Nanoscale

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: E. N. Tseng, Y. Hsiao, Y. Chen, S. Chen, A. Gloter and J. Song, *Nanoscale*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C8NR09636H.

This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [author guidelines](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our [author and reviewer resource centre](#), still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Magnetism and plasmonic performance of mesoscopic hollow ceria spheres decorated with silver nanoparticles

Eric Nestor Tseng¹, Ying-Ting Hsiao¹, Yi-Che Chen¹, Shih-Yun Chen^{1,*}, Alexandre Gloter^{2,*} and Jenn-Ming Song³

¹ Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taipei, Taiwan

² Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, Université Paris Sud, CNRS UMR 8502, F-91405 Orsay, France

³ Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung 402, Taiwan

We investigate the role of interfaces and surfaces on the magnetic and surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) properties of CeO₂ hollow spheres decorated by Ag nanoparticles (H-CeO₂@Ag). The composites, H-CeO₂@Ag, were synthesized using a newly developed two-step process. The CeO₂ hollow sphere diameter ranges from 100 nm to 2 μm and the grafted Ag nanoparticles (NP) size varies from 5 to 50 nm with a controllable coverage ratio. Spectroscopic and microscopic characterization confirms the formation of an interface between the Ag and ceria and shows different charge rearrangements occurring at both the interface and surface. Room temperature ferro-magnetism was observed in all composites, and is associated mostly with ceria surface defects.

Strong SERS effect was reported with a detection limit down to 10⁻¹⁴ M for rhodamine 6G analytes. Scanning transmission electron microscopy and electron energy loss spectroscopy investigation reveals that hot-spots are associated with the silver NPs surfaces and also with Ag/CeO₂ interface. This interfacial hot spot occurs for metallic particles above 30 nm and is strongly red shifted with respect to the Ag surface plasmon. The strong SERS activity is then attributed to the presences of several types of hot-spots and the geometrical features (buoyant hollow sphere, size dispersion) of the composite.

Introduction

Ceria (CeO₂) based materials possess several unusual properties due to the occurrence of oxygen vacancies. It has a high oxygen storage capacity and can have a stable structure far from the stoichiometric proportions of oxygen. When the ceria material size is decreased, the oxygen vacancies tend to aggregate at the surface.^{1, 2} All of these characteristics present a wide range of

ceria-based nano-material applications in the catalyst field such for carbon monoxide oxidation³ and solid-oxide-fuel-cell electrolytes.⁴ The catalytic behavior can be further improved with the addition of noble metals, such as Au,⁵ Ag,^{6, 7} Cu⁸ and Pt.⁹ In those metal/CeO₂ catalysts, the improvement was attributed to the new active site at the metal-support interface,¹⁰ the mediated activation of O₂ by the formation of oxygen vacancies in the support,¹¹ and the formation of superoxide species during the redox process.^{12, 13} More recently nanostructured ceria or metal/ceria hybrids have been investigated in other contexts such as the occurrence of ferromagnetism¹⁴ or its capability to increase the SERS activity of metal nano particles.¹⁵

The introduction of defects converts CeO₂ into ferromagnetic at room temperature.¹⁶ Several types of defects were reported such as dopants, oxygen vacancies or nano-structuration (high surface to volume ratio, presence of grain boundaries or interfacing). Magnetic and non-magnetic dopants and oxygen vacancies (inducing some Ce³⁺) could contribute to magnetic exchange as demonstrated by Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD).^{17, 18} During the past decade, the nature of these point defects including the type, concentration, structure and distribution was studied using various methods and correlated to the magnetic behavior.^{19, 20} Several models have been developed to explain the ferromagnetism,²¹⁻²³ however, all of them relate primarily to the interplay of Ce³⁺ with the defects at its origin. Furthermore, introducing interfaces using metallic particles deposition onto ceria substrates is also an efficient way to engineer the interplay of Ce³⁺ with defects.^{24, 25} At the interface charge re-distribution between cerium and nearby noble metals such as Ag, Au and Pt have been found.²⁶⁻²⁸ For example, it has been demonstrated that after depositing CeO₂ NPs onto an Ag core, a Ce³⁺- rich defective layer was observed at the interface between Ag and CeO₂. The Ce³⁺ concentration reached 40% at the interface, which is consistent with the optimum concentration and benefit for magnetic exchange.¹⁴

Concerning the SERS properties, a recent study demonstrated that CeO₂-on-Ag particles exhibit a CeO₂ thickness-dependent SERS effect in which their best SERS effect is 2 orders stronger than that of Ag particles.¹⁵ Such electromagnetic field enhancement due to metal interfacing with a semiconductor has also been reported for CuO₂/Ag,²⁹ Fe₂O₃/Ag³⁰ and TiO₂/Ag³¹ but its origin is still debated. It has been suggested that the electronic effect due to charge transfer between the metal and the semi-conductor changes the SERS intensity and red-shifts the extinction spectra.²⁹ Total-field scattered-field calculations reveal that nanostructures made of semiconductor and metallic nanoparticles give origin to complex field enhancement near the interface without the requirement of such electronic reconstruction.^{32, 33}

