Habitat diversity associated with island size and environmental filtering control the species richness of rock-savanna plants in neotropical inselbergs

Ludovic Henneron, Corinne Sarthou, Jean-Christophe de Massary, Ponge Jean-François

► To cite this version:

Ludovic Henneron, Corinne Sarthou, Jean-Christophe de Massary, Ponge Jean-François. Habitat diversity associated with island size and environmental filtering control the species richness of rock-savanna plants in neotropical inselbergs. *Ecography*, 2019, 42 (9), pp.1536-1547. 10.1111/ecog.04482 . hal-02276992

HAL Id: hal-02276992

<https://hal.science/hal-02276992>

Submitted on 3 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Public Domain

Habitat diversity associated with island size and environmental filtering control the species richness of rock-savanna plants in neotropical inselbergs

Ludovic Henneron, Corinne Sarthou, Jean-Christophe de Massary, Jean-François Ponge*

L. Henneron (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3979-0543>), Dept. of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, Sweden.– C. Sarthou (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8238-3639>), Institut Systématique Evolution Biodiversité (ISYEB), , Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, 57 rue Cuvier, CP 39, 75005 Paris, France.– J. C. de Massary, UMS Patrimoine Naturel, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, 36 rue Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, CP 41, 75005 Paris, France.– J. F. Ponge (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6504-5267>) (jean-francois.ponge@mnhn.fr), UMR 7179, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, 4 avenue du Petit Château, 91800 Brunoy, France.

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Disentangling the multiple factors controlling species diversity is a major challenge in ecology. Island biogeography and environmental filtering are two influential theories emphasizing respectively island size and isolation, and the abiotic environment, as key drivers of species richness. However, few attempts have been made to quantify their relative importance and investigate their mechanistic basis. Here, we applied structural equation modelling, a powerful method allowing test of complex hypotheses involving multiple and indirect effects, on an island-like system of 22 French Guianan neotropical inselbergs covered with rock-savanna. We separated the effects of size (rock-savanna area), isolation (density of surrounding inselbergs), environmental filtering (rainfall, altitude) and dispersal filtering (forest-matrix openness) on the species richness of all plants and of various ecological groups (terrestrial *versus* epiphytic, small-scale *versus* large-scale dispersal species). We showed that the species richness of all plants and terrestrial species was mainly explained by the size

of rock-savanna vegetation patches, with increasing richness associated with higher rock-savanna area, while inselberg isolation and forest-matrix openness had no measurable effect. This size effect was mediated by an increase in terrestrial-habitat diversity, even after accounting for increased sampling effort. The richness of epiphytic species was mainly explained by environmental filtering, with a positive effect of rainfall and altitude, but also by a positive size effect mediated by enhanced woody-plant species richness. Inselberg size and environmental filtering both explained the richness of small-scale and large-scale dispersal species, but these ecological groups responded in opposite directions to altitude and rainfall, that is positively for large-scale and negatively for small-scale dispersal species. Our study revealed both habitat diversity associated with island size and environmental filtering as major drivers of neotropical inselberg plant diversity and showed the importance of plant species growth form and dispersal ability to explain the relative importance of each driver.

Keywords: Environmental filtering; Island biogeography; Island size; Isolation; Patchy habitats; Plant diversity; Tropical inselbergs; Structural equation modelling

Introduction

Understanding the multiple determinants regulating species diversity has long been a major theme in ecology, in particular within the fields of island biogeography and community ecology (MacArthur and Wilson 1967, Leibold et al. 2004). Plant species richness of vegetation patches has been shown to increase with patch area (Connor and McCoy 1979, Honnay et al. 1999, Van Noordwijk et al. 2015), patch heterogeneity (Huston 1999, Dufour et al. 2006), habitat amount (Lindgren and Cousins 2017), disturbance (Pickett 1980, Lavorel et al. 1994), mildness of the environment (Gray 1989, Fonty et al. 2009, Cheng et al. 2013), resource availability (Neri et al. 2017), size of regional species pools (Zobel 1997), and to decrease with patch isolation (Gadgil 1971, Hanski 1991, Martín-Queller et al. 2017). Those drivers of species richness could potentially have interactive effects and tradeoffs can exist among them (Allouche et al. 2012). Biotic interactions, internal to the plant community, may also modulate these effects, either negatively for competition or positively for facilitation (Houle and

Phillips 1989). Disentangling the complex relationships between all these factors suffers from severe technical limitations, resulting in often partial or even erroneous conclusions when multiple theories are evaluated in isolation (Shmida and Wilson 1985, Laliberté et al. 2014). Among the methods that allow assessment of the relative importance of various factors and disentanglement of direct and indirect effects of these factors, structural equation modeling (SEM) is increasingly used as a powerful statistical framework to address those issues (Grace and Pugesek 1997, Gazol et al. 2012, Laliberté et al. 2014, Peppler-Lisbach et al. 2015).

Given their isolation within a landscape matrix and their distinctive abiotic environment supporting particular vegetation communities (Porembski et al. 1996, Prance 1996), inselbergs are good candidates for disentangling the various determinants of plant species richness in vegetation patches (Parmentier and Hardy 2009). Inselbergs are widespread on old crystalline shields and occur particularly in tropical and subtropical regions (Porembski and Barthlott 2000). Consisting of Precambrian granites and gneisses, they are defined as remnants of erosion processes forming isolated mountains which rise from the surrounding landscape (Bremer and Sander 2000). They can range in elevation from a few to several hundred meters and their size ranges from a few square meters to several square kilometers (Bremer and Sander 2000). They are characterized by harsh conditions including a high degree of insolation combined with high evaporation rates and locally very restricted soil occurrence, which in turn leads to rapid loss of rainwater by runoff (Porembski 2007, Szarzynski 2000). Due to these specific edaphic and microclimatic conditions, inselberg vegetation is clearly distinct from that of their surroundings, forming an island-like ecosystem (Itescu 2018). In particular trees, which form the bulk of the vegetation of the surrounding forest matrix, are replaced by low-stature woody species highly tolerant of shallow soils, and shade-tolerant plant species are replaced by light-demanding species (Porembski et al. 1997).

In French Guiana, inselbergs embedded in the rain forest are covered with discontinuous vegetation forming a type of mosaic locally called rock-savanna. This vegetation is characterized by numerous scattered herbaceous and shrubby patches separated by bare rock (de Granville 1978, Sarthou 1992, Sarthou and Villiers 1998, Sarthou et al. 2003). Rock-savanna vegetation is kept in a dynamic state by

erosion and fire events (Kounda-Kiki et al. 2008, Sarthou et al. 2009). It undergoes extreme local climate variation during day-night cycles: high and frequent variations in temperature (18–55°C) and relative humidity (20–100%; Sarthou 1992). Soils are generally shallow and acid, with low water-holding capacity (Sarthou and Grimaldi 1992). At the scale of the whole French Guianan territory, the distribution of inselberg plant species and their traits is mostly driven by a spatially-structured gradient embracing regional climate, forest matrix and inselberg features and the variation observed at coarse scale is explained by biogeographic, environmental and past historical factors, such as savannization (Sarthou et al. 2017). Despite numerous attempts to explain the floristic composition of neotropical inselbergs by adaptive traits of rock-savanna plant species (Shure and Ragsdale 1977, de Granville 1982, Houle and Phillips 1989, Ibisch et al. 1995, Meirelles et al. 1999, Sarthou et al. 2003, Parmentier and Hardy 2009, Sarthou et al. 2017), much still needs to be known about the determinants of plant-species diversity in these ecosystems (Porembski et al. 2000b).

