Molecular tectonics: anion control of dimensionality and connectivity in meta-pyridyl appended tetramercaptotetrathiacalix[4]arene based silver coordination networks

Alexander Ovsyannikov, Sylvie Ferlay, Svetlana Solovieva, Igor Antipin, A. Konovalov, Nathalie Kyritsakas, Mir Wais Hosseini

► To cite this version:

Alexander Ovsyannikov, Sylvie Ferlay, Svetlana Solovieva, Igor Antipin, A. Konovalov, et al.. Molecular tectonics: anion control of dimensionality and connectivity in meta-pyridyl appended tetramercaptotetrathiacalix[4]arene based silver coordination networks. Dalton Transactions, 2014, 43 (1), pp.158-165. 10.1039/C3DT52654B . hal-02301079

HAL Id: hal-02301079

<https://hal.science/hal-02301079>

Submitted on 25 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Molecular tectonics: anion control of dimensionality and connectivity in *meta*-pyridyl appended tetramercaptotetrathiacalix[4]arene based silver coordination networks

A. Ovsyannikov,^{a,b} S. Ferlay,^{*a} S. E. Solovieva,^b I. S. Antipin,^{b,c} A. I. Konovalov^b, N. Kyritsakas,^a M. W. Hosseini^{*a}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The combination of the same organic tecton **1**, a *meta*-pyridyl appended tetramercaptotetrathiacalix[4]arene in 1,3-alternate conformation offering four pyridyl units and eight thioether groups, with AgX (X= BF₄⁻, NO₃⁻ and SbF₆⁻) silver salts leads, under identical conditions (concentration, temperature and solvent system), to the formation of different silver coordination networks. Both the connectivity and the dimensionality of the three silver coordination networks depend on the nature of the anion used as counter ion. Whereas for the weakly coordinating BF₄⁻ anion, the latter does not participate in the formation of the non-tubular 1D coordination network, the coordinating NO₃⁻ anion is bound to the metal cation and leads to the formation of a tubular 1D silver coordination network. For both cases, the eight S atoms of the tecton **1** do not take part in the binding of the cation. In marked contrast, when SbF₆⁻ anion is used as counter ion, the organic tecton **1** behaves as a tetrakismonodentate through its four *meta*-pyridyl moieties and as a bischelating unit of the SCCS type leading thus to the formation of a porous 3D diamondoid-type network.

Introduction

The formation of Coordination Polymers (CPs)¹ or coordination networks,² extended periodic architectures generated upon mutual bridging between organic tectons and metal centres, has been the subject of considerable efforts over the past two decades.³ The interest in this class of solid state molecular materials arises from either their applications in catalysis, separation and storage or from their physical properties such as electronic, optic, magnetism, conduction, etc. The design of this type or architecture still remains challenging owing to several parameters governing their formation by self-assembly processes.⁴ For some time now, we are exploring an approach called molecular tectonics,^{5,6,7,8} for which, molecular networks such as coordination polymers are considered as extended periodic structures resulting from combinations of complementary organic and metallic tectons capable of interacting together. This approach seems rather efficient for the design of systems composed of two components such as an anionic organic tectons and a metal cations with charge compensation between the two partners or a neutral organic tecton and a neutral metal complex.⁹ However, for combinations based on neutral organic tectons and metal cations, since for charge neutrality reason the presence of anions is required, the system is composed of three components.¹⁰ Although, with our present state of knowledge one may design specific interactions between the organic tecton and the metal centre, the role played by anions, necessarily present in the crystal, remains unclear in

majority of cases. Thus, a better understanding of this type of three component systems requires systematic investigations.¹¹

In this contribution, we have considered combinations of the same neutral organic tecton, a calixarene based tecton **1** (Scheme 1) in an imposed 1,3-alternate conformation bearing four monodentate coordinating sites, the same metal cation (Ag⁺) and three different monoanions (NO₃⁻, BF₄⁻ and SbF₆⁻) with different binding propensity. The aim of the study was to investigate the role played by the anion on the connectivity and thus dimensionality of the silver coordination networks, formed by bridging of consecutive organic tectons by Ag⁺ cations.

Choice of tecton, metal cation and anions

For the design of organic tectons forming coordination networks in the presence of metal cations, macrocyclic backbones such as calix[4]arene¹² and [1111]metacyclophane¹³ in 1,3-alternate conformation are of particular interest. Indeed, for such a conformation, four coordinating sites may be introduced in a divergent fashion bellow and above the main plane of the cyclic backbone. The localisation of the appended coordinating sites at the apices of a tetrahedron leads to a building unit displaying a S4 axis of symmetry.