CeO₂ sub-micrometer hollow spheres covered with Ag nanoparticles on the surface were synthesized in this study utilizing a newly developed two-step method. We reported that Ag nanoparticles with typical size of 10 nm attached to the ceria surface with a coverage ratio ranging from 0.1 to 0.4 depending on the synthesis parameters. Spectroscopic measurements confirm that a charge transfer occur at the Ag / CeO₂ interface. With increased Ag concentration, the magnetic properties exhibit a maximum value but further investigations indicated that such maximum value arises from surface modification in the ceria hollow sphere resulting from the Ag chemical deposition. This study indicates that charge transfer at the Ag / CeO₂ interface can be active or inactive for FM depending on the exact number of charges at the interface. The SERS performance was evaluated on Rhodamine 6G (R6G) detection and found optimal for coverage ratio of ca. 0.2. The detection limit and enhancement factor (EF) are then as high as 1×10^{-14} M and 4.8×10^{11} . The STEM-EELS investigation verified the presence of hot spots associated with silver particles, and also to SERS hotspots at the CeO₂–Ag interfaces whose plasmon band energy is interestingly in resonance with the Raman laser excitation sources (532 nm). This hollow structure provides buoyant ability and wide size distribution that improves dispersion in solution, both resulting in contact area enhancement with the analytes. Such hollow ceria sphere – silver composites are then promising “lab-on-a-bubble (LoB)” SERS material.³³

Experimental procedure

Sample preparation

Two-step process was used to obtain H-CeO₂@Ag composites. At first, hollow CeO₂ spheres were prepared using the spray pyrolysis method (SP). Ceric ammonium nitrate (CeAN, (NH₄)₂Ce(NO₃)₆, 99.5%, Alfa Aesar) and Glycine (GN, C₂H₅NO₂, 98%, Acros Organics) were used as precursor. CeO₂ particles were prepared firstly from a 1 wt% solution CeAN and GN in de-ionized water with molar ratio of 4:6. The precursor solution was atomized into small droplet at first (nebulizer, King Ultrasonics Co., Ltd, Taipei, Taiwan) and then underwent solvent evaporation, solute precipitation and precursor decomposition in the heated tubular reactor at 700°C in atmosphere. Hollow CeO₂ spheres were collected using a cylindrical electrostatic collector with high voltage potential and then annealed in air at 300°C for 2 hours. Silver nanoparticles were deposited on the annealed hollow CeO₂ spheres via the incipient wetness method using silver nitrite (AgNO₃) as the precursor. The hollow CeO₂ sphere and AgNO₃ mixture was then calcined at 300°C for 3 hours. The prepared H-CeO₂@Ag composites were then cleaned using centrifugation several times and then dried at room temperature.

Characterization

All NPs were characterized by X-ray Diffractometer (XRD) with Cu K radiation (Bruker D8 Advance). The morphology was investigated using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) operated at 200 keV (FEI-TEM, Tecnai G2). The hollow sphere shell thickness was estimated based on 100 measurements. Scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM), high angle annular dark field (HAADF) and electron energy loss spectroscopy were acquired using a Cs corrected STEM NION operated at 60 keV to limit the electron damage. X-ray absorption measurements were carried out at National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center (NSRRC) in Taiwan. The X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy measurements at the Ce L_3 -edge were performed at Wiggler beam line 17C at room temperature through a monochromator silicon (111) crystal. The energy resolution at the Ce L_3 -edge (5723 eV) was approximately 0.4 eV. The XANES spectra at the Ag K -edge was recorded at HSGM beam line 01C and the energy resolution was set to 1.25 eV. Raman scattering spectrometer equipped micro Raman system (HORIBA iHR550) and a diode laser at an excitation wavelength of 532 nm. The magnetization was measured at room temperature using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at the Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taiwan.

Results and discussion

Hollow-CeO₂ spheres

Figures 1 (a) and (b) are TEM images of CeO₂ spheres prepared using the spray pyrolysis method, showing that all spheres are hollow and the shell thickness is about 30 nm. The diffraction pattern in the inset of Fig. 1 (a) confirms that the spheres are CeO₂. The CeO₂ sphere size distribution is plotted in the inset of Fig. 1 (b), showing that the CeO₂ sphere diameter ranges from 100 nm to 2 μ m. As seen in Fig. 1 (c), the shell is composed of well crystallized CeO₂ particles with a size between 4 to 6 nm and the dominant surfaces are [111].

