

Protection against Clostridium difficile infection in a hamster model by oral vaccination using flagellin FliC-loaded pectin beads

J. F. Bruxelle, N. Tsapis, S. Hoys, A. Collignon, Claire Janoir, E. Fattal, S. Péchiné

▶ To cite this version:

J. F. Bruxelle, N. Tsapis, S. Hoys, A. Collignon, Claire Janoir, et al.. Protection against Clostridium difficile infection in a hamster model by oral vaccination using flagellin FliC-loaded pectin beads. Vaccine, 2018, 36 (40), pp.6017-6021. 10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.08.013 . hal-02323737

HAL Id: hal-02323737 https://hal.science/hal-02323737

Submitted on 23 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Protection against *Clostridium difficile* infection in a hamster model by oral vaccination using flagellin FliC-loaded pectin beads.

1

2 JF. Bruxelle^a, N. Tsapis^b, S. Hoys^a, A. Collignon^a, C. Janoir^a, E. Fattal^b, S. Péchiné^a*

^a EA4043 Unités Bactéries Pathogènes et Santé (UBaPS), Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay,
 Châtenay-Malabry, France

5 ^b Institut Galien Paris-Sud, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Châtenay-Malabry,

6 France

7 * Corresponding author: Séverine Péchiné

- 8 E-mail address: severine.pechine@u-psud.fr
- 9 Postal Address: Université Paris-Sud, UFR de Pharmacie, 5 rue J.B. Clément, 92296 Chatenay-

10 Malabry cedex

11 Abstract

12 Clostridium difficile flagellin FliC is a highly immunogenic pathogen-associated molecular pattern playing a key role in C. difficile pathogenesis and gut colonization. Here, we designed an oral vaccine 13 14 against C. difficile with FliC encapsulated into pectin beads for colonic release. Bead stability and 15 FliC retention was confirmed in vitro using simulated intestinal media (SIM), while bead degradation 16 and FliC release was observed upon incubation in simulated colonic media (SCM). The importance 17 of FliC encapsulation into pectin beads for protection against C. difficile was assessed in a 18 vaccination assay using a lethal hamster model of C. difficile infection. Three groups of hamsters 19 orally received either FliC-loaded beads or unloaded beads in gastro-resistant capsule to limit gastric 20 degradation or free FliC. Two other groups were immunized with free FliC, one intra-rectally and the

21 other intra-peritoneally. Hamsters were then challenged with a lethal dose of C. difficile VPI 10463. 22 Fifty percent of hamsters orally immunized with FliC-loaded beads survived whereas all hamsters 23 orally immunized with free FliC died within 7 days post challenge. No significant protection was 24 observed in the other groups. Only intra-peritoneally immunized hamsters presented anti-FliC IgG 25 antibodies in sera after immunizations. These results suggest that an oral immunization with FliC-26 loaded beads probably induced a mucosal immune response, therefore providing a protective effect. 27 This study confirms the importance of FliC encapsulation into pectin beads for a protective oral 28 vaccine against C. difficile.

29

Keywords: *Clostridium difficile*; oral vaccination; flagellin; pectin beads; colonic delivery

30

31 **1 Introduction**

32 Clostridium difficile (Clostridioides) difficile [1] is a Gram-positive, anaerobic spore-forming 33 bacterium and is the leading cause of antibiotic-associated diarrhea. Gut microbiota dysbiosis enables 34 C. difficile colonization of the intestinal tract. After contamination, C. difficile spores germinate, 35 vegetative forms multiply, and toxins are released, disrupting epithelium integrity and inducing an 36 inflammatory response in the colon [2]. C. difficile is a non-invasive pathogen, thus, promoting local 37 intestinal immunity could trigger early protection against C. difficile infection (CDI) [3]. Even 38 though the intra-rectal route has shown promising results previously by inducing a protective 39 immune response directed to C. difficile [4-6], developing the oral route of immunization 40 represents a rational choice to induce a gut mucosal immune response with a better patient 41 acceptance and comfort. To overcome the gastrointestinal barrier, antigen encapsulation is 42 recommended to maintain its integrity and its immunogenicity. Biocompatible and biodegradable 43 polymers are interesting materials for encapsulation. For instance, pectin, a non-toxic polysaccharide,

