Combustion Characteristics of Ammonia in a Modern Spark-Ignition Engine

Charles LHUILLIER, Pierre BREQUIGNY, Francesco CONTINO, Christine ROUSSELLE

Abstract

Ammonia is now recognized as a very serious asset in the context of the hydrogen energy economy, thanks to its non-carbon nature, competitive energy density and very mature production, storage and transport processes. If produced from renewable sources, its use as a direct combustion fuel could participate to the flexibility in the power sector as well as help mitigating fossil fuel use in certain sectors, such as long-haul shipping. However, ammonia presents unfavorable combustion properties, requiring further investigation of its combustion characteristics in practical systems. In the present study, a modern single-cylinder spark-ignition engine is fueled with gaseous ammonia/air mixtures at various equivalence ratios and intake pressures. The results are compared with methane/air and previous ammonia/hydrogen/air measurements, where hydrogen is used as combustion promoter. In-cylinder pressure and exhaust concentrations of selected species are measured and analyzed. Results show that ammonia is a very suitable fuel for SI engine operation, since high power outputs were achieved with satisfying efficiency by taking advantage of the promoting effects of either hydrogen enrichment or increased intake pressure, or a combination of both. The performances under NH_3 fueling compare well with those obtained under methane operation. High NO_x and unburned NH_3 exhaust concentrations were also observed under fuel-lean and fuel-rich conditions, respectively, calling for additional mitigation measures. A detailed combustion analysis show that hydrogen mainly acts as an ignition promoter. In the engine, pure ammonia combustion is assumedly mainly driven by the ignition kinetics of ammonia and the flame response to turbulence rather than by the laminar burning velocity.

Introduction

Ammonia (NH_3) was recently attributed a serious role as a sustainable energy carrier in a report from the International Energy Agency on *The Future of Hydrogen* [1]. Hydrogen (H_2) is increasingly considered as a major asset in the context of the present and upcoming energy transition towards variable renewable energy sources, and this report illustrates the currently growing interest in using ammonia for energy storage, transport and supply, as part of the hydrogen economy. In this report, the most promising uses of ammonia as a fuel are maritime shipping, power generation, and long-haul or heavy-duty truck transport, that all imply combustion.

The potential of ammonia as a carbon-free sustainable fuel has raised renewed interest in the academic literature of the past decade, as well as in the industry [2]. Indeed, it is an efficient hydrogen carrier with 17.8% of hydrogen by mass and it can be stored and shipped in liquid form under mild conditions, as shown in Table 1. Along with a Lower Heating Value (LHV) of 18.8 MJ/kg, this gives ammonia a better volumetric energy density than hydrogen and makes it a competitive energy carrier in specific cases. Moreover, it could soon be produced economically directly from water, air and renewable energy sources, and since its combustion releases no carbon dioxide, a life cycle analysis by Bicer and Dincer [3] showed that greenhouse gas emissions could be reduced by almost a factor three by using ammonia-fueled vehicles instead of gasoline vehicles. The well-

known cooling properties of ammonia might also be beneficial in the context of its use as a transportation fuel as discussed by Zamfirescu and Dincer [4].

However, ammonia deployment as a fuel still faces some challenges since it is corrosive and presents unfavorable combustion properties, as illustrated in Table 1 by its low Laminar Burning Velocity (LBV), high auto-ignition temperature and narrow flammability range. The latter is simultaneously a safety benefit, since accidental ammonia fires or explosions are less likely to happen in case of NH_3 leakage than with conventional fuels or hydrogen.

Table 1. Ammonia properties and comparison with other fuels at 300 K and 0.1 MPa, unless stated otherwise. Data from [5–7].

Species	Ammonia	Methanol	Hydrogen	Methane	Gasoline
Formula	NH_3	CH_3OH	H_2	CH_4	-
Storage	Liquid	Liquid	Compressed	Compressed	Liquid
Storage temperature (K)	300	300	300	300	300
Storage pressure (MPa)	1.1	0.1	70	25	0.1
ρ @ storage conditions (kg.m^{-3})	600	784.6	39.1	187	~740
LHV (MJ/kg)	18.8	19.9	120	50	44.5
LBV @ $\phi = 1$ (m.s^{-1})	0.07	0.36	3.51	0.38	0.58
Auto-ignition temperature (K)	930	712	773-850	859	503
Research Octane Number	130	119	>100	120	90-98
FL in air (vol. %)	15-28	6.7-36	4.7-75	5-15	0.6-8

Thus, several research teams recently focused on ammonia combustion in order to overcome those challenges, as summarized by Valera-Medina et al. [7]. Several practical technologies and end-uses were studied, such as gas turbines [8], compression- and spark-ignited Internal Combustion Engines (ICE). Investigating ammonia combustion in ICE serves the global understanding of ammonia properties and it could be particularly useful for future maritime engines, truck engines or stationary power generators applications. The dual fuel approach was widely studied experimentally in Compression-Ignition (CI) engines, using kerosene, diesel, dimethyl-ether or hydrogen from ammonia dissociation as a pilot fuel to promote the auto-ignition [9–14]. Early engine studies (1960's) demonstrated possible single fuel use of NH_3 in CI engines but at very high compression ratios (CR) [15,16].

In order to avoid carrying several fuel tanks for pilot injection and to take advantage of ammonia's high octane rating (Table 1), it appears reasonable to use Spark-Ignition (SI) to initiate the combustion in an ICE. Concurrently with the previously mentioned early CI engine studies, Cornelius et al. [17], Sawyer et al. [18] and Starkman et al. [19] conducted SI engine studies with ammonia as a fuel. Cornelius et al. reported poor engine performance under normally loaded conditions and a compression ratio (CR) of around 10:1 as compared to gasoline operation, as well as high NH_3 exhaust concentrations. The use of several strategies including improved ignition systems, supercharged operation, increased CR and hydrogen blending in the NH_3 fuel allowed them to greatly improve the performances and reduce the emissions. The optimal H_2 fraction to be added to the fuel was found to be about 2% by mass of fuel, which is about 15% by volume. Intake hydrogen was produced in-situ by NH_3 dissociation using a dedicated device. NO_x emissions were found to be comparable with the ones under gasoline operation, but increased as the indicated efficiency increased. Starkman et al. additionally showed in their Collaborative Fuel Research (CFR) engine study that indicated efficiencies were degraded during NH_3 fuel operation when compared to gasoline and that it implied a higher specific consumption. They recommended keeping a minimum amount of hydrogen in the premixed gaseous blend as a critical requirement for satisfying engine operation.

