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Recent progress in designing non-invasive tools to monitor wildlife health offers promising perspectives in immune ecology. In this study, we investigated individual differences in fecal neopterin, a non-invasive marker closely associated with the activation of the cellular immune response, the biological and clinical relevance of which remains to be established. Specifically, we investigated the influence of gut parasites, injuries, environmental, and reproductive seasonality, and some individual traits (sex, age, social rank, reproductive state) on fecal neopterin in a natural population of mandrills (Mandrillus sphinx) in Southern Gabon. In this 46-month study, we analyzed neopterin levels from 372 fecal samples collected from 67 individuals of all sexes and ages, running separate models in three categories of individuals that may elicit different strategies of immune investment: (i) across individuals of all sexes and ages, (ii) in adult females, and (iii) in adult males. An increasing proportion of cycling females in the group, reflecting the mating season, positively influenced the concentrations of fecal neopterin across non-adult individuals. The origin of this effect remains unclear as it was unrelated to other ecological (rainfall) or social (rates of male-male aggression) seasonal variables. We did not detect any effects of injuries, parasitism or any other individual traits in these three categories of individuals. Fecal neopterin might be a useful immune marker in some clinical contexts, but our results raise questions regarding its relevance to reveal individual differences in the immune system of a healthy primate population.
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INTRODUCTION

According to life history theory, maintaining a strong immune system is energetically costly (Janeway et al., 2005) and may compromise investment in other functions such as growth or reproduction (Sheldon and Verhulst, 1996). While important steps have been taken to understand the role of immune function in the evolution of life histories (Sheldon and Verhulst, 1996; Rolff, 2002; Nunn et al., 2009), major gaps remain in our knowledge. For example, we are only starting to appreciate the extent and evolutionary significance of sexual dimorphism in immune response (Sheldon and Verhulst, 1996; Rolff, 2002; Zuk and Stoehr, 2010). It is commonly hypothesized that males from polygynous species invest more in reproduction than in immunity and consequently show poor immune performances (Moore, 2002). But this paradigm has received mixed empirical support, generating results that are often contradictory depending on the immune phenotype examined and on the experimental conditions (Prall and Muehlenbein, 2014).
The adaptive significance of life history trade-offs relative to immune function can only be examined in naturally regulated populations where animals are exposed to injuries, infections, and natural stressors that reflect selective pressures encountered over the course of their evolutionary history. However, measuring variations in the immune function in the wild poses many practical challenges, as there is a general lack of non-invasive and ecologically relevant tools to monitor immune phenotypes (Adamo, 2004; Bradley and Jackson, 2008). Examining variations in the prevalence or intensity of natural infections (such as gut parasite loads) may reflect variations in individual exposure to infection rather than in immune capacity (Skorping and Jensen, 2004). Finally, the ecological relevance of standard *in vivo* immunoassays measuring the intensity of an adaptive response triggered by artificial antigens—such as phytohaemagglutinin—is largely debated (Siva-Jothy and Ryder, 2001; Adamo, 2004) and the same is true for *in vitro* stimulation of immune cells (Kidd, 2003).

The recent development of non-invasive tools to monitor wildlife health offers promising perspectives. For example, recent studies have assessed urinary (Heistermann and Higham, 2015; Behringer et al., 2017; Müller et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018) or fecal (Campbell et al., 2004; Higham et al., 2015) levels of neopterin, a protein released by specific immune effectors (macrophages, monocytes, and dendritic cells) during particular steps of the innate response as well as during the activation of a Th1 specific immune response (Widner et al., 2000; Mosser and Edwards, 2008). After antigen recognition, the T-helper cells release interleukin 12 (IL-12), which activates the T-lymphocytes type 1 and natural killer cells to release interferon γ, which, in conjunction with other cytokines and endotoxins, stimulates the activation of monocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells (Murr et al., 2002; Mosser and Edwards, 2008). Therefore, the circulating level of neopterin is an efficient marker of the activation of the Th1 immune response, with the advantage that neopterin, in contrast to some other cytokines including interferon γ, is chemically stable. During the course of a viral infection (eliciting a Th1 response), neopterin levels initially increase and subsequently decline, when specific antibodies against the antigen become measurable, and then stay at baseline levels when the immune system has successfully defeated the infection (Murr et al., 2002). Therefore, changes in neopterin levels are closely associated with the activation of the early cellular immune response (Hamerlinck, 1999; Pingle et al., 2008). While urinary and serum levels of neopterin seem to be closely associated, less is known about fecal neopterin, which can be assayed in humans (Husain et al., 2013) and has, so far, never been used in wild animal populations. Blood and fecal levels of neopterin may reflect distinct immune processes, as a preliminary study suggests that there is no association between the two in non-human primates (Higham et al., 2015). Fecal neopterin may reflect local immune reactions affecting the gut mucosa rather than general immune processes, as suggested by some clinical studies, where levels of fecal neopterin were found to increase in patients with parasitic enteropathies and an associated inflammation of the gut mucosa (Husain et al., 2013). However, the only attempt to validate the biological relevance of fecal neopterin in non-human primates failed to find increased levels following either infection by SIV or a colonoscopy involving intestinal biopsy, a surgical procedure that may elicit mucosal inflammation (Higham et al., 2015).

