Dissociating effect of salivary gland extract from *Ixodes ricinus* on human fibroblasts: Potential impact on *Borrelia* transmission

Amandine Boeuf, Gilles Schnell, Quentin Bernard, Aurelie Kern, Benoit Westermann, Laurence Ehret-Sabatier, Antoine Grillon, Frédéric Schramm, Benoît Jaulhac, Nathalie Boulanger

► To cite this version:

Amandine Boeuf, Gilles Schnell, Quentin Bernard, Aurelie Kern, Benoit Westermann, et al.. Dissociating effect of salivary gland extract from *Ixodes ricinus* on human fibroblasts: Potential impact on *Borrelia* transmission. *Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases*, 2019, 10 (2), pp.433-441. 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2018.12.005 . hal-02325974

HAL Id: hal-02325974

<https://hal.science/hal-02325974>

Submitted on 21 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial| 4.0 International License

Dissociating effect of salivary gland extract from *Ixodes ricinus* on human fibroblasts: potential impact on *Borrelia* transmission

Amandine Boeuf^{1,2}, Gilles Schnell^{1,2}, Quentin Bernard³, Aurelie Kern³, Benoît Westermann^{1,2}, Laurence Ehret-Sabatier^{1,2}, Antoine Grillon³, Frederic Schramm³, Benoît Jaulhac³, Nathalie Boulanger^{3*}

¹ *Laboratoire de Spectrométrie de Masse BioOrganique, Université de Strasbourg, IPHC, France.*

² *CNRS, UMR7178, Strasbourg, France.*

³ *EA7290, Virulence bactérienne précoce, groupe Borréliose de Lyme, Facultés de médecine et de pharmacie, Université de Strasbourg, France.*

***Correspondence to:**

nboulanger@unistra.fr

EA7290 : Virulence bactérienne précoce : groupe *Borrelia*

Institut de Bactériologie, 3 rue Koeberlé, 67 000 Strasbourg, France

Tel: +33 3 69 55 14 49, Fax: +33 3 69 55 16 98

Key words: Tick saliva, Fibroblast, *Borrelia*, *Ixodes*, histone H4, Mass spectrometry, feeding pool

Abbreviations: SGE, salivary gland extract; FB, fibroblast; PTM, post translational modification; AMP, antimicrobial peptides

25 **ABSTRACT**

26 Understanding the mechanism of pathogen transmission is essential for the development of
27 strategies to reduce arthropod-borne diseases. The pharmaco- and immunomodulatory
28 properties of insect and acarine saliva play an essential role in the efficiency of pathogen
29 transmission. The skin as the site where arthropod saliva and pathogens are inoculated -
30 represents the key interface in vector-borne diseases. We identified tick molecules potentially
31 involved in pathogen transmission, using micro-HPLC and mass spectrometry, followed by *in*
32 *vitro* assays on human skin cells. Histone H4 isolated from *Ixodes ricinus* salivary gland
33 extract was identified as a molecule with a dissociating effect on human primary fibroblasts.
34 This histone might be involved in the formation of the feeding pool formed around the tick
35 mouthparts and responsible of tissue necrosis in the vertebrate host. Thanks to its selective
36 antimicrobial activity, it may also sterilize the feeding pool and facilitate transmission of
37 pathogens such as *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato.

38

INTRODUCTION

Hard ticks of the Ixodidae family are involved in numerous tick-borne diseases caused by diverse pathogens including bacteria, viruses or parasites. Certain *Ixodes* species are obligate hematophagous vectors for bacteria including *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato, *Rickettsia*, *Anaplasma*, the parasite *Babesia* or the tick-borne encephalitis virus (de la Fuente et al., 2008). These pathogens are transmitted when a tick attaches to a host through its sophisticated mouthparts, the hypostome and the chelicerae. The skin injury eventually develops to a feeding pool (Sonenshine and Anderson, 2014). During a tick blood meal which typically lasts for several days, the hard tick concurrently inoculates saliva to secure its blood meal by forming a cement layer around the mouthparts and by the secretion of pharmacologically and immunologically active molecules (Kazimírová and Stibrániová 2013). The salivary glands modify their structure during the blood meal and degenerate after the blood meal in some tick species (Alarcon-Chaidez, 2014; Kahl et al., 1990) and the tick detaches from the host to either molt (nymphs) or to die after laying eggs (female adults). The tissue damage very often develops to a skin necrosis after the tick blood meal (Krause et al., 2009).

Saliva plays a crucial role during the feeding process: it allows the tick to neutralize host hemostasis (vasoconstriction, platelet aggregation, blood clotting), innate immunity (inflammatory response, complement activation) and acquired immunity. For that purpose, tick saliva contains a wide range of pharmacologically active molecules (Francischetti et al., 2009; Hovius et al., 2008; Ribeiro et al., 1985) with anti-clotting (Chmelar et al., 2012; Francischetti et al., 2004; Narasimhan et al., 2004; Prevot et al., 2006), vasodilatory (Bowman et al., 1996; Dickinson et al., 1976) and anti-inflammatory properties (Beaufays et al., 2008; Paesen et al., 1999; Ribeiro and Mather, 1998; Sangamnatdej et al., 2002). In addition, tick saliva is able to target vertebrate host immune responses such as the complement cascade (Couvreur et al., 2008; Daix et al., 2007; Schroeder et al., 2007; Valenzuela et al., 2000),

antimicrobial peptides (Kern et al., 2011; Marchal et al., 2011), B-cells (Hannier et al., 2004) and the T-cells (Anguita et al., 2002; Garg et al., 2006; Leboulle et al., 2002). In the context of tick-borne diseases, this cocktail of active molecules renders the infection of a host more efficient.

The skin of the vertebrate host is the key interface where the vector bites and inoculates its saliva containing the pathogens (Bernard et al., 2015, 2014). The epidermis with its keratinocytes represents the first barrier encountered by ticks. They overcome this barrier using their biting pieces to penetrate deeply into the skin and reach the dermis, where the saliva interacts with resident cells like dermal dendritic cells, mast cells or fibroblasts. Some pathogens already multiply in the dermis before disseminating to the target organs. Investigating these initial steps is essential to understand the further development of most of the vector-borne diseases and to develop new strategies to control these diseases (de la Fuente et al., 2017).

We have selected the causative agent of Lyme disease, *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto (ss), which is transmitted by certain *Ixodes* species in Europe to study these transmission mechanisms. In a previous study, we have shown the antialarmin effect of tick saliva on human keratinocytes (Marchal et al., 2011). We observed also that crude salivary gland extract induced a dissociating effect on fibroblast monolayer adherent to tissue culture plate (Schramm et al., 2012). Identification of the tick molecules responsible of this specific effect could help to understand the formation of the feeding pool, which is essential for the tick blood meal process and thus for the transmission of pathogens. Therefore, we performed a fractionation of tick salivary gland extract by micro RP-HPLC and tested the different chromatographic fractions for a potential dissociating effect on human dermal fibroblasts. A tick-borne histone H4 was identified in the active fractions by enzymatic digestion and

nanoLC-MS/MS. This protein was further characterized by mass spectrometry. The biological significance of this protein is discussed in the context of *B. burgdorferi* sl transmission.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tick salivary gland extract preparation and HPLC fractionation

This research has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care, CREMEAS (Comite Régional d’Ethique en Matière d’Expérimentation Animale). Protocols for all animal experiments were prepared according to their guidelines. Adult *I. ricinus* females, either originating from our in-house tick colony or collected in the field, were either fed on rabbit or on mouse for three days before being dissected. Tick salivary gland extract (SGE) was prepared from blood-fed females on day 3 as described previously (Marchal et al., 2011). SGE was tested for the presence of endotoxin by the Limulus assay.