More recently, several research groups tested ammonia along with gasoline in CFR, using different injection and combustion promotion strategies engines [20–23]. They showed successful substitution of gasoline with ammonia with good engine performance and reduced unburned hydrocarbons and CO emissions. NH_3 exhaust emissions are the main issue and NO_x exhaust concentrations were shown to decrease, resp. increase with the fraction of ammonia in the fuel when using indirect, resp. direct gaseous injection. Ryu et al. proposed to partially dissociate NH_3 in a dedicated catalyst prior to injection, in order to improve the combustion efficiency and that resulted in significantly reduced nitrogen-based emissions, especially at low loads [23]. Ammonia combustion enhancement by in-situ NH_3 dissociation was also investigated by Frigo et al. [24,25] and Pozzana et al. [26] not in a CFR but in a commercial two-cylinder SI engine (CR = 10.7:1) equipped with a dedicated catalytic reformer. In [27], the same authors reported NH_3 emissions surprisingly under 100 ppm. Mørch et al. [6] similarly studied NH_3/H_2 blends fueled in a SI engine (CFR) at various CR with NH_3 provided from in-situ heat-assisted desorption from a metal ammine complex. They reported an increased efficiency compared with gasoline operation due to the possibility of knock-free CR increase. A focus on nitrogen-based pollutant emissions from a 80 vol.% NH_3 / 20 vol.% H_2 fueled CFR engine was proposed by Westlye et al. [28]. NH_3 slip of several hundreds of ppm is observed in the exhaust and tentatively explained by a rapid hydrogen flame propagation followed by a bulk combustion, while some ammonia is trapped in the piston crevices and cannot fully burn. Higher CR causes the increase of both NO_x and NH_3 emissions. Westlye et al. also demonstrated the feasibility of SCR systems as a function of the exhaust temperature and NO_x to NH_3 ratio, if an additional NH_3 amount is introduced in the after-treatment system under controlled conditions.

Therefore, a strong evidence of the suitability of ammonia as a low-carbon SI engine fuel is reported in the literature. However, very few studies successfully using pure ammonia as a fuel were published, to the best knowledge of the present authors. Moreover, most of the available engine data with NH_3 fuel were obtained in outdated or basic engine geometries. Thus, it appeared interesting to the present authors to conduct a systematic experimental study on ammonia combustion in a modern all-metal single-cylinder SI engine, focusing on engine performance, combustion characteristics and pollutant emissions. The set-up is introduced in the dedicated section in the following. The first part of the study aimed at determining the satisfying limits of operation of the present engine in its as-is design

in terms of mixture composition and was presented in [29]. Premixed gaseous ammonia/hydrogen/air mixtures with up to 60 vol.% H_2 in the fuel were fueled in the engine for equivalence ratios ranging from 0.6 to 1.2. It was found that best performances were reached for H_2 fractions in the fuel smaller than 20 vol.%, consistently with the literature data. The major role of hydrogen was shown to be as ignition promoter, having an exacerbated effect during the combustion initiation phase. Very high NH_3 and NO_x emissions were recorded, in accordance with the literature. In the second part of the present systematic study, a new gas analyzer was implemented for exhaust H_2 and O_2 measurements during new engine experiments, allowing to close the species balance and to analyze the combustion efficiency of near stoichiometric ammonia/hydrogen/air mixtures with 5 to 15 vol.% H_2 [30]. It was shown that increased H_2 enrichment improves the cyclic stability of the engine, as well as the combustion efficiency, leading to reduced NH_3 exhaust concentrations but higher NO_x concentrations. Significant H_2 exhaust concentrations were reported, indicating a partial NH_3 decomposition during or subsequent to the combustion process.

The present paper shows new experimental engine measurements aiming at demonstrating the particularities of pure ammonia combustion in the same commercially available SI engine, when compared to slightly hydrogen-enriched ammonia and pure methane, as the reference case conventional fuel. The focus of the present paper is set on pure ammonia combustion in the engine, because this would likely be the most efficient practical mode, given that no hydrogen tank and no energy-demanding ammonia dissociation device would be required. In the first part, the experimental setup is introduced. New measurement data from engine experiments are then presented. Discrepancies in terms of performance and pollutant emissions are discussed between NH_3 , NH_3/H_2 and CH_4 fuels. A detailed discussion on the combustion features of pure ammonia in the present SI engine is then proposed.

Experimental Method

Experimental Setup

Engine experiments were conducted in a modern four-cylinder four-stroke SI Gasoline Direct Injection (GDI) engine modified to become a single-cylinder engine by fueling only one out of four cylinders. The description of the engine setup is partly reproduced here from [30] for the sake of completeness. Table 2 presents the engine specifications.

Table 2. Engine specifications.

Model	PSA EP6DT
Displaced volume	399.5 cm ³
Stroke	85.8 mm
Bore	77 mm
Connecting rod length	138.5 mm
Compression ratio	10.5:1
Number of valves	4

The engine is driven by an electric motor at a fixed engine speed of 1500 rpm. The main shaft is equipped with a Kübler optical encoder for angular position monitoring with a 0.1 Crank Angle Degree (CAD) resolution. A water-cooled AVL piezoelectric pressure transducer with a 0.1 CAD resolution provides in-cylinder pressure measurements. Its measuring range is 0 – 25 MPa. Engine intake and exhaust temperature and pressure are monitored using type K

thermocouples and piezo-resistive absolute pressure transducers, respectively. The absolute cylinder pressure is obtained by equalizing the in-cylinder pressure and the mean absolute intake pressure (P_{in}) 20 CAD after inlet valve opening. The spark plug used is the original one with a coil charging time set to 2 ms. Ammonia, methane and air gaseous flows are measured and controlled using Brooks thermal mass flowmeters with $\pm 0.7\%$ accuracy, preheated to the intake temperature of 323 K and premixed in an intake plenum before injection. A scheme of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Layout of the experimental setup.