Here we aim to test the relevance of fecal neopterin as a non-invasive marker of the immune function in a natural primate population. We focus on mandrills (*Mandrillus sphinx*) from Southern Gabon, for which detailed information on life history, behavior, physiology and health is available. Wild non-human primates represent interesting models in disease ecology studies because of their phylogenetic proximity to humans, which makes them a potential source of emerging zoonoses (Burgos-Rodriguez, 2011; Miller and Fowler, 2014; Levecke et al., 2015), but which also allows a direct transfer of methods from humans to primates. In addition, there is an over-representation of short-lived species such as rodents and passerines in the current record of eco-immunological studies (Norris and Evans, 2000; Sadd and Schmid-Hempel, 2009; Schubeltz et al., 2009; Girard et al., 2011; White and Perkins, 2012; Garnier and Graham, 2014). Yet, studying long-lived species is essential to understand how the pace of life may affect trade-offs involving the immune function. Finally, there is a wealth of detailed individually-based long-term studies on wild primates that can generate precious datasets to test predictions derived from life history theory, provided that non-invasive immune markers are available, including our field study of mandrills. Mandrills form large multimale-multifemale social groups in the wild (Brockmeyer et al., 2015) with multiple and complex socio-sexual strategies (Charpentier et al., 2005; Setchell et al., 2005). They live in polygynandrous societies where dominant males compete intensely and frequently fight over access to females during the reproductive season, which has led to the development of intense sexual signals and of extreme sexual size dimorphism (Setchell et al., 2001a). Consequently, individual investment in reproduction likely varies considerably in relation to sex, social status and season and we may expect similar variation in physiological and immune performances. In addition, this population harbors a diversity of gut parasites (Poirotte et al., 2016), which will allow us to measure immune variation in the context of natural diseases.

First, we investigate individual differences in fecal neopterin levels studying traits such as sex, age, and social rank and controlling for the season of sampling, to test whether fecal neopterin may serve as an immune phenotyping tool. This would ultimately allow to test hypotheses from life history theory. Second, we examine the influence of gut parasite richness and physical injuries on fecal neopterin levels. If fecal neopterin levels are sensitive to gut parasite or local infections, individuals carrying multiple species of amoebae (which trigger a mixed Th1 and Th2 response) and open wounds (which trigger a Th1 response), but not nematodes (which trigger a Th2 response), should exhibit higher neopterin levels.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Site and Subjects**

This study was performed on a free-ranging population of mandrills, an Old World primate living in the Equatorial...
forest of Central Africa from February 2014 to November 2017. The study population ranges in a 116 km² private park (Lekédi Park) and its vicinity, near the village of Bakoumba located in Southern Gabon (570 m above sea level; 1°49′47″ S, 13°01′12″ E). This population originates from 65 captive-born mandrills housed at CIRMF (Center International de Recherches Médicales de Franceville, Gabon) and released into the park on two occasions (36 individuals were released in 2002 and 29 in 2006; see for details: Peignot et al., 2008). In the first years post-release, mandrills were provisioned three to four times a week with bananas and monkey chow in limited quantities to improve their natural diet. Food supplementation decreased progressively over time and completely ceased at the beginning of a long-term field project (Mandrillus Project) which started in early 2012. Within the framework of this project, trained field assistants follow the group year-round, from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., to collect day-long behavioral, demographic, and group-living data as well as fecal material from identified and habituated animals. In early 2018, the study population was composed of ca. 150 habituated individuals, including almost 90% of wild-born animals (see for details: Charpentier et al., 2018).