Tick SGEs were then fractionated on a micro-HPLC system U3000 (Thermo Fischer Scientific, San Jose, USA). The solvent system consisted of 0.05% TFA in water (solvent A) and 0.045% TFA in ACN (solvent B). Detection was performed at 214 nm. The system was equipped with a Dionex Acclaim PepMap C18 enrichment column (500 µm x 15 mm, 5 µm) and an Agilent Zorbax 300SB-C18 analytical column (300 µm x 15 cm, 3.5 µm). SGE corresponding to the equivalent of 3 ticks was loaded during 3 min on the enrichment column at a flow rate of 15 µL/min with 0.1% TFA in water. Elution was performed at a flow rate of 4 µL/min with the following step gradient: a 5 min stage at 2% B followed by a 2–9.5% B linear gradient over 15 min, then a 9.5–29.5% B linear gradient over 80 min, then a 29.5–48% B linear gradient over 185 min and a final 5 min stage at 80% B.

Measurement of the effect of tick SGE on human fibroblasts (FBs)

Primary human dermal fibroblasts (FBs) (NHDF, Promocell, Germany) were maintained in FGM2 medium. Cells were used at passage 3 to 5 and plated into 48-well plates. At confluence and one day before the assays, FGM2 medium was replaced by FGM medium

without fetal calf serum. SGE micro-HPLC fractions were transferred onto FBs and incubated for 24 h. Controls were performed with equivalent H₂O/0.05% TFA (v/v) and ACN/0.045% TFA (v/v) mixtures. The SGE effect was measured by observation of the cells under inverted microscope.

To evaluate more precisely the effect of SGE and histone, recombinant human histone H4 with his-tag (Sigma) (data not shown) or without his-tag (Biolabs) was incubated for 24h at different concentrations (0, 5, 10 and 20 µg/mL) with FBs in 24-well plates. Positive control was performed with crude *I. ricinus* SGE at 20 µg/ml. An irrelevant recombinant protein (Human HMGN1: High mobility group nucleosome-binding domain-containing protein 1, R&D) was also tested on fibroblasts as negative control.

The viability of FBs was assessed by Trypan blue. Supernatants of control FBs, FBs treated with SGE or with histone (20 µg/ml) were collected and cells counted with Trypan blue. Then, the remaining adherent FBs were counted in a Neubauer cell after trypsination, and FBs viability was determined by Trypan blue staining.

Mass spectrometry measurements

Intact mass measurements of proteins present in fractions of interest were performed on a hybrid electrospray quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Synapt G2 HDMS, Waters, Manchester, U.K.) coupled to an automated chip-based nanoelectrospray device (Triversa Nanomate, Advion Biosciences, Ithaca, U.S.) operating in the positive ion mode.

For nanoLC-MS/MS, proteins present in fractions of interest were digested by using a liquid trypsin digestion protocol as described in supplementary data. Digested peptides were analyzed on an Agilent 1100 series nanoHPLC-Chip system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, USA) hyphenated to an amaZon ion trap (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) as described (Schnell et al., 2014) with an ACN gradient in 0.1% HCO₂H. Detailed chromatographic and MS conditions are provided in supplementary data. For the

characterization of histone H4, proteins present in fractions of interest (or recombinant histone H4), were digested by using a liquid AspN digestion protocol as described in supplementary data. Digested peptides were analyzed on a nanoACQUITY UPLC system coupled to a Q-Exactive Plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA) equipped with a nanospray FlexTM ion source (supplementary data). The peptides were trapped on a nanoAcquity UPLC precolumn (Symmetry C18 Trap, 5 μ m, 180 μ m $\times$ 20 mm, Waters), then separated on a nanoAcquity UPLC column (BEH C18, 1.7 μ m, 75 μ m $\times$ 150 mm, Waters) with an ACN gradient in 0.1% HCO₂H. Detailed chromatographic and MS conditions are given in supplementary data.

MS/MS data interpretation

Collected mass data were converted into mgf files using DataAnalysis 4.0 (AmaZon-Bruker Daltonics) or MSConvert 3.0 (Q-Exactive Plus) softwares. The MS/MS data obtained from AmaZon were analyzed using two search engines: the MASCOT 2.3.2 (Matrix Science, London, UK) and OMSSA 2.1.9 algorithms as described (Schnell et al., 2014) against an in-house generated target-decoy protein database composed of protein sequences of *Ixodes* downloaded from National Center for Biotechnology Information non redundant database (NCBI nr) and of common contaminant proteins such as porcine trypsin and human keratins (2 x 21,571 entries on December 16, 2012). Spectra were searched with a mass tolerance of 0.5 Da for MS and MS/MS data. For the data obtained from AspN digestion and Q-Exactive Plus analyses, spectra were searched with a mass tolerance of 5 ppm for MS and 0.07 Da for MS/MS data, allowing a maximum of one missed cleavage with AspN enzyme and with acetylation, mono-, di- and tri-methylation of both lysine and arginine and oxidation of methionine specified as variable modifications.

Biological activity of histone H4 on pathogenic and commensal bacteria.

B. burgdorferi sensu lato (sl) were used at low passage. *B. burgdorferi* ss 297 (isolated from cerebrospinal fluid in the United States- Dr. A. Steere) were grown at 33°C in Barbour-Stoenner-Kelly (BSK) medium supplemented with 6% rabbit serum for 4 days. *B. afzelii* strain NE4049 was cultured in modified BSK-H complete medium (Sigma) at 33°C in anaerobic conditions. We used a starting concentration of 10^5 *Borrelia*/well in 100 μ l. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* ATCC12228, *Micrococcus luteus* and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 were grown in Mueller-Hinton (MH) medium overnight. A secondary culture in fresh MH medium was incubated for 3 to 4 h. Then, *S. epidermidis*, *M. luteus* or *E. coli* cultures were centrifuged and incubated with different concentrations (from 0 to 100 μ g/mL) of gentamycin (Promocell) or human cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide (LL-37) as positive controls and recombinant human histone H4 without his-tag (Biolabs), or *I. ricinus* SGE at 37°C for 3 h. After incubation, bacteria were plated on blood agar plates at 37°C for 24 h. CFU were counted and percentage of survival was calculated according to the negative, i.e., untreated control.

B. burgdorferi sl was incubated in microtiter plates for 24 h in serum-free FGM medium with different concentrations of gentamicin and LL-37 (positive controls), or human histone H4 and tick SGE, and then transferred in 1.5 ml-tubes of BSK for an additional 5 days. Then the *Borreliae* were counted and percentage of motility was calculated according to the negative, i.e., untreated control.

