



Recovery of metals from waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) using unconventional solvents based on ionic liquids

Nicolas Schaeffer, Helena Passos, Isabelle Billard, Nicolas Papaiconomou, João A.P. Coutinho

► To cite this version:

Nicolas Schaeffer, Helena Passos, Isabelle Billard, Nicolas Papaiconomou, João A.P. Coutinho. Recovery of metals from waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) using unconventional solvents based on ionic liquids. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 2018, 48 (13-15), pp.859-922. 10.1080/10643389.2018.1477417 . hal-02271262

HAL Id: hal-02271262

<https://hal.science/hal-02271262>

Submitted on 26 Aug 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Recovery of metals from waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) using unconventional solvents based on ionic liquids

Nicolas Schaeffer,^a Helena Passos,^a Isabelle Billard,^b Nicolas Papaiconomou,^b João A.P. Coutinho^a

^a CICECO – Aveiro Institute of Materials, Department of Chemistry, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

^b LEPMI - Université Grenoble-Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France

Abstract

Waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) is the fastest growing type of waste globally and is an important challenge due to its heterogeneity, intrinsic toxicity and potential environmental impact. However, WEEE also represents an important secondary source of critical and valuable elements and must be viewed as a resource within the context of a circular economy. The use of ionic liquids (ILs) to recover metals from WEEE is reviewed with a special emphasis on the use of ILs in leaching and solvent extraction processes. The advantages of ILs as a reaction medium compared to existing conventional solvents lies in their tuneability and potential environmental benefits. Many studies have used ILs for the selective extraction and purification of metals from WEEE, particularly from waste fluorescent lamp phosphor and batteries containing rare-earth elements with promising results. However, significant barriers remain to the commercial use of ILs for treating WEEE and key barriers to progress are identified. In particular more focus is required to improve upstream collection and separation of WEEE, as this would greatly increase the potential to use selective, more efficient, ILs for metal recovery.

Keywords: Critical materials; Ionic liquids; WEEE; Solvent extraction; Leaching; Hydrometallurgy

1. Introduction

As organisations are looking for ways to mitigate the effects of human activity on the environment, recent global trends point towards a shift from the historically prevailing linear economic model, whereby goods are manufactured, consumed and disposed, to a circular economic model promoting reuse and recycling. The primary tenet of the circular economy is the concept of value recovery: all waste possesses an inherent value, which if properly managed can be extracted. In this new context of global environmental remediation, sustainable, effective and affordable waste management is an issue of critical importance.

Waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) is the fastest growing waste stream globally and is an important challenge due to inherent value, heterogeneity and potential environmental impact (Ye et al., 2009; Ogondo et al., 2011). Essential constituents of many EEE products, in particular

information technology (IT) and communication equipment, are base metals (Fe, Cu, Al, etc.) as well as important concentrations of precious metals (Au, Ag, Pd) and specialty metals (In, Sb, Te, Ta, rare earth elements (REE), etc.) all highly interlinked in a complex composition (Hagulken, 2006). Many WEEE streams or components of WEEE contain higher concentrations of valuable metals than their primary ore and as such represent an opportunity as secondary ‘ores’. This is especially true as metals are finite commodities with a limited availability for human use. Mining rates of most metals are at a historical maximum whilst the average ore grade has decreased over the last hundred years for both base and precious metals (Mudd, 2010). The reduced availability of minable deposits and the concentration of these deposits in a limited number of countries results in an imbalance between supply and demand, making the current practice unsustainable in the long term. In addition, there is a growing legislative pressure on the proper handling and recycling of WEEE, with the collection and recycling targets recently increased as part of the EU WEEE Directive (2012/19/EU).

Current processes to recover value from WEEE can be complex and involve high water and energy consumption and/or the production of large quantities of hazardous effluents (Cui & Zhang, 2008, Tuncuk et al., 2012). WEEE recycling occurs in three major stages: (1) disassembly, (2) upgrading using mechanical and/or metallurgical processing to increase the desirable materials content of the waste, and (3) refining by purification of the upgraded material by metallurgical processing (Cui & Forssberg, 2003). A schematic flow-diagram of the major stages in WEEE processing is given in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Simplified schematic of the major stages of WEEE recycling.

Although both hydro- and pyro- metallurgy have advantages, hydrometallurgy is often favoured over traditional pyrometallurgy by industry due to an ability to recover metals from a variety of challenging sources, including low grade ores, mixed metal ores and secondary sources such as WEEE. Furthermore, hydrometallurgy allows the use of low process temperatures and recycling of reagents (Wilson et al., 2014). Conventional industrial processing of WEEE requires extraction and separation techniques that use aggressive acid or alkali digestion, can involve multi-stage separation procedures, produce large aqueous waste streams which require further treatment, and in the solvent extraction process, make use of solvents which are potentially flammable, volatile and toxic.

Ionic liquids (ILs) are solvents composed of ions with properties intermediate between molten salts and organic solvents and are attracting significant interest due to potential environmental benefits and tailorability compared to conventional solvents (Earle & Seddon, 2000; Rogers & Seddon, 2003). They have been applied in a number of industrial processes and products (Plechkova & Seddon, 2008) and if properly selected, ILs could offer an environmentally friendly alternative to current hydrometallurgical WEEE recycling processes by minimizing waste generation and acid consumption whilst maintaining selective leaching. Since the proposal of ILs as environmentally friendly media compared to volatile organic solvents for liquid-liquid extraction (Huddleston et al., 1998), the use of ILs for solvent extraction remains the most widely researched field in IL mediated metal processing. Solvent extraction (or liquid-liquid extraction) is the favored option for metal separation and involves the preferential two-phase distribution of a solute between mutually immiscible liquid phases usually consisting of an aqueous acidic solution and an aliphatic organic solvent.

Due to their versatility and growing popularity, the use of ILs for metal separation has been reviewed by previous authors (Dietz et al., 2006; Lee, 2006; Han & Armstrong, 2007; Abbott et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2010; Hiramaya, 2011; Stojanovic & Keppler, 2012; Sun et al., 2012; Kolaric, 2013) whilst the use of the immobilized IL in metal extraction was reviewed elsewhere (Stojanovic & Keppler, 2012; Vidal et al., 2012). The use of ILs for the electro-deposition of metals was previously reviewed by the research groups of Abbott et al. (2006; 2011; 2013), Smith et al. (2014) and Endres et al. (2002; 2008). Furthermore, a detailed description of the fundamental governing principles dictating metal extraction to IL (Watanabe et al., 2014; Janssen et al., 2015; Katsuta et al., 2016; Papaiconomou et al., 2016) as well as specific applications for certain classes of ILs such as acidic ILs (Amarasekara, 2016) or temperature responsive ILs (Qiao et al., 2017) are also available. Nevertheless, there is currently no review focusing on the use of ILs for the recovery of value from WEEE despite the increasing number of publications on this field in the last five years.

This review is divided into six sections, with the schematic review outline presented in Figure 2. After an introduction of the current WEEE recycling and ILs, the discussion follows the typical sequence in WEEE processing, starting with dismantling, followed by leaching and finishing with solvent extraction. The first provides a brief overview of metal recycling from WEEE highlighting the metals of critical interest for recovery and the challenges to date. The second addresses the general

properties of ILs as reaction medium with an emphasis on metal-ILs extraction mechanisms. The third and fourth sections present the application of IL-derived solvents to the solvato-metallurgical processing of electronic waste, namely their use for the dismantling and leaching of WEEE. In the case where the reviewed literature presents a recovery methodology, this will be presented in conjunction with its leaching to promote the application of a one solution for the elective leaching and recovery of metals from WEEE. The fifth section reviews the use of unconventional solvents based on ILs in solvent extraction processes relevant to WEEE recycling. This section represents the largest body of reviewed works and covers both the use of traditional IL solvent extraction processes as well as non-conventional systems such as hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents (DES) and aqueous biphasic systems (ABS). Finally, a critical assessment on the use of ILs for metal extraction from WEEE is conducted with guidelines provided based on the reviewed literature to maximise its beneficial application. The focus is on recovery of metals from WEEE rather than plastic or glass components, with emphasis on ILs used in leaching and solvent extraction. In this review, the ILs are discussed according to their application. As such, one IL used both in leaching and in solvent extraction applications will be presented in two different section although care is taken to avoid overlap. Although not within the scope of this review, some relevant examples on the application of ILs for the recovery of metals from primary ores are presented to enrich the discussion. Current hydrometallurgical treatment of WEEE borrows heavily from principles developed by the mining industry; innovative techniques developed for the latter can be transferred to the extraction of value from WEEE.




Figure 2. Schematic outline of the review.

2. Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE)

Global WEEE generation is estimated at 20-50 million tonnes per year and is increasing at a 3-5 % rate (Ogondo et al., 2011). In 2005, WEEE already represented approximately 8 % of municipal solid waste (Widmer et al., 2005). Annual WEEE production in the European Union (EU) increased from 6.5 Mt in 2004 to 12 Mt in 2015 (Goosey, 2004, Baldé et al., 2015). An important distinction must be made between WEEE and e-waste. Although many authors use the terms interchangeably, Robinson (2009) describes WEEE as “discarded appliances that use electricity” including traditionally non-electronic goods such as refrigerators and ovens. WEEE designates any wastes that fall under the jurisdiction of the European WEEE Directive (2002/96/EC) as set out in Table 1. E-waste is a sub-fraction of WEEE that encompasses “waste electronic goods, such as computers, televisions and cell phones”. These goods primarily fall under category 3 and 4 of the WEEE Directive.

Table 1. Classification of WEEE into ten categories according to the European WEEE Directive (2002/96/EC). The highlighted rows correspond to the e-waste subsection of WEEE.

No.	Category	Example
1	Large household appliances	Refrigerator, oven, washing machine
2	Small household appliance	Toaster, microwave, vacuum cleaner
3	IT and telecommunications equipment	Mobile phone, computer, computer screen
4	Consumer equipment	Television, radio, speaker
5	Lighting Equipment	LED, gas discharge lamps
6	Electrical and electronic tools	Power drill, electric screw driver
7	Toys, leisure and sports equipment	Treadmill, game console
8	Medical devices	MRI, dialysis
9	Monitoring and control instruments	Thermocouple, smoke detector
10	Automatic dispenser	Vending machine, cash distributor

Global WEEE is exponentially increasing due to (1) population growth, (2) economic growth and the resulting improvement in living standards, (3) decrease in product lifespan and (4) the increase in everyday commodities containing microprocessors. One consequence of increasing EEE on the market is the blurring of the traditional borders between classical electrical equipment and electronic equipment. Over 98% of all programmable microprocessors are now embedded in commodities that are not associated with computers (Hilty, 2005).

WEEE is a complex, heterogeneous waste stream containing over 1000 different substances (Ye et al., 2009) and is primarily composed of plastics (34.6 wt.%), electronic components (23.5 wt.%) and ferrous metals (15.6 wt.%) (Dimitrakakis et al., 2009). Essential constituents of many EEE products, in particular IT and communication equipment, are base metals (Fe, Cu, Al, etc.), precious metals (Au, Ag, Pd) and speciality metals (In, Sb, Te, Ta, rare earth elements (REEs), etc.) (Hagelucken, 2006). Due

to their significant metal concentration, EEE production has an important impact on global demand for metals and is an important driver of metal scarcity. Essential constituent of many EEE are metal with reserves often concentrated in a handful of countries creating a potential supply risk. This is exemplified by the substantial increase of most of rare earth oxides (REOs) prices in 2011 due to the implementation during the previous year in China of a REOs export quota policy (Tan et al., 2015). Imbalances between metal supply and demand in conjunction with the importance of a metal for continued economic growth has inspired the concept of metal criticality (Graedel et al., 2015). Multiple methodologies have attempted to assess the criticality of materials with widely varying results (Erdmann & Graedel, 2011, Bujis et al., 2012).

A selection of previous studies assessing raw material criticality is presented in Table 2. These studies primarily focus on the criticality of materials from a European or U.S. perspective. It is important to remark that criticality varies geographically, and certain materials labelled as critical in EU are likely to change if other countries such as China are considered. The most comprehensive studies are those originating from the European Commission (2014) and from Graedel et al. (2012, 2015). These reports include a temporal dimension (assessment of current and future criticality) and estimate material criticality based on multiple factors including (but not limited to) economic importance, supply risk, vulnerability to supply restriction, substitutability and environmental impact. Although material criticality is a fluid concept with temporal and geographical variations, certain metal groups appear in most of the presented studies, namely indium, antimony, REE, platinum group metals (PGM), magnesium, manganese and niobium. Recovery of these elements is of major importance to minimise their environmental impact and for the continued growth of the EEE industry and green industry.

Table 2. Selection of previous studies assessing raw material criticality.

Study	Critical Material
NRC (2008)	In, Mn, Nb, PGM, REE
Morley & Eatherley (2008)	Au, Rh, Pt, Sr, Ag, Sb, Sn
Oko-Institut (2009)	Te, In, Ga, REE, PGM, Ta, Li, Ge, Co
U.S. DoE (2010)	REE (Dy, Nd, Tb, Eu, Y), In
Erdmann & Graedel (2011)	REE, PGM, In, W
Graedel et al. (2012)	Results grouped by mineral family. Eg. for 'copper family': As = Gd > Ag > Se ≈ Cu > Te
European Commission (2014)	Sb, Be, Co, fluorspar, Ga, Ge, graphite, In, Mg, Nb, PGM, REE, Ta, W
Graedel et al. (2015)	In, As, Tl, Sb, Ag, Se, Au, PGM, Mg, Cr, Mn, Rh, REE

In 2007, demand for indium, antimony, tin, copper, silver, cobalt and selenium for use in EEE accounted for an estimated 79.2, 50.0, 32.7, 30.0, 30.0, 19.0 and 17.1% of total production of these metals (Hagelüken & Buchert, 2008). Many WEEE streams and components of WEEE contain greater concentrations of valuable metals than the primary ore. It is estimated that the annual replacement value of the materials found in WEEE disposed of in the UK alone is ~£1.3bn, with £400m either hoarded or landfilled (Haig et al., 2012). The quantity of precious metal in WEEE generated solely in the UK is estimated at about 50 tonnes per year. For example, phosphor powders used in fluorescent lamps contains elevated concentration of REE including Eu. Binnemans et al. (2013) estimate that waste fluorescent lamps will contain up to 25,000 tons of REEs by 2020.

The primary factors influencing the recyclability of a metal from WEEE are the volume of the waste, the price of the metal and the purity of the element and ease of recovery. For many electrical products, innovation is associated with an increase in the number of elements used. In the 1980's, 11 elements were contained in Intel® printed circuit boards (PCBs), compared to ~60 in the 2000s (Johnson et al., 2007). Additional elements are often present at low concentrations but have important specific functions. New high-strength permanent magnets or new alloys with enhanced physical and chemical properties are obtained using lanthanide elements (Graedel, 2011; Binnemans et al., 2013). Recovery of these metals from WEEE is seldom considered due to the technological and economic challenges involved (Reck & Graedel, 2012). A consequence of the rising number of elements in WEEE is that individual metal recycling rates can be divided into three categories. Recent global estimates of end-of-life recycling rates for 60 metals and metalloid (UNEP, 2011) are presented in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Global estimates of end-of-life recycling rates for 60 metals and metalloid in 2008 (adapted from UNEP, 2011).

The first category of metal corresponds to ‘base metals’ (Fe, Cu, Sn, Ni, Zn, Al and Pb), with end-of-life recycling rates (EOL-RRs) at >50 wt.%. These are the main metals in WEEE, are often found in relatively pure elemental form and benefit from mature recycling infrastructure (Khaliq et al., 2014). The second category corresponds to ‘precious metals’ with EOL-RRs of ~50-60 wt.%. This includes some platinum group metals, Au and Ag, which despite low concentrations have high economic value (UNEP, 2011; LME, 2016). The recycling rates are positively skewed due to the high recycling rates of industrial catalysts and jewellery. This significantly masks lower EOL-RR from WEEE in the EU (Chancerel et al., 2009; Hagelüken, 2012). Platinum group metal recycling rates from WEEE, such as Pd(II) from PCBs were only 5-10 wt.% in 2011. EOL-RRs for other elements from WEEE are restricted by low collection rates due to export of WEEE to countries with primitive treatment technologies and disposal of WEEE in municipal solid waste (Hagelüken, 2012). The final category consists of ‘specialty metals’ that are characterised by low recycling rate, typically below < 1 wt.% (UNEP, 2011). Many metals in this category are labelled as critical (see Table 2). These are used in low concentrations and extensively mixed making separation often economically unviable (Du & Graedel, 2011; Reck & Graedel, 2012). Recovery of these metals represents a major challenge to achieve a circular economy due to increasing use in EEE and the current linear management system.

Frustratingly, a significant portion of most strategic metals in e-waste is lost during the collection, sorting and mechanical separation stages. In turn, this decreases the financial viability of any recovery process through the dilution of precious metal content by commingling with other types of lower-value e-waste. Small high-value e-waste such as mobile phones suffer from low end-of-life recycling rate as they are often either improperly disposed in municipal waste bins or stored forgotten in a drawer. Mechanical treatment options suffer from the ‘concentration dilemma’, i.e. the recovery yield for a specific metal decreases with the rising purity of that metal in the output stream (Hagelüken, 2006). The unintended co-separation of minor metals during mechanical pre-treatment unavoidably can lead to substantial losses before it ever reaches any hydrometallurgical processes. A substance flow analysis of a full-scale pre-processing facility shows that 60 wt.% of the Cu reach output fractions from which it may potentially be recovered. This decreases to 25.6 wt.% for Au and Pt and only 11.5 wt.% for Ag (Chancerel et al., 2009).

The ILs covered in this review are for the most part limited to the recovery of value from PCBs, waste fluorescent phosphor, nickel metal hydride batteries and permanent magnets. Research has focused on these waste types due to (i) their high inherent metallic value and (ii) their ease of procurement as an ‘uncontaminated’ waste. These e-wastes have well established collection and sorting

processes, with some even separated at source due to legislative pressure. Fluorescent tubes, which contain rare-earth rich phosphor powder, are classified as a hazardous waste in the European Union due to their mercury content. As such, fluorescent lamps benefit from a dedicated collection and separation chain, ensuring a high content of REE, phosphorus and antimony. In contrast, indium and tantalum can be found in high concentration in indium-tin oxide film in flat screen and in capacitors respectively. However as these are small components of a larger waste, their current recycling barriers make the recovery of these metals from large scale WEEE treatment facilities difficult.

3. Room temperature ILs as reaction medium

3.1. Overview

Room temperature ILs are a class of solvents consisting of organic cations and organic or inorganic anions with melting points below 100°C (Earle & Seddon, 2000). Due to their unique physical and chemical properties, ILs have generated considerable scientific interest over the last 20 years. In this section, solvation interactions within ILs are discussed prior to a more detailed assessment of ILs for the recovery of metals from WEEE with special emphasis on hydrometallurgical processes. The discussion is limited to second and third generation ILs and will not cover ILs based on chloroaluminate anions.

The majority of chemical reactions occur in solution, with the solvent properties influencing its suitability as a reaction medium. ILs are solvents composed exclusively of ions and as such their solvation behaviour differs from that of neutral molecular solvents (hexane, acetone, DMSO, etc) and aqueous, or partially ionized, solvents. ILs (generally) consist of large asymmetrical cations and simple coordinating anions. The low degree of packing efficiency, induced by the size and lack of symmetry of the cation, in conjunction with a lower cationic (and occasionally anionic) charge density, caused by delocalization of the partial charge over the whole ion, drastically reduces the lattice energy of the salt resulting in lower melting points (Seddon, 1997). This low melting point distinguishes ILs from conventional molten salts like molten sodium chloride ($T_m \geq 801\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), which are characterised by a high charge density and packing efficiency. Commonly used cations and anions for ILs and their abbreviations are provided in Figure 4. For the sake of clarity and to enable meaningful comparisons between different systems, ILs will be referred according to their structure and not their commercial name. ILs comprised of the other anions listed in Figure 4 display varying degrees of hydrophobicity depending on the cation's alkyl chain length. The hydrophobicity of an IL is of particular relevance for its application as an extraction and separation media. It is important to state that all ILs are hygroscopic, regardless of their hydrophobicity, and water may make up a significant molar percentage of an IL.




Figure 4. Schematic of selected cations and anions from common ILs and DES and their abbreviations.

Many solvent properties, including hydrophobicity, polarity and viscosity to name a few, are important to an IL's performance and applicability as a reaction medium. In ILs, these 'bulk properties' are altered by either varying the anion or the cation and this has led ILs to be labelled as 'designer solvents' (Freemantle, 1998; Huddleston et al., 2001). Many of these properties have been extensively reviewed elsewhere (Ohno, 2005; Koel et al., 2007; Endres et al., 2008, Freemantle, 2009; Hallet & Welton, 2011) and will not be discussed here.

3.2. Macroscopic solvation and extraction mechanisms

In this section, a brief synopsis of the fundamental governing principles dictating metal-IL interaction and extraction is given, particularly as no new extraction mechanisms were reported compared to older existing work. For an in-depth analysis, the reader is referred to the review of Janssen et al. (2015). The overall solvation property of a system results from the sum of the possible solute-solvent interactions and depends on the cation and anion of the IL, as well as the solute. Charged complexes can be highly soluble in ILs, allowing for a wider range of metal speciation compared to molecular solvent in which generally only neutral complexes are soluble. The ionic nature of ILs results in metal extraction mechanisms from an aqueous phase that are not possible in conventional organic

solvents such as ion-exchange mechanisms involving ions of the IL, which can lead to the degradation of the IL. Both charged and neutral metal complexes can be highly soluble in ILs (Jensen et al., 2002). The most conclusive method for the determination of metallic species in IL is single-crystal XRD analysis (Nockemann et al., 2010). However, due to the low vapour pressure and melting point of ILs, acquisition of suitable crystal structure is often impossible and in-situ techniques are required. These are reviewed by Abbott et al. (2008) and will only be listed here. The most widely used technique to probe metallic complexes in ILs is extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS), with certain studies also using Raman, UV-vis and FAB-MS spectroscopic techniques.