Fecal Collection and Storage

During the study period, we collected a total of 1,082 fresh fecal samples (entire boluses, immediately after defecation) from identified individuals. All samples were brought back to the lab and thoroughly mixed at the end of the day of collection. A portion of each sample was stored at 4°C for a few days before subsequent parasitological analyses and, for a subset of fecal samples (N = 782), another portion was stored at −20°C for further experiments, including neopterin measurements.

Fecal Neopterin Concentrations

Just before extraction, 0.1 g of fecal material was thawed and mixed with half a milliliter of 0.9% saline solution (NaCl). Mixed solutions were subsequently vortexed for 30 min and centrifuged at 3,500 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was then recovered for analysis following previously published procedures (Campbell et al., 2004). We assessed fecal neopterin concentrations using commercial neopterin ELISA kits (#RE59321; IBL International GmbH, Germany) and following the manufacturer’s protocol. We deposited, in duplicates, 20 µL of standards and controls (with known concentrations) as well as fecal supernatants into wells of the microtiter plate coated with a goat anti-rabbit antibody. We added 100 µL of enzyme conjugate and 50 µL of neopterin antiserum into each well. We covered the microtiter plate with a black adhesive foil and incubated it by gently vortexing the plate in the dark for 90 min. After incubation, we removed the adhesive foil and washed the plate four times with 300 µL of wash buffer. We then added 150 µL of substrate solution into each well and incubated the plate for 10 min. We stopped the reaction by adding 150 µL of stop solution into each well. Finally, optical densities (OD) of the assayed samples were read using a microplate photometer with a 450 nm filter (Multiskan FC). Neopterin concentrations (in nmol/L) were measured 1–5 times (per sample) on a total of 379 fecal samples (122 replicates) stored at −20°C for 1–1,328 days. Concentrations were deduced by fitting averaged OD on standard curves.

Analytical Validation

First, we calculated parallelism using three serial dilutions of five mandrill fecal samples (Table S1). The slope of the standard curve was compared to the five slopes of the antibody binding using an ANCOVA (SAS v4). Slopes were found parallel for the five samples (Table S1). Second, we measured accuracy by mixing different dilutions of different concentrations of the same five fecal samples (Table S2). When plotting the expected concentrations of mixes with the observed concentrations, we found a correlation of 83.2% and a mean accuracy of 100.01 ± 10.00. For each plate, we then verified that the two internal quality controls yielded concentrations in acceptable ranges (control 1: 3.3–7.6 nmol/L; control 2: 13.1–27.1 nmol/L). We then estimated the precision of our analyses by measuring the coefficient of variation (CV) of 27 fecal samples run on a same plate in quadruplicates. However, for two samples, we discarded one of the four available OD which was obviously different from the others—meaning that these samples were eventually run in triplicates. Averaged intra-assay CV was 3.0%.

