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Abstract

Cyber-physical system representation is one of the current challenges in Modeling and Simulation. In fact, multi-domain modeling requires new approaches to rigorously deal with it. Co-simulation, one of the approaches, lets modelers use several M&S tools in collaboration. The challenge is to find a way to enable co-simulation use for non-IT experts while being aware of assumptions and limitations involved. This paper deals with co-simulation basic principles teaching through practice. we propose an iterative and modular co-simulation process supported by a DSL-based environment for the MECSYCO co-simulation platform. Through a thermal use case, we are able to introduce co-simulation in a 4 hours tutorial destined to our students.
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1 CONTEXT

1.1 Energy Transition

Efficient energy management is one of this century challenges. The current trend to deal with it is to build cyber-physical system (CPS) [Kleissl and Agarwal, 2010]. CPS are physical systems monitored and supervised by one or several computers through a communication networks [Rajkumar et al., 2010]. Smart-grids are examples of CPS where the energy network is coupled with a communication network to enable remote monitoring and control.

The Modeling and Simulation (M&S) of such systems is one of the current challenges in M&S due to the inter-disciplinary issues they raise. It requests the development of new methods which deal with multi-domain by integrating each expert point of view in the same rigorous and efficient M&S activity. Co-simulation [Gomes et al., 2018] is a way to achieve it.

1.2 Co-Simulation

Co-simulation consists in modeling each part of the target system regardless of the others, in order to represent the system with a multi-model [Yilmaz and Ören, 2004] (a set of interacting...
heterogeneous models). This allows to simulate each model individually with the most appropriate simulator (M&S software) while ensuring the synchronization and exchange of data between these simulators.

It has several benefits. A first one is, from a modeler point of view, each part of the system can be modeled at the right level of abstraction in a dedicated M&S tool. A second one, from an industrial point of view, time and money can be earned by reusing existing specialized software instead of developing new ones.

Reusing models from several tools is an historical challenge, it was already a motivation for the development of middleware like HLA [Dahmann et al., 1997]. For the last few years, we can observe that co-simulation approaches to couple several M&S software is gaining interest in the industry. The Functional Mockup Interface (FMI) illustrates this interest. It is a growing standard for model exchange and co-simulation between several M&S tools [Bertsch et al., 2014].

However co-simulations still raises many challenges linked to technical (coupling software), formal (coupling different simulator dynamics), validity and accuracy aspects [Schweiger et al., 2019]. The practical aspect is also a hard task to achieve. It is still difficult to design a co-simulation gathering the work of several partners, mainly because of IT required skills. Co-simulation is therefore an interesting method that needs to be taught, for the sake of rigorous use.

1.3 Teaching Background

ENSEM (École Nationale Supérieure d'Électricité et de Mécanique), one of the French ”Grandes Écoles” is an engineering school for students from the 3rd university grade to the 5th whose historical training consists of three major areas: mechanics, electrical engineering and IT systems.

In the energy transition context, the school has oriented its teachings towards energy management issues, including smart-grid M&S (and CPS in general). With the uprising interest in co-simulation in the industry, it became relevant to introduce our students with basic co-simulation knowledge. A dedicated introduction course of about 20 hours has been set up where students learn three kinds of modeling approaches (continuous, discrete event, and multi-agent systems) and co-simulation. Three sessions are dedicated to practice:

1. Discovery of NetLogo [Tisue and Wilensky, 2004],
2. Co-simulation basics with FMI and event handling on Java using JavaFMI [Hernández-Cabrera et al., 2016], and

2 PURPOSE AND PROPOSAL

2.1 Goal

Academic or industrial projects are increasingly facing interdisciplinary issues that require greater cooperation between several domain experts. Co-simulation is one way to ease these collaborations but it requests an evolution on the way we think about M&S software. Co-simulation illustrates that modeling software should no longer be thought as closed systems
but rather as opened systems which can be reused in collaboration. Our purpose is to set up a
class practice which introduces co-simulation, the basic principles and how it works. Problems
related to continuous system co-simulation such as accuracy are mentioned during the course
but not addressed in this tutorial in order to keep a guideline accessible.

2.2 Proposal

Based on our experience with MECSYCO, we propose an iterative and hierarchical procedure to
build multi-models and to design co-simulations. This procedure relies on the decomposition of
the co-simulation process into 4 main steps: modeling, integration, multi-modeling and experi-
ment. We rely on these steps to explain co-simulation principles. Moreover, a DSL (Domain
Specific Language) based environment has been developed to support this process by enabling
coop-simulation design from already MECSYCO integrated models without IT skills.