In this study, we aimed at investigating the multiple drivers of plant species diversity in French Guianan inselberg rock-savanna ecosystems. The factors considered here include rock-savanna vegetation area, isolation from surrounding inselbergs, the number of habitats this vegetation type featured, rainfall, altitude, as well as openness of the surrounding forest matrix. We used a network of 22 inselbergs located throughout French Guiana and featuring contrasting environmental conditions and inherent characteristics (Fig. 1, Table 1). We applied structural equation modeling as a tool to test simultaneously multiple hypotheses about the drivers of plant species diversity as well as to disentangle the direct and indirect mechanisms involved (Grace and Pugesek 1997). Based on *a priori* knowledge of the studied ecosystem and ecological theories, four distinct hypotheses were tested (Fig. 2). The first hypothesis is related to island size (area of rock-savanna vegetation on inselbergs), the second to island isolation (density of the surrounding inselbergs), the third to environmental filtering (rainfall and altitude) and the fourth to dispersal filtering (openness of the surrounding forest matrix). H₁: Inselbergs of larger rock-savanna vegetation area harbour higher plant diversity, either directly (H_{1a}) by allowing the establishment of more individuals (Connor and McCoy 1979) and by reducing stochastic extinction rate (Lindgren and Cousins 2017), and/or indirectly (H_{1b}) by promoting higher

habitat diversity and heterogeneity (Dufour et al. 2006). This observation may also be trivially related to higher sampling effort (H_{1c}). H_2 : Higher density of surrounding inselbergs promotes higher plant diversity of a given inselberg by enhancing immigration rate due to larger number of colonisation sources (Moritz et al. 2013). H_{3a} : Higher rainfall increases the diversity of epiphytes by enhancing moisture (Cardelús et al. 2006) and that of large-scale dispersal (zoochorous) species by promoting higher abundance of dispersing animals (Correa et al. 2015), but it reduces the diversity of small-scale dispersal (autochorous) species by increasing water flow intensity on inselberg slopes (Isichei et al. 1990). H_{3b} : Larger altitude at the top of inselberg increases the diversity of epiphytes by promoting long fog periods, and of large-scale dispersal (anemochorous) species by enhancing downslope winds, but it reduces the diversity of small-scale dispersal (autochorous) species due to steeper inselberg slopes (Obregon et al. 2011). H_4 : Higher openness of the surrounding forest matrix increases the diversity of inselberg plant species by increasing permeability to immigration from the surroundings (Martín-Queller et al. 2017).

Material and methods

Study sites

We studied 22 inselbergs (of which 15 are grouped in 4 archipelagos) scattered over the territory of French Guiana (Table 1, Fig. 1). As part of the Guiana Shield in northern Amazonia, French Guiana ($4^{\circ}13'N$, $52^{\circ}59'W$) covers about 85,000 km² and has a mean altitude of about 140 m above sea level with few mountainous peaks exceeding 800 m. Its basement, approximately 2.2–1.9 Gyr in age, corresponds to the oldest and most homogeneous part of the Guiana Shield (Delor et al. 2003). Its climate is equatorial and is characterized by 3000 mm mean annual rainfall, distributed along a Northeast to Southwest gradient decreasing from about 4000 to 2000 mm (Sarhou et al. 2017). Rainfall is concentrated mainly between December and July (Héritier 2011). Granitic inselbergs are mostly concentrated in southern and eastern parts of the territory (Fig. 1). In the extreme south, groups of elevated dome-shaped inselbergs border the Brazilian Amazon basin. The peneplain in the southern

half of French Guiana is characterised by low elevation and slightly slanting rocky slabs in a semi-open forest matrix. The northern half of French Guiana is covered with a dense rain forest with isolated dome-shaped inselbergs (Gond et al. 2011).

Vegetation data

We first carried out vegetation surveys in 20 inselbergs from 1988 to 2011. A comprehensive inventory of the vascular flora was undertaken on each inselberg. However, we excluded from the analysis species growing in the ecotone zone between rock-savanna and the surrounding forested matrix, and species growing in summit forests (when present). Consequently, the analysis was restricted to the “rock savanna” facies *sensu stricto* characterized by vegetation patches on bare rock. Each inselberg was sampled only once due to financial constraints. Given the difficult conditions for field work, the sites were only reached by helicopter. Nouragues was sampled in 1988, Dachine and Mont Chauve in 1997, Trinité A in 1998, Mitaraka in 2001, Bakra, Arawa and Saint Marcel in 2002, Wanapi and Marouini in 2004. Our goal was to carry the most exhaustive inventories possible during our presence on the field, with a unique methodology. The period from March to July was chosen because previous work showed it harboured a maximum of flowering plants (Sarhou 1992). Sampling effort was adapted to area and environmental heterogeneity of each site, and was included in the form of the number of person-days devoted to sampling.

The data set was completed for Trinité B (1981) and Virginie (1991) through an extraction from the Cayenne Herbarium data base available at <http://publish.plantnet-project.org/project/caypub>). The sampling effort devoted to Trinité B and Virginie by the collectors allowed us to consider these additional records as comprehensive and fully reliable.

The final data set comprised 391 species, including 73 epiphytes and 318 terrestrial plant species. Voucher specimens were deposited in the Cayenne Herbarium (CAY) and were registered in the Cayenne Herbarium database. Plant identification was performed according to Funk et al. (2007).

We studied plant diversity as the species richness for the whole community but also of specific ecological groups, such as terrestrial (growing directly on bare rock or on shallow soils), epiphytic

(growing on shrubs), small-scale dispersal (autochorous and barochorous), and large-scale dispersal (wind- and animal-dispersed) plant species.

Ecological drivers

The area of rock-savanna vegetation was measured directly in the field or calculated from aerial photographs for the smallest inselbergs ($< 0.1 \text{ km}^2$). The number of terrestrial habitats available on each inselberg was based on the list published by Porembski et al. (2000a) after refinement from field observations (Sarhou et al. 2017). For epiphyte species, the number of habitats on each inselberg was estimated by measuring the number of woody species. The number of person-days spent was used as a measure of sampling effort.

Mean annual rainfall values were interpolated for each site from the isoline map published by Hritier (2011). Maximum summital altitude of inselbergs (here called ‘altitude’) was measured during field survey using a GPS device with an integrated high-resolution barometer. Inselberg density was estimated by the percent surface occupied by inselbergs within a 15 km radius, using the GIS program Mapinfo version 9.0.

Canopy openness of the forest matrix surrounding each inselberg was calculated from remote-sensing data (Gond et al. 2011). We pooled categories RSLC 20, 21 and 22 of open and semi-open canopy forests, and measured their cover percentage within a 15 km radius.

