

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE SUM OF DIGITS OF SUMS a + b

Christian Mauduit, Joel Rivat, András Sárközy

▶ To cite this version:

Christian Mauduit, Joel Rivat, András Sárközy. ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE SUM OF DIGITS OF SUMS a \pm b. 2019. hal-02278713

HAL Id: hal-02278713

https://hal.science/hal-02278713

Preprint submitted on 4 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE SUM OF DIGITS OF SUMS a+b

CHRISTIAN MAUDUIT, JOËL RIVAT, AND ANDRÁS SÁRKÖZY

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} be large subsets of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$. We study the distribution of the sum of binary digits of the sums a + b with $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we will use the following notations: \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{N}_0 , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denote the set of positive integers, non-negative integers, integers, real numbers, resp. complex numbers, ||x|| denotes the distance from x to the nearest integer and we write $e(\alpha) = e^{2i\pi\alpha}$. We will denote the sum of digits of an integer $n \ge 0$ written in base g by $s_g(n)$ and will write $s_2(n) = s(n)$.

Many papers have been written on the arithmetic properties of sumsets of "dense" sets of positive integers. A survey of the early work in this field is presented in [7]. In particular, in [5] the first and third author showed that if \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} are "large" subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, $g \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed, (m, g - 1) = 1 and m is "small", then the values of the sum of digit function $s_g(n)$ assumed over the sums a + b (with $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$) are well-distributed modulo m:

Theorem A. If $g \in \mathbb{N}$, $g \geqslant 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (m, g - 1) = 1, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{B} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, then we have

$$\left|\left\{(a,b)\in\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B},\ s_g(a+b)\equiv r\bmod m\right\}-\frac{|\mathcal{A}|\,|\mathcal{B}|}{m}\right|\leqslant 2\,\gamma\,N^{\lambda}\,\left(|\mathcal{A}|\,|\mathcal{B}|\right)^{1/2},$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(g, m)$ and $\gamma = \gamma(g, m)$ are defined by

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\log g}\log\frac{g\sin(\pi/2m)}{\sin(\pi/2mg)}\ (<1),\ \gamma = \gamma(g,m) = \frac{g^2}{g^{\lambda}-1}.$$

In another paper [6] we formulated the conjecture that if $g \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed and \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} are "large" subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., N\}$, then there are $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that their sum of digits $s_q(a+b)$ is equal to its expected value:

Conjecture 1. If $\varepsilon > 0$, $N > N_0(\varepsilon)$, A, $B \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ and |A|, $|B| > \varepsilon N$, then there are integers a, b such that $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and

$$s_g(a+b) = \lfloor (g-1)\nu/2 \rfloor$$

where $\nu = \nu(N) \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $g^{\nu} \leqslant N \leqslant g^{\nu+1} - 1$.

Date: August 28, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11A63, 11B13.

Key words and phrases. sumset, digits.

Research partially supported by the Hungarian National Research Development and Innovation Funds NK104183 and K119528, and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche project ANR-14-CE34-0009 MUDERA.

Later in [4] we proved this conjecture in the g=2 special case in a slightly stronger form:

Theorem B. For any L > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a number $N_0 = N_0(L, \varepsilon)$ such that if $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N > N_0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| k - \frac{\log N}{2\log 2} \right| < L(\log N)^{1/4}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}, \ \mathcal{B} \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

then writing $\varrho = \left(\frac{\log 2}{8}\right)^{1/2}$, we have

$$\left| |\{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \ s(a+b) = k\}| - \left(\frac{\log 4}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{|\mathcal{A}| |\mathcal{B}|}{(\log N)^{1/2}} \right|$$

$$< \frac{N}{(\log N)^{1/2} \exp((\varrho - \varepsilon)(\log \log N)^{1/2})} (|\mathcal{A}| |\mathcal{B}|)^{1/2}.$$

In this paper our goal is to extend the study of the distribution of the numbers s(a+b) from a small neighbourhood of the expedted value to a possibly large interval. We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, N > 2, A, $B \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, $0 < z < \frac{\log 2N}{\log 2}$ define $y_{2N} = y_{2N}(z)$ by

$$z = \frac{\log 2N}{\log 4} + y_{2N} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\log 2N}{\log 2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then we have

(1)
$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}| |\mathcal{B}|} |\{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \ s(a+b) < z\}|$$

$$= \Phi(y_{2N}) + O\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{A}| |\mathcal{B}|}} \frac{(\log \log N)(\log \log \log N)^{1/2}}{(\log N)^{1/4}}\right)$$

uniformly in z as N tends to infinity, with Φ defined by

$$\Phi(u) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{u} e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$

This theorem shows that for

(2)
$$\sqrt{|\mathcal{A}| |\mathcal{B}|} / \frac{N \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/4}} \to +\infty$$

the numbers s(a + b) with $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ are distributed in the same way as the numbers s(n) with $n \leq 2N$. (Indeed, compare this theorem with the statement of Lemma 2.) Probably condition (2) is not sharp. However, condition (2) cannot be replaced by

(3)
$$\sqrt{|\mathcal{A}| |\mathcal{B}|} \gg \frac{N}{2^{\left\lfloor \sqrt{\log N} \right\rfloor}}$$

as the following example shows: let

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \left\{ n \leqslant N : 2^{\left\lfloor \sqrt{\log N} \right\rfloor} \mid n \right\}.$$

Namely, for this sequences \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} (3) holds, however, for $(a,b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ the numbers s(a+b) tend to be smaller than expected by $c\sqrt{\log N}$ (since the last $\lfloor \sqrt{\log N} \rfloor$ digits of the sums a+b do not contribute to s(a+b)).