We also calculated inter-assay CV using two different methods. First, we measured CV using the repeated concentrations of each of the two internal quality controls run in each assay. CV were 12.1 and 21.3%, respectively. Second, 63 fecal samples were each run in 2–4 different assays (difference in storage time at −20°C ranged from 2 to 845 days across identical samples). We calculated the inter-assay CV using neopterin concentrations obtained from these repeated measurements. Averaged CV was found elevated (45.1%) and preliminary examinations of the data revealed combined, non-linear effects of both the storage time of the fecal samples at −20°C and the number of freeze-thaw cycles (mean ± SD: 1.3 ± 0.6, range: 1–4 across repeated measures of a single sample; Figure S1). When restricting inter-assay CV calculations to those samples that were frozen for comparable durations (2–8 days apart) and that underwent only 1–2 freeze-thaw cycles (N = 9 duplicates), inter-assay CV dropped to 22.8%. While this CV remained high, neopterin concentrations between these duplicates were, however, highly correlated (Spearman’s correlation test: r = 0.92, p < 10⁻³). Consequently, we restricted our analyses to those samples that underwent only one freeze-thaw cycle (N = 406 measurements from 379 fecal samples). We further considered the time of storage as a fixed effect in all statistical models and included a random effect of the assay identity (“plate identity”; see below). In addition, all duplicated samples that showed an intra-assay CV higher than 10% were discarded from subsequent analyses (N = 9 measurements from 6 fecal samples). One sample was further removed because of labeling doubts. After applying these restrictions, we obtained neopterin concentrations for 373 fecal samples collected from 34 males and 33 females (aged 1.0–22.4 years), with a mean ± SD of 5.55 ± 5.89 samples per individual (median: 4, range: 1–31).
Parasitological Analyses
Using sedimentation techniques, we performed parasitological analyses from 1 to 3 g of stool material placed in a mixing chamber containing 6 ml of Bailenger solution (15 g sodium acetate, 3.60 mL acetic acid, and 1,000 mL distilled water). The solution was thoroughly vortexed for 15 s. A filter and a sedimentation chamber were attached to the mixing chamber and the solution was centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 3 min. The supernatant was then removed and, after homogenization of the sediment, we examined a drop of the suspension using a microscope at 100x, followed by 400x, and 1,000x for parasite identification (see for details: Poirotte et al., 2016).

We identified nematode eggs and protozoan trophozoites and cystic stages by taxon according to morphological characteristics based on the shape, content, and size of the eggs and cystic stages (Deluol et al., 1998, 1999; and for the criteria used to identify protozoa, see Table 1 in Poirotte et al., 2016). In the following analyses, we considered protozoan richness as the total number of different protozoan taxa, and nematode richness as the total number of different nematode taxa retrieved from each sample (as per: Poirotte et al., 2016). Coprologies were performed 0–10 days following the day of collection, allowing accurate parasite identification (see for a rationale: Poirotte et al., 2016).

Ecological and Individual Traits
Environmental Seasonality
In Gabon, there are four climatic seasons characterized by a marked long rainy season (Feb-May) and a long dry season (Jun-Sept), in addition to a short rainy season (Oct-Nov), and a short dry season (Dec-Jan). The ecological seasonality was described by the cumulated rainfall (mm) within 30 days preceding sample collection. Daily rainfall records were downloaded from the NASA website (https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/) for the geographic region of interest (GPS coordinates: 1°45′27″S 12°57′22″E for the top left corner, and 1°50′35″S 13°01′19″E for the bottom right corner) during the study period.

Breeding Seasonality
In the studied mandrills, most females are sexually receptive from April to September, corresponding to an overall increase in male-male aggression (Figure 1). The monthly proportion of cycling females in the group was used as a continuous proxy of the reproductive seasonality, whereas monthly rates of male-male aggression were used as a proxy of the associated social instability due to an influx of competing immigrant males in the group (Abernethy et al., 2002; Brockmeyer et al., 2015; Hongo et al., 2016). Monthly proportions of cycling females were calculated by dividing the total number of days mature females were seen cycling by the total number of days mature females were observed in any given month, whatever their reproductive status. Monthly rates of male-male aggression were obtained from focal sampling by dividing all occurrences of male-male aggression recorded a given month by the total time of focal sampling of these males this month (in hours). Agonistic interactions included e.g., chases, bites, head-bobs, ground slaps. Both male-male aggression and the monthly proportion of cycling females were significantly, though moderately correlated (Pearson’s test, $N = 40$ months, $r = 0.43$, $t = 2.98$, $p = 0.005$).

Age and Reproductive Status
Individual birth dates were exactly known for 18 animals or approximated with a possible error estimated to be inferior to a
few days/weeks for 9 mandrills. For all other studied individuals 
(N = 40), dates of birth were estimated based on body condition 
and patterns of tooth eruption and wear (Galbany et al., 2014). 
For these later mandrills, the error was estimated to be less than 
a year for 22 individuals; and <5 years for 18 mandrills that we 
saw for the first time as adults. Females were considered adult 
after 4 years of age, when most females reach sexual maturity, 
while males were considered adult after 9 years of age, when they 
have reached adult size and their secondary sexual characters are 
progressively developing (Setchell et al., 2001b).

