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- Yann Desjeux -
  August 06
1- Reminders
The follow-up has been conducted in France from March 2005 to February 2006. It was initiated with 30 farmers, implementing AESs, and 5 of them gave up during the exercise. Four types of measures have been chosen and studied (some of them being broken-down into sub-options). Two measures to be applied on grasslands; one measure on arable land and one related to hedges:
- 0301A: Winter covering of arable land (intercropping);
- 0602A: Maintenance of hedges
- 1601: Late mowing.
- 2001: Extensive management of grasslands through cutting (or grazing)

In addition, those 30 farmers were followed by 3 different groups of ITAES staff\(^1\). Therefore a lack of cohesion in the data collected might sometimes be noticed with regard to their precision.

2- Clarifications regarding AESs and farmers entered into the DB
- In spite of the fact that the follow-up was initiated with 30 farmers, 2005-data from only 20 of them\(^2\) was reliable enough to be entered into the DB.

- In addition, in order to make the task easier for the farmers (and to be sure to collect reliable data), it has been sometimes necessary to ask some of them not to follow such or such AES.

- In the DB itself, data related to AES FR05 (0602A03-High hedgerows management (2 sides)) were entered as FR04 (0602A02-High hedgerows management (1 side)) given that these 2 AESs are the same in terms of prescriptions. Hence, 1 metre of FR05 (paid 0,42€/m) has been entered as 2 metres of FR04 (paid 0,21€/m).

Given the three points mentioned above, collected and entered data for 2005 can be summarised, at the AESs and farmers level, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AES_ID</th>
<th>AES_description</th>
<th>farmer_ID</th>
<th>Area (ha or m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FR01</td>
<td>0301A01 Winter covering on arable land</td>
<td>FR-F28</td>
<td>3,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F29</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR02</td>
<td>0301A02 Winter covering on arable land</td>
<td>FR-F02</td>
<td>7,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F05</td>
<td>18,84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F08</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F09</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F10</td>
<td>12,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F15</td>
<td>11,74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F17</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-F22</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Group1 from farmer FR-F01 to FR-F10, Group2 from FR-F11 to FR-F20 and Group3 from FR-F21 to Fr-F30.

| FR03  | 0602A01 Low hedgerows management | FR-F02  | 7570  
|       |                                 | FR-F05  | 188   
|       |                                 | FR-F08  | 62    
|       |                                 | FR-F12  | 700   
|       |                                 | FR-F13  | 550   
|       |                                 | FR-F14  | 1600  
|       |                                 | FR-F15  | 1150  
|       |                                 | FR-F16  | 855   
|       |                                 | FR-F17  | 2696  
|       |                                 | FR-F20  | 1900  
|       |                                 | FR-F23  | 1330  
|       |                                 | FR-F25  | 2200  
|       |                                 | FR-F28  | 1140  
|       |                                 | FR-F30  | 4235  |
| FR04  | 0602A02 High hedgerows management (1 side) | FR-F02  | 3484  
|       |                                 | FR-F05  | 8968  
|       |                                 | FR-F08  | 1751  
|       |                                 | FR-F09  | 3770  
|       |                                 | FR-F10  | 190   
|       |                                 | FR-F13  | 14932 
|       |                                 | FR-F14  | 660   
|       |                                 | FR-F16  | 2400  
|       |                                 | FR-F21  | 700   
|       |                                 | FR-F23  | 8000  
|       |                                 | FR-F25  | 2250  
|       |                                 | FR-F30  | 8525  |
| FR06  | 1601A02 Late mowing              | FR-F12  | 33,23 
|       |                                 | FR-F17  | 31,62 
|       |                                 | FR-F20  | 9,54  
|       |                                 | FR-F26  | 20    |
| FR08  | 2001B01 Extensive grassland management: 0 organic fertilisation | FR-F08  | 29,66 
|       |                                 | FR-F12  | 14,68 
|       |                                 | FR-F20  | 22,96 
|       |                                 | FR-F21  | 55,67 
|       |                                 | FR-F26  | 4,76  
|       |                                 | FR-F28  | 11,4  
|       |                                 | FR-F30  | 18,16 |
| FR10  | 2001C02 Extensive grassland management: 0 fertilisation | FR-F02  | 21,44 
|       |                                 | FR-F10  | 11,32 
|       |                                 | FR-F13  | 18,06 
|       |                                 | FR-F14  | 4     
|       |                                 | FR-F16  | 5,9   
|       |                                 | FR-F17  | 0,93  
|       |                                 | FR-F23  | 9,62  
|       |                                 | FR-F25  | 23,14 
|       |                                 | FR-F29  | 3,18  |
Important remark: While analysing the data, AESs FR01 and FR02 can be considered jointly (and not as two distinct AESs) as their prescription are the same, only their related payment differs.

