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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APC</td>
<td>Adenomatous polyposis coli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMM</td>
<td>Bone Marrow Macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK3β</td>
<td>Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3 beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC6</td>
<td>Histone Deacetylase 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abstract

Background information: Osteoclast resorption is dependent on a podosome-rich structure called sealing zone. It tightly attaches the osteoclast to the bone creating a favorable acidic microenvironment for bone degradation. This adhesion structure needs to be stabilized by microtubules whose acetylation is maintained by down regulation of deacetylase HDAC6 and / or of microtubule destabilizing kinase GSK3β activities. We already established that Dock5 is a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac1. As a consequence, Dock5 inhibition results in a decrease of the GTPase activity associated with impaired podosome assembly into sealing zones and resorbing activity in osteoclasts. More, administration of C21, a chemical compound that directly inhibits the exchange activity of Dock5, disrupts osteoclast podosome organization and protects mice against bone degradation in models recapitulating major osteolytic diseases.

Results: In this report, we show that Dock5 knockout osteoclasts also present a reduced acetylated tubulin level leading to a decreased length and duration of microtubule growth phases whereas their growth speed remains unaffected. Dock5 does not act by direct interaction with the polymerized tubulin. Using specific Rac inhibitors, we showed that Dock5 regulates microtubule dynamic instability through Rac-dependent and -independent pathways. The latter involves GSK3β inhibitory serine 9 phosphorylation downstream of Akt activation but not HDAC6 activity.

Conclusion: We showed that Dock5 is a new regulator of microtubule dynamic instability in osteoclast.

Significance: Dock5 dual role in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and microtubule, which both need to be intact for bone resorption, reinforces the fact that it is an interesting therapeutic target for osteolytic pathologies.
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Introduction

Bone homeostasis is regulated through life by the balanced actions of highly specialized bone-forming osteoblasts and bone-resorbing osteoclasts. An important step of bone resorption is mineral phase dissolution by massive secretion of $H^+$ and $Cl^-$ ions. It exposes the collagen-rich underlying organic phase that becomes accessible to degradation by lysosomal proteases such as cathepsin K (Cappariello et al., 2014). To maintain this acidic microenvironment which is the key for an efficient resorption, osteoclasts tightly attach to the bone matrix by an actin-rich sealing zone composed of densely packed podosomes. Because of its nature, it was thought for a long time that its formation depended only on actin regulators whose roles have been extensively studied (Lowe et al., 1993) (Chellaiah et al., 2000) (Calle et al., 2004) (Destaing et al., 2008) (Croke et al., 2011) (Touaitahuata et al., 2014). A decade and a half ago, Jurdic’s lab discovery that an intact microtubule network was necessary for this adhesion structure stability (Destaing et al., 2003) emphasized the importance to understand the signaling pathways linking both cytoskeletons. On one hand, it was shown that belt stabilization is correlated to microtubule acetylation which is maintained by downregulation of two important players. First, RhoA-mDia2 dependent tubulin deacetylase HDAC6 activity (Destaing et al., 2005) is negatively controlled by Pyk2 (Gil-Henn et al., 2007). Independently, HDAC6 interaction with tubulin is antagonized by competitive binding of Cbl adaptor proteins (Purev et al., 2009). Second, the kinase GSK3β activity is inhibited by serine 9 phosphorylation by Akt. It allows stabilizing MAPs binding to growing microtubules (Matsumoto et al., 2013) and interaction between +TIP EB1 and actin belt associated cortactin (Biosse Duplan et al., 2014). On the other hand, it was shown that microtubules stabilize sealing zones through direct linkage mediated by actin regulators. Indeed, the unconventional myosin X encircles nascent sealing zones and provides force to expand them into mature ones through interaction with microtubules (McMichael et al., 2010). More, the Dynamin 2 GTPase acts as an adaptor molecule between microtubules and actin podosomes, preventing them to scatter from the densely packed adhesion structure (Batsir et al., 2017). We showed that the Dock family member Dock5 is an exchange factor for the actin regulator Rac1 (Vives et al., 2011) (Ferrandez et al., 2017). During osteoclast resorption cycle, αvβ3 integrins signaling induces Dock5 recruitment to the Src/Pyk2/p130Cas complex which ultimately leads to Rac1 activation and localization to the sealing zone (Vives et al., 2011). Dock5
plays an important role in this pathway because its deletion in knockout mice results in increased trabecular bone mass due to an impaired osteoclasts ability to assemble podosomes into sealing zones (Vives et al., 2011), a phenotype also observed in knockout mice for Rac1 (Croke et al., 2011) (Magalhaes et al., 2011) and some of its guanine exchange factors (Faccio et al., 2005) (Takegahara et al., 2010). Interestingly, a group working on allergy showed that Dock5 is essential for the dynamic rearrangement of microtubules during mast cell degranulation in anaphylactic responses (Ogawa et al., 2014).