When discussing metal-IL interaction, a distinction must be made between positively and negatively charge metal complexes. For positively charged metal complexes, typically the IL anion dictates the chemistry of the system because it interacts with the generally more Lewis acidic metal solute. Anion selection determines the Lewis acidity and coordination ability of an IL, with metal salts being poorly soluble in ILs with strongly Lewis acidic/practically non-coordinating anions. Chloride, nitrate and sulphate, are strongly Lewis basic/strongly coordinating anions whilst the fluorinated anions $[\text{BF}_4]^-$, $[\text{PF}_6]^-$ and $[\text{NTf}_2]^-$ are acidic and only weakly coordinating (Wasserscheid & Welton, 2008). ILs incorporating strongly coordinating anions exhibit significantly greater metal dissolution potential than those with acidic anions (Abbott et al., 2005; Pereiro et al., 2012). Complexation of the metal with the IL anion controls the metal speciation and therefore its reactivity, solubility, redox potential and colour (Abbott et al., 2011). The metal counter-anion also plays an important role in determining solubility in a particular IL. The Hofmeister series (or the lyotropic series) provides a general indication of the hydrophobicity of an anion. In ascending order, it reads: $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-} \leq \text{Cl}^- \leq \text{Br}^- \leq [\text{NO}_3]^- \leq \text{I}^- \leq [\text{ClO}_4]^- \leq [\text{SCN}]^- \leq [\text{NTf}_2]^-$ and reflects the charge density and hydration numbers of the different anions (Dupont et al., 2015). Metal salts containing hydrophilic anions of high charge density are unlikely to dissolve in poorly coordinating hydrophobic ILs such as $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{NTf}_2]$. The solubility of inorganic salts in ILs with a common anion is greatly improved, both for hydrophilic and hydrophobic anions (Pereiro et al., 2012).

In many cases, metal ions, including the precious metals present in WEEE, form negatively charged complex species once dissolved in an acidic solution. For instance, Pt(IV) forms various polychloroplatinate(IV) complexes such as $\text{PtCl}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})^-$ or PtCl_6^{2-} in aqueous solutions of HCl. Pt(II), Pd(II) and Rh(III) form similar polychlorometallate complexes, depending on the concentration of HCl in water. In such cases, ILs are much more efficient at extracting these ions. Precious metals are successfully extracted using undiluted ILs such as $[\text{C}_n\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{NTf}_2]$ and their pyridinium or pyrrolidinium homologues (Papaiconomou et al. 2012; 2013; 2014; 2015; Watanabe et al., 2015). Functionalized ILs, also known as task specific ILs (TSILs), are ILs with an added functional group covalently bonded to either the cation or anion which confer certain desired properties useful for selective metal extraction (Fagnant et al, 2013). Derivatization of the IL cation to incorporate task-

specific functionalities such as metal ligating groups provide an efficient way to maximise metal solvation (Visser et al., 2001). For example, substitution of the cationic alkyl chain in $[C_nC_1\text{im}][\text{PF}_6]$ with either urea-, thiourea-, and thioether- alkyl groups can result in an increase in the extraction of Cd(II) from aqueous solution by several orders of magnitude (Visser et al., 2002).

Major developments in the use of ILs in solvent extraction can be divided into three main categories: ILs used as the diluting hydrophobic phase in conjunction with a traditional extractant; selective extraction of metals through IL anion interaction; and task specific ILs (Abbott et al., 2011). Electroneutrality is the governing principle behind the multiple potential extraction mechanisms in ILs as the net charge of the aqueous and IL phases must remain constant (Janssen et al., 2015). A brief overview of the potential extraction mechanisms for a metal cation (M^{n+}) from an aqueous solution containing an anion (X^-) to an IL phase (C^+ - IL cation; A^- - IL anion) containing a ligand (L or HL if protonated) is described in Table 3. A commonly used category of hydrophobic ILs in solvent extraction are those incorporating the $[\text{NTf}_2]^-$ anion. These ILs often require the addition of an extractant to increase the hydrophobicity of the metal complex to facilitate the extraction of a hydrated metal cations (Zhao et al., 2005). For example, many studies have reported enhanced extraction efficiency and selectivity for REEs on substitution of conventional organic diluents by hydrophobic ILs (Nakashima et al., 2004; Shimojo et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2011). The structure and abbreviation for the main extractants discussed in this work are presented in Figure 5.

Table 3. Multiple extraction schemes for the transfer of metal cations from an aqueous phase to an IL phase.

Extraction mechanism	General extraction scheme	No.
ILs + extractants		
Neutral extraction	$M_{aq}^{n+} + nHL_{IL} \rightarrow [M \cdot (L)_n]_{IL} + nH_{aq}^+$	(1)
	$M_{aq}^{n+} + mL_{aq} + nX_{aq}^- \rightarrow [M \cdot (L)_m \cdot (X)_n]_{IL}$	(2)
Ion exchange	$M_{aq}^{n+} + mL_{aq} + nC_{IL}^+ \rightarrow [M \cdot (L)_m]_{IL}^{n+} + nC_{aq}^+$	(3)
	$M_{aq}^{n+} + (n+1)HL_{aq} + [C][A]_{IL} \rightarrow [M \cdot (L)_{n+1}]_{IL}^- \cdots [C]_{IL}^+ + (n+1)H_{aq}^+ + A_{aq}^-$	(4)
	$M_{aq}^{n+} + mL_{aq} + (n+1)X_{aq}^- + [C][A]_{IL} \rightarrow [M \cdot (X)_{n+1} \cdot (L)_m]_{IL}^- \cdots [C]_{IL}^+ + A_{aq}^-$	(5)
Neat ILs		
Ion pair	$M_{aq}^{n+} + mX_{aq}^- + (m-n)C_{aq}^+ \rightarrow [C_{m-n}MX_m]_{IL}$	(6)






Figure 5. Schematic of selected neutral and acidic extractants and primary undiluted ILs presented in this work and their abbreviations.

These equations do not represent all potential extraction mechanisms in ILs but rather provide an overview of the various extraction schemes possible whilst preserving electroneutrality. The extraction mechanisms in solvent extraction systems with a ligand dissolved in the IL can be broadly divided into two categories: neutral extraction and ion-exchange extraction. Neutral extraction can proceed through the formation of a neutral metal-ligand complex either via deprotonation of the ligand (Ext. 1), formation of a charge neutral complex with aqueous solution anions and extraction of a neutral metal-cation/anion ion-pair (Ext. 2). For metal extraction proceeding through an ion exchange mechanism, the IL cation is released to the aqueous phase to compensate for the incoming positive charge of the metal complex (Ext. 3-5). In the case of TSILs, the functionilized component of the IL acts as the ligand (Jensen et al., 2003). It is possible for multiple extraction mechanisms to co-exist within similar solvent extraction systems. The factors determining the relative importance of an extraction scheme include hydrophobicity of the IL cation (Jensen et al., 2002; Dietz et al., 2003) and anion (Jensen et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2006) and the hydration energy of the aqueous metal complex (Garvey et al., 2012; Wankowski & Dietz, 2016). The composition of the aqueous phase can also influence the extraction efficiency for a particular solvent extraction. The water miscibility of four hydrophobic ILs was found to increase with addition of the cations $\text{NH}_4^+ > \text{K}^+ > \text{Na}^+ > \text{Li}^+ > \text{Ca}^{2+} > \text{Mg}^{2+}$ and anions $[\text{ClO}_4]^- > \text{I}^- > [\text{NO}_3]^- > \text{Cl}^- > \text{F}^- > [\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$ as predicted by the Hofmeister series (Dupont et al., 2015).

The most widely used solvent extraction systems involve use of either ion-exchange extractant classified into acidic (eg. carboxylates, sulfonates, phosphates) and basic extractant (primary to quaternary amines), solvating extractant (or neutral extractant) possessing ketone, ether, ester or alcohol functional groups and/or coordinating extractant (or chelating extractant) (Free, 2013). These will be discussed in the following section. Whilst a single extractant can often saturate the coordination sphere of a metallic cation as well as produce a charge neutral and hydrophobic complex, on occasion a combination of two extractants is required. If the resulting distribution ratio is greater for the combined extractant than the sum for the individual extractants employed, the system benefits from a synergistic extraction mechanism (Stepinski et al., 2005). In addition to increasing the extraction efficiencies, synergistic extraction systems can also improve the separation factors between two different metals. Such systems have been used effectively in conjunction with ILs, and the presence of two extractants can result in a change of extraction mechanism compared to when the extractants are individually employed (Zhu et al., 2015; Petrova, 2016).

In the case where no extractant is used and the metal ion forms negatively charged complex ions with anions present in the aqueous phase, either originating from the acid used, or added on purpose in the solution, the extraction mechanisms can be discussed in a similar way as that detailed above. Because electroneutrality must be respected, two main possible extraction mechanisms, hydrophobic ion pair formation or anion exchange, occur (Ext. schemes 6 to 8). In the case of the formation of hydrophobic ion pairs, two versions exist in the literature, one using cations from the IL that are in the

IL phase, and another one using cations that are dissolved in the aqueous phase (Ext. 6 and 7). Strikingly, even though anion exchange and ion pair formation were discussed as two distinct mechanisms, most recent studies show that these are actually linked together because a hydrophobic IL, as any salt, exhibits a limited, though non negligible, solubility in water. The typical solubility of $[C_8C_1im][NTf_2]$ is around $10^{-3} \text{ mol.L}^{-1}$. In other terms, the solubility product of hydrophobic ILs is not negligible (Chapeaux et al., 2007; Mazan et al., 2014). In an anion exchange mechanism, an anion of the IL is transferred to the aqueous phase. Because the solubility of the IL is then exceeded, this necessarily yields the transfer of an IL ion pair initially dissolved in the aqueous phase towards the IL phase. This results as the co-extraction of a metal complex with a cation of the IL, that is, the formation of a hydrophobic ion pair (Ext. 8).

In the following sections, the use of ILs at different stages of WEEE recycling is reviewed, with particular emphasis on the use of ILs as solvents for leaching and liquid-liquid extraction processes. The use of IL for the electro-deposition of metals has previously been reviewed by Abbott et al. (2006; 2011; 2013; Smith et al., 2014) and Endres et al. (2002; 2008) and will only be briefly addressed due to the potential for IL-based solvents as a medium for the ‘one-pot’ leaching and deposition of metals. It is important to note that not all reported studies use actual waste but often focus on simulated solutions or mixtures containing a few elements. The use of ILs covered in this review is for the most part focused on the recovery of value from PCBs, waste fluorescent phosphor, nickel metal hydride batteries and permanent magnets. From the literature reviewed, optimised process flowsheets are proposed for these wastes and are presented in Section 7.

4. WEEE dismantling using ILs

Current research on the use of ILs as WEEE dismantling agent is limited to the disassembly of electrical components and epoxy resin from waste PCBs. PCBs are complex and challenging materials due to their heterogeneous nature. PCBs are comprised of either: (1) an epoxy resin-based plastic reinforced with glass fibres coated with a copper layer (FR-4 type PCB) or (2) a single layer of fibreglass or cellulose paper and phenolic resins coated with a copper layer (FR-2 type PCB). The resin board is overlaid with microelectronic components such as semiconductor chips and capacitors plus solder, which is a Pb-Sn alloy (Zeng et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2012c).

Previous research focused on the separation of the electrical components from the waste PCBs and/or dissolution of the epoxy resin using the water soluble ILs $[C_2C_1im][BF_4]$ and $[C_4C_1im][BF_4]$. Separation of the electrical components from waste PCBs relies on the high thermal stability of the IL used. The welding point temperature for Pb and Pb-free solders is 215°C (Zeng et al., 2013). By heating PCB chips in the IL at 240 to 250°C for 10 to 30 minutes, at 45 to 150 rpm, the solder was melted and recovered from the board along with the previously attached electrical components. Under these

conditions, over 90 wt.% of the electronic components were separated from the PCBs and XRD analysis of the recovered solder indicates no structural changes (Zhu et al., 2012b; Zhu et al., 2012c; Zeng et al., 2013). The IL can be successfully recycled, and cost analysis of the process indicates that the process becomes financially viable for waste PCB amounts over 3 kt (Zeng et al., 2013). Heating of the bare board in [C₂C₁im][BF₄] for 10 minutes results in the complete dissolution of the polymeric materials and the delamination of the glass and copper foils. H¹-NMR analysis indicates that H-bond formation plays an important role in the dissolution of the bromine epoxy resins by [C₂C₁im][BF₄]. The IL was successfully regenerated by the addition of water, resulting in the formation of a precipitate which was confirmed as bromine epoxy resin by FTIR (Zhu et al., 2012a; Zhu et al., 2012c).

5. ILs in leaching and recovery applications

In this section, several methods for leaching metal ions and recovering them under a solid form (either as oxide or salts) will be detailed. The methods presented here will not deal with liquid-liquid extraction. The dissolution of metals and metal salts is the first step in hydrometallurgical processes (Figure 1). The state of elements in WEEE is diverse, with elements present as elemental metals, oxides, sulphates, phosphates, carbonates and others. The selection of an appropriate leaching agent depends on the waste matrix and value of the final product and should effectively act as a concentrating step by only selectively solubilising elements of interest prior to further separation and recovery steps. Selection of a suitable leaching medium can help reduce the complexity and number of downstream processing steps. Research on metal dissolution using unconventional solvents based on ILs has focused on DES and ILs incorporating Brønsted acidic functional groups and/or ILs based on strongly Lewis basic anions. However, some metal oxides including Ag₂O, NiO, CuO and ZnO were found to dissolve in imidazolium-based ILs with a hydrogen atom in the C² position of the imidazolium ring through the formation of carbene complexes (Wellens et al., 2014b). Selected example of IL used in leaching and recovery applications are summarised in Table 4.

Table 4. Selected example of ILs used in leaching and recovery applications of metals from WEEE and ores.

Waste matrix	Metals investigated	Ionic liquid / oxidant	Reference
Electric arc furnace dust	ZnO, PbO, Fe ₂ O ₃ , Al ₂ O ₃	[N _{111(20H)}]Cl:urea	Abbott et al. (2009)
Brass ash	ZnO, CuO, Cu ⁰	<u>IL</u> : [C ₄ C ₁ im][HSO ₄] <u>Oxidant</u> : H ₂ O ₂ or KHSO ₅	Kilicarslan et al. (2014)

Metals and alloys	Fe ⁰ , Cu ⁰ , In ⁰ , Zn ⁰ , Ga ⁰ , Sb ⁰ , Au ⁰ , Pt ⁰ , Ge ⁰ , Ta ⁰ , Sm ⁰ , Dy ⁰ , GaAs, InAs	[P ₄₄₄₁₄][Cl ₃]	Li et al. (2018)
Ore	Sulfidic ore containing Au, Ag, Cu, Zn, Fe, Pb	<u>IL</u> : [C ₄ C ₁ im][HSO ₄] w/ thiourea <u>Oxidant</u> : Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃	Whitehead et al (2004a)
Sulfidic ore / synthetic oxide ore	CuFeS ₂ , FeS ₂ , ZnS / SiO ₂ , CaSO ₄ , Fe ₂ O ₃ , Al ₂ O ₃ , MnO ₂ , TiO ₂ , AuO, AgO	<u>IL</u> : [C ₄ C ₁ im][X] (X = Cl ⁻ , [C ₁ SO ₃] ⁻ , [SCN] ⁻ , [HSO ₄] ⁻) w./ thiourea <u>Oxidant</u> : Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃	Whitehead et al. (2007)
Ore	Sulfidic ore containing Au, Ag, Cu, Zn, Fe, Pb	<u>IL</u> : [C ₄ C ₁ im][X] (X = Cl ⁻ , [HSO ₄] ⁻) w./ thiourea, chloride, bromide or iodide <u>Oxidant</u> : Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ or KHSO ₅	Whitehead et al. (2009)
Ore	CuFeS ₂ , FeS ₂ , ZnFeS	<u>IL</u> : [C ₄ C ₁ im][HSO ₄] <u>Oxidant</u> : H ₂ O ₂	Dong et al. (2009)
Fluoride ore / synthetic ore	RECO ₃ F, RE ₂ O ₃ , RE ₂ (CO ₃) ₃	[N _{111(2(OH))}][NTf ₂] in [C ₄ C ₁ im][NTf ₂]	Freiderich et al. (2015)
Synthetic ore	Cu-Zn powder and crushed Ga-As wafer	<u>IL</u> : [N _{111(2OH)}]Cl:EG (1:2) <u>Oxidant</u> : Iodine	Abbott et al. (2015)
Ore	Sulfidic ore containing Au, Ag, Te, Fe	<u>IL</u> : [N _{111(2OH)}]Cl:EG (1:2) <u>Oxidant</u> : Iodine	Jenkin et al. (2016)
Ore	Chalcopyrite	<u>IL</u> : [C _n C ₁ im][HSO ₄] (n=1,4) <u>Oxidant</u> : O ₂	Carlesi et al. (2016)
Ore	Bauxite ore containing REE(III), Ti(IV), Si(IV), Al(III), Fe(III), Ca(II)	[N _{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf ₂]	Davris et al. (2016)
Ore	Pyrite, arsenopyrite	[N _{111(2OH)}]Cl:EG (1:2)	Abbott et al. (2017)
PCB	Cu ⁰	<u>IL</u> : [C ₄ C ₁ im][HSO ₄] <u>Oxidant</u> : H ₂ O ₂	Huang et al. (2014)
PCB	Cu ⁰ , Zn ⁰ , Pb ⁰	<u>IL</u> : [(C ₄ SO ₃ H)C ₁ im][X] (X = [OTf] ⁻ , [HSO ₄] ⁻), [C _n C ₁ im][HSO ₄] (n = 1, 4) <u>Oxidant</u> : H ₂ O ₂	Chen et al. (2015a,b,c)
PCB	Cu ⁰	<u>IL</u> : [(SO ₃ H)C ₄ pyr][HSO ₄] <u>Oxidant</u> : O ₃	Zhang et al. (2018)
NdFeB magnets	Nd ₂ O ₃ , Dy ₂ O ₃ , CoO, Fe ₂ O ₃	[N _{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf ₂]	Dupont & Binnemans (2015a)
NdFeB magnets	CoO, Fe ₂ O ₃ , B ₂ O ₃ , Nd ₂ O ₃ , Dy ₂ O ₃ , Pr ₂ O ₃ , Gd ₂ O ₃	[N _{111(2OH)}]Cl:lactic acid (1:2)	Riaño et al. (2017)
Synthetic phosphor mix	Y ₂ O ₃ :Eu ³⁺ (YOX), (Sr,Ca) ₁₀ (PO ₄) ₆ (Cl,F) ₂ :Sb ³⁺ , Mn ²⁺ (HALO)	[N _{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf ₂]	Dupont & Binnemans (2015b)

Synthetic phosphor mix & oxides	CuO, NiO, ZnO, MnO, MnO ₂ , Co ₃ O ₄ , Fe ₂ O ₃ , La ₂ O ₃ , Nd ₂ O ₃ , Dy ₂ O ₃ LaPO ₄ :Ce ³⁺ , Tb ³⁺ (LAP) BaMgAl ₁₀ O ₁₇ (BAM)	[N _{R1R2(H-SO3H)}][NTf ₂]	Dupont et al. (2016b)
Waste phosphor	Y ₂ O ₃ :Eu ³⁺ (YOX), (Sr,Ca) ₁₀ (PO ₄) ₆ (Cl,F) ₂ :Sb ³⁺ , Mn ²⁺ (HALO)	[C ₆ C _{1im}][HSO ₄]	Schaeffer et al. (2017)
Crude In(OH) ₃	In(III), As(V), Ca(II), Cd(II), Fe(III), Pb(II), Zn(II), trace elements	[N _{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf ₂]	Deferm et al. (2018)

RE – rare earth, EG – ethylene glycol,

5.1. Cation mediated leaching

Many metal salts are insoluble in common hydrophobic ILs due to the hydrophilic nature of the metallic cation and the weakly coordinating nature of the anion; metals and metal oxides are only generally soluble in strong acidic or alkali aqueous solutions. First synthesised by Nockemann et al. (2006), [N_{R11(2(O)OH)}][NTf₂] (R - imidazolium, pyridinium, pyrrolidinium, piperidinium, morpholinium, and quaternary ammonium) are a group of betaine-based TSIL bearing a carboxyl group capable of selectively solubilising REO, UO₂, ZnO, CdO, HgO, NiO, CuO, PdO, PbO, MnO, Ag₂O and some metal hydroxides. Insoluble or very poorly soluble oxides are Fe₂O₃, CoO, Al₂O₃ and SiO₂ (Nockemann et al., 2008a). The solubility of metal oxides in [N_{R11(2(O)OH)}][NTf₂] is high due to the formation IL-RE stoichiometric compounds as indicated by single crystal XRD studies (Nockemann et al., 2008b; Nockemann et al., 2009). Another interesting property of [N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf₂] is the presence of an upper critical solution temperature (UCST) for the binary mixture of [N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf₂]/H₂O (Nockemann et al., 2006). ILs with an UCST are said to exhibit thermomorphic behaviour, with the two immiscible phases forming one homogeneous phases above the UCST. A two-phase system is re-established by lowering the solution temperature to below the UCST. ¹H-NMR investigation attributes the presence of a UCST to the temperature-dependent hydration and hydrogen-bond formation between the carboxylic group of the [N_{111(2(O)OH)}]⁺ cation and the nitrogen atom of the [NTf₂]⁻ anion (Nockemann et al., 2008a). Conditions above the UCST reduce the viscosity of the solution and accelerate mass transfer, resulting in higher extraction efficiencies. Extracted metals were stripped from [N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf₂] by contacting with an acidic aqueous solution, allowing the IL to be recycled for reuse.

The thermomorphic properties of [N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf₂] were used for the recovery of Nd₂O₃, Dy₂O₃ and CoO from waste NdFeB magnets in a combined leaching-extraction step (Dupont & Binnemans, 2015a). After roasting in a microwave, waste NdFeB magnets were leached at 80°C in a [N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf₂]-H₂O solution. The leach solution was cooled and returned to a biphasic system whereby the REE and Co were distributed in the aqueous phase whilst Fe remained in the IL phase.