Whereas the cyclophane backbone adopts a stable and rather rigid 1,3-alternate conformation for steric reasons, the latter conformation may be imposed by proper functionalization of the calix moiety at the lower rim by bulky substituents blocking the conformation flexibility.

The calix[4]arene backbone locked in 1,3-alternate conformation has been also used for the formation of a helical H-bonded network.¹⁴

Among the calix family, thiacalix[4]arene (TCA) derivatives for which all four CH₂ groups connecting the phenol moieties are replaced by S atoms,¹⁵ in addition to the above mentioned features, offer four additional coordinating sites of the thioether type (Ph-S-Ph). Only few examples of coordination networks based on TCA derivatives have been reported to date. TCA derivatives in 1,3-alternate conformation bearing mainly at the lower rim peripheral coordinating sites such as nitrile,¹⁶ carboxylate¹⁷, benzonitrile groups¹⁸, propoxy groups¹⁹ or pyridyl²⁰ have been used to generate a variety of 1-, 2- and 3-D coordination networks in the presence of Ag⁺ or Hg²⁺ cations. Within the thiacalix[4]arene class of compounds, tetramercaptotetrathiacalix[4]arene (TMTCA), an analogue of TCA for which all four OH moieties are replaced by SH groups,²¹ is another interesting backbone for the design of organic tectons. Indeed, for the derivative in 1,3-alternate conformation, all four SH groups may be functionalized by coordinating sites leading thus to a tecton offering 12 potential sites in a rather confined space which may take part in the binding of metal centres. Few examples of functionalization of the lower rim of TMTCA have been described.^{22,23} The metal binding ability of TMTCA has been investigated and few discrete complexes have been reported for Ir(II) and Rh(II)²⁴, Mo(II), Ni(II) and W(II)²⁵ and Hg(II).²⁶ A specific Hg(II) extracting agent based on an analogue of TMTCA bearing only two SH groups has been also reported.²⁷ Recently, examples of coordination networks based on combinations of TMTCA appended with four pyridyl groups and Hg(II) cation have been reported.²⁸

Figure 1: Tecton **1** base on the tetramercaptotetrathiacalix[4]arene (TMTCA) backbone equipped with four *meta*-pyridyl coordinating sites (left) and its schematic representation showing its 1,3-alternate conformation (right).

For the present study, the tecton **1**, based on the tetramercaptotetrathiacalix[4]arene backbone equipped with four pyridyl coordinating sites, was chosen (Fig. 1). All four pyridyl units were connected to the backbone using the *meta* position on the aromatic cycle. The latter was attached to the calix platform using methylene spacers. Finally, junctions between the two parts were ensured by thioether groups. It is worth noting that, although the calix backbone is blocked in its 1,3-alternate conformation, as previously established by X-ray diffraction,²³ the tecton **1**, owing to the presence of CH₂ spacers and C_{Ph}-S-CH₂ single bonds, may adopt six different extreme rotamers differing by the relative orientation of the N atoms of the pyridyl moieties,

i.e. towards the interior (*i* for in) or exterior (*o* for out) of the macrocyclic unit (Fig. 2). Furthermore, owing to the presence of eight thioether groups and for pyridyl units in a rather confined space, the tecton **1** may behave as a N₄ S₈ coordinating tecton. These features, bringing considerable flexibility and binding possibilities, could lead to the control of the connectivity and thus the dimensionality of coordination networks by the nature of the anion when the tecton **1** is combined with the same metal cation and different anions.

Figure 2: Schematic representations of different extreme rotamers which may be adopted by the tecton **1** in 1,3-alternate conformation (S and N atoms are presented as yellow and blue spheres respectively). These species result from the rotational freedom brought by the CH₂ groups linking the pyridyl groups to the backbone and by the C_{Ph}-S-CH₂ single bonds junctions. The rotamers differ by the relative orientation of the N atoms of the pyridyl moieties (*i* and *o* for in and out respectively).

