Using Density Functional Theory Based Methods to Investigate the Photophysics of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Radical Cations: A Benchmark Study on Naphthalene, Pyrene and Perylene Cations

Martial Boggio-Pasqua, Michael J. Bearpark

► To cite this version:

Martial Boggio-Pasqua, Michael J. Bearpark. Using Density Functional Theory Based Methods to Investigate the Photophysics of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Radical Cations: A Benchmark Study on Naphthalene, Pyrene and Perylene Cations. *ChemPhotoChem*, 2019, 3 (9), pp.763-769. 10.1002/cptc.201900130 . hal-02310866

HAL Id: hal-02310866

<https://hal.science/hal-02310866>

Submitted on 15 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the Use of Density Functional Theory-Based Methods to Investigate the Photophysics of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Radical Cations: A Benchmark Study on Naphthalene, Pyrene and Perylene Cations.

Martial Boggio-Pasqua^{*[a]}, Michael J. Bearpark^[b]

Abstract: Unrestricted DFT (UDFT), time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) and spin-flip TDDFT (SF-TDDFT) were used to investigate the potential energy surfaces of the ground and first two electronic excited states of the naphthalene, pyrene and perylene radical cations. In particular, conical intersections - which play a central role in the photophysics of these cations - were located with these DFT-based methods. The results are consistent with accurate multiconfigurational wavefunction-based *ab initio* methods. These show that naphthalene and pyrene cations can quickly relax nonradiatively from their excited states back down to the original ground state species through easily accessible conical intersections, but the perylene cation cannot do so, due to the absence of any accessible funnels between the lowest excited state and the ground state, leaving radiative decay as the most probable photophysical pathway. This study paves the way for using computationally efficient density functional theory (DFT)-based methods in future investigations of the photophysics of much larger polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, for which multiconfigurational wavefunction-based methods become prohibitively expensive.

Introduction

Monocations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have generated considerable interest in the astrophysics community, due to their relevance in models accounting for observed spectral absorption and emission features of the interstellar medium (ISM).^[1] In particular, small PAH cations such as naphthalene (Nap⁺) and pyrene (Pyr⁺) have often been proposed as candidates for carriers of diffuse interstellar absorption bands (DIBs).^[2,3] These two cations have very short excited-state lifetimes associated with subpicosecond ground-state recovery,^[4,5] while perylene (Per⁺) is considered to be the first fluorescent PAH cation,^[6] for which a much longer excited-state lifetime has been observed.^[4] Thus, these PAH cations present very distinct photophysical behaviors, which have first

been rationalized based on investigation of the static potential energy surfaces (PESs) involved.

The ultrafast ground-state recovery of Nap⁺ and Pyr⁺ was explained by easily accessible sloped conical intersections providing very efficient nonradiative decay paths from the initially excited D₂ state down to D₀.^[7,8] On the other hand, only inaccessible high-energy conical intersections could be located between the ground and first excited states in Per⁺, providing a simple explanation for its longer excited-state lifetime and fluorescent behavior.^[9] These findings were based on accurate but computationally highly demanding wavefunction-based approaches such as the complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) method together with complete active space second-order perturbation theory (CASPT2). These methods, while applicable for Nap⁺ and Pyr⁺, become prohibitively expensive for Per⁺ for which further approximations had to be introduced by using a restricted active space (RASSCF) wavefunction.^[10]

To investigate excited electronic states of the many larger PAH cations that are potentially relevant for molecular astrophysics - such as coronene or corannulene^[11] - more computationally affordable quantum chemical methods are desirable, provided that they can describe the relevant potential energy surfaces and critical conical intersections between them realistically. In this article, we therefore revisit the photophysical pathways of Nap⁺, Pyr⁺ and Per⁺ using density functional theory (DFT)-based approaches: unrestricted DFT (UDFT), time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) and spin-flip TDDFT (SF-TDDFT). We discuss the pros and cons of each of these approaches in this context. The main conclusion is that these methods complement each other, and provide a reliable alternative to CASPT2, currently considered to be the state-of-the-art reference method for computational photochemistry.^[12]

Results and Discussion

Naphthalene radical cation. Naphthalene (Figure 1) is the smallest member of the PAH family. Its presence in monocationic form in the ISM has long been debated.^[2,13] Nap⁺ is known to rapidly regenerate its original ground state form following initial excitation to its lowest bright D₂ excited state.^[4] A CASSCF theoretical study^[7] of the D₀, D₁ and D₂ PESs revealed a sequence of energetically accessible sloped conical intersections combined with electronic state energy gradients pointing directly along their tuning modes. These static features