2. Sketch of the proof

We will use the circle method (in similar manner as in [2]). Let us write

$$G(\alpha) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} e(a\alpha), \ H(\alpha) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} e(b\alpha),$$

(4)
$$S_z(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq 2N \\ s(n) < z}} e(n\alpha)$$

and

(5)
$$J = \int_0^1 G(\alpha) H(\alpha) S_z(-\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Then clearly we have

(6)
$$J = \int_0^1 \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{A} \\ s(n) < z}} \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant 2N \\ s(n) < z}} e((a+b-n)\alpha) d\alpha$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \\ s(n) < z \\ a+b=n}} \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant 2N \\ s(n) < z \\ a+b=n}} 1 = |\{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \ s(a+b) < z\}|$$

so that, indeed, in order to estimate the left hand side of (1) we have to estimate this integral J. The estimate of J can be reduced to the estimate of the generating function $S_z(\alpha)$ which will be carried out in sections 3 and 4 and finally in section 5 we will complete the proof of the theorem by combining the lemmas proved in sections 3 and 4.

3. The estimate of $S_z(\alpha)$

For x > 0 and z > 0 let

(7)
$$\mathcal{R}_z(x) = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leqslant x, \ s(n) < z \}$$

and

(8)
$$R_z(x) = |\mathcal{R}_z(x)| = |\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leqslant x, \ s(n) < z\}|.$$

Note that by using this notation the definition of $S_z(\alpha)$ in (4) can be rewritten as

(9)
$$S_z(\alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{R}_z(2N)} e(n\alpha).$$

For x > 0 we write

$$M_x = \frac{\log x}{\log 4}, \ D_x = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2} \right)^{1/2},$$

let

$$\mathcal{N}_x(y) = \{ n : n \leqslant x, \ s(n) < M_x + yD_x \},$$

and write

$$N_x(y) = |\mathcal{N}_x(y)| = |\{n : n \le x, \ s(n) < M_x + yD_x\}|.$$

The estimate of $S_z(\alpha)$ near 0 will be based on a theorem of Kátai and Mogyoródi [3]. Here we state their result in the special case when the base of the number system (denoted by them as K, and denoted above by us as g) is 2. They proved:

Lemma 1. We have

$$N_x(y) = x \Phi(y) + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{(\log x)^{1/2}}\right)$$

uniformly in y as x tends to infinity.

Proof. This is the K=2 special case of Theorem 1 in [3].

Lemma 2. For

$$(10) 0 \leqslant z \leqslant \log 2N,$$

$$\frac{N}{(\log N)^{1/2}} < x \leqslant 2N$$

we have

(12)
$$R_z(x) = |\{n : n \le x, \ s(n) < z\}| = x \Phi(y_{2N}) + O\left(\frac{N \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right)$$

where y_{2N} is defined by

$$y_{2N} = \frac{z - M_{2N}}{D_{2N}}$$

Proof. Writing

$$(14) y_x = \frac{z - M_x}{D_x},$$

by Lemma 1, (11) and (13), we have

(15)
$$R_z(x) = |\{n : n \le x, \ s(n) < z\}| = |\{n : n \le x, \ s(n) < M_x + y_x D_x\}|$$

$$= N_x(y_x) = x\Phi(y_x) + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{(\log x)^{1/2}}\right) = x\Phi(y_x) + O\left(\frac{N \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right).$$

Observing by (11) that $\log x \gg \log N$

$$\frac{1}{D_x} - \frac{1}{D_{2N}} = (\log 2)^{1/2} \int_{\log x}^{\log 2N} \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} \ll \frac{\log(2N/x)}{(\log x)^{3/2}} \ll \frac{\log\log N}{(\log N)^{3/2}}$$

and

$$\frac{M_{2N}}{D_{2N}} - \frac{M_x}{D_x} = \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{1/2}} \int_{\log x}^{\log 2N} \frac{dt}{2 t^{1/2}} \ll \frac{\log(2N/x)}{(\log x)^{1/2}} \ll \frac{\log\log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}$$

it follows from (10), (13), (14) and (15) that

(16)
$$y_{2N} - y_x = z \left(\frac{1}{D_{2N}} - \frac{1}{D_x} \right) - \left(\frac{M_{2N}}{D_{2N}} - \frac{M_x}{D_x} \right) = O\left(\frac{\log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}} \right).$$

By the Lagrange mean value theorem there is a real number ξ between y_x and y_{2N} such that

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(y_{2N}) - \Phi(y_x)}{y_{2N} - y_x} \right| = |\Phi'(\xi)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\xi^2/2} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

whence, by (16),

$$|\Phi(y_{2N}) - \Phi(y_x)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |y_{2N} - y_x| = O\left(\frac{\log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right)$$

so that

(17)
$$\Phi(y_{2N}) = \Phi(y_x) + O\left(\frac{\log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right).$$

