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Abstract
Single particle cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) is transforming structural biology by enabling the analysis of difficult macromolecular specimens, such as membrane proteins or large complexes with flexible elements, at near atomic resolution with an accuracy close to that of X-ray crystallography. As the technique continues to improve, it is important to assess and exploit its full potential to produce the most possible reliable atomic models. Here we propose to use the experimental images as the data for refinement and validation, instead of the reconstructed maps as currently used. This procedure, which is in spirit quite similar to that used in X-ray crystallography where the data include experimental phases, should contribute to improve the quality of the models.
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Discussion

Single-particle cryo-EM has recently joined the circle of techniques that allow macromolecular structure determination at almost atomic resolution. The technique presents a clear advantage over X-ray crystallography in that it allows the structural analysis of particles that are difficult or impossible to grow into crystals, such as very large complexes, membrane proteins or proteins with flexible portions, and is therefore gaining a prevalent role in the determination of biological macromolecular structures. As a relatively young method, computational methods to interpret images and derive atomic models continue to be developed and optimized. In that regard, a recent comparison of X-ray and cryo-EM maps calculated at the same resolution, together with the corresponding atomic models, showed that although the appearance of the maps was quite comparable between the two techniques, X-ray crystallography maps were more detailed and the atomic models fitted into them were more accurate. To make the comparison on a fair basis the X-ray electron densities were calculated with experimental phases (SAD, heavy-atom) and improved by density modification. In this way the maps produced by both techniques were model-free. The accuracy and level of detail of the maps were assessed by fitting the already determined atomic structures into them. These results begged for microscopists to continue to improve the accuracy and performance of methods for map improvement and model refinement, in order to produce atomic models that meet the same quality standards as in X-ray crystallography.

The cryo-EM and X-ray crystallography experimental techniques are very different but the final stages of the structure determination process are similar. An important difference between crystallography and cryo-EM as techniques to reconstruct scattering densities is that in cryo-EM it is possible, in principle, to obtain a reconstruction starting from the raw experimental data without imposing any model excepting the assumption that the data are projections of similar particles. If we look at the cryo-EM data in reciprocal space the similarities and differences with X-ray data become apparent. The actual experimental data in cryo-EM are two-dimensional images related to the projections of the particle electrostatic potential, along different directions. According to the projection-slice theorem, the Fourier transform of the two-dimensional experimental image corresponds to a central section of the three-dimensional particle Fourier transform multiplied by the contrast transfer function (CTF), a well-defined mathematical function that introduces a modulation in reciprocal space and restricts the data to annular domains delimited by the zero-crossings of the function. So, while in X-ray crystallography the diffraction experiment gives amplitudes of Fourier coefficients for points in a known reciprocal lattice, in cryo-EM the images give, after CTF correction, complex Fourier coefficients in central planes whose relative orientations in reciprocal space are unknown. The accurate determination of the CTF and the assignment of orientations and centers to the images is the task of the reconstruction procedure which, in principle, does not depend on any model. In this regard, the cryo-EM map is somehow equivalent to an experimentally phased X-ray crystallography map in the sense that the required orientations and phases are determined from the sole experimental data. With near atomic resolution data, these maps allow the construction of initial atomic models. In X-ray crystallography, model phases are used to update the map during model refinement, keeping the experimental structure factors—the data—unchanged. Thereby, the crystallographic model is improved as the map becomes clearer in both the particle and solvent regions based on feedback from calculated phases. In cryo-EM, the reconstruction is considered as experimental data and kept unchanged during model building and refinement. In keeping with elementary ideas of data, it seems natural that the central sections, rather than the reconstructed map or its associated Fourier coefficients, should play the role of data in model refinement.