[a] Dr. M., Boggio-Pasqua
Laboratoire de Chimie et Physique Quantiques
CNRS et Université Toulouse III – Paul Sabatier
118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France
E-mail: martial.boggio@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

[b] Pr. M., J., Bearpark
Department of Chemistry, Molecular Sciences Research Hub
Imperial College London, White City Campus
80 Wood Lane, London W12 0BZ, UK
E-mail: m.bearpark@imperial.ac.uk

account for the ultrafast access to these funnels, which has since been confirmed by quantum dynamical studies.^[14]

Figure 1. Naphthalene and atom numbering used.

Figure 2 displays the potential energy profiles for the photophysical radiationless decay pathway in Nap⁺ obtained at different computational levels of theory, including relevant relative energies between critical points. Table 1 provides information about their structures.

All levels of calculation agree on the topological features of the three lowest-energy PESs: one minimum structure of D_{2h} symmetry is found on each of the D_0 , D_1 and D_2 PESs, and sloped D_0/D_1 and D_1/D_2 minimum energy conical intersections (MECIs) are encountered along symmetry-preserving tuning modes which coincide with the main relaxation coordinate of the system in its respective electronic states. The mechanistic picture for the photophysics of Nap⁺ is therefore consistent across all of these levels of theory, pointing to successive ultrafast nonradiative decay channels from D_2 to D_1 and then from D_1 to D_0 .

Figure 2. Schematic potential energy profile for the three lowest electronic states of the naphthalene radical cation. The corresponding singly-occupied molecular orbitals are shown for each doublet state. Vertical transition energies to the D_1 and D_2 states and activation energies to the crossings are given in kcal/mol at various levels of theory.

If we compare in detail the results from the different levels of theory illustrated in Figure 2, there are some notable differences though. While the structures of the D_0 , D_1 and D_2 minima are all in very good agreement (Table 1), larger deviations are observed for the MECI structures. This is not so surprising, as these geometries are sensitive to the differential correlation

energy between the excited states. Figure 2 shows that CASSCF gives a too low D_0 - D_1 vertical transition energy (by ca. 5 kcal/mol), while the value obtained with SF-TDDFT is too high (by ca. 7 kcal/mol), compared to the reference CASPT2 value. On the contrary, the D_1 - D_2 gap is too high at CASSCF level (by ca. 8 kcal/mol) and a bit too low with SF-TDDFT (by ca. 2 kcal/mol). UDFT is the DFT-based method that gives the closest vertical transition energies to the CASPT2 reference results for this cation. As a consequence, the CASSCF and SF-TDDFT MECI structures are the ones that deviate furthest from the CASPT2 MECI geometries, whereas UDFT provides highly accurate geometries (Table 1).

Another consequence of these discrepancies in the differential correlation energies can be seen in terms of energy position of the MECIs. As pointed out in ref. [7], the CASSCF D_1/D_2 MECI lies ca. 24 kcal/mol above the D_2 minimum, which represents a substantial activation energy to reach this funnel, inconsistent with the observed ultrafast ground-state recovery upon photoexcitation to D_2 . The high-energy location of this funnel is a direct outcome of the too-high D_1 - D_2 energy gap at the CASSCF level. On the contrary, with SF-TDDFT, this crossing is too low in energy relative to the D_2 minimum. A similar reasoning can be used for the D_0/D_1 MECI, with CASSCF placing the crossing too low in energy with respect to the D_1 minimum, whereas it is located too high at the SF-TDDFT level. As for the MECI structures, UDFT pinpoints the two funnels in very good agreement with the CASPT2 results. Nevertheless, overall the DFT-based results consistently describe the ultrafast nonradiative decay pathways responsible for the photophysics of Nap⁺.

Table 1. Bond lengths (Å) in naphthalene radical cation for D_0 , D_1 and D_2 minima and D_0/D_1 and D_1/D_2 MECIs at various levels of theory.