Addition of oxalic acid to the solution precipitated the REE and Co while transferring Fe to the aqueous phase as a soluble oxalate complex. The REEs and Co were separated by selectively leaching Co from the oxalate precipitate using aqueous ammonia before calcining the RE oxalate to yield a 99.9 % pure Nd_2O_3 and Dy_2O_3 mix. Dupont and Binnemans (2015b) also used $[\text{N}_{111(2(\text{O})\text{OH})}][\text{NTf}_2]$ for the recovery of yttrium and europium oxide from simulated waste phosphor powder yielding a 99.9% pure final $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Eu}^{3+}$ product after oxalate precipitation and calcination. The water content of the TSIL played a crucial role in the effectiveness and selectivity of the leaching process. Under water-poor conditions (<5 wt.% H_2O in the IL) YOX phosphor dissolves much more than HALO phosphor, but YOX leaching efficiency also decreases with decreasing IL water content. The leaching selectivity of water-poor $[\text{N}_{111(2(\text{O})\text{OH})}][\text{NTf}_2]$ was attributed to the inability of the IL to solvate anions due to the lack of suitable coordination mechanisms. As the dissolution of oxides generates no anions, YOX phosphor easily dissolves in $[\text{N}_{111(2(\text{O})\text{OH})}][\text{NTf}_2]$ whilst the phosphate and chloride metal salt of HALO phosphor do not. The selectivity of $[\text{N}_{111(2(\text{O})\text{OH})}][\text{NTf}_2]$ for REEs was also exploited for their recovery from bauxite residue containing the impurities Ti(IV), Si(IV), Al(III), Fe(III) and Ca(II) (Davris et al., 2016). In addition, $[\text{N}_{111(2(\text{O})\text{OH})}][\text{NTf}_2]$ was shown to be an effective leaching agent for the purification of the critical element In(III) (Deferm et al., 2018). At the optimal conditions of 3 hrs leaching time at 80°C in a 1:1 $[\text{N}_{111(2(\text{O})\text{OH})}][\text{NTf}_2]:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ mixture, 99 wt.% of $\text{In}(\text{OH})_3$ was leached and separated ($D > 100$) from Al(III), Ca(II), Cd(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II). Additional pre-hydrolysis and hydrolysis steps including the reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) were required to separate In(III) from the co-extracted Fe(III), As(V) and Pb(II). MgCl_2 was added as a salting-out agent to minimise the loss of the hydrophilic cation to aqueous phase during extraction but its addition was found to negatively impact the separation of In(III) from the other elements suggesting a compromise is required.




Figure 6. Structures of Brønsted acidic TSIL-derived from (a) betaine, (b) sulfonic acid, (c) sulfuric acid and (d) sulfamic acid.

Three groups of zwitterionic, strongly Brønsted acidic TSILs with functionalized cations incorporating sulfonic acid groups ($pK_a \sim -2.0$), alkylsulfuric acid groups ($pK_a \sim -3.5$) and sulfamic acid groups ($pK_a \sim 2.0$) can solubilise large stoichiometric amounts for a wide range of metal oxides (Dupont et al., 2015; Dupont et al., 2016a, Dupont et al., 2016b). The general structure for these cations is presented in Figure 6. This includes the inert oxides CoO , Co_3O_4 , Fe_2O_3 , TiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , Cr_2O_3 and WO_3 , which were not previously soluble in $[\text{N}_{111}(\text{2}(\text{O})\text{OH})][\text{NTf}_2]$. A 40 wt.% solution of the sulfamic IL $[\text{HN}_{22}(\text{SO}_3\text{H})][\text{NTf}_2]$ diluted in $[\text{C}_2\text{C}_{1\text{im}}]\text{Cl}$ was able to leach over 90 wt.% of the notoriously inert fluorescent lamp phosphors $\text{LaPO}_4:\text{Ce}^{3+}, \text{Tb}^{3+}$ (LAP) and $\text{BaMgAl}_{10}\text{O}_{17}$ (BAM) (Dupont et al., 2016b). The natural hydrophilicity of ILs incorporating these polar and very acidic functional groups was overcome by using long alkyl chains in combination with the $[\text{NTf}_2]$ anion. Alkylsulfuric and sulfamic ILs with cationic alkyl chains shorter than $n=3$ were found to be fully miscible in water. Alkyl chains containing 6 or more carbons were required to compensate for the greater hydrophilicity of the sulfonic group. Interestingly, sulfonic and alkylsulfuric IL with short alkyl chains were shown to form aqueous biphasic system in the presence of sulfuric acid and NaCl respectively. Similarly to $[\text{N}_{111}(\text{2}(\text{O})\text{OH})][\text{NTf}_2]$, metal extraction in these super-acidic TSIL with long alkyl chains is controlled by a proton exchange mechanism and is therefore pH sensitive. As the acidic proton is exchanged for the metal ion, the electronic balance is maintained, and extraction occurs generally without transfer of IL cations or anions to the water phase. Comparison of hydrophobic sulfonic and alkylsulfuric IL metal extraction shows that the extraction efficiency of the latter is intermediate between carboxyl-functionalized ILs such as

[N₁₁₁(2(O)OH)][NTf₂] (poor extraction for most metal ions), and sulfonic acid ILs which fully extract most studied metal ions from chloride solutions (>80%) (Dupont et al., 2016a). This trend follows the functional group charge density $R\text{-COO}^- < R\text{-OSO}_3^- < R\text{-SO}_3^-$. Interestingly in these systems, RE ions are extracted in the reverse order (La(III) > Nd(III) > Dy(III) > Lu(III)) than the one expected based on their charge density. This is attributed to the sterical hindrance from the functional groups and long alkyl side chains which causes REEs with smaller radius to be less efficiently coordinated (Dupont et al., 2015). The doubly acidic task-specific pyridinium-based IL incorporating a sulfonic acid moiety [(SO₃H)C₄pyr][HSO₄] was used to replace H₂SO₄ in a slurry electrolytic system for the one step leaching and recovery of Cu(II) from waste PCBs (Zhang et al., 2018). A 20% increase in Cu(II) recovery to 90.9 wt.% was obtained when 10% H₂SO₄ was replaced by [(SO₃H)C₄pyr][HSO₄]. However, a further increase in the IL concentration to 40 wt.% resulted in the decrease in the Cu(II) recovery rate and current efficiency due to the increase in solution viscosity. In addition, lower quality deposits were obtained at these high concentrations due to the strong competitive absorption of the IL cation at the cathode inhibiting deposition. The deposit was identified as a mixture of Cu⁰, CuO and Cu₂O for a 10 wt.% concentration of [(SO₃H)C₄pyr][HSO₄] with H₂SO₄ and O₃ as oxidant.

Although the hydrophobic IL trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium chloride ([P₆₆₆₁₄][Cl]) is insoluble in water, [P₆₆₆₁₄][Cl] can adsorb up to 13.5 wt.% of water at room temperature. [P₆₆₆₁₄][Cl] saturated with concentrated HCl was used to dissolve CaO, NiO, MnO, CoO, CuO, ZnO and Fe₂O₃. Addition of HCl resulted in a large decrease in IL viscosity and allowed the solubilisation of metal oxides. UV-Vis analysis indicated the presence of Ni(II), Cu(II), Fe(III), and Co(II) in [P₆₆₆₁₄][Cl] as anionic tetrachlorometallate complexes, whilst Ca(II) is unable to form anionic complexes and remains as a hydrated calcium ion. Separation of the solubilised elements using a stripping HCl solution was based on the tendency of Ni(II) and Ca(II) to form aqua complexes regardless of HCl concentration and the partial stripping of Co(II) and Mn(II) at low HCl concentrations. Ni(II) and Ca(II) were first stripped using 6 M HCl before subsequent removal of Co and Mn from Fe, Zn and Cu by contacting the saturated IL with a water and ammonia solution (Wellens et al., 2014a).

5.2. Anion mediated leaching

[HSO₄]⁻-based IL including [C₄C₁im][HSO₄] were successfully used to recover Cu from waste PCBs (Huang et al., 2014) and chalcopyrite ore (Dong et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2015a), Cu and Zn from brass ash (Kilicarslan et al., 2014), leaching of Au, Ag, Cu and base metals from ores (Whitehead et al., 2004a; Whitehead et al., 2007; Whitehead et al., 2009) and YOX phosphor from waste fluorescent tubes (Schaeffer et al., 2017).

The recovery of yttrium europium oxide from waste fluorescent tube phosphor by a simple efficient low-cost IL process was developed using [C₄C₁im][HSO₄] (Schaeffer et al., 2017). The waste phosphor

containing Y(III), Eu(III), Tb(III), La(III) and Gd(III) was first pre-treated to concentrate its rare earth content. Larger glass particles were removed by sieving through a 25 μm sieve whilst soluble Ca(II), Ba(II), Sr(II) were partially removed by contacting the phosphor with a dilute HCl solution for 1 hr. Leaching of REEs was optimised by systematically varying the leaching temperature, the $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ratio, leaching time and solid to liquid ratio. Under the optimised conditions of 1:1 wt. $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution, a solid:liquid ratio of 5%, a mixing speed of 300 rpm, a leaching temperature of 80°C and leaching time of 4 h, the percentage of Y, Eu and Ca leached is 91.6wt.%, 97.7wt.% and 24.9wt.%, respectively. The low leaching efficiency of Tb(III), La(III) and Gd(III) compared to Y(III) and Eu(III) is due to the former being as highly stable phosphate salts whilst the latter are found as oxides. The REEs in the leachate were precipitated by the addition of oxalic acid at a molar ratio (OA:REE) of 1.5 and a solution pH of 0.9. Luminescence analysis of the recovered oxide product after calcination indicates that the recovered yttrium europium oxide has the potential to be directly reused as YOX phosphor. The stability and recyclability of the IL was demonstrated over four leaching/precipitation cycles.

A recent comparison of six ILs incorporating $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$ and $[\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]^-$ on the leaching efficiency of Cu, Zn and Pb respectively from waste PCBs found that greater leaching efficiency could be achieved using $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$ and that inclusion of an acidic group on the alkyl chain of the cation increased Cu and Zn leaching (Chen et al., 2015a; Chen et al., 2015b). Leaching results indicate that all six IL acids could successfully recover Cu and Zn under the test conditions with almost 100 wt.% recovery except for $[\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]$. Kinetic analysis of the dissolution process using the shrinking core set of equations indicates that in the case of Cu and Zn dissolution by $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$ and $[\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]^-$ ILs, the reaction rate is controlled by diffusion processes and not by the surface chemical reaction. However, the study of Pb is of minimal interest because of the aqueous insolubility of PbSO_4 , with little to no recovery recorded for the $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$ and $[\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]^-$ ILs tested (Chen et al., 2015c). Quantitative Cu extraction from waste PCBs using $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]$ was also achieved under the leach conditions: 1 g PCB powder (particle size of 0.1–0.25 mm), 25 mL 80% (v/v) $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]$, 10 mL 30 wt.% H_2O_2 , solid: liquid ratio of 1:25, 70°C and 2 hours. Kinetic analysis of the leaching process determined that this was diffusion controlled with an activation energy of 25.4 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (Huang et al., 2014). None of these cited studies investigated the concomitant leaching of other elements or the recovery of Cu once in solution despite the interesting work of Zhang and Hua, which extensively studied the deposition of Zn (Zhang & Hua, 2009; Zhang et al., 2009a; Zhang & Hua, 2011; Zhang & Hua, 2012) and Cu (Zhang et al., 2009b) from aqueous sulphate solutions with $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]$ or $[\text{N}_{4444}][\text{HSO}_4]$ (Zhang et al., 2015) as additives. Both ILs were found to inhibit electro-reduction, leading to finer and more levelled deposits. In contrast, dissolved ZnO in $[\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]$ was recovered by electro-deposition, with high current efficiencies both in neat and aqueous IL solutions. FTIR and Raman spectroscopy indicated that Zn(II) is stabilised by 1-methylimidazole rather than the IL anion (Liu et al., 2015).

Many of the species of interest are present in their elemental form and must be oxidised prior to solubilisation. The solubility of oxygen in ILs is of crucial interest as it may determine the leaching rate and efficiency. The solubility of oxygen in neat imidazolium-based IL with $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$ anion is similar or even superior to that in water, decreases with increasing temperature, and is anion dependent. Larger anion-cation pairings leave larger ‘holes’ appropriate for oxygen residency compared to more compact ion-pairings. In addition, dipolar interactions between oxygen and the IL anion, influenced by the shape and surface charge density on the anion, are also likely to result in increased oxygen solubility (Whitehead et al., 2007). Common oxidants used with $[\text{C}_n\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]$ include hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), potassium peroxydisulfate (KHSO_5) and Fe(III) . Iron(III) is a mild oxidant and unlikely to affect the stability of the IL. However, none of the reported studies using $[\text{C}_n\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]$ as lixiviant have investigated the potential denaturalization of the IL after a leaching cycle in the presence of strong oxidants such as H_2O_2 . Results from previous research suggest that $[\text{C}_n\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{HSO}_4]$ is readily degraded by H_2O_2 (Domínguez et al., 2014). The length of the alkyl chain has a dramatic effect on the stability of imidazolium-based ILs to degradation by stoichiometric amounts of H_2O_2 , with greater resistance conferred by longer alkyl chains. Furthermore, ILs bearing methanesulfonate and methylsulfate anions are oxidised faster than those with chloride or acetate anions. A compromise between metal extraction and IL recovery is required under such circumstances

An innovative class of ILs containing trichloride anions (Cl_3^-) with oxidative properties capable of releasing chlorine was synthesised by bringing chlorine gas into contact with the corresponding chloride (Cl^-) ILs at room temperature (Li et al., 2018). These were prepared with a range of cations with no degradation of the latter observed. An additional benefit from the conversion of the IL anion Cl^- to Cl_3^- is the accompanying reduction in the IL viscosity due to the lower electrostatic attraction between the cation and the Cl_3^- ion. Taking $[\text{P}_{44414}]\text{Cl}_3$ as a representative IL, dissolution experiments were performed for 12 metals and 2 alloys in solutions at 25 °C containing molar ratio of metal:IL of 1:8. Whilst the dissolution time was found to greatly vary between metals tested, 8 metals commonly found in WEEE Cu, Fe, In, Zn, Ga, Au, Ge and Sb along with the alloys InAs and GaAs could be dissolved in the tested IL. EXAFS analysis revealed that these metals exist as anionic chloride complexes in the IL.

5.3. Deep eutectic solvents (DES) as leaching agents

DES are usually composed of two chemicals, typically one hydrogen bond donor (HBD) and one hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA), exhibiting high melting points. Once combined in specific stoichiometric ratios, the mixture melts and forms a homogeneous liquid phase. The DES under consideration in this review are those based on at least one IL homologues, namely a quaternary ammonium salt, choline chloride ($[\text{N}_{111}(\text{2OH})]\text{Cl}$) being the most common, and a HBD such as urea,

carboxylic acids or polyols (Smith et al., 2014). DES exhibit unusual solvent properties that are dependent on the selection of the HBD and HBA and their respective molar ratio. The considerable attraction of DES stems from low synthesis cost, low environmental toxicity and solubility for a wide range of metal oxides (Abbott et al., 2002; Abbott et al., 2004).

Metal oxide solubility in DES is influenced by the extent of H-bonding interactions, with compounds able to donate or accept electrons or protons to form H-bonds displaying high solubility (Abbott et al., 2002). The solubility of 17 metal oxides in the elemental mass series Ti through to Zn was reported, with [N₁₁₁(2OH)]Cl:malonic acid displaying the greatest solubility for the tested elements after HCl (Abbott et al., 2006). Most ionic transition metal oxides are soluble in DES whilst covalent metal oxides such as SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ are insoluble in all tested DES to date. Judicious selection of an appropriate HBD allows for the selective leaching of certain elements from a mixed oxide matrix. For example, Fe₃O₄ is soluble and CuO insoluble in [N₁₁₁(2OH)]Cl:oxalic acid eutectic whilst the opposite behaviour is observed when the HBD is changed to phenylpropionic acid (Abbott et al., 2004). Furthermore, compounds that are known to form DES with choline chloride were successfully used for the leaching of Mn, Li, Co, and Ni using either L-tartaric acid (He et al., 2017) and lactic acid (Li et al., 2017) highlighting the untapped potential of DES as leaching agents. In addition to suitability for metal oxide leaching, a growing number of studies employ DES as electrolytes for metal electro-deposition, allowing for the development of a ‘one pot’ solvatometallurgical process. A large number of metals including Zn, Ni, Cu, Co, Sn, Se, In, Sm, Pd, Fe, Ag and Pb were successfully deposited from DES (Abbott et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016).

The establishment of a comparable electrochemical series for 17 redox couples referenced to the Fe(CN)₆^{3-/4-} couple in the DES [N₁₁₁(2OH)]Cl:urea and [N₁₁₁(2OH)]Cl:EG shows that some redox potentials shift considerably in DESs compared to aqueous solution, particularly the oxophilic p-block elements such as Ga and Sb, Cu and the chlorophilic late transition elements including Ag, Pd and Au. The high chloride concentration in DES electrolytes acts as an environmentally benign stabilising ligand used to adjust metal speciation, thereby controlling solubility and deposition properties, making DES excellent separation and recovery media (Abbott et al., 2011b). The determination of the electrochemical series served as the basis for the recovery of value from synthetic wastes matrices and ores (Abbott et al., 2015; Jenkin et al., 2016). Iodine was selected as the electrocatalyst due to its fast electron transfer, reversibility, high rates of mass transport, high solubility in DES, and environmental compatibility in reduced form (Abbott et al., 2011b; Abbott et al., 2015). The redox potential of I₂/I⁻ in a [N₁₁₁(2OH)]Cl:EG DES was found to be more positive than that of most common metals. The developed system depicted in Figure 7 could oxidize Cu and Zn from 1:1 Cu-Zn powders, As and Ga from crushed Ga-As wafer and Au and Ag from gold-bearing ores (Abbott et al., 2015; Jenkin et al., 2016).




Figure 7. Schematic diagram of the separation and recovery of two elements, A and B, using iodine as an electrocatalytic oxidation agent in $[N_{111}(20H)]Cl:EG$. Reproduced from Abbott et al. (2015) with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

6. ILs in solvent extraction processing

Solvent extraction (or liquid-liquid extraction) is the favored option for metal separation and involves the preferential two-phase distribution of a solute between mutually immiscible liquid phases, usually consisting of an aqueous acidic solution and an aliphatic organic solvent. The ILs used in solvent extraction must be water immiscible to promote recovery of the metal and prevent the loss of the IL to the aqueous phase. Metal extraction from the aqueous phase results from the formation of hydrophobic M^{n+} -ligand complexes and their migration to the hydrophobic phase (Fray, 2000; Rydberg et al., 2004). A schematic of the typical process steps in the recovery of metals from leach solutions using solvent extraction is presented in Figure 8.




Figure 8. Schematic of the typical process steps in the recovery of metals from leach solutions using solvent extraction.

This section is divided according to the application of the IL-based solvent, with a division being made between traditional solvent extraction processes and more recent advances. First, the use of undiluted IL acting as both the extractant and hydrophobic phase in traditional solvent extraction is presented. Secondly, the application of IL as hydrophobic diluting phase in conjunction with neutral and acidic extractant is discussed. Finally, the application of TSIL in solvent extraction for the recovery of metals from WEEE is addressed. Following this review of more ‘traditional’ solvent extraction processes, the use of IL-based aqueous biphasic system applied to WEEE valorisation is discussed as well as the developing field of hydrophobic DES and triphasic IL-IL systems for the simultaneous separation of different metal groups. Because of the large volume of publications on the topic, the discussion will be limited to a selected number of extraction systems directly addressing the recovery of metal from WEEE or the separation of critical metal groups relevant to recycling of WEEE. The major studies involving the use of ILs in solvent extraction processes for the recovery of metals from WEEE are summarised in Table 5.

Table 5. Reported IL used in solvent extraction processes for the recovery of metals from WEEE and ores (NMHB – nickel metal hydride battery, FLP – fluorescent lamp phosphor).

Waste substrate	Elements recovered	Extractant	Diluent	Reference
Synthetic NMHB leach solution	Co, Ni, Fe, Mn, Zn, K, Mg, REE	(1) [P ₆₆₆₁₄]Cl (2) [N ₁₈₈₈]Cl (3) Cyanex 923 (4) [N ₁₈₈₈][SCN]	(3) [N ₁₈₈₈][NO ₃] (4) [N ₁₈₈₈]Cl	Larsson & Binnemans (2014)
Synthetic NMHB leach solution	Co, Ni, Fe, Mn, Zn, REE	Cyanex 923	[N ₁₈₈₈][NO ₃]	Larson & Binnemans (2015a)
Synthetic NdFeB and SmCo magnet leach solution	Nd, Sm	[(C _n COOH)C ₁ im][NTf ₂]		Chen et al. (2015d)
NdFeB magnet	Pr, Nd, Dy	TBP	[N ₁₈₈₈][NO ₃]	Kikuchi et al. (2014)
NdFeB magnet	Nd, Dy, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn		[P ₆₆₆₁₄]Cl	Vander Hoogerstraete et al. (2014)
NdFeB magnet	Nd, Sm, Fe, Co		[P ₆₆₆₁₄][NO ₃]	Riaño & Binnemans (2015)
NdFeB magnet	Nd, Sm, Pr, Gd, Fe, Co, B	(1) [N ₁₈₈₈][SCN] (2) Cyanex 923	Toluene Toluene	Riaño et al. (2017)

Simulated FLP solution	REE	[N ₁₈₈₈][PC-88A] & [N ₁₈₈₈][DEHPA]		Yang et al. (2012)
FLP	La, Ce, Eu, Gd, Tb, Y	DODGAA	[C ₄ C ₁ im][NTf ₂]	Yang et al. (2013)
Commercial HALO phosphor	Sb, Ca	[N ₁₈₈₈]Cl		Dupont & Binnemans (2016)
Bastnäs site leach solution	Ce, F	DEHEHP	[C ₈ C ₁ im][PF ₆]	Zuo et al. (2009)
Bastnäs site and monazite	La, Ce, Nd, Th, Ca, Mn, Fe, Mg	[N ₄₄₄₄][DEHPA]	[EtNH ₃][NO ₃] / [C ₂ C ₁ im][SCN] / [C ₄ C ₁ im][BF ₄].	Azizi & Larachi (2018)
Salt Lake brine	Li, Mg	TBP	[C ₄ C ₁ im][NTf ₂]	Shi et al. (2016)
Bauxite residue	Sc, Fe, Ti, Si, Ca, Al	[N _{111(2(O)OH)] [NTf₂]}		Onghena et al. (2017a)

6.1. Undiluted ILs

Recent research on the application of ILs in solvent extraction has focused on simple IL extraction systems without added complexing ligand. Hydrophobic ILs based on [NTf₂]⁻ and cation imidazolium, pyrrolidinium or pyridinium have been shown to extract aqueous metal cations without the need for additional ligands (Kozonoi & Ikeda, 2007). Regarding metals found in WEEE, these ILs were found to be efficient at extracting precious metals such as Au(III), Pt(IV) or Ir(IV) from acidic aqueous solutions containing large amounts of HCl (Génand-Pinaz et al., 2013; Papaiconomou et al., 2012 & 2014; Yang et al., 2014; Tong et al., 2015; Kubota et al., 2017). Furthermore, separation of Au(III) from Pt(IV) and from Pt(IV) and Pd(II) is achieved using [C₄C₁im][NTf₂] and [C₈C₁im][NTf₂], respectively (Papaiconomou et al., 2012; 2014).

