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INTRODUCTION
To achieve needle steering and guide a flexible needle, it is necessary to localize it first. In the case of 3D ultrasound (US) in B-mode, the poor imaging quality and artefacts make it difficult to determine the needle pose. Needle localization issues specific to needle steering are reviewed in [1]. In [2], we proposed an observer of the needle tip pose. In [3], we detailed a machine learning approach for needle localization in 3D US volumes. In this paper, we describe the interconnection of both methods for precise curved needle localization in the context of needle steering.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Approach
3D US compatible needle localization algorithms are reviewed in [4]. Localizing the needle in the whole US volume is computationally expensive. To manage real-time localization, we propose the interconnection of an observer that automatically provides a volume of interest (VOI), and a segmentation algorithm. Because the needle visibility in 3D US volume changes during the insertion, we propose machine learning to select adaptively needle voxels among the VOI voxels.

Needle Pose Estimation
The needle tip behavior is modeled by a unicycle kinematic model. The tip follows a circular path when it is inserted without rotation. In this work, we consider that the needle shaft follows the path of the needle tip. In this hypothesis, segmenting the needle tip over time is equivalent to segmenting the whole needle shaft. This hypothesis is validated experimentally.

The needle tip position and orientation are estimated in real time by the multi-rate unscented Kalman filter (MUKF) described in [2]. It takes as inputs the robot control commands and the measurement coming from the robot’s sensors, needle tip segmentation results in the 3D US volumes and stiffness information of the surrounding tissue. The estimated path traced by the needle tip defines a tubular volume of interest, of radius set by the user, for needle segmentation.

If the needle cannot be segmented in the ultrasound volume, the estimation continues until the needle becomes visible again. The segmentation results are then considered as measurements to update the estimation.
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Naïve Bayes classification
As explained in [3], the VOI histogram can be modeled using an additive Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM):

\[ P(i(v)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_i P_i(i(v)) \]

Where \( v \) is a voxel and \( i(v) \) its intensity value, \( M \) is the number of mixed components, \( \pi_i \) represents the coefficient of the \( i \)th component and \( P_i \) is the Gaussian probability density function that defines the \( i \)th component. Here we consider two classes (\( i=2 \)):

\[ \text{Cl} = \{ \text{Needle} (X_{nn}), \text{Background} (X_{vb}) \} \]

Given the observed feature \( i(v) \), the Bayesian classifier assigns the most likely class as next equation:

\[ c_{\text{Bayes}}(i(v)) = \arg \max_{\text{Cl}} P(\text{Cl} = X_{\text{cl}} | i(v)) \]

In the case of binary classification, Bayesian classifier is summarized by the followed inequalities:

\[ \pi_{nn} P(i(v) | \text{Cl} = X_{nn}) \geq \pi_{vb} P(i(v) | \text{Cl} = X_{vb}) \]

Each voxel of intensity \( i(v) \), is classified as a needle member if the posterior probability of \( X_{nn} \) is larger than that of \( X_{vb} \). Several parameters are required to establish this probabilistic model. They vary from GMM to another, even in the same image. Though, each needle localization starts with the prediction of the required parameters.

Expectation Maximization algorithm (EM)
The unknown parameters representing the GMM are reviewed in [1]. In [2], we proposed an observer of the needle tip. In the case of 3D US compatible needle localization algorithms are reviewed in [4]. Localizing the needle in the whole US volume is computationally expensive. To manage real-time localization, we propose the interconnection of an observer that automatically provides a volume of interest (VOI), and a segmentation algorithm. Because the needle visibility in 3D US volume changes during the insertion, we propose machine learning to select adaptively needle voxels among the VOI voxels.

Needle Pose Estimation
The needle tip behavior is modeled by a unicycle kinematic model. The tip follows a circular path when it is inserted without rotation. In this work, we consider that the needle shaft follows the path of the needle tip. In this hypothesis, segmenting the needle tip over time is equivalent to segmenting the whole needle shaft. This hypothesis is validated experimentally.

The needle tip position and orientation are estimated in real time by the multi-rate unscented Kalman filter (MUKF) described in [2]. It takes as inputs the robot control commands and the measurement coming from the robot’s sensors, needle tip segmentation results in the 3D US volumes and stiffness information of the surrounding tissue. The estimated path traced by the needle tip \( \Phi \) defines a tubular volume of interest, of radius set by the user, for needle segmentation.