The hollow CeO₂ spheres (H-CeO₂) concentration defect was investigated by estimating the bulk valence of Ce using the Ce L -edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES). The spectra as well as the quantification procedure are plotted in Fig. S1, a Ce³⁺ concentration of about 10% was found. The Ce-M edge measured by EELS was utilized to reveal the Ce³⁺ distribution throughout the hollow CeO₂ spheres. As seen in Fig. 1 (d)-(f), the Ce³⁺ distribution is inhomogeneous. It tends to aggregate at the surface region in these spheres. As the distance from the surface increases from 0 to 2.4 nm, the Ce³⁺ concentration determined by EELS decreases gradually from ca. 25% to 12%. This data is in a fair agreement with the XAS bulk estimation.

Hollow-CeO₂@Ag composites

The obtained hollow CeO₂ spheres were then used as the substrate to grow Ag NPs. The size as well as the Ag NPs distribution was adjusted by varying the Ag concentration in the precursor. The Ag to CeO₂ mole ratio was set to 0.33, 0.5, 0.71, 0.83, and 0.91. The obtained H-CeO₂@Ag composite was named samples I, II, III, IV and V, respectively. XRD analysis of H-CeO₂@Ag composites is illustrated in Fig. 2 (a). All patterns can be identified as the fluorite structure of CeO₂ (JCPDS 34-0394) and Ag (JCPDS 04-0783). No peaks corresponding to other phases were detected. TEM investigations of samples II, IV and V are shown in Fig. 2 (b)-(d), demonstrating that there are nanoparticles randomly distributed on the hollow sphere's surface. According to the high resolution TEM of interface region (Fig. 2 (e)) and the compositional analysis obtained on sample IV (shown in Fig. S2), it is confirmed that the spheres are CeO₂ and the nanoparticles on the surface are Ag. It was also observed that the micro-features of Ag NPs are closely related to the Ag/CeO₂ concentration ratio. The size and inter-particle spacing of Ag NPs as well as the ratio of coverage, $A_{\text{Ag}}/A_{\text{CeO}_2}$, among H-CeO₂@Ag composites were then compared, where A_{Ag} is the interface area between Ag and CeO₂ and A_{CeO_2} is the surface area of the hollow CeO₂ sphere. In Figs. 2 (f) and (g), two-step change is observed. As the Ag/CeO₂ concentration ratio is lower than 0.71, both the size and inter-particle spacing is almost the same. With further Ag/CeO₂ concentration ratio enhanced from 0.71 to 0.91, the change in morphology of the composites is obvious. In sample V, both the size and amount of Ag particle increase, forming a nearly continuous Ag layer on the surface. The micro-feature evolution of Ag NPs results in the monotonously increasing coverage ratio (Fig. 2 (h)).

The H-CeO₂ defect concentration after depositing Ag was investigated using Ce *L*-edge XANES (shown in Fig. S3). The estimated results show that the bulk Ce³⁺ concentration increases from 10% to 11% after Ag NPs deposition. Further increasing the Ag content, it is interesting that there is no obvious difference in the Ce³⁺ concentration among samples I to V.

The Ce valence spatial distribution after Ag NPs deposition was investigated using EELS. The Ce *M* edge obtained from the exposed surface, interface between Ag NP and CeO₂ sphere, and the bulk of CeO₂ sphere were compared, which was present as spectra 1, 2, and 3, respectively in Figs. 3 (a) and (b). The edge features vary among these positions, implying inhomogeneity in the Ce³⁺ distribution. The Ce³⁺ distribution was demonstrated by the mapping (Fig. 3 (c)) and line profile (Fig. 3 (d), where line A cross the interface between Ag NP and CeO₂ spheres, while line B crosses the exposed surface of the CeO₂ sphere. This shows that at surface regions without Ag NPs, the Ce³⁺ aggregates within a narrow surface region of ca. 1.7 nm where the concentration can be as high as ca. 50%. On the other hand, in regions covered by Ag NPs, the aggregation of Ce³⁺ at the surface is not

as obvious as those regions without Ag coverage. The Ce^{3+} concentration in this region is only 25% with a Ce^{3+} rich region reduced to 1 nm.