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that, in addition to its Rac1-dependent actin regulation (Vives et al., 2011), Dock5 could also be involved in microtubule control in osteoclast. We showed that Dock5 regulates microtubules dynamic instability via Rac1-dependent and –independent pathways, the latter involving the Akt-GSK3β axis.

Results

Dock5−/− osteoclasts present an abnormal microtubule acetylation pattern associated with a perturbed microtubule dynamic instability

Western blot analysis of proteins from wild-type and Dock5 knockout osteoclasts showed a significant decrease of tubulin acetylation in the absence of Dock5 (figure 1A). Confocal immunofluorescence microscopy allowed us to take a closer look at the microtubules distribution and acetylation pattern (figure 1B). As expected (Akisaka et al., 2011), microtubules of wild-type osteoclasts were typically organized (i) radially from the perinuclear region to the periphery where the podosome belt was located and (ii) circularly at the periphery. Both were modified with large evenly distributed acetylation patches. Although Dock5−/− osteoclasts microtubules kept the radial orientation, the circular ones were missing at the periphery, which was consistent with the absence of podosome assembly into a belt. More, tubulin acetylation, that was present in small patches, was decreased in density compared to their wild-type counterpart (figure 1C).

Since tubulin acetylation is associated with microtubule stabilization (Matsumoto et al., 2013), we reasoned that this acetylation defect could affect their dynamic instability. To answer this question, we expressed the EB3 +TIP fused to GFP in wild-type and Dock5 knockout osteoclasts to visualize their growing
microtubule plus ends using time-lapse video microscopy (supplementary figure 1). Tracking of EB3-GFP at the +TIP of microtubules (figure 2A) showed a significant decrease of microtubule polymerization duration (figure 2B) and length (figure 2C) in the absence of Dock5. Polymerization speed however remained unchanged (figure 2D). More, the mean total number of actively growing microtubules was similar for both genotypes per image of the movies (figure 2E) but the total comet tracks number was significantly increased in Dock5−/− osteoclasts over a 1 min period (figure 2F), suggesting an increased number of catastrophes.

These results show that the belt disruption and the resulting decreased resorption activity observed in Dock5 knockout osteoclasts (Vives et al., 2011) are associated with a decrease in acetylated tubulin and a perturbed microtubule dynamic instability.

