Disorder in van der Waals heterostructures of 2D materials

Daniel Rhodes^{1,*}, Sang Hoon Chae^{1,*}, Rebeca Ribeiro-Palau², & James Hone¹

¹*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA*

²*Centre de Nanosciences et de Nanotechnologies (C2N), CNRS, Université Paris Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91120 Palaiseau, France.*

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Realizing the full potential of any materials system requires understanding and controlling sources of disorder that can obscure intrinsic properties and hinder device performance. Here we examine progress in understanding and reducing both intrinsic and extrinsic disorder in two-dimensional (2D) graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) incorporated into layered van der Waals heterostructures. In the case of mechanically exfoliated graphene, the dominant disorder is extrinsic and can be reduced by encapsulation within crystalline hexagonal boron nitride (*h*BN). Continuing improvement in encapsulation and device fabrication techniques has led to dramatic performance improvement and enabled the study of a wide range of novel phenomena. In the case of TMDs, both extrinsic and intrinsic disorder are relevant. The electronic and optical performance of semiconducting TMDs improves with the reduction of external disorder, but commonly used materials show high defect density which must be reduced to enable similar progress as demonstrated in graphene. These atomic defects can also be harnessed to provide useful electronic, optical,

chemical, and magnetic properties.

Atomically thin 2D materials have generated wide interest for electronic and optoelectronic applications, and as hosts for novel physical phenomena such as topologically protected states, valley effects, moiré physics, and exciton superfluidity. Toward these goals, minimizing disorder is crucial in order to reveal intrinsic properties and improve device performance and repeatability. A particular challenge is that 2D systems are by definition entirely surface, and therefore highly susceptible to external sources of disorder. Thus the challenge of controlling disorder in 2D materials includes both *intrinsic* disorder arising from crystalline imperfections, and *extrinsic* disorder arising from the environment. Below we review progress toward this goal in two specific systems: mechanically exfoliated graphene, in which intrinsic disorder is minimal and dramatic improvement is seen with encapsulation by *h*BN in a van der Waals heterostructure; and semiconducting TMDs for the intrinsic crystal quality must also be addressed in order to achieve similar progress.

Figure 1 summarizes the main sources of intrinsic and extrinsic disorder in 2D materials. Sources of extrinsic disorder, depicted in Fig. 1c, include: strain, adsorbates, surface roughness, charged impurities in the substrate, and oxidation. These sources of disorder can affect multiple properties by introducing electrostatic potential variation, scattering of charge carriers⁴, locally modifying the electronic band structure⁵, and acting as recombination centers for excitons⁶. Sources of intrinsic disorder (depicted in Fig. 1a for a hexagonal lattice but applicable to all 2D crystals), include atomic defects such as vacancies, anti-sites, substitutions, edges, and grain boundaries. Such grain boundaries are common in large area, polycrystalline monolayer films (see Fig. 1b, lower panel), and can dominate the materials' properties. In graphene, transport across a

Figure 1 | Types of disorder in 2D materials. **a**, Intrinsic disorder: vacancy, anti-site, substitution, edges termination, and grain boundary. **b**, Aberration-corrected annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy image of graphene lattice¹ showing absence of defects (top) and grain boundary of CVD-grown graphene (bottom). **c**, Extrinsic disorder: strain, adsorbates, surface roughness, charged impurities in the substrate, and oxidation. **d**, STS map of the bandgaps in MoS₂ on an SiO₂ substrate². **e**, AFM (top) and TEM (bottom) images of black phosphorus (BP) under oxidation. After 20 s exposure, the suspended region (1) has collapsed³. Figure reproduced with permission: adapted from ref.¹, Nature Publishing Group (**b**); ref.², Wiley (**d**); ref.³, Nature Publishing Group (**e**).

single grain boundary can decrease conductivity by a factor of three⁷. In TMDs, grain boundaries can produce local strain that can either reduce or increase the band gap depending on the tilt angle between each grain⁸. The role of defects and grain boundaries in 2D materials has been reviewed in detail⁹. In order to understand how electronic properties depend on other types of disorder, it is important to investigate single crystalline material. Below, we will continue to discuss intrinsic disorder in the context of single crystal monolayers produced through mechanical exfoliation.

Mechanically exfoliated graphene provides a case study for a material in which virtually most of the disorder is extrinsic. Figure 1b shows an annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (ADF-STEM) image of the graphene lattice showing the absence of any vacancies or Stone-Wales defects¹. In fact, extensive investigation by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) have shown that graphene flakes obtained by mechanical exfoliation from bulk graphite crystals are remarkably free of defects¹. In spite of the high quality of mechanically exfoliated graphene, early devices fabricated on SiO₂ substrates showed evidence of substantial disorder in electrical transport studies¹⁰. This disorder can be directly visualized by mapping the local potential variation using a scanning single electron transistor¹¹ or by scanning tunnelling spectroscopy (STS)^{12,13}. For charge-neutral graphene on SiO₂, chemical potential variations of ~ 100 meV (corresponding to carrier density variations of $\delta n \sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) on the length scale of tens of nanometers can be readily observed. These electron-hole ‘puddles’ have been shown to arise from trapped charge impurities in the SiO₂ substrate¹⁴ and surface adsorbates. Theoretical and experimental analysis indicates that scattering from this electrical potential disorder provides the dominant scattering mechanism for charge carriers in graphene at low tempera-

ture, providing the connection between charge disorder and electronic mobility^{15,16}. Roughness of SiO₂ can introduce strain variation in the 2D system at similar length scales which cause charge fluctuations¹². In TMDs, bending strain induced by surface roughness, which strongly modifies the local bandstructure, is likely the dominant source of disorder on SiO₂ substrates. Figure 1d shows a color map for the bandgap of monolayer MoS₂ on SiO₂, characterized by scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS)². Significant modulations in the bandgap, 1.23–2.65 eV, are observed with $\sim 80\%$ of the MoS₂ under sufficient enough strain to cause a direct-to-indirect bandgap transition.

Oxidation is another source of extrinsic disorder in many 2D materials that, unlike graphene, are air-sensitive¹⁷. A prime example is semiconducting black phosphorus (BP): small bumps of adsorbed water are seen by atomic force microscopy (AFM) immediately after exfoliation in ambient conditions (top panel of Fig. 1e³), and TEM imaging shows that few-layer BP completely collapses after 20 s of exposure to air (bottom panel of Fig. 1e). Recent work has shown that a photoassisted oxidation reaction by oxygen dissolved in adsorbed water is the dominant mechanism for degradation³.

