L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Furanolysis with menthofuran: A new depolymerization method for analyzing condensed tannins

Guillaume Billerach,^{†‡} Laurent Rouméas,[‡] Eric Dubreucq,[‡] and Hélène Fulcrand^{*,†‡}

[†] INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, UMR 1083 SPO Sciences pour l'Œnologie, 2 Place Viala, 34060 Montpellier, France

[‡] INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, UMR 1208 IATE Ingénierie des Agropolymères et Technologies Emergentes, 2 Place Viala, 34060 Montpellier, France

* E-mail : helene.fulcrand@inra.fr

ORCID

Guillaume Billerach: 0000-0001-6056-8958

Eric Dubreucq: 0000-0002-8904-504X

Hélène Fulcrand: 0000-0002-6035-1457

Laurent Rouméas: 0000-0002-6645-7471

ABSTRACT

An improved analytical depolymerization method for characterizing condensed tannins was developed with menthofuran (3,6-dimethyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1-benzofuran) as the nucleophilic trapping reagent. Herein, menthofuran was compared with routinely used nucleophiles, phloroglucinol and 2-mercaptoethanol. At 30°C and in the presence of 0.1 M HCl, menthofuran displayed the outstanding ability to enable the fast and full depolymerization of procyanidin B2 using only a 1:1 molar ratio of both reactants. In the same conditions, phloroglucinol and 2-mercaptoethanol led to a reaction equilibrium with significantly lower conversion yields. Application to commercial tannin extracts showed that a menthofuran to extract weight ratio of 1 gave the same yields of procyanidin constitutive units as 10-fold higher mol. eq. phloroglucinol and 100-fold 2-mercaptoethanol. Finally, guidelines for implementing the menthofuran depolymerization method are proposed to assess the tannin content and composition of extracts as well as of plant materials without prior extraction.

KEYWORDS

Menthofuran, Furan derivatives, Condensed tannins, Depolymerization, Analytical method, Furfylated flavonoids, UHPLC-DAD-MS

19 INTRODUCTION

20 Condensed tannins (proanthocyanidins) are polymers of flavan-3-ol units. The constitutive
21 units are covalently linked by interflavan bonds between the phloroglucinol ring (C8 and/or
22 C6 carbon atoms) and the benzylic C4 carbon atom of the extension units, thus resulting in B-
23 type proanthocyanidins (Scheme 1 for carbon numbering).^{1,2} Additional linkages resulting
24 from oxidation processes can lead to A-type proanthocyanidins, or biaryl and biaryl ether
25 linked compounds.² Condensed tannins, along with hydrolyzable tannins and phlorotannins,
26 constitute the polyphenolic secondary metabolite class of tannins.³ These plant polyphenols
27 represent the fourth most abundant organic polymer in the terrestrial biomass and the second
28 one, after lignin, when considering only aromatic polymers.^{4,5}

29 The need to characterize and quantify the condensed tannin fraction from plant or food
30 samples generally meets three objectives. First, characterization of condensed tannins can be
31 used in taxonomy or structure-function relationship studies,⁶ including response to
32 environmental stresses.^{7,8} The second objective is to qualify plant extracts or tannin-rich
33 formulations eventually intended for commercial products, such as cosmetics and dietary
34 supplements, in relation to health benefits including antioxidant activities.^{9–11} The third
35 motivation is to develop specialty chemicals or polymer materials from renewable phenolics
36 accordingly to the properties and specificities of the different types of tannins.^{12–14}

37 Non-degradative and degradative methods have been developed to characterize the
38 condensed tannin fraction of plant materials. Among the former, colorimetric assays based on
39 redox reactions are not specific to polyphenols and tannins and should be interpreted with
40 caution.¹⁵ Methods based on ¹H NMR¹⁶ and ¹³C NMR,¹⁷ 2D ¹H–¹³C HSQC NMR¹⁸ spectroscopy,
41 as well as on mass spectrometry with electrospray ionization¹⁹ and MALDI-TOF systems,²⁰
42 have been developed with the advances of technologies. These methods provide good

information on the nature of constitutive units, types of linkages and degrees of polymerization of tannin structures. The limitations of these methods mainly result from the dispersity of tannin polymers associated with a possible discrimination against highly polymerized structures.²¹

On the other hand, degradative methods are based on a chemical depolymerization reaction leading to the release of two kinds of constitutive units: the terminal units, with free C4 carbon atom, and the extension units, where the C4 position is linked to the next unit of the polymeric chains (Scheme 1). The depolymerization products can then be analyzed by chromatography to infer characteristics of the initial tannin structures, including the type and amounts of constitutive units, mean degree of polymerization and galloylation degree. Depolymerization-based methods are currently the most informative methods to characterize condensed tannins. They have recently been shown to give results consistent with NMR and MALDI analyses.²² Recent developments based on proanthocyanidin in-source fragmentation and mass spectrometry analysis also gave similar results in terms of composition and mean degree of polymerization of oligomeric and polymeric tannin fractions as chemical depolymerization.²³ However, some information remains inaccessible to depolymerization-based methods, such as the molecular weight distribution of the tannin fractions or the sequences of constitutive units in the polymeric chains beyond hexamers, owing to their too low content in the samples.²⁴ Moreover, some linkages are much more stable with respect to cleavage, such as interflavan linkages of 5-deoxy condensed tannins found in quebracho and acacia,²⁵ while others are totally resistant, like the A-type patterns²⁶ and biaryl or biaryl ether linkages resulting from oxidation.²⁷

Since the first report by Betts et al.,²⁸ different depolymerization-based methods have been developed,^{29–31} compared,^{4,32} and applied to characterize the condensed tannin fraction in

plant extracts or food samples.^{21,33,34} Updates and improvements of these methods are still regularly published.^{35–37} The first nucleophiles used to trap the extension units released by the acid-catalyzed depolymerization of condensed tannins were mercaptans, such as thioglycolic acid and benzylmercaptan.^{22,28,34,38} Later works proposed to substitute mercaptans by analogs of the catechin A-ring (e.g., phloroglucinol, 2,4,6-trihydroxytoluene or resorcinol).^{30,39} Ever since, these methods have evolved with analytical techniques and useful optimizations were performed on reaction conditions, solvents and work-up, but no significant breakthrough was achieved regarding the reactants. Indeed, the typical smell of mercaptans has often been an obstacle to their use in the analysis of condensed tannins.³⁰ The toxicity of the chemicals involved in the depolymerization methods is more generally questioned, owing to the fact that the trapping nucleophiles are used in large excess. This especially concerns mercaptans frequently used in the analysis of proanthocyanidins,^{40,41} while phloroglucinol seems to require higher doses to cause adverse effects.⁴²