If the needle cannot be segmented in the ultrasound volume, the estimation continues until the needle becomes visible again. The segmentation results are then considered as measurements to update the estimation.

\[ \pi_{nn}^{(w)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P(X_n | v_i, \theta^k) \]

\[ \mu_{nn}^{(w)} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{v_i P(X_n | v_i, \theta^k)}{P(X_n | v_i, \theta^k)} \]

\[ \sigma_{nn}^{(w)} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{v_i P(X_n | v_i, \theta^k)}{P(X_n | v_i, \theta^k)} \]

Where \( N \) is the number of voxels and \( \theta^k \) is the parameters vector to be estimated at the \( k \)th iteration.
Starting from an initial set of values for $\theta$, the algorithm uses the newly derived parameters as the guess for the next iteration. The iteration stops when the parameters values stabilize: $|\theta^k - \theta^{k+1}| < \varepsilon$. A meaningful initialization of $\theta$ is necessary for suitable convergence. More details can be found in [3].

**Needle tip segmentation**

The needle visibility depends on its location in the US volume. Before applying Bayesian classification, the VOI is cropped to keep the 40% of its original length which contain the needle extremity. In this way, we ensure that the tip and shaft voxel intensities are similar (i.e. can be considered as belonging to the same class $X_n$).

The needle tip search is then done in a spherical neighborhood around the estimated needle tip. In that neighborhood, if there is no voxel belonging to $X_n$, the segmentation fails. Else, the segmented tip is computed as the weighted centroid of the voxels in $X_n$. The weighting $w_p$ of a voxel $p$ verifies:

$$w_p \propto w_{p_1}x_1 - w_{p_0}(y - y_0)$$

Where $w_{p_1}, w_{p_0} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are set by the user (resp. 3 and 1 here); $x_1$ is the abscissa of the voxel in the estimated tip frame $F_1$ (see Fig. 1); $y$ (resp. $y_0$) is the ordinate of the voxel (resp. estimated tip) in the image frame $F$ (see Fig. 1). The needle tip is therefore researched preferentially in the direction of the insertion ($x_1$), and in the opposite direction of expected tip reverberation artefacts ($-y$).

**RESULTS**

The proposed solution has been implemented in the context of automated needle insertions to reach a target. A beveled-tip 24 Gauge Nitinol needle was inserted and rotated into gelatin phantoms and pork tenderloin with a robot. The needle surface was either coated with polyurethane foam or etched with a laser. The ultrasound volumes were acquired at 1 Hz with a 3D end-fire probe 4DEC-9/10 used with the Ultrasonix Sonix RP ultrasound system. The US volume voxels are cubes with 0.4 mm edges. Running on an Intel® Core™ i5-8300H CPU, an iteration of the algorithm takes between 20 ms and 30 ms depending on the VOI size.

60 manual needle tip segmentation (0.3 mm repeatability) have been done by a non-expert individual among 4 different needle insertions (2 in pork tenderloin and 2 in gelatin phantoms). The 3D segmentation error $\Delta$ was computed as the Euclidean distance between manual and automatic tip segmentation. Axial segmentation errors were computed as the Euclidean distance on each axis between manual and automatic tip segmentation. Results are reported in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>$\Delta x$</th>
<th>$\Delta y$</th>
<th>$\Delta z$</th>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin</td>
<td>0.5±0.3</td>
<td>0.2±0.2</td>
<td>0.5±0.3</td>
<td>0.8±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.4±0.3</td>
<td>0.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Difference between manual and automatic needle segmentation (mm). Format: mean=std [min,max].

The mean segmentation error in each direction is generally inferior to the spatial resolution of the probe. The results are therefore very close to voxel size precision and satisfactory for needle tip segmentation. Needle segmentation is more precise in pork than in gelatin. Indeed, in gelatin, the needle can be seen with a better contrast but suffers from greater reverberation artefacts than in pork.

Momentary needle loss occurred in 2 of the pork insertions with no significant impact on automatic segmentation error when the needle becomes visible again.

**DISCUSSION**

We have proposed an automated needle localization in 3D ultrasound volumes. The solution is composed of interconnected observer and segmentation algorithms. The solution is fully automatic and adapts to the conditions of insertions (i.e. different needles or insertion media). This interconnection allows for precise tip localization despite changing needle visibility in noisy 3D US volumes. It is also robust to momentary needle loss. This makes it suitable for clinically compatible needle steering.
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