**Dock5 regulates microtubules dynamics through Rac-dependent and -independent pathways**

It has been shown that Dock5 is a guanine exchange factor for Rac1 whose activity is decreased in Dock5 knockout osteoclasts (Vives et al., 2011). That made us wonder if the microtubule phenotype we described in Dock5−/− osteoclasts was Rac-dependent. We reasoned that, if it is the case, we should reproduce it when we specifically inhibit Dock5-dependent Rac activation in wild-type osteoclasts using C21, an allosteric inhibitor of Dock5 exchange activity (Vives et al., 2011) (Ferrandez et al., 2017) (Xu et al., 2017a) (figure 3A). Western blot analysis revealed that, opposite to what was observed in Dock5 knockouts, C21 treatment significantly increased tubulin acetylation level (figure 3B). It was confirmed by confocal immunofluorescence microscopy that showed that, in addition to the podosome belt disruption, C21 treatment induced a more intense microtubules acetylation compared to wild-type osteoclasts (figure 3C). We observed the same phenotype using EHT 1864, a compound that inhibits Rac activation (supplementary figure 2A) and downstream signaling (Shutes et al., 2007). Tubulin acetylation augments with increasing concentrations of the drug (supplementary figure 2B) in osteoclasts that show in addition to the expected actin belt perturbation an overall disrupted microtubule network (supplementary figure 2C). In order to check if the acetylation alteration affected microtubules dynamics, we treated or not EB3-GFP expressing wild-type osteoclasts with C21 and recorded the resulting comets using time-lapse
video microscopy. Their tracking showed a significant decrease of microtubule polymerization duration, length and speed after C21 treatment (figure 3D). More, C21 impacted neither the total number of actively growing microtubules on each image of the movies nor the comet tracks number over a 1 min period (figure 3E-F).

Overall, these results show that Rac activity inhibition does not reproduce the microtubule phenotype observed in Dock5 knockouts. However, diminution of Dock5-dependent Rac activation by C21, which is associated with a decreased resorption function (Vives et al., 2011) (Vives et al., 2015), induces an increase in tubulin acetylation and also affects microtubule dynamics. It suggests that Dock5 regulates microtubules both dependently and independently of its Rac guanine exchange factor activity, the combination of both pathways resulting in the global decrease of tubulin acetylation quantified in Dock5 knockout osteoclasts.

**Dock5 regulates microtubules through a distant signaling pathway**

Consistently with its Rac-dependent actin regulation role, we previously showed that Dock5 colocalizes with vinculin, an actin cloud-associated protein, at the podosome belt of osteoclasts (Touaitahuata et al., 2016). Since we uncovered a new microtubule-regulating function, we wondered whether a Dock5 protein fraction could also be associated to microtubules. To answer this question, we separated soluble and polymerized microtubules. Western blot analysis showed that Dock5 was not associated to the polymerized fraction, which contained acetylated tubulin and could be depolymerized upon nocodazole treatment (figure 4A). These results suggest that Dock5 microtubules regulation is not mediated by direct association but through a distant signaling pathway.

**Dock5⁻/⁻ osteoclasts show an increased GSK3β activity**

In order to identify the Rac-independent mechanism involved in Dock5 knockout microtubule destabilization, we searched for deregulations of pathways involved in microtubules acetylation in osteoclasts. HDAC6 is a deacetylase whose activity is kept to a minimum (Gil-Henn et al., 2007) (Purev et al., 2009) to allow a stable microtubule network. We therefore checked whether HDAC6 activity was higher Dock5⁻/⁻ osteoclasts but we could not find any differences between genotypes (figure 4B). We next focused on the Akt-GSK3β axis. Akt inhibits GSK3β; a serine
threonine kinase GSK3β which destabilizes microtubules by regulating microtubules associated proteins interactions (Matsumoto et al., 2013). Western blot analysis revealed a GSK3β decreased inhibitory serine 9 phosphorylation suggesting an increased activity in Dock5−/− osteoclasts (figure 4C). Interestingly, Akt phosphorylation on serine 473, readout of the kinase activity, was not modified (figure 4D), leading us to believe that Dock5 acts downstream in this pathway.