Recently, the possibility to use metalloles (five-membered heterocyclic aromatic compounds) in the depolymerization of condensed tannins to produce biobased chiral ligands or fully biobased aromatic building blocks for applications in specialty chemicals and materials was evidenced by Fu et al.⁴³ with pyrrole derivatives and by Rouméas et al.^{44,45} with furan derivatives. In the framework of our studies on the depolymerization of condensed tannins in the presence of substituted metalloles, preliminary experiments have led us to identify menthofuran (3,6-dimethyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1-benzofuran), a tri-substituted furan, as both an efficient and commercially available nucleophilic trapping reagent. Menthofuran, a major component of essential oils such as pennyroyal oil, has been the subject of numerous toxicological studies.^{46,47} It is used as a flavoring agent (strong peppermint odor) in the food industry at a concentration up to 1000 ppm (i.e., in the same order of magnitude as in the

method described herein).^{48,49} This led us to evaluate it as a potential new reagent for the analytic depolymerization of condensed tannins. In the present work, menthofuran was compared to phloroglucinol and 2-mercaptoethanol, that are routinely used in standard depolymerization methods.^{30,31}

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Chemicals

The grape seed extract was purchased from Union des Distilleries de la Méditerranée (UDM, France). Pycnogenol, a commercial tannin bark extract from maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Aiton subsp. *atlantica* syn. *P. maritima*), was kindly offered by Horphag Research (Geneva, Switzerland). Samples of grape pericarp powder (*Vitis vinifera* L. subsp. *sativa* (DC.) Hegi, cultivar Savagnin), prepared as previously published⁵⁰ were kindly supplied by Dr. Charles Romieu. Bark from Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), kindly provided by Brassac Industrie sawmill (Brassac, France), was obtained from trees felled in March 2015 on a plot located at 43° 33' 39.5'' N, 2° 42' 14.0'' E (altitude 936 m) and debarked in April 2015 under batch reference "chantier Caraman n°UG 47109". The bark was ground by knife milling using a Retsch SM 100 system operating at room temperature at a speed of 1500 rpm with a 2 mm size screen. Menthofuran (3,6-dimethyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1-benzofuran, ≥95%) and 2-mercaptoethanol (>99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (France). Phloroglucinol (>99%) was purchased from Merck (France). Procyanidin B2 (≥90%), (-)-epicatechin (≥99%), (+)-catechin (≥99%), (-)-epicatechin-3-*O*-gallate (≥97.5%), (-)-epigallocatechin (≥98%) and (-)-epigallocatechin-3-*O*-gallate (≥98%) were purchased from Extrasynthese (France).

113 **Depolymerization experiments**

114 All depolymerization experiments described in the following sections were performed in three
115 independent replicates.

116 **Depolymerization of procyanidin B2 with 1 molar equivalent of nucleophile.** Owing to the
117 purity of the commercial B2 sample ($\geq 90\%$), a B2 solution was first prepared with approximate
118 concentration. After determination of the concentration by measuring the peak area at
119 280 nm with the UHPLC-DAD-MS system, the B2 solution was then precisely adjusted to 1.05
120 mM by addition of methanol. For each depolymerization kinetics, equal volumes of
121 methanolic solutions of procyanidin B2 (1.05 mM), nucleophile (1.05 mM) and hydrochloric
122 acid (HCl, 0.3 M) were mixed and distributed in 8 vials, which were immediately sealed and
123 incubated at 30°C. Vials were withdrawn at different times and directly analyzed by UHPLC-
124 DAD-MS.

125 **Depolymerization of procyanidins from a grape seed extract with optimized amounts of**
126 **nucleophiles.** Methanolic solutions of phloroglucinol (30 g·L⁻¹; 0.24 M), 2-mercaptoethanol
127 (165 g·L⁻¹; 2.1 M, prepared as a 15:85 v/v 2-mercaptoethanol/methanol mixture) and
128 menthofuran (3 g·L⁻¹; 0.020 M) were prepared. For each depolymerization kinetics, equal
129 volumes of methanolic solutions of grape seed extract (3 g·L⁻¹), nucleophile and HCl (0.3 M)
130 were mixed and distributed in 10 vials, which were immediately sealed and incubated at 30°C.
131 Vials were withdrawn at different times and directly analyzed by UHPLC-DAD-MS.

132 **Characterization of pycnogenol with the menthofuran method.** For each depolymerization
133 kinetics, equal volumes of methanolic solutions of pycnogenol (3 g·L⁻¹), menthofuran (3 g·L⁻¹;
134 0.020 M) and HCl (0.3 M) were mixed and distributed in 3 vials, one for each reaction time,
135 which were immediately sealed and incubated at 30°C. Vials were withdrawn after 90, 120
136 and 150 min and directly analyzed by UHPLC-DAD-MS.

Characterization of pycnogenol with the phloroglucinol method. The analysis was based on the protocol proposed by Kennedy and Jones.³⁰ Methanolic solutions of pycnogenol (15 g·L⁻¹), ascorbic acid (30 g·L⁻¹; 0.39 M) and HCl (0.3 M) were prepared. For each depolymerization experiment, the pycnogenol solution was used to solubilize phloroglucinol (150 g·L⁻¹; 1.2 M). Immediately after, equal volumes of ascorbic acid, HCl and pycnogenol/phloroglucinol solutions were mixed and the depolymerization solution was incubated at 50°C in a closed flask. The final pycnogenol concentration was thus 5 g·L⁻¹. At 20 min of reaction time, the depolymerization solution was mixed with five volumes of an aqueous solution of sodium acetate (40 mM). The final solution was directly analyzed by UHPLC-DAD-MS.

Characterization of grape pericarp powder with the menthofuran method. A sample of grape pericarp powder (18 mg) was suspended in 0.6 mL of methanol in a closed flask. Then, methanolic solutions of menthofuran (0.6 mL; 30 g·L⁻¹; 0.24 M) and HCl (0.6 mL; 0.3 M) were added. The closed flask containing the depolymerization solution was incubated at 30°C. At 2h of reaction time, a sample was withdrawn, centrifuged 1 min at 3000 x *g*, filtrated and directly analyzed by UHPLC-DAD-MS.

Characterization of grape pericarp powder with the 2-mercaptoethanol method. The analysis was based on the protocol proposed by Tanaka et al.³¹ A sample of grape pericarp powder (18 mg) was suspended in 0.6 mL of methanol in a closed flask. Then, methanolic solutions of 2-mercaptoethanol (0.6 mL; 165 g·L⁻¹; 2.1 M) and HCl (0.6 mL; 0.3 M) were added. The closed flask containing the depolymerization solution was incubated at 40°C. At 2h of reaction time, a sample was withdrawn, centrifuged 1 min at 3000 x *g*, filtrated and directly analyzed by UHPLC-DAD-MS.

Characterization of the procyanidins from Douglas fir barks with the menthofuran method.

500 mg of Douglas fir barks ground and sieved at 2 mm were suspended in 50 mL methanol

(10 g·L⁻¹). Then, menthofuran (94 µL; final concentration 2 g·L⁻¹; 0.013 M) and HCl (417 µL; final concentration 0.1 M) were added and the depolymerization medium was incubated at 30°C. At defined time intervals, a sample was withdrawn, centrifuged 1 min at 3000 x *g*, filtrated and directly analyzed by UHPLC-DAD-MS.