A straightforward and effective way to reduce disorder arising from the substrate is to suspend the 2D layer so that it is not in contact with the SiO₂. Indeed, a study of suspended graphene¹⁸ has shown dramatically increased carrier mobility and greatly reduced charge inhomogeneity, reaching a residual carrier density $\delta n \sim 2 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This lower disorder permits the observation of fractional quantum Hall (QH) states^{19,20}, interaction-driven ground states²¹, and hydrodynamic phenomena²². However, suspended devices are limited in important ways: they are limited to low carrier density and weak gate capacitance, complex geometries are extremely challenging, and

multilayer structures are impossible. Therefore, a major goal in the field is to create substrate-supported devices with charge disorder (*i.e.* δn) approaching the cleanest suspended graphene. Toward this end, the most successful approach to date utilizes hexagonal boron nitride (*h*BN), a layered wide-bandgap (~ 6 eV) insulator²³ with a crystalline structure similar to graphene, as a replacement for conventional dielectrics such as SiO₂. Below we examine the effects of *h*BN encapsulation on disorder and materials performance, first for graphene and then for TMDs.

Reducing extrinsic disorder in graphene-*h*BN heterostructures

60 nm $\times$ 60 nm STM topography (Fig. 2a) and charge density (Fig. 2b) maps of monolayer graphene on *h*BN and SiO₂ substrates show the clear advantage of *h*BN¹³. Roughness in the graphene/*h*BN charge density for the surface (2.3×10^{10} cm⁻² rms) is much lower than what is observed for graphene/SiO₂ (8.2×10^{10} cm⁻² rms). Both the roughness and charge density inhomogeneities are dramatically reduced on *h*BN substrates, making *h*BN an ideal candidate for supporting 2D materials^{12,13,24}.

Figure 2c depicts the evolution of graphene devices as continued improvements to fabrication techniques have reduced extrinsic disorder. These are divided into four approximate ‘generations’, beginning with device fabricated on SiO₂¹⁰ (Generation 1). The first graphene-*h*BN devices²⁴ (Generation 2) were assembled by using polymers to pick up graphene flakes and place them onto *h*BN. Although these devices showed lower disorder than those on SiO₂^{12,13}, they remained affected by polymer residue from the transfer and lithographic processing. In addition, this residue can impede assembly of multilayer structures by aggregating into ‘bubbles’ between layers. Improved performance was seen upon the removal of residue (by annealing in oxygen or

Figure 2 | Evolution of graphene device design and resulting performance improvements. Comparing STM topography (a) and charge density maps (b) for graphene/hBN vs graphene/SiO₂¹³. c, Device fabrication techniques (L–R): Generation 1, mechanical exfoliation onto Si/SiO₂ substrates; Generation 2, graphene transfer onto hBN using a polymer film; Generation 3, dry encapsulation in hBN by a van der Waals layer assembly technique; Generation 4, hBN encapsulation with graphite gates. d, Normalized resistivity vs gate-induced charge density for devices of Generations 1–4, showing the dramatic decrease in Dirac peak width due to reduction of disorder-induced broadening. Quantum Hall effect measurements, for similar magnetic fields and for: e, Generation 1 device, showing four-fold degenerate integer states¹⁰; f, Generation 2 device showing all integer states²⁴, g, Generation 4 device showing well resolved fractional quantum Hall states. Adapted from ref.¹³, ACS Publications (a,b); ref.¹⁰, Nature Publishing Group (e); ref.²⁴, Nature Publishing Group (f).

by ‘sweeping’ with an AFM tip²⁵) and in micron-scale *h*BN-encapsulated graphene²⁶. Large-area *h*BN-encapsulated devices (Generation 3) are assembled by using the top layer in the heterostructure to ‘pick up’ subsequent layers, using only van der Waals forces, so that the interior surfaces are never in contact with the polymers²⁷ (Generation 3). The graphene-*h*BN interfaces produced by this van der Waals assembly technique show no evidence of contamination in cross-sectional imaging by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM), and bubble-free areas tens of μm in size can be achieved; electrical contact to the encapsulated graphene is achieved by metalization of the graphene edge exposed after etching the assembled heterostructure²⁷. These structures reduce, but do not eliminate, the effects of charge disorder in the underlying SiO_2 substrate, and intervening metal gates used to eliminate these effects can introduce variations in the electrostatic potential as well²⁸. The lowest disorder is instead achieved by utilizing graphite gates²⁹ (Generation 4). Local potential variation around metal contacts is also minimized by using graphite for electrical contacts, in essence creating devices entirely from van der Waals materials.

A simple yet accurate technique to estimate charge inhomogeneity in graphene devices is to measure the width of the peak in resistance as a function of gate-induced carrier density across the charge neutrality point (CNP)^{16,30}. A more rigorous method is to directly measure carrier density by Hall effect, but the two approaches give very similar results³¹. The CNP resistance peaks for four generations of graphene devices are shown in Fig. 2d, showing a dramatic narrowing from $\delta n \sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Gen 1) to $6 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Gen 4) – within a factor of three of the lowest reported for suspended graphene²⁰. Similar estimates of charge disorder are obtained by STM mapping^{12,13}. At this point the origin of the remaining disorder is unclear; small amounts of environmental impu-

urities such as water or hydrocarbons may still be present at the graphene-*h*BN interface, and charge disorder may arise from oxygen or carbon impurities in the *h*BN, which occur at concentrations similar to the charge fluctuations seen in STM²³. Beyond measurement of disorder by CNP peak width, electron transport measurements can also be used to extract the carrier mobility, which increases as δn decreases. Gen 3 and 4 devices show ballistic conduction, with an electronic mean free path limited by device size (typically $<20\ \mu\text{m}$) rather than impurity scattering, which provides a lower limit of $\sim 10^6\ \text{cm}^2\ \text{V}^{-1}\ \text{s}^{-1}$ (at $n = 10^{12}\ \text{cm}^{-2}$) on the intrinsic carrier mobility^{27,32}. A more accurate determination of the intrinsic mobility would require assembly of devices on the scale of $100\ \mu\text{m}$, which is currently difficult given the size of mechanically exfoliated *h*BN flakes.

