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Abstract

Mid-latitude atmospheric variability is mainly driven by extratropical cyclones (ETCs) that play a primary role in determining the variation in local weather and marine conditions. ETCs have a broad range of intensities, from benign to extreme, and their paths, frequency, and intensity may change with global warming. However, how ETCs, and cyclones in general, currently affect marine wildlife is poorly studied and remains substantially unexplored. To understand how extreme winter ETCs affect the inter-annual variability of adult seabird survival within and between populations, we used capture-mark-recapture datasets collected in two arctic (northern Canada and Svalbard) and one subarctic (northern Norway) breeding populations of common eider, Somateria mollissima, over periods of 19, 16 and 30 years, respectively. We found significant negative correlations between winter ETC activity and eider survival, but different mechanisms appear to be involved in the different studied populations. The number of winter ETCs, extreme or not, was found to be linked to survival without lags in the Canadian population, whereas amplitude and duration of extreme winter ETCs (with time lags) impacted adult survival in the Svalbard and northern Norway eider breeding populations. We hypothesise that fjords found on the wintering grounds would act as climatic shelters and provide natural protection, and hence could partly explain inter-population heterogeneity in the response to ETCs. We suggest that ETCs represent a likely mechanism behind the frequently reported relationship between North Atlantic Oscillation and seabird survival in the North Atlantic.

Introduction

Understanding how individuals and populations respond to fluctuations in climatic conditions is critical in order to explain and anticipate changes in ecological systems. This requires identifying relevant climatic parameters that affect demographic rates (e.g. survival, recruitment
or breeding success; (Jenouvrier 2013), both directly and indirectly (Grosbois & Thompson 2005, Harris et al. 2005). In some regions, extreme climatic events such as heat waves, droughts, intense precipitation and storms are predicted to increase in frequency in most future climate scenarios and have been identified as one of the largest threats to wildlife (Easterling et al. 2000 IPCC 2002; (Ummenhofer & Meehl 2017). Adverse weather conditions caused by these events have already been identified as having a direct impact on plants (e.g. (Reyer et al. 2013) and animals (e.g. Jenouvrier et al. (2015) for birds, Tyler (2010) for large mammals).

Tropical cyclones, defined as air masses rotating around a centre of low atmospheric pressure originating in the tropics, and their associated strong winds are often reported as examples of extreme events, which can drive wildlife demography (e.g. Dunham et al. (2011) on a lemur species or Pike and Stiner (2007) on sea turtle species). In birds, most studies dealing with the effect of tropical cyclones are based on a single population and a single extreme event (Chambers et al. 2011, Raynor et al. 2013), with much greater mass-mortality occurring during migration periods (Newton 2007). However, studies based on long-term population monitoring and fluctuations of extreme events over time are crucial to properly quantify the effect of such events on bird demography (van de Pol et al. 2017). There are very few examples of such studies in the literature in the context of extreme events (Jenouvrier et al. 2009, Boano et al. 2010, Genovart et al. 2013, Descamps et al. 2015). Moreover, populations of a given species and individuals within a population may respond differently to extreme events. None of the previous studies considered such potential heterogeneity although it may influence species persistence.

In addition, the vulnerability of wildlife to cyclones occurring outside the tropics (extratropical cyclones, ETCs; (Wang et al. 2013) has never been investigated although they are a dominant feature of mid-latitude atmospheric variability and play a primary role in determining
local weather (Hoskins & Hodges 2002, Ulbrich et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2013). ETCs (extratropics defined as 30–90°N or S) are often associated with extreme winds and precipitation and with changes in temperature (Hoskins & Hodges 2002, Ulbrich et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2013). Hence, assessing the potential effects of ETCs and their extremes (i.e., cyclones associated with extremely high wind speeds) on marine bird species breeding, wintering or migrating outside the tropics is important to understand ecological responses to changes in climatic conditions.

In this study, we investigated the influence of winter ETCs, extreme or not, on adult survival of a long-lived sea duck, the common eider (Somateria mollissima). Increased ETC activity could directly (no time lag) increase their foraging effort and energetic needs required to maintain body temperature (Heath et al. 2006, Heath & Gilchrist 2010) and ultimately may directly decrease common eider survival. In addition, ETCs could negatively and indirectly (with time lag) affect eider survival by influencing oceanographic conditions (Visbeck et al. 2003, Sarafanov 2009) and hence eider food availability and/or food quality (Menge et al. 2008, Descamps et al. 2010). Heavy storms can destroy bivalve beds at shallow depths (Brenko & Calabrese 1969, Reusch & Chapman 1995, Carrington 2002, Carrington et al. 2009) or reduce primary productivity (Fujii & Yamanaka 2008).

The effects of ETCs could be modulated by coastal topography and by the presence of fjords on the eider wintering grounds. Fjords, hereafter named “climatic shelters”, are defined as narrow inlets of the sea between cliffs or steep slopes, which can provide natural shelters from the wind (Howe et al. 2010). The climatic shelter effect of fjords has already been suggested for marine wildlife (Boje 2002, Brown 2002, Sanino & Van Waerebeek 2008, Howe et al. 2010, Acevedo et al. 2017). Hence, we expect that the direct effects of ETCs on birds should be
strongly reduced for eiders wintering in areas offering climatic shelters. When natural shelters
are available, the effects of ETCs, if any, should be mostly indirect (through the food chain). The
effects of ETCs may thus vary among and within populations, depending on the presence of
climatic shelters and on the frequency and/or intensity of the ETC affecting the specific
wintering grounds used by birds. We examined the relationship between winter ETCs and eider
survival in three breeding populations with different wintering areas. We predicted intra- and
inter-population heterogeneity in the effects of ETCs on survival and hence defined population-
specific predictions.

Most female eiders breeding in Canada winter in southwest Greenland, where there is
access to climatic shelters ( fjords), while the rest of the population winter in southern Atlantic
Canada, with no access to fjords (Mosbech et al. 2006, Guéry et al. 2017). Winter ETC activity
also varies between these two distinct wintering grounds (e.g. more ETCs and a longer duration
of extreme ETCs in southern Atlantic Canada; Table 1). We thus expected to observe intra-
population heterogeneity in the response of eider survival to ETC activity in this Canadian
breeding population, and we predicted that winter ETC activity would have a negative influence
with a direct (without time lag) effect only on the survival of eiders wintering in southern
Atlantic Canada ( Figure 1). For eiders breeding in Svalbard, the majority winter in the northeast
fjords of Iceland while the others winter in fjords of northern Norway. Eiders breeding in
northern Norway are year-round residents and spend the winter in the fjords close to their
breeding grounds. We thus expected no direct effect of winter ETC activity in both the Svalbard
and Northern Norway breeding populations ( Figure 1).