Characterization of the procyanidins from Douglas fir barks with the 2-mercaptoethanol method. Douglas fir barks ground and sieved at 2 mm (500 mg) were suspended in 47 mL methanol. Then, 2-mercaptoethanol (2.5 mL; final concentration 5:95 v/v) and HCl (417 µL; final concentration 0.1 M) were added and the depolymerization mixture obtained was incubated at 40°C. At defined time intervals, a sample was withdrawn, centrifuged 1 min at 3000 x *g*, filtrated and directly analyzed by UHPLC-DAD-MS.

Preparation, isolation and characterization of epicatechin-menthofuran (EC-MF)

The grape seed extract (20 g) was dissolved in methanol (280 mL). Menthofuran (21.0 mL; 0.136 mol) and HCl (4.17 mL of 37% HCl in 200 mL methanol) were added. The reaction was performed for 1h at 30°C under magnetic stirring. The medium was then neutralized with a solution of sodium hydrogenocarbonate (4.2 g) in water (700 mL). Methanol was evaporated under vacuum. The remaining aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 times 500 mL). The organic layers were gathered, dried with sodium sulfate, filtrated and evaporated under vacuum. The dark powder obtained (27 g) was triturated and sonicated for 5 min in diethyl ether (3 times 300 mL). The diethyl ether fractions were pooled, dried with sodium sulfate, filtrated and evaporated under vacuum. Remaining traces of menthofuran were eliminated by trituration in petroleum ether (3 times 100 mL). A purple powder was obtained (21 g) containing C, EC, ECG, C-MF, EC-MF and ECG-MF (see the abbreviation section and Scheme 1). A sample (1 g) was purified by flash chromatography on a PF430 system (Interchim, France) equipped with a silica gel column (120 g; granulometry 63-200 µm). The flow rate was

set to 40 mL·min⁻¹ and the gradient was: solvent A (CH₂Cl₂), solvent B (CH₂Cl₂–CH₃OH, 90:10, v/v); 0–2 min, 0% B (isocratic); 2–15 min, 0% to 50% B (linear gradient); 15–28 min, 50%–80% B (linear gradient); and 28–45 min, 80% B (isocratic). Fractions were analyzed by UHPLC-DAD-MS before being combined and evaporated under vacuum to yield a pale purple pulverulent solid (80 mg) containing EC-MF (> 90 % purity according to UHPLC analyses). For NMR characterization, EC-MF was dissolved in d₆-DMSO.

1D and 2D NMR spectra acquisitions were performed at 25°C with an Avance III HD NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Germany) at 500 MHz for ¹H and 126 MHz for ¹³C. HRMS spectrum was acquired on a MicroTof QII mass spectrometer (Bruker) using the TOF MS ES+ mode, with samples dissolved in MeOH. Spectra are provided as supporting information (Figures S1 to S5).

Epicatchin-(4→5)-menthofuran. ¹H NMR δ (ppm): 9.12 (1H, s, H₁₀), 9.04 (1H, s, H₁₁), 8.89 (1H, s, H_{8'}), 8.77 (1H, s, H_{7'}), 6.85 (1H, s, H_{2'}), 6.68 (1H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H_{5'}), 6.58 (1H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H_{6'}), 5.87 (1H, s, H₈), 5.78 (1H, s, H₆), 5.05 (1H, bs, H₉), 4.77 (1H, s, H₂), 4.05 (1H, s, H₄), 3.78 (1H, bs, H₃), 2.56 (1H, m, H_{7''}), 2.27 (2H, m, H_{4''}), 2.10 (1H, m, H_{7''}), 1.84 (1H, m, H_{6''}), 1.77 (1H, m, H_{5''}), 1.67 (3H, s, H_{8''}), 1.28 (1H, m, H_{5''}), 1.03 (3H, d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, H_{9''}). ¹³C NMR δ (ppm): 157.2 (C₇), 156.9 (C₅), 156.0 (C_{8a}), 148.3 (C_{2''}), 147.0 (C_{7a''}), 144.7 (C_{3'}), 144.6 (C_{4'}), 130.3 (C_{1'}), 118.0 (C_{3a''}), 117.7 (C_{6'}), 114.9 (C_{2'}), 114.8 (C_{5'}), 113.9 (C_{3''}), 98.3 (C_{4a}), 95.2 (C₈), 94.0 (C₆), 75.1 (C₂), 69.5 (C₃), 37.9 (C₄), 31.9 (C_{5''}), 30.8 (C_{7''}), 29.2 (C_{6''}), 21.5 (C_{9''}), 19.6 (C_{4''}), 7.8 (C_{8''}).

HRMS (ESI): [M+H]⁺ found with *m/z* 439.1751 (calculated for C₂₅H₂₇O₇: 439.1751).

Analytical method (UHPLC-DAD-MS system)

The liquid chromatography system was an Acquity ultra-high-pressure liquid chromatography (UHPLC) equipped with a photodiode array detector (DAD, Waters, Milford, MA). The column (HSS T3, 100 × 2.1 mm, 1.8 mm) contained a Nucleosil 120-3 C18 endcapped phase (Macherey-Nagel, Sweden). The flow rate was 0.55 mL·min⁻¹ and the gradient conditions were as follows,

except for experiments with menthofuran: solvent A (H₂O–HCOOH, 99:1, v/v), solvent B (CH₃CN–H₂O–HCOOH, 80:19:1, v/v/v); 0–5 min, 0.1% to 40% B (linear gradient); 5–7 min, 40% to 99% B (linear); 7–8 min, 99% B (isocratic); and 8–9 min, 99% to 0.1% B (linear). For the analyses involving menthofuran: 0–5 min, 0.1 to 60% B (linear gradient); 5–7 min, 60% to 99% B (linear); 7–8 min, 99% B (isocratic); and 8–9 min, 99% to 0.1% B (linear). The Acquity UHPLC system was coupled online with an amaZon X Ion-Trap mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Germany), with electrospray ionization operating in the positive ion mode. In the source, the nebulizer pressure was 44 psi, the temperature of dry gas was set at 200°C with a flow of 12 L·min⁻¹ and the capillary voltage was set at 4 kV. The mass spectra were acquired over a m/z range of 90–1500. The speed of mass spectrum acquisition was set at 8100 m/z s⁻¹.

Peak identification and quantification

The peaks from the UV chromatograms (280 nm) were attributed to the corresponding compounds by comparing the associated mass spectra and retention times to those obtained with authentic standards of (+)-C, (-)-EC, (-)-ECG, (-)-EGC and (-)-EGCG. The products resulting from the trapping of extension units by a nucleophile, i.e., (epi)catechin-(4→X)-nucleophile; or (E)C-NU, are not commercially available. Their mass spectra and retention times were determined by depolymerizing procyanidin B2 with the nucleophiles studied, as this reaction yields mainly epicatechin and the targeted EC-NU. The procyanidin depolymerization products obtained with 2-mercaptoethanol have also been characterized by NMR in a previous study.⁵¹ Figures 1 and S6 show examples of the UV chromatograms obtained along the kinetic experiments.