The reduction of disorder in successive generations of graphene devices is better reflected in more sensitive measurements such as the quantum Hall effect (QHE). Gen 1 devices (Fig. 2e) show four-fold degenerate integer states, with the sequence famously reflecting the Berry phase¹⁰. Gen 2 devices (Fig. 2f), under the same experimental conditions, show breaking of the four-fold symmetry²⁴, reflecting the improved quality. In fully-encapsulated structures (Gen 3), at high magnetic fields³³ further details of the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) can be observed. In Gen 4 devices (Fig. 2g) well developed FQHE can be observed from magnetic fields as low as $6\ \text{T}$ ³⁴. In particular, Gen 4 devices that probe bulk insulating states capacitively³⁵ or through transport measurements in a Corbino geometry³⁶ show well developed integer QHE states emerging at magnetic fields as small as $B \sim 50\ \text{mT}$, symmetry-broken integer states at around $B = 1\ \text{T}$, and easily resolvable FQHE states by $B \sim 5\ \text{T}$. When comparing to Gen 4 devices in the Hall bar geometry these results show that edges remain as a source of intrinsic disorder still to be overcome.

Quantum transport measurements allow a more direct benchmark of 4th-generation graphene devices to GaAs/AlGaAs quantum wells with mobilities exceeding $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which represent the ‘gold standard’ for low-disorder 2D electron gas (2DEG) devices³⁷. In the low-field regime, Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) oscillations indicate a quantum scattering time τ_q of 0.2–0.3 ps in graphene, whereas GaAs 2DEGs show values above 20 ps³⁸. Likewise, the Landau level broadening measured by measuring the activation gap state as a function of magnetic field yields $\Gamma \sim 10 \text{ K}$, for edge³⁴ and edgeless³⁶ graphene devices and 0.1–1 K for GaAs³⁹. Thus the cleanest graphene devices still show roughly two orders of magnitude higher bulk disorder than GaAs. However, graphene stringer interactions strength and the ability to tune its carrier density enables the investigation and control of QH states. As a result, nearly every QH state originally observed in GaAs has now been seen in graphene (including recently-reported ordered electron solids³⁴), with the notable exception of the ‘stripe’ phases⁴⁰. Beyond QH physics, new regimes such as hydrodynamic transport are accessible in low-disorder graphene due to strong carrier-carrier interactions²². Moreover, graphene-*h*BN heterostructures offer greater flexibility in architecture versus conventional heterostructures. For instance, graphene layers can be placed extremely close to external patterned gates allowing strong bandstructure modification⁴¹, or to each other to study interaction effects in the strong coupling limit⁴². Likewise, the weak interlayer van der Waals interaction allows for the emergence of moiré superlattices that give rise to ‘Hofstadter Butterfly’ physics in graphene-*h*BN devices^{43,44}, and a strongly modified bandstructure in twisted bilayer graphene that can host both insulating and superconducting phases⁴⁵. Therefore graphene-*h*BN heterostructures can be seen as a complementary materials system with quality still below that of GaAs, but with

the benefit of providing access to a wide range of new physical phenomena.

Extrinsic disorder in semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenides

TMDs are compounds of the family MX_2 , where M is a transition metal (Nb, Mo, W, etc.) and X is a chalcogen (S, Se, Te). For this discussion, we will focus on monolayer semiconducting Mo- and W-based TMDs with a trigonal prismatic, 2H, $\text{P6}_3/\text{mmc}$ structure, akin to the honeycomb structure of graphene⁵². As with graphene, SiO_2 substrates introduce substantial extrinsic disorder to monolayer TMDs. Two main approaches have been utilized to reduce the effects of this disorder: i) Deposition of high- κ dielectric (i.e. HfO_2 or Al_2O_3)⁵³, which can both screen charged impurities and reduce charged impurity density by surface passivation; and ii) encapsulation within hBN ⁴⁸, which moves the conducting channel away from the SiO_2 surface. Charge disorder from the SiO_2 surface can also be screened by placing a conducting backgate under the hBN ⁴⁹. Reducing this extrinsic disorder improves electronic transport properties, as seen in measurements of carrier mobility and quantum transport measurements, as well as optical properties, as seen in photoluminescence spectra.

The electronic mobility of monolayer TMDs reflects the separate contributions of temperature-dependent scattering from phonons (both within the material and in the substrate) and static scattering from charge disorder – both are modulated by the dielectric environment and carrier density. Figure 3a shows theoretical calculations of the mobility for monolayer MoS_2 at fixed electron density $n = 1 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ on SiO_2 with HfO_2 encapsulation⁴. At low-temperature, the mobility is entirely dominated by charged impurity scattering, varying inversely with impurity density N_i . At higher temperatures, scattering from optical phonons dominates the electronic transport behavior

Figure 3 | Reducing extrinsic disorder in TMDs through *h*BN encapsulation. **a**, Theoretical calculation of temperature-dependent mobilities (black: electron mobility, blue: impurity mobility, red: phonon-scattering mobility) in $\text{SiO}_2/\text{MoS}_2/\text{HfO}_2$ structure⁴. **b**, Experimental observation of mobility versus temperature on a log scale for monolayer MoS_2 on SiO_2 ⁴⁶, HfO_2 ⁴⁷, and encapsulated in *h*BN⁴⁸. **c**, SdH as a function of magnetic field in Gen 3 constructed monolayer MoS_2 devices showing a clear valley Zeeman effect, i.e. weak/strong oscillations for even/odd states⁴⁹. **d**, SdH as a function of magnetic field in monolayer WSe_2 at $T \sim 1.6$ K and a hole density of $7.9 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Well defined SdH oscillations, and QH states in high magnetic fields with high-mobility holes are observed in monolayer WSe_2 ⁵⁰. **e**, Superconductivity behaviour of few-layered/bulk NbSe_2 (directly exfoliated on SiO_2 (top) and encapsulated by *h*BN (bottom)). Superconductivity is only observed in encapsulated samples. **f**, PL spectrum at 4 K for the monolayer TMDs on SiO_2 , top, versus encapsulated by *h*BN, bottom⁵¹. Adapted from ref.⁴, APS (a); ref.⁴⁹, APS (c); ref.⁵⁰, APS (d); ref.⁵¹, APS (f).

and a crossover to a power-law temperature dependence is observed. This model agrees well with experimental values for SiO₂-HfO₂ encapsulated MoS₂ (Fig. 3a, open squares), with $N_i = 6 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