The wet exhaust gases are analyzed using a Gasetm Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer to assess H_2O , NO_x and NH_3 concentrations. This equipment allows simultaneous quantitative measurement of many gaseous species with a good time resolution and accuracy, if the interferences between the species of interest are correctly identified and taken into account in the analysis settings. This has been done in the present work and the analysis ranges are presented in Table 3 for the different species. However, water vapor is a major interfering compound in infrared wavelengths, and its presence in the exhaust sample is inevitable due to the interest in measuring H_2O and NH_3 , that would otherwise condensate along with H_2O . Even though its presence is accounted for in the interferences corrections, the authors believe that it may lead to some uncertainties in the exhaust gas measurements, in addition with other cross-component interferences. After preliminary verifications, the authors estimated the maximal uncertainty on the species concentrations to be low enough to maintain a very good qualitative significance of the present measurement data.

Table 3. Analysis ranges for the FTIR species measurement.

Species	Wave number range (cm^{-1})
H_2O	3950 – 4240
N_2O	2000 – 2250
NO	1840 - 2080
NO_2	1250 - 1720
NH_3	1000 - 1240

In addition, an ADEV gas analyzer is used on a dry exhaust gas sample for H_2 (thermal conductivity) and O_2 (paramagnetic) measurement. The water vapor measurement done with the FTIR analyzer is used for wet correction. The accuracy of the H_2 and O_2 analyzers is $\pm 1\%$ (range 0 – 20 % H_2 , 0 – 21 % O_2). A Horiba Mexa7100 5 gases analyzer is used for NO_x , CO , CO_2 and HC measurements in the case of methane operation. The use of this analyzer is strictly restricted to pure methane operation due to the suspicion of possible ammonia or ammonium contamination of the sensitive internal sampling system in case of ammonia operation. The use of this analyzer in the case of methane was preferred for practical reasons.

Operating Conditions

The global stoichiometric reaction of NH_3/H_2 /air combustion is as:

where x_{H_2} is the hydrogen molar fraction in the fuel mixture. Non-stoichiometric mixtures are defined by the global equivalence ratio:

$$\phi = \frac{\frac{X_{H_2} + X_{NH_3}}{X_{air}}}{\left(\frac{X_{H_2} + X_{NH_3}}{X_{air}}\right)_{st}} \quad (2).$$

X_s represents the molar fraction of the species s in the reactive mixture. The stoichiometric air/fuel ratio by mass is around 6 for pure NH_3 fuel and thus about twice smaller than for gasoline and three times smaller than for methane. The engine is operated at a fixed speed and fueled with near stoichiometric ammonia/air (Fuel A) or methane/air (Fuel C) gaseous mixtures. Ammonia/hydrogen/air data (Fuel B) were taken from [30]. The intake temperature is kept constant for practical reasons but the intake pressure is varied, in order to simulate various engine loads. The spark-ignition timing is set at Maximum Brake Torque (MBT) to ensure the maximum net indicated mean effective pressure ($IMEP_n$), a quantification of the work provided by the combustion in the absence of direct torque measurements. The friction losses caused by the three deactivated pistons make the latter irrelevant. Cycle-to-cycle variability is considered by recording 100 consecutive pressure cycles for each test. Averaged values over 100 cycles are presented in this paper. Satisfying cyclic stability is considered when the coefficient of variation of the $IMEP_n$ over 100 cycles, $COV(IMEP_n)$, does not exceed 5%. Thus, only conditions satisfying this criterion are analyzed in the present work. This means in particular, that no misfire were observed over 100 cycles for the presented conditions, since a misfire automatically causes the $COV(IMEP_n)$ to exceed the set limit. Most of the tested operating conditions exhibit $COV(IMEP_n) < 1\%$. The range of investigated operating conditions is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Overview of the operating test conditions.

Engine speed (rpm)	1500
Intake temperature (K)	323
Intake pressure (bar)	[0.8 – 1.2]
Fuels	100% NH_3 (Fuel A) 85% NH_3 / 15% H_2 from [30] (Fuel B) 100% CH_4 (Fuel C)
ϕ	[0.9 – 1.1]
SI timing	MBT

Results and Discussion

Engine Performance

A major outcome of the present study is the demonstration of successful engine operation under pure ammonia fueling. Figure 2 presents the optimized spark ignition timings that were required to achieve the maximum power output, which often corresponds to the minimum cyclic variability, for pure ammonia (Fuel A), hydrogen-enriched ammonia (Fuel B) and for comparison, methane (Fuel C) engine operation. It appears that stable operating mode under pure ammonia fueling could be achieved for intake pressures equal and higher than 1.0 bar for all tested equivalence ratios if the spark ignition timing is significantly advanced, in order to compensate for the slow combustion dynamics of NH_3 . The $\text{COV}(\text{IMEP}_n)$ was found greater than 20% for pure ammonia at $P_{\text{in}} = 0.8$ bar, with a very poor cyclic stability and the occurrence of misfires, even when varying the SI timing. Increasing the engine load via the intake pressure leads to an improved cyclic stability when optimizing the SI timing in the case of pure NH_3 as shown in Figure 3 with the $\text{COV}(\text{IMEP}_n)$. NH_3/H_2 and CH_4 fuels exhibit stable operation over the whole range of investigation. As expected, adding hydrogen to the fuel blend significantly displaces the optimal SI timing towards TDC, leading to SI timing values comparable with methane operation, as shown in Fig. 2. Moreover, hydrogen addition also promotes cyclic stability when the intake pressure is decreased, thus allowing stable operation without significantly increasing the spark advance, as shown in Fig. 3. As to optimize the efficiency, the increase of the engine compression ratio could be considered in future applications, the previous observation needs to be taken into account, since a very early spark ignition timing would partly neutralize the thermodynamic benefits of an increased CR.

Figure 2. Spark Ignition timing at MBT under stable operating conditions.

Figure 3. Coefficient of variation of the IMEP_n .