The molar responses at 280 nm of C, EC, ECG, EGC and EGCG were determined by calibration with the corresponding commercial standards. The molar responses at 280 nm of the (E)C-NU products were assessed by depolymerizing a procyanidin B2 sample with a large excess of

nucleophile. As the amount of extension units trapped by the nucleophile (i.e., EC-NU) and EC produced from the terminal units were expected to be equal, the ratio of the corresponding peak area was attributed to the ratio of respective molar responses of the products.^{45,51} For ECG-PG, the molar response relative to EC of 3.7 given by Kennedy and Jones³⁰ was applied. For ECG-MF and ECG-ME, a molar response relative to EC of 3.7 was used based on the coefficient experimentally determined for ECG, assuming that the nucleophile moiety did not alter the molar response of ECG. This assumption was consistent with the molar response of 1 relative to EC found for EC-MF and EC-ME. All values, expressed proportionally to EC molar response, are given in supporting information (Table S1).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experiments were first conducted on procyanidin B2 with 1 molar equivalent of nucleophile relatively to B2 (Scheme 2). Then, menthofuran, phloroglucinol and 2-mercaptoethanol were compared in the depolymerization of a grape seed commercial extract to characterize its tannin composition. Each nucleophile was used at the optimized concentration described earlier in standard literature procedures, while the other reaction conditions remained equal. The analytical method using menthofuran was then compared to the Kennedy and Jones³⁰ phloroglucinolysis method for the characterization of the tannin composition of another commercial tannin extract, pycnogenol^{9,10} and with mercaptolysis for the direct analysis of a grape pericarp powder and of a Douglas fir bark sample without prior extraction.⁵¹

Depolymerization of procyanidin B2 with one molar equivalent of nucleophile

The B2 dimer was chosen as a model of condensed tannins because its depolymerization conveniently yields only two products: (2R, 3R)-epicatechin as the released terminal unit, and (2R, 3R)-epicatechin-(4→X)-nucleophile as the trapped extension unit, respectively referred

to as EC and EC-NU. To examine the difference of reactivity between the nucleophiles quantified by the corresponding amounts of EC-NU produced, the reactions were carried out with a stoichiometric amount of each nucleophile with respect to procyanidin B2. Reactions were performed in methanol in the presence of 0.1 M HCl, as in most of the standard methods. The depolymerization tests were performed at 30°C to limit epimerization at C2 carbon atom of the flavanol unit that may occur following the ring opening in the acidic conditions. The percentages of EC-NU produced and of residual B2 with respect to initial B2 concentration were determined from the depolymerization experiments for the three nucleophiles tested (Table 1). The time required to reach the plateau of maximum EC-NU concentration is also indicated.

Menthofuran exhibited the highest efficiency to promote procyanidin B2 depolymerization under the reaction conditions applied. Indeed, an almost full consumption (>98.8%) of the procyanidin B2 dimer was observed in 40 min, with a recovery of 92% of the extension units in the form of EC-MF. Menthofuran purity ($\geq 95\%$) may have limited the calculated EC-MF yield as the nucleophile concentration was adjusted assuming 100% purity. The actual menthofuran to B2 dimer initial molar ratio was thus between 0.95:1 and 1:1. In contrast, the reactions performed with 2-mercaptoethanol (ME) and phloroglucinol (PG), prepared from >99% pure products, reached an equilibrium with much lower proportions of EC-NU (41% of EC-ME and 23% of EC-PG, respectively), a lower proportion of terminal units, and a sizeable proportion of remaining B2 (5% with ME and 14% with PG, see also Figure S7).

The differences between the initial B2 concentration and final of EC-NU, EC and residual B2 concentrations, likely correspond to oligomers that could not be accurately quantified in the UHPLC-DAD-MS analysis, even though dimers like EC-(4→8)-EC-(4→2)-PG and trimers were observed in the chromatograms. Indeed, depolymerization products including EC-NU and EC,

as well as procyanidin B2, are competitive nucleophiles that can add onto the cationic site of extension units after cleavage, resulting in a large diversity of products and decreasing the yields in EC and EC-NU. The relative amounts of these oligomers could be assessed based on stoichiometry, from the difference between the amounts expressed in EC equivalents, of products formed (EC-NU + EC) and B2 consumed at the considered reaction time. They were found to account for 39% and 53% of B2 consumption in the reactions with 2-mercaptoethanol and phloroglucinol, respectively, when the proportion of EC-NU reached its maximal value. In the case of menthofuran, they were estimated to account for 9% of B2 consumption.

The high initial reaction rate observed with phloroglucinol evidences its good reactivity as a trapping reagent, but the only partial depolymerization of the B2 dimer at reaction equilibrium shows that the EC-PG product is also cleaved at a high rate in a reverse reaction. Such an equilibrium between procyanidin B2 and EC-PG was predictable considering the structural similarity of these products. Indeed, they both consist in a phloroglucinol-like ring linked to the EC benzylic carbon at C2, and epicatechin-(4→2)-phloroglucinol also undergoes acid-catalyzed cleavage of the (4→2) bond in the reaction conditions applied. Other equilibria involving the new oligomers occurred at the same time, impacting the equilibrium between procyanidin B2 and EC-PG. The same phenomenon occurred with EC-ME, where the mercaptoethanol moiety can also be substituted in acidic conditions.³² Contrarily, the high yield of conversion of the B2 dimer into depolymerization products obtained with menthofuran in a 1:1 initial molar ratio indicate that EC-MF units were not significantly affected by this reversibility issue (Table 1). Menthofuran thus advantageously solves this concern by displacing strongly and rapidly the depolymerization equilibrium towards EC and EC-MF, even when this nucleophile is used in stoichiometric amount.

Depolymerization of procyanidins from a grape seed extract with optimized amounts of nucleophiles

The promising results shown with menthofuran for the depolymerization of procyanidin B2 motivated the development of an analytical method for characterizing more complex proanthocyanidin extracts. Preliminary experiments carried out on a grape seed extract ($1\text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) showed that a 1:1 (w/w) menthofuran to extract weight ratio was sufficient to achieve maximal depolymerization yield of procyanidins. A comparison with phloroglucinol and 2-mercaptoethanol at the optimized ratios reported in literature was done by performing kinetic experiments at 30°C on $1\text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ of the same grape seed extract in methanol containing 0.1 M HCl . In these experiments, 10:1 and 55:1 (w/w) nucleophile to extract ratios were used for phloroglucinol and 2-mercaptoethanol, respectively, corresponding to the 10:1 (w/w) weight ratio defined by Kennedy and Jones³⁰ for phloroglucinol and to the 5% (v/v) volume ratio proposed by Tanaka and coworkers^{31,35} for 2-mercaptoethanol. In the extreme case where the tannin extract would consist only of EC extension monomers (molecular weight $290\text{ g}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$), these weight ratios corresponded to a molar excess of nucleophile of 2, 23 and 200 for menthofuran, phloroglucinol and 2-mercaptoethanol, respectively.