Finally, we expected that the frequency and intensity of winter ETCs would be the main
specific driver explaining the reported relationships between the North Atlantic Oscillation
(hereafter, NAO; Hurrell et al. 2003) and the survival of eider populations wintering in the North Atlantic (Guéry et al. 2017). The winter NAO is well-known to be correlated with mean intensity, track density and mean speed of ETCs (Sorteberg et al. 2005), especially in winter (Hurrell et al. 2003). In accordance with previous results based on NAO (Guéry et al. 2017), we predicted that winter ETC activity would have negative and indirect (with time lag) effects on eiders wintering in northern Norway (Figure 1). The specific wintering location of many individuals in our study populations was unknown. To investigate intra-population heterogeneity, we thus used a novel statistical tool (capture-recapture mixture model) to test for a priori expected intra-population heterogeneity. This approach allowed us to examine the relationship between local wintering conditions and the survival response of groups of individuals generated by the mixture model based on capture history. A lack of recapture data in the Svalbard breeding population prevented us from properly testing intra-population heterogeneity in the survival response to ETC activity, so the ETC effect of each wintering ground (either Iceland or northern Norway) was tested on the whole population.

Materials and methods

Study species and populations

Common eider (Somateria mollissima)

Common eiders are sea ducks that mostly breed in the Arctic and whose females are typically faithful to their natal colony (Wakeley & Mendall 1976, Swennen 1989). They start breeding between 2 and 5 years old (Hario et al. 2009) and can live an average of 15 years (Zammuto 1986). Only adult females (>2 years old as they come back to the colony to attempt breeding) were captured on their breeding grounds and included in the study, but their exact age was unknown. Their winter diet can include several marine resource types such as bivalves, marine
polychaete worms, gastropods, crustaceans and echinoderms (Goudie & Ankney 1986, Guillemette et al. 1992, Merkel et al. 2007).

Canadian Arctic, East Bay Island

Data were collected from 1996 to 2014 on East Bay Island (Mitivik Island; 0.19 km²), a small island in the North East of Southampton Island, Nunavut, Canada. An average of 545 female eiders (ranging from 209 in 1997 to 1035 in 2006) were annually seen from observation blinds or physically captured. Blind distribution and the rolling topography of the island induced detection heterogeneity among birds (Guéry et al. 2017). The wintering areas of 26 common eider females were determined (see below) using satellite transmitters implanted in 2001 and 2003 (Mosbech et al. 2006), and in 2012 and 2013 (Gilchrist unpubl. data; Figure 2). The procedures for capturing, marking and band reading in East Bay are described in detail by both Mosbech et al. (2006) and Descamps et al. (2010). Female eiders from East Bay winter either in southwest Greenland (78%) or near sea ice edges of southern Atlantic Canada (22%) along southern Labrador, Newfoundland, and south of the Gulf of Saint-Lawrence (Mosbech et al. 2006; Figure 2). Sea ice is observed only in the southern Atlantic wintering area (http://nsidc.org/data/sealice_index/archives/image_select.html). In the Gulf of St. Lawrence, eiders preferably feed on blue mussels that they find near the shore, in kelp beds or in rocky habitat (Guillemette et al. 1992), while they feed on gastropods in Newfoundland (Goudie & Ankney 1986) and on softshell clams Mya eideri and marine polychaete worms that they find in soft sediments in southwest Greenland (Merkel et al. 2007).

Svalbard, Prins Heinrich Island
An average of 65 females (ranging from 8 in 2003 to 136 in 2007, with 0 captured in 2000 and 2006) were physically captured every year from 1999 to 2014 on Prins Heinrich Island (78° 55 ‘N, 12°00’ E; Figure 2), on the west coast of Svalbard, one of several islands housing an eider colony with 3000 breeding females. This small island (0.06 km$^2$) in Kongsfjorden, covered by rock and tundra, is a flat and homogeneous island. No heterogeneity in detection occurred (Guéry et al. 2017). About 77% of eiders in this breeding population migrated to northeastern Iceland and 23% to northern Norway (Hanssen et al. 2016), called zone 1 (Figure 2). Wintering locations were determined from geolocators (Global Location Sensing or GLS logging; Wilson et al. 1992), which record sunlight intensity used to calculate visited areas with an accuracy of ca. 200 km around each point (Wilson et al. 1992). Due to the lack of variation in day length with respect to latitude close to equinoxes, latitudes are unreliable for these specific periods.

Geolocators were deployed on female common eiders breeding on Storholmen Island, located 5 km NE of Prins Heinrich Island. A total of 95 females were equipped in June or July over four years (2009, 2010, 2011 and 2013), while 48 females were recaptured and data was successfully retrieved from 47 geolocators. Details on capture, geolocator deployment, and the calibration, smoothing and calculations of the geolocator data are described in Hanssen et al. (2016).

Northern Norway, Grindøya Island

This study was conducted in an eider colony (200-500 pairs) on Grindøya, a 0.65-km$^2$ island near Tromsø, northern Norway (69°37’N, 18°51’E, Figure 2). During the breeding seasons of 1985-2014, nesting female eiders were captured (average of 104; ranging from 36 in 2014 to 235 in 1995) with a noose pole during the incubation period, i.e. only physical recaptures occurred (no band reading at distance). Females from Grindøya annually breed in habitats including beach,
stunted forest or bushes that induced heterogeneity in detection probability (Guéry et al. 2017). They are resident and preferably feed on blue mussels. They thus spend the winter in the vicinity of their breeding location in northern Norway (Bustnes & Erikstad 1993, Anker-Nilssen et al. 2000), called zone 2, all exposed to the same winter conditions.