Figure 3b shows the measured temperature-dependent mobility of monolayer MoS₂ in three different environments: on SiO₂ without⁴⁶ (red) and with⁴⁷ (blue) HfO₂ encapsulation, and encapsulated with *h*BN⁴⁸ (black). On SiO₂, the room-T mobility of MoS₂ $\sim 10 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, well below the predicted phonon-limited value shown above. Such devices also show a large hysteresis with gate voltage, indicating the presence of mobile charges at the surface⁵⁴. HfO₂ encapsulation reduces this hysteresis — consistent with passivation of mobile charges — and increases mobility⁵³, as does encapsulation with *h*BN⁴⁸. In both HfO₂- and *h*BN-encapsulated devices, a power-law temperature dependence is observed upon cooling, consistent with theory (Fig. 3a), indicating that the mobility is in the intrinsic phonon-limited regime. We note that *h*BN-encapsulation (vs. HfO₂-encapsulation) provides somewhat larger room-T mobility due to its higher optical phonon energy.⁴

At low-T, where phonons are inactive, mobility in SiO₂-supported devices is limited to $\sim 170 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Moreover, at low carrier density such devices show a crossover to a low-T insulating behavior, indicative of disorder-induced localization⁴⁷. HfO₂ encapsulation eliminates the low-T insulating behavior seen at low density, but does not improve the low-T mobility at high carrier density. In contrast, encapsulation within *h*BN improves the low-T mobility by roughly one order of magnitude in monolayer MoS₂ (see Fig. 3b). Following the theory of Fig. 3a, this increased mobility corresponds to a reduced impurity density of $N_i \sim 1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. We note, however, that

the reduction in impurity density with *h*BN encapsulation is still far less than what has been seen for graphene, indicating that either: (1) interfaces in *h*BN-TMD heterostructures are not as clean as for graphene; or (2) TMD crystals possess substantial intrinsic disorder that dominates low-T mobility when extrinsic disorder is reduced. TEM imaging does not find interfacial impurities in MoS₂-*h*BN interfaces⁴⁸, arguing against the first scenario. This observation motivates careful examination of intrinsic material quality as discussed below.

The improved performance of *h*BN-encapsulated MoS₂ enables quantum transport measurements in high magnetic fields. In these measurements, the onset of SdH resistance oscillations decreases as the inverse carrier mobility (quantum scattering time). The first such studies (with $\mu \sim 1,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) showed the onset of quantum oscillations near 10 T⁴⁸. More recent data with graphite gate is shown in Figure 3c⁴⁹. The onset of SdH oscillations at $\sim 2 \text{ T}$ suggests mobility above $4,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at $\sim 100 \text{ mK}$. These oscillations show even-odd behavior, clear evidence of valley Zeeman splitting. Likewise, recent measurements⁵⁰ of hole-doped WSe₂ with $\mu \sim 2,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ show strong SdH oscillations with an onset above 5 T, as shown in Fig. 3d. However, fully formed QH states — with zero longitudinal resistance and clear plateaus in Hall resistance — have yet to be observed in monolayer TMDs, highlighting the need for a further reduction in disorder.

Oxidation in air is another source of extrinsic disorder that strongly affects electronic transport in 2D materials. Encapsulating 2D monolayers with *h*BN in an inert atmosphere provides excellent protection from degradation, allowing air-sensitive samples to be handled easily. This has been widely used to study air-sensitive TMD superconductors such as NbSe₂. As shown in

Fig. 3e, few-layered NbSe₂ directly exfoliated on SiO₂ does not show superconductivity, and bi-layer/trilayer samples show insulating behavior at low-T due to localization from strong disorder⁵⁵. In contrast, *h*BN-encapsulated NbSe₂ is superconducting even in the monolayer limit⁵⁶. This method of using *h*BN as a means of isolating samples from oxidation has been expanded to many other layered materials for exploring ferromagnetism (CrI₃)⁵⁷, or QHE (BP)⁵⁸.

As direct-bandgap semiconductors, monolayer TMDs show efficient photoluminescence (PL), with spectra dominated by strong excitonic resonances. As shown for monolayer TMDs in Fig. 3f, when extrinsic disorder is reduced in monolayer TMDs through *h*BN encapsulation and sufficient screening of charge disorder in the underlying SiO₂ substrate, a substantial narrowing of the linewidth is observed⁵¹. The low-T (~ 4 K) linewidth of *h*BN encapsulated samples is less than 2 meV, significantly reduced from the linewidth of MoSe₂ on bare SiO₂^{51,59}. This reduced linewidth allows for the observation of additional excitonic states at energies close to the highest intensity peaks. In the case of WSe₂ this has allowed for the observation and control, via in-plane magnetic fields, of dark excitonic states⁶⁰. These linewidths are even sufficiently narrow enough to allow for the observation of optical transitions between Landau levels with an energy spacing of ~ 2 meV in electrostatically gated monolayer WSe₂⁶¹. Reduced extrinsic disorder has also facilitated the observation of strong interaction-driven effects such as cyclotron mass renormalization due to electronic-induced doping⁶², biexcitons⁶³, and additional many-body states.

Intrinsic disorder in TMDs

As discussed above, reduction in extrinsic disorder through *h*BN encapsulation improves the properties of TMDs, but not to the same degree as observed for graphene, indicating that TMD

Figure 4 | Intrinsic disorder in TMDs and defect control through growth. **a**, Topographic STM image of mechanically exfoliated MoS₂⁶⁴. **b**, ADF-STEM images highlighting each major defect in MoS₂⁶⁵. **c**, Defect counts for common point defects found in TMDs and for various methods of producing monolayers (mechanical exfoliation, PVD, and CVD)⁶⁶. **d**, STM image of MOCVD monoalayer WSe₂ grown on epitaxial graphene without (left) and with (right) an H₂Se post-growth anneal⁶⁷. **e**, Topographic STM image of single crystal WSe₂ comparing defects for CVT (left) and flux (right) growths. **f**, Inverse compressibility $\partial\mu/\partial n$ versus back-gate bias and magnetic field B , for CVT (left) and flux (right) grown WSe₂, showing an obscured Landau Fan diagram for CVT as compared to flux⁶⁸. Adapted from ref.⁶⁴, Nature Publishing Group (**a**); ref.⁶⁵, ACS Publications (**b**); ref.⁶⁶, Nature Publishing Group (**c**); ref.⁶⁷, ACS Publications (**d**); ref.⁶⁸, Nature Publishing Group (**f**).

crystals do not share the near-perfection of graphene. This is not surprising given that the formation energy for defects in TMDs is much lower than for similar defects in graphene (*e.g.* 7–8 eV for a graphene vacancy *vs.* 2.1 eV for a sulfur vacancy in MoS₂)^{65,66}.