has previously shown promising capacity for protein encapsulation and colonic delivery [7, 8].
Indeed, pectin is not degraded by gastric or intestinal enzymes but is almost totally degraded by
pectinolytic enzymes produced by the microbiota present in the colon [7, 9].

Current strategies for vaccine development against C. difficile target either toxins or colonization 47 48 factors. Systemic vaccines targeting toxins are currently being tested in clinical trials 49 (NCT01887912; NCT03090191; NCT02316470) and have shown efficacy in protecting against CDI 50 in animal models [10, 11]. However, targeting colonization factors could prevent C. difficile 51 colonization, growth and symptomatic infection therefore limiting dissemination of the bacteria in 52 the environment. Several vaccine candidates targeting colonization factors showed promising results 53 [4, 8, 12]. For instance, flagellin which is highly immunogenic, harbors a unique pathogen-associated 54 molecular pattern implicated in toll-like receptor-5 (TLR-5) recognition. However, the central 55 domain of the flagellin is highly variable among different species [13, 14]. Regarding C. difficile 56 flagellin, FliC is a 39 kDa structural protein of the flagellum. The central domain, the N- and C-57 terminal domains of FliC are well conserved among the different strains of C. difficile. FliC 58 antibodies have been detected in patient sera [15] and non-CDI patients presented significantly more 59 anti-FliC serum antibodies than CDI patients [16]. Interestingly, the immunological properties of 60 FliC enable it to act as adjuvant [17] and as antigen, so, FliC represents a promising vaccine 61 candidate [12, 18]. Ghose et al. intraperitoneally immunized hamsters and mice with FliC and 62 described the induction of a systemic IgG response protective against CDI [12].

63 Developing a mucosal vaccine targeting *C. difficile* gut colonization could enable early 64 protection against CDI. Oral vaccines can be expected to have much greater acceptability than 65 injectable vaccines. Oral vaccine administration could also lead to simplified manufacturing 66 vaccine, thereby increasing the potential for local vaccine production. Here we describe the 67 development and the efficacy of a mucosal vaccine strategy with FliC against *C. difficile* virulence in hamster. To this end, here we first assess the suitability of pectin beads to deliver FliC into the colon
and we evaluate FliC-loaded pectin beads in gastro-resistant capsules as an oral vaccine candidate
against *C. difficile* in a lethal hamster model of **CDI**.

71 2 Material and methods

72 2.1 Preparation of pectin beads

73 Recombinant FliC was obtained and purified as previously described [13] with exception that the 74 purified protein was dialyzed against TRIS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.5). Pectin beads were prepared as previously detailed [8], pectin solution was obtained with UnipectineTM OG175C (Cargill) dissolved 75 76 in TRIS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.5) at the concentration of 6% (w/V). Unloaded beads were prepared 77 with the pectin solution only and FliC-loaded beads with pectin solution and recombinant FliC. The 78 pectin solution containing FliC or not was then dropped into a cross-linking solution of Zn acetate 79 (12%, w/V). Beads, formed instantaneously by contact with zinc ions, were left in the cross-linking 80 solution for 30 minutes at room temperature under magnetic stirring. Beads were washed three times 81 with distilled water and then dried 3 hours at 37°C. To determine encapsulation efficiency (EE), 82 FliC-loaded beads were disintegrated in TRIS buffer (25 mM, pH 7.5) added with EDTA (50 mM). 83 Then, proteins were dosed using Bradford dye-binding method. EE(%) was determined by the 84 following formula : (actual amount of FliC encapsulated / theoretical amount of FliC 85 encapsulated) *100.