Wintering areas definition

Wintering areas were defined using tracking data for the two migrating populations: from satellite transmitters for the Canadian breeding population and geolocators for the Svalbard breeding population. All tracking data were filtered to keep only reliable locations following the procedures described in Mosbech et al. (2006) for the Canadian breeding population and in Hanssen et al. (2016) for the Svalbard breeding population. Thus, we extracted winter locations from December to March for the Canadian population and from December to February for the Svalbard population. Data were excluded close to the spring equinox (March) for Svalbard eiders (see above). We then calculated kernel densities and kernel contours using the adehabitatHR package in R (Calenge 2006). Finally, as female eiders only reside at sea and along shorelines during winter, we subtracted land cover from the density core contours to get the final wintering areas (Figure 3). For eiders from northern Norway, no tracking data were available, but they are known to stay close to the shore in water shallower than 10m (Bustnes & Lønne 1997) in the vicinity of their breeding island (mostly within 50 km, Bustnes and Erikstad unpublished data). As eiders spread along the shoreline, we defined their wintering area as the smallest ellipse that is allowed by the data grid resolution of the data used to identify ETCs and is parallel to the shoreline and centred on the breeding island, i.e. with a major axis of 200 km and a minor axis of 160 km. We also subtracted land cover to get the final wintering areas.
Variables considered

Winter ETC variables

ETCs in the northern hemisphere are weather systems with an anticlockwise circulation, around a centre of low atmospheric pressure. They are much less known to biologists than tropical cyclones but are of paramount importance as they regulate local weather and contribute to the general circulation of the atmosphere through the transportation of energy and momentum polewards (Hoskins & Hodges 2002, Ulbrich et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2013). Also, ETCs are different from tropical cyclones since they primarily get their energy from horizontal temperature contrasts, though diabatic processes can also play an important role in their development, whereas tropical cyclones are dependent on evaporation over warm tropical waters and develop via the release of latent heat. Even if tropical cyclones can undergo extratropical transition (Jones et al. 2003) to become extratropical cyclones, no tropical cyclones reached the latitudes of the eider wintering areas during the study period.

Several methods exist to track ETCs, using either minimum pressure or maximum vorticity (in the north hemisphere), which is a measure of the spin of the air. Because these methods have different views on what and when define a cyclone, they often differ on how many cyclones are detected. However, differences mainly occur for the weaker storms, and they generally agree for the strongest ones. Readers are referred to Ulbrich et al. (2009) for a comprehensive review of studies using different cyclone tracking methods. In this study, we used the approach described in Roberts et al. (2014) and Hoskins and Hodges (2002), i.e. ETCs are identified and tracked using the maximum vorticity approach based on the 850hPa relative vorticity since it represents smaller spatial scales than the pressure and is less influenced by the
large-scale background (Hodges 1994, Hoskins & Hodges 2002, Roberts et al. 2014). To remove short-lived and stationary systems, tracks were retained for further analysis only when they lasted longer than 1 day and travelled further than 1000 km. Thus, we considered all synoptic scale (1000-2500 km) ETCs in the northern hemisphere (30-90°N), which cover a broad range of intensities, extreme or not. Data used for the cyclone tracking are from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim, (Dee et al. 2014)) for the December-March period of 1979-2015 at 3-hour time resolution (see Roberts et al. 2014).

Properties from the eider wintering areas were added to the tracks by defining a spherical cap sampling region of arc radius 6° (~670km) centred on the ETC positions (adequate for capturing the wind extremes for individual ETCs, (Bengtsson et al. 2009); Figure 3). Varying this radius between 5-10 degrees did not change our main conclusions. To calculate the winter ETC activity experienced by eiders, we considered all ETC regions overlapping with the population-specific wintering area defined above (Figure 3). All the wind-related variables added to the vorticity tracks were based on the 10m winds above the sea surface, available from the ERA-Interim data, as eiders generally fly just a few meters above the water. This was achieved by masking the 10m wind data to retain only data within the eider wintering areas.

Several classifications of extreme ETCs exist (see review of Catto (2016)), and we used the one based on wind impact, one of the major impacts of ETCs. We defined extreme ETCs as cyclones that reached at least once a wind speed above the 95th percentile threshold of the local wind speed distribution (Table 1). Wind speeds can vary substantially in our study areas. In Greenland for example, winter wind speeds associated with ETCs were an average of 11.7 ± 0.2 m s⁻¹, while wind speed reached 28.5 m s⁻¹ during the strongest ETC (Table 1). To describe ETC activity in each wintering area during the winter (December to March), we considered six variables from mean to extreme ETC activity within the ETC regions overlapping with the population-specific wintering area. The two variables for the mean ETC activity are the total number of ETCs (Number of ETCs), where an ETC is counted if the
sampling region overlaps a wintering region, and their mean wind speed (Mean wind). The four other variables measure the number of extreme ETCs, i.e. with wind speeds above the 95th percentile threshold of the local wind speed distribution at least once in the overlap region, the number of days of extreme ETC (Duration extreme ETCs), the duration of the longest ETC (Longest ETC) and the maximum wind speed of the strongest ETC (Max wind), all relative to the overlap. Descriptive statistics of each variable on wintering area are described in Table 1. Correlations (statistical test based on Pearson's correlation coefficient with the function cor.test in the software R) between variables of winter ETC activity and winter NAO are presented in the Supporting Information S2 for each wintering area. The winter NAO was significantly correlated with at least one winter ETC variable and in most cases with the number of winter ETCs.

Winter North Atlantic Oscillation

Winter North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) values are based on the difference in normalized sea level pressure between Lisbon, Portugal and Stykkisholmur/Reykjavik, Iceland. Data from [https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-station-based](https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-station-based) were used in the analysis. We defined the winter NAO as monthly NAO values averaged from December to March (Descamps et al. 2010, Guéry et al. 2017), when all the birds were on their wintering grounds.

