Tandem Double-Cross-Coupling/Hydrothiolation Reaction of 2-Sulphenyl Benzimidazoles with Boronic Acids

Alexandra Basilio Lopes, Mickael Choury, Patrick Wagner, Mihaela Gulea

► To cite this version:

Alexandra Basilio Lopes, Mickael Choury, Patrick Wagner, Mihaela Gulea. Tandem Double-Cross-Coupling/Hydrothiolation Reaction of 2-Sulphenyl Benzimidazoles with Boronic Acids. *Organic Letters*, 2019, 21 (15), pp.5943-5947. 10.1021/acs.orglett.9b02067 . hal-02323561

HAL Id: hal-02323561

<https://hal.science/hal-02323561>

Submitted on 16 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Tandem Double-Cross-Coupling/Hydrothiolation Reaction of 2-Sulfenyl Benzimidazoles with Boronic Acids

Alexandra Basilio Lopes, Mickael Choury, Patrick Wagner, and Mihaela Gulea*

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Innovation Thérapeutique, LIT UMR 7200, 67412 Illkirch, France

Supporting Information Placeholder

A new tandem palladium-catalyzed reaction involving a Suzuki-Miyaura coupling, a desulfenylative coupling, and a hydrothiolation of a triple bond is reported. Notably, the desulfenylative coupling occurs without copper(I)-assistance and the hydrothiolation is totally regio and stereoselective. The overall process results in the double incorporation of the boronic acid and the reincorporation of the sulfenyl moiety into the product structure. Starting from 2-(bromobenzylsulfenyl)-1-propargyl benzimidazoles the transformation led to variously substituted benzimidazoles bearing a stereodefined alkenyl sulfide.

Due to the important role of organosulfur compounds in synthetic chemistry and in applied fields such as materials science and pharmaceutical industry, the research of efficient methods for the C-S bond formation is constantly advancing. Among these methods, transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling of S-nucleophiles with aromatic or alkenyl halides and hydrothiolation of double or triple bonds were widely studied and developed in recent years.^{1,2} In parallel to this, metal-catalyzed reactions involving a C-S bond cleavage with release of the sulfur-function of the S-electrophilic partner, emerged as a complementary and practical alternative to more classical C-C cross-couplings using organohalides.³ One well-known method based on C-S cleavage was developed starting with the publication in 2000 by Liebeskind and Srogl⁴ of a new reaction consisting of the Pd-catalyzed coupling of thioesters with boronic acids, in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of thiophene-2-carboxylate (CuTC) as an activator. This method found a huge amount of synthetic applications and was extended to other nucleophilic coupling partners and particularly to heteroaromatic sulfides substrates.⁵ In 2012, Weller and Willis reported the Rh(I)-catalyzed carbothiolation of alkynes by aryl methyl sulfides, involving an Ar-S bond cleavage.⁶ This represents a very interesting atom economical process, as the methylsulfenyl leaving-group released in the course of the reaction is integrated in the final product as an alkenyl methyl sulfide.

Due to the widespread occurrence in biologically active compounds and drugs of benzazole moiety,⁷ it was not surprisingly that 2-sulfenyl derivatives of these heteroaromatics have been used as substrates in the above-mentioned metal-

catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. Thus, 2-sulfenyl benzoxazoles and benzothiazoles have been successfully coupled with aryl or heteroaryl boronic acids under the Liebeskind-Srogl reaction conditions (Scheme 1, Eq 1).⁸ Furthermore, the alkynes carbothiolation was applied to 2-sulfenyl benzoxazoles and benzothiazoles by Nishihara and co-workers using Pd-catalysis (Scheme 1, Eq 2).⁹ Surprisingly, we did not find examples involving benzimidazole substrates.

In an approach inspired by these two methodologies and by an unexpected finding obtained in a previous study (see below for details), we report here a Pd-catalyzed tandem one-pot transformation which consists of a classical Suzuki-Miyaura coupling and a desulfenylative cross-coupling of 2-benzylsulfenyl benzimidazole with aryl boronic acids, followed by an intermolecular regio and stereoselective hydrothiolation of the triple bond of the *N*-propargyl benzimidazole (Scheme 1, Eq 3). As the result of the overall transformation, the sulfenyl moiety is reincorporated into the product structure as an alkenyl benzyl sulfide, and the aryl substituent provided by the boronic acid partner is introduced twice, once in the 2-position of the benzimidazole and second on the benzene ring of the benzylsulfenyl group. It was possible to achieve the two types of coupling with the boronic acid under the same reaction conditions as in our case the desulfenylative coupling occurred efficiently without use of copper(I) carboxylate. Thus, substrates bearing an aryl bromide (present in the form of a bromobenzyl substituent on the S-atom) were used. This choice could be considered as complementary to the strategies using orthogonal reactivity,¹⁰ increasing the molecular complexity and diversity of the final products.

