.

For the series of compounds containing a tetrazole ring (compounds 24a-e and 25, scheme 3), intermediates 22a-e have been prepared by 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of nitrile precursors (21a-e) with sodium azide and trimethylamine hydrochloride in NMP. The cyano-substituted biphenyl esters 21a-e were obtained beforehand according to the previously described Suzuki cross-coupling protocol [19] using commercially available starting materials such as 2-, 3- or 4-bromobenzonitrile
and 2-, 3- or 4-ethoxycarbonylbenzeneboronic acids. For compounds 24a, 24d, and 25, the coupling reaction with the adenine moiety did not go to completion and low yields may be attributed to the steric hindrance associated to the ortho orientation of the substituents.

**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of Ilib-72 analogues incorporating a polar substituent on the imidazole ring.

Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, p-toluenesulfonyloxymethylphosphonate, DMF, 80 °C, 18 h; (ii) 3-ethoxycarbonylbenzeneboronic acid, Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃, DMF, 100 °C; (iii) NaOH 2 M, EtOH, 1,4-dioxane, 80 °C. (iv) CDI, DMAP, adenine (for 18) or 2a (for 19), DMF, 100 °C. (v) TMSBr, DMF, rt.

**Scheme 3.** Synthesis of derivatives incorporating a tetrazole ring.

Reagents and conditions: (i) 2-, 3- or 4-ethoxycarbonylbenzeneboronic acid, Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃, DMF, 100 °C; (ii) NaN₃, NEt₃.HCl, NMP, 150 °C (iii) NaOH 2 M, EtOH, 1,4-dioxane, 50 °C; (iv) CDI, DMAP, adenine or 4 or 5, DMF or NMP, 80-150 °C.

**Enzymatic studies.** All synthesized compounds were evaluated for their inhibitory effect against recombinant cN-II using the malachite green-based assay with quantification of inorganic phosphate.
produced from IMP (Tables 1-3) and the cLogP were calculated in order to estimate the impact of the various modifications on aqueous solubility, in comparison to **UA2132** used as a reference.

When introducing modifications in the N-7 position (Table 1), no real improvement of the inhibitory effect could be observed (1a, 2a, 6a, 6b, and 7a) as compared to **UA2132**. An equivalent inhibition was observed at 200 µM for derivatives 6a and 7a which were substituted at the N-7 position either by a benzyl or benzyloxymethyl group. This may be explained by a different orientation adopted by these derivatives in the binding site when compared to the compounds with N-9 modifications. Substitutions on the N-9 position of the purine heterocycle by aromatic substituents was found to reduce the activity of several compounds (6b and 7b), whereas small and linear substituents (ethyl chain for 8 and 11 or methylcarboxylate 9 and 12, or methylphosphonate 10 and 13) increased the inhibition of the enzyme. Indeed, for the best inhibitors in this series (>60% at 200 µM) substitutions were including a carboxylic (12) or a phosphonate (13) group, especially when the negative charge was unmasked. Indeed, the presence of a carboxylate or a phosphonate group is expected to increase the overall aqueous solubility as anticipated (cf. cLogP). The presence of a biphenyl moiety was mandatory for the inhibition since smaller compounds lacking this group were almost- or fully inactive (1b, 2b, and 3).

In addition, substitution of the biphenyl moiety by a five-membered ring seems to play a major role as shown by the derivative 18 incorporating an imidazole and a (monoethyl)-methylphosphonate group (without any modification in N-7 or N-9, derivative 19 was less efficient than 18). Surprisingly, the fully unprotected compound 20 (with the closest similarity with **Ilib-72**) did not inhibit cN-II activity suggesting that the negative charges affect the binding mode of this compound (Table 2). According to the enzymatic inhibition, compound 18 appeared more advantageous (75% at 200 µM) and this may be explained by the partial negative charge compared to a fully charged compound 20 (harboring a double negative charge).

### Table 1. Data for N-7 and N-9-substituted analogues of UA2132.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>N-7 / N-9 substituent</th>
<th>cLogP*</th>
<th>Inhibition (%) at 200 µM (or 1 mM when no inhibition at 200 µM)</th>
<th>Ki (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2132**</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>none</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>68 ± 5%</td>
<td>Ki = 0.89 ± 0.23 (Competitive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>IC50</td>
<td>inhibition (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>PhCH2-(N7)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>26 ± 10% (1 mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>PhCH2-(N9)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>23 ± 4%  (1 mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>BnOCH2-(N7)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>No inhibition (1 mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>BnOCH2-(N9)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>13 ± 3%  (1 mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>PhCH2-(N7)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>52 ± 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>PhCH2-(N9)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>57 ± 9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a***</td>
<td>BnOCH2-(N7)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>50 ± 1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>BnOCH2-(N9)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>34 ± 4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compound Structure</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>IC50 (mM)</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Chemical 1" /></td>
<td>(N9)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>No inhibition (1 mM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Chemical 2" /></td>
<td>(N9)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3 ± 3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Chemical 3" /></td>
<td>(N9)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>No inhibition (1 mM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Chemical 4" /></td>
<td>(N9)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>62 ± 4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Chemical 5" /></td>
<td>(N9)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>46 ± 7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Chemical 6" /></td>
<td>(N9)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>60 ± 1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Chemical 7" /></td>
<td>(N9)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>62 ± 4%     &lt;br&gt;$K_i = 0.84 ± 0.16$ (competitive)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Chemical 8" /></td>
<td>(N9)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>47 ± 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compounds promoting significant enzyme inhibition are indicated in bold.

**clogP values were calculated with MolInspiration (freely available online software: http://www.molinspiration.com/cgi-bin/properties)

**Data for UA2132 are from [19]. n.a. when precipitation occurs upon addition of the green malachite reagent. Compounds promoting significant enzyme inhibition are indicated in bold.

*** Compound 7a was 81.8% pure.

**Table 2. Data for analogues incorporating a polar substituent on the imidazole ring**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>N-7 / N-9 substituent</th>
<th>cLogP*</th>
<th>Inhibition (%) at 200 µM</th>
<th>$K_i$ (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>75 ± 5%</td>
<td>$K_i = 0.58 \pm 0.08$ (competitive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single negative charge as Ilib-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>49 ± 4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>BnOCH$_2$- (N7)</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>60 ± 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finally, tetrazolo derivative 24c (and to a lower extend 24b) was a good mimicry of carboxylate group, when introduced in the meta or para orientation, confirming the improvement observed with a carboxylate group which promotes an increased solubility of these compounds associated with an enhanced activity (Table 3). According to the position of the tetrazolo group (ortho, meta or para regarding to the biaryl group), there was a large difference in terms of enzymatic inhibition. The most favorable substitution was in the para position (24c) followed by meta with less efficiency (24b).

Table 3. Data for analogues incorporating a tetrazole as five-membered ring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>N-7 / N-9 substituent</th>
<th>cLogP*</th>
<th>Inhibition (%) at 200 µM</th>
<th>Kᵢ (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24a</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>30 ± 4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24b</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>50 ± 2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24c</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>60 ± 3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24d</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10 ± 2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24e</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>27 ± 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compounds promoting significant enzyme inhibition are indicated in bold.