Direct inspection of TMDs by STM⁶⁴ (Fig. 4a) or ADF-STEM⁶⁵ (Fig. 4b) finds a variety of defect types, including chalcogen vacancies, transition metal vacancies, and antisite defects, in which transition metals occupy chalcogen sites. As one example, Fig. 4c shows the measured density of the major defect types^{64,66} in MoS₂ single crystals and films grown by the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique. In all of these materials, the defect density (ignoring the presence of impurities) is very high, ranging from mid 10¹² to above 10¹³ cm⁻². Moreover, the dominant type of point defect varies depending on the material source: in mined ‘natural’ MoS₂ and CVD-grown films, sulfur vacancies can dominate⁶⁴, whereas in synthetic crystals grown by chemical vapor transport (CVT), metal vacancies and antisites dominate⁶⁹. The relative densities of different types of defects determines the crystal doping: for instance, when metal vacancies are dominant, hole (p-type) doping is observed⁷⁰.

Elemental impurities are a second defect class that has to be considered for TMDs. In fact, mined MoS₂ can have extremely large impurity concentration of up to 10¹⁴ cm⁻²⁷¹. Artificially grown TMDs from high-purity starting powders show much lower impurity density of $\sim 8 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻², in agreement with the purity of the starting materials⁷². Thus in synthetic TMDs with vacancy density of $\sim 10^{13}$ cm⁻², elemental impurities can be neglected as a source of disorder. However, in materials with substantially lower vacancy density (see below) it will be important to consider both classes of defect.

Figures 4d and 4e show recent progress in reducing point defect density in TMDs. Figure 4d shows STM images of WSe₂ epitaxially grown on graphene by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD)⁶⁷, where the dominant defect types are Se vacancies (black circles) and W vacancies substituted by Se (grey circles). Annealing in H₂Se at 800 °C reduces the density of both defect types by roughly an order of magnitude (to below 10¹² cm⁻²), and largely eliminates nanoparticles on the surface (white dots). Figure 4e shows STM images of the surface of cleaved WSe₂ crystals grown by chemical vapor transport (CVT) – the most commonly utilized technique for TMD crystal growth⁷³ – and grown within a liquid chalcogen flux. The flux technique achieves a large reduction in defect density to below 10¹¹ cm⁻². Initial studies using flux-derived monolayers show dramatic improvements in performance. For instance, the low-T PL intensity in MoSe₂ increases by 2 orders of magnitude⁶⁹; and capacitance measurements of the Landau level spectrum of WSe₂ show much more detail when flux-grown crystals are used⁶⁸ (Fig. 4f). Finally, we note that an alternative approach to reducing defect density is ‘repair’ of chalcogen vacancies using thiol chemistry⁷⁴. This approach has been used to achieve large increases in photoluminescence intensity⁷⁵, but does not achieve high low-T mobility consistent with low disorder.

Applications of defects and disorder

In the sections above, we have focused on the challenge of minimizing disorder in 2D materials. However, disorder *in particular crystal defects* can also be used to achieve control over electrical, optical, vibrational, magnetic, and chemical properties toward new functionality. Here we briefly discuss some of the most prominent uses of defects in 2D materials. First, substitutional doping can be used for work function control and interface engineering. Layered assembly

Figure 5 | Applications of defects and disorder in 2D materials. **a**, Low-resistance ohmic contacts using Nb-doped WSe₂ as drain/source contacts⁷⁶. **b**, PL intensity map and second-order correlation measurement of the PL from single quantum emitters in WSe₂ monolayers⁷⁷. The dashed triangle indicates the position of the monolayer WSe₂. **c**, Hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) at the defect sites (sulfur vacancy) of MoS₂⁷⁸. **d**, Diamagnetism and ferromagnetism have been observed in of MoS₂⁷⁹. **e**, Schematics of restacked non-intercalated and intercalated MoS₂ by alkali ions (Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺) and TEA⁺⁸⁰. Adapted from ref.⁷⁶, ACS Publications (**a**); ref.⁷⁷, Nature Publishing Group (**b**); ref.⁷⁸, ACS Publications (**c**); ref.⁷⁹ (**d**); ref.⁸⁰ Nature Publishing Group (**e**).

of doped 2D semiconductors circumvents problems such as work function mismatch and Fermi level pinning that create large Schottky barriers in metal-TMD contacts⁸¹. Figure 5a shows that Nb-doped WSe₂ functions as a near-ideal *p*-type contact to intrinsic WSe₂⁷⁶, achieving contact resistance of $\sim 0.3 \text{ k}\Omega \mu\text{m}$ and steep subthreshold slope. Doping of 2D materials has been extensively reviewed⁸².

Individual defects in 2D materials can also act as optically active sites. Figure 5b shows the single-photon emission from localized defects in WSe₂ monolayers⁷⁷. The measured autocorrelation function $g^2(\tau)$ of the emission shows a dip below 0.5 at zero delay time ($\tau = 0$), indicating that each defect acts as a single-photon emitter. Tunable single photon emission from semiconducting TMDs has been reported⁸³, and *h*BN-based quantum emitters are optically active at room temperature, an important attribute for applications in quantum information⁸⁴. Although the exact mechanism is still uncertain, this emission is likely due to defect sites that are activated in the presence of strain. Greater control over optically active defects, coupled with precise charge injection in van der Waals heterostructures, will allow TMDs to be used for electrically controlled quantum emitters for quantum information processing.

Defects in TMDs also hold promise as catalytic sites that can replace expensive noble metal catalysts in the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and other processes. MoS₂ has been demonstrated as an effective catalyst for HER at a moderate overpotential of 0.1–0.2 V⁸⁵. Catalysis occurs at defects including edges, sulfur vacancies, and grain boundaries⁷⁸ (Fig. 5c). Changing the sulfur vacancy density simultaneously tunes the density of catalytic sites and doping in the sheet, allowing for optimization of both catalytic activity and charge injection to the TMD layer⁷⁸.

Defects in TMDs can give rise to diamagnetism, ferromagnetism, and spin-valley coupling applications. Recent experimental work has shown that point defects in Mo-based TMDs can be magnetic, as confirmed by calculation of the spin-polarized density of states for an antisite defect (Mo at the chalcogen site), shown in Fig. 5d for MoTe₂. These spins couple antiferromagnetically to nearby Mo atoms and can show long-range ordering⁷⁹. Spin polarized first-principles calculations suggest that one-dimensional edges of two-dimensional MoS₂ or WS₂ carry non-zero net magnetic moments associated with unpaired electrons⁸⁶. Atomic defects also give unconventional selection rules for intervalley quasiparticle scattering in 2D TMDs valleytronics⁸⁷.