RESULTS

Identification of tick SGE fractions with dissociating activity

Preliminary micro-HPLC analyses were performed to assess the biological reproducibility and the amount of injected SGE needed to perform reproducible chromatograms (data not shown). An equivalent of three ticks per injection was found to be sufficient to obtain a satisfactory

HPLC profile. Elution conditions were optimized with shallow gradients in the zone of SGE effect. Five active fractions between 182 and 192 min were identified with a dissociating effect on FBs (fractions 2 to 6 in Figure 1A). The maximum dissociating effect was observed in the fractions eluted between 184 min and 192 min (fractions 3 to 6 in Figure 1B). No effect was observed before 182 min and after 192 min (fractions 1 and 7 in Figure 1B).

Histone H4 identification

To identify tick SGE proteins responsible for the dissociating effect on FBS, fractions of interest were analyzed by a nanoLC-MS/MS strategy after a tryptic digestion. As a large number of proteins was expected, fractions neighboring active samples were analyzed as well to exclude proteins which might also be present in non-active fractions. We identified a total of 11 different proteins in active fractions (Table 1). Histone H4 was present in four (fractions 3 to 6) out of the five active fractions. It was present as single peptide in the active fraction 5, and with only one other peptide in fractions 3, 5 and 6, but with as many as 11 peptides (with OMSSA) in fraction 4. The sequence of the protein is presented in Figure 2. The identified peptides correspond to 66% sequence coverage. The missing part can be explained by a high proportion of lysine and arginine, leading to short peptides not well detected during nanoLC-MS/MS. Tick SGE histone H4 was found to be 100% identical to human histone H4. We were able to show by Western blot that the tick histone present in SGE is recognized by a polyclonal rabbit serum directed to human histone, as well as to human recombinant histone H4 (Supplementary data).

ESI-TOF mass spectrometry of histone H4 isolated from SGE revealed an average mass of 11,306 Da (Figure 3). On the other hand, the average mass calculated for the sequence without post-translational modifications (PTM) was 11,236 Da, resulting in a difference of 70 Da, which corresponds to one acetylation and two methylations on the N-terminal part.

Further characterization of PTMs present on histone H4 by using AspN digestion and nanoLC-MS/MS confirmed the identification of histone H4 with 77% sequence coverage. However, identification of the N-terminal peptide carrying the PTMs was not successful.

Effect of salivary gland extract and recombinant histone on human fibroblasts

As *I. ricinus* histone H4 is 100% homologous to human histone, we used the commercially available human recombinant histone H4 to confirm the dissociating effect of histone H4 on FBs. The stimulation on FBs confirmed the ability of this surrogate histone protein to induce a cell effect within 24 h. The activity was concentration-dependent with a significant effect at 20 µg/mL (Figure 4a to 4d). It was, however, less strong than the effect observed with crude SGE (Figure 4e). This could be due to the fact that native histone H4 in SGE has a more potent effect than the recombinant histone that lacks post-translational modifications (PTMs), especially on the N-terminal part. The effect is protein-specific since an irrelevant recombinant human protein, HMGN1 had no effect on the cell monolayer (Figure 4f). It is also cell-specific since human primary keratinocytes were not affected at confluence by crude tick SGE (data not shown). In addition, we observed a retention time of 187 min when analyzing the recombinant histone H4 protein by micro-HPLC, which is consistent with the active fractions in SGEs.

To ensure that the dissociating effect of SGE on FBs was not only present in *Ixodes* ticks maintained under laboratory conditions, we also tested SGE of adult ticks collected in the field. Indeed, the SGE from these ticks showed a similar effect on FBs (data not shown). Furthermore, two different hosts, rabbit and mouse, were tested to verify that the effect was not linked to a specific vertebrate host. We observed a dissociating effect of SGE in any host the tick used for the blood meal: tick SGE isolated after feeding on either rabbit or mouse produced a dissociating effect (data not shown).

To assess more precisely the effect of SGE on human FBs, the cell viability was measured by Trypan Blue. No cells were seen in the supernatant of the untreated FBs (Figure 5). Recombinant Human histone had a significant effect on the shape of the cells but very few cells were found in the suspension. SGE induced a strong dissociating effect on FBs with around 50% of cells in suspension (Figure 5). Subsequently, the remaining adherent cells in each well were treated with trypsin. Trypan blue staining and counting of these cells demonstrated that more than 90 % of the cells were viable. We can therefore conclude that SGE has rather a dissociating than a lytic effect on FBs. Interestingly, FBS co-incubated with SGE extract adhered again to the wells 72 hours later, confirming that they were alive (data not shown).

Antimicrobial activity assays

Histone H4 is known for its antimicrobial activity (Lee et al., 2009). To test whether the identified histone might affect *Borrelia* and the skin microflora, we co-incubated *Borrelia* or different bacteria, Gram-positive (*M. luteus* and *S. epidermidis*) and Gram-negative (*E. coli*), with histone H4 or SGE, or with gentamycin antibiotics or LL-37 (human antimicrobial peptide, cathelicidin), both as positive controls for killing effect. Histone H4 affected *S. epidermidis*, *M. luteus* and *E. coli* but not the pathogenic bacteria, *B. burgdorferi* ss and *B. afzelii* (Figure 6). *S. epidermidis*, a commensal of the skin (Figure 6A), was less affected by histone H4 than *E. coli* and *M. luteus* (Figure 6B and C). In contrast, we did not notice any effect of tick SGE extract on commensal or pathogenic bacteria. On the other hand LL-37, a well-known antimicrobial peptide of the human skin, showed an antibacterial effect in all bacteria tested including *Borrelia*, as it was already described earlier (Lusitani et al., 2002). The histone H4 present in SGE did not exhibit any antimicrobial activity, whereas the pure recombinant histone H4 did.

Salivary gland extract and Borrelia transmission

265

266

DISCUSSION

The pathogen transmission is a key event in the context of arthropod-borne diseases. In the initial mechanical process, the two chelicerae lacerate the host tissue, leading to cell injury and the formation of a feeding pool which damages the vascular endothelium and facilitates the blood meal uptake. Subsequently, the tick saliva comes into play to boost the process of the blood meal. This has been particularly well-studied in the context of anti-tick vaccines, since antibodies directed against tick proteins can block the blood meal and potentially the transmission of pathogens (Schuijt et al., 2011). At the skin interface, tick saliva exerts its pharmacological as well as its immunomodulatory properties (Kazimírová and Stibrániová 2013; Wikel 2013). The effect of tick saliva on different immune cells has also been well studied. However, its effect on the main resident cells of dermis, the skin FBs, has been poorly investigated. The precise mechanism of the feeding pool formation around the tick biting pieces is not elucidated. We have shown earlier the dissociating effect of *I. ricinus* tick salivary glands on primary human fibroblasts in culture plates (Schramm et al., 2012). Since this could explain the direct formation of the feeding pool during the tick blood meal, we wanted to identify and investigate the tick molecule(s) responsible for this effect on dermal fibroblasts. We identified histone H4 in tick salivary gland as the molecule inducing a partial dissociation of FBs, using micro-HPLC and mass spectrometry. The Trypan blue assay revealed that SGE in fact induces a dissociation of the FB monolayer after which most of the cells detach but remain alive in suspension after 24h of incubation. Presumably, Histone H4 is not the only molecule responsible for this effect, since the surrogate purified recombinant molecule, a human histone H4 100% homologous to tick histone, did not produce the same strong effect as the tick SGE. Another explanation could be that the recombinant protein is not as effective as the native molecule since it misses the PTMs.