As the metal centre, Ag⁺ cation was chosen because of its rather loose coordination requirements both in terms of coordination number and geometry. Finally, as anion, NO₃⁻, BF₄⁻, and SbF₆⁻ have been considered. Although these anions bear a single negative charge, they display different charge density, with nitrate offering the highest and hexafluoroantimonate the lowest. These three anions also differ by their binding propensity with NO₃⁻ anion being the most coordinating one. Finally, they also display differences in their size and geometry ranging from trigonal for NO₃⁻ to tetrahedral for BF₄⁻ and octahedral for SbF₆⁻. Crystallisation processes in general and formation of molecular networks in the crystalline phase in particular are rather whimsical and often depend on several experimental parameters such as concentrations of components, temperature, solvent-non-solvent system, speed of diffusion and time of evaporation. Not only these parameters control the formation of crystalline materials but they might also lead to different connectivity patterns, dimensionality and polymorphs. In order to control all these extrinsic parameters, the same protocol was used for all combinations of **1** with AgX (X = NO₃⁻, BF₄⁻, SbF₆⁻), leading to the following extended architecture: {1-AgNO₃}_n, {1-AgBF₄}_n, and {1-AgSbF₆}_n. The crystallisation was performed by slow liquid/liquid diffusion at room temperature using identical crystallisation tubes (4 mm diameter, 15 cm height). The same volume (1 mL) of solvent (CHCl₃) was used to solubilise the same amount (5 mg, 4.35 μmol) of tecton **1**. The solution containing the organic tecton was layered with the same volume (1 mL) of CHCl₃/*iso*-PrOH

(1/1) mixture used as a buffer. Finally, the silver salt (1.7 mg, 8.73 μmol of AgBF_4 , 1.5 mg, 8.73 μmol of AgNO_3 , 3 mg, 8.72 μmol of AgSbF_6) dissolved in the same volume (1 mL) of MeOH was added (see Experimental section). The crystallisation process was stopped after two weeks and single crystals thus obtained studied by X-ray diffraction on single crystals (see experimental section and crystallographic table 1). The purity of all three phases was confirmed elemental analysis for all three crystalline materials and by X-ray diffraction on microcrystalline powders for **1**- AgBF_4 and **1**- AgSbF_6 . Unfortunately, for AgNO_3 , we were not able to prepare enough microcrystalline powder.

Experimental part

Microanalyses were performed by the Service de Microanalyses de la Fédération de Recherche Chimie, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France.

X-ray diffraction on Single-Crystal

Data were collected at 173(2) K on a Bruker APEX8 CCD Diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystem liquid N_2 device, using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) radiation. For both structures, diffraction data were corrected for absorption. Structures were solved using SHELXS-97 and refined by full matrix least-squares on F^2 using SHELXL-97. The hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and not refined (riding model).²⁹ They can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/datarequest/cif. CCDC: 957507-957509.

X-ray Diffraction on microcrystalline powder

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) diagrams were collected for **1**- AgBF_4 and **1**- AgSbF_6 on a Bruker D8 diffractometer using monochromatic Cu-K α radiation with a scanning range between 3.8 and 40° using a scan step size of 2°/mn.

As already demonstrated and currently admitted, for all compounds, discrepancies in intensity between the observed and simulated patterns are due to preferential orientations of the microcrystalline powders.

Synthesis

All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. The tecton **1** was prepared according to reported procedures.²³

Crystallisation conditions

1- AgBF_4 : In a crystallization tube (diameter 4 mm, height 15 cm), a solution of **1** (5 mg, 4.35×10^{-3} mmol) in CHCl_3 (1 mL) was layered with a $\text{CHCl}_3/\text{iso-PrOH}$ (1/1) mixture (1 mL). A solution of AgBF_4 (1.7 mg, 8.73×10^{-3} mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) was carefully added. Slow diffusion at room temperature and in the dark followed by slow evaporation produced after 2 weeks colourless crystals (4.2 mg, 72 % yield) suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{64}\text{H}_{68}\text{N}_4\text{S}_8\text{AgBF}_4$: C, 57.17%; H, 5.10%; N, 4.17%; Found: C, 57.25%; H, 5.12 %; N, 4.16%. IR, $\nu = 2961, 1465, 1435, 1358, 1246, 1026, 890$ cm^{-1} .

1- AgNO_3 : In a crystallization tube (diameter 4 mm, height 15 cm), a solution of **1** (5 mg, 4.35×10^{-3} mmol) in CHCl_3 (1 mL) was layered with a $\text{CHCl}_3/\text{iso-PrOH}$ (1/1) mixture (1 mL). A solution of AgNO_3 (1.5 mg, 8.73×10^{-3} mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) was

carefully added. Slow diffusion at room temperature and in the dark followed by slow evaporation produced after two weeks colourless crystals (5 mg, 68 % yield) suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{34}\text{N}_2\text{S}_4\text{AgNO}_3$: C, 51.61%; H, 4.60%; N, 5.64%; Found: C, 51.45 %; H, 4.62 %; N, 5.61 %. IR, $\nu = 2964, 1457, 1401, 1347, 1232, 1028, 851$ cm^{-1} .