Statistical analyses

We conducted all analyses separately for the richness of all plants, terrestrial and epiphytic as well as small and large-scale dispersal plant species. Bivariate relationships between plant diversity and ecological drivers were assessed using Spearman’s correlation coefficients (Table S1) as well as simple regression linear models with either linear, quadratic or power relationships (Table S2). Power regressions were modelled using log-log transformation. The selection of the set of terms yielding the best model goodness-of-fit was conducted by comparing models based on adjusted r^2 .

We performed structural equation modelling (SEM) to investigate which ecological drivers influence species richness of inselberg rock-savanna vegetation. We used eight parameters as potential direct or indirect drivers of plant diversity (Table 1): rock-savanna vegetation area, habitat diversity, sampling effort, inselberg density, mean annual rainfall, maximum altitude, distance to the Atlantic coast and openness of the surrounding forest matrix. A causal diagram was first built based on ecological theories and our *a priori* knowledge of the system (Fig. 2). We used the number of terrestrial habitats as a measure of habitat diversity for all ecological groups except epiphytic species, for which the number of woody species was used as a measure of habitat diversity instead.

We adopted a local-estimation approach based on piecewise fitting of component linear models. Normal distribution and homogeneity of variances of the residuals of each component model of the global path model were checked graphically. We adopted a model-selection approach using information-theoretic methods. First, we selected the best set of plant diversity predictors by comparing multiple regression models comprising all possible combinations of predictors using the Akaike Information Criteria corrected for small sample size (AICc). This allowed us to establish a confidence set of models with $\Delta\text{AICc} < 2$ from all possible combination of the 7 factors (Burnham and Anderson 2002). To avoid multicollinearity, models with variance inflation factors (VIF) > 10 were excluded from the confidence models set (Dormann et al. 2013). Then, we removed all the paths toward plant richness representing factors not included in the best multiple regression model selected during the initial step (Table S3). All variables no longer related to plant diversity and their paths were also removed as well as non-significant paths. At each removal step, SEM model goodness-of-fit was assessed by Shipley's d-sep test (Shipley 2009) and the AICc computed following Shipley (2013). We applied this selection procedure until we found the most parsimonious SEM model.

All statistical analyses were performed using R software version 3.1.2 with the packages *MuMIn* and *piecewiseSEM*. All statistical tests were realized with $\alpha = 5\%$.

Results

209 **Total plant species richness**

210 In accordance with hypothesis H₁, the best fit SEM model showed that the species richness of all
 211 plants was exclusively explained by the size of inselberg rock-savanna vegetation patches (Fig. 3a),
 212 which explained 79.9 % of variation (Fig. 4). Total plant species richness increased linearly with rock-
 213 savanna vegetation area (Fig. 3b). Direct size effect (H_{1a}) was not supported by the model. This size
 214 effect was indirect and mediated by habitat diversity and heterogeneity (H_{1b}). The number of terrestrial
 215 habitats increased with rock-savanna vegetation area following a power function (Fig. 3c, Table S2).
 216 In turn, total plant species richness was positively associated with the number of terrestrial habitats
 217 following a power function (Fig. 3d, Table S2), even after accounting for the linear increase in
 218 sampling effort (H_{1a}, Fig. 3a, Fig. S1a). In contrast with hypothesis H₂, total plant species richness was
 219 not related to inselberg density (Fig. 3e).

220 **Terrestrial *versus* epiphytic species richness**

221 Terrestrial species richness followed the general trend depicted by total plant species richness, with
 222 78.6 % of variation explained by rock-savanna vegetation area (Figs. 4, 5a). Terrestrial plant species
 223 richness increased with rock-savanna vegetation area following a power function (Fig. S2a). The
 224 positive effect of inselberg size was mediated mostly by the increase in habitat diversity (H_{1b}, number
 225 of terrestrial habitats), which was positively associated with terrestrial plant species richness following
 226 a power function (Fig S2b).

227 Epiphytic species richness was explained by both inselberg size and environmental factors (Fig.
 228 5b), to which environmental filtering contributed most (65.0 %, Fig. 4). In accordance with hypothesis
 229 H₃, rainfall (H_{3a}) and altitude (H_{3b}) had a direct positive relationship with epiphytic species richness.
 230 As expected, rainfall was negatively influenced by distance to the Atlantic coast following a power
 231 function (Fig. S1b). Rainfall and altitude also had an indirect positive effect on epiphytic species
 232 richness through their positive relationship with woody plant species richness, which had a direct
 233 positive effect on epiphyte plant species richness (Fig. S3a). We also observed a positive relationship
 234 between the proportion of woody and epiphytic species within the community (Fig. S3b). Epiphytic

plant species richness increased linearly with rock-savanna vegetation area (Fig. S2c). The positive effect of island size was both related to a direct stochastic size effect (H_{1a}), but also to an indirect effect through the increase of woody plant species richness with rock-savanna vegetation area (H_{1b} , Fig. 5b). The effect of forest matrix openness (H_4) was not supported by the model.

Large-scale *versus* small-scale dispersal species richness

The richness of large-scale and small-scale dispersal species was explained by both size and environmental filtering (Figs. 4, 6). The species richness of both classes of dispersal ability was affected by the size of rock-savanna vegetation area, with a positive indirect effect mediated by the number of habitats (H_{1b}) and by sampling effort (H_{1c}), similarly to total plant species richness (Fig. 3a). Altitude and rainfall contributed to a significant extent to the total variation in species richness, but in opposite direction whether dispersal occurred over large or small distances. Altitude and rainfall had negative effects on the richness of small-scale dispersal species (Fig. 6a), while they had positive effects on the richness of large-scale dispersal species (Fig. 6b), in accordance with hypothesis H_3 .

In order to better explain the above-mentioned discrepancy between the classes of species dispersal ability, we analysed the correlation between altitude and rainfall with the contribution of each of the four dispersal syndromes to the total plant species richness (Fig. S4). We focused on terrestrial species only, because they are dominant (90% of total plant species richness per inselberg on average) and better studied in the literature. The proportion of autochorous (small-scale dispersal) species within the community decreased when altitude increased (Fig. S4a), while that of anemochorous (large-scale dispersal) species increased (Fig. S4b). The proportion of species of the two other large-scale dispersal types (zoochorous and hydrochorous) remained unchanged (Figs. S4c,d). Similarly, the proportion of autochorous species decreased when annual rainfall increased (Fig. S4e), while the proportion zoochorous species increased (Fig. S4g). The proportion of species of the two other large-scale dispersal types (anemochorous and hydrochorous) remained unchanged (Figs. S4f,g).

Discussion

The primary aim of our study was to investigate the drivers shaping plant diversity in island-like neotropical inselberg ecosystems by testing multiple hypothesis related to island size (H_1) and isolation (H_2) as well as environmental and dispersal filtering (H_3 and H_4 , Fig. 2).