3- The Database

Although the follow-up exercise was meant to be done on a weekly basis, the farmers preferred to provide the requested information on a monthly basis. Hence, in the DB, the choice was made to enter the data in the first week of each month. All farmers started recording their AES-related activities in March 2005. Unless stated otherwise, monthly data were entered for Week 10 – 14 – 18 – 22 – 27 – 31 – 36 – 40 – 44 – 49 – 53 – 58 and 62.

AES payments (related to the studied AES) were allotted to the concerned AES at the week corresponding to the month of anniversary of the AES contract. (e.g. Signature of the contract = November 2002 => AES payment reported under week 49).

CAP payments were allotted to Week 49 (choice).

For livestock CAP premiums, although they are per animal, were reported per hectare of grassland. The amount corresponding to the concerned AES-covered plot was then allotted to Week 49.

Whenever it was feasible, the field production, although not sold, was converted into monetary value from cost standards (hay, straw, etc…). Unless stated otherwise, the main crop related to 0301A fields has been considered. In main cases maize crop (for silage production) is considered. This was not planned at the beginning of the follow-up and standard data was applied. These were reported under Week 14 – 18 – 22 and 40.

Data related to reference area were quite difficult to estimate by the farmers. Therefore we crossed collected data during the follow-up and data collected during individual interviews on a scenario. Are understood by "scenario" activities the farmer would do in case he has no AES, or activities the farmer used to do before contracting AES.

Due to the complexity of the French AES situation, we tried as much as possibility not to add so many additional Tasks, Costs, and Yields/Revenues types in order to enable potential cross-country comparisons. The many negative point of this approach may be the fact that some AES specific data were entered under the heading "non specific AES task/costs/revenues". But as far as the concerned task/cost/revenue is not mentioned in the reference area (for the concerned week or another week) it can be considered as specific to the AES.