(12) follows from (15) and (17) which completes the proof of Lemma 2. \Box

Write

(18)
$$u = \frac{(\log N)^{1/4} (\log \log \log N)^{1/2}}{N}.$$

Lemma 3. For

$$(19) 0 \leqslant z \leqslant 2N$$

and

we have

(21)
$$\left| S_z(\alpha) - \Phi(y_{2N}) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} e(n\alpha) \right| = O\left(\frac{u N^2 \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right).$$

Proof. By (7), (8), (9) and partial summation we have, writing $N_0 = \lfloor 2N(\log N)^{-1/2} \rfloor$,

$$S_{z}(\alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{R}_{z}(2N)} e(n\alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{R}_{z}(N_{0})} e(n\alpha) + \sum_{n=N_{0}+1}^{2N} (R_{z}(n) - R_{z}(n-1)) e(n\alpha)$$
$$= O(N_{0}) + \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{2N} R_{z}(n) (e(n\alpha) - e((n+1)\alpha)) + R_{z}(2N) e((2N+1)\alpha).$$

By (19), for $N_0 \leqslant n \leqslant 2N$ we can apply (12) and we get

$$S_z(\alpha) = O(N_0) + \Phi(y_{2N}) \left(\sum_{n=N_0}^{2N} n \left(e(n\alpha) - e((n+1)\alpha) \right) + 2N e((2N+1)\alpha) \right) + E_z(\alpha)$$

with

$$E_z(\alpha) = O\left(\frac{N \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right) \left(\sum_{n=N_0}^{2N} |1 - e(\alpha)| + 1\right) = O\left(\frac{(\|\alpha\| N + 1)N \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right),$$

so that, since $0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$,

(22)
$$S_z(\alpha) = \Phi(y_{2N}) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} e(n\alpha) + O\left(\frac{N \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{u N^2 \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right).$$

(21) follows from (22) and (18).
$$\Box$$

4. The estimate of $S_z(\alpha)$ for large $\|\alpha\|$

For $(\theta, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\lambda \geqslant 0$ let

$$F_{\lambda}(\theta,\alpha) = 2^{-\lambda} \sum_{0 \le n < 2^{\lambda}} e(s(n)\theta + n\alpha).$$

For $\lambda \geq 0$, we have the trivial upper bound

$$(23) |F_{\lambda}(\theta, \alpha)| \leqslant 1$$

and for $\lambda \geqslant 1$,

$$F_{\lambda}(\theta, \alpha) = 2^{-\lambda} \prod_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} \left(1 + e(\theta + 2^{j}\alpha) \right).$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $F_{\lambda}(0, \alpha) = 1$ and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ we have

(24)
$$|F_{\lambda}(0,\alpha)| = 2^{-\lambda} \left| \frac{\sin \pi 2^{\lambda} \alpha}{\sin \pi \alpha} \right| \leqslant \left(2^{\lambda} \sin \pi \|\alpha\| \right)^{-1}.$$

For $0 \le \mu \le \lambda$, $0 \le m < 2^{\mu}$ and $n \ge 0$ we have $s(m + 2^{\mu}n) = s(m) + s(n)$ and

(25)
$$F_{\lambda}(\theta, \alpha) = F_{\mu}(\theta, \alpha) F_{\lambda-\mu}(\theta, 2^{\mu}\alpha).$$

For $\lambda \geqslant 1$ we have

$$\frac{\partial F_{\lambda}}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \alpha) = 2^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} 2i\pi \, \mathrm{e}(\theta + 2^{i}\alpha) \prod_{\substack{j=0\\ i \neq i}}^{\lambda-1} \left(1 + \mathrm{e}(\theta + 2^{j}\alpha) \right),$$

so that for $(\theta, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\lambda \geqslant 1$ we have the elementary upper bound

$$\left| \frac{\partial F_{\lambda}}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \alpha) \right| \leqslant \pi \lambda,$$

which, by the mean value theorem, gives (for $\lambda = 0$ and $(\theta, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have $F_{\lambda}(\theta, \alpha) = 1$) for any integer $\lambda \geqslant 0$

(26)
$$|F_{\lambda}(\theta, \alpha)| \leq |F_{\lambda}(0, \alpha)| + \pi\theta\lambda$$

Lemma 4. Let $\lambda \geqslant 0$ be an integer and $(\theta, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We have

$$(27) |F_{\lambda}(\theta, \alpha)| \leqslant e^{c/4} e^{-c\|\theta\|^2 \lambda},$$

where

(28)
$$c = \pi^2 / 20.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 3.2 of [4].

Lemma 5. Let $\nu \geqslant 1$ be an integer and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. For $0 \leqslant \theta_0 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, and c defined by (28) we have

(29)
$$\int_{\|\theta\| \geqslant \theta_0} |F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| \, d\theta \leqslant \sqrt{\pi} \, e^{c/4} \frac{e^{-c\theta_0^2 \nu}}{\sqrt{c\nu}}.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 3.3 of [4].