Structure	Method	1–2	2–3	1–8a	4a–8a
$D_0(^2A_u)$ minimum	CASSCF	1.407	1.388	1.409	1.432
	CASPT2	1.404	1.392	1.410	1.425
	UDFT	1.406	1.392	1.414	1.433
	TDDFT ^[a]	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
	SF-TDDFT	1.407	1.391	1.414	1.433
$D_1(^2B_{1u})$ minimum	CASSCF	1.368	1.452	1.421	1.467
	CASPT2	1.376	1.452	1.419	1.480
	UDFT	1.372	1.454	1.419	1.483
	TDDFT	1.371	1.456	1.418	1.484
	SF-TDDFT	1.370	1.456	1.419	1.481
$D_2(^2B_{3g})$ minimum	CASSCF	1.391	1.404	1.446	1.401
	CASPT2	1.392	1.408	1.451	1.405
	UDFT	1.386	1.408	1.456	1.395
	TDDFT	1.391	1.405	1.450	1.407
	SF-TDDFT	1.386	1.410	1.455	1.395
D_0/D_1 MECI	CASSCF	1.361	1.465	1.423	1.475
	CASPT2	1.351	1.503	1.421	1.533
	UDFT	1.349	1.504	1.421	1.529
	TDDFT ^[b]	1.358	1.485	1.421	1.510
	SF-TDDFT	1.337	1.533	1.421	1.547
D_1/D_2 MECI	CASSCF	1.437	1.327	1.488	1.300
	CASPT2	1.404	1.359	1.489	1.322
	UDFT	1.402	1.363	1.497	1.318

TDDFT	1.418	1.349	1.494	1.326
SF-TDDFT	1.399	1.373	1.487	1.339

[a] n.r.: not relevant. [b] within the Tamm-Dancoff approximation.

Pyrene radical cation. Pyrene (Figure 3) is constituted of four fused benzene rings, and its monocation has often been considered as a potential candidate for DIB carriers.^[3] While no time-resolved photophysical study has yet been reported for Pyr⁺, its photodynamics have been investigated with quantum dynamics^[5] showing very efficient nonradiative decay from D₂ down to D₀ on the picosecond time scale, as observed in Nap⁺. In addition, our earlier static investigation^[8] of the D₀, D₁ and D₂ PESs predicted a similar ultrafast ground-state recovery mechanism to the one observed in Nap⁺ involving successive sloped D₁/D₂ and D₀/D₁ MECIs.

Figure 3. Pyrene and atom numbering used.

The potential energy profiles for the photophysical pathway in Pyr⁺ are represented in Figure 4. They are qualitatively very similar to the ones shown in Figure 2 for Nap⁺, with one minimum structure of D_{2h} symmetry found on each of the D₀, D₁ and D₂ PESs, and sloped D₀/D₁ and D₁/D₂ MECIs encountered along the main symmetry-preserving relaxation coordinates. All levels of theory used in this work agree with this static picture. (Note that it was not possible to optimize structures at the CASPT2 level for this system, as the large active space required makes the CASPT2 optimizations excessively time-consuming). The structures reported in Table 2 show that all of the minima for Pyr⁺ are consistently described at all levels of theory. As was found for Nap⁺, larger deviations may be observed at MECIs. No reference CASPT2 data are available for this system as yet, but it appears that UDFT and TDDFT provide structures lying between the CASSCF and SF-TDDFT ones. This can be interpreted on the same grounds as for Nap⁺. As the CASSCF D₀-D₁ energy gap is too small (by ca. 2 kcal/mol compared to CASPT2), the D₀/D₁ MECI lies too close to the D₁ minimum. This is the opposite at the SF-TDDFT level, for which the D₀-D₁ energy gap is too large (by ca. 7 kcal/mol) and the D₀/D₁ MECI is energetically too high with respect to the D₁ minimum. On the contrary, the CASSCF D₁-D₂ energy gap is too large (by ca. 4 kcal/mol) and, as a result, the D₁/D₂ MECI is energetically too high with respect to the D₂ minimum. With SF-TDDFT, this is the opposite, with a slightly too small D₁-D₂ energy gap (by ca. 1 kcal/mol) resulting in a D₁/D₂ MECI lying a bit too close to the D₂

minimum. As in Nap⁺, the best estimate for the structural and energetic positions of the critical points seems to be provided by UDFT, in the absence of CASPT2 reference data.

Figure 4. Schematic potential energy profile for the three lowest electronic states of the pyrene radical cation. The corresponding singly-occupied molecular orbitals are shown for each doublet state. Vertical transition energies to the D₁ and D₂ states and activation energies to the crossings are given in kcal/mol at various levels of theory.

Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) in pyrene radical cation for D₀, D₁ and D₂ minima and D₀/D₁ and D₁/D₂ MECIs at various levels of theory.