86 2.2 Analysis of protein release from beads in simulated digestive media

Analysis of FliC release was carried out in two different simulated digestive media as previously
described [8]: 5 h in Simulated Intestinal Medium (SIM, HEPES/NaCl buffer, pH 6.8) containing
pancreatin (1% w/V) followed by 5 h in Simulated Colonic Medium (SCM, HEPES/NaCl buffer, pH
6) containing ≥760 U/mL of pectinase from *Aspergillus aculeatus* (Sigma). Presence of FliC and

91 pectinase in the same medium makes difficult to dose proteins by Bradford dye-binding method.
92 Therefore, loaded beads were prepared using rhodamine-labelled FliC. Labeling and release protocol
93 were performed as previously described [8] and the amount of FliC released was determined by
94 spectrofluorometry in duplicate.

95 2.3 Gastro-resistant coating of capsules containing beads for oral immunization

To protect beads from the harsh gastric acid environment, loaded and unloaded beads were placed into gelatin capsules (size 9, Harvard Apparatus) coated with a hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate (HPMCP 50) film. Coating was performed by dipping capsules into a 10% (w/V) solution of HPMCP 50 in acetone/ethanol (1:1 v:v) and drying them at room temperature [8]. This process was repeated six times. The efficacy of the gastro-resistant coating was checked by a disintegration test according to European pharmacopoeia (capsules undamaged after 2 h in HCl 0.1 M) [8].

102 2.4 Animals

The vaccination study was carried out in a model of infection using *Mesocricetus auratus* female hamsters (weight, 80–100 g, Janvier Laboratories). Protocols involving animals and their care were conducted in conformity with the institutional guidelines that are in compliance with national and international laws and policies. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments University of Paris-Sud and the French Minister of Research (APAFIS#4577-2016020913152994 v4). All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering.

109 2.5 Vaccination protocol

Five groups of 6 animals were used. Each vaccinated animals received a total of 300 µg of FliC in three administrations of 100 µg of FliC every fifteen days (at day 0, day 15 and day 30). One group was immunized with FliC-loaded pectin beads given orally and a group orally received unloaded

113 beads. Three other groups were immunized with recombinant free FliC by oral, intra-rectal or intra-114 peritoneal route. Fifteen days after the last immunization (at day 45) and before C. difficile 115 challenge, hamsters were orally given clindamycin at a single dose of 50 mg/kg and gentamicin twice 116 a day during 5 days (from day 45 to day 49) at a dose of 2.5 mg/kg to disrupt the intestinal microbiota. Then at day 50, hamsters were orally challenged with $7,5x10^4$ spores of C. difficile strain 117 118 VPI 10463 (Fig. 1). Two days after challenge, C. difficile colonization was checked by detection of 119 C. difficile vegetative cells in the feces by plating adequate dilutions on Columbia agar 120 containing 5% of horse blood, 25% (w/v) of D-cycloserine, and 0.8% (w/v) of cefoxitin and 121 taurocholate.

122 2.6 Evaluation of specific antibody level in sera after immunization

123 To evaluate the antibody response in sera, blood samples were withdrawn before the first 124 immunization and 15 days after the last immunization, before C. difficile challenge. Indirect ELISA 125 was used to detect antibodies in the sera as previously described [6]. Briefly, wells of 96-well 126 microtitre plates (MaxiSorp, Nunc) were coated with 100 µL of a 5 µg/mL solution of recombinant 127 purified FliC. Sera were tested in duplicate at dilution 1:500 in 100 µL final volume. After five 128 washings with phosphate buffer (PBS) and Tween-20 (0.1%), an aliquot of 100 μ L per well of a 129 rabbit anti-hamster IgG conjugated with biotin (1:8,000 dilution; Biovalley) was added and plates 130 were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Then, after five washings, 100 µL per well of streptavidin–HRP 131 (1:10,000 dilution; ThermoScientific) were added and plates were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. 132 Assays with antigen in the absence of sera served as negative controls. Immunoglobulin levels are 133 expressed as OD units at 450 nm.