The depolymerization products were categorized in four types of units, considering on one hand, extension units versus terminal units and on the other hand, galloylated units versus non-galloylated units (Figure 2). For instance, the C-NU and EC-NU concentrations measured were summed to evaluate the amount of non-galloylated extension units. This enabled to infer the average composition in constitutive units of the polymers.

The release of non-galloylated units and of galloylated units followed different kinetics. The maximum concentration of non-galloylated units was reached faster (after 120-150 min) than that of galloylated units (after 200-300 min). It can also be noted that the amount of non-

galloylated units decreased over time, contrary to galloylated units, indicating higher stability of the latter. These results point to the importance of performing complete kinetic experiments when characterizing tannin composition instead of selecting an arbitrary time, because this optimal time may vary depending on the tannin extract. This is especially important when reactions are slow, which can lead to numerous side-reactions.

The concentrations reached at the plateau in the kinetic experiments were used to calculate the weight percentages of the four types of constitutive units obtained with each nucleophile for the grape seed extract (Table 2). In each case, depolymerizable units represented around 46% (w/w) of the grape seed extract and consisted of around 25% of extension units, 13% of terminal units, 5% of galloylated extension units and 2% of galloylated terminal units. The results were thus comparable despite the different amounts of nucleophile applied.

The analytical depolymerization involving menthofuran as the nucleophilic reagent thus demonstrated the same performance as with 10- and 100-times higher amounts of phloroglucinol and 2-mercaptoethanol, respectively. To our knowledge, this makes menthofuran the only nucleophilic trapping reagent described so far that enables the depolymerization of condensed tannins with maximal yield using a near to quantitative nucleophile to procyanidins molar ratio.

Comparison of furanolysis with standard methods

The high efficiency of menthofuran to trap the extension units released from tannin depolymerization led to evaluate its use in comparison with the phloroglucinolysis developed by Kennedy and Jones³⁰ and with mercaptolysis based on the work of Tanaka et al.³¹

Figure 3A compares the results of the analysis of a maritime pine bark extract, commercially available under the name pycnogenol, using a 1:1 (w/w) weight ratio of menthofuran to procyanidin extract and a 10:1 (w/w) weight ratio of phloroglucinol to procyanidin extract as

353 optimized in the standard method. Both reactions were performed in methanol containing
354 0.1 M HCl. Reaction with menthofuran, performed at 30°C for 90 min, resulted in a very similar
355 procyanidin composition profile as phloroglucinolysis (50°C, 20 min), with a good
356 reproducibility and equivalent depolymerization yields, although a 10-fold lower nucleophile
357 to extract weight ratio was used. This represented a non-negligible saving of reactants.

358 The menthofuran method was also compared to mercaptolysis for the characterization of the
359 proanthocyanidin fractions of a grape pericarp powder. These methods were applied directly
360 on the biomass sample (i.e., without prior extraction of tannins), in methanol containing 0.1 M
361 HCl. Furanolysis was performed with a 1:1 weight ratio of menthofuran to grape pericarp
362 powder at 30°C for 2h, while mercaptolysis was performed with a 5.5:1 weight ratio of 2-
363 mercaptoethanol to grape pericarp powder at 40°C for 2h. Chromatograms are given as
364 supporting information (Figures S8 and S9). Both methods showed similar results and good
365 reproducibility (Figure 3B), despite using a 10-fold lower molar amount of nucleophile for
366 furanolysis.

367 The menthofuran method was also applied to the direct analysis of Douglas fir bark powder,
368 without a preliminary extraction step. The depolymerization products reached their maximal
369 concentration after 20h, yielding a procyanidin content of 3.9% (w/w) of biomass dry weight,
370 including $3.2 \pm 0.2\%$ of extension units and $0.7 \pm 0.0\%$ of terminal units. On the same sample,
371 direct mercaptolysis (selected for reference since the standard phloroglucinolysis protocol
372 first proceeds with tannin extraction) gave a similar procyanidin content (3.7% w/w), including
373 $3.0 \pm 0.1\%$ extension units and $0.7 \pm 0.0\%$ terminal units. It should be noted that
374 mercaptolysis was faster (the maximal concentration was reached in 4h) due to the higher
375 temperature (40°C vs. 30°C) and the high nucleophile excess (60-times higher than
376 menthofuran) used in this method. The differences in polarity and solvation of the

377 nucleophiles may also affect the depolymerization kinetics through mass transfer limitations
378 within the solid bark sample.

379 Menthofuran thus displays interesting properties as a trapping reagent for the analytical
380 depolymerization of procyanidins. Its high efficiency allows its use at low concentration,
381 contrary to the large molar excesses required with the classical nucleophiles, and low
382 temperature for a fast conversion of condensed tannins into monomeric units without
383 significant reversal of the reaction. It is a readily available commercial chemical, and its use in
384 near to stoichiometric amounts contributes to save cost and to lower the exposure to
385 reactants. In the same way to the other nucleophiles, adjustments may be required in the
386 protocol according to the sample to be analyzed. Since the amount of procyanidin in the
387 sample is unknown, the quantity of menthofuran needs to be estimated to ensure it is higher
388 than the quantity of extension units. Also, like with the other nucleophiles and methods, the
389 minimum reaction time required to reach the maximum depolymerization yield may vary
390 according to the sample (plant species, organ and physiological status affect tannin
391 concentration and constitutive unit composition) and especially according to its type of
392 preparation (ground raw biomass or more or less purified extracts). The good stability of the
393 depolymerization products in the presence of menthofuran at 30°C enables to use a single,
394 longer than required reaction time for samples of a same type when (recommended)
395 systematic kinetics experiments cannot be performed. As a general guideline, the following
396 conditions may be applied for unknown samples.

397 *For the characterization of tannins in soluble extracts*, the following reaction conditions are
398 proposed as a standard setup: the depolymerization of a 1 g·L⁻¹ tannin extract in methanol in
399 the presence of 0.1 M HCl is carried out with 1 g·L⁻¹ menthofuran at 30°C over 2h, either with
400 end-point analysis or, preferably when possible, following the complete kinetics.

For the direct analysis of raw biomass, a concentration of 10 g·L⁻¹ of dry biomass sample may allow a good response in LC-DAD(-MS), using 2 g·L⁻¹ menthofuran. Compared to soluble extracts, mass transfer limitations may require a longer reaction time, as shown with the analysis of the bark sample, for which the reaction was complete in 20h. Alternatively, menthofuran concentration can also be increased to speed up the reaction. As the water content of raw biomass samples may affect the efficiency and rate of the depolymerization process, it is advisable to dry such samples to less than 15% water (w/w).