* clogP values were calculated with MolInspiration (freely available online software: http://www.molinspiration.com/cgi-bin/properties)

Thus, inhibition of the 5’-nucleotidase activity was observed for ten derivatives, showing 50 to 75% inhibition of the purified recombinant protein at 200 µM. Among these, two derivatives (12 and 18) were further studied to determine inhibition constants and mode of inhibition. They both exhibited $K_i$ in the sub-millimolar range, respectively 0.84 and 0.58 mM (Fig. 6) and were found as competitive inhibitors (Figure S1). In addition, they appeared as potent as our reference compound UA2132 ($K_i$ =0.89 mM) with a slight advantage for 18 which is very close to the Ilib-72 chemical structure.
Figure 6. Michaelis-Menten kinetic profiles (with the corresponding Lineweaver-Burk plots) obtained by using a competitive inhibition mode for compounds UA2132 (a), 12 (b), 13 (c) and 18 (d). Analysis of the kinetic inhibition curves (inhibition modes and equations used for fitting) are detailed in supporting information.

Crystallography. A selection of the potential inhibitors (depending on their in vitro activity, availability and solubility) was used for co-crystallization and soaking experiments at final ligand concentrations of 5 and 10 mM. Diffraction data were collected on crystals soaked in solutions containing UA2132, 2a, 7a, 12 and 20, respectively. All crystal structures solved within this study, display the same overall fold as those previously reported with an α/β core-domain and a cap-domain (Figure 7). Of the various studied crystal structures (Table S3) only those determined from crystals soaked in a solution containing 10 mM of 2a or UA2132 displayed electron densities which partly could be interpreted as being the two respective ligand (Fig. S2), at least in regions being visible within the electron density map. Indeed, the region 401-416 is always reported as being highly
disordered in form I crystals [15, 22, 25] whereas it was visible in the electron density of form II crystals [19].

When taking the data from the previous enzyme kinetic studies into account, one cannot exclude binding of the other flexible parts of the enzyme for the other molecules for which electron density is lacking, at least for 2a, 13 and 18 for which no crystals could be obtained by co-crystallization in either forms.

![Figure 7](image.png)

**Figure 7.** Overall three-dimensional structure of cN-II with the core-domain colored in red and the cap-domain colored in teal. Binding sites observed so far within various crystal structures are in purple the active site [22], in orange the “AdiS” binding site,[15] in dark blue effector site 1 and in yellow effector site 2 [25], in wheat the “2c” site and in pink spanning over a longer range the “3c” binding site [19].

**Binding mode prediction to understand the inhibition mechanism.** As no crystal structure with a ligand bound in an unequivocal manner could be obtained, molecular docking was used to understand the mechanism by which the most efficient compounds inhibit the enzyme. Compounds 12 and 13 exhibit very similar binding modes as predicted by docking (Fig. 8A). Both compounds were found superimposed in the active site with the carboxylic or phosphoric acid chain pointing towards the magnesium ion. This prediction cannot explain the difference in terms of enzymatic inhibition ($K_i$ of 0.84 and 2.4 mM, respectively). However, the docking score was a good indication of the binding strength with 112.6 versus 145.9 for the carboxylic acid group and the phosphoric acid chain, respectively. This stronger theoretical binding affinity for derivative 13 can be easily explained by the overall negative charge of the compound (-2) and it should have been predicted as a
better inhibitor. However, and according to the inhibition values, the inhibition efficiency seems to be governed by a more complicated mechanism. One can imagine that the higher water solubility of compound 13 may prevent or limit the access to the target binding site as the surrounding residues are aromatic (F157, H209 and Y210) and also because best inhibitors were highly hydrophobic. When comparing their binding mode with Ilib72 (Fig. 8B), no obvious similarity was observed with a large shift of the biaryl moiety likely due to the differential positioning of the negative charge on these compounds.

In contrast to compounds 12 and 13, the overlay between Ilib-72 and 18 or 20 (Fig. 8C) showed interesting resemblances with nearby positioning of their adenine and biaryl groups. Intriguingly, derivative 18 was determined more active \textit{in vitro} than 20. This raises the question about the overall negative charge of the compound and may indicate that a single negative charge is more suitable for enzymatic inhibition (identically to Ilib-72). Interestingly, the coordination of the magnesium ion changed depending on the compound (18 or 20), probably due to the different electron distribution around the phosphate-bound oxygen atoms. Several water molecules were displaced and involved in this coordination. Moreover, an important hydrogen bond network formed between the carbonyl oxygen and water molecule is present for compound 18 while these interactions are absent for compound 20 (Fig. 8D).
Figure 8. Prediction of the binding modes of selected compounds by docking on 2XCW structure. (A) Superimposition of UA2132 (orange), 12 (pink) and 13 (yellow) with negative charge near Mg^{2+} ion. (B) Same as in A with Ilib-72 (cyan sticks). (C) Binding modes of compounds 18 (blue), 20 (green) and Ilib-72 (cyan sticks). (D) Hydrogen bonding network with water molecules connecting compound 18 (blue stick) to the magnesium ion (depicted as a purple sphere) whereas compound 20 is making only hydrogen bonds with N158 and T155.

Concerning derivatives 24a-e incorporating a tetrazole group, their binding orientation seems to be governed by this group. Indeed, its interaction with the magnesium ion is thought to be strong and the orientation of the rest of the molecule will depend on the ortho, meta or para position of this substituent on the biaryl skeleton (Fig. 9A). Indeed, the five derivatives were predicted with the tetrazolo group near the metallic ion with various orientation of the adenine moiety depending on biaryl substitution. According to the docking predictions, compounds 24a, 24d and 24e bind to the enzyme in a complete different manner, which may indicate a large variation in their binding affinity. Attempting to explain the higher efficacy of compound 24c compared to 24b (Fig. 9B), a similar hypothesis as previously expressed for compounds 18 and 20 can be postulated with the implication of water molecules reinforcing the interactions between protein amino acids and the inhibitor (Fig. 9B).
Figure 9. (A) Effect of the tetrazole group (highlighted by a red circle) on the final orientation of derivatives 24a (green), 24b (blue), 24c (yellow) and 24d (cyan) and 24e (white). (B) Close-up view of the binding mode prediction of the two most active compounds from the tetrazolo series, 24b (blue) and 24c (yellow). The magnesium ion is depicted as a green sphere and surrounding protein amino acids are in thin sticks. Distances are color-coded for each compound.

**In vitro biological evaluation.** The effect of the newly synthesized compounds was evaluated on the survival of different human cancer cell lines using a MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) based colorimetric assay (Table S4). IC\textsubscript{50} values ranged from 36 µM to >300 µM, similarly as for compounds previously reported [19]. Four N7- or N9-substituted
compounds (6a, 6b, 7a and 10) and one tetrazolo-compound (24c) had IC\textsubscript{50} below 100 µM on RL cells. These derivatives showed cN-II inhibition of approximately 50% at 200 µM and cLogP values allowing diffusion through cell membrane (>2.8), but there was no clear structural explanation of this antiproliferative activity in regard to cN-II inhibition.