Structure	Method	2–3	3–3a	3a–4	3a–3a ¹	4–5	3a ¹ –5a ¹
D ₀ (² B _{2g}) minimum	CASSCF	1.392	1.422	1.415	1.419	1.383	1.416
	CASPT2	–	–	–	–	–	–
	UDFT	1.393	1.423	1.418	1.425	1.385	1.417
	TDDFT ^[a]	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
	SF-TDDFT	1.393	1.422	1.418	1.425	1.385	1.417
D ₁ (² B _{3g}) minimum	CASSCF	1.406	1.385	1.450	1.446	1.353	1.395
	CASPT2	–	–	–	–	–	–
	UDFT	1.409	1.388	1.446	1.451	1.355	1.397
	TDDFT	1.407	1.388	1.447	1.450	1.355	1.399
	SF-TDDFT	1.408	1.387	1.448	1.450	1.354	1.398
D ₂ (² B _{1u}) minimum	CASSCF	1.394	1.405	1.423	1.427	1.391	1.474
	CASPT2	–	–	–	–	–	–
	UDFT	1.394	1.404	1.430	1.428	1.389	1.482
	TDDFT	1.394	1.405	1.428	1.430	1.389	1.478
	SF-TDDFT	1.394	1.403	1.432	1.428	1.388	1.485
D ₀ /D ₁ MECI	CASSCF	1.413	1.369	1.467	1.458	1.339	1.385
	CASPT2	–	–	–	–	–	–
	UDFT	1.426	1.353	1.481	1.482	1.322	1.375
	TDDFT ^[b]	1.424	1.361	1.472	1.477	1.329	1.378
	SF-TDDFT	1.430	1.343	1.496	1.490	1.310	1.369
D ₁ /D ₂ MECI	CASSCF	1.382	1.424	1.400	1.413	1.428	1.543
	CASPT2	–	–	–	–	–	–
	UDFT	1.384	1.414	1.420	1.415	1.413	1.541
	TDDFT	1.383	1.418	1.414	1.416	1.417	1.542
	SF-TDDFT	1.385	1.411	1.424	1.416	1.411	1.536

[a] n.r.: not relevant. [b] within the Tamm-Dancoff approximation.

Table 3. Bond lengths (Å) in perylene radical cation for D_{2h} D_0 , D_1 and D_2 minima and D_0/D_1 and D_1/D_2 MECIs at various levels of theory.

Structure	Method	1–2	2–3	3–3a	3a–3a'	3a'–6a	6–6a	6a–6b
$D_0(^2A_u)$ minimum	RASSCF ^[a]	1.389	1.382	1.411	1.428	1.421	1.410	1.446
	UDFT	1.393	1.388	1.414	1.433	1.429	1.412	1.452
	TDDFT ^[b]	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
	SF-TDDFT	1.393	1.388	1.414	1.432	1.429	1.412	1.452
$D_1(^2B_{3g})$ minimum	RASSCF ^[a]	1.368	1.406	1.407	1.430	1.420	1.436	1.433
	UDFT	1.372	1.409	1.410	1.436	1.426	1.453	1.417
	TDDFT	1.379	1.406	1.412	1.435	1.427	1.434	1.440
	SF-TDDFT	1.372	1.409	1.410	1.436	1.426	1.453	1.416
$D_1(^2B_{2g})$ minimum	RASSCF ^[a]	1.426	1.360	1.421	1.444	1.423	1.387	1.468
	UDFT	1.424	1.374	1.415	1.461	1.432	1.386	1.475
	TDDFT	1.425	1.372	1.416	1.460	1.431	1.387	1.474
	SF-TDDFT	1.424	1.379	1.405	1.475	1.434	1.378	1.483
$D_0/D_1(^2B_{2g})$ MECI	MMVB ^[a]	1.592	1.327	1.438	1.466	1.436	1.333	1.563
	UDFT	1.558	1.325	1.417	1.616	1.436	1.302	1.571
	TDDFT ^[c]	1.553	1.327	1.419	1.600	1.433	1.308	1.563
	SF-TDDFT	1.573	1.322	1.417	1.618	1.431	1.293	1.592
D_1/D_2 MECI	MMVB ^[a]	1.419	1.386	1.425	1.439	1.431	1.423	1.455
	UDFT	1.393	1.398	1.415	1.425	1.426	1.399	1.518
	TDDFT	1.399	1.392	1.413	1.445	1.429	1.410	1.461
	SF-TDDFT	1.394	1.394	1.410	1.448	1.436	1.415	1.443

[a] Taken from Ref. [9]. [b] n.r.: not relevant. [c] within the Tamm-Dancoff approximation.