At appropriate times, the reaction medium can be directly analyzed by a LC-DAD(-MS) system according to a protocol similar as the one given in Material & Methods. When significant delays are expected between the reaction and the analysis of the reaction products, for example when large series of samples are scheduled in parallel, it is preferred to raise the pH of the reaction medium to pH 4-5 after the reaction is over, in order to avoid side-reactions.

On a more general note, the menthofuran method, referred to as furanolysis, demonstrates the potential of furans as nucleophilic trapping reagents in the depolymerization of condensed tannins. In a former work, furan and sylvan, two compounds that can be obtained by conversion of C5 sugars from wood biomass, were indeed proven to be efficient nucleophiles for quantitatively supplying fully biobased building blocks from condensed tannins.^{44,45} The superior efficiency of the menthofuran method needs to be tested against tannin structures known to be more recalcitrant to the usual depolymerization conditions, such as 5-deoxy tannins or A-type proanthocyanidins, using harsher conditions (e.g., higher temperature and/or acid concentration).

ABBREVIATIONS USED

424 Flavanols: B2, procyanidin B2 or B2 dimer; C, catechin; CG, catechin-3-*O*-gallate; EC,
425 epicatechin; ECG, epicatechin-3-*O*-gallate; EGC, epigallocatechin; EGCG, epigallocatechin-3-*O*-
426 gallate. Nucleophiles: ME, mercaptoethanol; MF, menthofuran; PG, phloroglucinol; NU,
427 nucleophile. Flavanol derivatives (representative examples of the numerous combinations,
428 see also Schemes 1 & 2): C-ME, catechin-(4→2S)-mercaptoethanol; EC-MF,
429 epicatechin-(4→5)-menthofuran; ECG-PG, epicatechin-3-*O*-gallate-(4→2)-phloroglucinol;
430 EGCG-NU, epigallocatechin-3-*O*-gallate-(4→X)-nucleophile; (E)CG-NU, (epi)catechin-3-*O*-
431 gallate-(4→X)-nucleophile.

432 **FUNDING SOURCES**

433 The study was performed with financial support from European Union's Horizon 2020
434 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 688338), INRA and Montpellier
435 Supagro.

436 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

437 UV chromatograms (280 nm) of the grape seed extract depolymerized with menthofuran
438 throughout the kinetic experiment. Detailed kinetics of the depolymerization tests performed
439 on procyanidin B2 with 1 molar equivalent. UV chromatograms (280 nm) of the products of
440 depolymerization of the grape pericarp powder containing procyanidins and prodelphinidins
441 with menthofuran and 2-mercaptoethanol.

REFERENCES

- (1) Geissman, T. A.; Dittmar, H. F. K. A proanthocyanidin from avocado seed. *Phytochemistry* **1965**, *4*, 359–368.
- (2) Hemingway, R. W. Structural variations in proanthocyanidins and their derivatives. In *Chemistry and Significance of Condensed Tannins*; Hemingway, R. W., Karchesy, J. J., Branham, S. J., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York and London, 1989; pp 83–107.
- (3) Quideau, S.; Deffieux, D.; Douat-Casassus, C.; Pouységu, L. Plant polyphenols: Chemical properties, biological activities, and synthesis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 586–621.
- (4) Matthews, S.; Mila, I.; Scalbert, A.; Pollet, B.; Lapierre, C.; Hervé du Penhoat, C. L. M.; Rolando, C.; Donnelly, D. M. X. Method for estimation of proanthocyanidins based on their acid depolymerization in the presence of nucleophiles. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1997**, *45*, 1195–1201.
- (5) Hernes, P. J.; Hedges, J. I. Determination of condensed tannin monomers in environmental samples by capillary gas chromatography of acid depolymerization extracts. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, *72*, 5115–5124.
- (6) Bate-Smith, E. C. The phenolic constituents of plants and their taxonomic significance. I. Dicotyledons. *J. Linn. Soc. Lond. Bot.* **1962**, *58*, 95–173.
- (7) Pizzi, A.; Cameron, F. A. Flavonoid tannins — Structural wood components for drought-resistance mechanisms of plants. *Wood Sci. Technol.* **1986**, *20*, 119–124.
- (8) Mellway, R. D.; Tran, L. T.; Prouse, M. B.; Campbell, M. M.; Constabel, C. P. The wound-, pathogen-, and ultraviolet B-responsive MYB134 gene encodes an R2R3 MYB transcription factor that regulates proanthocyanidin synthesis in poplar. *Plant Physiol.* **2009**, *150*, 924–941.

- 465 (9) Schoonees, A.; Visser, J.; Musekiwa, A.; Volmink, J. Pycnogenol® (extract of French
466 maritime pine bark) for the treatment of chronic disorders. *Cochrane Database Syst.*
467 *Rev.* **2012**, 4, CD008294.
- 468 (10) Cretu, E.; Karonen, M.; Salminen, J. P.; Mircea, C.; Trifan, A.; Charalambous, C.;
469 Constantinou, A. I.; Miron, A. In vitro study on the antioxidant activity of a polyphenol-
470 rich extract from *Pinus brutia* bark and its fractions. *J. Med. Food* **2013**, 16, 984–991.
- 471 (11) Espley, R. V.; Butts, C. A.; Laing, W. A.; Martell, S.; Smith, H.; McGhie, T. K.; Zhang, J.;
472 Paturi, G.; Hedderley, D.; Bovy, A.; Schouten H. J.; Putterill, J.; Allan, A. C.; Hellens, R. P.
473 Dietary flavonoids from modified apple reduce inflammation markers and modulate gut
474 microbiota in mice. *J. Nutr.* **2014**, 144, 146–154.
- 475 (12) Brosse, N.; Pizzi, A. Tannins for wood adhesives, foams and composites. In *Bio-based*
476 *Wood Adhesives: Preparation, Characterization, and Testing*; Zhongqi, H., Ed.; CRC Press,
477 2017; pp 197-220.
- 478 (13) Rouméas, L.; Fulcrand, H.; Aouf, C.; Dubreucq, E. Biosourced compound having epoxide
479 functions, method for the synthesis of such a compound, and use thereof for producing
480 epoxy resin. WO Patent 2016 / 174334 A1, Nov 3, 2016.
- 481 (14) Fulcrand, H.; Rouméas, L.; Billerach, G.; Aouf, C.; Dubreucq, E. Advances in bio-based
482 thermosetting polymers. In *Recent Advances in Polyphenol Research 6*; Halbirth, H.,
483 Stich, K., Cheynier, V., Quideau, S., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2019; pp 285–334.
- 484 (15) Everette, J. D.; Bryant, Q. M.; Green, A. M.; Abbey, Y. A.; Wangila, G. W.; Walker, R. B.
485 Thorough study of reactivity of various compound classes toward the Folin–Ciocalteu
486 reagent. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2010**, 58, 8139–8144.
- 487 (16) Guyot, S.; Le Guernevé, C.; Marnet, N.; Drilleau, J. F. Methods for determining the
488 degree of polymerization of condensed tannins: A new ¹H NMR procedure applied to

cider apple procyanidins. In *Plant Polyphenols 2: Chemistry, Biology, Pharmacology, Ecology*; Gross, G. G., Hemingway, R. W., Yoshida, T., Branham, S. J., Eds.; Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers: New York, 1999; pp 211–222.