Lemma 6. Let $\nu_1 \geqslant 0$ be an integer and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $2^{-2-\nu_1} \leqslant ||\alpha|| \leqslant 2^{-1-\nu_1}$. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and any integer $\nu \geqslant \nu_1$ we have

(30)
$$|F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| \leq \left(2^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu+\nu_1} + \pi |\theta| (\nu-\nu_1)\right) |F_{\nu_1}(\theta, \alpha)|.$$

Proof. By (25) we have

$$F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha) = F_{\nu_1}(\theta, \alpha) F_{\nu - \nu_1}(\theta, 2^{\nu_1} \alpha),$$

and writing $\alpha = n + \beta$ with $2^{-2-\nu_1} \le |\beta| \le 2^{-1-\nu_1}$ we have $2^{\nu_1}\alpha = 2^{\nu_1}n + 2^{\nu_1}\beta$ with $2^{-2} \le |2^{\nu_1}\beta| \le 2^{-1}$ so that $||2^{\nu_1}\alpha|| = |2^{\nu_1}\beta| \ge \frac{1}{4}$, and by (26) and (24) it follows

$$|F_{\nu-\nu_1}(\theta, 2^{\nu_1}\alpha)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu+\nu_1} + \pi\theta(\nu-\nu_1)$$

and we get (30).

Lemma 7. For real numbers U > 2, $U^{-1} < \theta_1 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, integers $1 \leqslant \nu_1 \leqslant \nu$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $2^{-2-\nu_1} \leqslant \|\alpha\| \leqslant 2^{-1-\nu_1}$, we have

(31)
$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \min\left(U, |\sin \pi \theta|^{-1}\right) |F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| d\theta$$

$$\ll 2^{\nu_1 - \nu} (1 + \log(U\theta_1)) + (\nu - \nu_1)(U^{-1} + \nu_1^{-1/2}) + e^{-c\theta_1^2 \nu} \log \frac{1}{2\theta_1}$$

where the implied constant is absolute.

Proof. Let $\theta_0 = U^{-1}$, so that $0 < \theta_0 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. For $|\theta| \leqslant \theta_0$, since $\min \left(U, |\sin \pi \theta|^{-1} \right) \leqslant U = \theta_0^{-1}$, combining (30) with (23) applied with $\lambda = \nu_1$ and using parity we have

$$\int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} \min\left(U, \left|\sin \pi \theta\right|^{-1}\right) \left|F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)\right| d\theta \leqslant 2U \int_0^{\theta_0} \left(2^{\frac{1}{2} - \nu + \nu_1} + \pi \theta(\nu - \nu_1)\right) d\theta$$

and by integration we get

$$\int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} \min\left(U, |\sin \pi \theta|^{-1}\right) |F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| d\theta \leqslant 2^{\frac{3}{2} + \nu_1 - \nu} + \pi U^{-1} (\nu - \nu_1).$$

We have

$$\int_{\theta_0 \leqslant \|\theta\| \leqslant \theta_1} \min \left(U, \left| \sin \pi \theta \right|^{-1} \right) \left| F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha) \right| d\theta \ll \int_{\theta_0 \leqslant \|\theta\| \leqslant \theta_1} \left| F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha) \right| \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

and combining (30) and (23) the right hand side above is at most

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu+\nu_1} \int_{\theta_0 \leqslant \|\theta\| \leqslant \theta_1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} + \pi(\nu-\nu_1) \int_{\theta_0 \leqslant \|\theta\| \leqslant \theta_1} |F_{\nu_1}(\theta,\alpha)| d\theta.$$

By (29) applied with ν_1 in place of ν we obtain

$$\int_{\theta_0 \leqslant \|\theta\| \leqslant \theta_1} \min\left(U, \left|\sin \pi \theta\right|^{-1}\right) \left|F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)\right| d\theta \ll 2^{\nu_1 - \nu} \log(\theta_1 / \theta_0) + \frac{\nu - \nu_1}{\sqrt{\nu_1}}.$$

Observing that

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{1/2} e^{-c\theta^2 \nu} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \leqslant e^{-c\theta_1^2 \nu} \int_{\theta_1}^{1/2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} = e^{-c\theta_1^2 \nu} \log \frac{1}{2\theta_1}.$$

by (27) we get

$$\int_{\theta_1 \leqslant \|\theta\| \leqslant 1/2} \min \left(U, \left| \sin \pi \theta \right|^{-1} \right) \left| F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha) \right| d\theta \ll e^{-c\theta_1^2 \nu} \log \frac{1}{2\theta_1}.$$

Combining these estimates leads to Lemma 7.

Lemma 8. For integers $0 \le \nu_1 < \nu$, $(\theta, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\|\theta\| < \frac{1}{4}$ and $2^{-2-\nu_1} \le \|\alpha\| \le 2^{-1-\nu_1}$ and c defined by (28) we have

(32)
$$|F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| \ll \|\theta\| e^{-c\|\theta\|^2 \nu} + 2^{\nu_1 - \nu} + \exp\left(-\sigma(\theta)\sqrt{\nu - \nu_1}\right)$$

where
$$\sigma(\theta) = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}(\log 2)\log\left(\sin \pi(\|\theta\| + \frac{1}{4})\right)} = \frac{\log 2}{2} + O(\|\theta\|).$$

Proof. This is Lemma 3.4 of [4] with $\nu_1 + 2$ in place of ν_1 and therefore a modified absolute implied constant. The range of $\|\alpha\|$ is extended by continuity.