1- AgSbF_6 : In a crystallization tube (diameter 4 mm, height 15 cm), a solution of compound **8** (5 mg, 4.36×10^{-3} mmol) in CHCl_3 (1 mL) was layered with $\text{CHCl}_3/\text{iso-PrOH}$ (1/1) mixture (1 mL). A solution of AgSbF_6 (3 mg, 8.72×10^{-3} mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) was carefully added. Slow diffusion at room temperature and in the dark followed by slow evaporation produced after 2 weeks colourless crystals (5 mg, 62 % yield) suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{34}\text{N}_2\text{S}_4\text{AgSbF}_6$: C, 41.84%; H, 3.73%; N, 3.05%; Found: C, 41.95%; H, 3.75%; N, 3.04%. IR, $\nu = 2963, 1486, 1459, 1365, 1230, 1031, 823$ cm^{-1} .

Results and discussion

X-ray diffraction investigations on single crystals revealed that in all three cases (**1**- AgBF_4 , **1**- AgNO_3 and **1**- AgSbF_6), coordination networks are formed in the solid state. These architectures result from bridging of consecutive tectons **1** by silver cations. Interestingly, in all three cases, crystals were not solvates but exclusively composed of tecton **1**, Ag^+ cation and anion (NO_3^- , BF_4^- or SbF_6^-).

In the discussion presented here after, we do not present detailed structural analysis of the organic tecton **1**. However, it should be mentioned that in all three cases, the tecton **1** remains rather symmetrical with at least a C_2 axis of symmetry.

1- AgBF_4

The combination of **1** with AgBF_4 leads to the formation of crystals ($C2/c$ space group) composed of cationic 1D silver coordination networks ($\text{AgBF}_4(\text{C}_{64}\text{H}_{68}\text{N}_4\text{S}_8)$) with a **1**/ Ag^+ ratio of 1/1 (see table 1). The 1D architecture is generated through bridging of consecutive tectons **1** by silver cations. Within the 1D network, the neutral tecton **1** is present as the highly symmetrical rotamer (i,i,i) (Fig. 2) with all four N atoms of the pyridyl units inwardly oriented (Fig. 3). Consequently, the tecton **1** behaves as a bischelating unit and binds two Ag^+ cations.

Figure 3: a portion along the b axis of the cationic 1D silver coordination network formed by combination of the organic tectons **1** with AgBF_4 salt. H atoms and BF_4^- anions are not presented for clarity. For bond angles and distances see text.

The silver cation is tetracoordinated and surrounded by four N atoms belonging to two consecutive tectons **1** with Ag-N distances of 2.294(3) and 2.326(3) Å. The metal cation adopts a slightly distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry with NAgN angles in the 102.97(11) - 115.89(16)° range (Fig. 3). The observed connectivity pattern leads to a non-tubular 1D network running along the b axis. This type of architecture with the same connectivity has already been observed for calix[4]arene,³⁰ and

thiacalixarene¹⁸ based tectons appended with four monodentate coordinating sites. Within the 1-D network, the distance between consecutive Ag⁺ cations is 14.5274(10) Å which corresponds to the *a* parameter. It is worth noting that none of the eight S atoms

are involved in any type of interaction with the silver cation. Within the crystal, the 1D networks are packed in a parallel fashion along the *a* and *c* axis (Fig. 4). The interstices are occupied by BF₄⁻ anions without any specific interaction with the organic tecton **1** or Ag⁺ cation. However, a rather short F...F distance of 1.798(12) Å is observed.

Figure 4: packing of the 1D networks in the xOz plane showing the localisation of BF₄⁻ anions within the empty spaces and the short distance between consecutive anions. H atoms are omitted for clarity. For bond distances and angles see text.

The purity of the **1**-AgBF₄ crystalline phase was established by PXRD (See ESI, Fig. S1) on a microcrystalline powder which revealed a good match between the observed (Fig. S1 top) and simulated patterns from the XRD data on single crystal (Fig. S1 bottom).

1-AgNO₃

The combination of **1** with AgNO₃ affords again crystals (*C2/c* space group, see table 1) composed of cationic 1D coordination networks ((AgNO₃)₂(C₆₄H₆₈N₄S₈)) with a **1**/Ag⁺ ratio of ½ (Fig. 5). Within the network, the organic tecton **1** although again a rather symmetrical, is present as the (o,o,o,o) rotamer (Fig. 2). The tecton **1** behaves as a tetrakismonodentate unit and binds four Ag⁺ cations. In marked contrast with **1**-AgBF₄, the silver cation is tricoordinated and surrounded by two N atoms (pyridyl) belonging to two consecutive tectons **1** with a rather short Ag-N distance of 2.142(2) Å and one of the three O atom of a NO₃⁻ anion with a rather long Ag-O distance of d_{Ag-O} long distance of 2.639(2). The metal cation adopts a slightly distorted T type geometry with NAgN and NAgO angles of 170.23(9)° and 86.89(9)° respectively and thus behaves as a linear connector. The Ag-Ag distance within the 1D network is 8.457 Å. Again, as in the case of **1**-AgBF₄, for **1**-AgNO₃ none of the sulphur atoms interacts with the Ag⁺ cation.