(17) Newman, R. H.; Porter, L. J.; Foo, L. Y.; Johns, S. R.; Willing, R. I. High-resolution ^{13}C NMR studies of proanthocyanidin polymers (condensed tannins). *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **1987**, *25*, 118–124.

(18) Brown, R. H.; Mueller-Harvey, I.; Zeller, W. E.; Reinhardt, L.; Stringano, E.; Gea, A.; Drake, C.; Ropiak, H. M.; Fryganas, C.; Ramsay, A.; Hardcastle, E. E. Facile purification of milligram to gram quantities of condensed tannins according to mean degree of polymerization and flavan-3-ol subunit composition. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2017**, *65*, 8072–8082.

(19) Moulis, L.; Hugouvieux, V.; Mazauric, J.-P.; Sommerer, N.; Mazerolles, G.; Fulcrand, H. How to gain insight into the polydispersity of tannins: A combined MS and LC study. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *165*, 348–353.

(20) Pasch, H.; Pizzi, A.; Rode, K. MALDI–TOF mass spectrometry of polyflavonoid tannins. *Polymer* **2001**, *42*, 7531–7539.

(21) Taylor, A. W.; Barofsky, E.; Kennedy, J. A.; Deinzer, M. L. Hop (*Humulus lupulus* L.) proanthocyanidins characterized by mass spectrometry, acid catalysis, and gel permeation chromatography. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 4101–4110.

(22) Naumann, H.; Sepela, R.; Rezaire, A.; Masih, S.E.; Zeller, W.E.; Reinhardt, L.A.; Robe, J.T.; Sullivan, M.L.; Hagerman, A.E. Relationships between structures of condensed tannins from texas legumes and methane production during in vitro rumen digestion. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 2123–2139.

- 512 (23) Engström M.T.; Maija Päljärvi M.; Fryganas C.; Grabber J.H.; Mueller-Harvey I.;
513 Salminen J.P. Rapid qualitative and quantitative analyses of proanthocyanidin oligomers
514 and polymers by UPLC-MS/MS. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2014**, *62*, 3390-3399.
- 515 (24) Li, H.J.; Deinzer, M.L. Tandem mass spectrometry for sequencing proanthocyanidins.
516 *Anal. Chem.* **2007**, *79*, 1739-1748.
- 517 (25) Hemingway, R. W. Reactions at the interflavanoid bond of proanthocyanidins. In
518 *Chemistry and significance of condensed tannins*; Hemingway, R. W., Karchesy, J. J.,
519 Branham S. J., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York and London, 1989; pp 265–283.
- 520 (26) Karchesy, J. J.; Hemingway, R. W. Condensed tannins: (4 β →8;2 β →O→7)-Linked
521 procyanidins in *Arachis hypogea* L. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1986**, *34*, 966–970.
- 522 (27) Mous, L.; Fulcrand, H. UPLC-ESI-MS study of the oxidation markers released from
523 tannin depolymerization: Toward a better characterization of the tannin evolution over
524 food and beverage processing. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **2012**, *47*, 1450–1457.
- 525 (28) Betts, M. J.; Brown, B. R.; Brown, P. E.; Pike, W. T. Degradation of condensed tannins:
526 Structure of the tannin from common heather. *Chem. Commun. Lond.* **1967**, 1110–
527 1112.
- 528 (29) Foo, L. Y.; McGraw, G. W.; Hemingway, R. W. Condensed tannins: preferential
529 substitution at the interflavanoid bond by sulphite ion. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*
530 **1983**, 672–673.
- 531 (30) Kennedy, J. A.; Jones, G. P. Analysis of proanthocyanidin cleavage products following
532 acid-catalysis in the presence of excess phloroglucinol. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2001**, *49*,
533 1740–1746.

- 534 (31) Tanaka, T.; Takahashi, R.; Kouno, I.; Nonaka, G. Chemical evidence for the de-
535 astringency (insolubilization of tannins) of persimmon fruit. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.*
536 **1** **1994**, 3013–3022.
- 537 (32) Brown, B. R.; Shaw, M. R. Reactions of flavanoids and condensed tannins with sulphur
538 nucleophiles. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1974**, 2036–2049.
- 539 (33) Ramirez-Coronel, M. A.; Marnet, N.; Kolli, V. S. K.; Roussos, S.; Guyot, S.; Augur, C.
540 Characterization and estimation of proanthocyanidins and other phenolics in coffee
541 pulp (*Coffea arabica*) by thiolysis–high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Agric.*
542 *Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 1344–1349.
- 543 (34) Gea, A.; Stringano, E.; Brown, R. H.; Mueller-Harvey, I. In situ analysis and structural
544 elucidation of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) tannins for high-throughput germplasm
545 screening. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*, 495–503.
- 546 (35) Orejola, J.; Matsuo, Y.; Saito, Y.; Tanaka, T. Characterization of proanthocyanidin
547 oligomers of *Ephedra sinica*. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 1308.
- 548 (36) Gao, C.; Cunningham, D. G.; Liu, H.; Khoo, C.; Gu, L. Development of a thiolysis HPLC
549 method for the analysis of procyanidins in cranberry products. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*
550 **2018**, *66*, 2159–2167.
- 551 (37) Pinasseau, L.; Verbaere, A.; Roques, M.; Meudec, E.; Vallverdú-Queralt, A.; Terrier, N.;
552 Boulet, J.-C.; Cheynier, V.; Sommerer, N. A fast and robust UHPLC-MRM-MS method to
553 characterize and quantify grape skin tannins after chemical depolymerization.
554 *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 1409.
- 555 (38) Thompson, R. S.; Jacques, D.; Haslam, E.; Tanner, R. J. N. Plant proanthocyanidins. Part I.
556 Introduction; The isolation, structure, and distribution in nature of plant procyanidins.
557 *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1972**, 1387–1399.