In accordance with hypothesis H_1 , we found here that total plant species richness was positively related to the area of rock-savanna vegetation on inselbergs (Figs. 3a,b), even after controlling for sampling effort (H_{1c}). This positive size effect was observed whether plants considered are terrestrial or epiphytic (Fig. 5) and able to disperse over small or large-scale (Fig. 6), showing that island size was a major determinant of plant diversity (Fig. 4). This result is in agreement with ecological theories (MacArthur and Wilson 1967, Hanski 1989, Leibold et al. 2004) and is largely shared by many studies on isolated patches of vegetation (Price 2004, Peppler-Lisbach et al. 2015), especially on inselbergs (Porembski et al. 1996, Meirelles et al. 1999, Porembski et al. 2000b). Further, we showed that this positive size effect on species richness was not explained by a direct stochastic size effect (H_{1a}) (Connor and McCoy 1979), except for epiphytic species. For terrestrial species, the positive size effect was rather mediated by the increase in the diversity of terrestrial habitats present on a given inselberg (H_{1b} , Figs. 3c, d), in line with various studies on vegetation patches (Auerbach and Shmida 1987, Honnay et al. 1999, Dufour et al. 2006). The effect of habitat diversity was here related to floristic differences between habitats typical of rock-savanna vegetation, such as continuous rock surfaces, temporary ponds, crevices with their variants according to the presence of soil, rock or woody debris (Sarhou and Villiers 1998, Gröger and Huber 2007, Parmentier and Hardy 2009). For epiphytic species, the indirect size effect was mediated by the diversity of shrubs and lianas (Fig. 5b). Epiphytes need the bark of woody species as supports (Benzing 1981), and the degree of epiphytism is in direct proportion to the contribution of woody flora.

In contrast to hypothesis H_2 , we found that the density of surrounding inselbergs did not affect the species richness of rock-savanna plants covering a given inselberg (Fig. 3e), suggesting that inselberg plant species richness was not affected by the geographic isolation of inselbergs from others.

287 Similarly, the cover of open-canopy forest matrix was never selected as a predictor of inselberg plant
 288 species richness, in contrast to the hypothesis H_4 that higher openness of the surrounding forest matrix
 289 would increase plant diversity by increasing permeability to immigration from the surroundings. This
 290 might indicate that rescue and mass effects (Shmida and Wilson 1985, Hanski 1991, Moritz et al.
 291 2013) are here negligible. It means that whatever the presence of other inselbergs and the cover of
 292 open-canopy forest in the vicinity of a given inselberg (for instance within the so-called archipelagos),
 293 only its size and size-related factors (habitat diversity and sampling effort), as well as environmental
 294 filters (rainfall and altitude), contribute to increase or decrease the total number of plant species it
 295 harbours. While several studies showed that during drier periods of the Holocene savanna-like
 296 vegetation covered large areas of the Guianan Shield (Servant et al. 1993, Freycon et al. 2010, Rull
 297 and Montoya 2017), present-day rock-savanna vegetation should be considered as a relict constellation
 298 of isolated fragments of xeric vegetation embedded in a more or less continuous rain-forest cover (de
 299 Granville 1982, Prance 1996). Even inselbergs distributed in archipelagos do not seem to exchange
 300 diaspores to a significant extent (Barbará et al. 2007, Pinheiro et al. 2014). The absence of any effects
 301 of inselberg density on floristic richness was valid whatever the ecological group considered
 302 (terrestrial *versus* epiphytic vegetation, small-scale *versus* large-scale dispersal types), pointing to the
 303 paucity of dispersal and related mass/rescue effects (Leibold et al. 2004). This result supports at
 304 community-level the results obtained at species level, showing poor gene flow among inselbergs
 305 (Sarhou et al. 2001, Boisselier-Dubayle et al. 2010, Pinheiro et al. 2014). This was also apparent in
 306 floristic composition. The flora of each inselberg located within Wanapi, Haut Marouini, Monts Bakra
 307 and Trinité archipelagos was not influenced (either positively or negatively) by that of other members
 308 of the same archipelago (Sarhou et al. 2017). However at local-scale, dispersal is an important driver
 309 of biodiversity when in the presence of a patchwork of habitats and successional stages of the same
 310 habitat (Porembski et al. 1997, Sarhou and Villiers 1998, Bruun et al. 2008). In inselbergs, where
 311 disturbances occur at a high rate (lightning fires, violent storms, alternating phases of drought and
 312 flooding), vegetation patches are submitted to rapid and pronounced changes, implying the
 313 reconstruction of disturbed habitats through primary or secondary successional processes (Whitehouse

1933, Shure and Ragsdale 1977, Sarthou et al. 2009). All these successional processes require seed dispersal for species establishment in safe sites (Houle and Phillips 1989, Biedinger et al. 2000).

In accordance with hypothesis H₃, we found that environmental filtering was also a major determinant of species richness for epiphytic plants, explaining a larger portion of variance relative to the effect of size (65.0 versus 25.1 % respectively, Fig. 4). The notion of environmental filtering (H₃) encompasses here how the local abiotic environment can filter the species pool directly by impeding species survival and persistence, and also indirectly by affecting dispersal agents and/or biotic interactions (Cadotte and Tucker 2017). Epiphytic species richness was strongly affected by both altitude and rainfall, itself determined by distance to the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 5b). We observed that taller inselbergs with higher rainfall harboured more epiphytic species. Epiphytes are known to fulfil their moisture requirements through taking up water from mist, canopy drip and/or stem flow (Veneklaas and Van Ek 1990), and thus thrive at higher elevation and in higher-rainfall areas (Wolf and Flamenco-S 2003; Cardelús et al. 2006, Obregon et al. 2011). Further, we found that higher elevation and rainfall similarly favoured woody-plant richness, which had a beneficial effect on epiphytic species richness by increasing the diversity of their habitats (Fig. 5b). Given that rainfall and altitude have been shown to influence inselberg floristic composition (Sarthou et al. 2017), it can be argued that the absence of any effects of rainfall and altitude on terrestrial species richness (Fig. 5a) can be due to a balance between addition and deletion of species under the influence of these factors, without any resulting shift of terrestrial species richness (Ricklefs 1987). Indeed, we showed that altitude and rainfall exerted contrasting effects on plant diversity depending on species dispersal modes (Fig. 6). Autochorous (small-scale dispersal) species were disfavoured by higher rainfall and altitude. The negative influence of rainfall on small-scale dispersal plant species richness can be explained by the higher intensity of water flows on inselberg slopes under high rainfall, sweeping recently fallen seeds downslope during intense storm events (Isichei et al. 1990). The negative effect of altitude can be explained by the steeper slopes of dome-shaped taller inselbergs (Bremer and Sander 2000), with similar negative consequences on seed fate. On the contrary, large-scale dispersal plant species richness was favoured by these two factors. The favourable effect of altitude can be explained

by its positive effect on atmospheric turbulence, which could be beneficial for anemochorous (wind-dispersing) species (Horn et al. 2012). The favourable influence of a more rainy climate can be explained by its positive effect on seed-dispersing animals such as bats, birds and monkeys, in turn benefitting zoochorous species (Tabarelli et al. 2003, Almeida-Neto et al. 2008, Correa et al. 2015).