Interface chemistry approaches in 2D materials seek to manipulate the electrochemical behaviour of layered systems, and open new pathways to control the charge density in electronic and optoelectronic devices. For instance, it has been shown that intercalation by organolithium chemistry in 2H-TMDs stabilizes a metallic 1T phase via strong electron doping (see schematic image, Fig. 5e⁸⁰). This method can be further applied to phase-engineer contacts, reducing contact resistance to 200–300 $\Omega \mu\text{m}$ in few-layered 2H-MoS₂, comparable to the best contacts produced through more intricate fabrication methods⁸⁸.

Outlook

Reducing disorder in 2D materials has enabled stunning scientific advances and progress toward technological applications, providing strong motivation to continue these efforts. Toward this end, each materials system faces distinct challenges. In graphene devices, the remaining sources of extrinsic disorder must be identified and further reduced. One possible source is environmental contaminants such as water vapour and hydrocarbons which are not completely eliminated from

interfaces during heterostructure fabrication. A second possible source is defects in *h*BN. There has been little work beyond *h*BN synthesis²³ in reducing defects and increasing grain size in *h*BN single crystals. More work is needed in this area, as well as in development of methods to quickly assess defect density in *h*BN. In *h*BN-encapsulated 2D semiconductors, on the other hand, intrinsic defects of 2D semiconductors are the dominant source of disorder. The need for careful characterization of materials quality — which can vary widely with synthesis technique and from batch to batch — should be emphasized in ongoing studies of novel phenomena in TMDs. Further progress in the field will require reduction in defect density in both single crystals and large-area films of every material under study. In order to achieve rapid progress toward this goal, it will be important to develop facile techniques for *in situ* characterization of materials quality. However, this effort is still impeded by a lack of basic understanding of how atomic defects affect easily-measured bulk properties.

Realizing the potential of 2D materials in applications will require development of materials synthesis and manufacturing techniques that can achieve low disorder on a large scale. A specific challenge is to achieve low extrinsic disorder in large-area films. Toward this end, significant progress has been made in the synthesis of graphene and TMD films at the wafer scale, as well as in the development of clean, wafer-scale transfers of monolayer films^{89–91}. Scaling up encapsulation approaches used to reduce disorder in exfoliated flakes will require synthesis of multilayer *h*BN films with high uniformity and large grain size, which has proven to be extremely challenging to date⁹². Alternatively, other dielectric materials may provide sufficient performance: for example, many layered metal oxides to (*i.e.* MoO_3 , V_2O_5 , etc.) with bandgaps in excess of 3.5 eV have yet to

be extensively explored as as dielectrics for graphene and 2D semiconductors⁹³. The important role of defects and dopants in 2D materials highlights another gap in current capabilities: in conventional semiconductors dopants can be patterned using ion implantation, allowing precise control over dopant density required for high-performance electronic and optoelectronic devices. While techniques such as plasma treatment and wet chemical treatment have demonstrated local doping of 2D semiconductors, no current technique provides precise local control over doping combined with low disorder and good stability. Finally, we note that improving the intrinsic quality of 2D materials will improve fundamental understanding of the behavior of defects by permitting careful study of well-characterized defects embedded in high-quality crystals.

References

1. Huang, P. Y. *et al.* Grains and grain boundaries in single-layer graphene atomic patchwork quilts. *Nature* **469**, 389–392 (2011).
2. Shin, B. G. *et al.* Indirect Bandgap Puddles in Monolayer MoS₂ by Substrate-Induced Local Strain. *Advanced Materials* **28**, 9378–9384 (2016).
3. Favron, A. *et al.* Photooxidation and quantum confinement effects in exfoliated black phosphorus. *Nature Materials* **14**, 826–832 (2015).
4. Ma, N. & Jena, D. Charge Scattering and Mobility in Atomically Thin Semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 011043 (2014).

5. Sachs, B., Wehling, T. O., Katsnelson, M. I. & Lichtenstein, A. I. Midgap states and band gap modification in defective graphene/h-BN heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 224105 (2016).
6. Wang, H., Zhang, C. & Rana, F. Ultrafast Dynamics of Defect-Assisted ElectronHole Recombination in Monolayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **15**, 339–345 (2015).
7. Tsen, A. W. *et al.* Tailoring Electrical Transport Across Grain Boundaries in Polycrystalline Graphene. *Science* **336**, 1143–1146 (2012).
8. Wang, D. *et al.* Bandgap broadening at grain boundaries in single-layer MoS₂. *Nano Res.* **11**, 6102–6109 (2018).
9. Hus, S. M. & Li, A.-P. Spatially-resolved studies on the role of defects and boundaries in electronic behavior of 2d materials. *Progress in Surface Science* **92**, 176–201 (2017).
10. Novoselov, K. S. *et al.* Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene. *Nature* **438**, 197–200 (2005).
11. Martin, J. *et al.* Observation of electronhole puddles in graphene using a scanning single-electron transistor. *Nature Physics* **4**, 144–148 (2008).
12. Xue, J. *et al.* Scanning tunnelling microscopy and spectroscopy of ultra-flat graphene on hexagonal boron nitride. *Nature Materials* **10**, 282–285 (2011).
13. Decker, R. *et al.* Local Electronic Properties of Graphene on a BN Substrate via Scanning Tunneling Microscopy. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 2291–2295 (2011).

14. Zhang, Y., Brar, V. W., Girit, C., Zettl, A. & Crommie, M. F. Origin of spatial charge inhomogeneity in graphene. *Nature Physics* **5**, 722–726 (2009).
15. Chen, J.-H. *et al.* Charged-impurity scattering in graphene. *Nature Physics* **4**, 377–381 (2008).
16. Adam, S., Hwang, E. H., Galitski, V. M. & Sarma, S. D. A self-consistent theory for graphene transport. *PNAS* **104**, 18392–18397 (2007).
17. Geim, A. K. & Grigorieva, I. V. Van der Waals heterostructures. *Nature* **499**, 419–425 (2013).
18. Du, X., Skachko, I., Barker, A. & Andrei, E. Y. Approaching ballistic transport in suspended graphene. *Nature Nanotechnology* **3**, 491–495 (2008).
19. Bolotin, K. I., Ghahari, F., Shulman, M. D., Stormer, H. L. & Kim, P. Observation of the fractional quantum Hall effect in graphene. *Nature* **462**, 196–199 (2009).
20. Feldman, B. E., Krauss, B., Smet, J. H. & Yacoby, A. Unconventional Sequence of Fractional Quantum Hall States in Suspended Graphene. *Science* **337**, 1196–1199 (2012).
21. Jr, J. V. *et al.* Transport spectroscopy of symmetry-broken insulating states in bilayer graphene. *Nature Nanotechnology* **7**, 156–160 (2012).
22. Nam, Y., Ki, D.-K., Soler-Delgado, D. & Morpurgo, A. F. Electronhole collision limited transport in charge-neutral bilayer graphene. *Nature Physics* **13**, 1207–1214 (2017).
23. Taniguchi, T. & Watanabe, K. Synthesis of high-purity boron nitride single crystals under high pressure by using BaBN solvent. *Journal of Crystal Growth* **303**, 525–529 (2007).