Figure 5: a portion along the *c* axis of the neutral 1D silver coordination network, formed upon combining the organic tectons **1** with AgNO₃ salt, showing the binding of the nitrate anion by silver cation bridging consecutive tectons **1**. H atoms are not presented for clarity. For bond angles and distances see text.

In contrast with what is observe for **1**-AgBF₄, discussed above, the connectivity pattern obtained for **1**-AgNO₃, leads to a deformed tubular 1D network, running along the *c* axis. This type of architecture has been previously observed for a [111]metacyclophane based tecton bearing four pyridyl coordinating moieties.^{13b,f,m}

In the crystal, the 1D networks are packed in a parallel fashion along the *a* and *b* axis (Fig. 6).

Figure 6: packing of the 1D networks in the xOy plane. H atoms are omitted for clarity. For bond distances and angles see text.

1-AgSbF₆

In marked contrast with the **1**-AgBF₄ and **1**-AgNO₃ discussed above, for **1**-AgSbF₆, a cationic 3D coordination polymer (AgSbF₆)₂(C₆₄H₆₈N₄S₈) is obtained (figure 7). The latter crystallises in the trigonal chiral *P3₂21* space group (see table 1). For the cationic 3D coordination polymer the **1**/Ag⁺ ratio is ½. As for **1**-AgNO₃, the (o,o,o,o) rotamer (Fig. 2) of the organic tectons **1** is found in the crystal. The latter displays a C₂ axis of symmetry. It is worth noting that the shape adopted by the tecton **1** (Fig. 8a) is rather deformed when compared to the one observed for **1** in the absence of metal centre.²⁸ Indeed, whereas for the free compound **1**, the opposite aryl units are almost parallel to each other (dihedral angles are 1.0° and 3.5°), here, the pendant pyridyl moieties are significantly bent by almost about 90° with respect to the calixarene backbone main axis. Due to the conformation adopted by **1**, the latter behaves simultaneously as a tetrakismonodentate ligand (four N atoms belonging to the pyridyl moieties) and as a bischelating unit (four sulphur atoms belonging to the thioether junctions and two of the thiophenol

type). Overall, the tecton **1** offers a N4S4 set of coordinating sites (Fig. 8b).

Figure 7: A portion of crystal structure of **1-AgSbF₆** in the xOy plane. H atoms are omitted for clarity. For bond distances and angles see text.

The Ag⁺ cation, is again tetracoordinated as in the case of **1-AgBF₄**, however, its coordination sphere is not composed of four N atoms but by a N₂S₂ set of coordinating centres. The two N atoms belong to two consecutive tectons **1** ($d_{\text{Ag-N}} = 2.324(15)$ and $2.265(8)$ Å), whereas the two S atoms belong to the same calix unit ($d_{\text{Ag-S}}$ of $2.617(4)$ and $2.642(4)$ Å). The geometry adopted by Ag⁺ cation is a highly deformed tetrahedral with NAgN and SAgS angles of $99.7(16)^\circ$ and $78.58(11)^\circ$ respectively and the NAgS angle varies between $96.9(5)^\circ$ and $133.9(19)^\circ$.

The 3D architecture is rather complex and its description requires a detailed analysis of sub building blocks formed by association of the organic and metallic tectons. Each tecton **1**, offering four N atoms occupying the apices of a deformed tetrahedron (Fig. 9a), binds 6 Ag⁺ cations (Fig. 8b). On the other hand, each Ag⁺ cation, also adopting a strongly deformed tetrahedral geometry, is connected to three tectons **1** through two N-Ag bonds with two consecutive tectons **1** and two Ag-S bonds with a SCCS chelating unit of the third tecton **1**. Furthermore, Ag⁺ cations are organised in pairs without any Ag-Ag interactions. Within the pair, each cation is connected surrounded by four different tectons **1** (Fig. 8c). Thus, each pair of Ag⁺ cations is surrounded by four tectons **1** leading thus to a deformed tetrahedral nod (Fig. 8d). The overall architecture may be described as a diamondoid-like network resulting from interconnection of tectons **1** and the silver dimeric units both behaving as tetrahedral subunits (Fig. 8e).