Linear quaternary ammonium ILs and quaternary phosphonium ILs have also been extensively studied and used in complete recycling processes of WEEE. ILs based on these cations and a wide variety of anions including chloride, bromide, dicyanamide (Svecova 2015, Svecova 2016), nitrate, dioctyl diglycolamate (Rout & Binnemans, 2014a), *N,N,N',N'*-tetra(2-ethylhexyl)malonate, *N,N,N',N'*-tetra(2-ethylhexyl)malonamide (Rout & Binnemans, 2014b) or oleate (Parmentier et al., 2015a) were studied. The hydrophobic fatty acid based IL tetraoctylphosphonium oleate ([P₈₈₈₈][C₁₇COO]) displays a high affinity for first row transition metals in chloride media (Parmentier et al., 2015a) and was employed in a continuous selective metal extraction process for the recovery of cobalt from two mixed salt solutions (Co/Na, Ca/Co/K) (Parmentier et al., 2015b). The ammonium alternative to

[P₈₈₈₈][C₁₇COO], tetraoctylammonium oleate ([N₈₈₈₈][C₁₇COO]), was used in the solvent extraction of Co(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) with success. Comparison of Co(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) extraction with the IL as well as the IL precursors (sodium oleate and tetraoctylammonium chloride) indicates that Co(II) and Ni(II) at low chloride concentration are extracted via the IL anion whilst Zn(II) can be extracted both via the oleate anion and via the tetraoctylammonium cation of the IL. Slope analysis and EXAFS measurements reveal that Ni(II) and Co(II) are extracted via the formation of [N₈₈₈₈⁺][M(C₁₇COO)₃⁻] complexes with M being Co(II) or Ni(II). In contrast, Zn(II) was extracted via a different extraction mechanism, in which the Zn(II) ion was extracted by two IL moieties as [N₈₈₈₈⁺]₂[MCl₂(C₁₇COO)₂²⁻] (Parmentier et al., 2016). This example highlights the need to determine the dominant extraction mechanisms as this can shed light on the higher distribution ratio for one metal compared to another. Other interesting phosphonium- and ammonium-based ILs for the extraction of transition metals include those containing a thiosalicylate or thiocyanate anion (Leyma et al., 2016; Rout & Binnemans, 2016). The latter was used for the separation of transition metals from rare earth elements, a separation relevant for the recycling of permanent magnets, nickel metal hydride batteries and lamp phosphors. The extraction of metal ions from a chloride or nitrate solution with a thiocyanate IL is an example of “split-anion extraction”, where different anions are present in the aqueous and IL phase. As per the Hofmeister series, the [SCN]⁻ prefers the IL phase compared to the Cl⁻ and [NO₃]⁻ ions and does not exchange to the aqueous phase, allowing it to preferentially coordinate the 3d transition metals.

Trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium chloride ([P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl) is a commercially available, non-fluorinated IL consisting of an organophosphorous-based cation with a chloride anion - *cf.* Figure 5. Due to the four long alkyl chains, [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl has no reported surfactant properties, in contrast to some of the shorter alkyl chain quaternary phosphonium salts alternatives, thereby enhancing phase separation (Bradaric et al., 2003). [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl functions by an anion exchange mechanism; and it can be used undiluted, eliminating the need for molecular solvents. The extraction experiments have primarily been conducted from aqueous chloride medium. [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl IL shows great promise for the extraction of metal ions and has been studied for the extraction of Zn(II), Fe(II/III), Co(II) as well as REE(III), Au(III), Hg(II), Pd(II), Pt(IV), Bi(III), Re(IV) and U(IV). For example [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl, or [P₆₆₆₁₄]Br, extract almost quantitatively Pd(II) and Pt(IV) from aqueous solutions of HCl (Papaiconomou et al., 2015; Kubota et al., 2017). Distribution coefficients as high as 200 for Rh(III) are obtained in aqueous solution containing 1 mol.L⁻¹ HCl, while Rh(III) remains preferentially in the aqueous phases when the concentration of HCl exceeds 6 mol.L⁻¹ (Svecoca et al., 2016). Aliquat 336 ([N₁₈₈₈]Cl) is a well-established quaternary ammonium extractant capable of extracting a range of metal ions through anion exchange or formation of a neutral extraction complex (Černá et al., 1992).

[P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl and its nitrate derivative trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium nitrate were employed in separations relevant to the recycling of rare earths from permanent magnets and Co from Ni metal hydride batteries. Wellens et al. (2012) demonstrated that Co(II) could be selectively separated from

Ni(II), Ca(II) and Mg(II) in 8 M HCl aqueous chloride concentration using [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl with a separation factor of ≥ 104 . Co was extracted as the tetrahedral tetrachlorocobaltate complex, [CoCl₄]²⁻. Co(II) was separated from the co-extracted Mn(II) by four stripping cycles with water. [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl was found to be the best option as the IL phase compared to [N₁₈₈₈]Cl, [P₈₈₈₈]Br, [P₆₆₆₁₄]Br and [P₄₄₄₁₄]Cl as it is a compromise between commercial availability, separation characteristics and ease to handle the IL. This process has been up-scaled to provide a proof-of-principle for the continuous IL separation process of Co(II) from Ni(II) (Wellens et al., 2013). A similarly high Co/Ni separation ratio was achieved using [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl from sulphate media with the extraction proceeding via a split-anion mechanism. Co(II) present in the IL phase was stripped using water and the IL regenerated by contacting with CaCl₂ (Onghena et al., 2017b).

[P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl was also employed for the removal of Co(II) and Fe(III) from Sm(III) and Nd(III) respectively in chloride solutions (Vander Hoogerstraete et al., 2013). Separation factors of 5.0×10^6 and 8.0×10^5 were obtained for Nd/Fe and Sm/Co respectively at HCl concentrations of 8.5-9 M. The REE(III) remain in the aqueous phase while Co(II) and Fe(III) are extracted as anionic tetrachloro-complexes in the IL. The distribution of Co(II), Mn(II) and Fe(III) to the IL increased with increasing HCl concentration until 8.5-9 M while Zn(II) and Cu(II) decrease with increasing HCl concentrations. However, Al(III), Cr(III), Ni(II), Mg(II), and Ca(II) could not be separated from REEs. After extraction, Co was easily stripped from the IL with water although Fe could only be stripped by forming a water-soluble iron complex with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Using the IL trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium nitrate, prepared from [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl, REEs were separated from Co(II) and Ni(II) (Vander Hoogerstraete & Binnemans, 2014). The separation of Sm(III) from Co(II) and La(III) from Ni(II) were studied. Extraction of the REEs is facilitated by an inner salting-out effect of a highly concentrated metal nitrate aqueous phase. After a scrubbing step using 7.5 M of NH₄NO₃, the purity of REEs in the loaded IL phase was 99.9%. Complete stripping and regeneration of the IL was achieved using pure water. Extraction of REE by [P₆₆₆₁₄][NO₃] from nitrate solution occurs by formation of a neutral complex Ln(NO₃)_{3+n}(P₆₆₆₁₄)_n, while La and Sm exist as the anionic [La(NO₃)₆]³⁻ and [Sm(NO₃)₆]³⁻ complexes in the IL. The high negative charge of the extracted complexes and the resulting electrostatic interactions have a pronounced effect on the viscosity of the IL phase.

[P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl was used for the recovery of REEs from waste NdFeB permanent magnets in a seven-step process (Vander Hoogerstraete et al., 2014). This included (1) crushing and milling the magnet into coarse powder, (2) roasting to transform the metals into the corresponding oxides, (3) selective leaching of the REEs with HCl leaving Fe in the solid residue by raising the pH of the leach solution above 2, (4) extracting Co(II), Cu(II) and Mn(II) into [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl 101 leaving the REEs in the aqueous phase, (5) precipitating the REEs by the addition of oxalic acid, (6) recovering the precipitate by filtration and (7) calcining the oxalate precipitate to yield rare-earth oxides. Roasting the waste magnet prior to dissolution was found to facilitate dissolution and reduces the HCl consumption, with ≥ 90 wt.% of

Nd₂O₃ and Dy₂O₃ extracted compared to ≤ 25 wt.% of Fe₂O₃. A 3.5 M NH₄Cl was added to the leachate as a salting-out agent to prevent the extraction of the REEs into the IL. An alternative process for the extraction and separation of RE and other valuable elements from used NdFeB permanent magnets was proposed by Riaño & Binnemans (2015) using [P₆₆₆₁₄][NO₃]. After roasting, the used magnets were dissolved in HNO₃. At the end of the leaching process, the solution pH was raised to 4 to remove Fe by precipitation. The remaining Nd(III) and Sm(III) were separated from Co(II) using [P₆₆₆₁₄][NO₃]. Evaluation of the extraction parameters indicated that pH had little influence on the process selectivity, Co(II) extraction was suppressed at high metal loading concentrations and addition of NH₄NO₃ salted in the REEs. After scrubbing Co(II) using NH₄NO₃, the loaded Nd(III) and Sm(III) were separated by stripping the IL phase using an EDTA and 10 M NH₄NO₃ solution. The preferential interaction of EDTA with Dy allowed for extraction to the aqueous phase whilst a high NH₄NO₃ concentration suppresses stripping of Nd. At 70 °C, 0.03 M EDTA and 10 M NH₄NO₃, 79.8% and 4.0% of Dy and Nd were stripped. Addition of concentrated HNO₃ to the stripping solution dissociated the weaker [Nd(EDTA)]⁻ complex and facilitated its back extraction into the IL yielding a final Dy solution. All elements were precipitated as oxalate salts and calcined. Although this process is complicated due to the many steps involved, over 99% of Co, Nd and Dy are recovered as oxide with >99.5 % purity.

Larsson and Binnemans (2014) attempted the selective recovery of metals from a synthetic nickel metal hydride battery leach solution using four IL systems: [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl, [N₁₈₈₈]Cl, 10% v/v Cyanex 923 (neutral extractant) in [N₁₈₈₈][NO₃] and 30% v/v [N₁₈₈₈][SCN] in [N₁₈₈₈]Cl. A simplified process flowsheet is presented in Figure 9. This process clearly shows the potential of ILs to recover metals from complex aqueous solutions using careful selection of the ILs and understanding their influence on the metal extracted complex (chloride vs. nitrate, etc).

La, Ce, Nd, Pr, Y, Co, Ni, Zn, Mn, Fe, Mg, K

Dismantling + Grinding

NaOH

4-6 M HCl

pH = 4

Fe(OH)_3

REE, Co, Ni, Zn, Mn, Mg, K

$[\text{N}_{1888}]\text{Cl}$

$[\text{N}_{1888}]\text{Cl}-(\text{Co/Zn/Mn/Fe})$

$\text{H}_2\text{O} / \text{HCl}$

$[\text{N}_{1888}]\text{Cl}-(\text{Zn/Fe})$

NH_3

Fe(III), Zn(II)

REE, Ni, Mg, K

$[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{NO}_3]$

$[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{NO}_3]-\text{REE}$

HNO_3

$[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{NO}_3]$

Ni(II), Mg(II)

REE(III)

Co, Mn

$[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{SCN}]$

$[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{SCN}]-\text{Co}$

NH_3

$[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{SCN}]$

Co(II)

Mn(II)

806
807
808
809

810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824

825
826
827
828
829

as the anionic tetrachloroantimonate(III) complex (SbCl_4^-) using $[\text{N}_{1888}]\text{Cl}$ by an anion exchange mechanism. Quantitative extraction of Sb(III) was achieved from the leach solution containing Sr(II), Ca(II) and Mn(II) even in the absence of addition chloride ions. Mn(II) was only extracted at very high chloride concentrations ($\geq 4 \text{ M NaCl}$), whilst Sr(II) and Ca(II) do not form anionic chloro-complexes under the tested experimental conditions and were therefore not extracted. The loaded IL phases was scrubbed with 1 M NaCl prior to Sb(III) stripping using a NaOH solution at pH 7. The residual Ca in the leach solution was precipitated by addition of NaOH to yield a valuable calcium phosphate apatite precipitate.

Yang and co-workers (2012) used “bifunctional IL extractants” (Bif-ILEs) prepared from $[\text{N}_{1888}]\text{Cl}$ and the commercial organophosphorus acid extractants, di-(2-ethylhexyl)phosphoric acid (DEHPA) and di(2-ethylhexyl)orthophosphinate (PC-88A), to extract REEs from a simulated solution of a fluorescent powder containing a high concentration of $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$. $[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{DEHPA}]$ and $[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{P507}]$ possess binary functional groups, one each on the cation and anion, through which complexation can occur. The extraction performance of the synthesized $[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{DEHPA}]$ and $[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{P507}]$ Bif-ILEs was compared to that of neutral organophosphorus extractants di(1-methylheptyl)methyl-phosphonate, TBP and Cyanex 923 in *n*-heptane. It was found that the sequence of extractability for REE(III) is Cyanex923 $> [\text{N}_{1888}][\text{DEHPA}] = [\text{N}_{1888}][\text{PC-88A}] > \text{di(1-methylheptyl)methyl-phosphonate} > \text{TBP}$. The extraction using $[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{DEHPA}]$ and $[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{PC-88A}]$ was thought to occur through the unshared electron pair of $\text{P}=\text{O}$ in the $[\text{PC-88A}]^-$ or $[\text{DEHPA}]^-$ groups and the N lone electron pair of the $[\text{N}_{1888}]^+$ group to form macromolecular extracted complexes (Figure 10).




Figure 10. The proposed structure of $[\text{N}_{1888}][\text{DEHPA}]$ and its coordination environment with Eu(III) (Sun et al., 2010).

The coexisting Al_2O_3 in the fluorescent powder was changed to a salting-out agent ($\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$) in the extraction process and this increased the extraction efficiency of REEs. Using a counter-current extraction process at a 4:1 organic to aqueous phase ratio and $\text{pH} = 0.56$, the REE recovery of 95.2 wt.% was achieved in stages 5-7. However, the use of Bif-ILEs had some drawbacks, namely the addition of 10% isopropanol modifier to prevent the formation of a third-phase on extraction and the

important viscosity of the IL phase after extraction (Yang et al., 2012). Another study using the same Bif-ILEs systems found that $[N_{1888}][PC-88A]$ and $[N_{1888}][P204]$ were suitable for the separation of heavy REEs in nitrate medium and the separation of light REEs in chloride medium (Guo et al., 2014). EXAFS studies attributed the synergistic effect of bifunctional IL extractant $[N_{1888}][DEHPA]$ for REE extraction to the greater stability and hydrophobicity of the metal complex formed. In addition, extraction was shown to proceed via co-extraction of the aqueous phase counter anion to produce a neutral complex in the IL phase (Sun et al., 2010).

6.2. Neutral extractants

The use of neutral extractant in conjunction with an IL diluent system for the recovery of aqueous metal complexes is the best studied extraction phenomenon involving ILs (Dai et al., 1999). Extraction using neutral extractant proceeds via an ion-exchange mechanism whereby to compensate for incoming positively charged metal cation into the IL, an IL component is released to the aqueous phase to maintain charge neutrality. This was reported for a wide range of neutral ligands including crown ethers (Dietz & Dzielawa, 2001; Jensen et al., 2002; Dietz et al., 2003; Jensen et al., 2003; Dietz & Stepinski, 2005; Garvey et al., 2012; Hawkins et al., 2012), phosphine oxide ligands (Kubota et al., 2009; Petrova, 2016), phosphate ligands (Billard et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2015), diglycolamide ligands (Shimojo et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2011), calixarene ligands (Luo et al., 2004) and others (Figure 5).

Systematic studies on the extraction of alkaline and alkali earth cation with DCH18C6 crown ether extractant in $[C_nC_{1im}][NTf_2]$ show that the predominant extraction mechanisms, ion-exchange or neutral complex extraction, depend on the hydrophobicity of the IL cation, the Lewis acidity of the metal ion and the aqueous phase nitrate anion concentration (Hawkins et al., 2012; Wankowski & Dietz, 2016). Jensen et al. (2002) reported that for such systems, the cation exchange extraction mechanism is not driven by the strength of metal-extractant complexation but by the energetically favourable solvation energy obtained from the release of the IL cation to the aqueous phase. Although the IL components can be recovered from the aqueous solution by 'salting-in', this adds an extra step to the recovery process and decreases its economic viability. The toxicity of low concentrations of the cation in waste water must also be considered. Increasing the cationic alkyl chain or incorporating fluorinated groups does not fully prevent IL loss (Dietz & Stepinski, 2005) but increases the toxicity of the released IL ion (Pham et al., 2010). In addition, the stripping of loaded neutral extractants in IL is problematic and requires aggressive stripping solutions (Regel-Rosocka & Wisniewski). These factors have limited the application of IL containing neutral extractants for the recovery of value from aqueous streams.

One system, the neutral extractant Cyanex 923 dissolved in $[N_{1888}][NO_3]$, has been applied to recover of metals (Co, Ni, Fe, Mn, Zn, REE) from a synthetic Ni metal hydride battery leach solution (Larson & Binnemans, 2015a). Cyanex 923 is a mixture of trialkylphosphine oxides with octyl and hexyl side

groups. Addition of 15 % Cyanex 923 (v/v) in $[N_{1888}][NO_3]$ increased the distribution ratio of the test metals and allowed higher extraction efficiencies and Co-Ni separation factors compared to direct application of $[N_{1888}][NO_3]$ alone. Over 98 wt.% of extracted Ni was scrubbed using a $MgCl_2$ solution prior to stripping Co and Mn from the IL phase using a 3M $NaNO_3$ solution. In further stripping steps, REE were removed using a 1 M HCl strip before the remaining Fe and Zn were stripped using a 1 M HNO_3 solution. The separation of Co from Mn in the strip solution using $[N_{1888}][SCN]$ dissolved in $[N_{1888}][NO_3]$ (40% v/v) was also investigated. This research represents an example of “split-anion extraction”, whereby the aqueous and organic phases possess different anions, allowing the use of a complexing anion in the organic phase that forms an extractable metal complex. This removes the necessity to add a significant concentration of complexing anion in the aqueous phase and facilitates metal stripping (Larson & Binnemans, 2015b). Similarly, Kikuchi and co-workers (2014) extracted REE derived from NdFeB magnets from aqueous nitrate solution using TBP diluted in $[N_{1888}][NO_3]$. Aqueous nitrate added as $NaNO_3$, was found to salt-in the REE and increase the distribution of the latter to the IL phase. The presence of Fe(III) in solution has a negative effect on the overall REE extraction efficiency and selectivity. After removal of Fe from the system, the Nd(III) concentration in the IL phase reached 160 g.L⁻¹ after three loading cycles (Kikuchi et al., 2014).

The IL 1,3-dihexylimidazolium nitrate $[C_6C_6im][NO_3]$ was shown to preferentially extract light rare earth elements over 1st row transition metals and this was applied with moderate success to the separation of Sm(III)/Co(II) and La(III)/Ni(II) pairs from nitrate media, a separation relevant to the recycle of these metals from WEEE. Due to the high co-extraction of Co (30%) and to a lesser extent Ni (15%), successive scrubbing steps using 6M $NaNO_3$ solution were required. Interestingly, $[C_6C_6im][NO_3]$ presents an UCST phase behaviour, with the IL being fully miscible with water at temperature above 90 °C (Depuydt et al., 2017).

6.3. Acidic extractant

Acidic extractants are Brønsted acidic molecules containing a protonated functional group such as an alkylcarboxylic acid, alkylphosphoric acid or alkylsulfuric acid group. Coordination of an acidic extractant with a metal cation usually proceeds via deprotonation of the ligand and formation of a charge neutral complex. The pK_a of the extractant dictates the ability to deprotonate, thereby influencing the extraction behaviour. By altering the pH of the aqueous solution, selectivity of metal ion extraction can be controlled. In comparison to neutral extractants, extraction behaviour using acidic extractant is easier to predict and back-extraction of the loaded organic phase can be achieved by contacting with an acidic solution and regenerating the extractant (Cocalia et al., 2005; Free, 2013). The full potential of ILs to solvate charged species is properly utilised if neutral complexes are extracted. Acidic extractants commonly used in conjunction with ILs are shown in Figure 5.

Although widely tested on synthetic aqueous solutions, few studies have used acidic extractants diluted in ILs for the recovery of metals from WEEE. The only example consists of the recovery of lanthanides present in waste fluorescent lamps phosphor using *N,N*-dioctyldiglycolamic acid (DODGAA) in [C₄C₁im][NTf₂] (Yang et al., 2013). DODGAA, is an anionic extractant possessing a carboxyl and carbamoyl group linked together by an ether chain reported to exhibit high selectivity for REEs, especially the lighter lanthanides (La(III) to Gd(III)) (Shimojo et al, 2014). In a 1st processing step, Y and Eu oxides were leached using a 5 M H₂SO₄ solution at 100 °C. The solid residue was leached a second time using 5 M HNO₃ solution for 6 hours at 100 °C to recover the difficult to extract RE phosphate (La, Ce and Tb). The extraction efficiency of DODGAA in [C₄C₁im][NTf₂] was compared to that of the carboxylic extractant PC-88A in dodecane for the recovery of the REE present in both leach solutions. DODGAA in [C₄C₁im][NTf₂] provided greater selectivity and extraction efficiencies for the REEs than PC-88A at similar pH. Y, Eu, La and Ce were separated from the metal impurities Fe, Al and Zn, although some Ca was co-extracted. Stripping was easily achieved using a dilute acidic solution, and UV spectroscopy of the aqueous phase confirmed minimal loss of the IL cation. The extractant-IL system was recycled and reused five times with no appreciable loss in efficiency (Yang et al., 2013). DODGAA has been shown by other researchers to extract REEs by deprotonation of three ligands and the formation of a charge neutral complex (Shimojo et al, 2014).

Non-task-specific protic ILs can also on occasion extract metals without the need for additional complexing agents. Reyna-González and co-workers (2010; 2012) studied the extraction Cu(II) from an aqueous phase to the protic ILs 2-butylthiolonium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)amide ([C₄S)C₁im][NTf₂]) and 3-butylpyridinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide ([3-C₄pyr][NTf₂]). During the extraction process the H atom attached to the N atom of the cationic ring is released to yield neutral amine capable of interacting with Cu(II) and water molecules to form a labile copper(II) system. The presence of water in the IL is important to promote incorporation of hydrated Cu(II) complexes. Due to the release of protons to the aqueous phase as well as the hydrophobicity of the cation, release of [3-C₄pyr][NTf₂] to the aqueous phase was not recorded during extraction (Reyna-González et al., 2012). High selectivity of Cu(II) compared to Co(II), Ni(II), and Fe(II) was achieved using [(C₄S)C₁mim][NTf₂] (Reyna-González et al., 2010).