Figure 4 presents the IMEP_n averaged on 100 consecutive cycles for the three fuels as a function of the intake pressure and equivalence ratios. It is important to note here that the volumetric addition of hydrogen does not change significantly the energy content of the ammonia-based fuel/air mixtures. Indeed, the higher LHV by mass of H_2 is compensated by its small molecular weight. NH_3 thus exhibits a higher molar LHV than H_2 , which in turn is balanced by the greater air-fuel ratios required for ammonia combustion. This justifies the lack of IMEP_n differences between the NH_3/air and 85% $\text{NH}_3/15\%$ H_2/air mixtures as observed in Fig. 4. For all mixtures, the IMEP_n increases from lean to stoichiometric and then stabilizes between stoichiometric and rich conditions. This general trend is expectable, since the amount of fuel introduced in the cylinder increases with increasing ϕ , while the lack of available air limits the energy conversion above stoichiometric conditions. This is particularly noticeable under methane operation, where the IMEP_n peaks around stoichiometry and decreases when moving towards lean or rich conditions. For $P_{\text{in}} = 1.0$ bar, the pure ammonia rich mixture exhibited a slightly degraded cyclic stability, that could explain the decrease of the IMEP_n between stoichiometric and rich conditions. The use of CH_4 as a fuel yields higher IMEP_n than NH_3 blends, especially at high intake pressures. However, increasing the intake pressure allows operating the engine with high-ammonia-content fuels and higher power outputs, as illustrated by increased IMEP_n , with values greater than 8 bar for $P_{\text{in}} = 1.2$ bar, that is significantly higher than full load methane operation. Therefore, supercharged operation could allow reproducing the power outputs obtained with conventional fuels when operating ammonia-based fuels.

Figure 4. Net Indicated Mean Effective Pressure for the various fuels and intake pressures.

In order to make meaningful comparisons, the power output, given by the $IMEP_n$ is compared with the power introduced in the cylinder on a LHV basis in Figure 5. A higher intake pressure leads to a slight increase of the indicated efficiency in most cases. The indicated efficiency decreases with increasing equivalence ratio in all cases, but very slightly between $\phi = 0.9$ and $\phi = 1.0$ for NH_3 -based fuel blends, for which the best values lie above $\eta_{ind} = 36\%$ in loaded conditions. The influence of hydrogen-enrichment on the indicated efficiency is once again neither consistent nor quantitatively significant. When compared with the indicated efficiency under methane operation (filled triangle in Fig. 4), ammonia performs equally or better for stoichiometric and rich mixtures, while staying comparable under lean conditions. This indicates that the $IMEP_n$ benefit observed under CH_4 fueling is mainly due to the higher LHV of methane, and thus higher energy content of the CH_4 /air mixtures when compared with NH_3 fueling.

Figure 5. Indicated efficiency for the various fuels and intake pressures.

Pollutant Emissions

While all carbon-based engine emissions are wiped out by using ammonia as a fuel, a major remaining concern is the occurrence of important nitrogen-based emissions. Therefore, it is of primary interest to assess those emissions in the case of SI engine combustion. Figure 6 shows measured pollutant species exhaust concentrations as a function of the equivalence ratio for the different intake pressures and fuel compositions investigated presently. The pollutant species are NO_x and NH_3 for ammonia-based fuels and NO_x , HC and CO for methane. Essentially, the NO_x exhaust concentrations increase when the intake pressure is increased, assumedly due to higher flame temperatures, while the unburned species concentrations decrease, due to improved combustion efficiency. However, unburned NH_3 concentrations increase slightly with increasing P_{in} in the case of Fuel B (85% NH_3 / 15% H_2) as shown in Figs. 6b, 6d and 6f. This might be explained by the crevice mechanism proposed by Westlye et al. [28], assuming a fast hydrogen flame initiating a subsequent bulk NH_3 combustion. In that case, the amount of NH_3 trapped in the crevices and unable to benefit from the hydrogen-assisted combustion is determined at the time of the hydrogen flame quenching near the crevice opening. Increasing the intake pressure leads to a higher amount of trapped NH_3 , which might explain the previous observation for Fuel B. Care should however be taken, in view of the relatively small magnitude of the discussed NH_3 variations with increased P_{in} and the associated measurement uncertainties.

For ammonia-based fuels (Fuel A and B), NO_x emissions decrease, while NH_3 emissions increase as ϕ is increased, as shown in Fig. 6. For the former species, this is expected, since NO_x formation strongly depends on the availability of oxygen. Regarding the latter, more NH_3 introduced logically leads to more unburned NH_3 in the exhaust. The concentrations are very high for both pollutants, reaching up to 6000 ppmvw for NO_x under hydrogen-enriched lean conditions and close to 20 000 ppmvw (2 vol.%) for NH_3 for rich ammonia/air mixtures. Hydrogen-enrichment causes higher NO_x emissions for mixtures up to stoichiometry and $P_{in} = 1.0$ bar (Fig. 6c), assumedly due to higher flame temperatures, but similar or identical values in the other cases where pure NH_3 operation was possible. In addition, NO_x emissions observed during CH_4 operation follow the same decreasing trend with oxygen depletion, but exhibit significantly lower values for lean mixtures at low intake pressures (Fig. 6a), with less than 3500 ppmvw. However, the gap with NO_x emissions of lean to stoichiometric NH_3 -based fuels becomes smaller under full load and supercharged operation. Noticeably, all rich mixtures exhibit the same exhaust concentration of NO_x , indicating a prominent role of oxygen availability in the NO_x formation. The role of fuel-bound nitrogen is not explicitly investigated here, but it is clear that it does not influence the order of magnitude of the NO_x emissions when compared to a hydrocarbon fuel, especially for rich mixtures. Hydrogen addition to ammonia causes significantly lower NH_3 emissions, thanks to an improved NH_3 combustion efficiency. Since NO_x and NH_3 emissions follow opposite trends, it appears that a trade-off as well as after-treatment strategies will be necessary, since the observed levels are likely to exceed largely the acceptable limits. Westlye et al. [28] showed through simulations that their engine exhaust temperatures could be suitable for efficient operation of a SCR catalyst in the after-treatment system. The temperature range 573 K – 723 K is proposed as an optimum and corresponds well with the values observed immediately after the engine in the present study. However, the issue of pollutant control is not specific to ammonia-fueled engines, since significant amounts of unburned HC and CO were measured in the exhaust gas during CH_4 operation. CO_2 emissions of about 9 vol.% (wet) should also be reported under methane operation for completeness.