The Ce^{3+} aggregation at sphere surface, including the exposed surface and the interface between Ag NP and CeO_2 sphere, can be attributed to the Ag deposition process. During the deposition process, hollow CeO_2 spheres were heated to 300°C in air and last for 2 hours, which leads to the migration of oxygen vacancies to the surface. As for the difference between the exposed surface and the interface region, it may be caused by several reactions. At the exposed surface, the interaction with acid solution (Ag^+ and NO_3^-) also contributes to the increase in Ce^{3+} . At the interface, charge transfer with the silver or to a surface oxidation of ceria during the chemical $\text{Ag}^+ \rightarrow \text{Ag}^0$ conversion should be considered. Several works reported on the charge transfer at CeO_2/Ag interfaces but they reported that electrons are transferred from the silver to the ceria surface, which is contradictory to our finding. The XANES measurement of the Ag-*L* and Ag-*K* edges (shown in Fig. S4) indicate that the silver electronic structure is modified by the interface with ceria (an increase of the Ag-*d* band population is observed). Nevertheless, the change is small and the electronic reconstruction at the interface might not be the primary source of the weaker Ce^{3+} at the interface. The occurrence of charge transfer at the interface between Ag and CeO_2 here plays a minor role. As a consequence, at the interface between Ag and CeO_2 spheres the Ce^{3+} concentration is less compared to the surface.

The defect structure was further investigated by Raman spectroscopy. As seen in Fig. 4 (a), two bands were detected. The first band around 456 cm^{-1} is an F_{2g} mode and is due to the symmetric stretching vibration mode of oxygen and cerium atoms. Its energy position is slightly altered with depositing Ag NPs. The second band (*D* band) starts from 520 cm^{-1} to 670 cm^{-1} and can be decomposed into two peaks which center at 560 cm^{-1} (D_1) and 600 cm^{-1} (D_2). The D_1 peak is assigned to defect species including Ce^{3+} with a neighboring oxygen vacancy, while the D_2 peak is related to defect species including a trivalent cation (M^{3+}) without any oxygen vacancy, id est, maintaining an O_h symmetry around M^{3+} .³⁴ The D band fitting results are plotted in Figs. 4 (b) and (c). Before silver deposition, the D band presents a very weak intensity. It becomes more intense with Ag deposition on the ceria surface, notably because the metallic nanoparticles increase the surface contribution of the Raman signal where defects are more present. When the amount of silver increases, the increase in D/F_{2g} ratio confirms the surface is enriched in defects. In particular, the D_1 peak growth indicates that the dominant defect species induced by Ag deposition is the $\text{Ce}^{3+}\text{-V}_\text{o}$ type.

Magnetic behavior

Composite M-H curves as well as bare Ag particles and hollow CeO_2 spheres measured by

VSM at room temperature are reported in Fig. 5 (a). The diamagnetic signal of sample holder and paramagnetic component of specimen were subtracted from all magnetization curves. Clear hysteresis loops are observed in this figure, showing that all samples are ferromagnetic with the value of coercivity, H_c , ranging from 300 to 400 Oe, while M_s varies over one order of magnitude. In H-CeO₂, M_s is 0.0005 emu/g and is then enhanced with Ag deposition. The M_s of all composites is indeed higher than that of both bare H-CeO₂ and bare Ag NPs. Furthermore, the increment is not linear with the Ag content. A dramatic change is observed within a narrow range as the Ag/Ce ratio increases from 0.71 to 0.91.

Both Ag NPs and CeO₂ could be contributor to FM. In the case of silver nanoparticles, the origin of FM is still controversial. The reconstruction of surface structure³⁵⁻³⁷ and surface oxidation³⁷ has been suggested to result in the FM. For the ceria or titania that are primary nonmagnetic oxides, FM formation has been associated with the presence of defects. In ceria, a strong correlation between the ferromagnetism enhancement and the amount of Ce³⁺ has been demonstrated.^{16, 24, 38} Notably, in H-CeO₂@Ag composites, three types of CeO₂ regions with different Ce³⁺ concentrations were revealed by the STEM/EELS analysis (inset of Fig. 5 (b)). Region A is the bulk of H-CeO₂ sphere, where the Ce³⁺ concentration is low. Region B is the interface between Ag NPs and H-CeO₂ spheres, where the Ce³⁺ concentration is controlled by the oxygen vacancy migration and charge transfer between Ag and CeO₂. Region C is the H-CeO₂ surface, where the Ce³⁺ concentration depends on both the oxygen vacancy migration and the interaction between the ceria and acidic solution. According to the concentration of Ce³⁺, both region B and C is beneficial for the FM enhancement. Notably, the ratios between these three regions changes when the Ag/Ce concentration is increased.

To demonstrate the role each part plays in the FM enhancement, the increment of the Ag/H-CeO₂@Ag weight ratio, the Ag/CeO₂ interface area (region B), and the M_s with changing Ag/Ce mole ratio are shown in Fig. 5 (b). At first, no obvious difference is observed in both the weight ratio and the interface area until the Ag/Ce mole ratio reaches 0.71, while a linear growth is seen as further enhance the Ag/Ce mole ratio to 0.91. As for the variation trend of M_s , a larger increment is seen, in particular of composites with higher concentrations of Ag. However, it decreases as further enhance the Ag/Ce mole ratio to above 0.83. The strongest M_s is obtained when neither the deposited silver nor the coverage is the maximum. This indicates that the strongly reduced H-CeO₂ sphere surface (region C) is the prime contributor to FM enhancement.

