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Abstract:
- Inter-individual variability of tree drought responses within a stand has received little attention. Here we explore whether the spatial variations in soil/subsoil properties assessed through electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) could explain variations in drought response traits among trees.
- We used ERT to compute the percent variation in resistivity (PVR) between dry and wet conditions as an indicator of spatial variability in total available water content. PVR was computed in two different depth ranges (0-2 and 2-5 m) for eleven *Quercus ilex* stools in a Mediterranean forest stand. PVR values were compared to biological traits, including tree water status (predawn water potential (Ψ)), leaf traits (δ¹³C, leaf mass area (LMA)), and canopy defoliation measured after intense drought.
- We found significant correlations between PVR and biological variables. For Ψ, the nature and strength of the correlations vary according to the level of drought intensity. The correlation between Ψ and PVR was positive during well-watered conditions in the upper layer (0-2 m) and during water-limited conditions in the deeper layer (2-5 m). During most severe droughts, however, the Ψ was negatively correlated with PVR in the upper layer. Trees with lower PVR in the upper layer were also associated with water use efficiency (higher δ¹³C), higher LMA, and a lower level of defoliation after extreme drought.
- Overall, our results indicate that local differences in soil/subsoil properties affect tree response to drought and suggest that less favorable soil/subsoil conditions (lower PVR) can lead to lower water stress during the driest period and to lower defoliation after extreme drought. Plausible explanations for this better acclimation include higher stomatal regulation and improved deep soil and subsoil water exploration by trees located in more adverse conditions. We encourage the development of ERT in ecological studies to further explore the interrelated relationships between soil/subsoil, climate, and tree functioning.

Keywords: drought response, tree water status, leaf traits, hydrogeophysics, Mediterranean forests, ERT

Affiliations:
¹INRA, UMR 1114 EMMAH, Domaine Saint Paul, INRA Centre de recherche PACA, 228 route de l’Aérodrome, CS 40509, Domaine Saint-Paul, Site Agroparc, France,
²INRA, URFM, Domaine Saint Paul, INRA Centre de recherche PACA, 228 route de l’Aérodrome, CS 40509, Domaine Saint-Paul, Site Agroparc, France,
³UAPV, UMR 1114 EMMAH, 301 rue Baruch de Spinoza, BP 21239 84911 Avignon Cedex 9, France.
⁴CNRS, UMR 5175 CEFE, 1919, route de Mende, sur le campus du CNRS, 34293 Montpellier 5, France.
⁵INRA, PSH, Domaine Saint Paul, INRA Centre de recherche PACA, 228 route de l’Aérodrome, CS 40509, Domaine Saint-Paul, Site Agroparc, France,
INTRODUCTION
Anticipating drought impacts on forests represents a crucial challenge in the context of climate change (Anderegg et al., 2016). So far, most modeling and empirical approaches used to study and project drought impact on forests neglect the variability in the tree environment within a stand and the variability of biological responses between individuals of the same species. However, water deficit and subsequent drought-induced damage are often reported as being heterogeneous at the local scale (Lloret et al., 2004; Cailleret et al., 2014, 2017; Tai et al., 2017). Accounting for stand spatial variability could therefore be crucial to improving our understanding of population adaptation and to implementing new management practices (Oddou-Muratorio and Davi, 2014; Hart et al., 2016; Bontemps et al., 2017).

Two different but interrelated factors might explain why drought-induced damage varies among trees at the stand scale: physiological and morphological differences between trees on the one hand (Cailleret et al., 2017; Voltas et al., 2015; Zribi et al., 2016) and micro-site effects related to total available water (TAW) variability for plants on the other hand (Lloret et al., 2004; Cailleret et al., 2014; Tai et al., 2017). From a functional point of view, drought-induced damage to trees results from soil water deficit, which leads to decreased soil and plant water potential and triggers xylem embolism, the failure of plant water transport, and its desiccation (Cruiziat et al., 2002; Choat et al., 2018). Consequently, individuals located in areas with higher TAW in the upper layer are expected to exhibit higher water potential during drought and therefore show less damage than those located on soil/subsoil with less available water. However, trees can adjust their water use in response to water deficit, and thus alleviate the effects of drought stress. The acclimation of water use occurs at various temporal scales, through a suite of processes that helps to maintain the water potential within a hydraulic safety range during drought (Maseda and Fernandez, 2006; Martin-StPaul et al., 2013). On the short-time scale (days to seasons), stomatal closure is the primary process for reducing transpiration and thus limiting water potential decreases (Martin-StPaul et al., 2017). Moreover, trees shift their active root zone towards deeper layers, as surface soil dries during the summer (Rambal, 1984; Nijland et al., 2010). At the intermediate time scale (seasons to year) structural traits such as leaf mass per area (LMA) can increase (Poorter et al. 2009) as a result of the alteration of growth processes due to drought (Misson et al., 2011; Poorter et al., 2009). To date, these changes in biological response to drought have seldom been studied at inter-individual scale.