Mature Dock5−/− osteoclasts mainly present isolated or clustered podosomes as if they were not able to assemble or maintain them into a belt (Vives et al., 2011). Since acetylated microtubules were shown to be necessary for podosome belt assembly/stabilization (Destaiing et al., 2003) (Destaiing et al., 2005), we wondered whether restoration of a normal acetylation level in Dock5−/− osteoclasts would rescue the actin belt. Therefore, wild-type and Dock5 knockout osteoclasts were cultured in the presence of GSK3β inhibitor lithium chloride. Although the treatment increased tubulin acetylation at a similar level between the two genotypes, it was not enough to allow the actin belt formation/stabilization in the absence of Dock5 (figure 4E). The same result was obtained using CHIR99021, a more selective GSK3β inhibitor (data not shown).

There is a close relationship between microtubules and the actin cytoskeleton in osteoclasts. Microtubules grow toward isolated/clustered podosomes during osteoclast differentiation and then form a ring at the cell periphery where the podosome belt is located (Akisaka et al., 2011). During this process, they are necessary for podosomes transition from rings to belt (Destaiing et al., 2003). To our knowledge, no data have been made available about the microtubule network in mice knockout for actin regulators, but we would expect an effect on the microtubules dynamics. Since actin regulator Rac activity is also decreased in Dock5−/− osteoclasts (Vives et al., 2011), we decided to reestablish not only GSK3β inhibition but also Rac activation hypothesizing that both might be needed for the podosome belt rescue. Contrary to our expectations, lithium chloride treatment of Rac L61 infected knockout osteoclasts did not rescue the adhesion structure (data not shown). However, we cannot exclude the fact that a better controlled regulation of Rac activation level and/or localization is important for this process.
Discussion

Osteoclast resorption function depends on podosome assembly into sealing zones. More and more evidence show now that the interplay between the actin cytoskeleton and microtubules is crucial for this adhesion structure formation/stabilization but the overall mechanism is still poorly understood.

We already established that Dock5 is essential for osteoclast sealing zone formation through Rac-dependent actin regulation. In this report, we show that Dock5 is also involved in the control of microtubule dynamic instability through both Rac-dependent and -independent pathways. Indeed, Dock5 deletion results in decreased microtubules growth length and duration associated to reduced acetylated tubulin level. This post translational modification is a hallmark of stability because it increases mechanical resilience to ensure the persistence of long-lived microtubules (Xu et al., 2017b) (Janke and Montagnac, 2017). Its downregulation, resulting from either HDAC6 inhibition or GSK3β activation, has been correlated to microtubule dynamics alteration in different cell types (Garza et al., 2018) (Bacon et al., 2015) (Qu et al., 2017).

Dock5 belongs to the Dock family of guanine nucleotide exchange factors which are atypical activators of small G proteins through evolutionarily conserved DHR2 domain (Laurin and Côté, 2014). Accordingly, Dock5 published functions are mainly related to Rac activation (Omi et al., 2008) (Vives et al., 2015) (Vives et al., 2011) (Ferrandez et al., 2017) (Biswas et al., 2019) in various cellular processes such as neutrophil chemotaxis and superoxide production (Watanabe et al., 2014), epithelial cell invasion and metastasis (Frank et al., 2017) or lens protection (Xu et al., 2017a) (Omi et al., 2008). Ogawa et al. were the first ones to describe a Rac-independent role in microtubule network remodeling during mast cell degranulation. In these cells, Dock5 acts as a key signaling adaptor that brings Akt into the vicinity of GSK3β, allowing it to phosphorylate GSK3β on serine 9 and inhibit its activity (Ogawa et al., 2014). The fact that Akt activation remains unchanged whereas that of GSK3β is increased in Dock5 knockout osteoclasts suggests that the same mechanism takes place in both cell types. Ubiquitously-expressed serine threonine kinase GSK3β is a critical negative regulator of microtubule dynamic instability (Xu et al., 2015) (Kumar et al., 2009) (Barnat et al., 2016). It phosphorylates microtubule-binding proteins such as MAP1B (Barnat et al., 2016), CRMP-2 (Liz et al., 2014).
(Garza et al., 2018), CLASP-2 (Kumar et al., 2009) (Schmidt et al., 2012), Tau (Xu et al., 2015) (Gąssowska et al., 2014) or APC (Asada and Sanada, 2010) (Zhou et al., 2004), preventing them from stabilizing microtubules. Some of them are recruited by EB1 at growing microtubule ends that can be assimilated to a molecular platform establishing the link between microtubules and other structures (Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2015). In osteoclasts, EB1 is enriched in microtubules that are directed toward podosomes (Akisaka et al., 2011). Depletion of Akt results in EB1/APC loss of interaction leading to reduced sealing zone and bone resorption, which are recovered upon GSK3β inhibition (Matsumoto et al., 2013). More, EB1 which is indispensable for podosome patterning, interacts with podosome-containing acetylated cortactin in the belt (Biosse Duplan et al., 2014). It would be interesting to test if either one of these proteins phosphorylation status or localization is impacted in the absence of Dock5.