Intrinsic antiproliferative activity allowed us to perform synergy experiments with selected compounds and purine nucleoside analogues as our hypothesis states that cN-II inhibitors would enhance the effect of cytotoxic nucleoside analogues in co-exposure conditions (Fig. 10). Synergy (defined as CI95<0.9) was observed with cladribine for 6a (RL and HL-60), 6b (CCRF-CEM and HL-60), 7a (RL) and 10 (RL), with clofarabine for 6a (RL), 6b (HL-60) and 7a (RL) and with fludarabine for 6a (RL and CCRF-CEM) and 6b (RL, CCRF-CEM and HL-60). The differences between the cell lines is consistent with earlier observations showing that shRNA-mediated cN-II inhibition is associated with a higher level of sensitization to purine nucleoside analogues in RL and HL-60 cells as compared to CCRF-CEM cells [10]. Thus, based on these results, 6a could be considered as a good candidate and clearly better than our previous inhibitor (UA2132). It is however noteworthy that no co-incubation experiments with non-toxic compounds were performed and could have allowed the identification of other active associations.
Figure 10. Combination index 95 for associations of purine nucleoside analogues cladribine (black bars), clofarabine (dark grey bars) or fludarabine (light grey bars) and cN-II inhibitors on RL (A), CCRF-CEM (B) and HL-60 (C) cells. CI\textsubscript{95} values (a parameter for the quantification of the combinatory effect of the two compounds to achieve 95% inhibition of cell survival) were calculated with the CompuSyn software based on the Chou and Talalay method [29] as indicated in Material and Methods, and expressed as $10^{\text{mean(logCI}_{95})}$ from at least three independent experiments.
Error bars indicate CI\textsubscript{95}-SEM and CI\textsubscript{95+}SEM. Drug combinations with CI\textsubscript{95} < 0.9 are considered synergistic, whereas they are additive for 0.9 < CI\textsubscript{95} < 1.1 and antagonistic for CI\textsubscript{95} > 1.1.

CONCLUSION

By using a combination of various \textit{in silico} approaches, we designed a large chemical library of potential cN-II inhibitors with numerous different scaffolds of which some leading to inaccessible chemical synthesis. Consequently, we focused on the structural optimization of a previously characterized inhibitor that was also part of the Ilib-generated compound (Ilib-72). This allowed us to explore the chemical diversity of this lead compound in order to improve its affinity for the targeted protein and/or physico-chemical properties such as solubility. Twenty-six derivatives were synthesized, evaluated toward the purified recombinant enzyme. About ten compounds could inhibit more than 50\% of the enzymatic activity at a concentration of 200 µM. In comparison with our reference compound (UA2132, a previously identified competitive inhibitor), three derivatives (12, 13 and 18) displayed similar potency, with $K_i$ in the micromolar range and a competitive mechanism of action. All three compounds have a polar and anionic substituent (either a carboxylate or a phosphonate) and derivative 18 presented the closest structural similarity with Ilib-72, the derivative selected from the library generation and \textit{in silico} screening process. Molecular modelling and X-ray crystallography studies were carried out to predict and assess the binding modes of these novel derivatives. When tested in cell culture experiments, synergistic effects were observed with all cytotoxic nucleoside analogues tested and compounds 6a-b. Some compounds had minor cytotoxicity, and their complete toxicity profile on non-cancerous cells will be needed for further development. These results are therefore encouraging to investigate further the potential of these compounds as to our knowledge they appeared as the most potent cN-II inhibitors reported to date.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Library generation and molecular docking studies. Using hit fragments previously identified by NMR screening [19], a focused library of final compounds targeting cN-II was generated using Ilib-diverse program (www.inteligand.com) by randomly assembling three different hit fragments. A weighting percentage has been attributed for the fragments that were previously identified as cN-II inhibitors (Table S1 in SI). In addition, several functional or polar groups were included to increase the solubility of the final compounds (hydroxyl, sulfonate, phosphate and phosphonate groups). This procedure allowed us to generate 3,000 compounds supposed to be chemically synthesizable (the program includes general rules of chemical synthesis during the linkage process). All derivatives
were analyzed and their coordination and geometry corrected by using the VegaZZ molecular modelling program [30]. Atomic charges were assigned using the Gasteiger-Marsili empirical atomic partial charges [31] and the potential energy of all compounds was minimized using 500 steps of steepest descent followed by 5,000 steps of conjugate gradient (tolerance of 0.01 kcal/mol. Å). For compounds comprising a tetrazole group, only one tautomer has been evaluated by docking. Molecular docking was carried out with the GOLD v5.2 program (Genetic Optimization for Ligand Docking) from Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) Software Limited [32, 33] with partial flexibility of protein amino acid sidechains and full flexibility of Ilib-diverse generated compounds. Docking was performed on the cN-II crystal structure in which the nucleotidase was complexed with IMP (PDB 2XCW) by applying 50 genetic algorithm steps for conformational poses searching with a radius of 12 Å around the target atom, Mg$^{2+}$ ion (located in the substrate binding site). Although the 2XCW crystal structure harbors the D52N mutation, it was chosen among the numerous available structures because of the accurate characterization of the substrate binding mode. Our earlier docking studies performed using the 2JC9 structure without substrate bound in the active site and compared to those performed using 2XCW in this study showed highly similar results, thus giving a proof-of-concept for using this structure of an inactive mutant. Structural water molecules present in the crystal structure near the IMP binding site were retained and allowed to contribute with a 2 Å cut-off of translational and rotational freedom. Docking poses were analyzed by the clustering method (complete linkage) from the rmsd matrix of ranking solutions. Solutions were classified according to their respective scores calculated by the Goldscore scoring function. Structural analysis and visualization of docking poses were achieved with the PyMOL Molecular Graphics System (version 1.3, Schrödinger, LLC).

**Enzyme inhibition and steady state kinetics assays.** The nucleotidase activity was measured using the Green Malachite Phosphate Assay kit (Gentaur) as previously described [16, 19]. Briefly, in 96-wells plate arranged on ice, recombinant purified cN-II was added to a final concentration of 0.1 µM in 80 µL buffer containing 50 mM imidazole pH 6.5, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$ and then incubated for 10 min with the different compounds to be evaluated as potential cN-II inhibitors. The reaction was started by addition of the substrate (50 µM of IMP with an equimolar amount of Mg) and incubated at 37° C for 5 min. The reaction was stopped by addition of 20 µL of Green malachite reagent (strong acid) and free phosphate was quantified by reading the absorbance at 630 nm on a plate reader (Tecan Sunrise). The percentage of enzymatic inhibition has been calculated by using the following formula: % inhibition = 1 - [(x – Min) / (Max - Min)]. Min and Max refer to the absorbance of full-inhibition and Max the signal in absence of inhibitor, respectively. For the most
active compounds, Michaëlis-Menten kinetics were performed to determine the inhibition mode and inhibition constants, as previously described [19]. Briefly, enzyme (alone or in presence of compounds) and substrate (IMP at different concentrations varying from 0.2 to 3 mM) were mixed in a thermostatically controlled beaker under magnetic stirring at 37 °C (same buffer as for the previous assay). Every 7 s, samples were taken from the mixture and reaction was stopped by adding 10% of perchloric acid. Quantification of IMP and inosine was performed by HPLC (Waters Alliance) using a Partisphere 5-SAX column (AIT France) and 10 mM ammonium phosphate buffer pH 5.5 as mobile phase. The raw data (IMP and inosine peaks area integrated with Empower software, Waters) were analyzed using Grafit 7 (Erithacus Software) and fitted with equations describing either a competitive, a non-competitive, an uncompetitive or a mixed inhibition mechanism. The equation that reproduced best the experimental data was selected for the determination of the inhibition mode by also taking into account the chi square value (confidence computed from the fitting giving the independence statistical test between experimental and theoretical values).