Mark-recapture modelling procedure

We followed the same mark-recapture modelling procedure as the one presented in Guéry et al. (2017) including i) goodness-of-fit (GOF) tests to check the fit of our datasets to the single-state Cormack-Jolly-Seber (CJS) reference model (Burnham 1987) and test for individual detection heterogeneity (see Supporting Information S1), ii) CMR mixture models, which classify individuals (hidden classes) based on their encounter history implemented in program E-
iii) a model selection based on the Akaike’s information criterion (Burnham & Anderson 2002) adjusted accordingly (see below) and, iv) analyses of deviance (ANODEV, Grosbois et al. 2008) in order to test and quantify the influence of environmental covariates on eider survival in each population. We analysed 3954, 553 and 1336 individual encounter histories of female Common eiders respectively from the Canadian Arctic (East Bay), Svalbard (Prins Heinrich) and northern Norway (Grindøya). Avian cholera outbreaks have occurred regularly in the Canadian population, which strongly affected eider survival (Descamps et al. 2009, 2012). To remove this cause of mortality from the analysis, we removed the individuals at their last capture/recovery (right-censoring). Models were implemented in program E-SURGE (Choquet et al. 2009b). The implementation in practice of the CMR mixture models in program E-SURGE is given in Appendix S2 of Guéry et al. (2017).

All the GOF test components were computed using U-CARE (Choquet et al. 2009a), and GOF tests results are presented in Appendix S1 (Table S1).

In the model selection, we investigated the effect of winter ETCs on female eider survival with and without taking into account survival heterogeneity among individuals. Although the mark-recapture modelling procedure was the same, the approach proposed in this paper is different from Guéry et al. (2017). We started from these winter locations to define wintering areas and used them to extract local covariates (ETCs variables). We then considered whether variations in winter ETC variables on each wintering ground affected just one or both groups of eiders. We expected each wintering ground conditions to only explain survival variation of the right hypothetic group. We studied this influence of ETC activity with and without delayed effect (time lags). Finally, we compared the relationships between female eider survival and winter ETCs to that of survival and winter NAO, with or without time lags accordingly.
Concerning the impact of the winter NAO on eider survival, adding year 2014 to the time series used in the previous study (Guéry et al. 2017) confirmed their results in all three breeding populations, especially for the Svalbard population: winter NAO marginally explains survival fluctuations (p = 0.073; Table 2).

We used the Akaike’s information criterion (Burnham & Anderson 2002) to rank our models, adjusted for overdispersion (Quasi-Akaike’s information criterion, QAIC) for the Canadian population, adjusted for small sample size (Second-Order Akaike’s information criterion, AICc) for the Svalbard population and adjusted for both overdispersion and small sample size (QAICc) for the Norwegian population.

Finally, we performed analyses of deviance (ANODEV, Grosbois et al. 2008) to test whether or not variations in the covariate were significantly associated with variation in eider survival in each population, and we calculated the proportion of deviance (Dev) explained by a given covariate as:

$$R^2_{Dev} = \frac{Dev(F_{cst}) - Dev(F_{co})}{Dev(F_{cst}) - Dev(F_{t})}$$

where $F_{cst}$, $F_{t}$ and $F_{co}$ refer respectively to models with constant, time and covariate effects (results in Table 2).

**Results**

**Relationships between winter ETCs and eider survival**

In the Canadian Arctic breeding population (East Bay), the ETC variables that were significantly linked to adult eider survival and described this relation best were: the number of winter ETCs in southern Atlantic Canada with no time lag (model 1$_{time}$ Number of ETCs of sAC; Table 2; Figure 4) and the duration of the longest ETCs in southwest Greenland with a lag of two years (model 1$_{Longest ETC_{L2}}$ of G 2$_{constant}$; Table 2). As predicted, the most parsimonious model detected a
significant individual heterogeneity in the survival response to winter ETC activity in this
population. In this model, survival variation of the group, which represented 24% of individual
capture histories, was negatively related to the number of winter ETCs in southern Atlantic
Canada (group H2; slope on logit scale = -1.46 ± 0.38 SE), while survival of the largest one
(group H1, 76% of capture-histories) fluctuated over years (model 1 \( \text{time} \) 2 \( \text{Number of ETCs of sAC} \);
\( R_{Dev}^2 = 0.35 \); Table 2; Figure 4). Although statistically less supported (\( \Delta Q_{AI}C = 29.51 \); Table 1),
the duration of the longest ETC in southwest Greenland with a lag of two years was also
significantly and negatively correlated to survival of the group H1 (model 1 \( \text{Longest ETC}_L2 \) of G
2\( _{constant} \); slope on logit scale = -0.41±0.08 SE; \( R_{Dev}^2 = 0.39 \); Table 2).
Survival of eiders breeding in Svalbard and northern Norway was associated with lagged winter
ETC activity (Table 2). Survival of eiders breeding in Svalbard, for which intra-population
heterogeneity could not be tested, was negatively associated with the maximum wind speed of
the strongest ETC recorded on the Norwegian wintering ground zone 1 with a lag of two years
(model Max wind\_L2 of No; \( R_{Dev}^2 = 0.30 \); slope on logit scale = -0.65±0.26 SE; Table 2;
Figure 5) and the duration of extreme ETCs in Greenland with the same time lag (model
Duration extreme ETCs\_L2 of I; \( R_{Dev}^2 = 0.28 \); slope on logit scale = -0.47±0.24 SE; Table 2).
The main covariates that significantly and negatively explained survival of female eiders
breeding (and wintering) in northern Norway, i.e. Grindøya population, were the maximum wind
speed of the strongest ETC of northern Norway zone 2 with lags of one and two years (e.g.
model Max wind\_L1 of Nno; \( R_{Dev}^2 = 0.22 \); slope on a logit scale = -0.34 ± 0.06SE; Table 2; Figure
6). No significant heterogeneity was detected for this population (\( \Delta Q_{AI}C>3 \); Table 2).

**Comparison between winter extra tropical cyclones and North Atlantic Oscillation**

In East Bay population wintering areas, the winter NAO was highly and significantly
linked to the number of winter ETCs on the southern Atlantic Canada wintering ground
(R²=0.90; p<0.0001; Fig S2.1; Supporting Information S2), whereas it was not linked to winter ETC activity in Greenland with a lag of 2 years (Fig S2.3; Supporting Information S2).

Moreover, the number of ETCs in southern Atlantic Canada performed as well as the winter NAO to explain eider survival at a population level (h*NAO versus h*Number of ETCs of sAC; ΔQAIC = 1.35; R²_Deve=0.27 and 0.26 respectively). However, when we tested the effect of the number of winter ETCs in southern Atlantic Canada and the winter NAO on each group separately, the number of winter ETCs performed better (ΔQAIC = 3.81) and explained (R²_Deve = 0.35) survival variation of the smallest group better than the direct winter NAO (models 1_time 2_Number of ETCs of sAC versus 1_time 2_NAO; Table 2).