Histones (H2A, H2B, H3, and H4) and DNA form in the nucleus the nucleosomes, folded in chromatin fibers. In the genome and transcriptome of *Ixodes scapularis*, five putative histones were identified and classified as IsH1, IsH2A, IsH2B, IsH3, and IsH4 (Cabezas-Cruz et al., 2016). All these histones except IsH4 were closely related to other tick histones from *Ornithodoros coriaceus*, *Amblyomma variegatum*, and *Nuttalliella namaqua*. The IsH4 was closely related to the mammalian histone H4, but not to H4 from other arthropods (Cabezas-Cruz et al., 2016). Transcriptomic studies on salivary glands and midguts, performed during blood feeding of *I. ricinus* on the vertebrate host, revealed 34 transcripts coding for proteins associated with histone modifications (Kotsyfakis et al., 2015). PTMs (acetylation, phosphorylation, methylation or ubiquitination) are essential for gene transcription and part of the “histone code” (Gardner et al., 2011). The most common modifications observed for histone H4 are the mono-, di- or tri-methylation of lysine 20 (Jørgensen et al., 2013; Yang and Mizzen, 2009), the histidine phosphorylation (Besant and Attwood, 2012), and acetylation of lysine 16 (Shahbazian and Grunstein, 2007; Shia et al., 2006). We have not been able to identify the PTMs on the histone purified from SGE. However, the measured mass in tick salivary gland is the same as the one previously observed by Kawasaki (Kawasaki et al., 2008). These PTMs are important for the varied histone activities, including antimicrobial and lytic/dissociating activities. Histones are primarily located in the nucleus, but they can also be found in the cytoplasm or on the cell surface. They are abundantly synthesized, have a low turnover and constitute 30% of total protein synthesis (Thepparit et al., 2010). The *Ixodes* histone H4 is 100% homologous to the human histone H4. This is the reason why we used the recombinant human H4, commercially available, to run our different experiments.

Tick saliva activity on FBs *in vitro* has been previously described in female *I. ricinus* and in a second hard tick, female *Amblyomma variegatum*. The effect was associated with the presence of tick molecules targeting wound-healing growth factors such as the platelet-

derived growth factor (PDGF). The related immunosuppressive effect on inflammation may facilitate the successful accomplishment of the tick blood meal. The tick saliva factors involved in these processes have not been identified so far (Hajnická et al., 2011). We hypothesize that it could be Histone H4 interacting with fibroblast growth factors and leading to an alteration of cytoskeletal actin filaments. Therefore, we further analyzed the morphological changes of human FBs. Trypan blue staining showed that most of the cells are viable in the supernatant but detached in high numbers. It has been shown that tick salivary glands degenerate during the blood meal, and then histone H4 might be released into saliva and the host skin (Alarcon-Chaidez, 2014). Interestingly, another histone, H2B, has also been detected in the active fractions. Histones, and in particular histone H2B, are receptors for plasminogen. Their presence would also enhance all the inflammatory process (Das et al., 2007), promoting pathogens such as *B. burgdorferi* ss to diffuse into the target organs: joints, the heart and the nervous system. Extracellular histones seem to contribute to the hypocoagulability (Johansson et al., 2013) which could also facilitate the blood meal uptake. In addition, a histone H2B of *I. scapularis* has been shown *in vitro* to interact with *Rickettsia felis*, a bacterium transmitted by ticks, to facilitate tick cell ISE6 invasion (Thepparit et al., 2010). More recently, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, another bacterium transmitted by *Ixodes* spp., was shown to increase the levels of histone modifying enzymes to inhibit host cell apoptosis and facilitate pathogen infection (Cabezas-Cruz et al., 2016). Free histones have also been described to play a role in hemocytes and hemolymph of oysters involved in the process of ETosis (Extracellular Trap osis) (Bachère et al., 2015), and more generally in other invertebrates as an ancient immune defense mechanism (Robb et al., 2014). Free histones might participate in local immune response of the tick salivary glands.

Concerning the involvement of histone antimicrobial activity in innate immunity, it has been evidenced in different organisms (Kawasaki and Iwamuro, 2008; Robb et al., 2014). In

invertebrates, truncated forms of histone H4 from the armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* Sf9 cells were reported to be antimicrobial, leading to lysis of *Bacillus megaterium* and *Escherichia coli* (Calles et al., 2005). Several studies demonstrated the histone effect in the defense of oyster (Dorrington et al., 2011; Poirier et al., 2014). In vertebrates, frog histones H2A known as buforins I and II showed potent antimicrobial activity (Park et al., 1996), whereas another work revealed the presence of histone H4 in the skin of the Japanese tree frog, *Hyla japonica*, with a lytic effect on red blood cells (Kawasaki et al., 2008). A potential anti-inflammatory function of the rat histone H4 variants was reported as well (Poirier et al., 2006). In that study, it was demonstrated that C-terminal peptides transcribed from rat histone H4 variants significantly inhibit the release of prostaglandin E2 and interleukine-8, two pro-inflammatory mediators. Finally in human, histone H4 from sebocytes has been identified to have antimicrobial activity (Lee et al., 2009).

We showed that the histone H4 produces diverse effects when tested on different bacteria. It has a lethal effect on commensal bacteria of the skin (*S. epidermidis* and *M. luteus*) and also on *E. coli*. On the other hand, it has no effect on the pathogenic *Borrelia* transmitted by the tick. This demonstrates the efficiency *B. burgdorferi* ss has developed in using its vector to assure pathogen transmission. In SGE, Histone H4 might be associated with other molecules that modulate its antimicrobial activity.

Some of the tick proteins target the pharmacology of the host (coagulation, pain, hemostasis...), whereas others target the immunity (complement cascade, innate and acquired immunity) (Kazimírová and Štibrániová, 2013; Wikel, 2013). We show here that tick histone H4 is likely to be essential in the formation of the feeding pool, thanks to its antimicrobial activity and its dissociating properties. It probably acts in synergy with other tick saliva proteins since its effect was less strong when used alone. This feeding pool could explain the

inflammatory process at the bite site as well as the skin necrosis observed in certain patients, and also described in animal models (Krause et al., 2009). H4 by its antimicrobial activity could also create a sterile environment for the blood meal of the tick. The FBs, in their interaction with *Borrelia* were described as a major player in *Borrelia* pathogenicity, by secreting metalloproteases, inflammatory molecules (Lochhead et al., 2012; Marchal et al., 2009; Schramm et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2007) and by internalizing *Borrelia* (Wu et al., 2011). We postulate that FBs have a pivotal role during Lyme borreliosis infection, first during the process of transmission as a target for tick saliva and by producing a sterile niche for pathogens, and second as a potential cell involved in the persistence of *Borrelia* in the skin once the direct effect of tick saliva has disappeared.

376 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

377 The authors state no conflict of interest.

378

379 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

380 We thank Laurence Zilliox and Daniele Napolitano for technical assistance in *Borrelia*
381 cultures and Philip Barth for English editing. This study was supported by the French
382 Proteomics Infrastructure (ProFI; ANR-10-INSB-08-03). During the tenure of this study,
383 A.B., G.S. and B.W. were supported by studentships from the French Ministry of Research.
384 Q.B. and A.K. were supported by grants from the Conseil Régional d'Alsace and Direction
385 Générale de l'Armement.