Are listed hereafter the tasks / costs / revenues types added for the French case:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>task_ID</th>
<th>admin_op.description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>FR-T04</td>
<td>Admin to solve AES problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>FR-T08</td>
<td>AES training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>FR-T09</td>
<td>control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>FR-T10</td>
<td>Winter cover crushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>FR-T13</td>
<td>Enclosing plots for pasture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>cost_ID</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
<td>Additional cultivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>FR-T01CAP</td>
<td>statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>FR-T12:</td>
<td>collecting/ recording information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>FR-T14:</td>
<td>Technical training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>FR-T02</td>
<td>High hedgerows-Pruning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>FR-T03</td>
<td>High hedgerows-chainsaw+wood collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>FR-T05-</td>
<td>Low hedgerows management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>FR-T06</td>
<td>Winter cover destruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>FR-T11:</td>
<td>Crushing rejected grass on marshland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>FR-T15:</td>
<td>Other operational tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>FR-T07</td>
<td>Stubble destruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Fertilisers application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Soil work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Hay process (mowing -&gt; pressing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Hay collection &amp; transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>cost_ID</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>FR-C01</td>
<td>High hedgerows-contract work for pruning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>FR-C02</td>
<td>Hay process (mowing to pressing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>FR-C03:</td>
<td>Use of fertilizing equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>FR-C04</td>
<td>Winter cover sowing costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>FR-C05</td>
<td>Winter cover destruction costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>FR-C06</td>
<td>Stubble destruction costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>FR-C07</td>
<td>Use of chainsaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>FR-C08</td>
<td>Low hedgerows management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>FR-C09</td>
<td>Costs related to the winter cover crushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>FR-C10</td>
<td>Costs related to mowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>FR-C11:</td>
<td>costs related to tillage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>FR-C12:</td>
<td>Costs of crushing on marshlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>FR-C13:</td>
<td>Renting lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>FR-C14</td>
<td>Buying concentrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>FR-C15</td>
<td>High hedgerows management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>FR-C16:</td>
<td>Costs related to the AES training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>revenue_ID</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Premium for suckler cows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Slaughter premium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Premium for goats and sheeps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FR-R01:</td>
<td>Premium for extensification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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10 FR-R02: Wood collection  
11 FR-R03 Milk premium  
25 Fodder output  
26 Premium for beef cattle  
27 Sale or exchange of manure  
31 Sale of standing grass  
32 Straw

4- Farmers' data
Specific and individual notices were done of each entered farmer in 2005-database. Those are enclosed to the present paper. Nonetheless, given the complex French AES situation some clarifications have to be done here in addition to those already mentioned in the previous parts.

As presented in the table below, only few measures of each farmer's AES contract were studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farmers</th>
<th>Number of AESs in the contract</th>
<th>Number of studied AESs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FR-F02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F08</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F09</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-F30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In such case, it is easily understandable that some tasks (especially administrative ones) can hardly be associated to single measure but are common to different ones or to the contract in its whole. In those cases, we made up our mind to allot the concerned tasks to the studied AES that brings the higher amount of AES subsidies to the farmer. For each farmer, the identified AES is marked by an asterisk in the following information (briefly presenting the data, or the lack of data).
Remark: Data were entered in the DB by two different persons. Although we tried as much as possible to avoid discrepancies it may happen a lack of cohesion in the way data were entered for the farmers of these two "groups".

1.) FR-F02, Philippe Lacolley
   - FR-F02-A; 0301A02.
     For 2005, the reference situation is "no winter-cover", but a ploughing operation after maize harvest.
   - FR-F02-B; 0602A01.
     With the AES, hedgerows are maintained each year (33 hours). Without AES (= Reference situation), 30 hours would be spent each 2 years => Reference situation = 15h (ie 30/2)
   - FR-F02-C; 0602A02
     With AES: maintenance twice : 5 years. REF: once / 5 years. So REF data = ½ AES data.
     Missing data about wood harvested.
   - * FR-F02-D; 2001C02
     Missing livestock CAP premiums (+ extensification premium)
     2 runs of organic fertilisation + 2 runs of mineral fertilisation were considered in the reference.
     This AES implies the cultivation of 2 additional hectares of maize (silage). These tasks/costs/revenues have then be mentioned in AES/week 40.

2.) FR-F05, Joël Margueritte
   - * FR-F05-A; 0301A02.
     REF = no cover, but a decompacting would be done each 3 years => REF = 1/3 of decompacting tasks/costs (in week 40 after the maize harvest).
   - FR-F05-B; 0602A01
     Low hedgerows: 564m maintained (188m*3 given that both sides as well as the top of the hedgerow must be maintained)
   - FR-F05-C; 0602A02
     Missing data related to the quantity of wood collected.
     High hedgerows: 8968m maintained
   - FR-F05-D; 0602A03
     Considered as 0602A02 => FR F05C = 8968m

3.) FR-F08, GAEC La Barillère (Couetil)
   - FR-F08-A; 301A02
     Overlap of AESs 0301 + 0901 + 0903. => premium=262,20€/ha
     Main crop = maize (silage).
   - FR-F08-B; 602A01
   - FR-F08-C; 602A02
     Missing data of the quantity of wood collected (week 44)
   - FR-F08-D; 602A03
     Considered as 602A02 => FR F08C = 1751m
   - * FR-F08-E; 2001B01
     Missing livestock CAP premium.