Lemma 9. For integers $0 \le \nu_1 < \nu$, $(\theta_0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $0 < \theta_0 < \frac{1}{4}$ and $2^{-2-\nu_1} \le \|\alpha\| \le 2^{-1-\nu_1}$, and c defined by (28), we have

$$\int_{\|\theta\| \leqslant \theta_0} |F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| \, d\theta \ll \frac{1 - e^{-c\theta_0^2 \nu}}{\nu} + \theta_0 2^{\nu_1 - \nu} + \theta_0 \exp\left(-\sigma(\theta_0)\sqrt{\nu - \nu_1}\right).$$

Proof. This is Lemma 3.5 of [4] with $\nu_1 + 2$ in place of ν_1 and therefore a modified absolute implied constant. The range of $\|\alpha\|$ is extended by continuity.

Lemma 10. For integers $0 \le \nu_1 < \nu$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $2^{-2-\nu_1} \le ||\alpha|| < 2^{-1-\nu_1}$ and $U \ge 5$ we have

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \min\left(U, |\sin \pi \theta|^{-1}\right) |F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| d\theta \ll \frac{1}{U} + \frac{1}{\nu^{1/2}} + \exp\left(-\sigma(1/5)\sqrt{\nu - \nu_1}\right) \log U.$$

Proof. Let $\theta_0 = U^{-1}$, so that $0 < \theta_0 \leqslant \frac{1}{5}$. Since $\min \left(U, \left| \sin \pi \theta \right|^{-1} \right) \leqslant U = \theta_0^{-1}$, by Lemma 9 we have

$$\int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} \min\left(U, \left|\sin \pi \theta\right|^{-1}\right) \left|F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)\right| d\theta \ll \frac{1 - e^{-c\theta_0^2 \nu}}{\theta_0 \nu} + 2^{\nu_1 - \nu} + \exp\left(-\sigma(\theta_0)\sqrt{\nu - \nu_1}\right),$$

and by the mean value theorem and the monoticity of σ we get

$$\int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} \min\left(U, \left|\sin \pi \theta\right|^{-1}\right) \left|F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)\right| d\theta \ll \theta_0 + 2^{\nu_1 - \nu} + \exp\left(-\sigma(1/5)\sqrt{\nu - \nu_1}\right).$$

By Lemma 8 we have

$$\int_{\theta_0 \leqslant \|\theta\| \leqslant 1/5} \min \left(U, |\sin \pi \theta|^{-1} \right) |F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| d\theta
\ll \int_{\theta_0}^{1/5} e^{-c\theta^2 \nu} d\theta + \left(2^{\nu_1 - \nu} + \exp\left(-\sigma(1/5)\sqrt{\nu - \nu_1} \right) \right) \int_{\theta_0}^{1/5} \theta^{-1} d\theta,$$

and writing $\theta = \theta_0 + t$ we have

$$\int_{\theta_0}^{1/5} e^{-c(\theta^2 - \theta_0^2)\nu} d\theta \leqslant \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-c(t^2 + 2\theta_0 t)\nu} dt \leqslant \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-ct^2 \nu} dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{c\nu}},$$

so that the quantity above is

$$\ll \nu^{-1/2} e^{-c\theta_0^2 \nu} + 2^{\nu_1 - \nu} \log(\theta_0^{-1}) + \exp\left(-\sigma(1/5)\sqrt{\nu - \nu_1}\right) \log(\theta_0^{-1}).$$

By Lemma 5 we have

$$\int_{\|\theta\|>1/5} \min\left(U,\left|\sin\pi\theta\right|^{-1}\right) \left|F_{\nu}(\theta,\alpha)\right| d\theta \leqslant \left|\sin\frac{\pi}{5}\right|^{-1} \sqrt{\pi} \, e^{c/4} \frac{e^{-c\nu/25}}{\sqrt{c\nu}}.$$

Gathering the estimates above and observing that $2^{\nu_1-\nu} \ll \exp(-\sigma(1/5)\sqrt{\nu-\nu_1})$ we get Lemma 10.

We will need a special case of the so called "Chernoff bounds":

Lemma 11. Let $\nu \geqslant 1$ be an integer and X_1, \ldots, X_{ν} be independent random variables such that $\mathbb{P}(X_j = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathbb{P}(X_j = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \nu$. Then for any t > 0 we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_1 + \dots + X_{\nu} - \frac{\nu}{2}| > t) < 2\exp(-2t^2/\nu).$$

Proof. E.g. apply Corollary A.1.2 of [1] to the random variables $1-2X_1,\ldots,1-2X_{\nu}$ with a=2t.

Lemma 12. Let $\nu \geqslant 1$ be an integer and $\xi_{\nu} > 0$. We have

card
$$\left\{0 \le n < 2^{\nu}, |s(n) - \frac{\nu}{2}| > \xi_{\nu} \sqrt{\nu}\right\} < 2^{\nu+1} \exp\left(-2\xi_{\nu}^{2}\right)$$
.