The connectivity mode leads to a porous structure and as a result of the deformed nature of tetrahedrons composing the diamondoid architecture, two types of pores differing by their seize are generated (Fig. 9e).

Figure 8: Portions of the 3D diamondoid silver coordination network formed upon combining the tecton **1** with AgSbF₆ showing the distorted tetrahedral geometry adopted by **1** (a), the surrounding of the tecton by 6 Ag⁺ cations (b), the formation of a silver dimer (c), the surrounding of the silver dimer by 4 tectons **1** (d) and the connectivity between the two different building subunits leading to two types of cavities (e). For sake of clarity different colours have been used for C atoms belonging to different calix units in c. H atoms and SbF₆⁻ anions are omitted for clarity. For bond distances and angles see text.

The two types of pores display sections of *ca.* 5.6x5.6 Å and of *ca.* 9.8x9.8 Å for the small and the large one respectively.

The SbF₆⁻ anions, not participating to the connectivity, are located near the Ag⁺ cations (Fig. 7) with very weak interactions between them ($d_{\text{Ag-F}} = 4.89$ Å). Furthermore, no specific interactions between the anions and neutral tectons **1** are present. As a consequence of the localization of the anions, the channels are not occupied and the crystal is porous.

The purity of the **1-AgSbF₆** phase was established by PXRD on microcrystalline powder which revealed a good match between the observed and simulated patterns from the XRD data (See ESI, Fig. S2).

Conclusions

The role played by anions on the connectivity, geometry and dimensionality of silver coordination networks was investigated in a systematic fashion by combining the same organic tecton **1**, based on the tetramercaptotetraphthalic acid backbone in 1,3-alternate conformation appended with four *meta*-pyridyl groups as coordinating units, with three silver salts AgX (X = BF₄⁻, NO₃⁻ and SbF₆⁻). Three unprecedented architectures for such a tecton were obtained. The crystalline materials were exclusively composed of the organic tecton and the silver salt. The study

clearly revealed that, under the same experimental conditions, the nature of the anion used to neutralize the charge strongly direct the type of extended architecture formed. Indeed, depending on their geometry, charge density and coordination propensity, anions may or may not bind to the metal cation and thus control the geometry of Ag^+ as a metallic node. For the weakly coordinating BF_4^- anion, the latter do not participate to the connectivity of the non-tubular 1D network which is formed by bridging of consecutive (i,i,i) rotamer of tectons **1**, behaving as a bischelating unit, by silver cation adopting a tetrahedral coordination geometry (Fig. 9b). In contrast, for the coordinating NO_3^- anion, a tubular 1D network is observed. For the latter, consecutive tectons **1** are bridged by silver cations adopting a T-type coordination geometry with the nitrate anion bound to the metal cation (Fig. 11c). In the case of both BF_4^- and NO_3^- anion, none of the 8 S atoms of the tecton **1** is involved in the binding of silver cation. In marked contrast with the two architectures mentioned above, for the octahedral weakly coordinating SbF_6^- anion, an intriguing porous diamond-like 3D network is obtained. In that case, although the anion do not take part in the connectivity of neutral and cationic components, the organic tecton **1** behaves as a tetrakismonodentate and as a bischelating unit. Indeed, in addition to pyridyl coordinating units, four out of the eight S atoms participate in the binding of Ag^+ cations as SCCS chelates (Fig. 9d).

The use of porous crystals of **1-AgPF₆** based on a 3D network of the diamondoid type for the uptake of gas and small molecules is currently under investigation.

Figure 9: Representation of different surroundings observed for the schematically represented organic tecton **1** (a) upon its combination with AgBF_4 (b), AgNO_3 (c) and AgSbF_6 (d). Whereas for both AgBF_4 and AgNO_3 , a 1D coordination network is obtained, for AgSbF_6 , a 3D diamondoid architecture is formed.

Acknowledgments

We thank the University of Strasbourg, the Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), the International centre for Frontier Research in Chemistry (icFRC), the CNRS and RFBR-CNRS grant N° 12-03-91061, the ARCUS Programme and the Region Alsace (PhD fellowship to A. O.) for financial support.