The surface effect of the ceria hollow sphere over the interfacial effect with silver for the magnetic properties was controlled by investigating the magnetic properties of pure hollow spheres

where no Ag NPs have been deposited but whose chemical and physical treatment were made as close as possible for silver deposition. The results are shown in Fig. S5 where the magnetic properties as a function of the salt concentration exhibit a similar narrow peak for a salt concentration similar to the optimum for Ag deposition. This confirms the role of surface Ce^{3+} aggregation on the hollow sphere for M_s enhancement.

SERS performance

Magnetic NP field enhancement and its SERS performance can be maximized by tailoring the nano-features of metallic particles, including the shape, size and arrangement.³⁹⁻⁴¹ As mentioned in the introduction, covering CeO_2 onto Ag particles might also be a way to improve the SERS performance.¹⁵ Nevertheless, the exact role of CeO_2 on the SERS properties is not yet understood.

The SERS effect of $\text{H-CeO}_2@\text{Ag}$ composites were then estimated on typical analytes Rhodamine 6G (R6G). The $\text{H-CeO}_2@\text{Ag}$ composite detection process is illustrated in the inset of Fig. 6 (a). The SERS spectrum of $\text{H-CeO}_2@\text{Ag}$ composite is shown in Fig. 6 (b) (sample IV) and Fig. S6 (others), where the R6G concentration was set to 1×10^{-6} M. The typical Raman peaks at 1310, 1363, 1509, 1572 and 1650 cm^{-1} are clear and in accordance with the reported values.⁴² The SERS detection limit of sample IV was then examined by decreasing the R6G concentration from 1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-14} M. A plot of the R6G concentration vs. signal intensity for the band at 1663 cm^{-1} is shown in the inset, demonstrating that the SERS signal can be detected even when the R6G concentration is as low as 1×10^{-14} M. EF was calculated using the standard formula,⁴³ $\text{EF} = \frac{I_{\text{SERS}}C_0}{I_0C_{\text{SERS}}}$, where I_0 and C_0 determine the Raman measurement peak intensity and the concentration with the reference R6G solution; I_{SERS} and C_{SERS} present the peak intensity and the SERS sample concentration. The most intense peak was considered for EF calculation. The EF has a maximum value for sample IV and it is then as high as 4.8×10^{11} . Such value is much higher than that provided by Ag colloid or the Ag-coated LoBs using coupling agents (about 2.3×10^7).⁴⁴

Now regarding the morphological difference between the samples, as seen in Fig. 2, the inter-particle spacing between Ag particles is smaller for samples IV and V compared to samples with lower Ag/ CeO_2 mole ratios. Comparing samples IV and V, the latter has larger Ag particles and larger CeO_2 coverage. This seems to indicate that Ag particle surfaces and also the interactions with the CeO_2 surfaces are important for SERS performance.

The plasmonic behavior of Ag particles with different sizes (about 10 nm and 40 nm) on CeO_2 spheres were investigated experimentally utilizing STEM-EELS. Figure 7 shows the EELS spectra

and the EELS intensity spatial distribution for two energy windows of H-CeO₂@Ag composites with small Ag particles on their surfaces. Spectrum 1 has a strong maximum at ca. 3.2 eV that is typical for a spherical Ag surface plasmon of ca. 10nm.⁴⁸ Spectrum 3 is from the ceria surface and exhibits a strong onset at around 3.5 eV that corresponds to the ceria band gap.⁴⁹ Spectrum 2 is obtained at a few nanometers from the ceria surface and in-between two Ag particles. It shows a peak for the Ag surface plasmon and the signal from the neighboring ceria surface. The peak of the Ag surface plasmon is a bit broader than at spectrum 1 and exhibits high and low energy shoulders. Such additional features are coming from a small coupling effect between the 2 particles. Their exact intensities and energies depend on the geometrical aspects (size, inter-particle spacing, rotation of the dimers with respect of the electron beam, substrate proximity, ...).^{47, 48}

For H-CeO₂@Ag composites with large Ag particles (Fig. 7), in addition to the above plasmon modes, another mode appears at around 2.1 - 2.5 eV (spectrum 7). It was detected with a strong intensity at the corner between large Ag particle (> 20nm) and the ceria sphere surface. The resonance energies were also dependent on the geometrical parameters (Ag size, contact angles, ..) but stay in the above mentioned range. Such interface plasmon resonance between a plasmonic metallic particle and an oxide substrate with a high refractive index were reported in the case of silver⁴⁹ or gold³² particles on titania. Both works indicated that the hot spot at the interface region can be strongly red shifted with respect to the metal resonance. The size-dependence on the metal particle of the light intensity enhancement was also theoretically reported by Wei et al.³² A similar interfacial effect is then expected for silver particles on ceria (refractive index n: 2.2–2.8) and explain the strong resonance at the corner.