Proof. Apply Lemma 11 with $t = \xi_{\nu} \sqrt{\nu}$.

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z \in \mathbb{R}$ let us write

(34)
$$T(\alpha, N, z) = N^{-1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant n < N \\ \mathbf{s}(n) < z}} \mathbf{e}(n\alpha).$$

Lemma 13. Let $\nu \geqslant 3$ be an integer and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. For $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

(35)
$$|T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z)| \ll ||\alpha||^{-1} 2^{-\nu} \log \log \nu + \nu^{-1/2} (\log \nu)^2,$$

where the implied constant is absolute.

Proof. Assume first that $z \leq \frac{\nu}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\nu \log \nu}$. By Lemma 12 with $\xi_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log \nu}$ we have

(36)
$$|T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z)| \ll \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\log \nu) = \nu^{-1/2}$$

Assume now that $z > \frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\nu \log \nu}$. Observing that

$$\left| \sum_{n \le 2^{\nu}} e(n\alpha) \right| \le \frac{1}{\left| \sin(\pi\alpha) \right|} = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi \|\alpha\|)} \le (2 \|\alpha\|)^{-1}$$

and writing

$$T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z) = 2^{-\nu} \sum_{\substack{0 \le n < 2^{\nu} \\ s(n) \ge z}} e(n\alpha) - 2^{-\nu} \sum_{\substack{0 \le n < 2^{\nu} \\ s(n) \ge z}} e(n\alpha),$$

by Lemma 12 with $\xi_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\log \nu}$ we have

$$|T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z)| \ll ||\alpha||^{-1} 2^{-\nu} + \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \log \nu) = ||\alpha||^{-1} 2^{-\nu} + \nu^{-1/2}.$$

It remains to consider the case when

$$\frac{\nu}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\nu\log\nu} < z \leqslant \frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\nu\log\nu}.$$

Writing $k_{\nu} = \left\lfloor \frac{\nu}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\nu \log \nu} \right\rfloor$ we have

$$T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z) = \sum_{\substack{k_{\nu} \leq k < z \\ \text{s}(n) = k}} 2^{-\nu} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < 2^{\nu} \\ \text{s}(n) = k}} e(n\alpha) + T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, k_{\nu}),$$

and

$$2^{-\nu} \sum_{\substack{0 \le n < 2^{\nu} \\ s(n) = k}} e(n\alpha) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha) e(-k\theta) d\theta$$

so that

$$T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k_{\nu} \leqslant k < z} e(-k\theta) \right) F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha) d\theta + T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, k_{\nu}),$$

hence using (36) with k_{ν} in place of z we have $|T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, k_{\nu})| = O(\nu^{-1/2})$ and we get

$$|T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z)| \le \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \min(\lceil z \rceil - k_{\nu}, |\sin \pi \theta|^{-1}) |F_{\nu}(\theta, \alpha)| d\theta + O(\nu^{-1/2}).$$

We choose $U = 2 + \sqrt{\nu \log \nu}$ and observe that $[z] - k_{\nu} \leqslant U$.

If $\|\alpha\| \leqslant 2^{-1-\nu}$ then (35) holds by the trivial estimate. Therefore we can assume that $\|\alpha\| > 2^{-1-\nu}$. Let $0 \leqslant \nu_1 < \nu$ be the unique integer such that $2^{-2-\nu_1} < \|\alpha\| \leqslant 2^{-1-\nu_1}$, and let us first assume that

(37)
$$\nu - \nu_1 \geqslant \left(\sigma\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\log\nu + \log\log\nu\right)^2$$

holds, so that

$$\exp\left(\sigma(1/5)\sqrt{\nu-\nu_1}\right) \geqslant \sqrt{\nu}\log\nu.$$

Applying (33) we get

$$|T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z)| \ll \nu^{-1/2}$$
.

If condition (37) does not hold, in particular we have

$$(38) \nu - \nu_1 \ll (\log \nu)^2,$$

thus $\nu_1 \geqslant 1$, and taking

$$\theta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\log \nu + 2\log\log \nu}{2c\nu}},$$

we observe that, using (28), we have $1 < \frac{\log 3}{\sqrt{2c}} < U\theta_1$ for $\nu \geqslant 3$, and $\theta_1 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ for $\nu \geqslant 22$. Moreover we have

$$U\theta_1 \ll \log \nu$$
, $U^{-1} \ll \nu^{-1/2}$, $\nu_1^{-1/2} \ll \nu^{-1/2}$, $\log \frac{1}{2\theta_1} \ll \log \nu$,

and

$$e^{c\theta_1^2\nu} = \nu^{1/2}\log\nu$$

and we can apply (31) to get

$$|T(\alpha, 2^{\nu}, z)| \ll 2^{\nu_1 - \nu} \log \log \nu + (\nu - \nu_1) \nu^{-1/2}$$

and by (38) we get (35) for $\nu \ge 22$. Finally (35) holds for $3 \le \nu < 22$ by using the trivial upper bound and modifying the implied absolute constant.