For the Svalbard population, the lagged winter NAO was significantly associated with the total number ETCs in Iceland (e.g. with winter NAO with one-year lag: R² = 0.74; p = 0.001; Fig S2.4; Supporting Information S2) but not significantly in northern Norway zone 1 (e.g. with winter NAO with one-year lag: R² = 0.48; p = 0.073; Fig S2.6; Supporting Information S2).

However, the ETC related covariates performed better than the NAO to explain variation in survival of Svalbard eiders. No significant influence of winter NAO (lagged or not) on survival was detected, whereas the maximum wind speed of ETCs in Norwegian wintering grounds (with a 2 years-lag) performed and explained eider survival better than winter NAO regardless of time lag (e.g. ΔQAIC = 2.00 between models Max wind_L2 of No and NAO; Table 2).

For the Norwegian breeding and wintering population, the winter NAO was significantly associated with the number of extreme winter ETCs (e.g. with winter NAO with one-year time lag: R² = 0.37; p = 0.049; Fig S2.8; Supporting Information S2). Survival of eiders breeding and wintering in northern Norway was better explained by the winter NAO with a lag of two years alone (model NAO_L2, R²_Deve = 0.32; Table 2) than by any winter ETC-related covariate. The
winter NAO with lags was not significantly associated to wind speed of the strongest ETC with lags (Fig S2.8; Fig S2.9; Supporting Information S2) and including both variables into the same model improved its performance. Models including the effects of both winter NAO and winter ETC activity performed better (Table 2) and explained a larger proportion of variation in survival (Table 2) than a model with winter NAO only. In particular, the winter NAO with a lag of two years combined with the maximum wind speed of ETCs with a lag of one year explained 53% of eider survival variation (model NAO_L2+Max wind_L1 of nNo; Table 2).

Discussion

The marine environment in non-tropical regions is highly influenced by ETCs (Hoskins & Hodges 2002, Ulbrich et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2013). Although several marine species winter in these regions, no study has quantitatively investigated the effect of cyclones occurring outside the tropics on wildlife species. By coupling long-term capture-mark-recapture data with individual tracking information to identify wintering areas, we provide the first quantitative study of the impact of winter extratropical cyclones (ETCs) and their extremes on the annual adult survival of a seabird. The underlying mechanisms involved in the winter ETC effect seem to differ between and within these populations according to their wintering grounds. As predicted, we detected inter- and intra-population heterogeneity in the response to winter ETC activity that could be driven by variation in the birds’ wintering areas.

Climatic fluctuations affect survival of a long-lived species

The winter ETC activity seems to have negative effects on female eider survival in all three breeding populations studied and explained between ca. 22% and 43% of the inter-annual survival variation. These effects are similar to the effects of tropical hurricanes recorded on Mediterranean Cory’s shearwaters migrating to the Central Atlantic and Southern Atlantic
Eiders are long-lived and their population growth rate is very sensitive to changes in adult survival (Sæther et al. 2000). Detecting a negative influence of local (here winter ETC activity) and/or global (e.g. winter NAO) climatic variables on survival is thus important to better understand the future viability of their populations. Adult survival is expected to be buffered against environmental variability (Gaillard & Yoccoz 2003), but several studies also showed that climatic variation, whether extreme or not, could reduce the adult survival of long-lived species (e.g. (Sandvik et al. 2005).

**Direct impact of winter ETCs versus indirect impact of their extremes on survival**

Winter ETC activity can directly increase seabird mortality through different mechanisms. They can disturb their feeding behaviour, which is sensitive to the occurrence of stormy weather (e.g. (Finney et al. 1999). Common eiders are mostly visual feeders (Frimer 1994), so foraging can be affected by water turbidity associated with strong winds or sea-surface conditions that reduce visual acuity (Eriksson 1985, Henkel 2006). Since ETC activity increases winds, waves and current speed, it can also increase foraging costs for eiders. While common eiders employ a variety of tactics to reduce energy costs during diving, descent duration and number of strokes during descent increase exponentially with increasing current velocity, suggesting an increase in the energetic costs of diving (Heath et al. 2006)Heath & Gilchrist 2010). During ETCs, fast currents, the strong increase in drag and energetic costs of diving make even foraging unprofitable with net energy gain per dive cycle predicted to reach zero and become unprofitable at 1.21 m s−1 at 11.3 m depth (Hawkins et al. 2000, Heath et al. 2006, Heath & Gilchrist 2010). Common eiders stop foraging and rest well below this threshold (Heath & Gilchrist 2010), which lowers their energetic gains (Dehnhard et al. 2013). Adding to the fact
that the winter period reduces the feeding window of these diurnal feeders (Systad et al. 2000, Heath & Gilchrist 2010), winter ETC activity can potentially cause starvation because birds are unable to forage efficiently (Chambers et al. 2011).

On the other hand, extreme ETCs may impact eider survival through indirect (lagged) effects via the food chain. Different mechanisms can be hypothesized; extreme wind events associated with extreme ETCs are an important natural disturbance in coastal systems (Richardson & LeDrew 2006), decreasing the food availability of common eiders’ benthic prey. Winter diet of common eiders includes different marine resources depending on their wintering grounds: preferably small blue mussels of smaller sizes (Bustnes & Erikstad 1990, Varennes et al. 2015) found near the shore, in kelp beds or rocky habitat in the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Guillemette et al. 1992) or in northern Norway (Bustnes & Erikstad 1988), softshell clams Mya eideri and marine polychaete worms found in soft sediments in southwest Greenland (Merkel et al. 2007), gastropods in Newfoundland (Goudie & Ankney 1986), or sometimes crustaceans and echinoderms. They can also include sea urchins found in shallow water near the shore (<10 m; Cottam 1939, Madsen 1954, Bustnes & Lønne 1997). Extreme cyclones can remove large intertidal and subtidal areas rich in fauna and macroalgae (e.g. (Thomsen et al. 2004), destroy bivalve beds at shallow depths (Reusch & Chapman 1995, Carrington 2002, Carrington et al. 2009) and directly decimate sea urchins and drive their community structure (Ebeling et al. 1985). In addition, winter ETCs can induce strong wave action, which lowers blue mussel growth rates (Sukhotin et al. 2006), reduce primary productivity, lowering mussel growth rates to taking 3-5 years to reach 1-2cm (Bustnes & Erikstad 1990, Varennes et al. 2015) if carried to the extreme (Fujii & Yamanaka 2008), and act on intertidal gastropod populations via size-specific mortality and indirectly affect them by altering their foraging behaviour, growth and life histories.
Also, oceanic volume fluxes are positively related to Nordic seas cyclone activity (Sorteberg et al. 2005) providing transportation of blue mussel larvae over long distances (Berge et al. 2005). As larvae settle when they reached a size between 0.026 - 0.035mm (Sprung 1984) and current velocity drives the settlement of marine *polychaete* worms (Pawlik & Butman 1993), extreme winter ETC activity could decrease these species’ settlement with higher velocity and thus decrease food availability for eiders in subsequent years. Hence, extreme ETCs may have cascading effects on eider survival through a variety of specific mechanisms.