Notes and references

^a Molecular Tectonic Laboratory, UMR UDS-CNRS 7140, icFRC, University of Strasbourg, Institut Le Bel, 4, rue Blaise Pascal, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

^b A. E. Arbuzov Institute of Organic and Physical Chemistry, Russian Academy of Science, Arbuzov str. 8, Kazan 420088, Russian Federation

^c Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya str. 18, Kazan 420008, Russian Federation

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: PXRD traces for **1-AgBF₄** and **1-AgSbF₆**. CCDC 957507-957509. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- (a) B. F. Abrahams, B. F. Hoskins, R. Robson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 3606; (b) S. R. Batten, R. Robson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 1460.
- M. W. Hosseini, *CrystEngComm.*, 2004, **6**, 318.
- (a) A. J. Blake, N. R. Champness, P. Hubberstey, W.-S. Li, M. A. Withersby, M. Schröder, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **193**, 117; (b) B. Moulton, M. J. Zaworotko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 1629; (c) M. Eddaoudi, D. B. Moler, H. Li, B. Chen, T.M. Reineke, M. O’Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2001, **34**, 319; (d) C. Janiak, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 2781; (e) L. Carlucci, G. Ciani, D. M. Proserpio, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **246**, 247; (f) S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura, S. Noro, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2334; (g) G. Férey, C. Mellot-Draznieks, C. Serre, F. Millange, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 218; (h) D. Bradshaw, J. B. Claridge, E. J. Cussen, T. J. Prior, M. J. Rosseinsky, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 273; (i) S. Kitagawa, K. Uemura, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2005, **34**, 109; (j) D. Maspoeh, D. Ruiz-Molina, J. Veciana, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007, **36**, 770; (k) J. R. Long, O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1213; (l) C. Janiak, J. L. Vieth, *New J. Chem.*, 2010, **34**, 2366; (m) *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, themed issue on metal-organic frameworks; (n) W. L. Leong, J. J. Vittal, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, **111**, 688; (o) *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, Metal-Organic Frameworks special issue.
- N. R. Champness, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 10311.
- (a) M. Simard, D. Su, J. D. Wuest, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 4696; (b) J. D. Wuest, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 5830.
- S. Mann, *Nature*, 1993, **365**, 499.
- M. W. Hosseini, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 313.
- M. W. Hosseini, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 5825.
- A. Jouaiti, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1863
- A. Jouaiti, V. Jullien, M. W. Hosseini, J.-M. Planeix, A. De Cian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1114.
- D. Pocic, J.-M. Planeix, N. Kyritsakas, A. Jouaiti, M. W. Hosseini, *CrystEngComm.*, 2005, **7**, 624.
- (a) C.D. Gutsche in *Calixarenes Revised: Monographs in Supramolecular Chemistry Vol. 6*, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1998; (b) Z. Asfari, V. Böhmer, J. Harrowfield, J. Vicens in *Calixarenes 2001*, (Eds. Z. Asfari, V. Böhmer, J. Harrowfield, J. Vicens) Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2001.
- (a) S. Pappalardo, G. Ferguson, J. F. Gallagher, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 7102; (b) C. Klein, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, J. Fischer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 239; (c) X. Delaigue, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, *New J. Chem.*, 2001, **25**, 207; (d) C. Klein, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, N. Kyritsakas, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, 802; (f) G. Laugel, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, J.-M. Planeix, N. Kyritsakas, *New J. Chem.*, 2006, **30**, 1340; (g) C. Klein, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 9043; (h) C. Klein, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, G. Mislin, A. De Cian, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 9043; (i) C. Klein, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, N. Kyritsakas-Gruber, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 395; (j) C. Klein, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, N. Kyritsakas-Gruber, *Transactions ACA*, 2005, **39**, 1; (k) C. Klein, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, N. Kyritsakas-Gruber, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 1299; (l) J. Ehrhart, J.-M. Planeix, N. Kyritsakas-Gruber, M. W. Hosseini, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 2552; (m) J. Ehrhart, J.-M. Planeix, N. Kyritsakas-Gruber, M. W. Hosseini, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 6309; (n) J. Ehrhart, J.-M. Planeix, N. Kyritsakas-Gruber, M. W. Hosseini, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 2137.
- W. Jaunky, M. W. Hosseini, J.-M. Planeix, A. De Cian, N. Kyritsakas, J. Fischer, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 2313.
- (a) H. Kumagai, M. Hasegawa, S. Miyanari, Y. Sugawa, Y. Sato, T. Hori, S. Ueda, H. Kamiyama, S. Miyano, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 3971; (b) H. Akdas, L. Bringel, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, G.