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

REFERENCES

- Alarcon-Chaidez, F.J., 2014. Salivary glands, in: Sonenshine, D., Roe, R.M. (Eds.), *Biology of Ticks*. Oxford University Press, pp. 163–205.
- Anguita, J., Ramamoorthi, N., Hovius, J.W.R., Das, S., Thomas, V., Persinski, R., Conze, D., Askenase, P.W., Rincón, M., Kantor, F.S., Fikrig, E., 2002. Salp15, an *Ixodes scapularis* salivary protein, inhibits CD4+ T cell activation. *Immunity* 16, 849–859.
- Bachère, E., Rosa, R., Schmitt, P., Poirier, A., Merou, N., Charrière, G., Destoumieux-Garzón, D., 2015. The new insights into the oyster antimicrobial defense: Cellular, molecular and genetic view. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 46, 50–64.
- Beaufays, J., Adam, B., Menten-Dedoyart, C., Fievez, L., Grosjean, A., Decrem, Y., Prévôt, P.-P., Santini, S., Brasseur, R., Brossard, M., Vanhaeverbeek, M., Bureau, F., Heinen, E., Lins, L., Vanhamme, L., Godfroid, E., 2008. Ir-LBP, an *Ixodes ricinus* tick salivary LTB4-binding lipocalin, interferes with host neutrophil function. *PLoS One* 3, e3987. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003987
- Bernard, Q., Jaulhac, B., Boulanger, N., 2015. Skin and arthropods: an effective interaction used by pathogens in vector-borne diseases. *Eur. J. Dermatol.* 25 Suppl 1, 18–22. doi:10.1684/ejd.2015.2550
- Bernard, Q., Jaulhac, B., Boulanger, N., 2014. Smuggling across the Border: How Arthropod-Borne Pathogens Evade and Exploit the Host Defense System of the Skin. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 1–9. doi:10.1038/jid.2014.36
- Besant, P.G., Attwood, P. V., 2012. Histone H4 histidine phosphorylation: kinases, phosphatases, liver regeneration and cancer. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 40, 290–293. doi:10.1042/BST20110605
- Bowman, A., Dillwith, J., Sauer, J., 1996. Tick salivary prostaglandins: Presence, origin and significance. *Parasitol. Today* 12, 388–396. doi:10.1016/0169-4758(96)10061-2
- Cabezas-Cruz, A., Alberdi, P., Ayllón, N., Valdés, J., Pierce, R., Villar, M., De la Fuente, F., 2016. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* increases the levels of histone modifying enzymes to inhibit cell apoptosis and facilitate pathogen infection in the tick vector *Ixodes scapularis*. *Epigenetics*. 11, 303–19.
- Calles, K., Lindskog, E., Nossed, Å., Svensson, I., Häggström, L., 2005. Extracellular histone H4 from SF9 cells is antimicrobial, in: Gòdia, F., Fussenegger, M. (Eds.), *Animal cell technology meets genomics*, ESACT Proceedings. Springer Netherlands, pp. 155–157.
- Chmelar, J., Calvo, E., Pedra, J.H.F., Francischetti, I.M.B., Kotsyfakis, M., 2012. Tick salivary secretion as a source of antihemostatics. *J. Proteomics* 75, 3842–54. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2012.04.026
- Couvreux, B., Beaufays, J., Charon, C., Lahaye, K., Gensale, F., Denis, V., Charloteaux, B., Decrem, Y., Prévôt, P.P., Brossard, M., Vanhamme, L., Godfroid, E., 2008. Variability and action mechanism of a family of anticomplement proteins in *Ixodes ricinus*. *PLoS One* 3, e1400. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001400
- Daix, V., Schroeder, H., Praet, N., Georgin, J.-P., Chiappino, I., Gillet, L., De Fays, K., Decrem, Y., Lebouille, G., Godfroid, E., Bollen, A., Pastoret, P.-P., Gern, L., Sharp, P.M., Vanderplasschen, A., 2007. *Ixodes* ticks belonging to the *Ixodes ricinus* complex encode a family of anticomplement proteins. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 16, 155–166. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00710.x

- 439 Das, R., Burke, T., Plow, E., 2007. Histone H2B as a functionally important plasminogen
440 receptor on macrophages. *Blood*. 110, 3763–72.
- 441 de la Fuente, J., Antunes, S., Bonnet, S., Cabezas-Cruz, A., Domingos, A., Estrada-Peña, A.,
442 Johnson, N., Kocan, K., Mansfield, K., Nijhof, A., Papa, A., Rudenko, N., Villar, M.,
443 Alberdi, P., Torina, A., Ayllón, N., Vancova, M, Golovchenko, M, Grubhoffer, L,
444 Caracappa, S., Fooks, A., Gortazar, C., Rego, R., 2017. Tick-pathogen interactions and
445 vector competence: Identification of molecular drivers for tick-borne diseases. *Front Cell*
446 *Infect* 7, 114.
- 447 de la Fuente, J., Estrada-Peña, A., Venzal, J., Kocan, K., Sonenshine, D., 2008. Overview:
448 Ticks as vectors of pathogens that cause disease in humans and animals. *Front. Biosci.*
449 13, 6938–6946.
- 450 Dickinson, R.G., O’Hagan, J.E., Schotz, M., Binnington, K.C., Hegarty, M.P., 1976.
451 Prostaglandin in the saliva of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus*. *Aust. J. Exp. Biol.*
452 *Med. Sci.* 54, 475–486.
- 453 Dorrington, T., Villamil, L., Gómez-chiarri, M., 2011. Upregulation in response to infection
454 and antibacterial activity of oyster histone H4. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 30, 94–101.
- 455 Francischetti, I.M.B., Mather, T.N., Ribeiro, J.M.C., 2004. Penthalaris, a novel recombinant
456 five-Kunitz tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI) from the salivary gland of the tick
457 vector of Lyme disease, *Ixodes scapularis*. *Thromb. Haemost.* 91, 886–898.
458 doi:10.1267/THRO04050886
- 459 Francischetti, I.M.B., Sá-Nunes, A., Mans, B.J., Santos, I.M., Ribeiro, J.M.C., 2009. The role
460 of saliva in tick feeding. *Front. Biosci.* 14, 2051–2088.
- 461 Gardner, K., Allis, C., Strahl, B., 2011. Operating on chromatin, a colorful language where
462 context matters. *J Mol Biol.* 409, 36–46.
- 463 Garg, R., Juncadella, I.J., Ramamoorthi, N., Ashish, Ananthanarayanan, S.K., Thomas, V.,
464 Rincón, M., Krueger, J.K., Fikrig, E., Yengo, C.M., Anguita, J., 2006. Cutting edge:
465 CD4 is the receptor for the tick saliva immunosuppressor, Salp15. *J. Immunol.* 177,
466 6579–6583.
- 467 Hajnická, V., Vančová-Štibrániová, I., Slovák, M., Kocáková, P., Nuttall, P., 2011. Ixodid
468 tick salivary gland products target host wound healing growth factors. *Int J Parasitol.* 41,
469 213–23.
- 470 Hannier, S., Liversidge, J., Sternberg, J.M., Bowman, A.S., 2004. Characterization of the B-
471 cell inhibitory protein factor in *Ixodes ricinus* tick saliva: a potential role in enhanced
472 *Borrelia burgdoferi* transmission. *Immunology* 113, 401–8. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
473 2567.2004.01975.x
- 474 Hovius, J.W.R., Levi, M., Fikrig, E., 2008. Salivating for knowledge: Potential
475 pharmacological agents in tick saliva. *PLoS Med.* 5, 0202–0208.
- 476 Johansson, P., Windeløv, N., Rasmussen, L., Sørensen, A., Ostrowski, S., 2013. Blood levels
477 of histone-complexed DNA fragments are associated with coagulopathy, inflammation
478 and endothelial damage early after trauma. *J Emerg Trauma Shock.* 6, 171–5.
- 479 Jørgensen, S., Schotta, G., Sørensen, C.S., 2013. Histone H4 Lysine 20 methylation: key
480 player in epigenetic regulation of genomic integrity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* gkt012.
481 doi:10.1093/nar/gkt012
- 482 Kahl, O., Hoff, R., Knülle, W., 1990. Gross morphological changes in the salivary glands of
483 *Ixodes ricinus* (Acari, Ixodidae) between bloodmeals in relation to active uptake of