Scheme 1. Pd-catalyzed processes involving desulfenylative cross-coupling of 2-sulfonyl benzazoles.

Initially, substrate **1a** was designed to produce thiazocine **3aaA** via a Pd-catalyzed 8-*exo*-dig cyclization/ Suzuki coupling domino reaction (Scheme 2), based on a methodology that was developed previously in our group to access five and six-membered sulfur-heterocycles.¹¹ In a preliminary experiment, by reacting **1a** with phenyl boronic acid **2a**, a very small amount of the desired product **3aaA** was detected (2%),¹² together with a majority of product **3aaB** resulting from the competing direct coupling (58%), but also with two other products which were identified as the desulfenylative coupling product **3aaC** (19%), and the unexpected alkenyl sulfide **3aa** (11%). Each product was isolated from the crude mixture and characterized by NMR and mass spectroscopy. We noted that the hydrothiolation of the triple bond took place with a remarkable regio and stereoselectivity, as alkenyl sulfide **3aa** was present as a single stereoisomer, resulting from the *syn*-addition of the thiol^{12,13} on the carbon bearing the ethyl substituent. The structure of **3aa** and the stereochemistry of its double bond have been unambiguously assigned by a 2D-Noesy experiment (see spectra in SI). In this context it should be noted that hydrothiolations of internal disymmetric alkynes are rather rare. Intrigued by this result and considering the originality of the tandem transformation, we decided to optimize the reaction conditions to obtain **3aa** as the main product. Many experiments have been done varying all parameters (Pd-source, ligand, base, solvent, temperature, reaction time) and selected results are summarized in Table 1 (see more details in SI). Compared with the initial reaction conditions (Scheme 2), we increased the amount of the boronic acid (as 2 equiv are anyway necessary to obtain **3aa**), of the base, and the catalyst loading (from 10% to 15% mol Pd), and a significant improvement (43% yield, entry 1) was obtained by removing TBAL, using K₂CO₃ as the base, changing the reaction concentration from 0.05 M to 0.1 M, and prolonging the reaction time from 3 h (at 130 °C, MW) to 19 h (at 130 °C, in oil bath). Keeping the same catalyst and base but heating only for 8 h, at 140 °C, in oil bath, the yield turned low again (13%, entry 2). Changing the base to K₃PO₄ did not modify the result, while the use of LiOH decreased slightly the yield (entries 3 and 4). The conventional Pd(OAc)₂/PPh₃

catalytic systems was found to be less effective (entry 5). Then, Pd₂(dba)₃ was used in combination with different phosphine ligands, such as tri(2-furyl)phosphine (TFP), tricyclohexyl phosphine (PCy₃), or di(1-adamantyl)-*n*-butylphosphine (cataCXiumA) (entries 6-8). The best result was obtained with the Pd₂(dba)₃/PCy₃ combination (entry 7) and the yield was even improved with less catalyst loading (entry 9). The amount of catalyst used in this reaction remains high compared in particular to domino reactions involving carbopalladation, however, the presence of sulfur species represents a difficult case due to the catalyst deactivation caused by the thiophilicity of palladium. Thus, the use of 5 mol% of Pd₂(dba)₃ enabling a satisfactory yield of 66% with respect to three one-pot Pd-catalyzed process can reasonably be accepted. Thus, these last reaction conditions were kept for the further stages of the study.

Scheme 2. Initial Pd-catalyzed reaction of benzimidazole **1a** with boronic acid **2a**.

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction of **1a** with **2a** to access **3aa**.^[a]

entry	Pd-catalyst	ligand L	base	yield (%) ^[b]
1	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	K ₂ CO ₃	43
2 ^[c]	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	K ₂ CO ₃	13
3	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	K ₃ PO ₄	44
4	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	-	LiOH	32
5	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃	K ₂ CO ₃	19
6	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	TFP	K ₂ CO ₃	15
7	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	PCy ₃	K ₂ CO ₃	53
8	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	cataCXium	K ₂ CO ₃	33
9 ^[d]	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	PCy ₃	K ₂ CO ₃	66

^[a]Reaction conditions: **1a** (1 equiv), **2a** (3 equiv), catalyst loading (15 mol% Pd), ligand (30 mol%), base (3 equiv), dioxane/H₂O 8/2

or 7/3 (0.1 M), 130 °C, 19 h. ^[b]Isolated yield. ^[c]Performed at 140 °C, for 8 h. ^[d]Pd₂(dba)₃ (5 mol%), ligand (20 mol%).

