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The Genetic Legacy of the Indian Ocean Slave Trade: Recent Admixture and Post-admixture Selection in the Makranis of Pakistan

Romuald Laso-Jadart,1,2,3 Christine Harmant,1,2,3 Hélène Quach,1,2,3 Nora Zidane,1,2,3 Chris Tyler-Smith,4 Qasim Mehdi,5,6 Qasim Ayub,4,7 Lluis Quintana-Murci,1,2,3,8,* and Etienne Patin1,2,3,8,*

From the eighth century onward, the Indian Ocean was the scene of extensive trade of sub-Saharan African slaves via sea routes controlled by Muslim Arab and Swahili traders. Several populations in present-day Pakistan and India are thought to be the descendants of such slaves, yet their history of admixture and natural selection remains largely undefined. Here, we studied the genome-wide diversity of the African-descent Makranis, who reside on the Arabian Sea coast of Pakistan, as well that of four neighboring Pakistani populations, to investigate the genetic legacy, population dynamics, and tempo of the Indian Ocean slave trade. We show that the Makranis are the result of an admixture event between local Baluch tribes and Bantu-speaking populations from eastern or southeastern Africa; we dated this event to ~300 years ago during the Omani Empire domination. Levels of parental relatedness, measured through runs of homozygosity, were found to be similar across Pakistani populations, suggesting that the Makranis rapidly adopted the traditional practice of endogamous marriages. Finally, we searched for signatures of post-admixture selection at traits evolving under positive selection, including skin color, lactase persistence, and resistance to malaria. We demonstrate that the African-specific Duffy-null blood of such slaves, yet their history of admixture and natural selection remains largely undefined. Here, we studied the genome-wide diversity of the African-descent Makranis, who reside on the Arabian Sea coast of Pakistan, as well that of four neighboring Pakistani populations, to investigate the genetic legacy, population dynamics, and tempo of the Indian Ocean slave trade. We show that the Makranis are the result of an admixture event between local Baluch tribes and Bantu-speaking populations from eastern or southeastern Africa; we dated this event to ~300 years ago during the Omani Empire domination. Levels of parental relatedness, measured through runs of homozygosity, were found to be similar across Pakistani populations, suggesting that the Makranis rapidly adopted the traditional practice of endogamous marriages. Finally, we searched for signatures of post-admixture selection at traits evolving under positive selection, including skin color, lactase persistence, and resistance to malaria. We demonstrate that the African-specific Duffy-null blood group—believed to confer resistance against Plasmodium vivax infection—was recently introduced to Pakistan through the slave trade and evolved adaptively in this P. vivax malaria-endemic region. Our study reconstructs the genetic and adaptive history of a neglected episode of the African Diaspora and illustrates the impact of recent admixture on the diffusion of adaptive traits across human populations.

The trade of slaves has existed in many cultures since early human history and has left a profound legacy on the social, cultural, and genetic diversity of human populations. Over the last five centuries, more than ten million slaves were transported from Africa to the New World by western European traders. The ancestry of African slaves captured for the transatlantic slave trade has been extensively studied with the use of rich historical records2,3 and genome-wide surveys of present-day African Americans.4–6 However, much less is known about the populations who were enslaved for the Indian Ocean slave trade.7 From the 8th to the 19th centuries, about four million people were captured from the shores of eastern Africa by Arab Muslim and Swahili traders. It has been suggested that slaves transported before the 16th century originated from the Horn of Africa, i.e., Nilotic or Afro-Asiatic speakers from present-day Ethiopia, whereas most Africans enslaved from the 18th century onward were Zanj,8 i.e., Bantu speakers of southeastern Africa. Indeed, the Omani Empire progressively imposed their domination on the Swahili coast and Zanzibar in this time period, leading to an intensified slave trade from these regions. However, direct evidence of the provenance of the African slaves embarked for the Indian Ocean trade remains lacking.