Figure 6. Pollutant concentrations in the wet engine exhaust. Left: NOx emissions. Right: Unburned species concentrations (NH_3 for ammonia-based fuels, HC and CO for methane fuel). Fuel A: 100% NH_3 , Fuel B: 85% NH_3 / 15% H_2 , Fuel C: 100% CH_4 .

Combustion Analysis

Some studies in the literature also reported H_2 emissions for NH_3 -fueled SI engines [17,31]. In order to assess the combustion completeness and to conduct further analysis of the specific aspects of ammonia combustion, exhaust H_2 measurements were conducted. A comparison of H_2 and NH_3 exhaust concentrations is presented in Figure 7 for $\phi = 1.1$, in order to extend the data presented in [30]. Measured values for lower equivalence ratios are below the accuracy of the H_2 analyzer and are thus not depicted here. This is assumedly due to the total consumption of H_2 in the presence of excess air, and consistent with the observations of Cornelius et al. [17].

The measurements in Fig. 7 show very similar values and trends for the concentrations of H_2 and NH_3 . The very high H_2 concentrations with respect to the intake proportions indicate H_2 formation from NH_3 dissociation during or after the combustion process. This is verified by comparing the measurements with a constructed value for the H_2 concentration, obtained by assuming complete combustion as follows:

$$[H_2]^{th} = [H_2]_{out}^{excess} + \frac{3}{2} \cdot ([NH_3]_{out}^{excess} - [NH_3]_{out}^{measured}) \quad (3),$$

where the subscript “out” stands for concentrations in the exhaust gases. The factor $3/2$ comes from the stoichiometric proportions of ammonia dissociation and is applied to the difference between the theoretical ammonia concentration that should be observed due to excess fuel injection assuming complete combustion, and the actual concentration that was measured in the exhaust gas. Thus, the assumption is made that all missing ammonia has been dissociated to H_2 and N_2 during the combustion process. The calculated values agree qualitatively with H_2 measurements in the case $x_{H_2} = 15\%$ (Fig. 7b). This suggest a combustion efficiency close to 100% in the latter case. The discrepancies observed between $[H_2]$ and $[H_2]^{th}$ for pure ammonia (Fuel A) in Fig. 7a are assumedly due to incomplete combustion: the unburned NH_3 originates from excess NH_3 at the intake and the unburned H_2 is mainly a result of incomplete combustion. While the previous assumptions have to be further explored and validated, the measured presence of significant quantities of H_2 in the exhaust under rich conditions could suggest the use of techniques such as exhaust gas recirculation.

The combustion efficiency is shown in Figure 8 and defined as follows:

$$\eta_c = 1 - \frac{E_{f,out}}{E_{f,in}} \quad (4),$$

with

$$E_f = \sum n_s \cdot LHV_s \quad (5),$$

the energy contained in the fuel as measured at the intake and at the exhaust (subscript *in* and *out* respectively) on the basis of the LHV of each fuel species *s*. Pure ammonia fuel shows a relatively poor combustion efficiency below 95% in all conditions, but it increases when the intake pressure is increased. Hydrogen-enrichment leads to an improved combustion efficiency, as already described in [30], allowing to approach closely the efficiency of CH_4 fuel in the stoichiometric and rich cases, thus not exceeding 96% for the lean mixture. In comparison, lean CH_4 operation demonstrates a combustion efficiency above 98%.

Figure 7. Comparison of NH_3 and H_2 exhaust concentrations for $\phi = 1.1$.

Figure 8. Combustion efficiency.

In order to gain further understanding of the combustion behavior of NH_3 , in-cylinder pressure traces were analyzed and used to calculate the net and gross heat release using the wall heat loss correlation of Hohenberg [32]. This classical analysis allows defining the combustion phases, and particularly CA10, which is the crank angle at which 10% of the total introduced mass has burned. Moreover, a classical two-zone model (burned and unburned zone) allows calculating an estimation of the average temperature of the fresh gases during the engine cycle. A representative example of such an analysis is shown in Figure 9 for $P_{in} = 1.0$ bar and $\phi = 1.0$. It can be observed in Fig. 9a that the optimal SI timing has a synchronizing effect on the pressure curves obtained with different fuels. For instance, the maximal pressure is reached at the approximately same timing for methane, ammonia and hydrogen enriched-ammonia. The

CA10 are also very close. It should be remembered here that the SI timing was chosen in order to maximize the $IMEP_n$ in each case.

This is further confirmed in Fig. 9b, where the heat release rates are approximately centered around the same timing. However, the pressure rise and thus the heat release rate from methane combustion present a significantly higher magnitude than ammonia-based combustion. The heat release happens stronger and faster, the heat release rate decreasing noticeably faster after TDC than for ammonia-based mixtures. Regarding NH_3 and NH_3/H_2 combustion, the differences are less significant, except during the initiation phase of the combustion. Indeed, the time between spark ignition and the CA10 is significantly reduced when hydrogen is added to the fuel. Consecutively to that initiation phase, the combustion happens in a similar manner, even though the heat release rate peaks slightly higher for the hydrogen-enriched case, accompanied by a slightly reduced overall combustion duration. The dynamics of ammonia combustion might thus provide explanations regarding the possibility of stable operation with low cyclic variation for a given set of operating conditions.

Figure 9. Pressure, temperature of the fresh gas mixture and gross heat release rate for various fuels at $P_m = 1.0$ bar and $\phi = 1.0$. a) Solid lines: in-cylinder pressure, dotted lines: estimated fresh gas temperature. b) Solid lines: gross HRR. Crosses: SI timing, pentagrams: CA10.