The sequence of this Pd-catalyzed tandem reaction would reasonably consist of three steps: direct Suzuki coupling on the phenyl bromide moiety of **1a** with boronic acid **2a** leading to **3aaB**, desulfonylative coupling of the latter with **2a** to give **3aaC** and thiol **4**, and hydrothiolation by **4** of the triple bond of **3aaC** to afford the alkenyl sulfide **3aa** as the final product (Scheme 3, I). To confirm this, we decided to perform these different steps independently under the same Pd-catalyzed reaction conditions (Scheme 3, II, III). First, compound **3aaB** was prepared separately from commercial reagents, by S-benylation with 2-(bromomethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl and subsequent N-propargylation with 1-bromopent-2-yne of 2-mercaptobenzimidazole. Its reaction with boronic acid **2a** under the established reaction conditions led to the expected product **3aa** in 63% yield, *via* a tandem desulfonylative cross-coupling/hydrothiolation (Scheme 3, II). To examine the triple bond hydrothiolation, we prepared substrate **3aaC** by N-propargylation of 2-phenyl-benzimidazole, as well as the appropriated thiol **4** in two steps from 2-(bromomethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl and potassium thioacetate. Under the same reaction conditions, the hydrothiolation led to the expected product **3aa** (36% yield), which was separated from the recovered starting materials, **3aaC** and the disulfide formed from thiol **4** (Scheme 3, III). Although the same Pd-catalyst and reaction conditions were used, the absence of the boronic acid and the different nature of the precursor of the active Pd-S species could explain the lower yield in product **3aa** obtained in this way.

Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism and control experiments.

We also examined the importance of the presence of the aryl bromide and of the triple bond on the reaction (Scheme 3, IV, V). Suppressing the bromine on the benzene ring of the substrate, the desulfonylative coupling/hydrothiolation process worked in a satisfactory manner, leading to the expected alkenyl sulfide **6** in 48% isolated yield (Scheme 3, IV). Remarkably, all these hydrothiolations have occurred with total regio and stereoselectivity, as only one stereoisomer of the alkenyl sulfide is formed. For comparison, we also reacted substrate **5** with **2a** under classical Liebeskind-Srogl conditions (1.3 equiv CuTC, 4 mol% Pd₂(dba)₃, 16 mol% TFP, in THF, 50 °C, 18 h).⁸ The result obtained in this case showed the particularity of our substrate, as the crude mixture contained the starting product **5**, the desulfonylative coupling product **3aaC**, and the hydrothiolation product **6** in a ratio of 67:20:13. Finally, we checked if the presence of the triple bond is beneficial to the desulfonylative coupling process, which has no need of Cu(I)-carboxylate in our case. We prepared for this purpose 2-(benzylthio)-1-methyl-benzimidazole **7** in which the propargyl chain was replaced by a methyl substituent. Its reaction with PhB(OH)₂ under the same catalytic conditions did not afford the coupling product **8**, only the starting material was recovered (Scheme 3, V). The latter result, together with the low yield obtained in the hydrothiolation of **3aaC** with thiol **4**, may suggest that the triple bond is ideally placed to coordinate the palladium-thiolate species, thereby facilitating the hydrothiolation step in the tandem process. The regioselectivity could be explained by the fact that the sp-hybridized carbon bearing the 1-methylene-2-phenyl benzimidazole is more sterically hindered than the ethyl-substituted one. The validation of such hypotheses needs however additional experimental and theoretical studies.

We next investigated the scope and limitations of the reaction by varying the substituents on the substrate and the boronic acid partners (Table 2).

Table 2. Tandem reaction of various substrates **1** and aryl boronic acids **2**.^[a]

^[a]Reaction conditions: **1** (1 equiv), **2** (3 equiv), Pd₂(dba)₃ (5 mol%), PCy₃ (20 mol%), K₂CO₃ (3 equiv), dioxane/H₂O 4/1 (0.1 M), 130 °C, 19 h; isolated yields are given.