-
- Mislin, J. Pansanel, A. De Cian, J. Fischer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 2311; (c) M.W. Hosseini in *Calixarenes 2001*, (Eds. Z. Asfari, V. Böhmer, J. Harrowfield, J. Vicens) Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2001, pp.110.
- [16] M. N. Kozlova, S. Ferlay, S. E. Solovieva, I. S. Antipin, A. I. Konovalov, N. Kyritsakas, M. W. Hosseini, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 5126.
- [17] H. Akdas, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, J. M. Harrowfield, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 2219.
- [18] M. N. Kozlova, S. Ferlay, N. Kyritsakas, M. W. Hosseini, S. E. Solovieva, I. S. Antipin, A. I. Konovalov, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 2514.
- [19] J. Sykora, M. Himl, I. Stibor, I. Cisarova, P. Lhotak, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 2244.
- [20] (a) A. Ovsyannikov, M. N. Lang, S. Ferlay, S. E. Solovieva, I. S. Antipin, A. I. Konovalov, N. Kyritsakas, M. W. Hosseini, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 116 (b) A. Ovsyannikov, S. Ferlay, S. E. Solovieva, I. S. Antipin, A. I. Konovalov, N. Kyritsakas, M. W. Hosseini, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 9946.
- [21] (a) P. Rao, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, J. Fischer, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 2169; (b) H. Akdas, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. de Cian, A. Bilyk, B. W. Skelton, G. A. Koutsantonis, I. Murray, J. M. Harrowfield, A. H. White, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 1042.
- [22] H. Akdas, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, P. Rao, A. De Cian, *J. Supra. Chem.*, 2002, **2**, 21.
- [23] H. Akdas, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, N. Kyritsakas-Gruber, *C. R. Chimie*, 2003, **6**, 565.
- [24] K. Hirata, T. Suzuki, A. Noya, I. Takei and M. Hidai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 3718.
- [25] D. Buccella, G. Parkin; *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 289.
- [26] H. Akdas, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, A. Bilyk, B. W. Skelton, G. A. Koutsantonis, I. Murray, J. M. Harrowfield, A. H. White, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 1042.
- [27] P. Rao, O. Enger, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, J. Fischer, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, 1503.
- [28] A. Ovsyannikov, S. Ferlay, S. E. Solovieva, I. S. Antipin, A. I. Konovalov, N. Kyritsakas, M. W. Hosseini, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 6776.
- [29] Sheldrick, G. M.: *Program for Crystal Structure Solution*; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [30] G. Mislin, E. Graf, M. W. Hosseini, A. De, Cian, N. Kyritsakas, J. Fischer, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 2545.
-

Table 1 : Crystallographic Parameters for **1-AgBF₄**, **1-AgNO₃** and **1-AgSbF₆** recorded at 173 K.

Formula	1-AgBF₄ C ₆₄ H ₆₈ N ₃₂ S ₄ AgBF ₄	1-AgNO₃ C ₃₂ H ₃₂ N ₃₂ S ₄ AgNO ₃	1-AgSbF₆ C ₃₂ H ₃₂ N ₃₂ S ₄ AgSbF ₆
Molecular weight	1344.38	896.14	918.47
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Trigonal
Space group	C2/c	C2/c	P3 ₁ 21
a(Å)	23.1441(10)	17.4196(3)	23.1415(11)
b(Å)	14.5274(10)	15.7381(3)	23.1415(11)
c(Å)	19.8691(12)	29.2392(6)	15.1463(6)
α(deg)	90	90	90
β(deg)	105.167(4)	97.8830(10)°	90
γ(deg)	90	90	120
V(Å ³)	6447.8(7)	7940.2(3)	7024.6(5)
Z	4	8	6
Colour	colourless	colourless	colourless
Crystal dim (mm ³)	0.09 x 0.07 x 0.06	0.07 x 0.05 x 0.05	0.09 x 0.07 x 0.05
Dcalc (gcm ⁻³)	1.385	1.499	1.303
F(000)	2784	3664	2736
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.626	0.960	1.217
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Number of data meas.	121323	45043	43790
Number of data with I > 2σ(I)	8888 [R(int) = 0.0684]	11678 [R(int) = 0.0387]	10045 [R(int) = 0.1230]
R	R1 = 0.0544, wR2 = 0.1546	R1 = 0.0420, wR2 = 0.1048	R1 = 0.10947, wR2 = 0.2534
Rw	R1 = 0.0899, wR2 = 0.1793	R1 = 0.0714, wR2 = 0.1283	R1 = 0.1821, wR2 = 0.2995
GOF	1.013	1.035	1.186
Largest peak in final difference (eÅ ⁻³)	1.471 and -0.584	1.023 and -0.675	1.618 and -0.819

Graphical Abstract

Structural and coordination propensity of anions direct the connectivity and dimensionality of *meta*-pyridyl appended tetramercaptotetrathiacalix[4]arene in 1,3-alternate conformation silver coordination networks.