Female reproductive status was monitored daily. Females were 
considered cycling if they showed a visible perineal swelling 
of any size (Dixson, 1983). Pregnancies were deduced from a 
combination of clues including the presence of a small pink 
swelling visible in the two last months of pregnancy (MJEC, 
pers. obs.) as well as patterns of sexual cycles and births. Females 
with an infant younger than 6 months were considered lactating, 
except when they resumed cycling before their infant reached 
that age (two cases). Non-cycling females were not pregnant, 
not lactating and did not show any visible perineal swelling. In 
subsequent analyses, female reproductive status was therefore 
described as a class variable with four modalities: cycling, non- 
cycling, pregnant, and lactating.

Dominance Rank
In adult males and females, hierarchical ranks were deduced 
from patterns of approach-avoidance interactions. We used 
the corrected David’s score to rank individuals (David, 1987; 
as per: Charpentier et al., 2018). Dominance rank is mostly 
linear for both males and females but cannot be compared 
between sexes. In females, rank is stable and maternally 
inherited, with daughters acquiring a rank just below their 
mothers (Setchell et al., 2001b). We classified females in three 
categories of rank with a balanced sample size across categories: 
high-ranking, medium-ranking, low-ranking females. In males, 
rank regularly changes over time. We therefore established a 
monthly dominance rank per male and classified males into two 
categories: the alpha male vs. all subordinate males. Indeed, the 
alpha male displays clear behavioral and phenotypic traits that are 
distinct from other males. In particular, the alpha male exhibits 
bright facial colors (Setchell and Dixson, 2001) and faces frequent 
behavioral challenges from subordinates (MJEC, pers. obs.).

Injuries
We recorded the occurrence, type of wounds (open cuts, 
punctures of the skin, abnormal skin swellings, limbs), freshness 
(presence of fresh/dry blood) and body location of any injury on 
a daily basis. During the study period, we recorded a total 
of 25 injuries for which feces were collected within the 3 weeks 
following injury. Superficial scratches, limbs and lameness (14 
injuries) were discarded from the analysis, as these injuries may 
not be associated with any detectable Th1-immune reaction. The 
effect of injuries on levels of fecal neopterin was only investigated 
in adult males (N = 11 open injuries from 7 males, with a mean 
± SD of 12.45 ± 6.77 days between the first record of injury and 
and sample collection) because females or juveniles did not show any 
open injuries during the study period.

Statistical Analyses
We performed three sets of Linear Mixed Models (LMM) to 
study the relationships between fecal neopterin concentration 
(response variable) and different predictors in three groups of 
individuals who may elicit different strategies of immune 
investment: (1) individuals of all sexes and ages, (2) sexually 
mature females and (3) adult males. In all models, fecal neopterin 
concentrations were log-transformed in order to obtain residuals 
that were normally distributed. All three sets of LMMs had a 
same structure of random effects, including individual and plate 
(i.e., assay) identity. Fixed effects fitted in all models included 
cumulated rainfall in the 30 days preceding sample collection 
(in mm), proportion of cycling females in the group as well as 
rates of male-male aggression (number of events per hour) in 
the month of data collection, age (in years), as well as nematode 
richness and protozoa richness. All quantitative fixed effects were 
standardized (transformed to obtain a mean of 0 and a variance 
of 1) to facilitate model convergence. Variance inflation factor 
was below 2 across all models suggesting that model stability was 
not compromised by multicolinearity among co-variables. Model 
fit was evaluated by checking the Gaussian distribution of the 
residuals, and plotting them against the predicted values to verify 
their homogeneity across the range of these predicted values.

In a first model, we considered all samples excluding one 
extra extreme value (N = 372 samples collected from 67 individuals 
of all sexes and ages; mean number of samples per individual 
± SD: 5.6 ± 5.9; range: 1–31), in order to compare neopterin 
concentrations between sexes and across different age classes. 
In addition to the above-mentioned fixed effects, we included 
individual sex, a quadratic term for age to account for the 
possibility of non-linear age effects, as well as storage time 
(i.e., time elapsed between collection and extraction of the 
fecal samples, in days) with a quadratic term to control for 
potentially confounding effects of storage time on neopterin 
levels. Here and below, we did not fit any interactions to avoid 
over-parameterization and facilitate model convergence.