Perylene radical cation. The perylene (Figure 5) cation, which is made up of five fused benzene rings, presents a very different photophysical behavior compared to Nap⁺ and Pyr⁺. While these two cations present an ultrafast ground-state recovery on a sub-ps timescale, the excited-state lifetime in Per⁺ is at least an order of magnitude longer (ps timescale).^[4] Per⁺ is also the smallest PAH cation to date displaying fluorescence from its lowest D_1 excited state.^[6] This has been accounted for by the absence of accessible funnels between D_1 and D_0 .^[9] Because of the size of the system, these funnels were sought using the Molecular Mechanics – Valence Bond (MMVB) hybrid method, which incorporates a parameterized effective Hamiltonian, allowing it to reproduce CASSCF results reasonably accurately at a fractional cost.^[15] Here we show that the potential energy landscape of Per⁺ - including relevant conical intersections - can be investigated with DFT-based approaches.

Figure 5. Perylene and atom numbering used. **Figure 6.** Schematic potential energy profile for the three lowest electronic states of the perylene radical cation. The corresponding singly-occupied molecular orbitals are shown for each doublet state. Vertical and adiabatic transition energies to the D_1 and D_2 states are given in kcal/mol at various levels of theory.

Figure 6 shows the potential energy landscapes for the lowest three electronic states of Per⁺. One of the main differences compared with Nap⁺ and Pyr⁺ is the large D_0 - D_1 energy gap: while it is ca. 25 kcal/mol in Nap⁺ and Pyr⁺, it is over 40

kcal/mol in Per^{++} . Another difference is that D_2 lies very close to D_1 at the D_0 equilibrium geometry. Consequently, while RASSCF and TDDFT predict D_1 and D_2 to be the B_{3g} and B_{2g} states respectively, UDFT and SF-TDDFT give the reverse order. There is thus some ambiguity regarding the assignment of the lowest two excited states in Per^{++} but what is known is that the two states are very close in energy, and cross at an MECI in the Franck-Condon region, effectively forming a single adiabatic D_1 PES together. Due to the peaked topology of the D_1/D_2 conical intersection in Per^{++} (also contrasting with Nap^{++} and Pyr^{++}), each state has its own minimum on the D_1 adiabatic PES following symmetry-preserving in-plane relaxation, as shown in Figure 6. In fact, the $D_1(B_{2g})$ minimum on the PES was found to belong to the C_{2v} symmetry point group, but with zero-point energy taken into account, it becomes D_{2h} symmetry.^[9] Thus, we only report the D_{2h} optimized structure in Table 3 in this study; the minimum in this higher symmetry.

All levels of theory used here agree with these topological features of the D_1 and D_2 PESs. The structures of the minima are all in good agreement (Table 3). It is worth noting though that the B_{3g} state presents a multiconfigurational character, mixing a dominant $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ configuration with a $\pi \rightarrow \pi$ configuration.^[9] This multiconfigurational description of the excited-state wavefunction is well captured at the TDDFT level, while SF-TDDFT and UDFT describe only the main $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ configuration. This possibly explains the slightly better agreement between the RASSCF and TDDFT structures for the corresponding $D_1(B_{3g})$ minimum with respect to the SF-TDDFT and UDFT ones, for which larger deviations are observed for the 6-6a and 6a-6b bond lengths. Regarding the $D_1(B_{2g})$ minimum, its optimization proved to be difficult at the SF-TDDFT level. We could only optimize the structure close to a stationary point, possibly due to an instability in the reference quartet state wavefunction.

The observed luminescence of Per^{++} was previously explained by the absence of accessible D_0/D_1 crossings, based on an extensive search for them with the computationally efficient MMVB approach.^[9,15] Three different D_0/D_1 MECIs were located at higher energies with MMVB: one involving a crossing with the $D_1(B_{2g})$ state with a D_{2h} structure lying at 41 kcal/mol above the $D_1(B_{2g})$ minimum. This structure shows unusually stretched bonds 1-2 and 6a-6b and compressed bonds 2-3 and 6-6a (see Table 3). A lower-energy MECI with C_{2v} symmetry involving the same excited state was also found, still lying 28 kcal/mol above the $D_1(B_{2g})$ minimum. Finally, a third MECI involving the $D_1(B_{3g})$ excited state was located at over 80 kcal/mol above the D_1 minimum. These funnels cannot provide efficient radiationless decay routes, due to the high activation energies required to reach them. We tested this conclusion using our UDFT, TDDFT and SF-TDDFT computational protocol to locate the first two crossings (the third one - being very high in energy - was not searched for). The structures for the $D_0/D_1(B_{2g})$ D_{2h} MECI at different levels of theory are given in Table 3. They all agree with MMVB in displaying long 1-2 and 6a-6b bonds and short 2-3 and 6-6a bonds. However, the DFT-based calculations also predict a very long 3a-3a¹ bond, at variance with MMVB. This MECI is located at 64.8, 55.3 and 74.4 kcal/mol above the D_1 minimum