To further demonstrate the previous statements, the combustion phases as deduced from the heat release calculations are plotted in Figures 10 and 11 as functions of the equivalence ratio and intake pressure, respectively. The initiation phase $CA10-SIT$ of pure ammonia is very long relative to the following phases and to the other fuels. Hydrogen-enrichment greatly reduces the duration of that initial phase, thus not reaching the speed of methane for that hydrogen fraction. The influence of the equivalence ratio is quite insignificant during the initial phase and the propagation phase $CA50-CA10$, but it does affect the combustion duration $CA90-CA10$ of ammonia-based fuels, that decreases when the equivalence ratio

increases. The combustion duration of pure ammonia is about 10 CAD longer than the one of lean methane, as seen in Fig. 10c.

Figure 10. Combustion phases as functions of the equivalence ratio for $P_m = 1.2$ bar.

Increased intake pressure leads to no significant changes on the duration of the combustion phases of methane, but leads to a slight acceleration of all phases for hydrogen-enriched ammonia and a significant acceleration of the combustion phases for pure ammonia, noticeably of the combustion duration, as shown in Figure 11. This indicates a higher sensitivity of pure ammonia combustion on the thermodynamic conditions during the engine cycle.

Figure 11. Combustion phases as functions of the intake pressure for $\phi = 1.1$.

Therefore, laminar burning velocity calculations were conducted in a first approach to assess whether the global reaction kinetics could explain the different combustion behavior under the various operating conditions. In the case of methane, the LBV, S_L^0 , was calculated using the PREMIX model in CHEMKIN and the GRI3.0 reaction mechanism [33]. In the case of NH_3 and NH_3/H_2 /air mixtures, the LBV was calculated using the dedicated LBV correlation by Goldmann and Dinkelacker [34]. The initial conditions were chosen as the ones found during engine operation in the fresh gas mixture at CA10 under the assumption of a two-zone model. The pentagrams in Fig. 9a depict an example of such conditions. CA10 was chosen as the relevant thermodynamic state for a comparison, since it is a reliable estimation of the first stages of the flame propagation phase. It is uncertain, whether a proper flame is propagating during the previous stages of the combustion, due to the combustion initiation delay. The LBV results are presented in Figure 12. As expected, the LBV of methane/air flames is significantly higher than the one of ammonia/air and slightly-hydrogen-enriched ammonia/air flames. This partly explains the previously discussed differences observed in Figs. 9 - 11. Unsurprisingly, hydrogen-enrichment leads to an increase of the LBV for NH_3 -based mixtures. However, the LBV does not fully elucidate the reasons that make pure ammonia engine operation possible in some cases and not in others, in particular for small intake pressures. Indeed, the LBV is slightly decreasing with increased intake pressure due to an unfavorable balance between increased fresh gas temperature and pressure. Moreover, it was possible in [30] to run the engine with NH_3/H_2 blends showing lower LBVs than the one plotted in Fig. 12 for pure ammonia. Therefore, the LBV of NH_3 /air and NH_3/H_2 /air mixtures is not relevant to assess the suitability of a mixture for stable, misfire-free SI engine operation and it does not fully explain the beneficial influence of H_2 on ammonia combustion.

Figure 12. Calculated laminar burning velocity under the respective thermodynamic conditions in the fresh gas at CA10 during engine operation.

The experiments with NH_3/H_2 /air mixtures show that H_2 mainly acts as an ignition promoter during the initiation phase when small fractions are added [30]. Further enrichment then significantly accelerates the combustion phases, as the effect of hydrogen on the combustion properties is becoming more important [29]. Therefore, the assumption of partial thermal NH_3 dissociation to H_2 and N_2 in the fresh gases under the combined effect of the compression and the spark could explain the successful engine operation with pure NH_3 , in spite of unfavorable combustion properties. Moreover, it would explain the greater sensitivity of pure NH_3 to the in-cylinder thermodynamic conditions. In order to get a first insight about this assumption, numerical simulations were conducted for a stoichiometric NH_3 /air mixture using the reaction mechanism of Shreshta et al. [35] in a closed adiabatic homogeneous reactor model from CHEMKIN. The temperature and pressure profiles were constrained as those in Fig. 9a and the volume could vary. The hydrogen mole fraction in the reactor is shown in Figure 13 as a function of the residence time. The result is not conclusive, since a very low, insignificant hydrogen production is observed. Therefore, additional investigations are required, perhaps with more developed models, in order to make conclusions on the assumption of NH_3 dissociation in the fresh gases. Investigation of other combustion promoters, such as OH radicals, that could be produced before or during the initiation phase would also be of interest.

Figure 13. H₂ mole fraction in a homogeneous reactor with a stoichiometric NH₃/air mixture under the conditions of Fig. 9a.

Summary and Conclusions

As ammonia is gaining increased interest as a sustainable fuel and has been validated as a suitable fuel for internal combustion engines, it is worth investigating the characteristics of a recent SI engine fueled with ammonia. Objectives are to assess the best operating conditions in the current configuration, propose a detailed analysis and provide useful data for comparisons and validation purposes.

In the present study, new experiments were conducted in a recent PSA spark-ignition GDI engine used as a single-cylinder engine fueled with premixed ammonia/air and methane/air mixtures at a constant speed of 1500 rpm. Ammonia/hydrogen/air results from a previous study are presented for comparison purposes. The parameters were the intake pressure, the fuel/air equivalence ratio and the fuel composition. The spark timing was set at MBT and the compression ratio was 10.5:1.

Engine operation under pure ammonia fueling is demonstrated for intake pressure equal and greater than 1.0 bar, with an important SI timing advance. Under hydrogen-enriched conditions, the engine can be operated with very low cyclic variability from part load to supercharged operation provided that the spark-ignition timing is correctly advanced. Increasing the intake pressure above 1.0 bar appears to be a suitable strategy to promote pure ammonia combustion due to more favorable thermodynamic conditions, leading to improved engine cyclic stability and power outputs comparable with full-load methane operation. The $IMEP_n$ obtained with pure NH₃ fueling are comparable with those obtained with CH₄ and NH₃/H₂ fueling, and sometimes higher. The indicated efficiency reaches maximal values close to 37% with lean ammonia/air mixtures, and the values exceed those of methane in some cases. Under lean conditions, very high NO_x emissions up to 6000 ppmv are found, with exacerbating effects of increased hydrogen fraction and intake pressure. NO_x emissions decrease when the mixtures become richer down to less than 1000 ppmv. Very high NH₃ exhaust concentrations are measured, with unacceptable potential consequences on human health and the environment without additional. H₂ exhaust concentrations of several percent are measured for rich mixtures. The combustion efficiency of pure ammonia fuel is significantly lower than that of hydrogen-enriched ammonia and methane. The analysis of ammonia combustion confirms the promoting effect of H₂ during the combustion initiation phase. However, this effect is not simply reflected in the LBV of the mixtures. An attempt to simulate NH₃ dissociation in the fresh gases leads to no conclusive results.