Together, our previous and present results show that Dock5 is necessary for osteoclast resorption function by regulating cytoskeleton elements that are important for podosome belt establishment. In the absence of Dock5, actin and microtubules dynamics are impacted by Rac activation decrease and GSK3β inhibition. We were surprised that a simultaneous recovery of their physiological levels could not rescue podosome belts in Dock5−/− osteoclasts. This process might need a finely tuned amount of Rac activation and/or localization that can hardly be achieved though primary cells infection. Similarly, although lithium chloride treatment increases in fine microtubule acetylation level, the latter is much higher than in control osteoclasts (figure 4E). That might affect the expected rescue because both positive and negative regulation of acetylation impact microtubules growth characteristics (Qu et al., 2017) (Zilberman et al., 2009). Nevertheless, further data are consistent with our model. Among them, the fact both C21 and EHT 1864-dependent inhibition of Rac1 activity did not reproduce Dock5−/− osteoclasts phenotype suggesting that at least part of it is independent from this GTPase. In the same line of idea, since Rac1 signaling pathway has been shown to downregulate Op18 catastrophe promoting activity through its phosphorylation (Watabe-Uchida et al., 2006) (Wittmann et al., 2004), we wondered whether Op18 phosphorylation levels were decreased in Dock5−/− osteoclasts which show a reduced Rac activity (Vives et al., 2011). Interestingly, they were not modified by the absence of Dock5 expression in osteoclasts (unpublished data). Dock5 is not the only member of the Dock family to regulate microtubules
independently from its guanine exchange factor activity. Dock7 controls the genesis of neurons from radial glial progenitor cells during cortical development through antagonistic interaction with the microtubule growth-promoting function of TACC3 (Yang et al., 2012). And finally, Dock3 participates in BDNF-dependent primary hippocampal neurons axonal outgrowth by also regulating (i) Rac1-dependent actin reorganization and (ii) microtubule assembly through GSK3 binding, recruitment to the plasma membrane and inactivation. The resulting decrease in CRMP-2 and APC phosphorylation allows their association to tubulin dimers and promotes microtubules polymerization (Namekata et al., 2012).

Altogether, our data involve Dock5 as a new regulator of microtubule dynamic instability in osteoclast through Rac-dependent and -independent pathways. The fact that part of it is mediated by Rac supports the therapeutic use of C21, a chemical inhibitor of Dock5-dependent activation of Rac1, to treat osteolytic pathologies.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement
Mice sacrifice and bone marrow harvest were performed in compliance with local animal welfare laws, guidelines and policies, according to the rules of the regional ethical committee.

Reagents.
C21 (N-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-benzenesulfonamide) was synthetized by Roowin, lithium chloride was purchased from Fluka (#62476), EHT1864 from Santa Cruz (#sc-361175) and nocodazole from Sigma (#M1404).