In conclusion, our study disentangled how multiple drivers related to island biogeography and environmental filtering contribute directly or indirectly to the plant species richness of island-like rock-savanna vegetation patches covering neotropical inselbergs. Considering all plants, the effect of size was the most important factor, while isolation and environmental filtering had no detectable effect. However, when plants were separated according to their growth form or dispersal ability, environmental filtering also appeared as a influential factor, even largely outweighing size effect in the case of epiphytic species (Fig. 4). The species richness of all plants as well as of all ecological groups increased with higher rock-savanna vegetation area and this size effect was mostly mediated by an increase in habitat diversity, even after accounting for increased sampling effort. Higher rainfall and altitude favoured epiphytic richness, as well as that of large-scale dispersal plant species, while it was detrimental for small-scale dispersal plant species.

Funding

This work was supported by a FEDER grant n°1348 (Contrat Plan Etat Région) with Ecofor/Gis Silvolab-Guyane, IRD and MNHN as partners. Additional supports were provided by CNRS and MNHN for fieldwork.

Acknowledgements

We thank J.P. Gasc, J.J. de Granville and F. Crozier for help with fieldwork. We also thank S. Gonzalez, the curator of the Cayenne Herbarium (CAY), for providing data base information and

Valery Gond for providing SIG data. Finally, we are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on the first draft of our article.

References

Allouche, O. et al. 2012. Area-heterogeneity tradeoff and the diversity of ecological communities. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 109: 17495–17500.

Almeida-Neto, M. et al. 2008. Vertebrate-dispersal syndromes along the Atlantic forest: broad-scale patterns and macroecological correlates. – Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 17: 503–513.

Auerbach, M. and Shmida, A. 1987. Spatial scale and the determinants of plant species richness. – Trends Ecol. Evol. 2: 238–242.

Barbará, T. et al. 2007. Population differentiation and species cohesion in two closely related plants adapted to neotropical high-altitude ‘inselbergs’, *Alcantarea imperialis* and *Alcantarea geniculate* (Bromeliaceae). – Mol. Ecol. 16: 1981–1992.

Benzing, D.H. 1981. Bark surfaces and the origin and maintenance of diversity among angiosperm epiphytes: a hypothesis. – Selbyana 5: 248–255.

Biedinger, N. et al. 2000. Vascular plants on inselbergs: vegetative and reproductive strategies. – In: Porembski, S. and Barthlott, W. (eds.), Inselbergs: biotic diversity of isolated rock outcrop in tropical and temperate regions. Springer, pp. 117–142.

Boisselier-Dubayle, M.C. et al. 2010. Genetic structure of the xerophilous bromeliad *Pitcairnia geyskesii* on inselbergs in French Guiana: a test of the forest refuge hypothesis. – Ecography 33: 175–184.

Bremer, H. and Sander, H. 2000. Inselbergs: geomorphology and geoecology. . – In: Porembski, S. and Barthlott, W. (eds.), Inselbergs: biotic diversity of isolated rock outcrops in tropical and temperate regions. Springer, pp. 7–35.

- 389 Bruun, H.H. et al. 2008. Enhancement of local species richness in tundra by seed dispersal through
390 guts of muskbox and barnacle goose. – *Oecologia* 155: 101–110.
- 391 Burnham, K.P. and Anderson, D.R. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical
392 information-theoretic approach. Springer.
- 393 Cadotte, M.W. and Tucker, C.M. 2017. Should environmental filtering be abandoned? – *Trends Ecol.*
394 *Evol.* 32: 429–437.
- 395 Cardelús, C.L. et al. 2006. Vascular epiphyte distribution patterns: explaining the mid-elevation
396 richness peak. – *J. Ecol.* 94: 144–156.
- 397 Cheng, H. et al. 2013. Climate change patterns in Amazonia and biodiversity. – *Nature Comm.* 4:
398 1411.
- 399 Connor, E.F. and McCoy, E.D. 1979. The statistics and biology of the species-area relationship. – *Am.*
400 *Nat.* 113: 791–833.
- 401 Correa, D.F. et al. 2015. Plant dispersal systems in Neotropical forests: availability of dispersal agents
402 or availability of resources for constructing zoochorous fruits? – *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* 24:
403 203–214.
- 404 Delor, C. et al. 2003. Transamazonian crustal growth and reworking as revealed by the 1:500,000-
405 scale geological map of French Guiana. – *Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières.*
- 406 Dormann, C.F. et al. 2013. Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study
407 evaluating their performance. – *Ecography* 36: 27–46.
- 408 Dufour, A. et al. 2006. Plant species richness and environmental heterogeneity in a mountain
409 landscape: effects of variability and spatial configuration. – *Ecography* 29: 573–584.
- 410 Fonty, E. et al. 2009. A 10-year decrease in plant species richness on a neotropical inselberg:
411 detrimental effects of global warming? *Global Change Biol.* 15: 2360–2374.

- 412 Freycon, V. et al. 2010. The impact of climate changes during the Holocene on vegetation in northern
413 French Guiana. – *Quaternary Res.* 73: 220–225.
- 414 Funk, V. et al. 2007. Checklist of the plants of the Guiana Shield (Venezuela: Amazonas, Bolivar,
415 Delta Amacuro; Guyana, Surinam, French Guiana). – Smithsonian Institution.
- 416 Gadgil, M. 1971. Dispersal: population consequences and evolution. – *Ecology* 52: 253–271.
- 417 Gazol, A. et al. 2012. Landscape- and small-scale determinants of grassland species diversity: direct
418 and indirect influences. – *Ecography* 35: 944–951.
- 419 Gond, V. et al. 2011. Broad-scale spatial pattern of forest landscape types in the Guiana Shield. – *Int.*
420 *J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 13: 357–367.
- 421 Grace, J.B. and Pugsek, B.H. 1997. A structural equation model of plant species richness and its
422 application to a coastal wetland. *Am. Nat.* 149: 436–460.
- 423 de Granville, J.J. 1978. Recherches sur la flore et la végétation guyanaise. PhD Thesis. Université des
424 Sciences et Techniques de Montpellier.
- 425 de Granville, J.J. 1982. Rain forest and xeric flora refuges in French Guiana. – In: Prance, G.T. (ed.),
426 *Biological diversification in the tropics.* Columbia University Press, pp. 159–181.
- 427 Gray, J.S. 1989. Effects of environmental stress on species rich assemblages. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 37:
428 19–32.
- 429 Gröger, A. and Huber, O. 2007. Rock outcrop habitats in the Venezuelan Guayana lowlands: their
430 main vegetation types and floristic components. – *Rev. Bras. Bot.* 30: 599–609.
- 431 Hanski, I. 1989. Metapopulation dynamics: does it help to have more of the same? – *Trends Ecol.*
432 *Evol.* 4: 113–114.
- 433 Hanski, I. 1991. Single-species metapopulation dynamics: concepts, models and observations. – *Biol.*
434 *J. Linn. Soc.* 42: 17–38.