- 484 atmospheric water vapour. *Exp Appl Acarol.* 9, 239–58.
- 485 Kawasaki, H., Iwamuro, S., 2008. Potential roles of histones in host defense as antimicrobial
486 agents. *Infect. Disord. Drug Targets* 8, 195–205.
- 487 Kawasaki, H., Iwamuro, S., Goto, Y., Nielsen, P., Conlon, J., 2008. Characterization of a
488 hemolytic protein, identified as histone H4, from the skin of the Japanese tree frog *Hyla*
489 *japonica* (Hylidae). *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol.* 149, 120–5.
- 490 Kazimírová, M., Stibrániová, I., 2013. Tick salivary compounds: their role in modulation of
491 host defences and pathogen transmission. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 3, 1–17.
492 doi:10.3389/fcimb.2013.00043
- 493 Kern, A., Collin, E., Barthel, C., Michel, C., Jaulhac, B., Boulanger, N., 2011. Tick saliva
494 represses innate immunity and cutaneous inflammation in a murine model of lyme
495 disease. *Vector borne zoonotic Dis. Larchmont NY* 11, 1343–50.
496 doi:10.1089/vbz.2010.0197
- 497 Kotsyfakis, M., Schwarz, A., Erhart, J., Ribeiro, J., 2015. Tissue- and time-dependent
498 transcription in *Ixodes ricinus* salivary glands and midguts when blood feeding on the
499 vertebrate host. *Sci Rep.* 5, 9103.
- 500 Krause, P.J., Grant-Kels, J.M., Tahan, S.R., Dardick, K.R., Alarcon-Chaidez, F., Bouchard,
501 K., Visini, C., Deriso, C., Foppa, I.M., Wikel, S., 2009. Dermatologic changes induced
502 by repeated *Ixodes scapularis* bites and implications for prevention of tick-borne
503 infection. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 9, 603–10. doi:10.1089/vbz.2008.0091
- 504 Leboulle, G., Crippa, M., Decrem, Y., Mejri, N., Brossard, M., Bollen, A., Godfroid, E.,
505 2002. Characterization of a novel salivary immunosuppressive protein from *Ixodes*
506 *ricinus* ticks. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 10083–9. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111391200
- 507 Lee, D.-Y., Huang, C.-M., Nakatsuji, T., Thiboutot, D., Kang, S.-A., Monestier, M., Gallo,
508 R.L., 2009. Histone H4 Is a Major Component of the antimicrobial action of human
509 sebocytes. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 129, 2489–2496. doi:10.1038/jid.2009.106
- 510 Lochhead, R.B., Sonderegger, F.L., Ma, Y., Brewster, J.E., Cornwall, D., Maylor-Hagen, H.,
511 Miller, J.C., Zachary, J.F., Weis, J.H., Weis, J.J., 2012. Endothelial cells and fibroblasts
512 amplify the arthritogenic Type I IFN response in murine Lyme disease and are major
513 sources of chemokines in *Borrelia burgdorferi*-infected joint tissue. *J. Immunol.* 189,
514 2488–2501. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1201095
- 515 Lusitani, D., Malawista, S.E., Montgomery, R.R., 2002. *Borrelia burgdorferi* are susceptible
516 to killing by a variety of human polymorphonuclear leukocyte components. *J. Infect.*
517 *Dis.* 185, 797–804. doi:10.1086/339341
- 518 Marchal, C., Luft, B., Yang, X., Sibilia, J., Jaulhac, B., Boulanger, N., 2009. Defensin is
519 suppressed by tick salivary gland extract during the *in vitro* interaction of resident skin
520 cells with *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 129, 2515–7.
521 doi:10.1038/jid.2009.73
- 522 Marchal, C., Schramm, F., Kern, A., Luft, B.J., Yang, X., Schuijt, T., Hovius, J., Jaulhac, B.,
523 Boulanger, N., 2011. Antialarmin effect of tick saliva during the transmission of lyme
524 disease. *Infect. Immun.* 79. doi:10.1128/IAI.00482-10
- 525 Narasimhan, S., Montgomery, R.R., DePonte, K., Tschudi, C., Marcantonio, N., Anderson,
526 J.F., Sauer, J.R., Cappello, M., Kantor, F.S., Fikrig, E., 2004. Disruption of *Ixodes*
527 *scapularis* anticoagulation by using RNA interference. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
528 101, 1141–1146. doi:10.1073/pnas.0307669100