This work is our baseline to set up a co-simulation practice for our students on a thermal use case which contains:

- A simple house model designed with Modelica [Fritzson, 2011] and the BuildSysPro
  library [Plessis et al., 2014], the model is exported as an FMU [Blochwitz et al., 2014],
  version 2.0 of the standard. The idea is to show that we can use a state-of-the-art dedicated
  thermal library to define our models, in addition it let us introduce the FMI standard.

- MatLab/Simulink for a simple controller model. MatLab is chosen because it is a well-
  known tool for our students.

- A SQL database which contains real weather data collected during summer 2018 in
  Nancy. This database allows us to anchor our model in reality.

This practice highlights how co-simulation can help collaboration by enabling existing M&S
software reuse while making students question the interoperability issues and the synchroniza-
tion algorithm involved.

To sum up we propose a process to make students without much IT skills able to
perform MECSYCO co-simulations with several M&S tools (MatLab, FMI and an SQL
data base) and to understand and question the way it works.

2.3 MECSYCO Background

MECSYCO is a DEVS wrapping platform (available on www.mecsyco.com under AGPL license)
that takes advantage of the DEVS universality [Zeigler et al., 2000] for enabling multi-paradigm
co-simulation of complex systems. It was used for the M&S of smart electrical grids in the
context of a partnership between LORIA/Inria (French IT research institute) and EDF R&D
(main French electric utility company) [Vaubourg et al., 2015].

MECSYCO is based on the AA4MM (Agents & Artifacts for Multi-Modeling) paradigm
[Siebert, 2011] (from an original idea of Bonneaud [Bonneaud, 2008]) that sees an heteroge-
neous co-simulation as a multi-agent system. Within this scope, each couple model/simulator
corresponds to an agent, and the data exchanges between the simulators correspond to the inter-
actions between the agents. By following this multi-agent paradigm from the concepts to their
implementation, MECSYCO ensures a modular, extensible (i.e. features such as an observation
system can be easily added), decentralized and distributable parallel co-simulation. MECSYCO
implements the AA4MM concepts according to DEVS simulation protocol for coordinating the
executions of the simulators and managing interactions between models.
So far, we successfully define DEVS wrappers for discrete and continuous modeling tools like the telecommunication network simulators NS-3 and OMNeT++ [Vaubourg et al., 2016], the FMI standard [Blochwitz et al., 2014], and for the NetLogo simulator [Paris et al., 2017]. Entities from MECSYCO represent co-simulation aspects. They are listed below:

- Model-artifact: They are responsible for the software and formal wrapping.
- M-agent: The dynamic part of the co-simulation, each agent is in charge of one model it controls through a model-artifact. They exchange data with each other using the coupling artifacts and implement the Chandy-Misra-Bryant algorithm [Chandy and Misra, 1979] for the co-simulation.
- Coupling artifact: They support the communication between agents, their use is similar to mailboxes.
- Model: These entities represent the integrated models and their simulators.

2.4 Four Steps Co-Simulation Process

The Figure 1 represents our co-simulation design process (we assume solutions for both software and formal integration are already available). Note that these steps are not specific to MECSYCO but can be applied to other co-simulation approaches, e.g. Into-CPS [Larsen et al., 2016]. This scheme is used to locate the different steps of the co-simulation design.

![Figure 1: From model components to co-simulations.](image)

2.4.1 Modeling

The modeling step consists in developing the different components which will be used in the experiment. Different formalisms and M&S software can be used. MECSYCO doesn’t deal with this step because it doesn’t provide means to write models, instead it proposes an approach to integrate models from different software, to connect them, and to co-simulate the resulting multi-model. Similarly, in the Into-CPS approach as in every FMI-based co-simulation tools, models to be used have to be designed in FMI compliant M&S software, i.e. software that can export FMU.

The modeling process ends with heterogeneous models which serve as inputs to integration process.
2.4.2 Integration

The purpose of the integration step is to transform the heterogeneous models into interoperable components. It requires at least a software and a formal integration, i.e. a way to exchange data between M&S software and to make simulators’ dynamics compliant.

In MECSYCO, the software and the formal integration are done through the development of a model-artifact in Java, outside the topic of the teaching, (this development requests DEVS knowledge and IT skills) while in Into-CPS this step is ensured by FMI which provides a standard way to interact with the exported FMU.

In both case, the integration phase is completed by a model description file explaining the specific interface (parameters, inputs and outputs) of each model.

2.4.3 Multi-Modeling

Multi-modeling represents the coupling step. At this stage, we have the mean to use models from several simulators (thanks to several model-artifacts in the MECSYCO case), these atomic models are described with XML files. We just have to define how models are coupled. Within the MECSYCO middleware, this job is done thanks to a multi-model description file whose shape is inspired by the DEVS coupled structure [Zeigler et al., 2000] so we can design hierarchically the final multi-model. In Into-CPS, this is done by using Modelio and the SysML notation.