We restricted the second model to adult females only (>4 
years, N = 149 samples collected from 32 females; mean number 
of samples per female ± SD: 4.7 ± 4.5; range: 1–23) to test 
whether neopterin levels vary with female social rank and 
reproductive state. In addition to the fixed effects included in all 
models, we included as extra fixed effects: female dominance rank 
(class variable with three modalities: high, middle or low rank), 
and reproductive status (class variable with four modalities: 
pregnant, lactating, cycling and non-cycling). For this model as 
well as for adult males, we omitted simple and quadratic effects 
of storage time as well as the quadratic effect of age, which were 
all found non-significant on the extended sample using the first 
model to limit risks of over-parameterization.

In a third model, we restricted our sample to adult males only 
(>9 years old, N = 113 samples collected from 13 males) to test 
whether neopterin levels vary with the presence of injuries. In 
addition to the fixed effects included in all models, we included 
as extra fixed effects: male dominance rank (alpha male vs. other 
males), and the presence of a visible open wound at the time of 
sample collection (class variable with two modalities: no wound 
vs. open wound).
All LMMs were run using the lmer function of the lme4 package (Bates et al., 2014) in R version 3.5.2 (R Core Team, 2017). The significance of the fixed factors was tested by computing their 95% bootstrap confidence intervals (using confint.merMod) and checking that they did not cross zero. Their p-values were calculated by using the PBmodcomp function from the pbkrtest package (Halekoh and Højsgaard, 2014), which compares a model with and without the variable using a parametric bootstrap method.

### RESULTS

#### Variation of Fecal Neopterin Concentrations in All Individuals

Fecal neopterin concentrations varied between 1.0 and 11.1 nmol/L (mean ± SD: 3.4 ± 1.7) but individual identity did not explain any significant part of such variation (comparison of a model with and without this random effect: $X^2_{1} = 1.45$, $p = 0.23$). There was, therefore, no consistency across repeated measures of a same individual. In contrast, samples measured in a same assay were more homogeneous than samples measured in different assays (comparison of a model with and without the random effect “plate identity”: $X^2_{1} = 120.85$, $p < 10^{-4}$).

Fecal neopterin concentrations were significantly influenced by the temporal variation in the proportion of cycling females in the group (Table 1; Figure 2). In contrast, none of the other fixed effects, including sex (Figure S2) and variation in parasite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed effects</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>2.5%</th>
<th>97.5%</th>
<th>LRT</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>−1.22</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex$^a$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age$^2$ (quadratic term)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoan richness</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematode richness</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage time</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>−1.46</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage time$^2$ (quadratic term)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop. cycling females</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male-male aggression</td>
<td>−0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reference category: females.

---

**FIGURE 2** | Influence of the proportion of cycling females in the group on fecal neopterin concentrations (log-transformed) across individuals of both sexes and all ages. Gray dots represent the raw values for adult individuals, blue dots represent the raw values for non-adult individuals and the solid line represents the predicted values of the model including all individuals. The dashed lines represent the 95% confidence intervals of the predicted values.
Significant, including social rank (Figure S3), explained any variation in fecal neopterin levels. An additional LMM was run on non-adults only (females aged <4 years old and males aged <9 years old) to investigate whether the proportion of cycling females was driven by the consideration of adult females. This effect remained significant in non-adults, suggesting that this seasonal effect did affect juveniles (Table S3).

**Variation of Fecal Neopterin Concentrations in Females**

In sexually mature females, fecal neopterin concentrations varied between 1.3 and 8.7 nmol/L (mean ± SD: 3.4 ± 1.5). As for the model above, female identity did not significantly explain this variation ($X^2 = 0.41, p = 0.52$), in contrast to plate identity ($X^2 = 50.52, p < 10^{-4}$). There was no significant influence of the fixed effects investigated (Table 2). Although the variable “reproductive state” was globally non-significant, the pairwise comparison between two categories of this factor revealed that lactating females had lower neopterin levels than pregnant females (the confidence intervals did not include 0; Table 2; Figure 4).