A major reason why variability in response to water deficit has been little studied in forest stands is the challenge posed by the acquisition of high-resolution spatial TAW data over the entire soil/subsoil depth explored by roots in forest stands. A standard method for estimating TAW in ecological studies is based on textural information of the soils derived from pedological pits (e.g. Rutter and Fourt, 1965; Bailey et al., 2005; Diaocon et al., 2009). This method, however, is destructive, limited in term of spatial representativeness, and cannot assess the dynamics of soil moisture content. Other local measurements of water content (e.g., gravimetric measurements on auger samplings, neutron or time domain reflectometry probes) are commonly used to monitor temporal dynamics of soil moisture in the field, but their spatial representativeness is limited in heterogeneous environments, such as the rocky subsurface of a forest (Robinson et al., 2008).

Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) is a non-destructive geophysical technique that is based on the capacity of the underground to conduct electrical currents (cf. “Electrical Resistivity Tomography background” section). It is well suited to measuring spatial variations
in underground physical properties that affect electrical resistivity, such as soil water content. Since the investigation depth of ERT is not limited by the presence of rocks, it can survey large horizontal and vertical extents. For example, a profile extension of 100 m provides an investigation depth of 15 m. Initially developed and used in geological prospecting or geotechnical applications, ERT is also currently used for eco-environmental applications, such as hydrology (e.g. Robinson et al., 2008; Travelletti et al., 2012; Guinea et al., 2018), soil sciences, and agronomy (Al Hagrey, 2007; Samouelian et al., 2005; Srayeddin and Doussan, 2009). The electrical resistivity of the soil/subsoil is affected by various structural factors, which are more or less constant, such as the nature of the substrate (e.g. soil mineralogy, type of rock), the texture and structure. Electrical resistivity is also affected by variable factors, such as temperature, conductivity of the soil solution and water content. In particular, water content is the primary factor for temporal variation in the unsaturated zone (Samouelian et al., 2005), so that time-lapse ERT monitoring can be used for estimating variations in soil water content (e.g. Michot et al., 2003; Srayeddin and Doussan, 2009; Fan et al., 2015; Brillante et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Robles et al., 2017). In this context, factors that affect resistivity, such as the type of substrate and, particularly, its texture and structure, are expected to affect TAW estimations. However, recent work confirms the existence of correlations between electrical resistivity measurements and TAW within agricultural fields and demonstrates the potential of ERT for mapping TAW and delineating management and irrigation zones in precision agriculture (Hezjararibi and Sourell, 2007; Hedley and Yule, 2009; Haghverdi et al., 2015; Ortiani et al., 2016). In heterogeneous systems such as vineyards, Acevedo-Opazo et al. (2010) suggested that the electrical resistivity signal could be used to predict spatial variability in vegetation greenness, water status, and yields. Other studies reported relationships between water status of individual plants and soil electrical resistivity (e.g. Brillante et al., 2015). However, despite its potential for estimating the spatial distribution of TAW, the application of ERT to heterogeneous ecosystems is still limited (Kurjak et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2012; Jayawickreme et al., 2014; Nourtier et al., 2014). In order to bypass the complexity of converting the electrical resistivity signal in water content, it has been suggested to use a normalized variation in resistivity, expressed as percent variation in resistivity (PVR), between a wet and a dry period. Indeed, the PVR maximizes the contrast between areas with low or large variations in water content and can thus provide an estimate of TAW (e.g. Carrière et al., 2015; Brillante et al., 2016).