Accordingly, the promising SERS effect can be explained by two mechanisms. The first mechanism is the plasmonic features of the composites. The EELS analysis demonstrates that small Ag NPs can have a hot-spot with proper inter-particle spacing for good SERS activity. It also shows that large silver particles can have an interfacial plasmon mode with the ceria whose resonance energy (2.1 - 2.5eV) is in the excitation laser energy range (2.33 eV) and in the absorption energy ranges of the rhodamine (ca. 2.3 eV). Those two combined plasmonic effects result in an immense enhancement in the Raman scattering signals. In sample IV, the Ag particle coverage seems optimum to obtain the benefit of the two plasmonic effects.

The other mechanism is the composites morphology made of a supporting hollow ceria sphere. Such a hollow structure provides buoyant ability and the wide size distribution improves dispersion in solution, both results in contact probability enhancement with the molecule. This concept, called

lab-on-a-bubble (LoB), was already reported in order to overcome the poor reproducibility of mobile NPs, which is due to the Brownian motion of nanoparticles in solution.^{33, 44} It was based on low-density microspheres that utilize buoyant force to drive assay separation. Carron et al. showed a promising SERS effect in hollow buoyant SiO₂ microspheres.³³ In this study, a different type of buoyant substrate was demonstrated.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated a new composite with RTFM and distinguished SERS effect. Hollow CeO₂-Ag core-shell structure composite, H-CeO₂@Ag, was synthesized successfully using a two-step process. The hollow CeO₂ sphere diameter ranges from 100 nm to 2 μ m, while the shell thickness is about 30 nm. Ag NPs with sizes varying from 5 to 50 nm were deposited homogeneously onto the surface of CeO₂ spheres. The Ag deposition process results in Ce³⁺ aggregation that lead to FM enhancement.

The micro-feature of Ag NPs on CeO₂ spheres satisfies the criteria of silver based NPs hot-spots and also induces a new plasmon mode at the interface, showing that all H-CeO₂@Ag samples provided enhanced SERS effect. With optimum size and inter-particle spacing of Ag NPs, the detection limit was found to be as high as 1×10^{-14} M with the EF was 4.8×10^{11} . The multifunctionality of these composites provides applications in biosensors, electric devices, photocatalytic cells and photo detectors.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan, Republic of China, under Contract No. MOST 104-2112-M-011 -001 -MY3. We would also like to give special thanks to Dr. Mathieu Kociak in the discussion of plasmon analysis.

References

1. Yang, Z.; Woo, T. K.; Baudin, M.; Hermansson, K. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2004**, 120, (16), 7741-7749.
2. Chen, S.-Y.; Lu, Y.-H.; Huang, T.-W.; Yan, D.-C.; Dong, C.-L. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2010**, 114, (46), 19576-19581.
3. Carrettin, S.; Concepción, P.; Corma, A.; López Nieto José, M.; Puentes Victor, F. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2004**, 43, (19), 2538-2540.
4. Steele, B. C. H.; Heinzl, A. *Nature* **2001**, 414, 345.
5. Fu, Q.; Saltsburg, H.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M. *Science* **2003**, 301, (5635), 935.
6. Bera, P.; Patil, K. C.; Hegde, M. S. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2000**, 2, (16), 3715-3719.