Lemma 14. Let $N \geqslant 16$ be an integer and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. For $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

(39)
$$|T(\alpha, N, z)| \ll \frac{(\log \log N)(\log \log \log N)}{N \|\alpha\|} + \frac{(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{1/2}}.$$

where the implied constant is absolute.

Proof. If N is a power of 2 then (39) follows from (35). If N is not a power of 2, let $r \ge 2$ be an integer and write $N = 2^{j_1} + \cdots + 2^{j_r}$ with $j_1 > \cdots > j_r$. If $\|\alpha\| \le (2N)^{-1}$ (35) holds trivially so we may assume that $\|\alpha\| > (2N)^{-1}$. We have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant n < N \\ \mathbf{s}(n) < z}} \mathbf{e}(n\alpha) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant n < 2^{j_1} \\ \mathbf{s}(n) < z}} \mathbf{e}(n\alpha) + \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant n < N - 2^{j_1} \\ \mathbf{s}(2^{j_1} + n) < z}} \mathbf{e}((2^{j_1} + n)\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant n < 2^{j_1} \\ \mathbf{s}(n) < z}} \mathbf{e}(n\alpha) + \mathbf{e}(2^{j_1}\alpha) \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant n < N - 2^{j_1} \\ \mathbf{s}(n) < z - 1}} \mathbf{e}(n\alpha) \end{split}$$

hence

$$N\left|T(\alpha,N,z)\right|\leqslant 2^{j_1}\left|T(\alpha,2^{j_1},z)\right|+\left(N-2^{j_1}\right)\left|T(\alpha,N-2^{j_1},z-1)\right|.$$

Iterating this process we get

$$N|T(\alpha, N, z)| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{r} 2^{j_i} |T(\alpha, 2^{j_i}, z - i)|$$

It follows that

$$(40) N|T(\alpha, N, z)| \leqslant \sum_{0 \leqslant j < \frac{\log N}{\log 2}} 2^j \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |T(\alpha, 2^j, z)|.$$

Let us first assume that assume that $\|\alpha\| > N^{-1}(\log N)^{3/2}$. By (35)

$$N|T(\alpha, N, z)| \le 7 + \sum_{3 \le j < \frac{\log N}{\ln x^2}} (\|\alpha\|^{-1} \log \log j + 2^j j^{-1/2} (\log j)^2).$$

Observing that

$$\|\alpha\|^{-1} \sum_{3 \le j < \frac{\log N}{\log 2}} \log \log j \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^{3/2}} (\log N) \log \log \log N = \frac{N \log \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}},$$

and

$$\sum_{3 \leqslant j < \frac{\log N}{\log 2}} 2^j j^{-1/2} (\log j)^2 \ll (\log \log N)^2 \sum_{3 \leqslant j < \frac{\log N}{2 \log 2}} 2^j + \frac{(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{1/2}} \sum_{\frac{\log N}{2 \log 2} \leqslant j < \frac{\log N}{\log 2}} 2^j$$

so that

$$\sum_{3 \leqslant j < \frac{\log N}{\log 2}} 2^j j^{-1/2} (\log j)^2 \ll \frac{N (\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{1/2}},$$

and for $\|\alpha\| > N^{-1}(\log N)^{3/2}$ we get (39).

It remains to consider the case where

(41)
$$(2N)^{-1} < \|\alpha\| \leqslant N^{-1} (\log N)^{3/2}.$$

Let

$$J = \frac{-\log(\|\alpha\|)}{\log 2} > 0$$
, i.e.: $2^J = \|\alpha\|^{-1}$.

In (40) we use the trivial estimate $|T(\alpha, 2^j, z)| \leq 1$ for $0 \leq j \leq J$ and (35) for $J < j < \log N/\log 2$. This leads to

$$N \left| T(\alpha, N, z) \right| \ll \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant J} 2^j + \sum_{J < j < \frac{\log N}{\log 2}} \left(\left\| \alpha \right\|^{-1} \log \log j + 2^j j^{-1/2} (\log j)^2 \right).$$

We have

$$\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant J} 2^j \ll 2^J = \|\alpha\|^{-1}$$

and as above

$$\sum_{J < j < \frac{\log N}{\log 2}} 2^j j^{-1/2} (\log j)^2 \ll \frac{N(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{1/2}}.$$

Now

$$\sum_{J < j < \frac{\log N}{\log 2}} \log \log j \ll (\log \log \log N) \left(1 + \frac{\log N}{\log 2} - J \right)$$

and using (41)

$$\frac{\log N}{\log 2} - J = \frac{\log(N \|\alpha\|)}{\log 2} \ll \log \log N$$

and this completes the proof of (39).