- 435 Hritier, P. 2011. Le climat guyanais: atlas climatique de la Guyane Franaise. Mto-France.
- 436 Honnay, O. et al. 1999. Effects of area, age and diversity of forest patches in Belgium on plant species
437 richness, and implications for conservation and reforestation. – Biol. Conserv. 87: 73–84.
- 438 Horn, S. et al. 2012. TurbSeed: a model for wind dispersal of seeds in turbulent currents based on
439 publicly available climate data. – a model for 237/238: 1–10.
- 440 Houle, G. and Phillips, D.L. 1989. Seed availability and biotic interactions in granite outcrop plant
441 communities. – Ecology 70: 1307–1316.
- 442 Huston, M.A. 1999. Local processes and regional patterns: appropriate scales for understanding
443 variation in the diversity of plants and animals. Oikos 86: 393–401.
- 444 Ibisch, P.L. et al. 1995. Floristic, biogeographical, and vegetational aspects of Pre-Cambrian rock
445 outcrops (inselbergs) in eastern Bolivia. – Flora 190: 299–314.
- 446 Isichei, A.O. et al. 1990. Mineral nutrient flow from an inselberg in south-western Nigeria. – J. Trop.
447 Ecol. 6: 479–492.
- 448 Itescu, Y. 2018. Are island-like systems biologically similar to islands? A review of the evidence.
449 Ecography 42: 1–17.
- 450 Kounda-Kiki, C. et al. 2008. Humus profiles and successional development in a rock savanna
451 (Nouragues inselberg: French Guiana): a micromorphological approach infers fire as a
452 disturbance event. – Pedobiologia 52: 85–95.
- 453 Lalibert, E. et al. 2014. Environmental filtering explains variation in plant diversity along resource
454 gradients. – Science 345: 1602–1605.
- 455 Lavorel, S. et al. 1994. Small scale disturbances and the maintenance of species diversity in
456 Mediterranean old fields. – Oikos 70: 455–473.

- 457 Leibold, M.A. et al. 2004. The metacommunity concept: a framework for multi-scale community
458 ecology. – *Ecol. Lett.* 7: 601–613.
- 459 Lindgren, J.P. and Cousins, S.A.O. 2017. Island biogeography theory outweighs habitat amount
460 hypothesis in predicting plant species richness in small grassland remnants. – *Landscape Ecol.*
461 32: 1895–1906.
- 462 MacArthur, R.H. and Wilson, E.O. 1967. The theory of island biogeography. Princeton University
463 Press.
- 464 Martín-Queller, E. et al. 2017. Islands, mainland, and terrestrial fragments: how isolation shapes plant
465 diversity. – *Ecol. Evol.* 7: 6904–6917.
- 466 Meirelles, S.T. et al. 1999. The vegetation of granite rock outcrops in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, and the
467 need for its protection. – *Environ. Conserv.* 26: 10–20.
- 468 Moritz, C. et al. 2013. Disentangling the role of connectivity, environmental filtering, and spatial
469 structure on metacommunity dynamics. – *Oikos* 122: 1401–1410.
- 470 Murphy, P.G. and Lugo, A.E. 1986. Ecology of tropical dry forest. – *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 17: 67–88.
- 471 Neri, A.V. et al. 2017. Soil and altitude drive diversity and functioning of Brazilian Páramos (campo
472 de altitude). – *J. Plant Ecol.* 10: 771–779.
- 473 Obregon, A. et al. 2011. Canopy level fog occurrence in a tropical lowland forest of French Guiana as
474 a prerequisite for high epiphyte diversity. – *Agr. Forest Meteorol.* 151: 290–300.
- 475 Parmentier, I. and Hardy, O.J. 2009. The impact of ecological differentiation and dispersal limitation
476 on species turnover and phylogenetic structure of inselberg's plant communities. *Ecography*
477 32: 613–622.
- 478 Peppler-Lisbach, C. et al. 2015. Disentangling the drivers of understorey species richness in eutrophic
479 forest patches. – *J. Veg. Sci.* 26, 464–479.

- 480 Pickett, S.T.A. 1980. Non-equilibrium coexistence of plants. *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* 107: 238–248.
- 481 Pinheiro, F. et al. 2014. Rock outcrop orchids reveal the genetic connectivity and diversity of
482 inselbergs of northeastern Brazil. – *BMC Evol. Biol.* 14: 49.
- 483 Porembski, S. 2007 Tropical inselbergs: habitat types, adaptive strategies and diversity patterns. –
484 *Revista Brasil. Bot.* 30: 579–586.
- 485 Porembski, S. and Barthlott, W. 2000. Inselbergs: biotic diversity of isolated rock outcrops in tropical
486 and temperate regions. Springer.
- 487 Porembski, S. et al. 1996. Biodiversity and vegetation of small-sized inselbergs in West African rain
488 forest (Taï, Ivory Coast). – *J. Biogeogr.* 23: 47–55.
- 489 Porembski, S. et al. 1997. Inselberg vegetation and the biodiversity of granite outcrops. – *J. Roy. Soc.*
490 *Western Australia* 80: 193–199.
- 491 Porembski, S. et al. 2000a. Islands on islands: habitats on inselbergs. – In Porembski, S. and Barthlott,
492 W. (eds.), *Inselbergs: biotic diversity of isolated rock outcrops in tropical and temperate*
493 *regions*. Springer, pp. 49–67.
- 494 Porembski, S. et al. 2000b. Factors controlling species richness of inselbergs. – In: Porembski, S. and
495 Barthlott, W. (eds.), *Inselbergs: biotic diversity of isolated rock outcrop in tropical and*
496 *temperate regions*. Springer, pp. 451–481.
- 497 Prance, G.T. 1996. Islands in Amazonia. – *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B* 351: 823–833.
- 498 Price, J.P. 2004. Floristic biogeography of the Hawaiian Islands: influence of area, environment and
499 paleogeography. – *J. Biogeogr.* 31: 487–500.
- 500 Ricklefs, R.E. 1987. Community diversity: relative roles of local and regional processes. – *Science*
501 235: 167–171.

- 502 Rull, V. and Montoya, E. 2017. Holocene vegetation dynamics on the Apakará summit of the
 503 neotropical Guyana Highlands and potential environmental drivers. – *Rev. Palaeobot. Palyno.*
 504 240: 22–32.
- 505 Sarthou, C. 1992. Dynamique de la végétation pionnière sur un inselberg en Guyane Française. PhD
 506 Thesis. Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie.
- 507 Sarthou, C. and Grimaldi, C. 1992. Mécanisme de colonisation par la végétation d'un inselberg
 508 granitique en Guyane française. – *Rev. Écol. Terre Vie* 47: 329–349.
- 509 Sarthou, C. and Villiers, J.F. 1998. Epilithic plant communities on inselbergs in French Guiana. – *J.*
 510 *Veg. Sci.* 9: 847–860.
- 511 Sarthou, C. et al. 2001. Genetic structure of the saxicole *Pitcairnia geyskesii* (Bromeliaceae) on
 512 inselbergs in French Guiana. – *Am. J. Bot.* 88: 861–868.
- 513 Sarthou, C. et al. 2003. Shrub vegetation on tropical granitic inselbergs in French Guiana. – *J. Veg.*
 514 *Sci.* 14: 645–652.
- 515 Sarthou, C. et al. 2009. Successional patterns on tropical inselbergs: a case study on the Nouragues
 516 inselberg (French Guiana). – *Flora* 204: 396–407.
- 517 Sarthou, C. et al. 2017. From inselberg to inselberg: floristic patterns across scales in French Guiana
 518 (South America). – *Flora* 229: 147–158.
- 519 Servant, M. et al. 1993. Tropical forest changes during the Late Quaternary in African and South
 520 American lowlands. – *Tropical forest Change* 7: 25–40.
- 521 Shipley, B. 2009. Confirmatory path analysis in a generalized multilevel context. – *Ecology* 90: 363-
 522 368.
- 523 Shipley, B. 2013. The AIC model selection method applied to path analytic models compared using a
 524 d-separation test. *Ecology* 94: 560–564.