- 558 (39) Fletcher, A. C.; Porter, L. J.; Haslam, E. Hindered rotation and helical structures in natural
559 procyanidins. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1976**, 627–629.
- 560 (40) European Chemicals Agency. 2-Mercaptoethanol - Registration dossier
561 <https://echa.europa.eu/fr/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/2206/7/3/2> (last
562 accessed Jan 21, 2019).
- 563 (41) Fairchild, E. J.; Stokinger, H. E. 1. Acute toxicity of some aliphatic and aromatic thiols
564 (mercaptans). *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* **1958**, *19*, 171–189.
- 565 (42) Andersen F. A. Final report on the safety assessment of phloroglucinol. *J. Am. Coll.*
566 *Toxicol.* **1995**, *14*, 468-475.
- 567 (43) Fu, C.; Chen, W.; Quek, Y. L.; Ni, R.; Ghani, A. B. A.; Leong, W. W. Y.; Zeng, H.; Huang, D.
568 Sustainability from agricultural waste: Chiral ligands from oligomeric proanthocyanidins
569 via acid-mediated depolymerization. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 6322–6324.
- 570 (44) Rouméas, L.; Fulcrand, H.; Aouf, C.; Dubreucq, E. Flavonoid derivative compounds and
571 method for preparing same by depolymerisation of condensed tannins. WO Patent
572 2016 / 020615 A1, Feb 11, 2016.
- 573 (45) Rouméas, L.; Billerach, G.; Aouf, C.; Dubreucq, É.; Fulcrand, H. Furylated flavonoids:
574 Fully biobased building blocks produced by condensed tannins depolymerization. *ACS*
575 *Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 1112–1120.
- 576 (46) Gordon, W. P.; Forte, A. J.; McMurtry, R. J.; Gal, J.; Nelson, S. D. Hepatotoxicity and
577 pulmonary toxicity of pennyroyal oil and its constituent terpenes in the mouse. *Toxicol.*
578 *Appl. Pharmacol.* **1982**, *65*, 413–424.
- 579 (47) Gordon, W. P.; Huitric, A. C.; Seth, C. L.; McClanahan, R. H.; Nelson, S. D. The metabolism
580 of the abortifacient terpene, (R)-(+)-pulegone, to a proximate toxin, menthofuran. *Drug*
581 *Metab. Dispos.* **1987**, *15*, 589–594.

- 582 (48) Adams, T. B.; Hallagan, J. B.; Putnam, J. M.; Gierke, T. L.; Doull, J.; Munro, I. C.;
583 Newberne, P.; Portoghese, P. S.; Smith, R. L.; Wagner, B. M.; Weil, C. S.; Woods, L. A.;
584 Ford, R. A. The FEMA GRAS assessment of alicyclic substances used as flavour
585 ingredients. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **1996**, *34*, 763–828.
- 586 (49) Pulegone and menthofuran in flavourings - Opinion of the scientific panel on food
587 additives, flavourings, processing aids and materials in contact with food (AFC). *EFSA J.*
588 **2008**, *6*, 298.
- 589 (50) Brillouet J.M.; Fulcrand H.; Carrillo S.; Rouméas L.; Romieu C. Isolation of native
590 proanthocyanidins from grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) and other fruits in aqueous buffer. *J.*
591 *Agric. Food Chem.* **2017**, *65*, 2895-2901.
- 592 (51) Rouméas, L.; Aouf, C.; Dubreucq, E.; Fulcrand, H. Depolymerisation of condensed
593 tannins in ethanol as a gateway to biosourced phenolic synthons. *Green Chem.* **2013**,
594 *15*, 3268-3275.
- 595

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Scheme 1: Depolymerization of procyanidins leading to the release of terminal units and the trapping of extension units by a nucleophile (example of the products obtained with menthofuran).

Scheme 2 : Other procyanidin derivatives encountered in this study. Abbreviations: C, catechin; EC, epicatechin; CG, catechin-3-*O*-gallate; ECG, epicatechin-3-*O*-gallate; PG, phloroglucinol; ME, mercaptoethanol.

Figure 1: UV chromatograms (280 nm) of (A) the grape seed extract dissolved in methanol (1 g·L⁻¹) and (B) the same extract after acid-catalyzed depolymerization with menthofuran (1 g·L⁻¹; 65 min reaction). **1**: C (291 m/z), **2**: EC (291 m/z), **3**: ECG (443 m/z), **4**: C-MF (439 m/z), **5**: EC-MF (439 m/z), **6**: ECG-MF (591 m/z).

Figure 2: Depolymerization kinetics of the procyanidins contained in the grape seed extract according to the nucleophile used: menthofuran, phloroglucinol or 2-mercaptoethanol. Extension units (C-NU + EC-NU) are represented by red circles, terminal units (C + EC) by blue squares, galloylated extension units (ECG-NU) by purple triangles and galloylated terminal units (ECG) by green diamonds. Experimental points are means and error bars are standard deviations, calculated from three independent kinetic experiments.

Figure 3: Comparison of the menthofuran method (A) with a standard phloroglucinol method through the characterization of a pine bark extract (pycnogenol), (B) with mercaptolysis through the characterization of a grape pericarp powder containing procyanidins and

620 prodelphinidins. Mass contents are means and error bars are standard deviations calculated
621 from three independent experiments.

TABLES

Table 1: Procyanidin B2 conversion yields in the presence of 1 molar equivalent of nucleophiles

Nucleophile	Time to reach the plateau	EC-NU production yield at $t_{\max}$	EC production yield at $t_{\max}$	Remaining B2 dimer at $t_{\max}$
	$t_{\max}$ (h)	mol% of theoretical maximum ⁱ	mol% of theoretical maximum ⁱ	mol% of initial B2 concentration
Menthofuran	0.7	91.6 ± 1.1	88.1 ± 1.9	1.2 ± 0.0
2-Mercaptoethanol	2.0	40.5 ± 0.4	74.9 ± 2.1	5.4 ± 0.7
Phloroglucinol	0.2	22.5 ± 0.4	65.8 ± 0.7	14.1 ± 0.1

$t_{\max}$: time at which the plateau of maximum EC-NU concentration is reached

ⁱ the theoretical maximum corresponds to the conversion of 1 mol B2 dimer into 1 mol EC plus 1 mol EC-NU.

Values of mean and standard deviation were determined by performing three independent experiments.

Table 2: Composition in procyanidin constitutive units of a grape seed extract according to the nucleophile used for its characterization.

Nucleophile	Extension Units ^a	Terminal Units ^b	Galloylated Extension Units ^c	Galloylated Terminal Units ^d
	% (w/w) ⁱ	% (w/w) ⁱ	% (w/w) ⁱⁱ	% (w/w) ⁱ
Menthofuran	25.9 ± 0.4	13.1 ± 0.3	5.2 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.0
2-Mercaptoethanol	25.0 ± 0.3	12.9 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.0
Phloroglucinol	25.6 ± 0.3	12.5 ± 0.2	5.2 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.0

Compositions calculated from the plateau of maximum concentration of ^a: C-NU + EC-NU, ^b: C + EC, ^c: ECG-NU, ^d: ECG

^{i,ii} Mean values and standard deviations were calculated for each nucleophile from three independent kinetic experiments using concentrations at (i) three reaction times (9 experimental points) and (ii) two reaction times (6 experimental points).

FIGURE GRAPHICS

Scheme 1

Scheme 2

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS GRAPHICS

For table of contents only.