---

Overlap: 29.66ha of 2001B01 (180.65€/ha) + 29.66ha of 0903A01 (11.13€/ha) = 191.78€/ha. This measure implies to crop 2 additional hectare of maize (silage). Hence, the related costs were allotted to REF/week40.

4.) FR-F09, GAEC de la Petite Bretonnière (Lecouste)
   - * FR-F09-A ; 301A0
     REF = No cover
     Main crop = maize (silage)
   - FR-F09-B; 602A02
     Missing data about the quantity of wood collected.

5.) FR-F10, EARL de Lair
   - FR-F10-A ; 301A02
     REF = no cover
   - FR-F10-B; 602A03 converted into 0602A02
     Missing data about the quantity of wood collected.
   - * FR-F10-C: 2001C02
     Missing data about livestock CAP premium

6.) FR-F12, GAEC de la Rabatterie
   - FR-F12-A ; 301A02
     REF = No cover
     Main crop = Maize for 10ha et wheat for 15ha.
     Wheat (15ha): PPP (week10); harvest + straw (week31).
     REF = idem + one run of disk harrow after harvest (week31)
     Maïs (10ha): tillage (week 14); sowing (week18); PPP + fertilisation (week22);
     silage (week40).
     REF = idem + disk harrow week14 and ploughing after harvest (week 44)
   - FR-F12-B;602A01
   - * FR-F12-C; 1601A02
   - FR-F12-D; 2001B01
     AES = 100% grazing; REF = grazing + mowing over 5ha

7.) FR-F13, GAEC de Long Aulnay
   AES 2001B01 have not been followed to the benefit of AES 2001C02
   - FR-F13-A ; 301A02
     REF = No cover, but ploughing after harvest (silage) + tillage before maize sowing operation
     Main crop = maize
   - FR-F13-B;602A01
   - FR-F13-C; 602A02
     Missing data on quantity of wood collected
   - * FR-F13-D; 2001C02; Tab. 2004 rempli (11/01/06).
     REF = (mowing + grazing) over 10ha and only grazing over the rest.

8.) FR-F14, EARL Allix
   AES 2001B01 have not been followed to the benefit of AES 2001C02
   - * FR-F14-A ; 301A02
   - FR-F14-B ; 602A01
     REF = maintenance of 1/3 (~1400m) each 2 years. => REF for 2005 ~ 700m
- FR-F14-C: 602A02
  Missing data on quantity of wood collected
  REF = maintenance of 1/3 (~580m) each 2 years. => REF for 2005 ~ 290m
- FR-F14-D: 602A03 considered as 0602A02
- FR-F14-E: 2001C02
  Missing data about livestock CAP premiums

9.) FR-F15, EARL de La Nicolerie
- * FR-F15-A: 301A02
  REF = No cover, but ploughing after harvest (silage) + tillage before maize sowing operation
  Main crop = maize
- FR-F15-B: 602A01
  REF: 300m / 3 years => REF = 100m for 2005.
- FR-F15-C: 2001C02
  No data on this AES was provided by the farmer. So we had to gave it up.