with UDFT, TDDFT and SF-TDDFT, respectively. The lower C_{2v} D_0/D_1 MECI structures are shown in Figure 7 and are characterized by very long 1-2 and 3a-3a¹ bonds (1.58 to 1.77 Å) and very short 2-3, 6-6a, 6b-7 and 8-9 bonds (1.36 to 1.30 Å). The main disagreement with MMVB concerns the 6-6a bond length, which is predicted to be ca. 0.1 Å longer than all of the DFT-based calculations. The D_0/D_1 C_{2v} MECI is located at 56.4, 43.2 and 61.1 kcal/mol above the D_1 minimum at the UDFT, TDDFT and SF-TDDFT levels, respectively. This is substantially higher than the activation energy predicted at the MMVB level. All of these DFT-based results nevertheless confirm the inaccessibility of D_0/D_1 funnels in Per^{++} , leaving radiative decay / fluorescence as the most probable photophysical pathway in this case.

Figure 7. The inaccessible D_0/D_1 C_{2v} MECI structure in perylene cation optimized at various levels of theory.

Conclusions

We have shown that DFT-based approaches such as UDFT, TDDFT and SF-TDDFT allow us to investigate the potential energy landscapes of PAH cations, including the location of the critical conical intersections that are intimately connected to the photophysical behavior of these systems.

The ultrafast ground-state recoveries in Nap^{++} and Pyr^{++} are accounted for with UDFT, TDDFT and SF-TDDFT, which all locate easily accessible sloped D_1/D_2 and D_0/D_1 conical intersections. On the other hand, the longer excited-state lifetime in Per^{++} (as well as the observed fluorescence) are explained by the absence of any low-lying D_0/D_1 conical intersections. Energies and geometries obtained with the DFT-based methods used here compare well with the available ab initio reference calculations, including those for conical intersections.

There are some cautions though. UDFT can only be used if the ground and excited states belong to different electronic symmetries,^[16] although there is no such restriction with TDDFT and SF-TDDFT, which can be applied to systems with no particular symmetry. Note that, in such cases, TDDFT does not couple the ground and lowest excited state properly. While this is not an issue in SF-TDDFT, this method depends on having a suitable unrestricted reference state (here a quartet state) to generate (by spin-flip) single-electron transitions the desired doublet states of the radical cations.

With these provisos, we believe that the DFT-based strategies tested and validated in this work to describe the photophysical behavior of the naphthalene, pyrene and perylene radical cations offer a promising tool to investigate the photophysics of much larger PAH cations and of other relevant radicals,^[17] for which *ab initio* multiconfigurational wavefunction-based approaches become prohibitively expensive.

Computational Section

UDFT,^[18] TDDFT^[19] and SF-TDDFT^[20] geometry optimizations of critical structures (minima and conical intersections) were all performed using the B3LYP functional^[21] together with the 6-31G* orbital basis^[22] set. SF-TDDFT calculations of doublet states require using a suitable reference quartet state.^[23] All CASSCF calculations were carried out using the full valence π active space, i.e. (9e,10o) for Nap⁺ and (15e,16o) for Pyr⁺ along with the same 6-31G* basis set. CASPT2 calculations for Nap⁺ and Pyr⁺ were performed using the reference CASSCF(9,10) and CASSCF(15,16) wavefunctions with a correlation-consistent cc-pVTZ basis^[24] set. The Cholesky decomposition^[25] with a threshold of 10^{-6} a.u. and an imaginary shift^[26] of 0.1 a.u. was used in all of the CASPT2 calculations. Analytical energy gradients were computed for UDFT, TDDFT, SF-TDDFT and CASSCF, while numerical energy gradients were evaluated with CASPT2. CASPT2 geometry optimizations remain prohibitively expensive in Pyr⁺ for which we only calculated the vertical transition energies from the D₀ geometry optimized at the CASSCF level. Different algorithms were used to locate MECIs: either based on a gradient projection method (for CASSCF); on a Lagrange multiplier method (UDFT and CASPT2); or on a penalty function method (TDDFT and SF-TDDFT). Note that the Tamm-Dancoff approximation (TDA) was used to locate the D₀/D₁ crossings to avoid imaginary roots in the random-phase approximation (RPA) near conical intersections. Calculations were performed using different quantum chemistry codes, depending on methods currently implemented therein: Gaussian 09,^[27] Molpro,^[28] Molcas^[29] and QChem.^[30]

Acknowledgements

This work was granted access to the HPC resources of CALMIP supercomputing center under the allocation 2019-[12158]. MBP thanks David Sanchez for the installation of QChem. The authors thank Christine Joblin and Fernand Spiegelman for their support and helpful discussions.