*Contrasted effect of winter ETC activity between and within eider populations: a “climatic shelter” effect?*

First, the strongest relation we detected was a direct and negative link (consistent with our predictions) between the total number of all winter ETCs in southern Atlantic Canada and the survival of eiders breeding in Canada. More precisely, this variable was only associated with the survival of one group of birds (H2; 24% of individual capture histories), potentially those migrating to the southern Atlantic Canada area. Secondly, the wind speed of the strongest extreme winter ETCs impacted, with a time lag, the survival of eiders breeding and wintering in northern Norway, and also, although less strongly, those breeding in Svalbard. Indirect effects of the duration of extreme ETC activity in southwest Greenland were also detected on one group of birds from Canada (H1; 76% of individual capture histories), potentially those wintering on the southwest Greenland ground; indirect impacts of the same variable in Iceland was observed on survival of eiders from Svalbard.
We propose that the detected inter- and intra-population heterogeneity, i.e. the direct versus indirect impacts of winter ETC activity on survival described above, can be mostly explained by variation in the natural “climatic shelters” on eider wintering grounds. We suggest that direct effects can occur in the absence of shelter, whereas indirect effects likely occur via the impact of ETC activity on prey availability. Shelter against wind can result in microclimate effects and affect eider energetics during incubation (Høyvik Hilde et al. 2016). At a larger spatial scale, areas providing natural shelter may drive the population response to extreme environmental conditions through various mechanisms. The shelter effect of fjords on marine wildlife has been proposed in the literature (see review by Howe et al. (2010)). Howe et al. (2010) defined fjords as “inshore sheltered deep-water bodies, which often possess a unique biogeochemistry, fauna, hydrography and sedimentation”. Fjord and channel systems in Scandinavia, Iceland and Greenland form some of the largest estuarine areas in the world. Fjords are used for spawning grounds, nursery, and recruitment areas by many marine fishes with contrasting life histories, varying from mesopelagic (Lopes 1979) and pelagic (Brown 2002) to demersal fishes (Boje 2002). Fjords are also used by marine mammals seeking refuge from severe weather conditions (Sanino & Van Waerebeek 2008, Acevedo et al. 2017).

Female eiders wintering in southern Atlantic Canada feed along the sea ice edge (Goudie & Ankney 1986) with potentially few climatic shelter areas. These individuals can thus be directly exposed to harsh weather conditions and hence be directly impacted by winter ETCs (Figure 1). In addition, sea ice formation covers shallow coastal waters, so wintering sea ducks are cut off from their marine resources and lose potential feeding sources that could have compensated losses of energy caused by low air temperature and foraging in deeper and agitated waters (Vaitkus & Bubinas 2001). On the contrary, Canadian breeding eiders that winter in southwest Greenland are likely less vulnerable to direct effects of ETC activity because they have access to fjords extending deep inland (Ravn Merkel et al. 2002), which may provide shelters that are well protected against extreme ETC winds. In addition, eiders from Svalbard or northern Norway wintering along the Norwegian coast, although facing weaker winter ETC activity than in Canada (
Table 1), can feed along the shores of the fjords (Bustnes and Erikstad unpublished data), which may also provide good climatic shelters and reduce the direct effects of ETC activity. However, the effect of ETCs on benthic invertebrates in fjords or in exposed coastal areas deserves further investigation to better understand the role of natural climatic shelters on the food chain and on eider survival.

**Effect of winter NAO and ETCs**

The potential mechanisms involved in the relationships between eider survival, winter NAO and local ETC activity were found to differ between populations. Winter ETCs appeared to be one of the main drivers explaining the observed NAO effects on eider survival (Guéry et al. 2017) but only in the Canadian wintering population. In the Norwegian breeding population, we found evidence that NAO and ETCs can have independent and cumulative influences. For eiders breeding in the Canadian Arctic, the previously detected direct effect of the winter NAO might be due, at least in part, to its relation with the number of ETCs in the southern Atlantic Canada. Conversely, lagged winter NAO and lagged wind speed of the strongest ETC in northern Norway seem to have independent and cumulative influences on survival of eiders breeding and wintering in northern Norway, explaining together ca. 53% of the adult female eider survival. The link between these two variables with oceanographic conditions in the North East Atlantic could explain this cumulative effect. A low winter NAO with a lag of up to 3 years leads to an increase in sea temperature and salinity in the sub-polar North Atlantic (Sarafanov 2009). This increase in sea temperature and salinity may have in turn a negative impact on blue mussel larvae and adult survival (Brenko & Calabrese 1969, Braby & Somero 2006, Menge et al. 2008),
adding to the negative effect of ETC activity on them. Further investigation is needed to better understand these effects on annual eider survival.

Large-scale climate indices, such as the NAO, are often used as proxies of environmental conditions as they integrate both temporal and spatial components of several weather variables (Stenseth & Mysterud 2005). However, our study showed that local weather variables are essential and complementary to sharpen our hypothesis on mechanisms when they can be difficult to test in the wild.