The aim of this study is to explore the impact of spatial variability of soil/subsoil properties estimated with ERT on variations among individual trees in terms of traits that correspond to drought response. The study was conducted on a Mediterranean “garrigue” located in a natural complex karst environment in southern France, in which the geological structure and groundwater dynamics have been intensively studied with various geophysical methods (Carrière et al., 2016). We used resistivity measurements performed on a 126 m transect, along which the physiological traits involved in tree response to drought of eleven mature Quercus ilex stools were measured. These traits included tree water status ($\Psi$), leaf traits ($\delta^{13}$C, LMA), and tree defoliation following extreme drought. We then explored the inter-individual variability in drought traits as a function of TAW variations derived from the electrical resistivity survey.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study site

The study site is located in the Rustrel Forest in southern France (05° 27' 57.9'' E, 43° 56' 12.2'' N and elevation 520 m; Figure 1) and within the Fontaine de Vaucluse observatory is part of OZCAR (http://www.ozcar-ri.org/), the French network of critical zone observatories. The climate is Mediterranean, characterized by cool and wet winters, hot and dry summers, and a high inter-annual variability. Between 1970 and 2018, annual rainfalls ranged from 407 to 1405 mm with a mean of 909 mm. The rendzina type soil contains 50 to 60 % of coarse material (> 2 mm) and has a highly variable thickness (from 0 to > 80 cm). The forest has been managed as a coppice for centuries and the last clear cut occurred about 80 years ago. Vegetation is dominated by a sparse evergreen overstory of Quercus ilex L., which represents more than 85 % of the basal area (Figure 1). The dominant tree height is about 4 m. The understory is a sparse shrubby layer, which represents less than 15 % of the basal area and includes Buxus sempervirens, Juniperus communis, Juniperus phoenicea, Quercus pubescens, Amelanchier ovalis, and Rhamanus alaternus (Carrière et al., 2017).

The Leaf Area Index (LAI), as measured along the ERT transect with hemispherical photographs following Davi et al. (2008), is about 1.85. The underground (soil and subsoil) is highly complex; the medium is heterogeneous due to numerous vertical fractures and karstified carbonate rock. Carrière et al. (2013) showed that north-south oriented faults impose the general spatial structure on geology and pedology. This is well illustrated by the west-east alternation of areas of low and high electrical resistivity values as shown in Figure 1b.

Environmental data

Drought intensity was characterized from 1970 to 2018, by using the standardized precipitation evaporation index at a six-month scale (SPEI6) according to Vicente-Serrano et al. (2010). Climatic data were derived from the French SAFRAN reanalysis (Vidal et al., 2010).

Three pedological pits were dug along the ERT transect (Figure 1). TAW was computed for the whole pit and standardized at 1m depth following Baize and Jabiol (2011) and Cailleret et al. (2014). In brief, TAW of each pit is the sum of the TAW (mm) of each horizon of the pit:

\[
TAW_h = V \times T \times \frac{100 - CE}{100}
\]  

(1)
where $V$ is volumetric water capacity depending on texture (see Baize and Jabiol, 2011), $T$ is thickness of the horizon, and $CE$ is coarse element content of the horizon (%). The detailed protocol and the description of each pit are given in protocol supplementary material S2.

**Electrical Resistivity Tomography**

**Background**

*ERT* is a non-destructive geophysical technique that produces a 2D or 3D map of spatial variations in electrical resistivity of the subsurface below a line (2D) or a grid (3D) of electrodes installed at the soil surface. Measurement is done by injecting electrical current of known intensity into the soil through a pair of electrodes. The difference in electrical voltage generated by the current injection is measured between another pair of electrodes. Measurements are repeated along a line of electrodes at different locations and with different electrode spacing in order to prospect different depths (Dahlin, 2001; Dahlin and Zhou, 2004). This process results in a 2D map of “apparent” resistivity, which corresponds to an integration of the true resistivities of the zones prospected by the current pathways below the electrodes. The spatial distribution of “true” resistivity can then be determined by the inversion of a discretized numerical model of electrical conductivity in the subsurface, based on apparent resistivity measurements (e.g., Loke, 1999; Günther, 2004). The resulting subsurface resistivity map shows electrical resistivity variations in the subsurface.