2.4.4 Experiment

Last but not least, the co-simulation step consists in describing the experiment we want to perform (choice of multi-model, parameters and observing tools).

2.5 DSL Environment

One of the former MECSYCO drawback was the necessity to design the co-simulation as Java code (it isn’t relevant for non computer scientist users). To fix it and support the co-simulation process, a DSL-based environment for MECSYCO has been developed using the XText framework (see https://www.eclipse.org/Xtext/).

For each step, a dedicated DSL is implemented to ease the description phase (m2xml for atomic model interface, mm2xml for multi-model and cs2xml for experiment description). Figure 2 shows the four DSL currently developed and their interactions (the nl2xml language is dedicated to NetLogo model description [Paris et al., 2017]).

![Figure 2: Scheme of MECSYCO DSL.](image-url)
Note that *mm2xml* ensures modularity (changing a model by another consists in changing a path to another model/multi-model description) and hierarchical design (multi-model descriptions can be reused just like simple model descriptions).

These DSL scripts generate XML files we can process to automate co-simulation launches. With this new environment, MECSYCO can be used to perform co-simulations without writing a single line of Java code.

### 3 THE PRACTICE

The co-simulation process we explained before and the newly developed DSL-based environment for MECSYCO serve as a basis for a small co-simulation tutorial for students.

After a heat wave in Nancy 2018, we came up with the idea of studying how to use with effectiveness an air conditioner to maintain a comfortable temperature for a given house.

The system we want to model is a simple house composed of three rooms. Each room has its own air conditioner and influences the other rooms temperature through walls. The Figure 3 shows the three models we gave to our students to build the co-simulation. To keep it simple, we do not model explicitly the air conditioner but just its internal controller, i.e. the controller directly sends heat flows in the rooms. As we have limited time and focus on co-simulation, the students don’t build the models by themselves, we give them the different model components to connect.

![Figure 3: Three components to co-simulate.](image)

#### 3.1 Details of the Models

##### 3.1.1 House Temperature Model

The role of this model is to compute the temperature inside each room of the house depending on the weather condition (outside temperature and solar radiance) and on the power used to cool the house.

We explain just below the interface of this model:

- **Parameters**: They impact the insulation properties of wall (separation between inside walls and external walls), the volume of each room and each wall surface. They are not supposed to be changed during the class.

- **Outputs**: Three outputs $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_3$ for each room temperature (in Kelvin).
- **Inputs**: $\text{Pow}_1$, $\text{Pow}_2$ and $\text{Pow}_3$ (in Joule per seconds) are the heat flows sent by the controller to regulate the house thermal behavior. $\text{Text}$ represents the outside temperature in Kelvin. $\text{FluxN}$, $\text{FluxE}$, $\text{FluxS}$ and $\text{FluxO}$ are the solar radiance (in Watt per m$^2$) in each cardinal direction.

This model is built with Modelica in OpenModelica [Fritzson et al., 2006] using components from the BuildSysPro library developed by EDF R&D [Plessis et al., 2014]. Our goal with BuildSysPro is to illustrate one interest of co-simulation: we can use state of the art library from one tool and use it in collaboration with other widely used M&S software.

### 3.1.2 Regulation Model

The regulation model is used to maintain a given temperature in a room. As our students are familiar with MatLab, we chose to build this model with MatLab/Simulink. We explain just below the interface of this model:

- **Parameters**: They concern the target temperature, the acceptable bandwidth and the maximum allowed power consumption for each room. We can also control the time step of the controller (the time between each order).

- **Outputs**: Three outputs $\text{Pow}_1$, $\text{Pow}_2$ and $\text{Pow}_3$ ($J.s^{-1}$) for each heat flow inputs of the thermal model.

- **Inputs**: $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_3$ (in Kelvin) are the temperatures of the rooms to control.

The controllers of the three rooms are contained in a single Simulink model, we could use three different models but keeping everything in a single one is more convenient. The model given to the student gathers three simple on/off controllers with hysteresis. One of the requested work is to propose something better and softer.

The interactions between the MECSYCO co-simulation platform and MatLab/Simulink are enabled by a model-artifact (a piece of Java code used for software and DEVs wrapping) which relies itself on the free matlabcontrol library (see https://github.com/jakaplan/matlabcontrol). Note that this model-artifact is the result of a student two month internship about MatLab integration, another approach would have been to export the MatLab model as an FMU.