Thus, we prepared two other 2-(bromobenzylsulfenyl)-1-propargyl benzimidazole derivatives **1b** and **1c**, bearing the bromide in *meta* and *para* position, respectively. Their reaction with PhB(OH)₂ **2a** under the optimized reaction conditions, led to the expected products **3ba** and **3ca**, in higher yields (70% and 82%, respectively) than that obtained with the *ortho* derivative **1a**. In the case of substrate **1d** we changed the substituent on the triple bond, from ethyl to phenyl. The reaction of **1d** with **2a** afforded a complex mixture, in which only a very little amount of the expected alkenyl sulfide **3da** was isolated and characterized by ¹H NMR and mass analyses. We then decided to pursue the study by reacting the substrate **1c** with various boronic acids. By using electron-rich or electron-poor arylboronic acids substituted either in *para* or in *meta* position, the reaction worked well, leading to the desired alkenyl sulfides **3** with yields ranging from 46% to 74%. In the case of product **3cc** the procedure was scaled up on 1 mmol (50% isolated yield). Only in the case of the use of 3-(nitrophenyl)boronic acid **2f** the crude reaction mixture contained unreacted substrate **1c**, both of coupling products **3cfb** and **3cfC**, and the desired compound **3cf** (ratio **2c**/**3cfb**/**3cfC**/**3cf** 2:1:1:2). After column chromatography, an inseparable mixture of **3cf** and **3cfC** in a 3/1 ratio was obtained. Finally, we prepared also substrate **1e** having bromine in *ortho*-position and a methoxy group in *meta*-position, and involved it in reactions with three boronic acids, **2a**, **2b** and **2d**. The corresponding desired products were obtained in satisfactory yields, up to 56%.

Other modifications of the substrate were made to determine their influence on the efficiency of the reaction and to identify its limitations. Thus, the heterocyclic part of the substrate was modified by replacing the benzimidazole by an imidazole and by an indole (Scheme 4). Starting from the 4,5-diphenylimidazole derivative **1f** and phenyl boronic acid **2a**, the tandem process still worked well, leading to the expected alkenyl sulfide **3fa** in this case the use of Pd(PPh₃)₄ furnished a better yield than the use of Pd₂(dba)₃/PCy₃ catalyst (53% vs 39%). By contrast, the indole-based substrate **1g** in which the sulfenyl group led under the same conditions only to the direct coupling product **3gaB**, isolated in 43% yield.

Scheme 4. Scope and limitations: replacement of benzimidazole by another heterocycle.

Finally, we investigated the challenging possibility to perform this reaction one-pot, keeping the same reaction conditions, by using two different boronic acids, one for the debrominative coupling and another for the desulfenylative coupling (Scheme 5). Therefore, substrate **1c** was placed in the reaction conditions with 1 equiv of boronic acid **2b**. After 4 h the starting material

was consumed, and the main product detected by HPLC was the Suzuki coupling product (**3cbb**). At this stage 1.5 equiv of boronic acid **2a** was added and the reaction continued for 15 h. The desired product **9** was identified in the crude mixture as the major component (80% by ¹H NMR), together with other products which were difficult to assign. The separation by column chromatography was difficult and although pure **9** was isolated with only 35% yield, we succeeded to validate the proof of concept.

Scheme 5. One-pot Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling and desulfenylative cross-coupling/hydrothiolation.

In summary, we have developed a Pd-catalyzed tandem process involving 2-(bromobenzylsulfenyl)-1-propargyl benzimidazoles and boronic acids. It consists of three reactions: first a classical Suzuki debrominative cross-coupling, then a desulfenylative coupling in 2-position of the benzimidazole that does not need copper(I)-assistance, and finally an intermolecular regio and stereoselective hydrothiolation of the triple bond of the *N*-propargyl benzimidazole. The overall process results in the double incorporation of the boronic acid partner and the reincorporation of the sulfenyl moiety into the product structure, as an alkenyl benzyl sulfide. Further studies will be conducted to extend the scope of the Pd-catalyzed desulfenylative coupling/hydrothiolation tandem reaction to other related heterocycles. Moreover, the alkenyl sulfenyl pendent resulting from hydrothiolation can be converted into other functionalities by known methods.⁶

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Detailed experimental procedures and spectra data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: gulea@unistra.fr

ORCID: 0000-0002-2945-0078

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been published under the framework of the IdEx Unistra and benefits from a funding from the state managed by the French National Research Agency (postdoctoral grant for A.B. Lopes). The CNRS is acknowledged for financial support. We thank Dr. D. Garnier and E. Oliva (PACSI, GDS 3670) for NMR and mass analyses. One Reviewer is acknowledged for interesting suggestions.