**Cell-based experiments.** Cell survival in presence of cN-II inhibitors and purine nucleoside analogues alone (intrinsic cytotoxicity) or in combination (synergy experiments) was determined with human follicular lymphoma cells (RL), human acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells (CCRF-CEM) and human acute promyelocytic leukemia cells (HL-60), as described earlier [15, 19]. Briefly, ten thousand cells were seeded per well in 96-well plates and incubated with different concentrations of drugs and inhibitors alone or in fixed ratio combinations for 72 hours, and cell survival was determined with the MTT assay. Inhibitory concentrations 50 (IC$_{50}$, concentrations associated with 50% cell survival as compared to unexposed cells) and combination index 95 (CI$_{95}$, a parameter for the quantification of the combinatory effect of the two compounds to achieve 95% inhibition of cell survival) were calculated with the CompuSyn software based on the Chou and Talalay method. [29] Drug combinations with CI$_{95}$ < 0.9 are considered synergistic, whereas they are additive for 0.9 < CI$_{95}$ < 1.1 and antagonistic for CI$_{95}$ > 1.1.

**Crystallization, data reduction, structure determination and refinement.** Protein used for X-ray crystallography studies was prepared as described earlier [34] and concentrated to 8 mg/ml for crystallization. All compounds used for crystallization were dissolved in 1% DMSO to a concentration of 50 mM. Both nanoliter- (1:1 ratio of 200 nL protein: reservoir solution employing a Mosquito™ robot (TTP Labtech) with 96 well plates and microliter crystallization experiments with 24 well plates were performed using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method, and “form I” [22]) and “form II” [19] experimental conditions. Freshly purified C-terminal truncated cN-II (see ref. [15] 8
mg/mL) was mixed with compounds UA2132, 2a, 7a, 12, 13, 18 and 20 to final concentrations of 5 and 10 mM of these latter and incubated at 4 °C for 20 minutes prior to co-crystallization setup. Crystals grown in the presence of compounds (co-crystallization) appeared upon 1-2 weeks. Only compounds 7a and 20 could be co-crystallized, and in forms II and I, respectively. For soaking experiments (conducted in parallel), native crystals (both forms) appeared after approximately one week at 4 °C. Only form I crystals were further studies due to an extreme fragility of form II crystals which fell apart upon soaking. For cryo-protection, crystals were transferred to a drop containing the crystallization reservoir solution (at the above mentioned conditions) supplemented with 10% and 15% glycerol for “form I” and “form II” crystals, respectively, and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Hereafter, X-ray diffraction data were collected at the European Synchrotron radiation facility (ESRF Grenoble France), and integrated and scaled using programs from the XDS package [35]. All crystal structures were determined using the molecular replacement method and the program “Phaser” [36] as implemented in the “Phenix” software suite [37] and the previously reported cN-II structures (2J2C) [22] and (5CQZ) [19] as search models for forms I and II, respectively. Refinement was performed using the programs “Refmac5” [38] and “Phenix” [37], altering with iterative model re-building and analysis with “COOT” [39]. Ligands and water molecules displayed 2Fo-Fc and Fo-Fc electron densities contoured at the 1σ and 3σ level, respectively, prior to refinement. The pdb coordinates and topology of the compounds tested for binding during refinement (though lacking in final three-dimensional structures) were obtained using the PRODRG2 server [40] and the program “eLBOW” as implemented in “Phenix”. Libraries for refinement of these molecules were generated using the program LIBCHECK as implemented in the CCP4 program package [41]. Composite omit maps were calculated (“Phenix“) in order to reduce model bias, and rmsd’s were calculated with the program TM-score [42]. Data collection and refinement statistics are given in Table S3.

Coordinates and structure factors of the cN-II structures have been deposited at the RCSB (Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics) Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org) under the entry codes 6FIR (cN-II-2132, 5 mM), 6FXH (cN-II-2132, 10 mM), 6FIS (cN-II-2a), 6FIW (cN-II-20) and 6FIU (cN-II-12), respectively.

Description of general methods for chemical synthesis is already published [19]

Diethyl p-toluenesulfonyloxymethylphosphonate [28], compounds 1a-b, [43], 2a-b [44], 3 [45] and 4 [46] were prepared according to previously published procedures.
**General Procedure for Synthesis of Compounds (1-4).** To a stirred solution of adenine (7.40 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was added under argon dry K$_2$CO$_3$ (10.73 mmol) and the corresponding alkyl halide (8.88 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature until thin layer chromatography (TLC) revealed that the starting material was consumed. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH, 0-10%) to provide compounds 1-3 whereas compound 4 was isolated after precipitation in water and filtration.

7-Benzyl-7H-adenine (1a): yield = 20%; white powder; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ = 5.50 (s, 2H), 7.29-7.36 (m, 3H), 7.44-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.95 (bs, 2H), 8.55 (s, 1H); MS (ESI) $m/z$ 226.0 [M+H]$^+$.  

9-Benzyl-9H-adenine (1b): yield = 24%; white powder; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ = 5.36 (s, 2H), 7.24-7.33 (m, 7H), 8.14 (s, 1H), 8.25 (s, 1H); MS (ESI) $m/z$ 226.1 [M+H]$^+$.  

7-Benzyloxymethyl-7H-adenine (2a): yield = 43%; white powder; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ = 4.70 (s, 2H), 5.79 (s, 5H), 7.30 (s, 5H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 8.12 (bs, 2H), 8.48 (s, 1H); MS (ESI) $m/z$ 256.1 [M+H]$^+$.  

9-Benzyloxymethyl-9H-adenine (2b): yield = 22%; white powder; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ = 4.58 (s, 2H), 7.29-7.32 (m, 7H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H); MS (ESI) $m/z$ 256.0 [M+H]$^+$.  

2-(Adenin-9-yl)ethyl acetate (3): yield = 60%; white powder; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ = 1.97 (s, 3H), 4.42 (s, 4H), 7.27 (bs, 2H), 8.17 (s, 2H); MS (ESI) $m/z$ 222.0 [M+H]$^+$.  

Ethyl-2-(adenin-9-yl) acetate (4): yield = 28%; white powder; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ = 1.12 (t, 3H, $^3$J = 7.2 Hz), 4.07 (q, 2H, $^3$J = 7.2 Hz), 4.97 (s, 2H), 7.18 (bs, 2H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H); MS (ESI) $m/z$ 222.2 [M+H]$^+$.  

Synthesis of 9-(diethoxyphosphorylmethyl) adenine (5): To a stirred solution of adenine (7.40 mmol) in DMF (45 mL) was added under argon NaH 60% (8.90 mmol) and diethyl p-toluenesulfonyloxymethylphosphonate (8.97 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 17 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH, 0-10%) to provide compound 5 in a 56% yield as a white powder; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ = 1.19 (t, 6H, $^3$J = 7.2 Hz), 4.08 (q, 4H, $^3$J = 7.2 Hz), 4.74 (d, 2H, $^3$J = 11.6 Hz), 7.36 (bs, 2H), 8.08 (s, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H); $^{31}$P NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta$ = 19.0; MS (ESI) $m/z$ 286.1 [M+H]$^+$.  