Effect of extreme events on survival

While many studies focused on a single extreme climatic event (ECE), or one characteristic of several ECEs (usually frequency), we have investigated several characteristics of ECEs (frequency, duration and amplitude) over a long-term study. Interestingly, common eider survival was affected by the amplitude and duration of ECEs but not by their frequency; Canadian eider survival was not impacted by the number of extreme ETCs in southern Atlantic Canada but the total number ETCs, whether extreme or not. We detected an effect of the amplitude of ECEs in regions of relatively low ETC activity, while, we found an effect of the duration in areas of intense ETC activity. This pattern probably stood out because the effect of ECEs occurred mostly through the food web in our study systems, where long lasting or large ECEs are more likely affecting the benthic ecosystems. Our results are noteworthy as population models focusing on the impact of ECEs usually focus on their frequency (e.g. (Jenouvrier et al. 2015) rather than their duration or amplitude. Frequency, amplitude and duration of extreme weather events are predicted to increase in most future climate scenarios (Rind et al. 1989, Easterling et al. 2000), potentially impacting population persistence.
Conclusion

Even if adult survival of long-lived species should be buffered against environmental variability (Gaillard & Yoccoz 2003), extreme weather conditions (such as extreme ETCs) significantly affect adult survival during the wintering period and can have drastic effects on population trends even with minimal reductions in survival. This is especially important in the case of extreme weather events whose frequency, amplitude and duration are predicted to increase in most future climate scenarios (Rind et al. 1989, Easterling et al. 2000), potentially impacting population persistence. Several studies have reported changes in ETC activity at high latitudes in the northern hemisphere (Bengtsson et al. 2009, Ulbrich et al. 2009). In terms of future work, it might be interesting to consider the clustering of cyclones (Mailier et al. 2006), i.e. where cyclones follow one another in a short period of time, to see whether wildlife species are only affected by separate intense cyclones or also by a succession of ETCs.

Hence, to predict the effects of global change on wild populations, differences in individual life-history strategies (e.g. migration strategies) must be taken into account as they introduce inter- and intra-population heterogeneities in the response to climatic fluctuations. In our case, an increase in winter ETC severity or frequency could directly affect only a segment of the population depending on where birds winter, for example with or without access to climatic shelter areas. Whatever the exact causes may be (e.g. wintering strategy, age…), intra-population heterogeneities will impact population trajectories and viability, and should thus be incorporated into population models (Coulson et al. 2001, Benton et al. 2006, Kendall et al. 2011).
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Table 1: Descriptive statistics of winter (December-March) extratropical cyclones (ETC) activity variables (mean ± SE (min-max)) on each wintering ground from 1996-2014 for Greenland and Atlantic Canada, 1999-2014 for Iceland and northern Norway zone 1 and 1985-2014 for northern Norway zone 2. The two variables for the mean ETC activity are the total number of ETCs (Number of ETCs) and the mean of their wind speed (Mean wind) within the overlap region. The four other variables measure the number of extreme ETCs, i.e. with wind speed above the 95th percentile threshold of the local wind speed distribution at least once in the overlap region, the number of days of extreme ETC (Extreme ETCs) relative to the overlap. “95th percentile” is the 95th percentile threshold of local wind speed distribution (m s\(^{-1}\)). Wind speeds are at a 10-m height.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Greenland</th>
<th>Atlantic Canada</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>northern Norway zone 1</th>
<th>northern Norway zone 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of ETCs</td>
<td>45.55 ± 2.01</td>
<td>69.33 ± 1.40</td>
<td>53.27 ± 3.11</td>
<td>32.87 ± 1.74</td>
<td>33.79 ± 1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(29-62)</td>
<td>(56-79)</td>
<td>(29-72)</td>
<td>(25-50)</td>
<td>(20-54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean wind (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>11.64 ± 0.22</td>
<td>12.77 ± 0.13</td>
<td>14.14 ± 0.14</td>
<td>9.35 ± 0.19</td>
<td>9.63 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of extreme ETCs</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.37</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0-6)</td>
<td>(0-6)</td>
<td>(1-5)</td>
<td>(0-3)</td>
<td>(0-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration extreme ETCs (days per winter)</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0-1.12)</td>
<td>(0-2.63)</td>
<td>(0.12-1.37)</td>
<td>(0-0.62)</td>
<td>(0-3.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest ETC (days per winter)</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.20</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.17</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.20</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.75-5.87)</td>
<td>(2.5-4.62)</td>
<td>(3-6.5)</td>
<td>(0.37-3.25)</td>
<td>(0.12-7.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max wind (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>24.87 ± 0.37</td>
<td>25.74 ± 0.52</td>
<td>28.68 ± 0.58</td>
<td>22.77 ± 0.70</td>
<td>22.51 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(21.74-27.61)</td>
<td>(22.27-29.15)</td>
<td>(25.54-32.67)</td>
<td>(18.82-29.26)</td>
<td>(17.68-29.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th percentile (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>23.30</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>25.30</td>
<td>21.68</td>
<td>20.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Effect of winter extratropical cyclones (ETC) activity with or without time lag of one (L1) or two (L2) years on female adult survival of common eider breeding in Canada (East Bay 1996-2014), in Svalbard (Prins Heinrich 1999-2014) and in northern Norway (Grindøya 1985-2014). Results of analysis of deviance (ANODEV) are presented for the first ten models. Variables from each wintering area (G for western Greenland, sAC for southern Atlantic Canada, I for Iceland, NA for northern Norway 1 and nNo for northern Norway zone 2) were tested either on each class separately (at East Bay: 1 stands for the group H1 wintering potentially in Greenland and 2 for the group H2 potentially in sAC), on both in interaction (h) or without heterogeneity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Description</th>
<th>Deviance</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>ΔCriterion</th>
<th>F_{j-1,n-j}</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>R_{\text{Dev}}^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada (East Bay)</td>
<td>QAIC</td>
<td>ΔQAIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 time 2 Number of ETCs of sAC</td>
<td>22511.14</td>
<td>8126.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 time 2 constant</td>
<td>22525.55</td>
<td>8129.45</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 time 2 NAO</td>
<td>22521.81</td>
<td>8130.12</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (no heterogeneity)</td>
<td>22578.08</td>
<td>8146.23</td>
<td>19.92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h* time</td>
<td>22483.95</td>
<td>8146.58</td>
<td>20.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Longest ETC L2 of G 2 Number of ETCs of sAC</td>
<td>22657.95</td>
<td>8146.89</td>
<td>20.47</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Longest ETC L2 of G 2 constant</td>
<td>22688.83</td>
<td>8155.92</td>
<td>29.51</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Duration extreme ETCs L2 of G 2 constant</td>
<td>22696.39</td>
<td>8158.52</td>
<td>32.21</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Number of extreme ETCs L2 of G 2 constant</td>
<td>22700.09</td>
<td>8159.84</td>
<td>33.54</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Number of ETCs L2 of G 2 constant</td>
<td>22710.50</td>
<td>8163.56</td>
<td>37.26</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svalbard (Prins Heinrich)</td>
<td>AICc</td>
<td>ΔAICc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max wind L2 of No</td>
<td>1952.24</td>
<td>1986.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration extreme ETCs L2 of I</td>
<td>1952.69</td>
<td>1987.44</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1932.36</td>
<td>1988.14</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ETCs of No</td>
<td>1954.02</td>
<td>1988.77</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ETCs L1 of No</td>
<td>1954.15</td>
<td>1988.90</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAO</td>
<td>1954.24</td>
<td>1988.99</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean wind L1 of I</td>
<td>1955.53</td>
<td>1990.28</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean wind of No</td>
<td>1956.30</td>
<td>1991.05</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ETCs L1 of No</td>
<td>1957.20</td>
<td>1991.95</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1960.67</td>
<td>1993.28</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Norway (Grindøya)</td>
<td>QAICc</td>
<td>ΔQAICc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAO L2+MaxWind L1 of nNo</td>
<td>8471.13</td>
<td>5882.87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAO L2+MaxWind L2 of nNo</td>
<td>8473.73</td>
<td>5884.65</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>8412.23</td>
<td>5896.07</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAO L2</td>
<td>8498.12</td>
<td>5899.34</td>
<td>16.48</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max wind L1 of nNo</td>
<td>8510.29</td>
<td>5907.70</td>
<td>24.83</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h* Max wind L1 of nNo</td>
<td>8509.98</td>
<td>5911.57</td>
<td>28.71</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max wind L2 of nNo</td>
<td>8517.54</td>
<td>5912.67</td>
<td>29.81</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h* Max wind L2 of nNo</td>
<td>8515.84</td>
<td>5915.60</td>
<td>32.73</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAO L1</td>
<td>8525.57</td>
<td>5918.18</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>8538.47</td>
<td>5924.99</td>
<td>42.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant ANODEV results are in bold. n is the number of survival estimates obtained from model Ft (fixed effect model with a time effect, see formula above), j the number of parameters required to describe the relationship between survival and the focal climatic covariate, F_{j-1,n-j} the ANODEV test statistic following a Fisher-Snedecor distribution with j-1 and n-j degrees of freedom, p the p-value of the ANODEV test and R_{\text{Dev}}^2 the proportion of survival variation explained by the covariate (see Grosbois et al. 2008 for more details).
Figure 1: Summary diagram of winter North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) effect (dark grey box; Guéry et al. 2017) and local winter extratropical cyclones (ETC) effect (light grey box) on female eider survival depending on their breeding population and wintering areas. For the Svalbard population, relations between survival and winter variables were given for the whole population (individual heterogeneity was not tested, see methods).
Figure 2: Grid cells corresponding to the winter (December to March) distribution (home range Kernel core, see methods) of female common eiders breeding in Canada (A) and wintering either in west Greenland or in southern Atlantic Canada, breeding in Svalbard (B) and wintering in Iceland and northern Norway. Resident eiders breeding near Tromsø (C) wintered in the vicinity of the island.