- 529 Paesen, G.C., Adams, P.L., Harlos, K., Nuttall, P.A., Stuart, D.I., 1999. Tick histamine-
530 binding proteins: isolation, cloning, and three-dimensional structure. *Mol. Cell* 3, 661–
531 671. doi:10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80359-7
- 532 Park, C.B., Kim, M.S., Kim, S.C., 1996. A novel antimicrobial peptide from *Bufo bufo*
533 *gargarizans*. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 218, 408–413.
534 doi:10.1006/bbrc.1996.0071
- 535 Poirier, A., Schmitt, P., Rosa, R., Vanhove, A., Kieffer-Jaquinod, S., Rubio, T., Charrière, G.,
536 Destoumieux-Garzón, D., 2014. Antimicrobial histones and DNA traps in invertebrate
537 immunity: evidences in *Crassostrea gigas*. *J Biol Chem.* 2014 Sep 5;289(36) 289,
538 24821–31.
- 539 Poirier, R., Lemaire, I., Lemaire, S., 2006. Characterization, localization and possible anti-
540 inflammatory function of rat histone H4 mRNA variants. *FEBS J.* 273, 4360–4373.
541 doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05444.x
- 542 Prevot, P.-P., Adam, B., Boudjeltia, K.Z., Brossard, M., Lins, L., Cauchie, P., Brasseur, R.,
543 Vanhaeverbeek, M., Vanhamme, L., Godfroid, E., 2006. Anti-hemostatic effects of a
544 serpin from the saliva of the tick *Ixodes ricinus*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 26361–26369.
545 doi:10.1074/jbc.M604197200
- 546 Ribeiro, JM, Makoul, G., Levine, J., Robinson, D., Spielman, A., 1985. Antihemostatic,
547 antiinflammatory, and immunosuppressive properties of the saliva of a tick, *Ixodes*
548 *dammini*. *J Exp Med.* 161, 332–344.
- 549 Ribeiro, J.M.C., Mather, T.N., 1998. *Ixodes scapularis*:salivary kininase activity is a metallo
550 dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase. *Exp. Parasitol.* 89, 213–221. doi:10.1006/expr.1998.4296
- 551 Robb, C., Dzyrnda, E., Gray, R., Rossi, A., Smith, V., 2014. Invertebrate extracellular
552 phagocyte traps show that chromatin is an ancient defence weapon. *Nat Commun.* 5,
553 4627.
- 554 Sangamnatdej, S., Paesen, G.C., Slovak, M., Nuttall, P.A., 2002. A high affinity serotonin-
555 and histamine-binding lipocalin from tick saliva. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 11, 79–86.
- 556 Schnell, G., Boeuf, A., Jaulhac, B., Boulanger, N., Collin, E., Barthel, C., De Martino, S.,
557 Ehret-Sabatier, L., 2014. Proteomic analysis of three *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato
558 native species and disseminating clones: Relevance for Lyme vaccine design. *Proteomics*
559 10.1002/pmic.201400177. doi:10.1002/pmic.201400177
- 560 Schramm, F., Kern, A., Barthel, C., Nadaud, S., Meyer, N., Jaulhac, B., Boulanger, N., 2012.
561 Microarray analyses of inflammation response of human dermal fibroblasts to different
562 strains of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto. *PLoS One* 7, e40046.
563 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040046
- 564 Schroeder, H., Daix, V., Gillet, L., Renauld, J.-C., Vanderplasschen, A., 2007. The paralogous
565 salivary anti-complement proteins IRAC I and IRAC II encoded by *Ixodes ricinus* ticks
566 have broad and complementary inhibitory activities against the complement of different
567 host species. *Microbes Infect.* 9, 247–250. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2006.10.020
- 568 Schuijt, T.J., Hovius, J.W., van der Poll, T., van Dam, a P., Fikrig, E., 2011. Lyme borreliosis
569 vaccination: the facts, the challenge, the future. *Trends Parasitol.* 27, 40–7.
570 doi:10.1016/j.pt.2010.06.006
- 571 Shahbazian, M.D., Grunstein, M., 2007. Functions of site-specific histone acetylation and
572 deacetylation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 76, 75–100.
573 doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.76.052705.162114

- 574 Shia, W.-J., Pattenden, S.G., Workman, J.L., 2006. Histone H4 lysine 16 acetylation breaks
575 the genome's silence. *Genome Biol.* 7, 217. doi:10.1186/gb-2006-7-5-217
- 576 Sonenshine, D., 1991. *Biology of ticks* 472 pages.
- 577 Sonenshine, D., Anderson, J., 2014. Mouthparts and digestive system, in: Sonenshine, D.,
578 ROE, R. (Eds.), *Biology of Ticks*. Oxford University Press, pp. 122–162.
- 579 Thepparit, C., Bourchoukarn, A., Petchampai, N., Barker, S., Macaluso, K., 2010. Interaction
580 of *Rickettsia felis* with histone H2B facilitates the infection of a tick cell line.
581 *Microbiology*. 156, 2855–63.
- 582 Valenzuela, J.G., Charlab, R., Mather, T.N., Ribeiro, J.M.C., 2000. Purification, cloning, and
583 expression of a novel salivary anticomplement protein from the tick, *Ixodes scapularis*. *J.*
584 *Biol. Chem.* 275, 18717–18723. doi:10.1074/jbc.M001486200
- 585 Wikel, S.K., 2013. Ticks and tick-borne pathogens at the cutaneous interface: host defenses,
586 tick countermeasures, and a suitable environment for pathogen establishment. *Front.*
587 *Microbiol.* 4, 337. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00337
- 588 Wu, J., Weening, E.H., Faske, J.B., Höök, M., Skare, J.T., 2011. Invasion of eukaryotic cells
589 by *Borrelia burgdorferi* requires β 1 Integrins and Src kinase activity. *Infect. Immun.* 79,
590 1338–1348. doi:10.1128/IAI.01188-10
- 591 Yang, H., Mizzen, C.A., 2009. The multiple facets of histone H4-lysine 20 methylation.
592 *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 87, 151–161. doi:10.1139/O08-131
- 593 Zhao, Z., Fleming, R., McCloud, B., Klempner, M.S., 2007. CD14 mediates cross talk
594 between mononuclear cells and fibroblasts for upregulation of matrix metalloproteinase
595 9 by *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 3062–3069. doi:10.1128/IAI.00202-07
- 596

597 **TABLE**598 **Table 1: Proteins identified in each active fraction of *Ixodes ricinus* SGE**

Fraction¹	Retention time (min)	Identified proteins²	Accession number	Dissociating activity
1	180-182	cyclophilin A heat shock protein, putative histone H2B, putative calreticulin	gi 67084095 gi 240972667 gi 241568982 gi 39725993	No
2	182-184	small heat shock protein, putative histone H2B, putative	gi 241860225 gi 241568982	Yes
3	184-186	histone H4, putative histone H2B, putative ornithine decarboxylase, putative	gi 241568987 gi 241568982 gi 24200134	Yes
4	186-188	histone H4, putative histone H2B, putative hypothetical protein IscW_ISCW008323	gi 241568987 gi 241568982 gi 241652216	Yes
5	188-190	histone H4, putative	gi 241568987	Yes
6	190-192	cyclophilin A histone H4, putative histone 2A fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase, putative ixoderin B4 hypothetical protein IscW_ISCW008323	gi 67084095 gi 241568987 gi 241251590 gi 241690479 gi 126360866 gi 241652216	Yes
7	192-194	histone H4, putative	gi 241568987	No

599 ¹Fractions are described by their number indicated in Figure 1.

600 ²NanoLC-MS/MS data obtained after reduction of disulfide bridge, alkylation of cysteines and
601 enzymatic digestion by trypsin were analyzed using MASCOT and OMSSA search engines against an
602 in-house generated target-decoy protein database composed of protein sequences of *Ixodes*.

603

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: SGE fractionation and detection of dissociating effect on human FBs

(A) UV chromatogram of SGE of *Ixodes ricinus* females, fed on rabbit for three days. Fractions were collected every two min. Fractions whose dissociating effect on human fibroblasts was measured are indicated (fractions 1 to 7). Fractions exhibiting an effect on human fibroblasts are indicated by a star (*).

(B) *In vitro* cultures of human FBs co-incubated with *I. ricinus* SGE obtained after microHPLC separation. Dissociating effect tested for fractions (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7). Activity was observed for (2) to (6). Scale bar corresponds to 100 μ m.

Figure 2: Histone H4 identification

The sequence of histone H4 protein and the MS/MS spectra of the peptide **ISGLIYEETR** are presented. The protein was identified with a maximum of 11 peptides (highlighted in bold) representing a sequence coverage of 66%.