**General Procedure for Synthesis of Compounds (6-10).** To a stirred solution of N7- or N9-substituted adenine derivatives 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 3, 4 or 5 (1.05 mmol) in pyridine (3 mL) was added portion wise under argon the biphenyl-3-carbonyl chloride (1.15 mmol). The solution was stirred at
rt or 100 °C until TLC revealed that the starting material was consumed. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 0-10%) to provide compounds 6-10. Additional precipitation of a methanolic solution of compounds 7a and 7b in diethyl ether was required to obtain samples with sufficient purity.

N-(7-Benzyl-7H-purin-6-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carboxamide (6a): yield = 94 %; white powder; 
¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 5.95 (s, 2H), 7.41-8.19 (m, 13H), 8.48 (s, 1H), 8.99 (s, 1H), 9.71 (s, 1H), 13.33 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 51.3, 113.5, 124.5 (2C), 125.0, 125.6, 125.7, 126.0 (2C), 126.2, 126.3 (2C), 126.6 (2C), 127.0, 129.4, 129.9, 131.7, 136.6, 137.9, 144.5, 146.5, 146.7, 149.9, 164.3; MS (ESI) m/z 436.2 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C₂₅H₂₀N₆O [M+H]+ 436.1668, found 436.1675; HPLC tᵣ = 8.1 min, 98.5%.

N-(9-Benzyl-9H-purin-6-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carboxamide (6b): yield = 59 %; white powder; 
¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 5.55 (s, 2H), 7.36-8.07 (m, 13H), 8.40 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.80 (s, 1H), 11.43 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 44.4, 123.2, 124.5, 124.8 (2C), 125.4, 125.5 (2C), 125.7, 125.8, 126.7 (2C), 126.9 (2C), 127.1, 128.5, 131.9, 134.5, 137.3, 138.2, 142.6, 148.1, 149.5, 150.2, 163.3; MS (ESI) m/z 462.2 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C₂₅H₂₀N₆O [M+H]+ 462.1668, found 462.1653; HPLC tᵣ = 8.6 min, 97.3%.

N-(7-((Benzylxy)methyl)-7H-purin-6-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carboxamide (7a): yield = 12 %; white powder; δ = 4.72 (s, 2H), 5.88 (s, 2H), 7.30-7.76 (m, 11H), 7.89-8.03 (m, 2H), 8.29 (m, 2H), 8.71 (s, 1H), 12.56 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 71.4, 78.2, 126.8 (2C), 127.1, 127.6 (2C), 127.8 (2C), 128.0 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 129.1 (2C), 130.4 (2C), 135.6, 137.1, 139.6, 140.2, 144.5, 149.9 (3C), 171.1; MS (ESI) m/z 436.3 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C₂₆H₂₂N₅O₂ [M+H]+ 436.1774, found 436.1761; HPLC tᵣ = 6.9 min, 81.8% (unstable).

N-(9-((Benzylxy)methyl)-9H-purin-6-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carboxamide (7b): yield = 27 %; white powder; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 4.64 (s, 2H), 5.79 (s, 2H), 7.31-7.56 (m, 8H), 7.66 (t, 1H, 3J = 8.0 Hz), 7.82 (d, 2H, 3J = 8.0 Hz), 7.94-8.05 (m, 2H), 8.38 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.82 (s, 1H), 11.40 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 70.7, 72.2, 125.2, 126.7, 126.9 (2C), 127.5 (2C), 127.6, 127.7, 127.9 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 129.0, 129.2, 130.6, 133.9, 137.1, 139.3, 140.3, 144.9, 150.3, 152.0, 152.7, 163.3; MS (ESI) m/z 436.1 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C₂₆H₂₂N₅O₂ [M+H]+ 436.1774, found 436.1762; HPLC tᵣ = 9.1 min, 97.7%.

2-(6-((1,1′-Biphenyl)-3-carboxamido)-9H-purin-9-yl)ethyl acetate (8): yield = 62 %; white powder; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 1.98 (s, 3H), 4.49-4.59 (m, 4H), 7.44-8.18 (m, 8H), 8.41 (s, 1H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.80 (s, 1H), 11.41 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 20.5, 42.5, 61.9, 125.2, 126.6, 126.9 (2C), 127.5, 127.9, 129.0 (2C), 129.2, 130.5, 134.0, 139.3, 140.3, 144.8, 150.1, 151.4, 152.6,
165.4, 170.1; MS (ESI) m/z 402.1 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C_{22}H_{20}N_{5}O_{3} [M+H]+ 402.1566, found 402.1565; HPLC t_R = 7.6 min, 98.8%.

**Ethyl 2-(6-([1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxamido)-9H-purin-9-yl)acetate (9):** yield = 57 %; white powder; ^1^H NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 1.26 (t, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.23 (q, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz), 5.27 (s, 2H), 7.40-8.08 (m, 8H), 8.41 (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.79 (s, 1H), 11.36 (bs, 1H); ^13^C NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 14.0, 44.3, 61.5, 125.0, 126.7, 126.9 (2C), 127.6, 127.9, 129.0 (2C), 129.2, 130.6, 134.0, 139.4, 140.3, 145.1, 150.2, 151.7, 152.6, 165.5, 167.7; MS (ESI) m/z 402.2 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C_{22}H_{20}N_{5}O_{3} [M+H]+ 402.1566, found 402.1565; HPLC t_R = 7.6 min, 98.8%.

**Diethyl ((6-([1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxamido)-9H-purin-9-yl)methyl)phosphonate (10):** yield = 42 %; white powder; ^1^H NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 1.21 (t, 6H, J = 6.8 Hz), 4.08-4.16 (m, 4H), 4.91 (d, 2H, J = 11.6 Hz), 7.30-8.10 (m, 8H), 8.42 (s, 1H), 8.43 (s, 1H), 8.83 (s, 1H), 11.41 (bs, 1H); ^13^C NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 16.1 (2C), 37.0, 62.5 (2C), 124.7, 126.7, 126.9 (2C), 127.5, 127.9, 129.0 (2C), 129.2, 130.6, 134.0, 139.3, 144.4, 150.3, 151.6, 152.2, 165.4; ^31^P NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 18.6; MS (ESI) m/z 466.3 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C_{23}H_{25}N_{5}O_{4}P [M+H]+ 466.1644, found 466.1631; HPLC t_R = 8.0 min, 99.5%.

**General Procedure for Synthesis of Compounds (11) and (12).** To a stirred solution of compound 8 or 9 (0.40 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of NaOH 2M (4.0 mmol). The solution was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min and then the mixture was acidified until pH 1 with a solution of HCl 4M. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water, and dried to provide compound 11 or 12.

**N-(9-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-9H-purin-6-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxamide (11):** yield = 66 %; white powder; ^1^H NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 3.87 (m, 2H), 4.45 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.60 (m, 3H), 7.71 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.84-8.11 (m, 4H), 8.45 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 9.04 (s, 1H); ^13^C NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 47.0, 58.5, 119.4, 126.9 (3C), 127.7, 127.9, 129.0 (2C), 129.3, 131.0, 132.2, 139.2, 140.3, 144.7, 148.5, 151.6, 152.3, 166.3; MS (ESI) m/z 360.2 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C_{20}H_{16}N_{5}O_{2} [M+H]+ 360.1461, found 360.1455; HPLC t_R = 6.9 min, 98.9%.