Figure 3: Schematic of winter extratropical cyclones (ETC) variables extraction. Black lines (A, B, C and D) are examples of ETC tracks. The light grey ellipse is an example of eider wintering area with the land part masked in white. Black circles are ETC buffer regions, i.e. a 6-degree geodesic radius cap centred on the ETCs, at different time (e.g. time “1” to “3” for the ETC track “C”). Parts in dark grey represent the ETC regions overlapping with the wintering area used to extract the winter ETC variables. For example, ETC corresponding to track B would be included in the number of ETC and if the numbers 1 to 3 referred to days, the duration of the ETC corresponding to track C is one day.
Figure 4: Influence of the number of winter extratropical cyclones (ETC) without time lag in southern Atlantic Canada (x-axis) on adult survival estimates (±SE; y-axis) of female eiders from group H2 and breeding in the Canadian Arctic. Isolated points represent survival estimates from the time dependent model with heterogeneity (model h*time; Table 2). The line represents predicted survival estimates constraint by the number of winter ETCs in southern Atlantic Canada (model with heterogeneity 1time 2Number of ETCs of sAC T; Table 2).

Figure 5: Influence of the maximum (max) wind speed of winter extratropical cyclones (ETC) with a two-years lag (L2) in northern Norway zone 1 (No; x-axis) on adult survival estimates (±SE; y-axis) of females eiders breeding in Svalbard. Isolated points represent survival estimates from the time dependent model with heterogeneity (model Time; Table 2). The line represents predicted survival estimates from the model with survival constraint with the maximum wind speed of the strongest ETCs with a two-year lag (Max wind L2 of No; Table 2).
Figure 6: Influence of the maximum (max) wind speed of the strongest winter extratropical cyclones (ETC) with a one-year lag in northern Norway zone 2 (x-axis) on adult survival estimates (±SE; y-axis) of female eiders breeding and wintering in northern Norway. Isolated points represent survival estimates from the time dependent model (model time; Table 2). The line represents predicted survival estimates from the model (φ(MaxWind_L1_nNo; Table 2) with survival constraint by the covariate.
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Table S1: Goodness-of-fit (GOF) tests results for the standard time-dependent Cormack-Jolly-Seber model (CJS-model) and the time-dependent model with individual heterogeneity of detection (IDH-model) for common Eider at Grindøya (1985-2014), East Bay (1996-2014) and Prins Heinrich (1999-2014) islands.
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Figure S2.1 to S2.9: Correlations between winter NAO and local winter ETCs-related variables with or without time lags in each wintering areas (Greenland (G), southern Atlantic Canada (sAC), Iceland (I), northern Norway zone 1 (No) and northern Norway zone 2 (Nno)) for the three populations breeding either in Canada (1996-2014), in Svalbard (1999-2014) or in northern Norway (1985-2014).