6.4. Functionalized ILs

Functionalized ILs, or TSILs, represent ILs incorporating a functional group on their anionic or cationic components that acts as metal complexation site, allowing ILs to function as a solvent and complexing agent. The cation is most often the functionalized moiety due to easier synthesis, which simply requires a quaternization reaction between a ‘functionalised’ alkyl halide and a nucleophile (tertiary amine, phosphine, etc.). The structure of the TSILs in this section are shown in Figure 11.

TSILs with functionalized anions most often include strongly coordinating species such as alkylphosphate (Rout et al., 2013), alkyldiglycolamate (Rout et al., 2012), β -diketonate (Mehdi et al., 2010), salicylate, thiosalicylate or benzoate (Stojanovic et al., 2010) anions. TSILs are covered in greater detail in the reviews by Lee (2006) and Chiappe and Silvio (2014).




Figure 11. Selected examples of TSILs used in metal ion extraction.

The use of ILs as a simultaneous solvent and extraction media limits the ion-exchange extraction mechanism and prevents the unwanted partitioning of the IL components or extractant to the aqueous phase compared to extraction systems where the IL is solely used as a diluent. However, this is only valid for ILs with functionalized cations, as extraction with some IL containing functionalised anions proceeds on occasion via cation-exchange (Medhi et al., 2010). The principal factors limiting the applicability of TSILs are their high viscosity, cost, complicated synthesis and unknown toxicity compared to conventional ILs (Visser et al., 2001; 2002). In addition, further understanding of the extraction mechanisms and characterisation of the extracted complex are required, with crystal structure studies being a popular analysis method for TSIL-metal complexes (Harjani et al., 2006; Mehdi et al., 2010; Nockemann et al., 2010a; Nockemann et al., 2010b).

TSILs incorporating a ‘hard’ base oxygen derived functional group such as a carboxylate, ketone or phosphonate are used in metal extraction and separation processes. TSILs have been used to recover REE(III) from fluorescent lamps as well as REE(III) and Co(II) from waste permanent magnets. The use of $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]$ for such applications was partially described in Section 5.1. In addition, $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]$ was employed for the solvent extraction of scandium. A primary study showed that Sc(III) and Fe(III) could be selectively separated from La(III), Ce(III), Nd(III), Dy(III), Y(III), Al(III), Ti(IV), Ca(II) and Na(I) in chloride solutions using $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]$ as the IL displays a poor affinity towards these elements (Onghena & Binnemans, 2015). The findings from this study were subsequently applied by the same authors to the recovery of scandium from ‘sulfation-roasted’ leachates of bauxite residue (Onghena et al., 2017a). The distribution ratio of Sc(III) found in this study was much lower

than those reported from chloride media (Onghena & Binnemans, 2015). This was assigned to the high sulphate concentration in the leachate and the competing coordination between the sulphate and betaine ligands to Sc(III). As expected, the extraction of Sc(III) by $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]$ is heavily pH dependent. At the following extraction conditions: pH = 2.4 and O/A = 1:5, 84 wt% of Sc(III) was extracted compared to 23 wt% for Al(III), 4.3 wt.% Fe(III) and 2.6 wt.% Ca(II). The co-extracted metals were scrubbed using dilute HCl solution prior to contacting the IL phase with 3 M H_2SO_4 . The composition of the resulting strip solution is 90% Sc(III), 8% Al(III), 0.7% Fe(III) and the lanthanides with 0.5%. Finally, scandium was precipitated from the strip solution by addition of oxalic acid and calcined for a final oxide product with a purity of 98% (Onghena et al., 2017a).

Chen et al. (2015d) assessed the recovery of Nd(III) from Fe(III) and Sm(III) from Co(II) from synthetic NdFeB and SmCo permanent magnet leach solutions using 1-alkylcarboxylic acid-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide IL $[(C_nCOOH)C_{1im}][NTf_2]$ ($n = 3, 5, 7$), (Figure 11). Separation of the REE from Fe(III) and Co(II) was found to be primarily pH dependent and the extraction efficiency of all the investigated metal ions increased with increasing aqueous phase pH, reaching as high as 99%. In addition, the extraction efficiency increased with a decrease in alkyl chain length at lower pH. By careful tuning of the aqueous solution pH, Sm(III) and Nd(III) could be selectively separated from Co(II) and Fe(III) respectively, with separation factors in the range 10^4 – 10^5 . Like the carboxy-functionalised $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]$, REE extraction proceeds via de-protonation of the carboxylic group and formation of a 1:3 REE:IL charge neutral complex. The IL was easily stripped in a single step by dilute aqueous HCl or oxalic acid (Chen et al., 2015d).

Novel 1,2,3-triazolium ILs with $[SCN]^-$ or iodide anions (Figure 11) were synthesized for the extraction of transition metals and REEs from HCl medium (Raiguel et al., 2017). These ILs exhibited better properties compared to imidazolium ILs, namely low viscosity, low solubility in water and high base stability. The ILs incorporating the 3,5-diethyl-1-(2-ethylhexyl)-4-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium ([T]) cation with an iodide anion displayed a high extraction efficiency for Cu(II), moderate extraction efficiencies towards Fe(III), Co(II), Ni(II) and La(III), Nd(III) and Dy(III). After substitution of the iodide anion for thiocyanate, close to quantitative extraction is obtained for the transition metals and low to medium extraction of the REE(III). EXAFS analysis of Cu(II) speciation in $[T][I]$ after loading with $CuCl_2$ indicates its presence as the $[CuCl_2]^-$. Upon extraction, the redox-active Cu(II) is reduced to Cu(I) whilst I^- is oxidized to I_2 which in turn reacts with remaining I^- to form I_3^- . The high base stability of $[T][I]$ was used in the separation of Cu(II), Zn(II) and Fe(III). Addition of Na_2CO_3 precipitated 98% of Zn(II) and Fe(III), leaving Cu(II) in the IL.

6.5. Aqueous biphasic systems for metal extraction

An alternative to traditional solvent extraction for the extraction metals is the use of ILs in aqueous biphasic system (ABS). ABS are mainly composed of water, and can be formed, when combining in the right proportions, an inorganic salt and a hydrophilic IL, which creates two immiscible – but reversible – aqueous phases (a salt-rich and an IL-rich phases). Since such systems are obtained with hydrophilic ILs, the range of potential compounds that can be explored for the extraction is significantly enlarged and unlocks the full potential of ILs as designer solvents. As the IL-rich phase consists of an aqueous solution of IL, a much lower amount of IL is required, thus reducing the overall process cost. In addition, the environmental impact of the use of ILs is diminished as less amounts are used and hydrophilic ILs are significantly less toxic and more biodegradable than their hydrophobic counterparts. Finally, ABS overcome the high viscosity of many ILs due to the presence of water thereby increasing the reaction kinetics and transport phenomena. The extraction of metals using polyethylene glycol-based ABS is well established (Rogers et al., 1993). Aqueous solutions of ILs and their combination with ABS have been extensively used with success to extract and purify biomolecules from biomass (Freire et al., 2012). Despite this, there are few reports on the use of IL-based ABS for the extraction of metals. One of the main limitations of ABS for metal extraction is the difficult handling of metal ions with high sensitivity to hydrolysis and/or precipitation as most reported ABS experiments were carried out using slightly acidic or alkaline solutions, with pH typically ranging from 5 to 13. A full review of metal extraction using ABS is available elsewhere (Billard, 2016).

An important development in the applicability of ABS extraction to the recovery of metals from acidic WEEE leachates is the recent proof-of-concept for acidic ABS (AcABS) in which the inorganic acid itself, in this case HCl, replaces the salt as the driver of ABS formation (Gras et al., 2018). The use of the leachate solution itself as a salting-out agent allows for the simultaneous leaching and separation of critical metals, thereby simplifying the extraction and stripping processes. These systems display several advantages over other extracting solvents. Unlike classical systems based on an organic solvent and an extracting agent, the IL-based AcABS [P₄₄₄₁₄]Cl-HCl-H₂O exhibits a thermomorphic behavior with a well pronounced LCST, i.e. the biphasic mixture becomes biphasic by heating above a certain temperature for a given HCl concentration. This system was applied to the extraction of Fe(III), Pt(IV) and Co(II) from concentrated HCl solutions and to the separation of the critical transition metal pair Co(II) from Ni(II). Extraction of Co(II), Fe(III) and Pt(IV) proceeds as in the traditional two-phased systems based on [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl via anion exchange with the IL anion. An additional benefit of this AcABS is the poor miscibility of the IL in the HCl-rich phase, ensuring quantitative recovery of the IL after extraction. The traditional ABS also based on [P₄₄₄₁₄]Cl with NaCl was shown to selectively separate Co(II) and Ni(II) in chloride media (Onghena et al., 2015). [P₄₄₄₁₄]Cl exhibits a large biphasic region, with just 5 wt% NaCl capable of inducing an ABS in a solution containing 40 wt.% IL. The [P₄₄₄₁₄]Cl-NaCl-H₂O system also displays a LCST phase behavior in water.

An interesting IL-surfactant-water microemulsion system based on the IL [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl and the surfactant Triton 100 (TX100) was developed extraction and separation of Au(III), Pd(II), and Pt(IV) from acidic chloride media (Tu Nguyen et al., 2017). A number of factors were assessed including the microemulsion structure, temperature, surfactant concentration, IL concentration, extraction time, chloride concentration, and pH. Metal extraction was found to proceed through an anion exchange mechanism between the anionic chlorometallate complexes and the IL anion. A five-step methodology was elaborated for precious metal recovery consisting of (i) mixing the feed solution with the IL-based microemulsion, (ii) inducing TX100-[P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl / aqueous phase splitting by heating to 90 °C, (iii) phase separation, (iv) stripping and (v) regeneration. Following this simple protocol, 99.9% of precious metals were selectively recovered at optimized condition. A two-step stripping process was successfully performed based on stripping of Au(III) using an aqueous solution of sodium thiosulfate followed by the recovery of Pd(II) and Pt(IV) with a thiourea-HCl solution. The performance of the system after five extraction-stripping cycles was found to decrease by approximately 9% for all three metals tested.

Similarly to the results reported using the hydrophobic [P₆₆₆₁₄][NO₃] (Vander Hoogerstraete & Binnemans, 2014), Nd(III) was selectively separated from Co(II) and Ni(II) using an ABS comprised of [P₄₄₄₄][NO₃] and NaNO₃ as phase former (Chen et al., 2018) based on the ability of lanthanides to form anionic nitrate complexes. The extraction efficiency was primarily influenced by the aqueous nitrate concentration, with the Nd(III) extraction efficiency increasing from less than 15 % in aqueous solution containing no NaNO₃ to quantitative Nd(III) extraction for NaNO₃ greater than 0.3 g.L⁻¹. An increase in the NaNO₃ content of the aqueous phase also resulted in increased separation factors 10³ and above when [NaNO₃]=0.6 g.L⁻¹. In contrast, IL concentration had little effect on the extraction yield: for a 1 mL feed solution containing 1 g.L⁻¹ of Nd(NO₃)₃ quantitative extraction of Nd(III) was obtained for all IL concentration tested (0.2-0.6 g). After separation of the IL rich phase, extracted Nd(III) was recovered by contacting with an aqueous solution of sodium oxalate such that the Nd(III) to oxalate molar ratio was of 1.5. However, a sharp drop in extraction efficiency over repeated cycles was observed with only 85.4 % of extraction efficiency achieved after only three cycles. NaNO₃ was found to be a better salting out agent than NH₄NO₃ whilst [N₄₄₄₄][NO₃] has a smaller biphasic region compared to [P₄₄₄₄][NO₃], in accordance with the more hydrophobic nature of phosphonium cations over ammonium ones. As with the [P₄₄₄₁₄]Cl system previously described, a strong LCST-type temperature dependent behavior of the binodal curve was observed as the temperature was increased from 15 °C to 35 °C.

The ABS composed of the carboxyl-functionalized phosphonium IL [P_{444(C10OH)}]Cl (80 wt.%) and a 16 wt.% NaCl solution was employed as the salting-out agent for the selective extraction of Sc(III) (Depuydt et al., 2015). Whilst the viscosity of the pure IL was over 20000 cP at room temperature, the viscosity of the IL-rich phase in the employed ABS was drastically decreased to 400 cP. REEs do not form anionic chloride complexes, the extraction of Sc(III) was shown to proceed via deprotonation of three IL-cations (pK_a= 2.32) to form zwitterionic compounds that can coordinate scandium(III) ions in

a 3:1 ratio. The pH was varied from 3.33 to 0 in the aqueous feed solution containing 5 mmol kg⁻¹ of Sc and 16 wt % of NaCl to determine the influence of the pH on the solubility of the IL cation in the water-rich phase. ¹H NMR analysis of the aqueous phase indicates that the solubility of the IL cation increases with decreasing pH from approximately 5.8 wt.% at pH=6.8 to 10.5 wt.% at pH=0. The zwitterionic form of the [P₄₄₄(C₁₀OH)]⁺ is more hydrophobic than its protonated counterpart, thus, a lower solubility is observed at higher pH values since low pH values prevent deprotonation of the cation. Quantitative stripping of IL-rich phase was achieved after addition of oxalic acid at a molar ratio of 1.5.

6.6. Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents (DES)

Whilst the use of hydrophilic DES is well documented at the laboratory scale for many applications, the use of hydrophobic DES for the liquid-liquid extraction of metals is relatively recent and opens the possibility for the substitution of expensive hydrophobic ILs.

A hydrophobic DES composed of a x:1 (x=2-4) molar ratio of decanoic acid and lidocaine was investigated for the extraction of the transition and alkaline metals Co(II), Zn(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Fe(II), Na(I), Li(I) and K(I) from chloride media (Van Osch et al., 2016). The physical properties of the DES are described, and single element extraction studies show that most transition metal cations are extracted with high efficiencies although some Fe(II) was found to precipitate and the DES phase forms a gel after extraction of Mn(II). The loading capacity of the DES is higher than that of corresponding ILs composed of fatty acids and quaternary ammonium salts. The higher the decanoic acid to lidocaine ratio, the higher the decrease in the distribution ratio because of the greater hydrophobicity of the DES. Contrary to what might be expected, the majority of the chloride remains in the aqueous phase. This is reasoned through the interaction between the protonated lidocaine and the chloride anion: it is likely that lidocaine hydrochloride is formed, which has a higher water solubility in comparison to lidocaine itself. Thus, metal extraction proceeds via an ion-exchange reaction in which upon extraction of the metal ion to the DES phase, protonated lidocaine is transferred simultaneously to the water phase. The loaded DES phase was stripped using sodium oxalate, and the regenerated DES was recycled. Due to the loss of lidocaine upon metal extraction, the DES cannot be recycled indefinitely. Although the 3:1 and 4:1 molar ratio decanoic acid to lidocaine DES could be reused a second time without any drop in Co(II) distribution coefficient, a drastic drop in D for the 2:1 DES was observed. In addition, the water phase became turbid for all DES ratio.

Quaternary tetraheptylammonium- and menthol-based hydrophobic DES containing carboxylic acids, lauric acid, decanoic acid, oleic acid and ibuprofen, were investigated for the recovery of indium from chloride and oxalate media (Tereshatov et al., 2016). DL-menthol:lauric acid based DES were found to be ineffective extracting agents. However, all [N₇₇₇]⁺ based DES were successful in extracting In(III), with the [N₇₇₇]:ibuprofen (7:3 molar ratio) DES displaying the highest distribution ratio. Furthermore, all [N₇₇₇] based DES display the same extraction behaviour in HCl and oxalate solution

respectively, suggesting that the fatty acid alkyl chain does not influence In(III) extraction. In both media, In(III) extraction is dictated by its speciation. Similarly to In(III) extraction in the IL [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl (Deferm et al., 2016), the DES preferentially extracts the anionic complexes [InCl₄(H₂O)₂]⁻ and [In(C₂O₄)₂]⁻ in chloride and oxalate solution respectively (Tereshatov et al., 2016) through ion-pairing with the [N₇₇₇₇]⁺ cation as deprotonation of the carboxylic acid is assumed to be suppressed at the experimental pH used. Diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid was used to strip the loaded DES.

6.7. Ionic liquid – ionic liquid solvent extraction

Systems composed of two different immiscible ILs allow for the development of new separation processes and the potential for the formation of ternary or quaternary IL systems for extraction as exemplified in Figure 12.

Arce et al. (2006) and Neves et al. (2017) demonstrated that if large enough structural or chemical differences exists between two ILs, then this ion mixture may yield a biphasic mixture at room temperature. For example, mixtures of [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl and [C_nC₁im]Cl were found to be ‘immiscible’ in the temperature range 298 to 458 K, where the *n* was smaller or equal to 5, with only approximately 10 mol% of imidazolium ion salt dissolved in the phosphonium phase for [C₂C₁im]Cl (Arce et al., 2006). These findings were expanded upon by Neves et al. (2017) for systems with 4 ions showing that six pairs of cholinium- and phosphonium-based aprotic ILs ([N_{111(2OH)}][NTf₂] and [P₆₆₆₁₄][X] where X=[Cyanex272]⁻, [C₉COO]⁻, [NTf₂]⁻, [N(CN)₂]⁻, Br⁻ and Cl⁻) were able to form biphasic systems. The formation of an IL liquid-liquid system is cation driven: only mixtures of two ILs with significantly structurally different IL cations or of highly different hydrogen-bond acidity can undergo liquid-liquid demixing. The IL anion does not have a significant impact on the creation of a binary systems but plays an important role in defining the mutual solubility magnitude, which was suggested to linearly depend on the volume of the IL anion. In all cases except for systems formed by ILs with a common anion, significant ion exchange occurs, the extent of which correlates with the ILs cohesive energy (Neves et al., 2017).




Figure 12. A stable tetraphasic mixture of (from top to bottom): pentane, $[P_{66614}][NTf_2]$, water and $[C_2C_1im][NTf_2]$. The pink colouration is due to rhodamine B dye, which is soluble in the ionic layers. The figure on the left was taken in daylight and the figure on the right was taken under illumination from UV light (254 nm). Reproduced from Arce et al. (2006) with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

This principle was successfully used to separate cobalt from nickel and REEs from nickel respectively using the biphasic system $[C_2C_1im]Cl$ and $[P_{66614}]\text{-bis(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl)phosphinate}$ (Wellens et al., 2013; out et al., 2014). A solubility of 9.2 wt% (or 31 mol%) of $[C_2C_1im]Cl$ in $[P_{66614}][P_{RROO}]$ was determined from $^1H\text{-NMR}$ peak analysis peaks. The solubility of $[P_{66614}][P_{RROO}]$ in $[C_2C_1im]Cl$ was found to be below the detection limit (Wellens et al., 2013).

Vander Hoogerstraete and co-workers (2015) demonstrated a proof of principle for the separation of tin(II), scandium(III) and yttrium(III) using the ternary IL system composed of $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]\text{-}H_2O\text{-}[P_{66614}][NTf_2]$. A ternary system was required for this separation as it is known that tin(II) and scandium(III) extraction by carboxylic ligands display the same pH dependent behaviour. $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]$ was selected over $[N_{111(2OH)}][NTf_2]$ as one of the phases due to its significantly larger triphasic region. Initial biphasic tests showed that Sc(III) and Sn(II) could be separated from Y(III) in the $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]\text{-}H_2O$ system at pH 1.0 whilst 80% of Sn(II) was extracted to the IL phase in the $[P_{66614}][NTf_2]\text{-}H_2O$ in the presence of the neutral tributylphosphate (TBP) ligand whilst the REEs remained mainly in the aqueous phase. A pH below 1, along with the addition of 1M $MgCl_2$ to the aqueous phase, was required to suppress tin hydrolysis and prevent $[P_{66614}][NTf_2]\text{-TBP}$ emulsions. In the ternary system, the best separation was obtained at low chloride concentrations without pH adjustment and at high TBP concentration in the $[P_{66614}][NTf_2]$ phase. Under these conditions (20 wt.% TBP, 0.25 M $MgCl_2$), 89 % of tin was extracted to the $[N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf_2]$ phase, 89% of Y(III) remained in the aqueous phase and 85% of Sc(III) was extracted to the $[P_{66614}][NTf_2]$ phase. Although not conclusive, these encouraging results underline the versatility of ILs and the possibilities available for IL-IL liquid-liquid extraction.

The IL-IL mineral separation of rare-earth minerals (bastnäsite and monazite) from Ca, Mn, Fe and Mg rich samples (ankerite, dolomite and calcite) was attempted (Azizi & Larachi, 2018). Specifically, [N₄₄₄₄][DEHPA] was added as a droplet phase in three different ILs used as a continuous phase, ethylammonium nitrate ([EtNH₃][NO₃]), [C₂C₁im][SCN] and [C₄C₁im][BF₄]. These ILs were selected as i) [N₄₄₄₄][DEHPA] displays a far superior affinity for the REEs compared to the other ILs, ii) the droplet phase viscosity is lesser than that of the continuous phases and iii) the droplet phase and continuous phase must display minimal solubility with one-another. The optimal system of [N₄₄₄₄][DEHPA] dispersed in [C₂C₁im][SCN] was shown to outperform froth flotation and traditional liquid-liquid mineral separation for the recovery of RE minerals. DFT analysis found that anionic moiety of [N₄₄₄₄][DEHPA] directly interacts with the metal cations on the mineral surfaces through covalent bonds resulting in very favourable interaction energies compared to the other ILs.