**2-(6-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-carboxamido)-9H-purin-9-yl)acetic acid (12):** yield = 93 %; white powder; ^1^H NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 5.16 (s, 2H), 7.44-7.72 (m, 4H), 7.85 (d, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.97-8.08 (m, 2H), 8.41 (s, 1H), 8.53 (s, 1H), 8.79 (s, 1H), 11.36 (bs, 1H); ^13^C NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ = 45.3, 125.5, 127.6, 127.8 (2C), 128.5, 128.8, 129.9 (2C), 130.2, 131.5, 134.8, 140.2, 141.2, 146.1, 150.8, 152.5, 153.5, 166.4, 169.9; MS (ESI) m/z 374.1 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C_{20}H_{16}N_{5}O_{3} [M+H]+ 374.1253, found 374.1243; HPLC t_R = 7.1 min, 99.0%. 
(6-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-carboxamido)-9H-purin-9-yl)methyl)phosphonic acid (13): To a stirred solution of compound 10 (0.32 mmol) in DMF (4.5 mL) at 0 °C was added under argon TMSBr (4.0 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature under argon for 48 h, then the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and pH was adjusted to 7 with a solution of triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) 1M. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was successively purified by reverse phase (RP-18) chromatography (gradient: H₂O to MeOH) then silica gel chromatography using isocratic conditions (IPrOH/NH₄OH/H₂O, 7/2/1). Finally, a fraction of the compound was purified again by RP-18 chromatography (gradient: H₂O to MeOH) to provide compound 13 as a white powder (11%) and with sufficient purity; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 4.48 (d, 2H, J = 11.8 Hz), 7.44-7.71 (m, 4H), 7.84 (d, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.95-8.05 (m, 2H), 8.39 (s, 1H), 8.50 (s, 1H), 8.77 (s, 1H), 11.36 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 42.7 (d, J = 133 Hz), 123.3, 126.8, 128.1, 128.4, 128.5, 129.8, 130.3 (2C), 133.1, 133.7, 140.2, 141.3, 146.0, 148.5, 151.3, 152.5, 162.1, 166.9; MS (ESI) m/z 410.2 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C₁₉H₁₇N₅O₄P [M+H]+ 410.1018, found 410.1012; HPLC tᵣ = 6.3 min, 97.8%.

(4-(3-Bromophenyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl diethyl phosphate (15): To a stirred solution 14 [19] (4.48 mmol) in DMF (15 mL) was added under argon NaH 60% (8.21 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 30 min, a solution of diethyl p-toluenesulfonyloxymethylphosphonate (2.5 eq.) in DMF (12.5 mL) was added over a 15 min period. The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 17 h. The volatiles were evaporated and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 0-5%) to provide compound 15.

yield = 86 %; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 1.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 4.11 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H), 4.31 (d, 2H, J = 12.3 Hz), 7.13-7.87 (m, 6H); ³¹P NMR: 17.5. MS (ESI) m/z 749, 747, 745 [2M+H]+; 375, 373 [M+H]+

Ethyl 3'-(1-(((diethoxyphosphoryl)oxy)methyl)-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate (16): To a three-neck round-bottom flask under argon atmosphere was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.1 eq.), DMF (27 mL) and compounds 15 (3.65 mmol). K₂CO₃ (3 eq.) and the corresponding 3'-ethoxycarbonylbenzeneboronic acid (1.7 eq.) were successively added and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon at 100 °C until TLC revealed that the starting material was consumed. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water and product was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO₄, concentrated in vacuum and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 0-5%) to provide the desired compound 16.
yield = 86%; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 1.31$ (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H), 1.42 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 4.13 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 4H), 4.34 (d, 2H, $^3J = 12.3$ Hz), 4.40 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.34-8.32 (m, 10H); $^{31}$P NMR: 17.5. MS (ESI) m/z 885 [2M+H]$^+$; 443 [M+H]$^+$

3'-((Ethoxy(hydroxy)phosphoryl)methyl)-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylic acid (17): To a stirred solution of compound 16 (1.38 mmol) in EtOH/dioxane (7.6 mL, 2:1, v:v) at 0 °C was added a solution of NaOH 2M (5 eq.). The solution was stirred at 80 °C until TLC revealed that the starting material was consumed. The reaction mixture was acidified until pH 2 with a solution of HCl 1N. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water, and dried to provide compound 17 as partially deprotected phosphonate.

yield = 76%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta = 1.19$ (t, $J = 9$ Hz, 3H), 3.93 (q, $J = 9$ Hz, 2H), 4.40 (d, 2H, $^3J = 12$ Hz), 7.45-7.95 (m, 8H), 8.19 (s, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H); $^{31}$P NMR: 12.1. MS (ESI) m/z 773 [2M+H]$^+$, 387 [M+H]$^+$

Synthesis of compounds (18) and (19) To a stirred solution of carboxylic acid 17 (1.42 mmol) in DMF (13 mL) was added under argon $N,N'$-carbonyldiimidazole (1.5 eq.), $N,N'$-dimethyl-4-aminopyridine (0.2 eq.), adenine or 2a (1.5 eq.). The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C until the starting material disappeared (checked by HPLC). Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and column chromatography of the crude materials on reverse phase (RP18, gradient: water to acetonitrile 100%) gave the expected ethylphosphonate which was passed through a Dowex Na$^+$ ion exchange column. The desired fractions were collected and freeze dried leading to the desired compounds 18 or 19 as sodium salt.

Sodium ethyl ((4-(3'-(7H-purin-6-yl)carbamoyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl) phosphonate (18): yield = 63%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta = 1.03$ (t, $J = 7$ Hz, 3H), 3.65 (q, $J = 7$ Hz, 2H), 3.89 (d, $J = 12$ Hz, 2H), 7.40-8.47 (m, 10H), 8.53 (s, 1H), 8.75 (s, 1H), 11.80 (bs, 1H), 12.5 (bs, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta = 16.9$ (d, $^3J = 6$ Hz), 44.7 (d, $^1J = 137$ Hz), 59.2 (d, $^2J = 5.7$ Hz), 117.5, 122.5, 123.7, 124.5, 126.0, 127.6, 129.2, 130.8, 135.8, 139.1, 139.5, 140.5, 146.1, 151.2, 166.5; $^{31}$P NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta = 8.35$; MS (ESI) m/z 504 [M-Na+2H]$^+$; HRMS: calcd for C$_{24}$H$_{23}$N$_7$O$_4$P [M-Na+2H]$^+$ 504.1468, found 504.1549.

Sodium ethyl ((4-(3'-(7-((benzlyoxy)methyl)-7H-purin-6-yl)carbamoyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl) phosphonate (19): yield = 6%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta = 1.03$ (t, $J = 7$ Hz, 3H), 3.65 (q, $J = 7$ Hz, 2H), 3.91 (d, $J = 12$ Hz, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 5.72 (s, 2H), 7.32-8.1 (m, 10H), 8.26 (s, 1H), 8.39 (s, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta = 16.9$, 44.7 (d, $^1J = 137$ Hz), 59.2 (d, $^2J = 5.2$ Hz), 70.9, 77.27, 117.4, 122.3, 123.1, 124.0, 124.2, 124.8, 127.1, 127.7, 128.1, 128.3, 129.1,
135.7, 137.5, 138.2, 139.1, 139.7, 140.2, 140.5, 142.6, 149.2, 150.4, 157.8, 173.2; \( ^{31} \)P NMR (DMSO-\( d_6 \)): \( \delta = 8.55 \); MS (ESI) m/z 623 [M-Na+2H]⁺; HRMS: calcd for C\(_{32}\)H\(_{31}\)N\(_7\)O\(_5\)P [M-Na+2H]⁺ 624.2129, found 624.2124.