**Variation of Fecal Neopterin Concentrations in Males**

In adult males, fecal neopterin concentrations varied between 1.4 and 11.1 nmol/L (mean ± SD: 3.4 ± 1.9). Male identity significantly explained some of this variation ($X^2 = 5.66, p = 0.02$), in addition to plate identity ($X^2 = 36.68, p < 10^{-4}$). However, none of the tested fixed effects were significant, including social rank (Figure S3) and injuries—despite a graphical trend suggesting higher fecal neopterin levels in injured individuals (Table 3; Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

In this 46-month study, we investigated longitudinal intra- and inter-individual variation of fecal neopterin concentrations, a marker closely associated with the activation of the cellular immune response, across 67 male and female free-ranging mandrills of all ages. In particular, we were interested to know whether neopterin varied with individual traits, as well as if this marker was sensitive to gut parasitism or local infections. However, individual traits did not explain a significant amount of variation in fecal neopterin levels, and our predictions regarding either gut parasitism or injuries were not supported.
The lack of social and individual effects on fecal neopterin concentrations may be related to methodological limitations in our analyses. Indeed, we observed elevated coefficients of variation (CVs) across identical samples assayed on different plates, partly due to the sample storage time (time spent in the freezer) and freeze-thaw cycles. Controlling for these effects lowered the inter-assay CV, which nevertheless remained relatively high. Although we thoroughly mixed all samples before any extraction, a possible explanation is that neopterin was not homogeneously distributed within fecal samples, resulting in high CVs across plates. In addition, measurements were obtained from wet fecal material and were not corrected by the dry weight of the fecal pellet. If water is not homogeneously distributed in a fecal sample, this may also contribute to these elevated CVs. We considered the identity of the plate as a random factor in our analyses in order to control for unexplained variation across plates that may be related to our methodological approaches. Applying such a conservative statistical method nevertheless presents a drawback. Indeed, because of field constraints related to data collection, we performed our analyses in batches. For example, we tended to assay samples collected during a same season on a same plate to avoid long storage times. Considering a random effect of the plate could thus result in an under-estimation of seasonal effects—even though samples from two or more seasons still commonly co-occur on a same plate. However, this scenario does not explain the absence of individual effects, as males and females were randomly distributed across plates, as were individual age, rank, or parasite status.

We further failed to detect effects of open injuries or parasite loads on fecal neopterin levels, possibly due to the limitations highlighted above. In addition, all fecal samples collected within 3 weeks post-injury were included in the “injured” category, at a time where potential increases in neopterin levels following the fresh injury might already be on their way down to baseline levels. In addition, fecal neopterin is probably predominantly a signal of gut infection and inflammation, and might not reflect any other local or general inflammatory process. Yet, we did not detect an effect of gut parasite richness on fecal neopterin levels either. This lack of parasite effect on fecal neopterin might be due...
to immune tolerance, where most gut parasites would fail to elicit a strong inflammatory response. However, the studied mandrills are infected by parasites that are known to be pathogenic (e.g., *Entamoeba histolytica*) and that affect their behavior (Poirotte et al., 2017a,b), which might not favor the immune tolerance hypothesis. It is also possible that immune response to such parasites activate alternative immune pathways that do not involve neopterin, such as a typical Th2 response. Finally, our estimates of parasite infestation, which simply counts the number of parasite species present while omitting the relative and absolute abundance of each parasite species, may not adequately reflect the complexity of pathogenic pressures, and of immune responses elicited in response to these pressures.

Despite these limitations, we found that fecal neopterin concentrations were significantly influenced by the proportion of cycling females in the group. This effect was observed across all animals, but neither in adult females nor in adult males. In these females, some variation linked to the proportion of cycling females may be absorbed by the reproductive state variable, as lactating females had lower neopterin levels than pregnant females, and a substantial proportion of females are in early pregnancy during the mating season. Nevertheless, none of these two fixed effects (reproductive state and the monthly proportion of cycling females) were significant in the female model, suggesting that such an explanation is not sufficient. In addition, the proportion of cycling females was also found significant in a model containing only non-adults, pointing to an environmental or social determinant that varies seasonally and affects juveniles as well as, or preferentially than adults. The potential nature of this effect is hard to uncover as two other seasonal covariables, namely cumulated rainfall in the 30 days preceding data collection and rates of male-male aggression, were non-significant either. We would expect most environmental seasonality to correlate with seasonal variations in rainfall in this equatorial region, where other aspects of environmental seasonality such as variations in day length or in temperature are tenuous. In addition, any social effect broadly linked with mandrill breeding seasonality, such as the influx of immigrant males during the mating season that induces a strong instability in the male hierarchy and may cause associated stress to other group members (Charpentier et al., 2018), is expected to co-vary with our male-male aggression variable. It remains possible that a physiological phenomenon affecting juveniles and restricted to the long dry season is responsible for this effect, and better captured by the proportion of cycling females than by rainfall patterns, which shows a bimodal distribution with two rainfall peaks a year.