Bone Marrow Macrophages (BMMs) isolation and differentiation in osteoclasts
Bone marrow cells were purified from long bones of 6- to 8- week-old C57BL/6 Dock5 wild-type and knockout mice sacrificed by cervical dislocation. 3.10^6 bone marrow cells were cultured for 3 days in αMEM containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (Biowest), 2 mM glutamine and 100U/ml penicillin-streptomycin supplemented with 100 ng/ml M-CSF (Miltenyi, #130-101-703) in 100mm-diameter petri dishes. Medium was changed and the cells cultured for another 3 days to obtain BMMs. For
osteoclast differentiation, 3.10^4 BMMs/well were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates and cultured with 30 ng/ml M-CSF and 50 ng/ml RANKL (Miltenyi, #130-094-076) for 4-6 days. Media was changed every 2 days.

Active Rac quantification
Differentiated osteoclasts were treated with 100 µM C21, 10 µM EHT1866 or vehicle for 1 or 2 h and lysed in 25mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 1% Igepal, 5% glycerol, 100mM NaCl, 10mM MgCl2, 5mM NaF, 1mM Na3VO4 and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma, #P8340). Cleared lysate from 3 wells of a 6-well plate was incubated with 2 µg of GST-Pak CRIB bound to glutathione-coupled Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare, #17-0756-01) for 45 min at 4°C. Beads were washed three times in lysis buffer before addition of Laemmli sample buffer. Rac was revealed by western blot and the amount of active Rac was determined relative to the total amount of the protein in whole-cell lysates.

Retroviral transduction of BMMs
pMXS-EB3-GFP or pMXS-Rac L61-GFP was co-transfected with pC57GP-Gag-pol and pCSiG-VSV-G constructs into Hek 293T cells using Jet-PEI (Polyplus transfection, #101-10 N). Six hours later, the medium was replaced with fresh one and cells were grown for an additional 72 h. The conditioned medium containing recombinant retroviruses was collected and filtered through 0.45 µm-pore-size filters. BMMs were cultured with fresh retroviral supernatant diluted to half in αMEM containing 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, 8 µg/ml polybrene (Sigma) and 100 ng/ml M-CSF for 24 h and then selected for expression of the construct with 3 µg/ml puromycin (Sigma) for 24 h. Puromycin-resistant BMMs were used for osteoclast differentiation.

Time-lapse microscopy and microtubules dynamic instability analysis
EB3-GFP expressing osteoclasts were placed on a 37°C heated stage in a 5% CO2 chamber. Images were acquired using a Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning-disk confocal unit (Andor Technology) and an EMCCD iXon Ultra camera coupled to a Nikon Ti Eclipse microscope (Nikon Instruments) controlled by the Andor iQ3 software (Andor Technology). They were collected every second after 488-nm laser illumination using a 60X plan apo lambda 1.4 NA objective over a 2 min period and processed using
ImageJ PureDenoise plugin. Ten EB3-GFP comets appearing in at least 3 consecutive sections were manually tracked per osteoclast with ImageJ MtrackJ plugin. Comet count and comet tracks count were obtained using an ImageJ custom-written macro (Sánchez-Huertas et al., 2016).

HDAC6 activity measurement.
HDAC6 activity was assessed using the Fluorogenic HDAC6 assay kit (Bioscience, #50076) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Soluble and polymerized tubulin fractionation
Soluble and polymerized tubulin was fractionated as described (Larrieu et al., 2014). Briefly, osteoclasts pre-treated or not with 10 µM nocodazole for 2 h were lysed in Microtubules Stabilization Buffer (MSB: 85 mM PIPES pH 6.9, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2M glycerol, 0.5% triton, 4 µg/ml taxol) supplemented with proteases inhibitors. Lysates were kept in ice for 2 min and then centrifuged for 10 min at 13000 rpm. Laemmli buffer was added to the supernatants (soluble fraction). Pellets (polymerized fraction of proteins) were washed once in MSB without triton and resuspended in Laemmli buffer.