**Sodium ((4-(3'-(7H-purin-6-yl)carbamoyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl) methyl) phosphonate (20):** The partially deprotected derivative 18 (1 eq.) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (20 mL/mmol) and excess trimethylsilyl bromide (15-20 eq.) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until completion of the reaction was indicated by HPLC. Then, the reaction was stopped by adding triethylammonium bicarbonate buffer (TEAB 1 M, pH 7) and concentrated to dryness under high vacuum. Column chromatography of the crude materials on reverse phase (gradient: water to methanol 100%) gave the expected phosphonic acid (as triethylammonium salt), which was passed through a Dowex Na⁺ ion exchange column. The desired fractions were collected and freeze dried leading to the title compounds 20 as sodium salt. yield = 20%; \(^1\)H NMR (D\(_2\)O): \( \delta = 3.84 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 6.82-7.5 (m, 10H), 8.0 (s, 1H), 8.2 (s, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (D\(_2\)O): \( \delta = 46.9 (d, J = 137 Hz), 117.5, 121.4, 123.4, 124.6, 125.3, 126.8, 129.0, 129.3, 131.1, 131.7, 133.3, 138.4, 138.5, 139.8, 145.7, 150.7, 166.7; \(^{31}\)P NMR (D\(_2\)O): \( \delta = 10.7 \); MS (ESI) m/z 476.1 [M-Na+2H]⁺; HPLC \( t_R = 5.2 \text{ min}, 100\% \).

**General procedure for Suzuki coupling reaction, Compounds 21a-e:** To a three-neck round-bottom flask under argon atmosphere was added Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_4\) (0.14 mmol), DMF (9.5 mL) and commercially available 2-, 3- or 4-bromobenzonitrile (1.41 mmol). K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (4.24 mmol) and the corresponding 2-, 3- or 4-ethoxycarbonylbenzeneboronic acid (2.40 mmol) were successively added and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon at 100 °C until TLC revealed that the starting material was consumed. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water and product was extracted with EtOAc. Organic layers were dried over MgSO\(_4\), concentrated in vacuum and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc, 0-60%) to provide the desired compounds.

**Ethyl 4'-cyano-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carboxylate (21a):** yield = 74%; \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 1.06 (t, 3H, \( J = 7.2 \text{ Hz} \)), 4.12 (q, 2H, \( \delta J = 7.2 \text{ Hz} \)), 7.30 (dd, \( \delta J = 7.5 \text{ Hz} \), \( \delta J = 0.9 \text{ Hz} \), 1H), 7.41 (d, \( \delta J = 8.4 \text{ Hz} \), 2H), 7.48 (td, \( \delta J = 7.5 \text{ Hz} \), \( \delta J = 1.5 \text{ Hz} \), 1H), 7.57 (td, \( \delta J = 7.5 \text{ Hz} \), \( \delta J = 1.5 \text{ Hz} \), 1H), 7.69 (d, \( \delta J = 8.1 \text{ Hz} \), 2H), 7.94 (dd, \( \delta J = 7.8 \text{ Hz} \), \( \delta J = 1.2 \text{ Hz} \), 1H); MS (ESI+) 252.1 [M+H]⁺, 224.1 [M-HCN], 206.1 [M-OEt]⁺

**Ethyl 3'-cyano-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate (21b):** yield = 74%; \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 1.34 (t, 3H, \( \delta J = 6.9 \text{ Hz} \)), 4.33 (q, 2H, \( \delta J = 7.2 \text{ Hz} \)), 7.47 (2t, \( \delta J = 7.5 \text{ Hz} \), 2H), 7.54-7.75 (3d, \( \delta J = 7.5 \text{ Hz} \), 2H), 7.85 (s, 1H); MS (ESI+) 252.1 [M+H]⁺, 224.1 [M-HCN], 206.1 [M-OEt]⁺.
Ethyl 4'-cyano-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate (21c): yield = 71%; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.34 (t, 3H), 3.95 (q, 2H), J = 7.2 Hz, 7.49 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (m, 5H), 8.02 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.2 (s, 1H); MS (ESI+) 252.1 [M+H]^+, 224.1 [M-HCN], 206.1 [M-OEt]^+

Ethyl 2'-cyano-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxylate (21d): yield = 95%; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 1.35 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 4.35 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.62-8.11 (m, 8H); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 1.42 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 4.41 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (dd, J = 7.5 Hz, 4J = 0.9 Hz 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (dd, J = 7.5 Hz, 4J = 0.9 Hz 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H); MS (ESI+) 252.1 [M+H]^+, 206.1 [M-OEt]^+

Ethyl 2'-cyano-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate (21e): yield = 92%; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 1.35 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 4.35 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.61-8.12 (m, 8H). MS (ESI+) 252.1 [M+H]^+, 206.1 [M-OEt]^+

**General procedure for synthesis of tetrazolo derivatives 22a-e:** To a mixture of benzonitrile ester derivatives 21a-e (0.453g, 1.8 mmol), sodium azide (0.351 g, 3eq.), and triethylamine hydrochloride (0.743 g, 3eq.) in NMP (18 ml) was heated at 150 °C for 20 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC (methanol: dichloromethane, 1:9, v:v). After completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, the pH was adjusted with concentrated HCl 3N. The solid precipitate was extracted with ethyl acetate twice. Again, it was washed with brine solution and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporate to yield the product. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc, 10-60%) to provide the desired compounds.

Ethyl 4'-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carboxylate (22a): yield: 83%; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 1.18 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 4.24 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (dd, J = 7.5 Hz, 4J = 0.9 Hz 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (td, J = 7.5 Hz, 4J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (td, J = 7.5 Hz, 4J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (dd, J = 7.8 Hz, 4J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H); MS (ESI+) 883.3 [3M+H]^+, 589.2 [2M+H]^+, 295.1 [M+H]^+, MS (ESI-) 881.3 [3M-H]^-, 587.2 [2M-H], 293.1 [M-H]^-

Ethyl 3'-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate (22b): yield: 74%; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 1.42 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 4.44 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.73-8.18 (m, 6H), 8.35 (s, 1H), 8.43 (s, 1H). MS (ESI+) 589.2 [2M+H]^+, 295.1 [M+H]^+

Ethyl 2'-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate (22c): yield: 84%; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ = 1.35 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 4.36 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.67 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 8.27 (s, 1H); MS (ESI+) 589.2 [2M+H]^+, 295.1 [M+H]^+, MS (ESI-) 587.2 [2M-H] 293.1 [M-H]^-, 265.1 [M-Et]^−

35
Ethyl 2’-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1’-biphenyl]-4-carboxylate (22d): yield: 75%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 1.32$ (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 4.32 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.89 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H). MS (ESI+) 295.1 [M+H]$^+$, 249.1 [M-OEt]$^+$ MS (ESI-) 293.1 [M-H]$^-$; 265.1 [M-Et]$^-$

Ethyl 2’-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1’-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate (22e): yield: 57%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 1.30$ (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 4.29 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.33-7.91 (m, 8H). MS (ESI+) 295.1 [M+H]$^+$, 249.1 [M-OEt]$^+$ MS (ESI-) 293.1 [M-H]$^-$; 265.1 [M-Et]$^-$

General procedure for saponification of methyl ester derivatives, compounds 23a-e. To a stirred solution of compound 22a-e (4.82 mmol) in a mixture of EtOH and dioxane (33 mL, 2:3, v:v) at 0 °C was added a solution of NaOH 2M (5 eq.). The solution was stirred at 60-80 °C until TLC revealed that the starting material has disappeared. The reaction mixture was acidified until pH 2 with a solution of HCl 2N. The precipitate was filtered, extracted with ethyl acetate and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate to provide carboxylic acid derivatives 23a-e in nearly quantitative yields (90 to 100%). All compounds were considered pure enough (as determined by HPLC) to be used without further purification.