Knowledge on immune and disease ecology from previous studies in this population is however not sufficient to shed light on the nature of such a phenomenon, though data available reveal that the mating season appears physiologically stressful for the mandrills. First, as the driest season of the year, it is likely that food availability is lower than in other seasons, though such an association is hard to test in a generalist forest species that regularly consumes over 150 plant species (Nsi Akoue et al., 2017). Second, individuals exhibited higher nematode richness during the long dry season, especially the juveniles (Poirotte et al., 2016)—although our analyses show that gut parasites do not explain fecal neopterin levels. Third, the oxidative damages of females, including juvenile females, were found to be higher during the long dry season (Beaulieu et al., 2014), whereas their leucocyte profiles also varied seasonally, with lower lymphocyte proportion being observed during the long dry season, presumably because of the stress at that time of the year (Beaulieu et al., 2017) which is also characterized by increases in cortisol production compared to the long rainy season—although the highest cortisol concentrations are in fact observed during the short dry season (Charpentier et al., 2018). It is therefore likely that the long dry season poses a particular challenge on the immune system of juvenile mandrills, due to combined effects of nutritional stress and higher disease risk, which is reflected by the effect of the mating season (or proportion of cycling females) on neopterin levels.

Overall, fecal neopterin did not meet our expectations while it may have been a promising non-invasive tool relevant for eco-epidemiological studies. This may be due to the methodological limitations of our study, and further research based on additional data may accordingly reach different conclusions. Some prior studies interested in the clinical relevance of fecal neopterin found substantial changes in neopterin levels in relation to the inflammatory status of the gut mucosa. For example, in humans, one study showed that elevated neopterin concentrations were associated with acute gastritis (Ledjeff et al., 2001). Other examples include a field study who detected increases in fecal neopterin in children infected with *Giardia* cysts compared to healthy children (Campbell et al., 2004) as well as the usefulness of fecal neopterin levels to monitor disease progression in patients suffering from Crohn’s disease or from active ulcerative colitis (Husain et al., 2013). In such cases, the extent of increases in neopterin levels was 10–1,000-fold higher than in our own study. In non-human primates, the only published study investigating variations in fecal neopterin levels was conducted in captive macaques and failed to detect consistent effects of the retroviral status of individuals, as well as a correlation with serum or urinary neopterin levels—though this was not unexpected given that fecal neopterin only appears to increase in response to gut inflammatory processes in humans, rather than to general inflammatory states (Higham et al., 2015). In addition, fecal neopterin did not increase after a colonoscopy involving a colon biopsy, which was more surprising, raising further doubts on the biological relevance of such marker to assess an inflammation of the gut mucosa. Overall, more remains to be done to validate the biological and clinical relevance of fecal neopterin in humans and non-human primates.

In mandrills, the small range of fecal neopterin concentrations (1.0–11.1 nmol/L) that we found may only reflect the baseline levels of a healthy population, where inter-individual variation is essentially undetectable and does not have any functional significance. It is therefore possible that fecal neopterin is of limited interest to test predictions derived from life history theory by investigating inter- or intra-individual variations in strategies of immune investment. Serum and urinary levels of neopterin may be more promising for eco-immunology studies (Behringer et al., 2017; Müller et al., 2017), as previous studies
reported between-individual variations related to individual traits including age (Heistermann and Higham, 2015; Behringer and Deschner, 2017) and health, such as the retroviral status of individuals (Souquière et al., 2014; Higham et al., 2015) and respiratory infection (Behringer et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018), autoimmune process (Balázs et al., 2012), viral gastroenteritis (Plata-Nazar et al., 2010) or for pathology of depression (Tang et al., 2016). A rigorous biological validation of the clinical relevance of fecal neopterin now appears suitable before any further study in immune ecology.
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