The perspective that atomic structures should be refined against raw cryo-EM images was suggested in conclusion of an excellent recent review on cryo-EM refinement. We propose here directions for the explicit use of experimental images in cryo-EM refinement. We call $G^{\text{obs}}_k$ the two-dimensional Fourier coefficients of the $k$th image and $G^{\text{calc}}_k$ the corresponding calculated quantities, given by

$$G^{\text{calc}}_k(q) = \chi_k(q) \exp(-2\pi i q \cdot \mathbf{o}_k) F(R, q),$$

where $F$ is the three-dimensional Fourier transform of the model electrostatic potential, $R_k$ the rotation that specifies the section orientation, $\mathbf{o}_k$ the origin shift that centers the section, $\chi_k$ the section’s associated CTF and $q$ is a two-dimensional reciprocal vector. The mismatch between $G^{\text{obs}}_k$ and $G^{\text{calc}}_k$ may be used to define the experimental component of a refinement target function. The refinement target function should thus allow to couple the improvement of the model to that of the orientations, centers and CTF. Accordingly, the mismatch between $G^{\text{obs}}_k$ and $G^{\text{calc}}_k$ could be used to calculate an $R$-factor for structure assessment. Note that such criteria remain meaningful even in situations where maps are not of high quality, for example when the distribution of central sections is pronouncedly uneven.

By using experimental images as data, cryo-EM refinement procedures become thus quite similar to those used in X-ray crystallography, in spirit, in that the reconstructed maps are allowed to improve as model building and refinement proceed. A proof-of-principle assessment of the above proposal could be feasible based on available refinement software originally developed by X-ray crystallographers. Such software is mainly implemented in cartesian coordinates, but it can be anticipated that spherical coordinates will be more appropriate not only to handle rotations and interpolation of Fourier coefficients as required by Equation 1, but also to produce more accurate results. Clearly, other not well understood aspects throughout the determination procedures will also need to be improved, such as dealing with errors on the detectors or multiple conformations of molecules, some of which may benefit from the proposed refinement target to yield statistically more robust structures. Ultimately, this may allow to better exploit the potential of the cryo-EM method and lead to a significant gain of accuracy of the high-resolution refinement protocol.
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This article by Cherfils and Navaza provides an important path forward to model refinement in cryoEM, which deals with noisy data. The authors draw on rather rigorous methods of refinement and validation of coordinates in X-ray crystallography to propose the use of central section (or particles) in cryoEM as experimental data rather than the reconstructed map.

I would like to state that Michael Rossmann (Purdue University) would have been an appropriate reviewer for this paper as he was thinking on the same lines for the last few years. Unfortunately, Michael passed away recently. Nevertheless, this is a very interesting idea and provides a direction to incorporate true experimental data rather than depending on reconstructed maps, which could potentially have their own problems.

While I support indexing, I have a strong recommendation for the authors: the word "model" often does not translate well between crystallography and cryoEM. It could refer to coordinates in crystallography but in cryoEM, it could be the actual map or in later stages, the model of the atomic coordinates. Could the authors please ensure that they clarify this in the paper? On similar lines, "cryo-EM refinement" becomes ambiguous because it could refer to the reconstruction process (i.e., refinement of centers, orientation angles, defocus etc) or it could indicate the refinement of atomic coordinates against the map (or images as in this case). It would be extremely helpful to the readers if the authors could ensure that such confusion does not arise.
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The work by Cherfils and Navaza proposes to use the central sections from cryo-EM images, rather than the reconstructed maps or its associated Fourier coefficients, as the data for model refinement and validation. To achieve it, the section orientation and the origin shift that centers the section are incorporated in the evaluation of the two-dimensional Fourier coefficients of the model. In this way cryo-EM refinement can become similar to X-ray crystallography refinement.

This is a very interesting proposal that could provide (implementation pending) an elegant approach to overcome major limitations in the accuracy and quality of the cryo-EM models obtained with the available methodologies. It might be good if authors could add some considerations about:

1. Noise in the individual cryo-EM sections could be high, which would degrade the accuracy of the observed two-dimensional Fourier coefficients. How these (large) errors could affect to the proposed refinement approach?
2. With orientation and translation of individual sections applied to the model, how the “improved” experimental maps can be efficiently computed?
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