134 2.7 Statistical analysis

Animal surviving rate was analyzed using Kaplan–Meier estimates. Survival rates between groups were compared using log rank test, p-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Mann-Withney U-test was performed to analyzed specific anti-FliC antibody levels in sera after immunizations, p-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.</p>

139 **3 Results**

140 3.1 Flagellin encapsulation in pectin beads and *in vitro* release

141 In order to perform oral vaccination, we encapsulated the recombinant C. difficile flagellin FliC into 142 pectin beads. About 40 to 45 beads were obtained per milliliter of pectin solution. After drying, beads 143 had an ellipsoid shape with a 1.2-1.5 mm diameter and weighed between 1.5 and 2 mg (Fig. 2). The 144 total amount of encapsulated FliC was dosed after total disintegration of beads. FliC-loaded bead 145 contained a total amount of $3 \pm 1 \mu g$ of FliC by bead, this corresponds to an encapsulation efficiency 146 of about $30 \pm 10\%$ (n=5). In vitro characterization confirms beads stability in SIM and protein release 147 in SCM containing pectinase. Less than 10% of FliC was released after 5 h of incubation in SIM and 148 dried beads swelled keeping their shape. After being placed in SCM, beads started to disintegrate 149 leading to the release of FliC. Between 2 and 3 h were sufficient to release more than 80% of 150 entrapped FliC (Fig. 3). This in vitro characterization of FliC-loaded beads confirms the interest of 151 using this encapsulation strategy for colonic delivery of an antigen after oral administration.

152 3.2 Vaccination with flagellin against **CDI** in the hamster model

C. difficile flagellin FliC is a promising vaccine candidate. To evaluate the efficacy of mucosal vaccination with FliC against *C. difficile* virulence, we immunized hamsters with the same amount of FliC via different mucosal routes and compare them with the intra-peritoneal parenteral route. We showed here that encapsulation of FliC into pectin beads for oral administration significantly 157 protected hamsters against C. difficile lethal challenge (Fig. 4). Indeed, at day 17 after challenge, 158 50% of hamsters survived in the group orally vaccinated with FliC-loaded beads, whereas no survival 159 was observed after oral vaccination with free FliC (p-value=0.041). Only 17% of hamsters (n=1) 160 survived in the unloaded bead group. The same percentage of surviving animals was observed for the 161 intra-rectally vaccinated group. After immunization by intra-peritoneal route, 33% of hamsters 162 survived. Interestingly, analysis of C. difficile fecal shedding showed that, whereas all 30 animals 163 were infected by C. difficile two days post-challenge, all surviving animals in the different groups 164 were no more colonized at the end of the assay at 17 days post challenge. These results showed that 165 oral vaccination with FliC-loaded pectin beads led to the best protection against C. difficile virulence 166 in the hamster model.

167 3.3 Antibody response induced after vaccination

To correlate the observed protection with the systemic immune response induced by vaccination, we evaluated the anti-FliC response in sera. We observed an increased level of FliC-specific IgG antibodies in sera after intra-peritoneal immunizations of hamsters. In contrast, in the other groups, no significant increase of anti-FliC-specific serum IgG level was observed (Fig. 5).

172 **4 Discussion**

As *C. difficile* is a non-invasive enteropathogen, the induction of a mucosal immune response close to the site of infection appears to be a relevant choice for inducing protection. Vaccine development against non-invasive gastrointestinal infections such as enterotoxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* or *Escherichia coli* showed that the protection is conferred mainly by specific secretory IgA (sIgA) and by the induction of a memory immune response [19]. A mucosal vaccine strategy, which aims to prevent alteration of the intestinal epithelium by targeting the early stages of the *C. difficile* infectious process, could benefit **from** further attention.