Western Blot
Whole osteoclast extracts were made in Laemmli buffer, resolved on SDS-PAGE and electrotransferred on nitrocellulose membranes. Immunoblotting was performed using the following primary antibodies: mouse anti-lys40 acetylated alpha tubulin (1/1000, Sigma, #T6793), mouse anti-alpha tubulin (1/1000, Sigma, #T6074), rabbit anti-GAPDH (1/2000, Cell Signaling, #2118), mouse anti-Rac1 (1/5000, Millipore, #05-389), rabbit anti-ser9 phospho GSK3β (1/1000, Cell Signaling, #9322), rabbit anti-GSK3β (1/1000, Cell Signaling, #12456), rabbit anti-ser473 phospho Akt (1/1000, Cell Signaling, #4060), rabbit anti-Akt (1/1000, Cell Signaling, #9272), rabbit anti-Dock5 (1/2000, homemade) and rabbit anti-histone H3 (1/5000, Abcam, #1791). Signals were visualized by the ECL Western Lightning Plus detection system (Perkin Elmer) with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare) and quantified using ImageJ.

Immunofluorescence and microscopy
Osteoclasts differentiated on 13 mm-diameter glass coverslips were fixed for 20 min, in 10 μM Taxol (Sigma, #T7402) and 3.2% paraformaldehyde in PHEM (60 mM Pipes, 25 mM Hepes, 10 mM EGTA, 4 mM MgSO₄, pH 6.9). After permeabilization with 0.1% Triton X100 for 1 min and blocking with 1% BSA in PBS for 15 min, osteoclasts were incubated for 1 h with Alexa Fluor 647-labelled phalloidin (1/1000, Life Technologies, #A22287), mouse anti-lys40 acetylated alpha tubulin (1/500, Sigma, #T6793), rat anti-alpha tubulin (1/200, Santa Cruz, #sc-53030) or rabbit anti-Dock5 (1/100, homemade) and revealed with the adapted Alexa Fluor 488 or 546-conjugated secondary antibodies (1/1000, Life Technologies). Preparations were mounted in Citifluor mounting medium (Biovalley) and imaged with Leica SP5-SMD confocal microscope using 40X HCX Plan Apo CS oil 1.3NA or 63X HCX Plan Apo CS oil 1.4NA objectives. All imaging was performed at the Montpellier RIO Imaging facility (http://www.mri.cnrs.fr/en/). Immunofluorescent signals integrated density was quantified using ImageJ.

Statistical analysis
Graphs are represented as the mean ± SD. Statistical significance was assessed with the non-parametric statistical tests mentioned in figure legends using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc).

Figure legends

Figure 1: Microtubule acetylation in Dock5⁺⁻ osteoclasts
(A) Representative western blot showing acetylated tubulin, total tubulin and GAPDH in Dock5⁺/+ and Dock5⁻⁻ osteoclasts (left). Acetylated tubulin levels from 7 independent experiments were normalized to total tubulin and represented on a bar graph (right). *, p<0.05 using Wilcoxon signed rank test. (B) Actin (blue), acetylated (green) and total (red) tubulin immunofluorescence staining of Dock5⁺/+ and Dock5⁻⁻ osteoclasts. For each genotype, bottom panels show an enlarged view of the top panels boxed areas. Scale bar= 20 μm. (C) Graph showing acetylated tubulin integrated density from immunofluorescent staining of 13-14 Dock5⁺/+ and Dock5⁻⁻ osteoclasts from different experiments. **, p<0.01 as determined by two-tailed Mann Whitney test.
Figure 2: Microtubule dynamic instability in Dock5<sup>−/−</sup> osteoclasts

(A) GFP-positive comets were tracked in Dock5<sup>+/+</sup> and Dock5<sup>−/−</sup> osteoclasts infected with EB3-GFP. Scale bar= 20 µm. Bar graphs showing microtubule growth (B) duration, (C) distance and (D) speed as well as (E) comets number / µm<sup>2</sup> and (F) comet tracks number / µm<sup>3</sup> / min of 18-19 osteoclasts from 4 independent experiments. (B-F) ns, not significant; ***p<0.0001; ****p<0.00001 as determined by two-tailed Mann Whitney test.