**ERT field measurements and estimation of spatial variability**

*ERT* was conducted along a 126 m transect where apparent resistivity shows spatially marked contrasts (Figure 1b). We used an ABEM Terrameter SAS 4000 system (Dahlin, 2001) with 64 stainless steel electrodes, with a spacing of 2 m, embedded at a depth of approximately 20 cm (brown crosses, Figure 1b). The gradient array was chosen for its robustness and speed (Dahlin and Zhou, 2006). Indeed, these two advantages were important for the *ERT* monitoring, which allowed us to obtain the dry and wet profiles used in this paper. This protocol totals 1140 measurement points with an "a" value ranging between 2 and 10 and an "n" value ranging between 2 and 20. During acquisition, the sensor repeated and averaged every resistivity measurement several times in order to obtain a Root Mean Square (RMS) <1% at each location. DC2DinvRes software (Günther, 2004), which was designed to process time-lapse data, was used to calculate the inversion and forward simulations of *ERT* data. The dataset used in the paper was first presented by Carrière et al. (2015); these authors processed data using a time-lapse inversion strategy (Loke, 1999) to minimize artifacts in *ERT* models. Processing was done with a Gauss-Newton inversion algorithm and a "L2 type" regularization with a lambda factor of 30. Inversion of the initial time step was done in "smooth constraint" and the second time step was constrained with the initial time step using the "minimum length" option. *ERT* model quality is evaluated from the Chi² and RMS calculated between the raw data and the model (left part of *ERT* cross section, Figure 2a). The resulting resistivity map exhibited a 2 m lateral resolution and a vertical resolution that ranges gradually from 0.5 m near the surface to 1.5 m at the bottom of the profile. We applied a temperature correction for each pixel of the cross-section, following Keller et Frischknecht (1966):

$$\rho = \rho_T [1 + \alpha (T - 25)]$$  (2)

where $\rho$ (Ω.m) is the electrical resistivity at the reference temperature of 25 °C, $\rho_T$ (Ω.m) is the electrical resistivity measured at temperature $T$ (°C), and $\alpha$ equals 0.0202. T is 5
underground temperature, logarithmically interpolated between daily mean air temperature aboveground and water temperature at a depth of 30 m during measurement (°C).

We used the relative difference between electrical resistivities on two dates percent variation in resistivity (PVR) with different water conditions, as a proxy for TAW. The PVR was calculated as the relative resistivity difference (annotated ρ, in Ω.m) between dry (ρdry) and wet (ρwet) cross-sections, as in Clément et al. (2010) and Robert et al. (2012):

$$PVR \% = \frac{\rho_{dry} - \rho_{wet}}{\rho_{dry}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

PVR uncertainty can be estimated from the RMS of the dry and wet resistivity model as follows: Because ρdry is higher than ρwet, the RMS of ρdry − ρwet is expected to be smaller than 3.88 + 2.96 = 6.8% of ρdry. Hence, the PVR uncertainties, expressed in % of ρdry are then smaller than ±6.8%. Although these values are reasonable for a remote sensing proxy, it is important to acknowledge that variations in low PVR values (typically lower than 5%) should not be considered significant. Several ERT cross-sections were measured during 2011 at various time intervals (i.e. from monthly to hourly intervals) to capture the water content dynamics in the karst (Carrière et al., 2015). ERT measurements used in the paper were taken from a dataset obtained during a rain event in October 2011. We selected two different dates corresponding to “dry” and “wet” conditions to calculate PVR. We used PVR for the following reasons: (i) the “dry” date came after several months of drought, so that the soil and subsoil were very dry, (ii) the “wet” date was selected 2 days after heavy precipitation (230 mm within a period of 17 days), so that the soil and subsoil were resaturated, (iii) data quality was good (measurement repetition RMS < 1%), (iv) no particular erosion occurred during the rain (clay and rock contents remained stable), (v) the electrical conductivity of groundwater measured below the study site (within Rustrel’s underground laboratory - http://lsbb.eu/presentation/- located 33 m below the surface in the same aquifer) was very stable (416 µS.cm⁻¹ before rain event and 420 µS.cm⁻¹ after rain event), (vi) the selected dates were close, separated by 2 days in autumn, thus the air and groundwater temperatures were similar for both dates. As a result, high variations of PVR were mostly related to change in water content.

In addition to PVR, we also used single resistivity profiles. Both metrics were compared to direct estimates of TAW derived from pedological pits.

**Biological measurements**

1- Tree selection and dendrometric measurements

Eleven Quercus ilex stools were selected along the ERT transect, at less than 3 m from the transect line, so that they were located inside the zone sampled by the ERT (Green crosses, Figure 1b). For each stool, a dominant -or co-dominant- stem, which was representative of the overall condition of the stool, was selected for measurement. Measurements included trait measurements, leaf water potential (measured in 2014 and 2015, see below), diameter at breast height, crown base height, and maximum height. In addition, after the two consecutive extreme drought episodes in 2016 and 2017 (as shown in the results section), the crown condition was estimated in January 2018 through a visual estimation of tree crown defoliation, expressed as a percentage with respect to a reference healthy tree growing on the same site (see Cailleret et al., 2014). Trees were independently inspected by two observers to reduce subjectivity and mitigate the “observer effect”. The number of stems in
the stool was counted. Stool leaf area index (LAI) was computed using an allometric relationship calibrated at a nearby site undergoing similar management (Rambal et al., 2004).