180 Furthermore, one of the vaccine strategies against C. difficile is to target surface proteins involved in 181 intestinal colonization. The flagellin FliC appears a promising vaccine candidate, since Ghose et al. 182 reported that intra-peritoneal immunization with FliC and alum led to 43 to 64% of protection in a 183 hamster model and 40 to 100% protection in a mouse model in a dose-dependent manner [12]. In our 184 assay, intra-peritoneal immunization of hamsters with FliC led to 33% of survival against C. difficile. 185 Compared to Ghose et al results [12], this difference of protection is probably due to the adjuvant 186 used for immunization. Indeed, as already demonstrated, C. difficile flagellin FliC has adjuvant 187 properties, avoiding alum use as adjuvant [12, 17]. Consequently in our study, immunizations were 188 performed without additional adjuvant. In addition, C. difficile strain used for challenge was not the 189 same, with probably a difference of virulence between the VPI 10463 strain used here and the 190 $630\Delta erm$ strain used in Ghose et al study, as described elsewhere [20]. Of note here, we 191 demonstrated that the high immunogenicity of FliC enables to induce a strong systemic IgG antibody 192 response after intra-peritoneal immunization without supplementary adjuvant.

193 Interestingly, here we obtained a better protection with an oral vaccine consisting of FliC 194 encapsulated into pectin beads to target colonic release than after intra-peritoneal immunization with 195 purified FliC alone. We showed that this strategy can significantly protect 50% of hamsters from 196 CDI (3 out of 6). However, these results should be confirmed in a larger study. This significant 197 but partial protection confirms the multifactorial aspect of colonization, suggesting that a 198 combination of several proteins will be necessary to trigger an efficient immune response 199 against C. difficile colonization factors, and consequently to prevent the colonization process. In 200 addition, according to animal variability to C. difficile infection, especially regarding immune 201 response and microbiota, a combined vaccine could be necessary.

202 Ghose *et al.* demonstrated that the protection induced by FliC immunization by intra-peritoneal route 203 was anti-FliC IgG-mediated. In our study, the immune response induced after oral immunization with 204 FliC-loaded pectin beads is able to partially protect animal from death. However, no specific IgG 205 antibody response was detected in sera. This was previously observed in another assay of oral 206 vaccination of hamsters with the Cwp84 protease encapsulated in pectin beads. Although vaccinated 207 hamsters were partially protected (40%) against CDI, they did not develop a systemic anti-Cwp84-208 IgG antibody response [8]. This suggests that after vaccination by mucosal route, beside a systemic 209 immune response, a local immune response with sIgA production could be the key factor of 210 protection. It has been previously shown in a mouse model that parenteral immunization with 211 flagellin can activate mucosal dendritic cells and induce an isotype switch to IgA [18]. Unfortunately 212 secondary antibodies are not commercially available to detect specific IgA in hamsters.

213 Here, we used the hamster model of CDI, which is highly sensitive to this infection and reflects more 214 severe infection in human than mild infection. Our results indicate that in this model, protection 215 could probably be related to neutralizing sIgA but other factors may play an important role in the 216 host immune response against CDI. In particular, our immunization strategy might have generated a 217 wider cell-based immunity that could have induced partial protection. Regarding Streptococcus 218 pneumoniae, it has been demonstrated that multiple immune cell types are required for the induction 219 of a protective immunity in a murine model which lacks mature B cells and fails to produce antibody 220 [21]. Further studies are needed to specify the immune effectors induced by immunization.