Although not technically an IL-IL extraction system, the solvent extraction of Co, Fe and B from waste NdFeB magnets in DES [N_{111(2OH)}]Cl:lactic acid using [N₁₈₈₈][SCN] was successfully achieved and scaled-up over multiple mixer-settlers (Riaño et al., 2017). The dissolution of Co, Fe, B, Nd, Dy, Pr and Gd oxides from crushed and roasted rare-earth permanent magnets (NdFeB) was attempted using three different DES: [N_{111(2OH)}]Cl:urea (1:2), [N_{111(2OH)}]Cl:EG (1:2) and [N_{111(2OH)}]Cl:lactic acid (1:2). At a liquid to solid ratio of 20 mL.g⁻¹, 70 °C leaching temperature and 24 hr leaching time, over 80 wt.% of all the target elements were lixiviated using [N_{111(2OH)}]Cl:lactic acid and little to no dissolution occurred in [N_{111(2OH)}]Cl:urea and [N_{111(2OH)}]Cl:EG. This contrast in leaching efficiency was assigned to the ability of the lactic acid moiety to deprotonate and form the metal complex M(CH₃CHOHCOO)_{n,DES} (where n is the metal oxidation state). Interestingly, whilst the water content had no influence on the leach efficiency of the non-roasted magnet, it does have an important influence on the leaching of the roasted oxides with a decrease in leaching efficiency with increasing water content. Almost no Fe and Co are recovered at 80 wt.% (L/S=12.5 mg.L⁻¹, 70 °C, and 24 hr) water content due to their hydrolysis. Recovery of the target metals from the DES [N_{111(2OH)}]Cl:lactic acid (1:2) without water is achieved using a two-stage solvent extraction procedure. In this procedure, the DES phase was employed as the more polar phase for solvent extraction and the IL [N₁₈₈₈][SCN] diluted in toluene as the less polar phase. Co(II), Fe(III) and B(I) were separated from the REE(III) in an initial solvent extraction stage using [N₁₈₈₈][SCN]. EXAFS analysis indicates that cobalt is extracted as the complex [Co(SCN)₄]²⁻ in [N₁₈₈₈][SCN] whilst iron is extracted as [N₁₈₈₈][FeCl₄]. In a second stage, Nd was separated from Dy using the acidic extractant Cyanex 923 in toluene. Cyanex 923 was selected ahead of D2EHPA due to the difficulty in stripping Dy(III). The less polar phases were recycled and reused a second time with little loss in efficiency although boron extraction declined due to presence of chloride anion in [N₁₈₈₈][SCN] resulting from anion-exchange.

7. Critical perspective and recommendations – ILs as specialty solvents

7.1. Ionic liquids – the case for application-specific solvents

The publications reviewed here demonstrate the growing application of ILs for the treatment of WEEE. Despite these promising results, few studies have made the jump from laboratory to industrial scale. In this section, the major obstacles currently preventing the use of ILs in metal extraction processes are highlighted. The selection of ILs is usually justified based on their green credentials, namely their tunability, low vapour pressure, non-flammability and high stability. However, ILs also suffer from some important disadvantages preventing their industrial application such as their high viscosity, corrosiveness, hygroscopicity, toxicity and their higher cost compared to molecular solvents. As such, a more nuanced perspective is required when considering the use of an IL and the following issues must be addressed prior to any successful application. The discussion is summarised in Figure 13. All data here presented is qualitative and should be used just as a guideline. Based on the guidelines presented in Figure 13 and the literature reviewed in the previous Sections, optimised process flowsheets are proposed for the valorisation of the inherent metallic value in fluorescent lamp phosphor waste (Figure 15) and nickel metal hydride battery waste (Figure 16).




Figure 13. Qualitative toxicity and cost scale for the judicious selection of ILs for metal extraction

- How to design ILs more environmentally benign than currently used molecular solvents?

The structural modifications that confer hydrophobicity to ILs are the same that increase their toxicity. Thuy Pham and co-workers (2010) reviewed the toxicity of common ILs across multiple trophic levels and found that toxicity seemed to be determined mainly by the cationic component and that it correlates directly with the length of the cationic alkyl-chain(s). In addition, ILs incorporating polar functional groups in the alkyl side chain such as ether, hydroxyl and nitrile moieties displayed lower cytotoxicity compared to those with simple alkyl side chain. Regarding the anionic effects, fluorinated anions, particularly $[\text{NTf}_2]^-$, are generally more toxic than their halogenated counterparts (Viboud et al., 2012). In addition, some fluorinated anions have been shown to hydrolyse and can release the toxic product HF as a result of decomposition (Swatloski et al., 2003; Freire et al., 2010). The authors show that $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{PF}_6]$ and $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{BF}_4]$, two of the most widely used ILs, decompose in contact with water. The decomposition reaction leads to the formation of toxic HF.

The “greenness” of molecular solvents is well documented according to multiple criteria. An established guide to green solvent selection is the ‘Pfizer solvent selection guide’ which classifies common organic diluents like hexane, benzene or petroleum spirits as undesirable (Byrne et al., 2016). In this context, it makes little sense to label the substitution of hexane in the organic phase by $[\text{C}_4\text{C}_1\text{im}][\text{NTf}_2]$ as environmentally friendly. ‘Greener’ alternatives include the substitution of imidazolium ILs to pyridinium-based ILs, the use of large fatty acid as anions over fluorinated anions to confer the IL hydrophobic properties and – if possible – the application of DES. Water-miscible ILs with shorter cationic alkyl chains can be salted-out to form a reversible biphasic system suitable for metal extraction. It is also worth considering that many ILs act both as the hydrophobic phase and extractant, thereby potentially reducing their over whole toxicological impact. Aliquat 336 ($[\text{N}_{188}]\text{Cl}$) stands out as such an example. However, IL systems in which metal extraction proceeds via a cation-exchange mechanism should be avoided.

- Can ILs be designed to offer an improvement compared to existing industrial practices?

In other words, is there any advantage in using IL over existing solvents, either through increased selectivity, more favourable kinetics and thermodynamics or through process simplification? E-waste represents a variable and heterogeneous waste stream, with product composition changing between manufacturers and product iterations. Current industrial hydrometallurgical methods for the recovery of metals from e-waste borrow heavily from tried and tested processes established in the mining industry for the extraction of metals from ores (Cui & Zhang, 2008). Any proposed IL-based approach needs to be robust and flexible to adapt to such variable conditions. Many of the studies reviewed here report distribution and separation factors superior to those obtained in conventional organic systems. Problematically, most of these IL studies only focused on simulated systems. Such an approach risks missing interactions that occur only in complex systems such as in leachates from WEEE from a waste treatment facility and ignores the role of trace contaminants. Physical separation processes, the preliminary step in all waste treatment facilities (Figure 1), are never 100% efficient due to the overlap

between different physical properties, with impurities being entrained in the output stream. An example of a problematic trace contaminants is the extraction of Fe(III) from chloride solutions by phosphonium-based ILs. Fe(III) is strongly and efficiently extracted from even dilute chloride solutions and its stripping can prove problematic (Cui et al., 2015). This in turn can complicate the regeneration of the IL and lower the extraction efficiency of target metals.

The high viscosity of ILs and especially DES is a well identified barrier to their application due to the increased cost of pumping and slow mixing processes and kinetics of mass transfer. Certain ILs, particularly those that operate by an anion-exchange mechanism, are known to significantly increase in viscosity after metal extraction due to strong intermolecular interactions. For example, the viscosity of water saturated [P₆₆₆₁₄]Cl at 60 °C increases from 95 cP to approximately 800 cP after contacting with a feed solution containing 40 g.L⁻¹ Co(II) (Vander Hoogerstraete et al., 2013). The problem of viscosity can be partially overcome by saturating the IL with water and operating at higher temperature and/or lower feed concentrations. ABS and thermomorphic ILs fully overcome the issues associated with viscosity by tuning their water miscibility through varying the salt concentration and temperature respectively.

This tuneable and reversible water miscibility is extremely desirable in homogeneous liquid-liquid extraction. Performing the extraction in a monophasic solution prior to separation of the phases through a change in temperature reduces the viscosity of the solution and accelerates mass transfer, resulting in higher extraction efficiencies. This is schematically illustrated in Figure 14; ILs can either display LCST or an UCST.




Figure 14. Schematic illustration of LCST or UCST behaviour and its application to homogeneous liquid-liquid extraction.

The synthesis of ILs exhibiting thermomorphic behaviour requires a balance between the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of the ions. The appearance of an LCST for [P₄₄₄₄]- and [N₄₄₄₄]-based ILs was shown to vary with anion selection (Khono & Ohno, 2012). Depuydt and co-workers (2017b)

recently synthesised a range of phosphonium IL with dioctyl sulfosuccinate (DOSS) as anion. Whilst [P₄₄₄₄][DOSS] is hydrophobic, incorporation of three ethylene glycol moieties to the cation lowers the hydrophobicity of [P_{444(EG3)}][DOSS]. [P_{444(EG3)}][DOSS] has an LCST of 19 °C in water and was shown to efficiently extract both divalent and trivalent metals. Furthermore, the presence of additional ions in solution can alter the LCST or UCST temperatures due to the strong polar/electrostatic interactions between the water, IL and the ions. The UCST and LCST of [N_{111(2(O)OH)}][NTf₂] and [P₄₄₄₁₄][Cl] significantly decrease after loading with REEs (Schaeffer et al., 2016) and after addition of NaCl to the aqueous phase respectively (Onghena et al., 2015). The ability to separate an aqueous IL solution into two phases by varying the temperature or by addition of salting-out salts allows for the potential development of metal recycling processes combining leaching and extraction of metal ions.

- Are IL-based processes economically viable?

The most cited of all barriers to IL application is their high initial cost; ILs normally fall in the range of 5 –20 times more expensive than molecular solvents (Plechkova & Seddon, 2008). Although prices for small quantities should not be used as a guide to commercial utility, at the time of writing the retail price on the Sigma-Aldrich website for [C₄C₁im][SCN], [C₄C₁im][NTf₂], [C₄C₁im][C₁COO] and [C₄C₁im][Cl] is €2880 kg⁻¹, €1505 kg⁻¹, €776 kg⁻¹ and €288 kg⁻¹ (Sigma Aldrich, 2017). The economic sustainability of ILs is greatly improved if the IL is recycled. Due to their low vapour pressure and thermal and chemical stability, ILs are theoretically recyclable over numerous application cycles. It is estimated that 10 to 20 recycle and application cycles gives them the same cost per cycle as conventional organic solvents, and over 50 recycles makes them significantly cheaper (Plechkova & Seddon, 2008). As such, all research should aim to demonstrate the stability and recyclability of their ILs over multiple cycles. In addition, the solubility of well-known hydrophobic fluorinated ILs notably increases in the presence of inorganic acids such as HNO₃, HClO₄ and HCl (Fu et al., 2016, Mazan et al., 2016). This can lead to the irreversible loss of the IL to the aqueous phase during solvent extraction, lowering the environmental and financial sustainability of the process. A mass balance should be performed at each stage of the process to quantify overall IL losses and to determine which operation(s) primarily contribute.

However not all ILs are expensive, especially at an industrial scale. Chen and co-workers (2014) demonstrated through process modelling that the price of the Brønsted acidic IL triethylammonium hydrogen sulphate ([N₂₂₂][HSO₄]) and 1-methylimidazolium hydrogen sulphate ([HC₁im][HSO₄]) on an industrial scale was of \$1.24 kg⁻¹ and \$2.96–5.88 kg⁻¹, making these significantly cheaper than fluorinated ILs. In addition, DES along with tetraalkylammonium and tetralkylphosphonium salts are intrinsically cheaper than imidazolium-based ILs (Plechkova & Seddon, 2008). [N₁₈₈₈][Cl] and [N_{111(2OH)}][Cl] (the starting component of most DES) currently retail at €92 kg⁻¹ and €58 kg⁻¹ respectively (Sigma Aldrich, 2017). As in all cases, a compromise must be made between the IL/DES selection (cation and anion selection, functionalisation, etc.), its intended application (leaching, extractant,

hydrophobic diluent, medium for electrodeposition, etc.) and the price of the target metal. Whilst the use of more expensive IL can be economically justified for the recovery of In, Ag, Au, PGM and some rare earth elements, the application of fluorinated ILs for the leaching of La_2O_3 makes little economic sense.

The IL-based solvents covered in this review are for the most part limited to the recovery of value from PCBs, waste fluorescent phosphor, nickel metal hydride batteries and permanent magnets. To highlight the great strides made over the last years on the potential of IL-derived solvents for WEEE treatment, optimised process flowsheets are proposed for the extraction of value in fluorescent lamp phosphor waste (Figure 15) and nickel metal hydride battery waste (Figure 16). The versatility of quaternary phosphonium- and ammonium-based ILs for metal extraction based on the selective formation of anionic metal complexes in various leaching solution (chloride, nitrate, etc.) is fully exploited. When possible, solvent extraction processes were substituted for their ABS counterpart based on similar IL cations to further reduce the environmental impact and take advantage of the thermomorphic properties of phosphonium ILs. The substitution by ABS also simplifies the metal recovery process as electrodeposition of easily reduced metals or precipitation are possible directly from the IL-rich phase. The use of the more expensive $[\text{N}_{111}(\text{2}(\text{O})\text{OH})][\text{NTf}_2]$ is justified based on its great selectivity for YOX phosphor dissolution and the high price of the recovered Eu(III). Through careful analysis of the waste matrix, selective sequential leaching steps can be designed in order to solubilise elements of interest at each stage and simplify their purification. Furthermore, the addition of pre-treatment steps such as mechanical activation, conversion of metals to oxides and/or the hydrolysis of Fe(III) greatly increases the recovery yields and simplifies the downstream purification of the other anionic chlorometallates. In all cases, closed-loop systems are suggested to recycle the IL, thereby improving the ecological and economic aspects of the proposed processes.




Figure 15. Suggested simplified process flow diagram for the recovery of antimony and REE from waste phosphor.




Figure 16. Suggested simplified process flow diagram for the recovery of cobalt, copper and REE from waste NdFeB magnets.

8. Conclusions

WEEE is a global problem issue but also a potentially opportunity due to its high content of valuable metals. The physico-chemical characteristics of ILs mean they have potential applications in the recovery of metals from WEEE. Many experimental and theoretical studies have identified appropriate IL systems for the selective extraction and purification of metals from WEEE. The extraction performance of many IL systems has been characterised, allowing selection of a particular IL for a specific metal possible. Quaternary ammonium ILs, DESs and ILs-based ABS were highlighted as the most promising solutions due to their low environmental impact, competitive price, compositional flexibility and operational facility. However, significant barriers remain to commercial exploitation of ILs for metal recovery from WEEE. There is a lack of IL application to actual WEEE waste streams, as many researchers have worked on simulated systems and this risk missing interactions that occur with trace components present in WEEE. A further barrier is the high initial cost of many ILs and particularly fluorinated ILs. Despite high recyclability, the capital investment required has limited the use of ILs to extraction of high value metals from WEEE and use in systems where a high metal purity is achieved. Improved upstream collection and sorting to minimise the volume of commingled WEEE would allow more selective use of ILs.

Acknowledgements

This work was part of BATRE-ARES project funded by ADEME and FCT. This work was partly developed in the scope of the project CICECO - Aveiro Institute of Materials, POCI-01-0145-FEDER-007679 (FCT Ref. UID/CTM/50011/2013).

References

- Abbott, A.P., Capper, G., Davies, D.L., Rasheed, R.K., Tambyrajah, V. (2003). Novel solvent properties of choline chloride/urea mixtures. *Chem. Commun.*, 1, 70-71.
- Abbott, A.P., Boothby, D., Capper, G., Davies, D.L., Rasheed, R.K. (2004). Deep eutectic solvents formed between choline chloride and carboxylic acids: versatile alternatives to ionic liquids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 126, 9142-9147.
- Abbott, A.P., McKenzie, K.J. (2006). Application of ionic liquids to the electrodeposition of metals. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 4265-4279 (2006).
- Abbott, A.P., Capper, G., Davies, D.L., McKenzie, K.J., Obi, S.U. (2006). Solubility of Metal Oxides in Deep Eutectic Solvents Based on Choline Chloride. *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 51, 1280-1282.

1412 Abbott, A.P., Frisch, G., Ryder, K.S. (2008). Metal complexation in ionic liquids. *Annu. Rep. Prog.*
1413 *Chem., Sect. A*, 104, 21–45.

1414 Abbott, A.P., Collins, J., Dalrymple, I., Harris, R.C., Mistry, R., Qiu, F., Scheirer, J., Wise, W.R. (2009).
1415 Processing of Electric Arc Furnace Dust using Deep Eutectic Solvents. *Aust. J. Chem.*, 62, 341–347.

1416 Abbott, A.P., Frisch, G., Gurman, S.J., Hillman, A.R., Hartley, J., Holyoka, F., Ryder, K.S. (2011).
1417 Ionometallurgy: designer redox properties for metal processing. *Chem. Commun.*, 47, 10031-10033.

1418 Abbott, A.P., Frisch, G., Ryder, K.S. (2013). Electroplating Using Ionic Liquids. *Ann. Rev. Mater. Res.*,
1419 43, 335-358.

1420 Abbott, A.P., Harris, R.C., Holyoak, F., Frisch, G., Hartley, J., Jenkin, G.R.T (2015). Electrocatalytic
1421 recovery of elements from complex mixtures using deep eutectic solvents. *Green Chem.*, 17, 2172-
1422 2179.

1423 Abbott, A.P., Al-Bassam, A.Z.M., Goddard, A., Harris, R.C., Jenkin, G.R.T., Nisbet, F.J., Wieland, M.
1424 (2017). Dissolution of pyrite and other Fe–S–As minerals using deep eutectic solvents. *Green*
1425 *Chem.*, 19, 2225-2233

1426 Amarasekara, A.S. (2016). Acidic Ionic Liquids. *Chem. Rev.*, 116, 6133–6183

1427 Arce, A., Earle, M.J., Katdare, S.P., Rodríguez, H., Seddon, K. (2006). Mutually immiscible ionic
1428 liquids. *Chem. Commun.*, 24, 2548-2550

1429 Azizi, D., Larachi, F. (2018). Immiscible dual ionic liquid-ionic liquid mineral separation of rare-earth
1430 minerals. *Sep. Purif. Tech.*, 191, 340-353

1431 Baldé, C.P., Wang, F., Kuehr, R., Huisman, J. (2015). The global e-waste monitor – 2014, *United*
1432 *Nations University, IAS – SCYCLE, Bonn, Germany*.

1433 Billard, I., Ouadi, A., Jobin, E., Champion, J., Gaillard, C., Georg, S. (2011). Understanding the
1434 extraction mechanism in ionic liquids: $\text{UO}_2^{2+}/\text{HNO}_3/\text{TBP}/\text{C}_4\text{mimTf}_2\text{N}$ as a case study. *Solvent. Extr.*
1435 *Ion Exch.*, 29, 577–601.

1436 Billard, I. (2016). Extraction of Metals with ABS. In: Freire, M.G. ed. *Ionic-Liquid-Based Aqueous*
1437 *Biphasic Systems, Fundamentals and Applications*. New York: Springer pp. 183-220

1438 Buchert, M., Schuler, D., Bleher, D. (2009). Critical Metals for Future Sustainable Technologies and
1439 their Recycling Potential. *Okö-Institut, United Nations Environment Programme: Nairobi, Kenya*

1440 Byrne, F.P., Jin, S., Paggiola, G., Petchey, T.H.M., Clark, J.H., Farmer, T.J., Hunt, A.J., McElroy C.R.,
1441 Sherwood, J. (2016). Tools and techniques for solvent selection: green solvent selection guides.
1442 *Sustain. Chem. Proces.*, 4:7

1443 Carlesi C., Cortes, E., Dibbernardi G., Morales J., Muñoz, E. (2016). Ionic liquids as additives for acid
1444 leaching of copper from sulfidic ores. *Hydrometallurgy*, 161, 29-33

1445 Černá, M., Volaufová, E., Rod, V. (1992). Extraction of light rare earth elements by amines at high
1446 inorganic nitrate concentration. *Hydrometallurgy*, 28, 339-352.

1447 Chancerel, P., Meskers, C.E.M., Hagelucken, C., Rotter, V.S. (2009). Assessment of Precious Metal
 1448 Flows During Preprocessing of Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment. *J. Ind. Ecol.*, 13, 791-
 1449 810.

1450 Chapeaux, A., Simoni, L. D., Stadtherr, M. A., Brennecke, J. F. (2007). Liquid Phase Behaviour of
 1451 Ionic Liquids with Water and 1-Octanol and Modelling of 1-Octanol/Water Partition Coefficients.
 1452 *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 52 (6), 2462–2467.

1453 Chen, L., Sharifzadeh, M., Mac Dowell, N., Welton, T., Shah, N., Hallett, J.P. (2014). Inexpensive ionic
 1454 liquids: $[\text{HSO}_4]^-$ -based solvent production at bulk scale. *Green Chem.*, 16, 3098-3106

1455 Chen, M., Huang, J., Ogunseitan, O.A., Zhu, N., Wang, Y. (2015a). Comparative study on copper
 1456 leaching from waste printed circuit boards by typical ionic liquid acids. *Waste Manage.*, 41, 142-
 1457 147.

1458 Chen, M., Wang, J., Huang J., Chen, H. (2015b). Behaviour of zinc during the process of leaching
 1459 copper from WPCBs by typical acidic ionic liquids. *RSC Adv.*, 5, 34921-34926

1460 Chen, M., Zhang, S., Huang, J., Chen, H. (2015c). Lead during the leaching process of copper from
 1461 waste printed circuit boards by five typical ionic liquid acids. *J. Clean. Prod.*, 95, 142-147.

1462 Chen, Y., Wang, H., Pei, Y., Ren, J., Wang, J. (2015d). pH-Controlled Selective Separation of
 1463 Neodymium (III) and Samarium (III) from Transition Metals with Carboxyl-Functionalized Ionic
 1464 Liquids. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 3, 3167–3174.

1465 Chen, Y., Wang, H., Pei, Y., Wang, J. (2018). A green separation strategy for neodymium (III) from
 1466 cobalt (II) and nickel (II) using an ionic liquid-based aqueous two-phase system. *Talanta*, Accepted
 1467 Manuscript

1468 Chiappe, C., Silvio, C. (2014). Point-Functionalization of Ionic Liquids: An Overview of Synthesis and
 1469 Applications. *Euro. J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 6120–6139.

1470 Cocalia, V.A., Jensen, M.P., Holbrey, J.D., Spear, S.K., Stepinski, D.C., Rogers, R.D. (2005). Identical
 1471 extraction behavior and coordination of trivalent or hexavalent f -element cations using ionic liquid
 1472 and molecular solvents. *Dalton Trans.*, 11, 1966–1971.

1473 Cui, J., Forssberg, E. (2003). Mechanical recycling of waste electric and electronic equipment: a review.
 1474 *J. Hazard. Mat.*, 99, 243–263.

1475 Cui, J., Zhang, L., 2008. Metallurgical recovery of metals from electronic waste: A review. *J. Hazard.*
 1476 *Mat.*, 158, 228–256.