Keywords: PAH • DFT • TD-DFT • Photophysics • conical intersection

- [1] a) G. P. van der Zwet, L. J. Allamandola, *Astron. Astrophys.* **1985**, *146*, 76–80; b) A. Léger, L. d'Hendecourt, *Astron. Astrophys.* **1985**, *146*, 81–85; c) L. J. Allamandola, A. G. G. M. Tielens, J. R. Barker, *Astrophys. J.* **1985**, *290*, L25–L28.
- [2] a) F. Salama, L. J. Allamandola, *Astrophys. J.* **1992**, *395*, 301–306; b) T. P. Snow, *Astrophys. J.* **1992**, *401*, 775–777; c) S. Iglesias-Groth, A. Manchado, D. A. García-Hernández, J. I. González Hernández, D. L. Lambert, *Astrophys. J.* **2008**, *685*, L55–L58; d) S. Iglesias-Groth, J. González Hernández, A. Manchado, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2012**, *420*, 2785–2792.
- [3] a) O. Parisel, G. Berthier, Y. Ellinger, *Astron. Astrophys.* **1992**, *266*, L1–L4; b) F. Salama, L. J. Allamandola, *Nature* **1992**, *358*, 42–43; c) F. Salama, L. J. Allamandola, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1993**, *89*, 2277–2284; d) J. Szczepanski, M. Vala, *Nature* **1993**, *363*, 699–701.
- [4] L. Zhao, R. Lian, I. A. Shkrob, R. A. Crowell, S. Pommeret, E. L. Chronister, A. D. Liu, A. D. Trifunac, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2004**, *108*, 25–31.
- [5] S. N. Reddy, S. Mahapatra, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2015**, *119*, 11391–11402.
- [6] a) C. Joblin, F. Salama, L. Allamandola, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *102*, 9743–9745; b) X. D. F. Chiller, B. M. Stone, C. Joblin, F. Salama, L. J. Allamandola, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, *116*, 5725–5730.
- [7] K. F. Hall, M. Boggio-Pasqua, M. J. Bearpark, M. A. Robb, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2006**, *110*, 13591–13599.
- [8] A. M. Tokmachev, M. Boggio-Pasqua, M. J. Bearpark, M. A. Robb, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 10881–10886.
- [9] A. M. Tokmachev, M. Boggio-Pasqua, D. Mendive-Tapia, M. J. Bearpark, M. A. Robb, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 044306.
- [10] a) F. Krausbeck, D. Mendive-Tapia, A. J. W. Thom, M. J. Bearpark, *Comput. Theor. Chem.* **2014**, *1040–1041*, 14–19; b) M. J. Bearpark, F. Ogliaro, T. Vreven, M. Boggio-Pasqua, M. J. Frisch, S. M. Larkin, M. Morrison, M. A. Robb, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A* **2007**, *190*, 207–227.
- [11] F.-X. Hardy, C. A. Rice, J. P. Maier, *Astrophys. J.* **2017**, *836*, 37.
- [12] a) D. Roca-Sanjuán, F. Aquilante, R. Lindh, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2012**, *2*, 585–603; b) L. González, D. Escudero, L. Serrano-Andrés, *ChemPhysChem* **2012**, *13*, 28–51; c) H. Lischka, D. Nachtigallová, A. J. A. Aquino, P. G. Szalay, F. Plasser, F. B. C. Machado, M. Barbatti, *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 7293–7361.
- [13] a) J. Krelowski, G. A. Galazutdinov, F. A. Musaev, J. Nirski, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2001**, *328*, 810–814; b) G. A. Galazutdinov, B.-C. Lee, I.-O. Song, M. Kazmierczak, J. Krelowski, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2011**, *412*, 1259–1264; c) J. M. Searles, J. D. Destree, T. P. Snow, F. Salama, D. G. York, J. Dahlstrom, *J. Astrophys. J.* **2011**, *732*, 50.
- [14] a) V. S. Reddy, S. Mahapatra, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *128*, 091104; b) V. S. Reddy, S. Ghanta, S. Mahapatra, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *104*, 111102; c) S. Ghanta, V. S. Reddy, S. Mahapatra, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 14531–14541.
- [15] a) F. Bernardi, M. Olivucci, M. A. Robb, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 1606–1616; b) M. J. Bearpark, F. Bernardi, M. Olivucci, M. A. Robb, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1997**, *101*, 8395–8401; c) M. J. Bearpark, M. Boggio-Pasqua, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2003**, *110* 105–114; d) M. J. Bearpark, M. Boggio-Pasqua, M. A. Robb, F. Ogliaro, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2006**, *116*, 670–682; e) K. F. Hall, A. M. Tokmachev, M. J. Bearpark, M. Boggio-Pasqua, M. A. Robb, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *127*, 134111.
- [16] C. A. Jiménez-Hoyos, R. Rodríguez-Guzmán, G. E. Scuseria, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *139*, 224110.
- [17] J. Soler, R. Sarkar, M. Boggio-Pasqua, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2019**, *123*, 1824–1829.
- [18] R. M. Dreizler, E. K. U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory: An Approach to the Quantum Many-Body Problem*, Springer-Verlag, New York, **1990**, pp. 1–303.
- [19] a) M. A. L. Marques, E. K. U. Gross, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2004**, *55*, 427–455; b) M. E. Casida, M. Huix-Rotllant, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2012**, *63*, 287–323.
- [20] Y. Shao, M. Head-Gordon, A. I. Krylov, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *118*, 4807–4818.
- [21] a) A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652; b) P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski, M. J. Frisch, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 11623–11627.
- [22] a) W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972**, *56*, 2257–2261; b) P. C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, *Theoret. Chim. Acta* **1973**, *28*, 213–222.
- [23] a) Z. Li, W. Liu, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *136*, 024107; b) J. M. Herbert, X. Zhang, A. F. Morrison, J. Liu, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 931–941.
- [24] T. H. Dunning, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 1007–1023.
- [25] a) F. Aquilante, T. B. Pedersen, R. Lindh, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *126*, 194106; b) F. Aquilante, P.-Å. Malmqvist, T. B. Pedersen, A. Ghosh, B. O. Roos, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 694–702; c) J. Boström, M.