- 525 Shmida, A. and Wilson, M.V. 1985. Biological determinants of species diversity. – *J. Biogeogr.* 12: 1–
526 20.
- 527 Shure, D.J. and Ragsdale, H.L. 1977. Patterns of primary succession on granite outcrop surfaces. –
528 *Ecology* 58: 993–1006.
- 529 Szarzynski, J. 2000. Xeric islands: environmental conditions on inselbergs. – In Porembski, S. and
530 Barthlott, W. (eds.), *Inselbergs: biotic diversity of isolated rock outcrops in tropical and*
531 *temperate regions*. Springer, pp. 37-48.
- 532 Tabarelli, M. et al. 2003. Variation of seed dispersal spectrum of woody plants across a rainfall
533 gradient in north-eastern Brazil. – *J. Arid Environ.* 53: 197–210.
- 534 Toivonen, J.M. et al. 2017. Environmental drivers of vascular and non-vascular epiphyte abundance in
535 tropical pre-montane cloud forests in northern Peru. – *J. Veg. Sci.* 28: 1198–1208.
- 536 Van Noordwijk, C.G.E. et al. 2015. Species-area relationships are modulated by trophic rank, habitat
537 affinity, and dispersal ability. – *Ecology* 96: 518–531.
- 538 Veneklaas, E.J. and Van Ek, R. 1990. Rainfall interception in two tropical montane rain forests,
539 Colombia. – *Hydrol. Process.* 4, 311–326.
- 540 Whitehouse, E. 1933. Plant succession on central Texas granite. – *Ecology* 14: 391–405.
- 541 Wolf, J.H.D. and Flamenco-S, A. 2003. Patterns in species richness and distribution of vascular
542 epiphytes in Chiapas, Mexico. – *J. Biogeogr.* 30: 1689–1707.
- 543 Zobel, M. 1997. The relative role of species pools in determining plant species richness: an alternative
544 explanation of species coexistence? – *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 12: 266–269.
- 545

546 **Table 1.** Description of the variables studied on the 22 inselbergs.

Variable name	Nature and unit	Range	Mean	Coefficient of variation (%)
Distance to Atlantic coast	continuous - km	60 - 380	247	39.36
Rock-savanna vegetation area	continuous - km ²	0.013 - 0.663	0.198	77.03
Number of terrestrial habitats	discrete	3 - 10	7.26	26.02
Sampling effort	discrete ¹ - person-days	1 - 40	5.64	153.56
Inselberg density	continuous ² - %	0.000 - 0.686	0.321	71.71
Rainfall	continuous - mm	2182 - 3767	2494	15.95
Altitude	continuous - m	110 - 670	313.63	50.37
Open-canopy forest matrix cover	continuous ³ - %	2.45 - 100.00	73.47	49.69
Plant species richness	discrete	32 - 137	76.23	30.94
Terrestrial plant species richness	discrete	31 - 107	67.91	28.13
Epiphytic plant species richness	discrete	0 - 30	8.32	89.69
Small-scale dispersal plant species richness	discrete	3 - 29	13.86	42.78
Large-scale dispersal plant species richness	discrete	25 - 124	61.82	34.95
Woody plant species richness	discrete	8 - 35	18.45	38.37

547 ¹Number of person-days spent on sampling

548 ²Proportion of surface occupied by surrounding inselbergs within a 15 km circle

549 ³Proportion of surface occupied by open-canopy forest within a 15 km circle

550

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Map of inselbergs in French Guiana. The 22 studied inselbergs are indicated by large red triangles with the name of their respective inselberg cluster and a letter of the alphabet. Small black dots indicate unstudied inselbergs. For more details see Sarthou et al. (2017).

Fig. 2. Conceptual model of the hypotheses representing how multiple ecological drivers can shape plant diversity of island-like neotropical inselberg ecosystems. Arrows represent the flow of causality. We studied plant diversity as the species richness for the whole community but also of specific ecological groups, such as terrestrial (growing directly on bare rock or on shallow soils), epiphytic (growing on shrubs), small-scale dispersal (autochorous and barochorous), and large-scale dispersal (wind- and animal-dispersed) plant species. We used the number of terrestrial habitats as a measure of habitat diversity for all ecological groups except epiphytic species, for which the richness of woody species was used as a measure of habitat diversity instead. See the end of the introduction for the details of hypotheses and Table 1 for the description of the variables used.

Fig. 3. Drivers of plant diversity in island-like inselberg ecosystems ($n = 22$). (a) Selected path model explaining the species richness of all species. The model was well supported by the data (Fisher's $C = 3.88$, $df = 4$, $P = 0.423$). None of the independence claims implied by the model was statistically significant at $P = 0.05$. Path coefficients are (partial) range-standardized regression coefficients (effect sizes). Arrow widths are proportional to path coefficients. Coefficients of determination (r^2) of each endogenous variable are reported. (b-d) Selected pairwise relationships between open-rock vegetation area, inselberg density, number of habitats and plant species richness. β_{st} is the range-standardized regression coefficient. ** and *** indicate statistical significance at $P = 0.01$ and 0.001 , respectively. ^{ns}: not significant.

Fig. 4. Variance partitioning of plant species richness across ecological drivers related to island size (rock-savanna vegetation area, H_1), island isolation (inselberg density, H_2), environmental filtering (rainfall and altitude, H_3) and dispersal filtering (forest matrix openness, H_4). The

proportion of variance of each class of factors is derived from the sum of squares of the multiple regression of species richness according to the SEM model selected (see Figures 3, 5 and 6).

Fig. 5. Selected path models explaining the species richness of terrestrial (a) and epiphytic (b) plant species richness ($n = 22$). Both models were well supported by the data (model a: Fisher's $C = 2.2$, $df = 4$, $P = 0.692$; model b: Fisher's $C = 28.3$, $df = 28$, $P = 0.446$). None of the independence claims implied by the model was statistically significant at $P = 0.05$ (Table S2). For further details, see Figure 3. † , *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at $P = 0.10$, 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

Fig. 6. Selected path models explaining the species richness of small-scale (a, autochorous) and large-scale (b, anemochorous, zoochorous, hydrochorous) dispersal plant species richness ($n = 22$). Both models were well supported by the data (model a: Fisher's $C = 22.5$, $df = 22$, $P = 0.430$; model b: Fisher's $C = 21.1$, $df = 22$, $P = 0.512$). None of the independence claims implied by the model was statistically significant at $P = 0.05$. For further details, see Figure 3. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at $P = 0.05$, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Appendix

Table S1. Correlation structure of the variables studied. Spearman's correlation coefficients are shown with values significant at P = 0.05 in bold.