A few present-day populations in South Asia, including the Siddis from western India and the Makranis from Pakistan, are considered to descend from African slaves.9 Because these populations have not preserved their original African languages and traditions, except perhaps musical culture,10 studying the genome of South Asian populations of African descent represents a unique opportunity to increase our knowledge about the dynamics and tempo of the Indian Ocean slave trade. Genetic studies of both uniparentally inherited and autosomal markers have estimated that the Siddis have 60%–75% sub-Saharan African genetic ancestry and carry Y chromosome haplogroups characteristic of Bantu-speaking populations.11,12 By contrast, the African ancestry of the Makranis is limited to 12% (± 7%) for the Y chromosome and 40% (± 9%) for mtDNA.13,14 Nevertheless, most of these estimations are based on uniparentally inherited markers, which provide a partial, sex-biased view of past population history, and no studies have appropriately investigated the ancestry sources of African-descent admixed populations in South Asia. Furthermore, their study can inform the
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extent to which traits that were adaptive in parental populations, such as increased resistance to infection, have contributed to the fitness of admixed populations in a different environmental context.15

In this study, we aimed to increase our knowledge of the geographic origins, admixture dynamics, and post-admixture selection processes of South Asian African-descent populations that originated from the Indian Ocean slave trade by using a population-genomics approach. To address these questions, we genotyped 118 individuals from five Pakistani populations on the Illumina Omni2.5 array (Figure S1). We excluded 16 individuals who presented with evidence of cryptic relatedness (i.e., kinship coefficient > 0.025 and an identical-by-state statistic < 0.034 estimated from SNP array data with KING16), leading to a total of 102 unrelated individuals who were retained for all subsequent analyses. These included 24 African-descent Makranis sampled from different locations near Karachi and on the Makran coast (here referred to as Makrani Baluch), 22 Baluch inhabiting the Makran coast (here referred to as Makrani Baluch), 22 Baluch and 18 Brahui from Baluchistan, and 16 Parsi from Karachi, in the Sindh province of Pakistan (Table S1). It is important to note that our sample of African-descent Makranis is distinct from the so-called Makrani of the HGDP-CEPH panel.17,18 The latter correspond to our Makrani Baluch, who are not considered to descend from African slaves and present with neither anthropological nor cultural features associated with African ancestors.19 DNA sampling has been described elsewhere.13,14 Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study was approved by the Institut Pasteur (institutional review board no. 2011-54/IRB/6). After standard quality-control filters (Figure S1), a total of 2,263,423 SNPs were retained for subsequent analyses. We merged the newly generated data with relevant, available datasets, including those of the HapMap 3 International Consortium20 and the African Genome Variation Project,21 yielding a total of 1,316 individuals genotyped for 1,356,632 SNPs (Table S1).

Unsupervised ADMIXTURE analysis22 estimated that the Makranis are composed of 25.5% ancestry from sub-Saharan Africa and 74.5% from Pakistan, with a standard deviation (SD) of 16.6% (Figures 1A, S2, and S3; Table S2). The large inter-individual variance in African ancestry among the Makranis is suggestive of recent admixture.23 We also observed varying levels of African ancestry among the remaining Pakistani populations: 6.7% (SD = 10.7%) in the neighboring Makrani Baluch, followed by a baseline of 1%–2% in the Baluch and Brahui of Baluchistan and the Parsi from Karachi. With increased K values in the ADMIXTURE analysis, the African ancestry of the Makranis appeared to be related to that of Bantu-speaking populations from eastern or southeastern Africa, more than that of western or Horn of Africa populations (Figure 1A). We obtained comparable results when merging our newly generated data with two independent datasets that together included 1,111 individuals from 63 worldwide populations but a limited number of SNPs (226,323 SNPs common to all datasets; Figures S4 and S5; Table S1).6,17