Lemma 15. For

$$(42) 0 \leqslant z \leqslant \log(2N)$$

and

(where u is defined by (18)) we have

(44)
$$\left| S_z(\alpha) - \Phi(y_{2N}) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} e(n\alpha) \right| \ll \frac{(\log \log N) \log \log \log N}{u} + \frac{N(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. By (18) and (43) it follows from 34 and 39 that

$$(45) |S_z(\alpha)| = \left| \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant 2N \\ s(n) < z}} e(n\alpha) \right| \ll \frac{(\log \log N) \log \log \log N}{\|\alpha\|} + \frac{N(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{1/2}}$$
$$\ll \frac{(\log \log N) \log \log \log N}{u} + \frac{N(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{1/2}}$$

and by (43) we have

(46)
$$\left| \Phi(y_{2N}) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} e(n\alpha) \right| \leqslant \left| \frac{e(2N\alpha) - 1}{e(\alpha - 1)} \right| \ll \frac{1}{\|\alpha\|} \leqslant \frac{1}{u}.$$

Lemma 16. Uniformly for

$$0 \leqslant z \leqslant \log 2N$$

and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

(47)
$$\left| S_z(\alpha) - \Phi(y_{2N}) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} e(n\alpha) \right| \ll \frac{N(\log \log N)(\log \log \log N)^{1/2}}{(\log N)^{1/4}}.$$

Proof. Combining Lemmas 3 and 15 we get for all α that

$$\left| S_z(\alpha) - \Phi(y_{2N}) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} e(n\alpha) \right|$$

$$\ll \frac{uN^2 \log \log N}{(\log N)^{1/2}} + \frac{(\log \log N) \log \log \log N}{u} + \frac{N(\log \log N)^2}{(\log N)^{1/2}},$$

and the choice of u made by (18) gives (47).

5. Completion of the proof of Theorem 1

We have

$$J = \int_0^1 G(\alpha) H(\alpha) S_z(-\alpha) d\alpha = \Phi(y_{2N}) J_1 + J_2$$

where

$$J_1 = \int_0^1 G(\alpha)H(\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} e(-n\alpha) d\alpha$$

and

$$\begin{split} J_2 &= \int_0^1 G(\alpha) H(\alpha) \left(S_z(-\alpha) - \Phi(y_{2N}) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \mathrm{e}(-n\alpha) \right) d\alpha \\ &\leqslant \int_0^1 |G(\alpha) H(\alpha)| \left| S_z(-\alpha) - \Phi(y_{2N}) \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \mathrm{e}(-n\alpha) \right| \, d\alpha, \end{split}$$

whence by using (47)

$$J_2 \ll \frac{N(\log\log N)(\log\log\log N)^{1/2}}{(\log N)^{1/4}} \int_0^1 |G(\alpha)H(\alpha)| \ d\alpha,$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and by Parseval identity

$$\int_0^1 |G(\alpha)H(\alpha)| \ d\alpha \leqslant \left(\int_0^1 |G(\alpha)|^2 \ d\alpha\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 |H(\alpha)|^2 \ d\alpha\right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{|\mathcal{A}| \ |\mathcal{B}|},$$

so that

(48)
$$J_2 \ll \frac{N(\log \log N)(\log \log \log N)^{1/2}}{(\log N)^{1/4}} \sqrt{|\mathcal{A}| |\mathcal{B}|}.$$

Clearly we have

(49)
$$J_1 = \int_0^1 \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{n=1}^{2N} e((a+b-n)\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} 1 = |\mathcal{A}| |\mathcal{B}|.$$

By (48) and (49) we have

(50)
$$|J - \Phi(y_{2N})|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}|| \ll \frac{N(\log \log N)(\log \log \log N)^{1/2}}{(\log N)^{1/4}} \sqrt{|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}|}.$$

(1) follows from (6) and (50) and this completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, third ed., 2008. With an appendix on the life and work of Paul Erdős.
- [2] P. ERDŐS, H. MAIER, AND A. SÁRKÖZY, On the distribution of the number of prime factors of sums a+b, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 302 (1987), pp. 269–280.
- [3] I. KÁTAI AND J. MOGYORÓDI, On the distribution of digits, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 15 (1968), pp. 57–68.
- [4] C. MAUDUIT, J. RIVAT, AND A. SÁRKÖZY, On the digits of sumsets, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 69 (2017), pp. 595–612.
- [5] C. MAUDUIT AND A. SÁRKÖZY, On the arithmetic structure of sets characterized by sum of digits properties, J. Number Theory, 61 (1996), pp. 25–38.
- [6] C. MAUDUIT AND A. SÁRKÖZY, On the arithmetic structure of the integers whose sum of digits is fixed, Acta Arithmetica, 81 (1997), pp. 145–173.
- [7] A. SÁRKÖZY, Hybrid problems in number theory, in Number Theory, New York 1985-88, vol. 1383 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1989, pp. 146-169.

CHRISTIAN MAUDUIT, UNIVERSITÉ D'AIX-MARSEILLE AND INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE MARSEILLE, CNRS UMR 7373, 163, AVENUE DE LUMINY, CASE 907, 13288 MARSEILLE CEDEX 9, FRANCE

E-mail address: mauduit@iml.univ-mrs.fr

JOËL RIVAT, UNIVERSITÉ D'AIX-MARSEILLE, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE MARSEILLE, CNRS UMR 7373, 163 AVENUE DE LUMINY, CASE 907, 13288 MARSEILLE CEDEX 9, FRANCE. E-mail address: rivat@iml.univ-mrs.fr

ANDRÁS SÁRKÖZY, EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY, H-1117 BUDAPEST, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER SÉTÁNY 1/c, HUNGARY.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: sarkozy@cs.elte.hu}$