Open-rock vegetation area	-0.55												
Number of habitats	-0.07	0.35											
Sampling effort	-0.17	0.48	0.48										
Inselberg density	0.62	-0.43	0.31	-0.10									
Rainfall	-0.80	0.63	-0.14	0.33	-0.83								
Altitude	-0.32	0.41	0.18	0.26	-0.12	0.22							
Open-canopy forest matrix cover	0.79	-0.53	-0.19	-0.30	0.46	-0.66	-0.57						
Plant species richness	-0.25	0.52	0.69	0.82	-0.06	0.36	0.22	-0.37					
Terrestrial plant species richness	-0.09	0.41	0.74	0.79	0.08	0.21	0.03	-0.21	0.96				
Epiphytic plant species richness	-0.69	0.60	0.31	0.53	-0.44	0.68	0.59	-0.83	0.67	0.47			
Small-scale dispersal plant species richness	0.45	0.08	0.47	0.43	0.45	-0.28	-0.33	0.48	0.46	0.61	-0.24		
Large-scale dispersal plant species richness	-0.46	0.57	0.57	0.75	-0.22	0.53	0.43	-0.62	0.93	0.82	0.86	0.16	
Woody plant species richness	-0.50	0.51	0.42	0.73	-0.29	0.61	0.42	-0.65	0.85	0.74	0.84	0.09	0.92
	Distance to Atlantic coast	Open-rock vegetation area	Number of habitats	Sampling effort	Inselberg density	Rainfall	Altitude	Open-canopy forest matrix cover	Plant species richness	Terrestrial plant species richness	Epiphytic plant species richness	Small-scale dispersal plant species richness	Large-scale dispersal plant species richness

Table S2. Comparison of model's goodness-of-fits for different regression functions.

Curve	Function	F-value	P-value	Adjusted r^2
Plant species richness (Y)				
<i>Rock-savanna vegetation area (X)</i>				
Linear	$Y = a+bX$	$F_{1,20} = 15.7$	0.0008	0.41
Quadratic	$Y = a+bX+cX^2$	$F_{1,19} = 7.5$	0.0040	0.38
Power	$Y = a*X^b$	$F_{1,20} = 12.0$	0.0024	0.34
<i>Terrestrial habitat number (X)</i>				
Linear	$Y = a+bX$	$F_{1,20} = 21.8$	0.0002	0.50
Quadratic	$Y = a+bX+cX^2$	$F_{1,19} = 10.4$	0.0009	0.47
Power	$Y = a*X^b$	$F_{1,20} = 29.5$	< 0.0001	0.58
Terrestrial plant species richness (Y)				
<i>Rock-savanna vegetation area (X)</i>				
Linear	$Y = a+bX$	$F_{1,20} = 22.4$	0.0001	0.51
Quadratic	$Y = a+bX+cX^2$	$F_{1,19} = 3.8$	0.0398	0.51
Power	$Y = a*X^b$	$F_{1,20} = 9.6$	0.0057	0.29
<i>Terrestrial habitat number (X)</i>				
Linear	$Y = a+bX$	$F_{1,20} = 26.6$	< 0.0001	0.55
Quadratic	$Y = a+bX+cX^2$	$F_{1,19} = 12.74$	0.0003	0.51
Power	$Y = a*X^b$	$F_{1,20} = 34.4$	< 0.0001	0.61
Epiphytic plant species richness (Y)				
<i>Rock-savanna vegetation area (X)</i>				
Linear	$Y = a+bX$	$F_{1,20} = 22.4$	0.0001	0.51
Quadratic	$Y = a+bX+cX^2$	$F_{1,19} = 12.3$	0.0004	0.51
Power	$Y = a*X^b$	$F_{1,20} = 4.78$	0.0409	0.15
<i>Woody species richness (X)</i>				
Linear	$Y = a+bX$	$F_{1,20} = 51.15$	< 0.0001	0.70
Quadratic	$Y = a+bX+cX^2$	$F_{1,19} = 25.85$	< 0.0001	0.70
Power	$Y = a*X^b$	$F_{1,20} = 14.3$	0.0013	0.38

Table S3. Results of the selection procedure of multiple regression models relating plant diversity to ecological drivers (n = 22). All models with $\Delta\text{AICc} < 2$ and variance inflation factor (VIF) < 4 were included in the confidence models set. ω_i is the model weight indicating the relative support of the model. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at $P = 0.05$, 0.01 and 0.001 , respectively. ^{ns}: not significant.

Open-rock vegetation area	Number of habitats	Woody plant species richness	Sampling effort	Inselberg density	Rainfall	Altitude	Open-canopy forest matrix cover	ΔAICc	w_i	r^2
<i>Richness of all plant species</i>										
	0.44***		0.39***					0.00	0.43	0.80
	0.49***		0.34**	-0.12 ^{ns}				0.39	0.34	0.83
	0.45**		0.35**		0.13 ^{ns}			1.26	0.23	0.82
<i>Richness of terrestrial plant species</i>										
	0.53***		0.37**					0.00	1.00	0.78
<i>Richness of epiphytic plant species</i>										
0.25*		0.30*			0.38**	0.33***		0.00	0.70	0.90
		0.40**			0.45***	0.33**		1.74	0.30	0.87
<i>Richness of small-scale dispersal plant species</i>										
	0.39**		0.37**		-0.46***	-0.54***		0.00	1.00	0.80
<i>Richness of large-scale dispersal plant species</i>										
	0.38**		0.25*		0.29**	0.20*		0.00	1.00	0.85

Figure S1. Pairwise relationships between open-rock vegetation area and sampling effort (a), and between distance to the Atlantic Ocean and rainfall (b). α and β represent the intercept and slope of linear regression models, respectively ($\pm$ standard error). *** indicate statistical significance at $P = 0.001$, respectively. ^{ns}: not significant.

Figure S2. Pairwise relationships between open-rock vegetation area and terrestrial plant species richness (a), between terrestrial habitat number and terrestrial plant species richness (b), and open-rock vegetation area and epiphytic plant species richness (c). α and β represent the intercept and slope of linear regression models, respectively ($\pm$ standard error). ** and *** indicate statistical significance at $P = 0.010$ and 0.001 , respectively..

Figure S3. Pairwise relationships of the species richness of woody and epiphytic plants (a), as well as the proportion of woody and epiphytic species within the community (b). α and β represents the intercept and slope of linear regression models, respectively ($\pm$ standard error). *** indicate statistical significance at $P = 0.001$.

Figure S4. Pairwise relationships of altitude (a, c, e and g) and rainfall (b, d, f and h) with the proportion of species with contrasting dispersal type within the community. α and β represents the intercept and slope of linear regression models, respectively ($\pm$ standard error). *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at $P = 0.05$, 0.01 and 0.001 , respectively. ^{ns}: not significant.