To formally test whether the Makranis are the result of an admixture event and, if so, to determine when such admixture occurred and which populations were involved, we phased the data with SHAPEIT24 and used the haplotype-based GLOBETROTTER approach.18,25 Admixture linkage-disequilibrium (LD) decay fitted well with expectations under a single admixture event (simulation-based p < 0.01; Figure S6) occurring 300 ± 25 years ago (12 ± 1 generations ago) between a Pakistani population (83% ancestry contribution [bootstrap 95% confidence interval (CI) of the parameter estimate = 82%–84%]) and a sub-Saharan African population (17% ancestry contribution [95% CI = 16%–18%]). The best-matching parental populations were the Baluch (97% relative ancestry contribution [95% CI = 96%–98%] versus 3% [95% CI = 2%–4%] for the Parsi; Figure 1B) and Bantu-speaking populations from eastern or southeastern Africa (42% relative ancestry [95% CI = 10%–42%] for the Luhya of Kenya versus 40% [95% CI = 20%–44%] for the Sotho of South Africa). The contribution from the Horn of Africa, represented here by the Oromo, the Amhara, and the Somali of Ethiopia, was nil across all bootstrap replicates (Table S2). The similar contributions of eastern or southeastern Bantu-speaking groups to present-day Makranis suggest that an intermediate population, such as Mozambicans, could be the most likely unsampled source population. This hypothesis is further supported by haplotype-based principal-component (PC) analysis, which showed that the Makranis are located in an intermediate position between eastern (i.e., the Luhya) and southeastern (i.e., the Sotho) Bantu-speaking populations from PC2 to PC4 (Figure S7). These results indicate that the Makranis probably descend from slaves who were captured on the Swahili coast during the 18th century, when the Omani Empire dominated the Indian Ocean slave trade.8,26

To learn more about endogamy and marriage practices in the Makranis, we next scanned their genomes for long runs of homozygosity (ROHs), which are indicative of elevated relatedness levels among an individual’s ancestors, even when the overall population size is large.27,28 We detected ROHs with PLINK 1.929 by considering 1-Mb homozygous windows that included more than 20 SNPs, allowing for two heterozygous SNPs and five missing genotypes.30 The mean cumulative ROH in the Makranis was large and not significantly different from that observed in the other Pakistani populations (two-sample t test adjusted p > 0.05; Figure 1C), who are among the studied populations with the largest levels of parental relatedness worldwide.28 Notably, we found no significant correlation between cumulative ROHs and Pakistani ancestry in the Makranis (Spearman’s ρ = 0.25, p = 0.24), suggesting that their levels of homozygosity are not simply due to identity by descent in the Pakistani fraction of their genomes. Although future studies with increased sample
sizes are needed to confirm this hypothesis, our results suggest that the descendants of African slaves in Pakistan rapidly adopted the local practices of endogamy.19

We next evaluated whether heritable traits that are known to be adaptive in humans and that were brought by African slaves have also conferred a selective advantage in the South Asian environment after the admixture event and led to signatures of post-admixture selection in the Makranis. Specifically, we tested whether variants associated with skin pigmentation (MIM: 227220),31 lactose tolerance (MIM: 223100),32 and host resistance to malaria (MIM: 611162)33 show departures from their expected frequency under an admixture model 15 and/or an excess of local African ancestry in the Makrani genomes.6,34,35 We genotyped 18 candidate variants by using TaqMan assays and two variants in the LCT (MIM: 603202) regulatory region by using Sanger sequencing (Figure S1); all were absent from the Omni2.5 SNP array (Table 1).