1477 Cui, L., Cheng, F., Zhou, J. (2015). Behaviors and Mechanism of Iron Extraction from Chloride
 1478 Solutions Using Undiluted Cyphos IL 101. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 54, 7534–7542

1479 Dai, S., Ju, Y.H., Barnes, C.E. (1999). Solvent extraction of strontium nitrate by a crown ether using
 1480 room-temperature ionic liquids. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 8, 1201–1202.

1481 Davris, P., Balomenos, E., Panias, D., Paspaliaris, I. (2016). Selective leaching of rare earth elements
 1482 from bauxite residue (red mud), using a functionalized hydrophobic ionic liquid. *Hydrometallurgy*,
 1483 164, 125-135

1484 Deferm, C., Van de Voorde, M. Luyten, J., Oosterhof, H., Fransaer, J., Binnemans, K. (2016).
 1485 Purification of indium by solvent extraction with undiluted ionic liquids. *Green Chem.*, 18, 4116-
 1486 4127
 1487 Deferm, C., Luyten, J., Oosterhof, H., Fransaer, J., Binnemans, K. (2018). Purification of crude $\text{In}(\text{OH})_3$
 1488 using the functionalized ionic liquid betainium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide. *Green Chem.*, 20,
 1489 412-424
 1490 Depuydt, D., Dehaen, W., Binnemans, K. (2015). Solvent Extraction of Scandium(III) by an Aqueous
 1491 Biphasic System with a Nonfluorinated Functionalized Ionic Liquid. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 54,
 1492 8988–8996
 1493 Depuydt, D., Van den Bossche, A., Wim Dehaen, W., Binnemans, K., (2017a). Metal extraction with a
 1494 short-chain imidazolium nitrate ionic liquid. *Chem. Commun.*, 53, 5271-5274
 1495 Depuydt, D., Wim Dehaen, W., Binnemans, K. (2017b). Docusate Ionic Liquids: Effect of Cation on
 1496 Water Solubility and Solvent Extraction Behavior. *ChemPlusChem*, 82, 458-466
 1497 Dietz, M.L. (2006). Ionic liquids as extraction solvents: Where do we stand? *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, 41,
 1498 2047–2063.
 1499 Dimitrakakis, E., Janz, A., Bilitewski, B., Gidarakos, E. (2009). Small WEEE: Determining recyclables
 1500 and hazardous substances in plastics. *J. Hazard. Mat.*, 161, 913–919.
 1501 Du, X., Graedel, T.E. (2011). Uncovering the Global Life Cycles of the Rare Earth Elements. *Sci. Rep.*,
 1502 1, 145.
 1503 Dupont, D., Depuydt, D., Binnemans, K. (2015). Overview of the Effect of Salts on Biphasic Ionic
 1504 Liquid/Water Solvent Extraction Systems: Anion Exchange, Mutual Solubility, and Thermomorphic
 1505 Properties. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 119, 6747–6757.
 1506 Dupont, D., Binnemans, K. (2015a). Recycling of rare earths from NdFeB magnets using a combined
 1507 leaching/extraction system based on the acidity and thermomorphism of the ionic liquid
 1508 [Hbet][Tf₂N]. *Green Chem.*, 17, 2150-2163.
 1509 Dupont, D., Binnemans, K. (2015b). Rare-earth recycling using a functionalized ionic liquid for the
 1510 selective dissolution and revalorization of $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3\text{:Eu}^{3+}$ from lamp phosphor waste. *Green Chem.*, 17,
 1511 856-868.
 1512 Dupont, D., Binnemans, K. (2016). Antimony recovery from the halophosphate fraction in lamp
 1513 phosphor waste: a zero-waste approach. *Green Chem.*, 18, 176-185.
 1514 Dupont, D., Renders E., Binnemans, K., (2016a). Alkylsulfuric acid ionic liquids: a promising class of
 1515 strongly acidic room-temperature ionic liquids. *Chem. Commun.*, 52, 4640-4643.
 1516 Dupont, D., Renders E., Raiguel, S., Binnemans, K. (2016b). New metal extractants and super-acidic
 1517 ionic liquids derived from sulfamic acid. *Chem. Commun.*, 52, 7032-7035
 1518 Earle, M.J., Seddon, K.R. (2000). Ionic liquids. Green solvents for the future. *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 72,
 1519 1391–1398.

Endres, F. (2002). Ionic Liquids: Solvents for the Electrodeposition of Metals and Semiconductors. *Chem. Phys. Chem.*, 3, 144–154.

Endres, F., Abbott, A.P., MacFarlane, D.R. (2008). *Electrodeposition from Ionic Liquids*. Weinheim (GER): Wiley-VCH.

Erdmann, L., Graedel, T. E. (2011). Criticality of non-fuel minerals: A review of major approaches and analyses. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 45, 7620-7630.

European Commission (2003). Directive 2002/96/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 January 2003 on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE).

European Commission (2012). Directive 2012/19/EU, of the European Parliament and of the Council, of 4 July 2012, on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE).

European Commission (2014). Report on critical raw materials for the EU. Report of the Ad-hoc Working Group on defining critical raw materials. Brussels: European Commission's DG Enterprise and Industry.

Free, M.L. (2013). *Hydrometallurgy: Fundamentals and Applications*. Hoboken (USA): Wiley.

Freemantle, M. (1998). Designer solvents, ionic liquids may boost clean technology development. *Chem. Eng. News*, 76, 32–37.

Freemantle, M. (2009). *Introduction to Ionic Liquids* [1st Ed.]. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry

Freiderich, J.W., Stankovich, J.J., Luo, H., Dai, S., Moyer, B.A. (2015). Dissolution of the Rare-Earth Mineral Bastnaesite by Acidic Amide Ionic Liquid for Recovery of Critical Materials. *Euro. J. of Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 4354–4361

Freire, M.G., Neves, C.M.S.S., Marrucho, I.M., Coutinho, J.A.P., Fernandes, A.M. (2010). Hydrolysis of Tetrafluoroborate and Hexafluorophosphate Counter Ions in Imidazolium-Based Ionic Liquids. *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 114, 3744–3749

Freire, M.G., Cláudio, A.F., Araújo, J.M., Coutinho, J.A., Marrucho, I.M., Canongia Lopes, J.N., Rebelo, L.P. (2012). Aqueous biphasic systems: a boost brought about by using ionic liquids. *Chem Soc Rev.*, 41, 4966-95

Fu, J., Yang, Y.I., Zhang, J., Chen, Q., Shen, X., Gao, Y.Q. (2016). Structural Characteristics of Homogeneous Hydrophobic Ionic Liquid–HNO₃–H₂O Ternary System: Experimental Studies and Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 120, 5194–5202

Garvey, S.L., Hawkins, C.A., Dietz, M.L. (2012). Effect of aqueous phase anion on the mode of facilitated ion transfer into room-temperature ionic liquids. *Talanta*, 95, 25–30.

Génand-Pinaz, S., Papaiconomou, N. Leveque, J.-M. (2013). Removal of Platinum from Water by Precipitation or Liquid–liquid Extraction and Separation from Gold Using Ionic Liquids. *Green Chem.*, 15, 2493–2501.

Goosey, M. (2004). End of life electronics legislation: an industry perspective. *Circuit World*, 30, 41-45.

1556 Ghosh, K., Maiti, M., Lahiri, S., Hussain, S.V. (2014). Ionic liquid-salt based aqueous biphasic system
1557 for separation of ¹⁰⁹Cd from silver target. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Ch.*, 302, 924-930

1558 Graedel, T.E. (2011). On the Future Availability of the Energy Metals. *Ann. Rev. Mater. Res.*, 41, 323–
1559 35.

1560 Graedel, T. E., Barr, R., Chandler, C., Chase, T., Choi, J., Christoersen, L., Friedlander, E., Henly, C.,
1561 Jun, C., Nassar, N. T., Schechner, D., Warren, S., Yang, M., Zhu, C. (2012). Methodology of metal
1562 criticality determination. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 1063-1070.

1563 Graedel, T.E., Harper, E.M., Nassar, N.T., Nuss, P., Reck, B.K. (2015). Criticality of metals and
1564 metalloids. *PNAS*, 112, 4257–4262.

1565 Gras, M., Papaiconomou, N., Schaeffer, N., Chainet, E., Tedjar, F., Coutinho, J.A.P., Billard, I. (2018).
1566 Ionic-Liquid-Based Acidic Aqueous Biphasic Systems for Simultaneous Leaching and Extraction
1567 of Metallic Ions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 57, 1563-1566

1568 Guo, L., Chen, J., Shen, Lu, Zhang, J., Zhang, D., Deng, Y. (2014). Highly Selective Extraction and
1569 Separation of Rare Earths(III) Using Bifunctional Ionic Liquid Extractant. *ACS Sustainable Chem.*
1570 *Eng.*, 2, 1968–1975.

1571 Hagelüken, C., Buchert, M. (2008). The mine above ground – opportunities and challenges to recover
1572 scarce and valuable metals from EOL electronic devices. In *IERC, Salzburg, 17-01-2008*.

1573 Hagelüken, C. (2012). Recycling the Platinum Group Metals: A European Perspective. *Platin. Met.*
1574 *Rev.*, 56, 29.

1575 Haig, S., Morrish, L., Morton, R., Wilkinson, S. (2012). Electrical product material composition.
1576 Banbury (UK): *WRAP*.

1577 Hallett, J.P., Welton, T. (2011). Room-Temperature Ionic Liquids: Solvents for Synthesis and Catalysis
1578 2. *Chem. Rev.*, 111, 3508–3576.

1579 Han, X., Armstrong, D.W. (2007). Ionic liquids in separations. *Accounts Chem. Res.*, 40, 1079-1086

1580 Harjani, J.R., Friscic, T., MacGillivray, L.R., Singer, R.D. (2006). Metal chelate formation sing a task
1581 specific ionic liquid. *Inorg. Chem.*, 45, 10025–10027.

1582 Hawkins, C.A., Garvey, S.L., Dietz, M.L. (2012). Structural variations in room temperature ionic
1583 liquids: Influence on metal ion partitioning modes and extraction selectivity. *Sep. Purif. Technol.*,
1584 89, 31–38

1585 He, L.P., Sun, S.Y., Mu, Y.Y., Song, X.F., Yu, J.G. (2017). Recovery of Lithium, Nickel, Cobalt, and
1586 Manganese from Spent Lithium-Ion Batteries Using l-Tartaric Acid as a Leachant. *ACS Sustainable*
1587 *Chem. Eng.*, 1, 714–72

1588 Hilty, L.M. (2005). Electronic waste – an emerging risk? *Environ Impact Assess Rev*, 25 (5), 431–435.

1589 Huddleston, J.G., Willauer, H.D., Swatloski, R.P., Visser, A.E., Rogers, R.D. (1998). Room
1590 temperature ionic liquids as novel media for 'clean' liquid-liquid extraction. *Chem. Commun.*, 16,
1591 1765–1766.

1592 Huddleston, J.G., Visser, A.E., Reichert, W.M., Willauer, H.D., Broker, G.A., Rogers, R.D. (2001).
 1593 Characterization and comparison of hydrophilic and hydrophobic room temperature ionic liquids
 1594 incorporating the imidazolium cation. *Green Chem.*, 3, 156-164.
 1595 Hunt, P.A., Ashworth, C.R., Matthews, R.P. (2015). Hydrogen bonding in ionic liquids. *Chem. Soc.*
 1596 *Rev.*, 44, 1257-1288.
 1597 Janssen, C.H.C., Macías-Ruvalcaba, N.A., Aguilar-Martínez, M., Kobrak, M.N. (2015). Metal
 1598 extraction to ionic liquids: the relationship between structure, mechanism and application. *Int. Rev.*
 1599 *Phys. Chem.*, 34, 591-622.
 1600 Jenkin, G.R.T., Al-Bassam, A.Z.M., Harris, R.C., Abbott, A.P., Smith, D.J., Holwell, D.A., Chapman,
 1601 R.J., Stanley, C.J. (2016). The application of deep eutectic solvent ionic liquids for environmentally-
 1602 friendly dissolution and recovery of precious metals. *Miner. Eng.*, 87, 18-24.
 1603 Jensen, M.P., Dzielawa, J.A., Rickert, P., and Dietz, M.L. (2002). EXAFS Investigations of the
 1604 mechanism of facilitated ion transfer into a room-temperature ionic liquid. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 124,
 1605 10664–10665.
 1606 Jensen, M.P., Neuefeind, J., Beitz, J.V., Skanthakumar, S., Soderholm, L. (2003). Mechanisms of Metal
 1607 Ion Transfer into Room-Temperature Ionic Liquids: The Role of Anion Exchange. *J. Am. Chem.*
 1608 *Soc.*, 125, 15466–15473.
 1609 Jensen, M.P., Borkowski, M., Laszak, I., Beitz, J.V., Rickert, P.G., Dietz, M.L. (2012). Anion effects in
 1610 the extraction of lanthanide 2-thenoyltrifluoroacetone complexes into an ionic liquid. *Sep. Sci.*
 1611 *Technol.*, 47, 233–243.
 1612 Johnson, J., Harper, E.M., Lifset, R., Graedel, T.E. (2007). Dining at the Periodic Table: Metals
 1613 Concentrations as They Relate to Recycling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41, 1759–1765.
 1614 Khaliq, A., Rhamdhani, M.A., Geoffrey Brooks, G., Masood, S. (2014). Metal Extraction Processes for
 1615 Electronic Waste and Existing Industrial Routes: A Review and Australian Perspective. *Resources*,
 1616 3, 152-179.
 1617 Kidani, K., Hirayama, N., Imura, H. (2008). Extraction behavior of divalent metal cations in ionic liquid
 1618 chelate extraction systems using 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imides
 1619 and thenoyltrifluoroacetone. *Anal. Sci.*, 24, 1251–1254.
 1620 Kidani, R., Imura, H. (2010). Solvent effect of ionic liquids on the distribution constant of 2-
 1621 thenoyltrifluoroacetone and its nickel(II) and copper(II) chelates and the evaluation of the solvent
 1622 properties based on the regular solution theory. *Talanta*, 83, 299–304.
 1623 Kikuchi, Y., Matsumiya, M., Kawakami, S. (2014). Extraction of rare earth ions from Nd-Fe-B magnet
 1624 wastes with TBP in tricaprylmethylammonium nitrate. *Solvent Extr. Res. Dev.*, 21, 137-145.
 1625 Kilicarslan, A., Saridede, M.N., Stopic, S., Friedrich, B., (2014). Use of ionic liquid in leaching process
 1626 of brass wastes for copper and zinc recovery. *Int. J. Min. Met. Mat.*, 21, 138-143.
 1627 Koel, M., Linert, W., Gärtner, P. (2007). Ionic Liquids – A Survey of Recent Developments and
 1628 Applications. *Monatsh. Chem.*, 138, V-VI.

1629 Kolarik, Z. (2013). Ionic liquids: How far do they extend the potential of solvent extraction of f-
 1630 elements? *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 31, 24–60.

1631 Kozonoi, N., Ikeda, Y. (2007). Extraction mechanism of metal ion from aqueous solution to the
 1632 hydrophobic ionic liquid, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium nonafluorobutanesulfonate. *Monatsh.*
 1633 *Chem.*, 138, 1145–1151.

1634 Kubota, F., Shimobori, Y., Koyanagi, Y., Nakashima, K., Shimojo, K., Kamiya, N., Goto, M. (2009).
 1635 Extraction behavior of indium with TOPO into ionic liquids. *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.*, 16, 151–155

1636 Kubota, F.; Shigyo, E.; Yoshida, W.; Goto, M., 2017. Extraction and Separation of Pt and Pd by an
 1637 Imidazolium-Based Ionic Liquid Combined with Phosphonium Chloride. *Solvent Extr. Res. Dev.*,
 1638 24, 97–104.

1639 Larsson, K., Binnemans, K. (2014). Selective extraction of metals using ionic liquids for nickel metal
 1640 hydride battery recycling. *Green Chem.*, 16, 4595–4603.

1641 Larsson, K., Binnemans, K., 2015a. Metal Recovery from Nickel Metal Hydride Batteries Using
 1642 Cyanex 923 in Tricaprylmethylammonium Nitrate from Chloride Aqueous Media. *J. Sustain.*
 1643 *Metall.*, 1, 161–167.

1644 Larsson, K., Binnemans, K. (2015b). Separation of rare earths by split-anion extraction.
 1645 *Hydrometallurgy*, 156, 206–214.

1646 Lee, S.-G. (2006). Functionalized imidazolium salts for task-specific ionic liquids and their
 1647 applications. *Chem. Commun.*, 10, 1049–1063.

1648 Leyma, R., Platzer, S., Jirsa, F., Kandioller, W., Krachler, R., Keppler, B.K. (2016). Novel
 1649 thiosalicylate-based ionic liquids for heavy metal extractions. *J. Hazard. Mat.*, 314, 164–171

1650 Li, L., Fan, E., Guan, Y., Zhang, X., Xue, Q., Wei, L., Wu, F., Chen, R. (2017). Sustainable Recovery
 1651 of Cathode Materials from Spent Lithium-Ion Batteries Using Lactic Acid Leaching System. *ACS*
 1652 *Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 5, 5224–5233

1653 Li, X., Van den Bossche, A., Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Binnemans, K. (2018). Ionic liquids with
 1654 trichloride anions for oxidative dissolution of metals and alloys. *Chem. Commun.*, 54, 475–478

1655 Liu, Z., El Abedin, S.Z., Endres, F. (2015). Dissolution of zinc oxide in a protic ionic liquid with the 1-
 1656 methylimidazolium cation and electrodeposition of zinc from ZnO/ionic liquid and ZnO/ionic
 1657 liquid–water mixtures. *Electrochem. Commun.*, 58, 46–50.

1658 Luo, H., Dai, S., Bonnesen, P.V., Buchanan, A.C., Holbrey, J.D., Bridges, N.J., Rogers, R.D. (2004).
 1659 Extraction of Cesium Ions from Aqueous Solutions Using Calix[4]arene-bis(tert-octylbenzo-crown-
 1660 6) in Ionic Liquids. *Anal. Chem.*, 76, 3078–3083.

1661 Luo, H., Dai, S., Bonnesen, P.V., Haverlock, T.J., Moyer, B.A., Buchanan III, A.C., (2006). A striking
 1662 effect of ionic-liquid anions in the extraction of Sr^{2+} and Cs^{+} by dicyclohexano-18-Crown-6. *Solvent*
 1663 *Extr. Ion Exc.*, 24, 19–31.

1664 Mazan, V., Billard, I., Papaiconomou, N. (2014). Experimental connections between aqueous–aqueous
 1665 and aqueous–ionic liquid biphasic systems. *RSC Adv.*, 4, 13371–13384.

1666 Mazan, V., Boltoeva, M.Y., Tereshatov, E.E., Folden III, C.M. (2016). Mutual solubility of water and
1667 hydrophobic ionic liquids in the presence of hydrochloric acid. *RSC Adv.*, 6, 56260-56270

1668 Mehdi, H., Binnemans, K., Van Hecke, K., Meervelt, L., Nockemann, P. (2010). Hydrophobic ionic
1669 liquids with strongly coordinating anions. *Chem. Commun.*, 46, 234-236.

1670 Morley, N., Eatherley, D. (2008). Material Security. Ensuring resource availability to the UK economy.
1671 Chester (UK): *Oakedene Hollins, C-Tech Innovation*.

1672 Mudd, G.M. (2010). The Environmental sustainability of mining in Australia: key mega-trends and
1673 looming constraints. *Resour. Policy*, 35, 98-115.

1674 National Research Council (NRC) (2008). Committee on Critical Mineral Impacts on the US Economy.
1675 Minerals, Critical Minerals, and the U.S. Economy. Washington D.C.: National Academies Press

1676 Neves, C.M.S.S., Silva, A.M.S., Fernandes, A.M., Coutinho, J.A.P., Freire, M.G. (2017). Toward an
1677 Understanding of the Mechanisms behind the Formation of Liquid-liquid Systems formed by Two
1678 Ionic Liquids. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 8, 3015-3019

1679 Nockemann, P., Thijs, B., Parac-Vogt, T.N., Van Hecke, K., Van Meervelt, L., Tinant, B., Hartenbach,
1680 I., Schleid, T., Ngan, V.T., Nguyen, M.T., Binnemans, K. (2008a). Carboxyl-Functionalized Task-
1681 Specific Ionic Liquids for Solubilizing Metal Oxides. *Inorg. Chem.*, 47, 9987-9999.

1682 Nockemann, P., Thijs, B., Van Hecke, K., Van Meervelt, L., Binnemans, K. (2008b). Polynuclear Metal
1683 Complexes Obtained from the Task-Specific Ionic Liquid Betainium Bistriflimide. *Cryst. Growth*
1684 *Des.*, 8, 1353-1363.

1685 Nockemann, P., Van Deun, R., Thijs, B., Huys, D., Vanecht, E., Van Hecke, K., Van Meervelt, L.,
1686 Binnemans, K. (2010a). Uranyl Complexes of Carboxyl-Functionalized Ionic Liquids. *Inorg. Chem.*,
1687 49, 3351-3360.

1688 Nockemann, P., Pellens, M., Van Hecke, K., Van Meervelt, L., Wouters, J., Thijs, B., Vanecht, E.,
1689 Parac-Vogt, T.N., Mehdi, H., Schaltin, S., Fransaer, J., Zahn, S., Kirchner, B., Binnemans, K.,
1690 (2010b). Cobalt(II) complexes of nitrile-functionalized ionic liquids. *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 16, 1849-1958.

1691 Northey, S., Mohr, S., Mudd, G.M., Weng, Z., Giurco, D. (2014). Modelling future copper ore grade
1692 decline based on a detailed assessment of copper resources and mining. *Resour. Conserv. Recy.*, 83,
1693 190-201.

1694 Ohno, H. (2005). *Electrochemical Aspects of Ionic Liquids*. Hoboken (USA): John Wiley & Sons

1695 Onghena, B., Binnemans, K. (2015). Recovery of Scandium(III) from Aqueous Solutions by Solvent
1696 Extraction with the Functionalized Ionic Liquid Betainium Bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide. *Ind.*
1697 *Eng. Chem. Res.*, 54, 1887-1898.

1698 Onghena, B., Opsomer, T., Binnemans, K. (2015). Separation of cobalt and nickel using a
1699 thermomorphic ionic-liquid-based aqueous biphasic system. *Chem. Commun.*, 51, 15932-15935

1700 Onghena, B., Borra, C.R., Van Gerven, T., Binnemans, K., (2017a). Recovery of scandium from
1701 sulfation-roasted leachates of bauxite residue by solvent extraction with the ionic liquid betainium
1702 bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide. *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 176, 208-219

1703 Onghena, B., Valgaeren, S., Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Binnemans, K. (2017b). Cobalt(II)/nickel(II)
 1704 separation from sulfate media by solvent extraction with an undiluted quaternary phosphonium ionic
 1705 liquid. *RSC Adv.*, 7, 35992-35999.