Figure 3: C21 effect on microtubule acetylation and dynamic instability

Representative western blots showing (A) active and total Rac1 as well as (B) acetylated tubulin, total tubulin and GAPDH from wild-type osteoclasts treated (C21) or not (vehicle) with 100 µM C21 for 1 hour (left). Acetylated tubulin mean levels from 3 independent experiments were normalized to total proteins and represented on a bar graph (right). (C) Actin (blue), acetylated (green) and total (red) tubulin immunofluorescence staining of Dock5<sup>+/+</sup> osteoclasts treated (+C21) or not (-C21) with 100 µM C21 for 1 hour. For each condition, bottom panels show an enlarged view of the top panels boxed areas. Scale bar= 20 µm. Bar graphs showing (D) microtubule growth duration, distance and speed, (E) comets number / µm<sup>2</sup> as well as (F) comet tracks number / µm<sup>3</sup> / min in Dock5<sup>+/+</sup> osteoclasts expressing EB3-GFP and treated (C21) or not (vehicle) in the same conditions as above in 14-20 osteoclasts from 3 independent experiments. (B) *, p<0.05 using Wilcoxon signed rank test. (D-F) ns, not significant; ***, p<0.0001; ****, p<0.00001 as determined by two-tailed Mann-Whitney test.

Figure 4: Defective signaling pathways in Dock5<sup>−/−</sup> osteoclasts

(A) Western blot showing Dock5 association to soluble (S) or polymerized (P) tubulin from wild-type osteoclasts treated (+) or not (-) with 10 µM nocodazole for 1 hour. It is representative of 3 independent experiments. (B) HDAC6 activity in Dock5<sup>+/+</sup> and Dock5<sup>−/−</sup> osteoclasts. Representative western blots showing (C) GSK3β- and (D) Akt phosphorylation levels in Dock5<sup>+/+</sup> and Dock5<sup>−/−</sup> osteoclasts (left). Signals were normalized to the total protein levels from 3-5 independent experiments (right). (E) Dock5<sup>+/+</sup> and Dock5<sup>−/−</sup> osteoclasts were treated (LiCl) or not (-) with 20 mM lithium chloride for 5 hours and tubulin acetylation was observed by western blot (left). The
treatment effect on actin belt formation/stabilization was quantified from 3 independent experiments (right). *(B-D)* ns, not significant; *, p<0.05 as determined by Wilcoxon signed rank test. *(E)* ns, not significant with two-tailed Mann Whitney test.

Supplementary figure 1: EB3-GFP comets in Dock5+/+ and Dock5−/− osteoclasts
Representatives movies with 1 frame per second showing EB3-GFP comets from *(A)* Dock5+/+ and *(B)* Dock5−/− osteoclasts. Tracks from a few EB3-GFP growing plus ends have been labeled by colored dot for both genotypes.

Supplementary figure 2: Rac inhibitor EHT1864 effect on microtubule acetylation
Representative western blots showing *(A)* active and total Rac1 from wild-type osteoclasts treated with 10 µM EHT1864 for 15 and 30 min and *(B)* acetylated tubulin, total tubulin and GAPDH from wild-type osteoclasts treated with increasing concentrations of EHT1864 for 2 hours (left). Acetylated tubulin signals were normalized to total protein levels from 3 independent experiments and represented on a bar graph (right). *(C)* Actin (blue), acetylated (green) and total (red) tubulin immunofluorescence staining of Dock5+/+ osteoclasts treated (EHT1864) or not (vehicle) with 10 µM EHT1864 for 2 hours. For each treatment, bottom panels show an enlarged view of the top panels boxed areas. Scale bar= 20 µm.
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