General procedure for synthesis of compounds 24a-e and 25. To a stirred solution of carboxylic acid 23a-e (400 mg, 1.5 mmol) in DMF (15 mL) was added under argon $N,N$-carbonyldiimidazole (1.5 eq.), $N,N$-dimethyl-4-aminopyridine (0.2 eq.), adenine or $N$-9 substituted adenine 4 (3 eq.). The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C until HPLC revealed that the starting material was consumed. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Excess of adenine was precipitated in isopropanol, filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was firstly purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/EtOH/AcOH,90/5/5, v/v/v) and then on reverse phase (RP18 using a gradient of water to methanol containing 1% AcOH) to provide the desired compounds.

$N$-(9H-Purin-6-yl)-4’-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1’-biphenyl]-2-carboxamide (24a): yield = 15%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 7.07-8.09$ (m, 9H), 8.40 (s, 1H), 8.57 (s, 1H), 11.49 (bs, 1H) $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 126.5, 127.7, 129.2, 130.2, 130.5, 131.2, 135.4, 139.8, 140.2, 146.4, 151.6, 152.9, 159.5, 169.8$ MS (ESI-) $m/z$ 765.2 [2M-H]$^-$; 382.1 [M-H]$^-$, MS (ESI+) 767.3 [2M+H]$^+$ 384.1 [M+H]$^+$; HRMS: calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{14}$N$_9$O [M+H]$^+$ 384.1321, found 384.1324; HPLC $t_R = 9.16$ min, 100%.

$N$-(9H-Purin-6-yl)-3’-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1’-biphenyl]-3-carboxamide (24b): yield = 45%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 6.92-8.12$ (m, 7H), 8.47 (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.75 (s, 1H), 11.78 (bs, 1H) $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 107.0, 124.7, 125.8, 126.9, 127.0, 127.8, 129.4, 129.6, 130.4, 130.9, 133.6,
N-(9H-Purin-6-yl)-4′-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carboxamide (24c): yield = 53%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta$ = 6.95-8.19 (m, 7H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H); 11.78 (bs, 1H); 13C NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta$ = 107.0, 125.5, 126.8, 127.3, 127.7, 128.2, 129.4, 130.9, 133.6, 139.3, 139.4, 140.1, 145.9, 151.2, 152.4, 156.3, 166.3 MS (ESI-) m/z 382.1 [M-H]; MS (ESI+) 384.1 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{14}$N$_9$O [M+H]+ 384.1321, found 384.1318; HPLC $t_R$ = 10.5 min, 96.4 %

N-(9H-Purin-6-yl)-2′-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (24d): yield = 17%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta$ = 7.27-8.06 (m, 8H), 8.49 (s, 1H), 8.72 (s, 1H), 11.54 (bs, 1H); 12.39 (bs, 1H); 13C NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta$ = 124.4, 128.9, 129.0, 129.4, 131.1, 131.5, 132.1, 140.9, 144.2, 146.5, 151.6, 155.8, 166.5, MS (ESI-) m/z 1148.4 [3M-H]; 765.2 [2M-H]; MS (ESI+) 767.3 [2M+H]+ 384.1 [M+H]+; HRMS: calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{14}$N$_9$O [M+H]+ 384.1321, found 384.1327; HPLC $t_R$ = 9.6 min, 96.5%

N-(9H-Purin-6-yl)-2′-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carboxamide (24e) yield = 56%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta$ = 7.20-8.08 (m, 8H), 8.48 (s, 1H), 8.71 (s, 1H), 11.55 (bs, 1H); 12.37 (bs, 1H); 13C NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta$ = 124.4, 128.1, 128.7, 128.8, 129.5, 129.6, 131.0, 131.4, 133.4, 140.2, 141.0, 146.4, 151.6, 155.9, 166.7, MS (ESI-) m/z 1148.4 [3M-H]; 765.2 [2M-H]; MS (ESI+) 767.3 [2M+H]+ 384.1 [M+H]+ HRMS: calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{14}$N$_9$O [M+H]+ 384.1321, found 384.1320; HPLC $t_R$ = 9.24 min, 100%

Ethyl 2-(6′-(2H-tetrazol-5-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-4-carboxamido)-9H-purin-9-ylacetate (25): yield = 15%; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta$ = 1.24 (t, 3H, $^3$J = 7.0 Hz), 4.21 (q, 2H, $^3$J = 7.0 Hz), 5.25 (s, 2H), 7.27-7.98 (m, 8H), 8.48 (s, 1H), 8.74 (s, 1H), 11.24 (bs, 1H); 13C NMR (DMSO-$_d_6$): $\delta$ = 14.5, 44.8, 62.0, 125.4, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 129.5, 129.8, 130.8, 131.0, 132.1, 140.4, 141.2, 145.3, 150.7, 152.1, 158.3, 165.9, 168.2; MS (ESI-) m/z 1406.5 [3M-H]; 937.3 [2M-H]; 468.15 [M-H], MS (ESI+) 939.3 [2M+H]+ 470.2 [M+H]+ HRMS: calcd for C$_{23}$H$_{20}$N$_{10}$O$_3$ [M+H]+ 470.1692, found 470.1689; HPLC $t_R$ = 9.49 min, 96.6%
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ABBREVIATIONS
AMP, adenosine 5’-monophosphate; BOM, benzyloxymethyl; CCRF-CEM, human acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells; CD73, 5’-ectonucleotidase; CDI, N,N’-carbonyldiimidazole; cdN, cytosolic 3’,5’-deoxy-nucleotidase; cN-I, cN-II, or cN-III, cytosolic 5’-nucleotidase I, II or III; DMAP, 4-dimethylaminopyridine; DMF, N,N-dimethylformamide; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; FBDD, fragment-based drug discovery; HL-60, human acute promyelocytic leukemia cells; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; IMP, inosine 5’-monophosphate; mdN, mitochondrial nucleotidase; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide; NMP, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; RL, human follicular lymphoma cells; rt, room temperature; shRNA, short hairpin ribonucleic acid; TMSBr, trimethylsilyl bromine; UV, Ultra-violet.
Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org) entry codes: 6FIR (cN-II-2132, 5 mM), 6FXH (cN-II-2132, 10 mM), 6FIS (cN-II-2a), 6FIW (cN-II-20) and 6FIU (cN-II-12). Authors will release the atomic coordinates and experimental data upon article publication.
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