2-Water status

The water status of selected stems was measured on nine different dates over two summer seasons (May to October 2014 and 2015), reflecting different precipitation levels, through predawn leaf water potential measurements (Ψ), using a Scholander pressure chamber. On each date and for each tree, at least three leaves were sampled, quickly placed in a plastic bag saturated with water vapor, and stored in a cooler until measurement. Measurements were made less than one hour after sampling. Two leaves were measured and a third one was measured if the difference between the first two leaves exceeded 0.2 MPa.

We studied the relationship between electrical resistivity and predawn leaf water potential for three classes of drought corresponding to differences in ecophysiological functioning with increasing drought. The classes were defined as follows: (i) well-watered (Ψ ≤ -0.5 MPa), when species functioning was not affected (Limousin et al., 2009); (ii) Moderate drought (-2 ≤ Ψ ≤ -0.5 MPa), when leaf level gas exchanges started to decrease and growth stopped (Lempereur et al., 2015); and (iii) severe drought (Ψ ≤ - 2 MPa), when the plant was close to turgor loss and when gas exchanges were restricted to very low fluxes (Bartlett et al., 2016; Martin-StPaul et al., 2017).

For each stem, we used differences between the predawn leaf water potential under well-watered conditions and the predawn leaf water potential during driest conditions (Ψ_w-Ψ_d), as an indicator of the tree’s ability to control water deficit progression throughout the summer.

3-Leaf traits

Leaf traits were measured at the beginning of 2016 for all selected stems. Measurements were made on four branches per tree, three from the upper part of the canopy (light-exposed), and the fourth from the lower part (shade branch). Each sample was then cut and separated according to different phytomers in the laboratory. The leaves of each growth unit were scanned and the leaf area was estimated using the ImageJ software (Abràmoff et al., 2004). Samples were then oven-dried at 65 °C for 48 h, after which the dry masses of the leaves were measured. LMA was calculated as the ratio of dry mass over leaf area (in g/m²). A subsample of 5 to 10 leaves was ground using a ball mill and sent to the “Atelier des Isotopes Stables” B&PMP laboratory (INRA, Montpellier, France), where total carbon and nitrogen contents, as well as carbon isotope compositions (δ¹³C) were measured using a continuous flow isotope mass spectrometer (Elementar, Hanau, Germany) coupled to an elemental analyzer EA30204 (Eurovector, Milan, Italy). The abundance of ¹³C/¹²C was expressed relative to its respective International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) standard. Total nitrogen content was expressed either as a dry mass (Nₜ, g.g⁻¹) or on leaf area basis using LMA (Nₐ, g.m⁻²).

Data analysis

Our aim was to determine how individual variations in tree traits involved in drought response were affected by tree-scale subsurface properties, characterized by the PVR. The PVR of each stool was estimated by laterally averaging the PVR raster within a distance of 2.5 m (corresponding to crown radius) to the stool center. Since Quercus ilex is a deep-rooted species, we integrated PVR values below each individual stool at two different depth ranges:
0 to 2 meters and 2 to 5 meters. Note that we performed a preliminary test using several layers from 0 to 5 meters. The test showed that the two upper layers (from 0 to 1 meter and from 1 to 2 meters) and the two deeper layers (from 2 to 3 meters and from 3 to 5 meters) showed correlation patterns similar to the physiological traits. To simplify our results, we binned together the multiple layers into two: one layer from 0 to 2 meters and a second layer from 2 to 5 meters. The choice of separation at a depth of 2 m is also supported by the results of Carrière et al. (2013) which showed by combining ERT and ground penetrating radar that less-weathered limestone is present near this depth. It should be noted here that changing the size of the lateral buffer and the vertical layer by 1 m did not significantly change the results (not shown). We did not use PVR estimations below a depth of 5 m because model uncertainty is greater in the lower part (coverage index from DC2DinvRes < 1; Carrière et al., 2015).

We used Spearman correlations (which are robust to low sample size and for non-linear relationships) to explore the statistical relationship between stool PVR and the traits described above. Relationships between PVR and leaf water potential were analyzed separately in the three drought classes defined above (no drought, moderate drought, severe drought). While the “no drought” and “severe drought” categories correspond to a single date, the moderate drought class is an average of 6 dates. A complementary Bayesian analysis was used to assess the relationships between leaf water potential and PVR.