### 3.1.3 Weather Data

We don’t use any model for the weather, instead, we use real data gathered during summer 2018. These data were collected by the Apheen (in english, Association for the accomodation of students and teachers of Nancy) in the context of a common project to study the thermal behavior of their residences. To that purpose, they have collected data about outside temperature, wind speed and sunlight and stored them in a SQL database. In the co-simulation context, the weather component is seen as a source model, it only sends events to other models, i.e. there is no inputs. Then, its interface only contains:

- **Parameter**: There is one parameter, a time step which enables to control when the events are sent. Data are collected approximately every two minutes, the events send at a given date is either the record available at that time or the most recent record.
• **Outputs**: The two outputs we use in our example is *TWeather* (for the outside temperature, in Celsius), and *Radiance* (for the sunlight and in the building, only one wall is exposed to the sun).

The integration of this SQL database is also performed thanks to a model-artifact that loads all the data for a given period and then sent it as events at the requested times (depending on the chosen time step).

### 3.1.4 Target Multi-Model

The Figure 4 presents the multi-model students have to build and co-simulate from our three models (the radiance can, or not, be taken into account to measure its effect).

![Figure 4: The multi-model to co-simulate.](image)

### 3.2 Student Work

After a warm-up with Java code to see each MECSYCO entity and to explain their role, students have to use the different DSL and examples available to design the co-simulation. Figure 5 sums up the process they follow. Each descriptive step shows what information is required to reuse an element. An important point to consider concerns the data representation when coupling heterogeneous models, e.g. in our multi-model, operations have to be applied during data transfer because temperatures in models are in Kelvin whereas they are in Celsius in the database. These operations take place in MECSYCO coupling artifacts and are specified during the internal couplings descriptions.

We give DSL snippets which represent description of the controller in Figure 6 and partial description of the multi-model in Figure 7.

The results of the experiment are shown on Figures 8 and 9. The last question for our students is to review these results to make them wonder and discuss about the accuracy and validity of the models.

### 4 DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Related Works

The co-simulation process based on three descriptive steps (atomic model interface, multi-model and experiment) is inspired by the Into-CPS way of dealing with the co-simulation process which was used for tutorials in the Sibiu CPS Summer school 2017 (see [http://projects.au.dk/into-cps/dissemination/summerschool/](http://projects.au.dk/into-cps/dissemination/summerschool/)). The will to use descriptive files as supports for the modeling process is also motivated by various works like FMI (with
its modeldescription.xml) and HLA (with the Simulation Object Model). In the continuations
of this work we plan to add other data in the descriptions (like physical units) and to provide
analysis tools.

4.2 Next Steps
The models we define are not much accurate and have not been compared to real use case.
However, this kind of multi-model can be used to determine the size of an air conditioner or
reversible heat pump before installing it or to test different controller behaviors. Then a perspec-
tive would be to test several controller models from several M&S tools (by taking advantage of

Figure 5: Summary of the co-simulation design process.

Figure 6: Overview ControllerMatlab.m2xml.

Figure 7: Overview UseCase.mm2xml.
the modularity of MECSYCO, and to add new models to take into account other perspectives (air conditioner electric consumption, resident behaviors etc).

4.3 Student Feedbacks

This practice let us test and validate the DSL-based environment usability from students positive comments. From our first experience, this tutorial decomposed in steps leads the students to question several aspects of co-simulations during the class such as the synchronization algorithm, the assumptions made on the models and the limits in terms of representation or performances. The teaching purpose can be considered fulfilled. For a deeper understanding of multi-modeling and co-simulation, a larger course should be considered such as the one presented in [Van Tendeloo and Vangheluwe, 2016].

5 CONCLUSION

We can find in the literature many works that deal with co-simulation (FMI, HLA, MECSYCO), but finding a way for co-simulating components isn’t the only task, a method must also be specified to guide the users in the design of the co-simulation. In particular in the context of a short introduction to this topic, the process of designing a co-simulation must be specified enough to be understandable and usable by students with basic modeling knowledge.

In this article, we introduce a DSL-based environment for MECSYCO, a DEVS-wrapping co-simulation platform. This DSL environment supports a descriptive method following a four steps co-simulation process (modeling, integration, multi-modeling and experiment). This process and the dedicated environment enable to guide modelers through the co-simulation design and were used (and tested) to illustrates co-simulation principles in a student practical class.

In a teaching perspective, the interest of our thermal use case is the illustrations of co-simulation benefits (mainly the reuse of existing M&S tools to deal with interdisciplinary problem), challenges (interoperability) and drawbacks (limits of integration and accuracy), it lets us raise our student awareness about this topic.
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