Figure 3: Intact mass measurement of histone H4 isolated from SGE

The MS spectrum showing the different charge states was obtained by infusion on a Triversa NanoMate/Synapt G2 instrument. A protein with an average mass of 11305.92 ± 0.11 Da was mostly observed.

Figure 4: Dissociating effect of Human recombinant histone H4 and crude SGE on human FBs

Images of human FBs co-incubated for 24 hours with 0 (a), 5 (b), 10 (c) or 20 µg/mL (d) of recombinant histone H4, with crude SGE at 20 µg/mL of proteins (e) or with an irrelevant recombinant protein (f). Scale bar corresponds to 100 µm.

Figure 5: Measure of cell viability and dissociating effect of salivary gland extract by Trypan blue.

The effect of salivary gland extract (SGE) and human recombinant Histone H4 on human primary fibroblasts was measured by Trypan blue. Commercial purified histones (Sigma and/or Biolab) were used to compare their potential dissociating effect on SGE. Non adherent fibroblasts were first counted in the supernatant. Then, after trypsin treatment, adherent cells were counted (data not shown).

Figure 6: Histone H4 but not whole SGE shows antimicrobial activity against pathogenic and commensal bacteria. (A) *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (*S. epidermidis*), (B) *Micrococcus luteus* (*M. luteus*) and (C) *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 (*E. coli*) were incubated for 3 hours in PBS with different concentrations of LL-37 or gentamicin (positive controls), tick salivary gland extract (SGE) or human recombinant histone H4. Then bacteria were plated on agar for 24 hours. CFU were counted and percentage of survival was calculated according to the negative control. (D) *Borrelia burgdorferi* ss (297) and (E) *B. afzelii* (NE4049) were incubated for 24 hours in KGM medium with different concentrations of gentamicin (positive control), LL-37, SGE or histone H4. Then the *Borreliae* were counted and percentage of survival was calculated according to the negative control. Results (± SEM) are representative of at least three independent experiments with similar results.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Material and Method supplementary material

Exact mass measurement

External calibration was performed with the multiply charged ions produced by 2 μ M horse heart myoglobin diluted in water/ACN/HCO₂H (50/50/1) and classical interface tuning parameters of the mass spectrometer ($V_c = 40$ V, $P_i = 2.1$ mbar).

Trypsin digestion and nanoLC-MS/MS analyses

Proteins were digested by using a liquid trypsin digestion protocol. After evaporation, the fraction was re-suspended in a Tris-HCl 25 mM pH 8.8 buffer. Proteins were reduced 1h at 60°C by adding dithiothreitol to a final concentration of 10 mM. Then alkylation was performed by adding iodoacetamide to a final concentration of 30 mM at room temperature in dark. Digestion was finally performed overnight by adding trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI) to a final concentration of 1.5 ng/ μ L. After digestion, fractions were evaporated and re-suspended in a 0.1% (v/v) TFA aqueous solution.

Digested peptides were analyzed as described (Schnell et al., 2014) with the following adapted conditions. The solvent system consisted of 2% ACN and 0.1% HCO₂H in water (solvent A) and 2% water and 0.1% HCO₂H in ACN (solvent B). A Zorbax 300SB-C18 analytical column (43 mm $\times$ 75 μ m, 5 μ m) was used and proteins were eluted with a 10–30% B linear gradient over 1.5 min, followed by a 30-70% B linear gradient over 7.5 min and a final 4 min stage at 85% B. For MS, the voltage applied to the capillary was set to -1 850 V. In MS scanning, the Ion Charge Control was fixed at 100,000. For tandem MS experiments, the six most abundant doubly or triply charged ions were selected to be further isolated and fragmented by CID. Ions were excluded after 1 spectrum and released after 0.12 min. In MS/MS scanning the Ion Charge Control was fixed at 300,000 and a total of 2 scans were averaged to obtain a MS/MS spectrum.

Characterization of histone H4

Proteins present were digested by using a liquid AspN digestion protocol. After evaporation, the fraction was re-suspended in 25 mM NH_4HCO_3 containing 0.1% RapiGestTM, reduced 30 min at 60°C by adding dithiothreitol to a final concentration of 12 mM and alkylated 30 min at room temperature by adding iodoacetamide to a final concentration of 40 mM in the dark. An overnight digestion was performed by adding AspN (Promega, Madison, WI) in a 1/75 enzyme/protein ratio at 37°C. After digestion, trifluoroacetic acid was added to a final concentration of 0.5% (v/v) and the sample was incubated 45 min at 37°C to hydrolyze RapiGestTM. After centrifugation (14,000 x g, 10 min), the sample was desalted on Sep-Pak C18 cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA) prior to mass spectrometry analyses. For nanoLC-MS/MS, the solvent system consisted of 0.1% HCO_2H in water (solvent A) and 0.1% HCO_2H in ACN (solvent B). Trapping was performed for 3 min at a flow rate of 5 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$, with 99% of solvent A and 1% of solvent B. Elution was performed at a flow rate of 450 nL/min, using a linear gradient of 1–35% B over 35 min at 45°C, followed by 50% B over 1 min and then 90% B over 5 min. Peptides eluting from the column were analyzed by a top 10 data-dependent MS/MS on a Q-Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA). Resolution was set to 70,000 for MS scans and 17,500 for the data-dependent MS/MS scans. The MS AGC target was set to 3×10^6 counts, while MS/MS AGC target was set to 1×10^5 . The MS scan range was from 300 to 1,200 m/z. MS and MS/MS scans were recorded in profile mode. HCD fragmentation was performed by applying normalized collision energy of 29. Dynamic exclusion was set to 1 min.

Identification of Histone H4 in *Ixodes ricinus* SGE and saliva by Immunoblot

Material and methods:

An SDS-PAGE of 12% was run with different antigens: recombinant human histone H4 (Biolabs: 11,23 kD; 95 % purity), recombinant human histone H4-HisTag (Sigma; 12kD; 75% purity), two batches of *Ixodes ricinus* salivary gland extracts from laboratory reared tick colony (lab) or ticks collected in the field (wild) dissected at day 3 of the blood meal, *Ixodes ricinus* saliva collected at day 7 of the blood meal. The gel was then transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (0, 2 µm); after blocking of unspecific sites, the membrane was incubated either with a polyclonal rabbit serum against human histone 4 (Sigma) or preimmune rabbit serum diluted 1/200; the conjugate (goat anti-rabbit/HRPO: 1 /3000) was then incubated and the reaction revealed by chloronaphtol.

Results:

Histone H4 is detected in all the samples whatever the origin, human or tick. In ticks, the native histone has a higher molecular weight likely due to the presence of posttranslational modifications (PTM). In *Ixodes* saliva, we detected a very high molecular weight for histone. This histone protein might be associated to another protein giving a high molecular weight

718 protein complex (line 7). This complex is not detected with the preimmune rabbit serum (line
719 11)
720

A **B** **Figure 1**

MSGRGKGGKGLGKGGAKRHRKVLR**DNIQG**ITKPAIRRLARRGGV
KR**I**SGLIYEETRGVLKVFLENVIRDAVTYTEHAKRK**T**VTAMDVV
YALKRQGR**T**LYGF**G**G

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6