- G. Delcey, F. Aquilante, L. Serrano-Andrés, T. B. Pedersen, R. Lindh, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2010**, *6*, 747–754.
- [26] N. Forsberg, P.-Å. Malmqvist, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *274*, 196–204.
- [27] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, et al., *Gaussian 09*, revision D.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- [28] H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, G. Knizia, F. R. Manby, M. Schütz, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2012**, *2*, 242–253.
- [29] F. Aquilante, J. Autschbach, R. K. Carlson, L. F. Chibotaru, M. G. Delcey, L. De Vico, I. F. Galván, N. Ferré, L. M. Frutos, L. Gagliardi, M. Garavelli, A. Giussani, C. E. Hoyer, G. L. Manni, H. Lischka, D. Ma, P. Å. Malmqvist, T. Müller, A. Nenov, M. Olivucci, T. B. Pedersen, D. Peng, F. Plasser, B. Pritchard, M. Reiher, I. Rivalta, I. Schapiro, J. Segarra-Martí, M. Stenrup, D. G. Truhlar, L. Ungur, A. Valentini, S. Vancoillie, V. Veryazov, V. P. Vysotskiy, O. Weingart, F. Zapata, R. Lindh, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2016**, *37*, 506–541.
- [30] Y. S. Shao, Z. Gan, E. Epifanovsky, A. T. B. Gilbert, M. Wormit, J. Kussmann, A. W. Lange, A. Behn, J. Deng, X. Feng, et al., *Mol. Phys.* **2015**, *113*, 184–215.

Entry for the Table of Contents

ARTICLE

The photophysics of several smaller polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) cations - naphthalene, pyrene and perylene - is investigated with density functional theory (DFT)-based approaches: unrestricted DFT, time-dependent DFT and spin-flip DFT. A consistent mechanistic photophysical picture is obtained compared to accurate multiconfigurational wavefunction-based ab initio methods, in particular with regard to the description of critical conical intersections.

M. Boggio-Pasqua, M. J. Bearpark*

Page No. – Page No.

On the use of density functional theory-based methods to investigate the photophysics of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon radical cations: A benchmark study on naphthalene, pyrene and perylene cations.