24. Dean, C. R. *et al.* Boron nitride substrates for high-quality graphene electronics. *Nature Nanotechnology* **5**, 722–726 (2010).
25. Garcia, A. G. F. *et al.* Effective Cleaning of Hexagonal Boron Nitride for Graphene Devices. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 4449–4454 (2012).
26. Ponomarenko, L. A. *et al.* Tunable metalinsulator transition in double-layer graphene heterostructures. *Nature Physics* **7**, 958–961 (2011).
27. Wang, L. *et al.* One-Dimensional Electrical Contact to a Two-Dimensional Material. *Science* **342**, 614–617 (2013).
28. Osvald, J. On barrier height inhomogeneities at polycrystalline metal-semiconductor contacts. *Solid-State Electronics* **35**, 1629–1632 (1992).
29. Zibrov, A. A. *et al.* Tunable interacting composite fermion phases in a half-filled bilayer-graphene Landau level. *Nature* **549**, 360–364 (2017).
30. Adam, S. & Das Sarma, S. Transport in suspended graphene. *Solid State Communications* **146**, 356–360 (2008).
31. Du, X., Skachko, I., Duerr, F., Luican, A. & Andrei, E. Y. Fractional quantum Hall effect and insulating phase of Dirac electrons in graphene. *Nature* **462**, 192–195 (2009).
32. Banszerus, L. *et al.* Ballistic Transport Exceeding 28 μm in CVD Grown Graphene. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 1387–1391 (2016).

33. Wang, L. *et al.* Evidence for a fractional fractal quantum Hall effect in graphene superlattices. *Science* **350**, 1231–1234 (2015).
34. Chen, S. *et al.* Competing Fractional Quantum Hall and Electron Solid Phases in Graphene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 026802 (2019).
35. Zibrov, A. A. *et al.* Even-denominator fractional quantum hall states at an isospin transition in monolayer graphene. *Nature Physics* **14**, 930–935 (2018).
36. Zeng, Y. *et al.* Ultra-high quality magnetotransport in graphene using the edge-free Corbino geometry. *arXiv:1805.04904 [cond-mat]* (2018).
37. Schreiber, K. A. *et al.* Onset of quantum criticality in the topological-to-nematic transition in a two-dimensional electron gas at filling factor $\nu = 5/2$. *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 041107 (2017).
38. Shi, Q. *et al.* Microwave photoresistance in an ultra-high-quality GaAs quantum well. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 121305 (2016).
39. Pan, W., Baldwin, K. W., West, K. W., Pfeiffer, L. N. & Tsui, D. C. Fractional quantum Hall effect at Landau level filling $\nu = 4/11$. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 041301 (2015).
40. Koulakov, A. A., Fogler, M. M. & Shklovskii, B. I. Charge Density Wave in Two-Dimensional Electron Liquid in Weak Magnetic Field. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 499–502 (1996).
41. Forsythe, C. *et al.* Band structure engineering of 2d materials using patterned dielectric superlattices. *Nature Nanotechnology* **13**, 566–571 (2018).

- 42. Li, J. *et al.* Negative Coulomb Drag in Double Bilayer Graphene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 046802 (2016).
- 43. Dean, C. R. *et al.* Hofstadters butterfly and the fractal quantum Hall effect in moiré superlattices. *Nature* **497**, 598–602 (2013).
- 44. Yu, G. L. *et al.* Hierarchy of Hofstadter states and replica quantum Hall ferromagnetism in graphene superlattices. *Nature Physics* **10**, 525–529 (2014).
- 45. Cao, Y. *et al.* Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices. *Nature* **556**, 43–50 (2018).
- 46. Baugher, B. W. H., Churchill, H. O. H., Yang, Y. & Jarillo-Herrero, P. Intrinsic Electronic Transport Properties of High-Quality Monolayer and Bilayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 4212–4216 (2013).
- 47. Radisavljevic, B. & Kis, A. Mobility engineering and a metal-insulator transition in monolayer MoS₂. *Nature Materials* **12**, 815–820 (2013).
- 48. Cui, X. *et al.* Multi-terminal transport measurements of MoS₂ using a van der Waals heterostructure device platform. *Nature Nanotechnology* **10**, 534–540 (2015).
- 49. Pisoni, R. *et al.* Interactions and magnetotransport through spin-valley coupled Landau levels in monolayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 247701 (2018).

50. Fallahazad, B. *et al.* Shubnikov–de Haas Oscillations of High-Mobility Holes in Monolayer and Bilayer WSe₂: Landau Level Degeneracy, Effective Mass, and Negative Compressibility. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 086601 (2016).
51. Cadiz, F. *et al.* Excitonic Linewidth Approaching the Homogeneous Limit in MoS₂-Based van der Waals Heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 021026 (2017).
52. Wang, Q. H., Kalantar-Zadeh, K., Kis, A., Coleman, J. N. & Strano, M. S. Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nature Nanotechnology* **7**, 699–712 (2012).
53. Yu, Z. *et al.* Realization of Room-Temperature Phonon-Limited Carrier Transport in Monolayer MoS₂ by Dielectric and Carrier Screening. *Advanced Materials* **28**, 547–552 (2016).
54. Late, D. J., Liu, B., Matte, H. S. S. R., Dravid, V. P. & Rao, C. N. R. Hysteresis in Single-Layer MoS₂ Field Effect Transistors. *ACS Nano* **6**, 5635–5641 (2012).
55. Staley, N. E. *et al.* Electric field effect on superconductivity in atomically thin flakes of NbSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 184505 (2009).
56. Xi, X. *et al.* Ising pairing in superconducting NbSe₂ atomic layers. *Nature Physics* **12**, 139–143 (2016).
57. Huang, B. *et al.* Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der Waals crystal down to the monolayer limit. *Nature* **546**, 270–273 (2017).