The main conclusions are as follows:

1. Ammonia is a suitable carbon-free fuel for modern SI engines, even in part-load operation when enriched with hydrogen.
2. Pure ammonia compares surprisingly well with a conventional fuel (methane) regarding the engine performances.
3. Near-stoichiometric lean operation is recommended, since it provides satisfying power output and efficiencies, while mitigating both NO_x and NH₃ emissions, keeping them in the same order of magnitude in the perspective of dedicated after-treatment systems. However, slightly rich operation with exhaust gas recirculation might be of interest, due to the presence of significant quantities of unburned H₂ in the exhaust gas.
4. The issue of pollutant emissions is of the same nature that in the case of conventional fuels. Its mitigation requires mainly engineering of after-treatment systems, even though further fundamental understanding of ammonia's combustion mechanisms is required to minimize the sources of the emissions.
5. The laminar burning velocity is not the governing parameter to explain ammonia combustion in SI engines. Further investigations will be conducted on turbulent flame characteristics and intermediate species formation during the compression stroke and shortly after ignition, to provide further understanding on ammonia combustion.

References

- [1] International Energy Agency, *The Future of Hydrogen*, 2019.
- [2] Zamfirescu, C., Dincer, I., "Using ammonia as a sustainable fuel", *J. Power Sources* 185: 459–465, 2008, doi: [10.1016/j.jpowsour.2008.02.097](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2008.02.097).
- [3] Bicer, Y., Dincer, I., "Life cycle assessment of ammonia utilization in city transportation and power generation", *J. Clean. Prod.* 170: 1594–1601, 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.243](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.243).
- [4] Zamfirescu, C., Dincer, I., "Ammonia as a green fuel and hydrogen source for vehicular applications", *Fuel Process. Technol.* 90: 729–737, 2009, doi: [10.1016/j.fuproc.2009.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2009.02.004).
- [5] Linstrom, P.J., Mallard, W.G., *NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69*, National Institute of Standards and Technology, <https://webbook.nist.gov/>, accessed Jul. 2019.
- [6] Mørch, C.S., Bjerre, A., Gøttrup, M.P., Sørensen, S.C., Schramm, J., "Ammonia/hydrogen mixtures in an SI-engine: Engine performance and analysis of a proposed fuel system", *Fuel* 90: 854–864, 2011, doi: [10.1016/j.fuel.2010.09.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2010.09.042).
- [7] Valera-Medina, A., Xiao, H., Owen-Jones, M., David, W.I.F., Bowen, P.J., "Ammonia for power", *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* 69: 63–102, 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.pecs.2018.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2018.07.001).
- [8] Kobayashi, H., Hayakawa, A., Somarathne, K.D.K.A., Okafor, E.C., "Science and technology of ammonia combustion", *Proc. Combust. Inst.* 37: 109–133, 2019, doi: [10.1016/j.proci.2018.09.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2018.09.029).
- [9] Reiter, A.J., Kong, S.C., "Demonstration of compression-ignition engine combustion using ammonia in reducing greenhouse gas emissions", *Energy and Fuels* 22: 2963–2971, 2008, doi: [10.1021/ef800140f](https://doi.org/10.1021/ef800140f).
- [10] Reiter, A.J., Kong, S.C., "Combustion and emissions characteristics of compression-ignition engine using dual ammonia-diesel fuel", *Fuel* 90: 87–97, 2011, doi: [10.1016/j.fuel.2010.07.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2010.07.055).
- [11] Gill, S.S., Chatha, G.S., Tsolakis, A., Golunski, S.E., York, A.P.E., "Assessing the effects of partially decarbonising a diesel engine by co-fuelling with dissociated ammonia", *Int.*