10.) FR-F16, Jean Chardin
- * FR-F16-A: 301A02
  Main crop: maize
  REF = no cover but ploughing + tillage before sowing (stubbles are kept in place during winter)
- FR-F16-B: 602A01
- FR-F16-C: 602A02
  REF = 1/3 of 2005 time and costs.
  Missing data on wood collected
- FR-F16-D: 602A03, considered as 602A02
- FR-F16-E: 2001C02
  Missing data on livestock CAP premiums

11.) FR-F17, Christophe Lalande
  AES 2001B01 have not been followed, to the benefit of AES 2001C02
- FR-F17-A: 301A02
  REF = No cover (stubbles are kept in place during winter)
- FR-F17-B: 602A01
  REF = 1/2 of 2005 time and costs.
- * FR-F17-C: 1601A02
  Missing data on livestock CAP premiums
- FR-F17-D: 2001C02
  Missing data on livestock CAP premiums

12.) FR-F20, GAEC du Jonquet
- FR-F20-A: 0301A02
- FR-F20-B: 0602A01.
- FR-F20-C: 1601A02
  Overlap: 1601A02, 2001A01 et 1603A01.
  The overtime spent (between AES and REF) on this plot is not due to AES 1601A02 but to the overlapped measure 1603A01.
  Fertilisation in the reference = 200kg / ha (N/P/K 20/5/10)
- FR-F20-E: 2001C02. AES not followed as no data were provided for 2005 activities.
13.) **FR-F21, Yannick Lavenu**
   - FR-F21-A; 602A02: 
     REF = 1/6 of AES times and costs
   - * FR-F21-B; 2001B01:

14.) **FR-F22, Frédéric Lecarpentier**
   Data related to AES 2001C01 have not been considered and entered as they were not precise and relevant.
   - * FR-F22-A; 301A02.
     Main crop: Maize (5ha) and wheat (4.7ha)
   - FR-F22-B; 602A02 ; No data for 2005

15.) **FR-F23, GAEC des Tourelles**
   AES 2001B01 have not been followed, to the benefit of AES 2001C02
   - FR-F23-A; 602A01;
     REF = ½ of AES times and costs
   - FR-F23-B; 602A02;
     Difficulties to estimate the REF situation as this would be done more or less each 10 to 15 years.
     No maintenance done in 2005
   - * FR-F23-C; 2001C02

16.) **FR-F25, GAEC de la Grande Croix**
   AES 2001B01 have not been followed, to the benefit of AES 2001C02
   - FR-F25-A; 301A02
   - FR-F25-B; 602A01
     REF = ½ of AES times and costs
   - FR-F25-C; 602A02; AES not followed as no data were provided for 2005 activities
   - * FR-F25-D ; 2001C02;
     Loss of hay estimated as 1t/ha (week 31)

17.) **FR-F26, EARL de l’Orimier**
   - FR-F26-A ; 301A02
     Main crop = Maize (silage)
   - FR-F26-B; 602A02
   - * FR-F26-C; 1601A02
     Without the AES, those plots won't be rented (1500€, week 27) but this has not been considered, in order to be able to estimate times/costs/revenues implied by AES application.
     The overtime spent for mowing (1hour) is not related to the concerned AES but to an overlapped one (1603A01).
   - FR-F26-D ; 2001B01

18.) **FR-F28, EARL de l’Isle**
   - FR-F28-A: 301A01
   - FR-F28-B: 602A01
   - * FR-F28-C: 2001B01
     Week 18: Missing revenues linked to grass silage and hay-silage
19.) **FR-F29, GAEC du Bigard**

AES 2001B01 have not been followed to the benefit of AES 2001C02

- *FR-F29-A : 301A01*
  
  Week 18 : Missing revenues linked to grass silage.

- FR-F29-B: 602A02, Cancelled and not studied as nothing has been done in 2004 and 2005.

- FR-F29-C: 2001C02

20.) **FR-F30, GAEC de Gruchy**

- FR-F30-A : 301A02
  
  REF: the farmer says that the cover would be maintained even without AES. Nevertheless, no cover was applied before the contract. => REF = no cover

- FR-F30-B : 602A01

- FR-F30-C : 602A02

- * FR-F30-D : 2001B01
  
  REF: Additional production of 18tons (loss estimated by the farmer due to the AES). No costs allotted given that it is grazed.