In this study, the least protection of hamsters observed by intra-rectal administration of FliC compared to the oral administration of FliC-loaded beads and the absence of protection for the free FliC orally treated group, is presumably due to the degradation of the free antigen by gut enzymes before it reaches the colon. This further confirms the importance of the administration route and the use of pectin beads as a delivery system for FliC. To conclude, we showed that oral vaccination with *C. difficile* FliC-loaded pectin beads is partially protective against a virulent strain of *C. difficile* in a hamster model. In order to assess in further depth the mechanisms of protection, further studies in mouse model could inform on the protection against *C. difficile* colonization and a better understanding of the immune response elicited with this vaccine. This study confirms the importance of the adequacy between the administration route, the delivery system and the vaccine candidate in the design of a mucosal protective immunization strategy targeting *C. difficile*.

233 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the technical assistance of Valerie Dupont-Domergue and staff from theanimal care facility of IPSIT.

236 Funding

237 Jean-François Bruxelle's PhD was funded by the French Ministry of Research and Higher Education

238 **Conflict of interest**

239 No conflicts of interest to declare.



Animals received a total of 300 μ g of FliC in three administrations of 100 μ g of FliC on days 0, 15 and 30. Before challenge, hamsters received clindamycin and gentamicin to disrupt the intestinal microbiota. Then, hamsters were orally challenged by 7,5x10⁴ spores of *C. difficile* strain VPI 10463. Two days after challenge **CDI** was checked by detection of *C. difficile* in the feces. Blood samples were withdrawn before the first immunization and 15 days after the last immunization to evaluate the antibody response in sera.

250

251







255 Figure 3. In vitro FliC release from pectin beads in Simulated Intestinal Medium (SIM) for 5h and





<u>Figure 4.</u> Kaplan-Meier survival estimates after immunizations. One group was immunized with
FliC-loaded beads given orally (FliC-loaded beads), one group orally received unloaded pectin beads
(Unloaded beads) and three other groups were immunized with recombinant free FliC by oral (FliC
oral), intra-rectal (FliC intra-rectal) or intra-peritoneal route (FliC intra-peritoneal). After challenge
with spores of *C. difficile* VPI 10463 strain, animals were monitored for 17 days. * p-value < 0.05



<u>Figure 5.</u> Detection of specific IgG in animal sera by ELISA. Mean of anti-FliC-specific IgG in
serum of immunized hamsters (diluted 1:500) before (D0) and after vaccination (D45). Sera of
hamsters were analysed by ELISA. *: statistically significant difference p-value < 0.05 (Mann-
Withney U-test).

- **References**

276	[1]	Lawson PA, Citron DM, Tyrrell KL, Finegold SM. Reclassification of Clostridium difficile as
277	Clostr	ridioides difficile (Hall and O'Toole 1935) Prévot 1938. Anaerobe 2016 Aug 2016;40:95-9.

[2] Koenigsknecht MJ, Theriot CM, Bergin IL, Schumacher CA, Schloss PD, Young VB.