58. Li, L. *et al.* Quantum Hall effect in black phosphorus two-dimensional electron system. *Nature Nanotechnology* **11**, 593–597 (2016).
59. Ajayi, O. A. *et al.* Approaching the intrinsic photoluminescence linewidth in transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers. *2D Mater.* **4**, 031011 (2017).
60. Zhang, X.-X. *et al.* Magnetic brightening and control of dark excitons in monolayer WSe₂. *Nature Nanotechnology* **12**, 883–888 (2017).
61. Movva, H. C. *et al.* Density-Dependent Quantum Hall States and Zeeman Splitting in Monolayer and Bilayer WSe₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 247701 (2017).
62. Wang, Z., Shan, J. & Mak, K. F. Valley- and spin-polarized Landau levels in monolayer WSe₂. *Nature Nanotechnology* **12**, 144–149 (2017).
63. You, Y. *et al.* Observation of biexcitons in monolayer WSe₂. *Nature Physics* **11**, 477–481 (2015).
64. Vancs, P. *et al.* The intrinsic defect structure of exfoliated MoS₂ single layers revealed by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 29726 (2016).
65. Zhou, W. *et al.* Intrinsic Structural Defects in Monolayer Molybdenum Disulfide. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 2615–2622 (2013).
66. Hong, J. *et al.* Exploring atomic defects in molybdenum disulphide monolayers. *Nature Communications* **6**, 6293 (2015).

67. Lin, Y.-C. *et al.* Realizing Large-Scale, Electronic-Grade Two-Dimensional Semiconductors. *ACS Nano* **12**, 965–975 (2018).
68. Gustafsson, M. V. *et al.* Ambipolar Landau levels and strong band-selective carrier interactions in monolayer WSe₂. *Nature Materials* **17**, 411–415 (2018).
69. Edelberg, D. *et al.* Hundredfold Enhancement of Light Emission via Defect Control in Monolayer Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides. *arXiv:1805.00127 [cond-mat]* (2018).
70. Zhang, S. *et al.* Defect Structure of Localized Excitons in a WSe₂ Monolayer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 046101 (2017).
71. Addou, R. *et al.* Impurities and Electronic Property Variations of Natural MoS₂ Crystal Surfaces. *ACS Nano* **9**, 9124–9133 (2015).
72. Addou, R. & Wallace, R. M. Surface Analysis of WSe₂ Crystals: Spatial and Electronic Variability. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **8**, 26400–26406 (2016).
73. Qiu, H. *et al.* Hopping transport through defect-induced localized states in molybdenum disulfide. *Nature Communications* **4**, 2642 (2013).
74. Yu, Z. *et al.* Towards intrinsic charge transport in monolayer molybdenum disulfide by defect and interface engineering. *Nature Communications* **5**, 5290 (2014).
75. Amani, M. *et al.* Near-unity photoluminescence quantum yield in MoS₂. *Science* **350**, 1065–1068 (2015).

76. Chuang, H.-J. *et al.* Low-Resistance 2d/2d Ohmic Contacts: A Universal Approach to High-Performance WSe₂, MoS₂, and MoSe₂ Transistors. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 1896–1902 (2016).
77. He, Y.-M. *et al.* Single quantum emitters in monolayer semiconductors. *Nature Nanotechnology* **10**, 497–502 (2015).
78. Li, G. *et al.* All The Catalytic Active Sites of MoS₂ for Hydrogen Evolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 16632–16638 (2016).
79. Guguchia, Z. *et al.* Magnetism in semiconducting molybdenum dichalcogenides. *Science Advances* **4**, 3672 (2018).
80. Acerce, M., Voiry, D. & Chhowalla, M. Metallic 1T phase MoS₂ nanosheets as supercapacitor electrode materials. *Nature Nanotechnology* **10**, 313–318 (2015).
81. Das, S., Chen, H.-Y., Penumatcha, A. V. & Appenzeller, J. High Performance Multilayer MoS₂ Transistors with Scandium Contacts. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 100–105 (2013).
82. Zhao, Y. *et al.* Doping, Contact and Interface Engineering of Two-Dimensional Layered Transition Metal Dichalcogenides Transistors. *Advanced Functional Materials* **27**, 1603484 (2017).
83. Chakraborty, C., Kinnischtzke, L., Goodfellow, K. M., Beams, R. & Vamivakas, A. N. Voltage-controlled quantum light from an atomically thin semiconductor. *Nature Nanotechnology* **10**, 507–511 (2015).

84. Tran, T. T., Bray, K., Ford, M. J., Toth, M. & Aharonovich, I. Quantum emission from hexagonal boron nitride monolayers. *Nature Nanotechnology* **11**, 37–41 (2016).
85. Hinnemann, B. *et al.* Biomimetic Hydrogen Evolution: MoS₂ Nanoparticles as Catalyst for Hydrogen Evolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 5308–5309 (2005).
86. Zhang, J. *et al.* Magnetic Molybdenum Disulfide Nanosheet Films. *Nano Lett.* **7**, 2370–2376 (2007).
87. Kaasbjerg, K., Martiny, J. H. J., Low, T. & Jauho, A.-P. Symmetry-forbidden intervalley scattering by atomic defects in monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 241411 (2017).
88. Kappera, R. *et al.* Phase-engineered low-resistance contacts for ultrathin MoS₂ transistors. *Nature Materials* **13**, 1128–1134 (2014).
89. Kang, K. *et al.* High-mobility three-atom-thick semiconducting films with wafer-scale homogeneity. *Nature* **520**, 656–660 (2015).
90. Kang, K. *et al.* Layer-by-layer assembly of two-dimensional materials into wafer-scale heterostructures. *Nature* **550**, 229–233 (2017).
91. Shim, J. *et al.* Controlled crack propagation for atomic precision handling of wafer-scale two-dimensional materials. *Science* **362**, 665–670 (2018).
92. Jana, M. & Singh, R. N. Progress in CVD synthesis of layered hexagonal boron nitride with tunable properties and their applications. *International Materials Reviews* **63**, 162–203 (2018).

93. Kalantar-zadeh, K. *et al.* Two dimensional and layered transition metal oxides. *Applied Materials Today* **5**, 73–89 (2016).

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge Matthew Yankowitz and J.I.A. Li for many discussions involving graphene and graphene devices. This work was supported the NSF MRSEC program through Columbia in the Center for Precision Assembly of Superstratic and Superatomic Solids (DMR-1420634). S.H.C. was supported by the Postdoctoral Research Program of Sungkyunkwan University (2016).

Competing Interests The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Correspondence Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.H. (email: jh2228@columbia.edu).