1706 Ongondo, F.O., Williams, I.D., Cherrett, T.J. (2011). How are WEEE doing? A global review of the
 1707 management of electrical and electronic wastes. *Waste Manag.*, 31, 714-730

1708 Papaiconomou, N., Vite, G., Goujon, N., Lévêque, J.-M.; Billard, I. (2012). Efficient Removal of Gold
 1709 Complexes from Water by Precipitation or Liquid-liquid Extraction Using Ionic Liquids. *Green*
 1710 *Chem.*, 14, 2050-2056.

1711 Papaiconomou, N., Génand-Pinaz, S., Leveque, J.-M., Guittonneau, S. (2013). Selective Extraction of
 1712 Gold and Platinum in Water Using Ionic Liquids. A Simple Two-Step Extraction Process. *Dalton*
 1713 *Trans.*, 42, 1979-1982.

1714 Papaiconomou, N., Billard, I., Chainet, E. (2014). Extraction of Iridium(IV) from Aqueous Solutions
 1715 Using Hydrophilic/Hydrophobic Ionic Liquids. *RSC Adv.*, 4, 48260-48266.

1716 Papaiconomou, N., Svecova, L., Bonnaud, C., Cathelin, L., Billard, I., Chainet, E. (2015). Possibilities
 1717 and Limitations in Separating Pt(IV) from Pd(II) Combining Imidazolium and Phosphonium Ionic
 1718 Liquids. *Dalton Trans.*, 44, 20131-20138.

1719 Parmentier, D., Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Metz, S.J., Binnemans, K., Kroon, M.C. (2015a). Selective
 1720 Extraction of Metals from Chloride Solutions with the Tetraoctylphosphonium Oleate Ionic Liquid.
 1721 *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 54, 5149-5158.

1722 Parmentier, D., Paradis, S., Metz, S.J., Wiedmer, S.K., Kroon, M.C. (2015b). Continuous process for
 1723 selective metal extraction with an ionic liquid. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 109, 553-560

1724 Parmentier, D., Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Banerjee, D., Valia, Y.A., Metz, S.J., Binnemans, K., Kroon,
 1725 M.C. (2016). A mechanism for solvent extraction of first row transition metals from chloride media
 1726 with the ionic liquid tetraoctylammonium oleate. *Dalton Trans.*, 45, 9661-9668.

1727 Pereiro, A.B., Araújo, J.M.M., Oliveira, F.S., Esperança, J.M., Canongia Lopes, J.N., Marrucho, I.M.,
 1728 Rebelo, L.P. (2012). Solubility of inorganic salts in pure ionic liquids. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, 55, 29-
 1729 36.

1730 Petrova, M.A. (2016). Worthy Extraction and Uncommon Selectivity of 4f-Ions in Ionic Liquid
 1731 Medium: 4-Acylpyrazolones and CMPO. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 4, 2366-2375.

1732 Pham, T.T.P., Cho, C.W., Yun, Y.S. (2010). Environmental fate and toxicity of ionic liquids: A review.
 1733 *Water Res.*, 44, 352-372.

1734 Plechkova, N.V., Seddon, K.R. (2008). Applications of ionic liquids in the chemical industry. *Chem.*
 1735 *Soc. Rev.*, 37, 123-150

1736 Qiao, Y., Ma, W., Theyssen, N., Chen, C., Hou Z. (2017). Temperature-Responsive Ionic Liquids:
 1737 Fundamental Behaviors and Catalytic Applications. *Chem. Rev.*, 117, 6881-6928

1738 Reck, B.K., Graedel, T.E. (2012). Challenges in Metal Recycling. *Science*, 337, 690-695.

1739 Regel-Rosocka, M., Wisniewski, M. (2011). Ionic Liquids in Separation of Metal Ions from Aqueous
 1740 Solutions. In: Handy, S. [ed], *Applications of Ionic Liquids in Science and Technology*. Rijeka:
 1741 InTech, pp. 375-398.

1742 Raiguel, S., Depuydt, D., Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Thomas, J., Dehaen, W., Binnemans, K. (2017).
 1743 Selective alkaline stripping of metal ions after solvent extraction by base-stable 1,2,3-triazolium
 1744 ionic liquids. *Dalton Trans.*, 46, 5269-5278

1745 Reyna-González, J.M., Torriero, A.A.J., Siriwardana, A.I., Burgar, I.M., Bond, A.M. (2010). Extraction
 1746 of Copper(II) Ions from Aqueous Solutions with a Methimazole-Based Ionic Liquid. *Anal. Chem.*,
 1747 82, 7691–7698.

1748 Reyna-González, J.M., Galicia-Pérez, R., Reyes-López, J.C., Aguilar-Martínez, M., (2012). Extraction
 1749 of copper(II) from aqueous solutions with the ionic liquid 3-butylpyridinium
 1750 bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide. *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 89, 320-328.

1751 Riaño, S., Binnemans, K. (2015). Extraction and separation of neodymium and dysprosium from used
 1752 NdFeB magnets: an application of ionic liquids in solvent extraction towards the recycling of
 1753 magnets, *Green Chem.*, 17, 2931-2942.

1754 Riaño, S., Petranikova, M., Onghena, B., Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Banerjee, D., Foreman, M.R.,
 1755 Ekberg, C., Binnemans, K. (2017). Separation of rare earths and other valuable metals from deep-
 1756 eutectic solvents: a new alternative for the recycling of used NdFeB magnets. *RSC Adv.*, 7, 32100-
 1757 32113

1758 Robinson, B.H. (2009). E-waste: An assessment of global production and environmental impacts. *Sci.*
 1759 *Total Environ.*, 408, 183–191.

1760 Rogers, R.D., Bond, A.H., Bauer, C.B. (1993). Metal Ion Separations in Polyethylene Glycol-Based
 1761 Aqueous Biphasic Systems. *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, 28, 1091-1126

1762 Rogers, R.D., Seddon, K.R. (2003). Ionic Liquids--Solvents of the Future? *Science*, 302, 792-793

1763 Rout, A., Venkatesan, K.A., Srinivasan, T.G., Rao, T.R.V. (2012). Ionic liquid extractants in molecular
 1764 diluents: Extraction behavior of europium (III) in quaternary ammonium-based ionic liquids. *Sep.*
 1765 *Purif. Technol.*, 95, 26-31.

1766 Rout, A., Kotlarska, J., Dehaen, W., Binnemans, K. (2013). Liquid-liquid extraction of neodymium(III)
 1767 by dialkylphosphate ionic liquids from acidic medium: the importance of the ionic liquid cation.
 1768 *Phys Chem Chem Phys.*, 15, 16533-16541.

1769 Rout, A., Binnemans, K., (2014a). Solvent Extraction of Neodymium(III) by Functionalized Ionic
 1770 Liquid Trioctylmethylammonium Dioctyl Diglycolamate in Fluorine-free Ionic Liquid Diluent. *Ind.*
 1771 *Eng. Chem. Res.*, 53, 6500–6508.

1772 Rout, A., Binnemans, K. (2014b). Liquid–liquid extraction of europium(III) and other trivalent rare-
 1773 earth ions using a non-fluorinated functionalized ionic liquid. *Dalton Trans.*, 43, 1862-1872.

1774 Rout, A., Wellens, S., Binnemans, K. (2014). Separation of rare earths and nickel by solvent extraction
 1775 with two mutually immiscible ionic liquids. *RSC Adv.*, 4, 5753-5758

1776 Rout, A., Binnemans, K. (2016). Efficient separation of transition metals from rare earths by an
 1777 undiluted phosphonium thiocyanate ionic liquid. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 16039-16045

1778 Rydberg, J., Cox, M., Musikas, C., Choppin, G. (2004). *Solvent extraction, principles and practice* [2nd
 1779 Ed.]. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.

1780 Schaeffer S., Grimes S., Cheeseman, C. (2016). Interactions between trivalent rare earth oxides and
 1781 mixed [Hbet][Tf₂N]:H₂O systems in the development of a one-step process for the separation of light
 1782 from heavy rare earth elements. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 439, 55-60

1783 Schaeffer S., Feng, X., Grimes S., Cheeseman, C. (2017). Recovery of an yttrium europium oxide
 1784 phosphor from waste fluorescent tubes using a Brønsted acidic ionic liquid, 1-methylimidazolium
 1785 hydrogen sulfate. *J. Chem. Technol. Biot.*, 92, 2731–2738

1786 Seddon, K. R. (1997). Ionic liquids for clean technology. *J. Chem. Technol. Biot.*, 68, 351-356.

1787 Shen, Y., Tan, X., Wang, L., Wu, W. (2011). Extraction of the uranyl ion from the aqueous phase into
 1788 an ionic liquid by diglycolamide. *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 78, 298–302.

1789 Shi, C., Jing, Y., Jia, Y. (2016). Solvent extraction of lithium ions by tri-n-butyl phosphate using a room
 1790 temperature ionic liquid. *J. Mol. Liq.*, 215, 640-646.

1791 Sigma Aldrich, 2017. All web-based pricing (<http://www.sigmaaldrich.com>) were accessed in October
 1792 2017.

1793 Siriwardana, A.I., Torriero, A.A.J., Reyna-González, J.M., Burgar, I.M., Dunlop, N.F., Bond, A.M.,
 1794 Deacon, G.B., MacFarlane, D.R. (2010). Nitrile Functionalized Methimazole-Based Ionic Liquids.
 1795 *J. Org. Chem.*, 75, 8376–8382.

1796 Smith, E.L., Abbott, A.P., Ryder, K.S. (2014). Deep Eutectic Solvents (DESs) and Their Applications.
 1797 *Chem. Rev.*, 114, 11060–11082.

1798 Stepinski, D.C., Jensen, M.P., Dzielawa, J.A., Dietz, M.L. (2005). Synergistic Effects in the Facilitated
 1799 Transfer of Metal Ions into Room-Temperature Ionic Liquids. *Green Chem.*, 7, 151-158.

1800 Stojanovic, A., Kogelnig, D., Fischer, L., Hann, S., Galanski, M., Groessl, M., Krachler, R., Keppler,
 1801 B.K. (2010). Phosphonium and Ammonium Ionic Liquids with Aromatic Anions: Synthesis,
 1802 Properties, and Platinum Extraction. *Aust. J. Chem.*, 63, 511–524.

1803 Stojanovic, A., Keppler, B.K. (2012). Ionic liquids as extracting agents for heavy metals. *Sep. Sci.*
 1804 *Technol.*, 47, 189–203.

1805 Sun, X., Ji, Y., Hu, F., He, Bo., Chen, J., Li, D. (2010). The inner synergistic effect of bifunctional ionic
 1806 liquid extractant for solvent extraction. *Talanta*, 81, 1877–1883.

1807 Sun, X., Luo, H., Dai, S. (2012). Ionic Liquids-Based Extraction: A Promising Strategy for the
 1808 Advanced Nuclear Fuel Cycle. *Chem. Rev.*, 112, 2100–2128.

1809 Svecova, L., Papaiconomou, N., Billard, I. (2016). Quantitative Extraction of Rh(III) Using Ionic
 1810 Liquids and Its Simple Separation from Pd(II). *Dalton Trans*, 45, 15162–15169.

1811 Swatloski, R.P., Holbrey, J.D., Rogers, R.D. (2003). Ionic liquids are not always green: hydrolysis of
 1812 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate. *Green Chem.*, 5, 361-363

1813 Tereshatov, E.E., Boltoeva M.Y., Folden, C.M. (2016). First evidence of metal transfer into
 1814 hydrophobic deep eutectic and low-transition-temperature mixtures: indium extraction from
 1815 hydrochloric and oxalic acids. *Green Chem.*, 18, 4616-4622

1816 Thuy Pham, T.P., Cho, C.-W., Yun, Y.-S. (2010). Environmental fate and toxicity of ionic liquids: A
 1817 review. *Water Res.*, 44, 352-372

1818 Tian, G., Li, J., Hua, Y. (2010). Application of ionic liquids in hydrometallurgy of nonferrous metals.
 1819 *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China*, 20, 513-520.

1820 Tong, Y., Wang, C., Huan, Y., Yang, Y. (2015). Extraction and Stripping of Platinum from
 1821 Hydrochloric Acid Medium by Mixed Imidazolium Ionic Liquids. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 54, 705-
 1822 711.

1823 Tuncuk, A., Stazi, V., Akcil, A., Yazici, E.Y., Deveci, H. (2012). Aqueous metal recovery techniques
 1824 from e-scrap: Hydrometallurgy in recycling. *Miner. Eng.*, 25, 28-37.

1825 Tu Nguyen, V., Lee, J.-C., Kim, M.-S., Kim, S.-K., Chagnes, A., Cote, G. (2017). Sustainable extraction
 1826 and separation of precious metals from hydrochloric media using novel ionic liquid-in-water
 1827 microemulsion. *Hydrometallurgy*, 171, 344-354

1828 United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) (2011). Recycling rates of metals, a status report, A
 1829 report of the working group on the global metal flows to the International Resource Panel. Brussels,
 1830 United Nations.

1831 United Nations University (2008). 2008 Review of Directive 2002/96 on Waste Electrical and
 1832 Electronic Equipment. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/weee/pdf/final_rep_unu.pdf
 1833 [Accessed: 08/10/2017].

1834 U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) (2010). Critical Materials Strategy. Washington, D.C.

1835 Van Osch, D.J.G.P, Parmentier, D., Dietz, C.H.J.T., Van den Bruinhorst, A., Tuinier, R., Kroon, M.C.
 1836 (2016). Removal of alkali and transition metal ions from water with hydrophobic deep eutectic
 1837 solvents. *Chem. Commun.*, 52, 11987-11990

1838 Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Binnemans, K. (2014). Highly efficient separation of rare earths from nickel
 1839 and cobalt by solvent extraction with the ionic liquid trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium nitrate: a
 1840 process relevant to the recycling of rare earths from permanent magnets and nickel metal hydride
 1841 batteries. *Green Chem.*, 16, 1594-1606.

1842 Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Wellens, S., Verachtert, K., Binnemans, K. (2013). Removal of transition
 1843 metals from rare earths by solvent extraction with an undiluted phosphonium ionic liquid:
 1844 separations relevant to rare-earth magnet recycling. *Green Chem.*, 15, 919-927.

1845 Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Balnpain, B., Van Gerven, T., Binnemans, K. (2014). From NdFeB magnets
 1846 towards the rare-earth oxides: a recycling process consuming only oxalic acid. *RSC Adv.*, 4, 64099-
 1847 64111.

1848 Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Blockx, J., De Coster, H., Binnemans, K. (2015). Selective Single-Step
 1849 Separation of a Mixture of Three Metal Ions by a Triphasic Ionic-Liquid–Water–Ionic-Liquid
 1850 Solvent Extraction System. *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 21, 11757–11766.

1851 Vayssière, P., Chaumont, A., Wipff, G. (2005). Cation extraction by 18-crown-6 to a room-temperature
 1852 ionic liquid: The effect of solvent humidity investigated by molecular dynamics simulations. *Phys.*
 1853 *Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 124-135.

1854 Viboud, S., Papaiconomou, N., Cortesi, A., Chatel, G., Draye, M., Fontvieille, D. (2012). Correlating
 1855 the Structure and Composition of Ionic Liquids with Their Toxicity on *Vibrio Fischeri*: A Systematic
 1856 Study. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 215–216, 40–48

1857 Vidal, L., Riekkola, M.-L., Canals, A. (2012). Ionic liquid-modified materials for solid-phase extraction
 1858 and separation: A review. *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 715, 19-41.

1859 Visser, A.E., Swatloski, R.P., Reichert, W.M., Mayton, R., Sheff, S., Wierzbicki, A., Davis Jr., J.A.,
 1860 Rogers, R.D. (2001). Task-specific ionic liquids for the extraction of metal ions from aqueous
 1861 solutions. *Chem. Commun.*, 1, 135-136.

1862 Visser, A.E., Swatloski, R.P., Reichert, W.M., Mayton, R., Sheff, S., Wierzbicki, A., Davis Jr., J.H.,
 1863 Rogers, R.D. (2002). Task-specific ionic liquids incorporating novel cations for the coordination and
 1864 extraction of Hg^{2+} and Cd^{2+} : Synthesis, characterization, and extraction studies. *Environ. Sci*
 1865 *Technol.*, 36, 2523-2529.

1866 Wankowski, J.L., Dietz, M.L. (2016). Ionic Liquid (IL) Cation and Anion Structural Effects on Metal
 1867 Ion Extraction into Quaternary Ammonium-based ILs. *Solvent Extr. Ion Exchange*, 34, 48-59.

1868 Wasserscheid, P., Welton, T. (2008). *Ionic liquids in synthesis, vol.1* [2nd Ed.] (p.57-70). Weinheim:
 1869 Wiley-VCH.

1870 Wellens, S., Thijs, B., Binnemans, K. (2012). An environmentally friendlier approach to
 1871 hydrometallurgy: highly selective separation of cobalt from nickel by solvent extraction with
 1872 undiluted phosphonium ionic liquids. *Green Chem.*, 14, 1657-1665.

1873 Wellens, S., Goovaerts, R., Möller, C., Luyten, J., Thijs, B., Binnemans, K. (2013). A continuous ionic
 1874 liquid extraction process for the separation of cobalt from nickel. *Green Chem.*, 15, 3160-3164

1875 Wellens, S., Vander Hoogerstraete, T., Möller, C., Thijs, B., Luyten, J., Binnemans, K. (2014a).
 1876 Dissolution of metal oxides in an acid-saturated ionic liquid solution and investigation of the back-
 1877 extraction behaviour to the aqueous phase. *Hydrometallurgy*, 144-145, 27-33.

1878 Wellens, S., Brooks, N.R., Thijs, B., Van Meervelt, L., Binnemans, K., (2014b). Carbene formation
 1879 upon reactive dissolution of metal oxides in imidazolium ionic liquids. *Dalton Trans.*, 43, 3443-
 1880 3452.

1881 Welton, T. (1999). Room-temperature ionic liquids. solvents for synthesis and catalysis. *Chem. Rev.*,
 1882 99, 2071–2083.

1883 Whitehead, J.A., Lawrance, G.A., McCluskey, A. (2004). 'Green' leaching: recyclable and selective
 1884 leaching of gold-bearing ore in an ionic liquid. *Green Chem.*, 6, 313-315.

1885 Whitehead, J.A., Zhang, J., Pereira, N., McCluskey, A., Lawrance, G.A. (2007). Application of 1-alkyl-
1886 3-methyl-imidazolium ionic liquids in the oxidative leaching of sulphidic copper, gold and silver
1887 ores. *Hydrometallurgy*, 88, 109-120.

1888 Whitehead, J.A., Zhang, J., McCluskey, A., Lawrance, G.A. (2009). Comparative leaching of a sulfidic
1889 gold ore in ionic liquid and aqueous acid with thiourea and halides using Fe(III) or HSO_5^- oxidant.
1890 *Hydrometallurgy*, 98, 276–280.

1891 Widmer, R., Oswald-Krapf, H., Sinha-Khetriwal, D., Schnellmann, M., Böni, H. (2005). Global
1892 perspectives on e-waste. *Environ. Impact Asses.*, 25, 436–458.

1893 Wilson, A.M., Bailey, P.J., Tasker, P.A., Turkington, J.R., Grant, R.A., Love, J.B. (2014). Solvent
1894 extraction: the coordination chemistry behind extractive metallurgy. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 43, 123-134.

1895 Yang, H., Wang, W., Cui, H., Zhang, D., Liu, Yu., Chen, J., (2012). Recovery of rare earth elements
1896 from simulated fluorescent powder using bifunctional ionic liquid extractants (Bif-ILEs). *J. Chem.*
1897 *Technol. Biot.*, 87, 198–205.

1898 Yang, J., Kubota, F., Baba, Y., Kamiya, N., Goto, M. (2014). One Step Effective Separation of Platinum
1899 and Palladium in an Acidic Chloride Solution by Using Undiluted Ionic Liquids. *Solvent Extr. Res.*
1900 *Dev.*, 21, 129–135.

1901 Ye, J., Kayaga, S., Smout, I. (2009). Regulating for e-waste in China: progress and challenges. *P. I.*
1902 *Civil Eng.-Munic.*, 162, 79 – 85.

1903 Zeng, X., Li, J., Xie, H., Liu, L. (2013). A novel dismantling process of waste printed circuit boards
1904 using water-soluble ionic liquid. *Chemosphere*, 93, 1288-94.

1905 Zhang, Q., Wang, Q., Zhang, S., Lu, X., Zhang, X. (2016). Electrodeposition in Ionic Liquids.
1906 *ChemPhysChem*, 17, 335-351.

1907 Zhang, Y., Chen, M., Tan, Q., Wang, B., Chen, S. (2018). Recovery of copper from WPCBs using
1908 slurry electrolysis with ionic liquid $[\text{BSO}_3\text{HPy}]\cdot\text{HSO}_4$. *Hydrometallurgy*, 175, 150-154

1909 Zhu, P., Chen, Y., Wang, L.Y., Zhou, M. (2012a). Treatment of waste printed circuit board by green
1910 solvent using ionic liquid. *Waste Manag.*, 32, 1914-1918.

1911 Zhu, P., Chen, Y., Wang, L.Y., Zhou, M. (2012b). A new technology for recycling solder from waste
1912 printed circuit boards using ionic liquid. *Waste Manag Res.*, 30, 1222-1226.

1913 Zhu, P., Chen, Y., Wang, L.Y., Qian, G.Y., Zhou, M., Zhou, J. (2012c). A new technology for separation
1914 and recovery of materials from waste printed circuit boards by dissolving bromine epoxy resins
1915 using ionic liquid. *J Hazard Mater.*, 239-240, 270-278.

1916 Zhu, M., Zhao, J., Li, Y., Mehio, N., Qi, Y., Liu, H., Dai, S., (2015). An ionic liquid-based synergistic
1917 extraction strategy for rare earths. *Green Chem.*, 17, 2981-2993.

1918 Zuo, Y., Liu, Y., Chen, J., Li, D.-Q. (2009). Extraction and recovery of cerium(IV) along with
1919 fluorine(I) from bastnasite leaching liquor by DEHEHP in $[\text{C}_8\text{mim}]\text{PF}_6^-$. *J. Chem. Technol. Biot.*,
1920 84, 949–956