- J. Hydrogen Energy* 37: 6074–6083, 2012, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.12.137](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.12.137).
- [12] Gross, C.W., Kong, S.C., "Performance characteristics of a compression-ignition engine using direct-injection ammonia-DME mixtures", *Fuel* 103: 1069–1079, 2013, doi: [10.1016/j.fuel.2012.08.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2012.08.026).
- [13] Ryu, K., Zacharakis-Jutz, G.E., Kong, S.-C., "Performance characteristics of compression-ignition engine using high concentration of ammonia mixed with dimethyl ether", *Appl. Energy* 113: 488–499, 2014, doi: [10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.07.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.07.065).
- [14] Pochet, M., Truedsson, I., Foucher, F., Jeanmart, H., Contino, F., "Ammonia-Hydrogen blends in Homogeneous-Charge Compression-Ignition Engine", SAE Technical Paper 2017-24-0087, 2017, doi: [10.4271/2017-24-0087](https://doi.org/10.4271/2017-24-0087).
- [15] Pearsall, T.J., Garabedian, C.G., "Combustion of Anhydrous Ammonia in Diesel Engines", SAE Technical Paper 670947, 1967, doi: [10.4271/670947](https://doi.org/10.4271/670947).
- [16] Gray, J.T.J., Dimitroff, E., Meckel, N.T., Quillian, R.D.J., "Ammonia Fuel - Engine Compatibility and Combustion", SAE Technical Paper 660156, 1966, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4271/660156>.
- [17] Cornelius, W., Huellmantel, L.W., Mitchell, H.R., "Ammonia as an engine fuel", SAE Technical Paper 650052, 1965, doi: [10.2307/44460524](https://doi.org/10.2307/44460524).
- [18] Sawyer, R.F., Starkman, E.S., Muzio, L., Schmidt, W.L., "Oxides of Nitrogen in the Combustion Products of an Ammonia Fueled Reciprocating Engine", SAE Technical Paper 680401, 1968, doi: [10.4271/680401](https://doi.org/10.4271/680401).
- [19] Starkman, E.S., Newhall, H.K., Sutton, R., Maguire, T., Farbar, L., "Ammonia as a Spark Ignition Engine Fuel: Theory and Application", SAE Technical Paper 660155, 1966, doi: [10.4271/660155](https://doi.org/10.4271/660155).
- [20] Grannell, S.M., Assanis, D.N., Bohac, S. V., Gillespie, D.E., "The Fuel Mix Limits and Efficiency of a Stoichiometric, Ammonia, and Gasoline Dual Fueled Spark Ignition Engine", *J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power* 130: 042802: 1-8, 2008, doi: [10.1115/1.2898837](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2898837).
- [21] Grannell, S.M., Assanis, D.N., Gillespie, D.E., Bohac, S. V., "Exhaust Emissions From a Stoichiometric, Ammonia and Gasoline Dual Fueled Spark Ignition Engine", *Proc. ASME Intern. Combust. Engine Div.*, paper ICES2009-76131, 2009, doi: [10.1115/ices2009-76131](https://doi.org/10.1115/ices2009-76131).
- [22] Ryu, K., Zacharakis-Jutz, G.E., Kong, S.C., "Effects of gaseous ammonia direct injection on performance characteristics of a spark-ignition engine", *Appl. Energy* 116: 206–215, 2014, doi: [10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.11.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.11.067).
- [23] Ryu, K., Zacharakis-Jutz, G.E., Kong, S.C., "Performance enhancement of ammonia-fueled engine by using dissociation catalyst for hydrogen generation", *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 39: 2390–2398, 2014, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.11.098](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.11.098).
- [24] Frigo, S., Gentili, R., Doveri, N., "Ammonia Plus Hydrogen as Fuel in a S.I. Engine: Experimental Results", SAE Technical Paper 2012-32-0019, 2012, doi: [10.4271/2012-32-0019](https://doi.org/10.4271/2012-32-0019).
- [25] Frigo, S., Gentili, R., "Analysis of the behaviour of a 4-stroke Si engine fuelled with ammonia and hydrogen", *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 38: 1607–1615, 2013, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.10.114](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.10.114).
- [26] Pozzana, G., Bonfanti, N., Frigo, S., Doveri, N., Dario, P., Mattoli, V. et al., "A Hybrid Vehicle Powered by Hydrogen and Ammonia", SAE Technical Paper 2012-32-0085, 2012, doi: [10.4271/2012-32-0085](https://doi.org/10.4271/2012-32-0085).
- [27] Comotti, M., Frigo, S., "Hydrogen generation system for ammonia-hydrogen fuelled internal combustion engines", *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 40: 10673–10686, 2015, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.06.080](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.06.080).
- [28] Westlye, F.R., Ivarsson, A., Schramm, J., "Experimental investigation of nitrogen based emissions from an ammonia fueled SI-engine", *Fuel* 111: 239–247, 2013, doi: [10.1016/j.fuel.2013.03.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.03.055).
- [29] Lhuillier, C., Brequigny, P., Contino, F., Mounaïm-Rousselle, C., "Experimental Study on NH₃/H₂/Air Combustion in Spark-Ignition Engine Conditions", presented at 11th Mediterranean Combustion Symposium, Tenerife, Spain, June 16-20, 2019.
- [30] Lhuillier, C., Brequigny, P., Contino, F., Mounaïm-Rousselle, C., "Performance and Emissions of an Ammonia-Fueled SI Engine with Hydrogen Enrichment", SAE Technical Paper 2019-24-0137, 2019, .
- [31] Duynslaegher, C., "Experimental and numerical study of ammonia combustion", Ph.D. Thesis, Université Catholique de Louvain, 2011.
- [32] Hohenberg, G., "Advanced approaches for heat transfer calculations", SAE Technical Paper 79082, 1979, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4271/79082>.
- [33] Smith, G.P., Golden, D.M., Frenklach, M., Moriarty, N.W., Eiteneer, B., Goldenberg, M. et al., "GRI-Mech 3.0", http://combustion.berkeley.edu/gri_mech/, accessed Jul. 2019.
- [34] Goldmann, A., Dinkelacker, F., "Approximation of laminar flame characteristics on premixed ammonia/hydrogen/nitrogen/air mixtures at elevated temperatures and pressures", *Fuel* 224: 366–378, 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.fuel.2018.03.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.03.030).
- [35] Shrestha, K.P., Seidel, L., Zeuch, T., Mauss, F., "Detailed Kinetic Mechanism for the Oxidation of Ammonia Including the Formation and Reduction of Nitrogen Oxides", *Energy and Fuels* 32: 10202–10217, 2018, doi: [10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b01056](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b01056).

Contact Information

Charles LHUILLIER
Laboratoire PRISME
Université d'Orléans (France)
Vrije Universiteit Brussel (Belgium)
charles.lhuillier@univ-orleans.fr

Acknowledgement

The research leading to these results has received funding from the French Government's "Investissement d'Avenir" program: "Laboratoire d'Excellence CAPRYSES" (Grant No ANR-11-LABX-0006-01). The authors would like to thank Bastien RAITIERE for his special help during the experimental campaign.

Abbreviations

°ATDC	crank angle degree After Top Dead Center
CAD	Crank Angle Degree
CFR	Collaborative Fuel Research engine
CI	Compression-Ignition
COV	Coefficient of Variation
CR	Compression Ratio
FL	Flammability Limits

FTIR	Fourier Transform InfraRed gas analyzer
GDI	Gasoline Direct Injection
GT	Gas turbine
ICE	Internal Combustion Engine
IMEP_n	net Indicated Mean Effective Power
LBV	Laminar Burning Velocity
LHV	Lower Heating Value
MBT	Maximum Brake Torque
NO_x	Nitrogen Oxides (NO and NO ₂)
ppmv_w	part per million by volume on a wet basis
rpm	revolution per minute
SCR	Selective Catalytic Reactor
SI	Spark-ignition
TDC	Top Dead Center