7. Machida, M.; Murata, Y.; Kishikawa, K.; Zhang, D.; Ikeue, K. *Chemistry of Materials* **2008**, 20, (13), 4489-4494.
8. Heo, I.; Schmieg, S. J.; Oh, S. H.; Li, W.; Peden, C. H. F.; Kim, C. H.; Szanyi, J. *Catalysis Science & Technology* **2018**, 8, (5), 1383-1394.
9. Vayssilov, G. N.; Lykhach, Y.; Migani, A.; Staudt, T.; Petrova, G. P.; Tsud, N.; Skála, T.; Bruix, A.; Illas, F.; Prince, K. C.; Matolín, V. r.; Neyman, K. M.; Libuda, J. *Nature Materials* **2011**, 10, 310.
10. Wang, Y.-G.; Mei, D.; Glezakou, V.-A.; Li, J.; Rousseau, R. *Nature Communications* **2015**, 6, 6511.
11. Kim, H. Y.; Lee, H. M.; Henkelman, G. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2012**, 134, (3), 1560-1570.
12. Outka, D. A.; Stöhr, J.; Jark, W.; Stevens, P.; Solomon, J.; Madix, R. J. *Physical Review B* **1987**, 35, (8), 4119-4122.
13. Gravi, P. A.; Bird, D. M.; White, J. A. *Physical Review Letters* **1996**, 77, (18), 3933-3936.
14. Chen, S.-Y.; Tseng, E.; Lai, Y.-T.; Lee, W.; Gloter, A. *Nanoscale* **2017**, 9, (30), 10764-10772.
15. Chang, S.; Ruan, S.; Wu, E.; Huang, W. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2014**, 118, (33), 19238-19245.
16. Chen, S.-Y.; Tsai, C.-H.; Huang, M.-Z.; Yan, D.-C.; Huang, T.-W.; Gloter, A.; Chen, C.-L.; Lin, H.-J.; Chen, C.-T.; Dong, C.-L. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2012**, 116, (15), 8707-8713.
17. Lee, W.; Chen, S.-Y.; Chen, Y.-S.; Dong, C.-L.; Lin, H.-J.; Chen, C.-T.; Gloter, A. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2014**, 118, (45), 26359-26367.
18. Lv, J.; Fang, M.; Liu, Y. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **2017**, 721, 633-637.
19. Chen, S.-Y.; Fong, K.-W.; Peng, T.-T.; Dong, C.-L.; Gloter, A.; Yan, D.-C.; Chen, C.-L.; Lin, H.-J.; Chen, C.-T. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2012**, 116, (50), 26570-26576.
20. Lee, W.; Chen, S.-Y.; Tseng, E.; Gloter, A.; Chen, C.-L. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2016**, 120, (27), 14874-14882.
21. Coey, J. M. D.; Venkatesan, M.; Fitzgerald, C. B. *Nature Materials* **2005**, 4, 173.
22. Coey, J. M. D.; Stamenov, P.; Gunning, R. D.; Venkatesan, M.; Paul, K. *New Journal of Physics* **2010**, 12, (5), 053025.
23. Coey, M.; Ackland, K.; Venkatesan, M.; Sen, S. *Nature Physics* **2016**, 12, 694.
24. Deng, S.; Loh, K. P.; Yi, J. B.; Ding, J.; Tan, H. R.; Lin, M.; Foo, Y. L.; Zheng, M.; Sow, C. H. *Applied Physics Letters* **2008**, 93, (19), 193111.
25. Jiang, Z.; Chen, S.; Zhang, D. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2014**, 118, (7), 3789-3794.
26. Esch, F.; Fabris, S.; Zhou, L.; Montini, T.; Africh, C.; Fornasiero, P.; Comelli, G.; Rosei, R. *Science* **2005**, 309, (5735), 752.
27. Škoda, M.; Cabala, M.; Matolínová, I.; Prince, K. C.; Skála, T.; Šutara, F.; Veltruská, K.; Matolín, V. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2009**, 130, (3), 034703.
28. Ou, D. R.; Mori, T.; Togasaki, H.; Takahashi, M.; Ye, F.; Drennan, J. *Langmuir* **2011**, 27, (7), 3859-3866.