A single variant, rs2814778 in DARC (Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines, more recently termed ACKR1 for atypical chemokine receptor 1 [MIM: 613665]), showed several consistent signals of post-admixture selection (Figure 2). This variant is responsible for the Duffy-null blood group, which is thought to confer complete resistance to Plasmodium vivax malaria.33 The derived C allele, which reaches near fixation in sub-Saharan Africa and is
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Position (GRCh37)</th>
<th>HUGO Gene Name (MIM No.)</th>
<th>Candidate Allele</th>
<th>Alternative Allele</th>
<th>Luhya</th>
<th>Brahui</th>
<th>Parsi</th>
<th>Baluch</th>
<th>Makrani Baluch</th>
<th>Makrani (Obs.)</th>
<th>Makrani (Exp.)</th>
<th>p Value (Binomial Test)</th>
<th>Local Ancestry</th>
<th>SD from Average</th>
<th>p Value (PCAdapt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs1426654</td>
<td>chr15: 48,426,484</td>
<td>SLC24A5 (609802)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
<td>3.0 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6058017</td>
<td>chr20: 32,856,998</td>
<td>ASIP (600201)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2228478</td>
<td>chr16: 89,986,608</td>
<td>MCIIR (155555)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2287499</td>
<td>chr17: 7,592,168</td>
<td>WRPAS3 (612661)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2467737</td>
<td>chr15: 43,737,188</td>
<td>TP53BP1 (605230)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G*</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs642742</td>
<td>chr12: 89,299,746</td>
<td>KITLG (184745)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.6 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs16891982</td>
<td>chr5: 33,951,693</td>
<td>SLC45A2 (606202)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G*</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>−1.71</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs26722</td>
<td>chr5: 33,963,870</td>
<td>SLC45A2 (606202)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T*</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>−1.71</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1667394</td>
<td>chr15: 28,530,182</td>
<td>OCA2 (611409), HERC2 (605837)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T*</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1800404</td>
<td>chr15: 28,235,773</td>
<td>OCA2 (611409), HERC2 (605837)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T*</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1042602</td>
<td>chr11: 88,911,696</td>
<td>TYR (606933)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9782955</td>
<td>chr1: 236,039,877</td>
<td>LYST (606897)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T*</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3829241</td>
<td>chr11: 68,855,363</td>
<td>TPCN2 (612163)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12896399</td>
<td>chr14: 92,773,663</td>
<td>SLC24A4 (609840)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T*</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Derived alleles are indicated by an asterisk. Observed (obs.) frequencies of candidate alleles are shown together with those expected (exp.) in the Makranis according to their inferred admixture model. Local ancestry at candidate variants, as well as the corresponding number of standard deviations (SDs) from the genome-wide average, was obtained with RFMix 1.5.4.**
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largely absent from Eurasia,\textsuperscript{38} was detected at a significantly higher frequency in the Makrans (50\%) than expected (30\%; adjusted binomial test $p = 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$) given its frequency in the parental populations and admixture proportions estimated by ADMIXTURE (Table S2). This signal was confirmed by 100,000 simulations under the Wright-Fisher neutral model and the assumption of realistic effective population sizes of the Makrans and migration rates from parental populations (simulation-based $p < 0.05$; Figures 2A and 2B). The rs2814778 variant was strongly differentiated between the Makrans and non-admixed Baluch ($p = 2.3 \times 10^{-7}$; Figure 2C).\textsuperscript{37} Furthermore, African local ancestry along the genome of the Makrans, inferred by RFMix v.1.5.4,\textsuperscript{36} reached 44\% in the DARC genomic region, 3.13 SDs above the genome-wide average of 22\% (Figure 2C; Table S2), indicating that the signal of selection extends to surrounding linked markers. Finally, the C allele was also observed at 25\% in the Makrani Baluch (Table 1), whereas their African ancestry was only $\sim 7\%$ (Table S2), suggesting that the variant was also positively selected in Pakistani populations with more limited African ancestry. Together, these results suggest that the Duffy-negative blood group has been under post-admixture selection in South Asia, where 80\% of individuals with severe malaria are infected by \textit{P. vivax}.\textsuperscript{39}