279 Dynamics and Establishment of *Clostridium difficile* Infection in the Murine Gastrointestinal Tract.

280 Infection and Immunity 2015 03/2015;83:934-41.

- [3] Péchiné S, Collignon A. Immune responses induced by *Clostridium difficile*. Anaerobe 2016
 Oct 2016;41:68-78.
- [4] Bruxelle J-F, Mizrahi A, Hoys S, Collignon A, Janoir C, Péchiné S. Immunogenic properties
 of the surface layer precursor of *Clostridium difficile* and vaccination assays in animal models.
 Anaerobe 2016 Feb 2016;37:78-84.
- [5] Mizrahi A, Collignon A, Péchiné S. Passive and active immunization strategies against
 Clostridium difficile infections: state of the art. Anaerobe 2014 Dec 2014;30:210-9.
- [6] Péchiné S, Denève C, Le Monnier A, Hoys S, Janoir C, Collignon A. Immunization of
 hamsters against *Clostridium difficile* infection using the Cwp84 protease as an antigen. FEMS
 Immunology & Medical Microbiology 2011 10/2011;63:73-81.
- [7] Bourgeois S, Laham A, Besnard M, Andremont A, Fattal E. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of
 pectin beads for the colon delivery of beta-lactamases. Journal of Drug Targeting 2005 Jun
 2005;13:277-84.
- [8] Sandolo C, Péchiné S, Le Monnier A, Hoys S, Janoir C, Coviello T, et al. Encapsulation of
 Cwp84 into pectin beads for oral vaccination against *Clostridium difficile*. 2011 Nov 2011;79:566-
- 73.297 [9] Ndeh D, Rogowski A, Cartmell A, Luis AS, Basle A, Gray J, et al. Complex pectin
- metabolism by gut bacteria reveals novel catalytic functions. Nature 2017 Apr 6;544(7648):65-70.
- 299 [10] Anosova NG, Brown AM, Li L, Liu N, Cole LE, Zhang J, et al. Systemic antibody responses
- 300 induced by a two-component Clostridium difficile toxoid vaccine protect against C. difficile-
- associated disease in hamsters. Journal of Medical Microbiology 2013 Sep 2013;62:1394-404.
- [11] Kociolek LK, Gerding DN. Breakthroughs in the treatment and prevention of *Clostridium difficile* infection. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2016 Mar 2016;13:150-60.
- Ghose C, Eugenis I, Sun X, Edwards AN, McBride SM, Pride DT, et al. Immunogenicity and
 protective efficacy of recombinant *Clostridium difficile* flagellar protein FliC. Emerging Microbes &
 Infections 2016 Feb 03, 2016;5:e8.
- Batah J, Denève-Larrazet C, Jolivot P-A, Kuehne S, Collignon A, Marvaud J-C, et al.
 Clostridium difficile flagella predominantly activate TLR5-linked NF-κB pathway in epithelial cells.
 Anaerobe 2016 Apr 2016;38:116-24.
- [14] Rumbo M, Nempont C, Kraehenbuhl J-P, Sirard J-C. Mucosal interplay among commensal
 and pathogenic bacteria: Lessons from flagellin and Toll-like receptor 5. FEBS Letters 2006 200605-22:580:2976-84.
- [15] Wright A, Drudy D, Kyne L, Brown K, Fairweather NF. Immunoreactive cell wall proteins of
 Clostridium difficile identified by human sera. Journal of medical microbiology 2008 Jun
- 315 2008;57:750-6.
- 316 [16] Péchiné S, Gleizes A, Janoir C, Gorges-Kergot R, Barc M-C, Delmée M, et al.
- Immunological properties of surface proteins of *Clostridium difficile*. Journal of medical
 microbiology 2005 Feb 2005;54:193-6.
- 319 [17] Bruxelle J-F, Mizrahi A, Hoÿs S, Collignon A, Janoir C, Péchiné S. *Clostridium difficile*
- flagellin FliC: Evaluation as adjuvant and use in a mucosal vaccine against *Clostridium difficile*. PloS
 One 2017 2017;12:e0187212.

- 322 [18] Flores-Langarica A, Marshall JL, Hitchcock J, Cook C, Jobanputra J, Bobat S, et al. Systemic
- 323 flagellin immunization stimulates mucosal CD103+ dendritic cells and drives Foxp3+ regulatory T
- cell and IgA responses in the mesenteric lymph node. Journal of Immunology (Baltimore, Md: 1950)
- 325 2012 Dec 15, 2012;189:5745-54.
- [19] Holmgren J, Czerkinsky C. Mucosal immunity and vaccines. Nature Medicine 2005 Apr
 2005;11:S45-53.
- 328 [20] Theriot CM, Koumpouras CC, Carlson PE, Bergin II, Aronoff DM, Young VB.
- 329 Cefoperazone-treated mice as an experimental platform to assess differential virulence of *Clostridium*
- difficile strains. Gut Microbes 2011 2011 Nov-Dec;2:326-34.
- 331 [21] McCool TL, Weiser JN. Limited role of antibody in clearance of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*
- in a murine model of colonization. Infect Immun 2004 Oct;72(10):5807-13.
- 333
- 334