Figure 2: Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) results. (a) Inverted ERT models for the “dry” and “wet” dates (respectively before and after a rain event in November 2011), involved in PVR computation (equation 2); Gradient arrays, 64 electrodes; ERT model uncertainty, based on current line density, is represented by the color attenuations at the edges and the bottom of the resistivity cross-section. (b) Percent variation in resistivity (PVR) between the two resistivity models (dry/wet). (c) Resistivity averaged over 1 m under each tree in dry (ρ_d) and wet period (ρ_w). (d) PVR averaged over 1 m under each tree.
RESULTS
Spatial variability in soil/subsoil properties with ERT
The driest and wettest cross-sections measured during the year 2011 are shown in Figure 2a (Carrière et al., 2015). They reveal broad spatial and temporal variations in resistivity in shallow layers, from less than 300 Ω.m during wet conditions to more than 2500 Ω.m during dry conditions. In contrast, deeper layers (below ca. 2 m) exhibited smaller variations. Accordingly, PVR was smaller in the deeper layer (Figure 2b). PVR computed at the location of pedological pits concurred with total available water estimated from textural information within the pedological pits (Table 1). Also, variability in the depth of the high-PVR region along the transect (in blue and purple in Figure 2b) was consistent with the spatial heterogeneity in soil depth, stone content, and bedrock outcrops observed in pedologic pits. In contrast, a single date resistivity profile (ρwet and ρdry) was not consistent with the TAW estimated in the three pits (Table 1). This confirms that PVR is an appropriate metric for quantifying TAW.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAW (total available water, mm)</th>
<th>PVR (%)</th>
<th>ρwet (Ω.m)</th>
<th>ρdry (Ω.m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit 1</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit 2</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit 3</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Correspondence between pedological and geophysical data. TAW of each pit was obtained following Baize and Jabiol (2011) protocol, using data of soil texture, coarse element content, and thickness of the different soil horizons identified in each pit. The TAW computation was standardized for a depth of 1 meter for all pits. Detailed information is provided for each pit and the TAW computation is included in the supplementary materials (S2). The ρwet, ρdry (Ω.m) and the percent variation in resistivity (PVR, %) were extracted from the ERT profile corresponding to each pit for 0-1m.

Climate variability and drought
Predawn leaf water potential (Ψ) was highly variable during the study period, as a result of substantial variation in meteorological conditions during the years 2014 and 2015 (Figure 3). The summer of 2014 was relatively wet (within the 30 % wettest years, with a return period of 3.4 years), with regular rainfall events during the summer, so that the leaf water potential (Ψ) remained relatively high. By contrast, the summer of 2015 was dry (within the 40 % driest years, with a return period of 2.2 years), with almost no rain and higher temperatures from late June to late August. This drought led to a marked decline of Ψ between measurement dates (Figure 3b, in which drought classes are identified by different colors). For reference, the SPEI dynamic computed for the 1970-2018 period indicates that the 2015-2017 period was the most severe drought since 1970 (Figure S1). This drought event caused mortality and canopy defoliation events in numerous Quercus ilex stands in the region, including at the study site.

Relationships between tree water status and resistivity
Figure 4 presents the relationships between Ψ at the tree level and PVR in each drought intensity class for the two layers (0 to 2 meters and 2 to 5 meters). We found several significant relationships between PVR and Ψ, but their magnitude and sign depend both on the drought intensity class and on depth layer. For the well-watered class, the correlation
between $\Psi$ and $PVR$ in the upper layer (0-2 meters) is positive ($p$-value=0.02, $R^2=0.41$). For moderate drought, the relationship is also positive ($p$-value=0.001, $R^2=0.62$), but only for the deep layer (2 to 5 meter depth) (Figure 4e). In contrast, for the severe drought class we found a negative relationship between $\Psi$ and $PVR$ in the upper layer ($R^2 = 0.66$; $p$-value = 0.002, Figure 4c). This suggests that trees with higher $TAW$ in the upper layer experienced higher water deficit during the drought peak (see Discussion below). For completeness, correlations between $\Psi$ and resistivity from single date profiles at the wettest and the driest dates ($\rho_{\text{wet}}$, $\rho_{\text{dry}}$) are reported in Figure S3, but do not show any consistent pattern.

Figure 3: Seasonal dynamics for the years 2014 and 2015 of (a) climate (temperature and precipitation); (b) mean leaf water potential ($\Psi$) and example $\Psi$ for two different trees (A1 and A8). The three classes of water deficit were defined according to the average value of $\Psi$.