This study reconstructs the recent genetic and adaptive history of the descendants of African slaves carried by the Indian Ocean trade, a major yet neglected episode of the African Diaspora. Oral traditions suggest that the Makrans descend from Abyssinian (i.e., present-day Ethiopian) slaves who were transported to Pakistan in the 8\textsuperscript{th} century.\textsuperscript{40} Our genomic survey indicates instead that most of their African ancestry can be traced back to the so-called Zanj, i.e., Bantu-speaking populations from the Swahili coast. Exhaustive sampling of southeastern Bantu-speaking populations will now be needed to further narrow the geographic origins of the African ancestors of Makrani populations. Despite this potential limitation, the Swahili coastal origin of African slaves is further supported by the estimated date of admixture between the African and Pakistani ancestors of the Makrans in the beginning of the 18\textsuperscript{th} century, a period when the majority of slaves were captured in southeast Africa under the rule of the Omani Empire.\textsuperscript{8,26} Furthermore, we identified the Baluch as their best-matching source of Pakistani ancestry; Baluch men were historically recruited into the slave trade as soldiers, body guards, and sailors for Omani Muslims mainly during the 18\textsuperscript{th} and 19\textsuperscript{th} centuries.\textsuperscript{19} Our results do not necessarily imply that the Indian Ocean slave trade to Pakistan developed only in this time period, given that the arrival of slaves might not have been accompanied by immediate admixture with local populations. Furthermore, estimating the admixture time on the basis of admixture LD decay informs the period during which the bulk of admixture occurred and can be biased toward more recent times when there is continuous admixture.\textsuperscript{41} Nevertheless, our analyses do not support a scenario of admixture mostly occurring in the 8\textsuperscript{th} century, as suggested by oral traditions, and suggest instead that the genetic legacy of African slaves in present-day Pakistanis is of more recent origin.
It is also important to highlight that we detected unexpectedly low African ancestry in the Makranis (17%) in relation to that estimated in the African-descent Siddis from India (>60%). Two hypotheses can be put forward to explain this finding: the number of African slaves transported to present-day Pakistan might have been lower than that transported to India, or genetic isolation of African slaves (i.e., segregation) might have been stronger in India than in Pakistan, where co-habitation and intermarriages with the latter scenario, historical records suggest that female slaves had more chances to intermix with local South Asian populations than male slaves, and genetic analyses suggest that the majority of African slaves who contributed to the gene pool of present-day Pakistanis through admixture were females, whereas those admixing in India were primarily males. Indeed, the estimated African ancestry of the Makranis from their mitochondrial genome (40%) is three times that obtained from the Y chromosome (12%), whereas it is only one-third in the Siddis (24% from mtDNA versus 70% from Y chromosome).

A seminal study has suggested that natural selection acting in the last few generations can be detected in admixed populations on the basis of signatures of post-admixture selection, but it has been unknown whether adaptive traits such as malaria resistance, skin pigmentation, or lactose tolerance have been selected in admixed populations of South Asia. Our data and analyses indicate that the African-specific Duffy-null blood group has evolved under post-admixture selection since it was introduced by slaves into Pakistan. The Duffy-null phenotype is caused by a variant in the DARC promoter region, which abolishes Duffy antigen protein expression on red blood cells and the ability of the malaria parasite P. vivax to invade erythrocytes. The extreme frequency differences of the Duffy-null allele between African and non-African populations were interpreted as the result of a strong selective sweep starting ~42 kya in Africans as a result of increased resistance to P. vivax malaria. However, P. vivax infection has been recently documented in African Duffy-null individuals, raising the possibility that the DARC selection signature results from host resistance to another infectious agent. Given that P. vivax malaria is endemic in Pakistan, our results are consistent with the hypothesis that resistance to P. vivax is the main evolutionary force driving the frequency of the Duffy-null allele in admixed Pakistanis, in agreement with a genetic study of admixed Malagasy. Admixture mapping of malaria resistance in African-descent admixed populations living in endemic regions, such as Pakistan, could substantiate the major impact of P. vivax on the history of human adaptation.

Recent studies have provided empirical evidence that the acquisition by human populations of adaptive traits, including the response to altitude-induced hypoxia, lactase persistence, and HLA-mediated responses, has been facilitated by admixture. Our finding of post-admixture selection targeting the Duffy-null blood group outside Africa provides evidence of adaptive admixture within our species—as opposed to interspecies adaptive introgression. Future theoretical and empirical studies of admixed populations, particularly those resulting from the African Diaspora worldwide, will provide new insights into the recent impact of natural selection on population variation in complex traits and disease susceptibility across a wide range of environmental contexts.
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