29. Chen, L.; Sun, H.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, X.; Jiang, Y.; Hua, Z.; Yang, J. *Advances* **2017**, 7, (27), 16553-16560. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C6NR0636H
30. Gao, N.; Chen, Y.; Jiang, J. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **2013**, 5, (21), 11307-11314.
31. Liu, H.; Yang, W.; Wang, M.; Xiao, L.; Liu, S. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **2016**, 236, 490-498.
32. Wei, Z.; Rosa, L.; Wang, K.; Endo, M.; Juodkakis, S.; Ohtani, B.; Kowalska, E. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2017**, 206, 393-405.
33. Schmit, V. L.; Martoglio, R.; Scott, B.; Strickland, A. D.; Carron, K. T. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2012**, 134, (1), 59-62.
34. A. Nakajima, A. Yoshihara, and M. Ishigame, "Defect-induced Raman spectra in doped CeO₂," *Physical Review B*, vol. 50, pp. 13297-13307, 1994.
35. Maitra, U.; Das, B.; Kumar, N.; Sundaresan, A.; Rao, C. N. R. *ChemPhysChem* **2011**, 12, (12), 2322-2327.
36. Tahir, N.; Karim, A.; Persson, K. A.; Hussain, S. T.; Cruz, A. G.; Usman, M.; Naeem, M.; Qiao, R.; Yang, W.; Chuang, Y.-D.; Hussain, Z. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2013**, 117, (17), 8968-8973.
37. Marenco, A. J.; Pedersen, D. B.; Trudel, S. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **2017**, 5, (20), 4899-4908.
38. Li, M.; Ge, S.; Qiao, W.; Zhang, L.; Zuo, Y.; Yan, S. *Applied Physics Letters* **2009**, 94, (15), 152511.
39. Rodríguez-Lorenzo, L.; Álvarez-Puebla, R. A.; Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Mazzucco, S.; Stéphan, O.; Kociak, M.; Liz-Marzán, L. M.; García de Abajo, F. J. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2009**, 131, (13), 4616-4618.
40. Israelsen, N. D.; Hanson, C.; Vargis, E. *The Scientific World Journal* **2015**, 2015, 12.
41. Tian, F.; Bonnier, F.; Casey, A.; Shanahan, A. E.; Byrne, H. J. *Analytical Methods* **2014**, 6, (22), 9116-9123.
42. Hildebrandt, P.; Stockburger, M. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1984**, 88, (24), 5935-5944.
43. Kim, K.; Han, H. S.; Choi, I.; Lee, C.; Hong, S.; Suh, S.-H.; Lee, L. P.; Kang, T. *Nature Communications* **2013**, 4, 2182.
44. Jiang, J.; Ou-Yang, L.; Zhu, L.; Zou, J.; Tang, H. *Scientific Reports* **2014**, 4, 3942.
45. Monreal, R. C.; Tomasz, J. A.; Apell, S. P. *New Journal of Physics* **2013**, 15, (8), 083044.
46. Lee, H.-B.-R.; J. Maeng, W.; Kim, M. K.; Gatineau, J.; Kim, H. K., *Electronic Structure of Cerium Oxide Gate Dielectric Grown by Plasma-Enhanced Atomic Layer Deposition*. 2011; Vol. 158, p G217.
47. Chu, M.-W.; Myroshnychenko, V.; Chen, C. H.; Deng, J.-P.; Mou, C.-Y.; García de Abajo, F. J. *Nano Letters* **2009**, 9, (1), 399-404.
48. Koh, A. L.; Bao, K.; Khan, I.; Smith, W. E.; Kothleitner, G.; Nordlander, P.; Maier, S. A.; McComb, D. W. *ACS Nano* **2009**, 3, (10), 3015-3022.
49. Tanabe, I.; Tatsuma, T. *Nano Letters* **2012**, 12, (10), 5418-5421.

Figure 1. (a) TEM image of CeO₂ sphere and its diffraction pattern (inset). (b) TEM image of cross section and size distribution of CeO₂ sphere (inset). (c) High-resolution TEM image of CeO₂ NPs and the cross-sectional image of CeO₂ shell (inset). (d) STEM/HAADF (upper) and EELS mapping of Ce³⁺ of H-CeO₂ (lower), the spectra of position 1, 2 and 3 are plotted in (e). The intensity profile of Ce³⁺ is shown in (f).

Figure 2 (a) XRD spectra of H-CeO₂@Ag sphere with different Ag/Ce ratio. TEM images of sample II (b), IV (c) and V (d) demonstrate that the amount and distribution of Ag on CeO₂ varies with concentration. (e) HR-TEM image shows clear interface between Ag and CeO₂ sphere. The average size and inter-particle spacing of Ag NPs is shown in (f) and (g). The calculated coverage ratio of Ag on CeO₂ sphere is plotted in (h).

Figure 3. (a) STEM/HAADF of H-CeO₂ @Ag, (b) Ce M –edge EELS spectra acquired from position 1 to 3 as indicated in (a). (c) EELS mapping of Ce³⁺ of figure (a), the intensity profile of Ce³⁺ of line A and B are plotted in (d).

Figure 4 (a) Raman measurement of Ag deposited CeO₂ hollow spheres with different Ag/Ce mole ratio. The fitting value on D/F_{2g} and I_{D1}/I_{D2} are plotted in (b) and (c).

Figure 5. (a) M-H curve of samples I, III, IV, V, and bare Ag. (b) Comparison of the increment of M_s , interface area between Ag and H-CeO₂@Ag, and weight ratio of Ag/H-CeO₂@Ag with changing the Ag/Ce mole ratio.

Figure 6. (a) Illustration of measure process of H-CeO₂@Ag composites. (b) SERS spectra of 10^{-6} M R6G on the sample IV and a logarithmic plot of R6G concentration vs. signal intensity for the band at 1663 cm^{-1} (inset).

Figure 7. (a) STEM/HAADF image of H-CeO₂@Ag composites with small Ag particles on its surface. (b) EELS spectra of different position as indicated in (a). . The EELS intensity spatial distribution for two energy windows: (c) is obtained from 3 to 3.3 eV and (d) is obtained from 4 to 4.3 eV. (e) STEM/HAADF image of H-CeO₂@Ag composites with large Ag particles on its surface. (f) EELS spectra of different position as indicated in (e).The EELS intensity spatial distribution for different energy windows. (g) is obtained from 3 to 3.3 eV, (h) is obtained from 4 to 4.3 eV, and (i) is obtained from 2.1 to 2.4 eV.