Relationships between traits and $PVR$

Figure 5 summarizes the most significant relationships between tree traits and $PVR$ in the upper layer (0-2 meters; all correlations are presented in figures S4 and S5). The $\Psi$ variations between the wettest and the driest dates ($\Psi_w$, $\Psi_d$) are positively correlated with $PVR$ (Figure 5a, $p$-value=0.009, $R^2=0.5$), suggesting that trees with more available water in upper layers (0 – 2 m) also exhibit greater variations in $\Psi$. Consistently, we also found a significant relationship between $PVR$ and the level of tree defoliation after an extreme drought (Figure 5b, $p$-value=0.007, $R^2=0.6$). However, we did not observe any significant relationships for other dendrometric traits (Figure S5). At the leaf level, we found a significant negative relationship between leaf carbon isotopic composition ($\delta^{13}C$) and $PVR$ in the upper layer (Figure 5c). This effect is consistent between the two sampling heights (upper canopy leaves: $p$-value = 0.06, $R^2=0.3$ and lower canopy leaves: $p$-value = 0.01, $R^2=0.48$). This indicates that trees growing with less available water in the upper layer also exhibit greater water use efficiency. Leaf nitrogen per unit mass ($N_m$) did not correlate with $PVR$ for any cohorts ($p$-value > 0.5). However, nitrogen per unit leaf area ($N_a$) of upper canopy leaves is significantly and negatively correlated with $PVR$ computed over the 0-2 meter layer ($R = -0.6$; $p$-value = 0.03) for leaves that grew during the dry spring (2015), but no correlation was found for leaves that grew during the wetter spring (2014), ($p$-value = 0.2). Correlations
between $N_a$ and $PVR$ for 2015 leaves resulted from the correlation between LMA and $PVR$ ($r = -0.88$, $p$-value = 0.0008, Figure S4, upper canopy leaves). The relationship between LMA and $PVR$ is presented in Figure 5. For upper canopy leaves, $R^2$ equaled 0.67 ($p$-value=0.002), but the relationship was not significant for lower canopy leaves. No significant correlation was found for leaves that grew during the wet spring (2014).

Figure 4: Relationship between leaf water potential ($\Psi$, in MPa) and the percent variation in resistivity ($PVR$) of individual stools for three different levels of drought. The $PVR$ was computed for two different layers (0 to 2 meters and 2 to 5 meters). For the “moderate drought” class (b and e), the mean and standard errors are shown over six measurement dates. For the “no drought” (a and d) and “severe drought” (c and f) classes, leaf water potentials were measured at a single date, in October and August respectively. Fitted linear models are shown in black lines when significant (dotted lines otherwise).
Figure 5: Relationships between different tree drought response traits and the percent variation in resistivity (PVR) over the two first meters of soil. Fitted linear models are shown in black lines when significant (dotted line otherwise): (a) Difference in predawn leaf water potential between a dry period and a wet date (Ψ\textsubscript{w} - Ψ\textsubscript{d}). (b) Defoliation rate (%) after the 2016-2017 drought episode. (c) Leaf δ\textsuperscript{13}C (‰) for upper canopy leaves (grey) and lower canopy leaves (black). (d) Leaf mass per area (LMA) for upper canopy leaves (grey) and lower canopy leaves (black). For LMA and δ\textsuperscript{13}C, three branches per tree were used for canopy leaves (the mean and SD are shown) and only one branch was used for lower canopy leaves.

**DISCUSSION AND PERSPECTIVES**

In this study, we explore inter-individual variability in plant traits in relation to subsurface properties assessed with ERT. We applied ERT to compute the percent variation of resistivity (PVR) as an indicator of TAW. We then explored the relationship between tree traits involved in drought response and PVR. In the following, we first discuss variations in tree response to drought in terms of PVR variations. Finally, we discuss the limits and opportunities offered by ERT to improve our understanding of ecosystem functioning.