REFERENCES

- (1) Reviews on metal-catalyzed C-S formation: (a) Beletskaya, I. P.; Ananikov, V. P. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1596-1636. (b) Alonso, F.; Beletskaya, I. P.; Yus, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 3079-3160. (c) Nakamura, I.; Yamamoto, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 2127-2198.
 - (2) Reviews on hydrothiolation: (a) Castarlenas, R.; Di Giuseppe, A.; Pérez-Torrente, J. J.; Oro, L. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 2-14. (b) Orlov, N. V. *ChemistryOpen* **2015**, *4*, 682-697. (c) Beletskaya, I. P.; Ananikov, V. P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 3431-3444. (d) Dondoni, A.; Marra, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 3955-3969.
 - (3) Reviews on desulfurative cross coupling: (a) Hea, L. W. W.; Yu, Z. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 599-621. (b) Dubbaka, S. R.; Vogel, P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 7674-7684.
 - (4) Liebeskind, L. S.; Srogl, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 11260-11261.
 - (5) Reviews on the Liebeskind-Srogl coupling: (a) Hana Prokopcova, H.; Kappe, C. O. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2276-2286. (b) Cheng, H.-G.; Chen, H.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, Q. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *7*, 490-508.
 - (6) Hooper, J. F.; Chaplin, A. B.; González-Rodríguez, C.; Thompson, A. L.; Weller, A. S.; Willis, M. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 2906-2909.
 - (7) (a) Madia, V. N.; Messori, A.; Pescatori, L.; Saccoliti, F.; Tudino, V.; De Leo, A.; Bortolami, M.; Scipione, L.; Costi, R.; Rivara, S.; Scalvini, L.; Mor, M.; Ferrara, F. F.; Pavoni, E.; Roscilli, G.; Cassinelli, G.; Milazzo, F. M.; Battistuzzi, G.; Di Santo, R.; Giannini, G. *J. Med. Chem.* **2018**, *61*, 6918-6936. (b) Krause, M.; Foks, H.; Augustynowicz-Kopec, E.; Napiórkowska, A.; Szczesio, M.; Gobis, K. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 985. (c) Gaba, M.; Mohan, C.; *Med. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *25*, 173-210; (d) Yadav, S.; Narasimha, B.; Kaur, H. *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem.* **2016**, *16*, 1403-1425.
 - (8) Liebeskind, L. S.; Srogl, J. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 979-981.
 - (9) Iwasaki, M.; Topolovčan, N.; Hu, H.; Nishimura, Y.; Gagnot, G.; Na nakorn, R.; Yuvacharaskul, R.; Nakajima, K.; Nishihara, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 1642-1645.
 - (10) See as examples: (a) Kusturin, C.; Liebeskind, L. S.; Rahman, H.; Sample, K.; Schweitzer, B.; Srogl, J.; Neumann, W. L. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 4349-4352. (b) Modha, S. G.; Trivedi, J. C.; Mehta, V. P.; Ermolatev, D. S.; Van der Eycken, E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 846-856. (c) Bouscary-Desforges, G.; Bombrun, A.; Augustine, J. K.; Bernardinelli, G. Quattropiani, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 4586-4595. (d) M. Cernova, R. Pohl, B. Klepetarova, M. Hocek, *Synlett* **2012**, 23, 1305-1308. (e) M. Krçmer, J.; Klecka, M.; Slavetinska, L.; Klepetarova, B.; Hocek M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 7203-7210. (f) Prieur, V.; Pujol, M. D.; Guillaumet, G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, 6547-6556. (g) Leconte, N.; Pellegatti, L.; Tatibouët, A.; Suzenet, F.; Rollin, P.; Guillaumet, G. *Synthesis* **2007**, 857-864. (h) Koley, M.; Wimmer, L.; Schnerch, M.; Mihovilovic, M. D.; *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2013**, *50*, 1368-1373.
 - (11) (a) Castanheiro, T.; Schoenfelder, A.; Donnard, M.; Chataigner, I.; Gulea, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 4505-4515. (b) Castanheiro, T.; Schoenfelder, A.; Suffert, J.; Donnard, M.; Gulea, M. C. R. *Chimie*, **2017**, *20*, 624-633. (c) Castanheiro, T.; Donnard, M.; Gulea, M.; Suffert, J. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3060-3063.
 - (12) Another approach was then developed to access thiazocines: Basilio Lopes, A.; P. Wagner, M. Gulea, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 1361-1370.
 - (13) The Pd-catalyzed *syn*-addition of a thiol to a triple bond has been already established; see a proposed mechanism studied by DFT in: Zhang, X.; Geng, Zhi.; Wang, K.; Li, S. *J. Mol. Model* **2014**, *20*, 2409-2420.
-