**Ecological insights**

Our results point out that trees grown in locations with higher PVR (high TAW) tend to delay the decline in leaf water potential (Ψ) during early drought, but they experience more intense stress during severe drought periods (Figure 3b, Figure 4c). Trees with higher PVR (computed at 0-2m or 2-5 m) experienced less water deficit (i.e. higher Ψ) during well-watered and intermediate drought conditions (Figure 4). This relationship could be expected as a higher PVR should correspond to a higher TAW in the surroundings of tree roots. This is also consistent with the correlation between PVR and tree traits involved in drought responses. Indeed, trees with higher PVR developed leaves with lower LMA during the dry year 2015. Such positive relationship between LMA and water availability has already been
reported for this species (Ogaya and Penuelas, 2007). Similarly, the correlation between $PVR$ and $\delta^{13}C$ suggests that trees with lower $TAW$ had a significantly higher water use efficiency (i.e. higher leaf $\delta^{13}C$, Figure 5a), which suggests that these trees had a higher degree of stomatal closure.

It can be noted that during moderate drought conditions, a significant correlation between $PVR$ and predawn leaf water potential was observed only for the deep layer (i.e. 2-5 m, Figure 4b). This suggests that trees take up water below the upper layer and that water uptake displays a downward shift toward deeper layer as the soil dries. This has been previously reported for the Mediterranean evergreen Quercus coccifera (Rambal, 1984) and temperate oak (Breda et al., 1995), in studies based on neutron probe measurements.

More surprisingly, we found that trees with higher $PVR$ at 0-2 meters experienced higher water stress during the drought peak (Figure 4c). This response was consistent with the fact that stems with higher $PVR$ in upper layers exhibited stronger variations in $\Psi$ during the dry season (Figure 5a) and experienced higher defoliation rates following the extreme droughts of 2016-2017 (Figure 5b). This finding concurs with the study of Nourtier et al. (2014) on Abies alba, in which they reported a higher mortality rate for trees that had thicker surface soil, and they concluded that “trees were the most vulnerable on soil with higher water storage capacity”. Overall this counterintuitive result suggests that trees with higher $TAW$ in upper layers may be less acclimatized to drought. A possible explanation is that trees with more favorable conditions (high $PVR$ in the 0-2 m layer) have developed a shallow root system and might encounter severe stress because the shallow part of the catena would dry out more quickly during drought. In contrast, trees located in poorer conditions (low $PVR$ in the 0-2 m layer) might have a more developed root system in the deeper layer. Because these trees are more often stressed, they adapt their foliage (Figure 5, LMA and LAI) for recurrent moderate drought and they invest more in deep root system development. Consequently, trees with poor conditions in the upper layer are less affected during severe droughts. In favor of this explanation, a recent dataset of water isotopes ($^{16}O/^{18}O$) measured in the xylem, the soil, and groundwater on the same experimental site support the idea that trees with lower available water in the upper layer tend to withdraw water from deeper in the karst (data in Carriere et al., accepted). Overall, this supports the idea that adjustments, including root development and a tree’s ability to use deep karst water, may play an important role in such inter-individual differences.

**ERT outcomes and limitations for ecological applications**

Our study confirms that ERT is a promising tool for estimating variability in the soil/subsoil environment of natural ecosystems. This finding can open up a new research avenue regarding the micro-adaptation and drought vulnerability of plants in heterogeneous ecosystems. As already mentioned, the advantages of the ERT technique are numerous for soil/subsoil water estimations; measurements are quick and non-destructive, and high spatial resolution and considerable investigation depth are achieved. Yet, it can be challenging to estimate water content in natural and heterogeneous environments (such as karst) with resistivity measurements from a single dataset, because resistivity can be greatly affected by the type of media (e.g. rock, clay). Here, we followed the method introduced by Clément et al. (2009) and Robert et al. (2012) and used the variation between a wet and a dry period ($PVR$) to estimate spatial variations in available water content, while limiting artifacts. This
approach increased the contrast between soil/subsoil water reserve, while reducing the impact of confounding factors that vary temporally, such as temperature. We report that relationships between resistivity on a single date (dry or wet) and \( TAW \) or leaf water potential were not as consistent as those obtained with \( PVR \), as already observed by Brillante et al. (2016) in vineyards. Overall, our results highlight that in its current form, \( TAW \) estimates derived from \( ERT \) measurements can already provide a major advantage in drought-related research in forested ecosystems. However, methodological challenges still limit the use of \( ERT \) for soil/subsoil water estimations in ecological surveys. For instance, it would be relevant to work in simpler soil/subsoil environments (i.e., porous media) and to conduct high temporal resolution \( ERT \) monitoring for a more detailed assessment of the relationship between resistivity and water content, as in agricultural areas (Srayeddin and Doussan, 2009). This would, for example, help clarify interactions between vegetation and underground throughout drought seasons, but more interaction between geophysicists and ecologists will be needed to develop these methods.
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