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ABSTRACT

At the end of their life cycle, it is expected that many industrial silicone components end up in incineration waste plants. Hence, the issue concerning the risks resulting from the generation of fumes (combustion gas and aerosol) has to be addressed. The aim of our work was to investigate the behavior and fate of nanosilicas from filled polydimethylsiloxane nanocomposites burnt under two different scenarios of incineration. Combustion tests have been performed at lab-scale using a particular tubular furnace and a specific cone calorimeter. The collected fumes (particulate matter and gas phase) have been characterized using various techniques. The results show persistent nanosilica particles, newly produced nanosilica particles in the fumes and in the residues, as well as silicon oxycarbide SiOxC particles which seem to originate from polysiloxane matrix decomposition.

1. Introduction

Nanocomposites have been widely studied and produced since the end of the nineties. These trendy materials containing nanomaterials represent approximately 20,000 metric tons per year [1]. This waste should be streamed to be recycled, placed in landfills or incinerated. Recently, few studies on the behavior and the fate of nano-objects in incineration facilities have been investigated at lab-scale [2] and at real scale [3]. Various polymers have been studied, from paint where Massari et al. [4] suggest that TiO2 nanoparticles may undergo to physicochemical transformation during the incineration, and that titanium found in ashes stay in glass matrix. According to Derrough et al. [5], the most of the nanomaterials remained in the bottom ash and only a small amount partitioned into the particulate matter. At the same time,
Vejerano et al. [6] [7] showed that the combination of high specific surface area of nanomaterials might be responsible for affecting the formation of toxic pollutants during incineration. In parallel, Ounoughene et al. [8] investigated the behavior of various nanocomposites during incineration process and show that nanoparticles (NP) should be potentially transformed into other structures which were found in both the aerosol and the residues. The risks related to the potential toxicity of fine particles (e.g. during combustion processes) have already been outlined [9]. The review of the bibliography related to the incineration of filled polymer highlights the lack of studies concerning silicone incineration (excepted, a recent paper on characterization of waste containing nanofillers [10]. They focus only on solid form and don’t present an overview of the fate of nanoparticles. The originality of this study lies in the fact that silicones are crosslinked materials and not recyclable and the only issues remains incineration or landfilling [11] and that the thermal degradation of silicone induces silica formation. The limited presence of incineration behavior of nanosilica filled polydimethylsiloxane in published literature has prompted this investigation. These widely used polymers have many industrial and domestic applications such as household and personal care products, textile treatments, electrical cables and wires [12,13]. As a consequence of their widespread use, many end-of-life polysiloxanes components are likely to be sent to municipal waste incineration plants. Polysiloxanes can enter a waste incineration plant in two different ways: (1) solid waste silicones are converted back to inorganic substances, mainly, amorphous silica and carbon dioxide (polysiloxanes can also be landfilled), (2) liquid waste from sludge (resulting from products such as shampoos or detergents) which ends up in municipal wastewater [12]. However, only few studies focus on the silica produced during the combustion of polysiloxanes. In biogas industries, problems have been reported regarding silica particles generated during the combustion of biogas containing siloxane traces. Indeed, the deposition of these particles in the combustion chamber causes dysfunction of engines and turbines [14-16]. To our knowledge, apart from this last issue, studies dealing with silica particles (mainly submicron particles) released from combustion of polysiloxanes are scarce. Hence, further investigations about fumes (combustion gas and aerosol) produced during the combustion of polydimethylsiloxane are required. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) is the main commercial polysiloxane. It is a synthetic polymer with Si–O backbone and methyl side groups. It exhibits interesting properties like hydrophobic characteristics [17], superior properties of heat and cold resistance, excellent weather resistance and electrical properties [18]. Thermal degradation of PDMS leads to a silica residue or a silicon oxycarbide residue depending on combustion conditions [19-21]. Furthermore, in order to improve their mechanical properties, PDMS are often reinforced with nanoparticles like amorphous silica [19,22] which can be divided into manufactured products and natural minerals (like diatomaceous earth). Synthetic amorphous silica (SAS), especially nanosized silica (with a diameter < 100 nm), has received huge attention towards many industrial applications for several years. Even if, many aspects related to the size and porous texture of these nanomaterials have raised in the literature. That concerns mainly safety since human exposure to SAS and environmental release [23,24]. However, papers regarding risks assessment in link with the incineration end-of life deals rarely with the impact of particles size after thermal degradations.

The thermal degradation of nanosilica filled PDMS was studied by different authors [19,21,25] and it was shown that nanosilica particles decrease the flammability of PDMS. However, the fumes produced during the thermal degradation were never investigated, and tests involving the combustion parameters of domestic waste incineration (temperature around 850 °C, highly ventilated combustion, at least 2 s residence time for the combustion gas in a post-combustion chamber at 850 °C, and high oxygen/fuel contact) were never reported. Here again, there is a lack in the literature regarding silica particles behavior during combustion, especially during incineration.

For the first time, the present study brings an insight about silica particles generated by the combustion (under two incineration scenarios) of a silica/PDMS nanocomposite. The authors suggest the use of two small scale combustion devices with controlled key incineration parameters: a modified tubular furnace and a modified cone calorimeter to access of PN (particle number) by combining physical one-line characterization [8] and particles sampling (morphological analysis). The fumes were sampled downstream of the combustion devices (downstream of the post-combustion chamber is considered as equivalent to the upstream of fumes treatment sections in a real-scale incineration plant), and were characterized using various aerosol and gas analysis techniques. The solid combustion residues were analyzed as well. The aim of this work was (i) to study the behavior and fate of silica particles when a silica/PDMS nanocomposite is incinerated, (ii) to simulate and to compare the results of two experimental setups devoted to incineration at lab scale (tubular furnace and cone calorimeter with controlled atmosphere). This study also investigated possible modifications affecting nano-silica particles undergo during their stay in the incinerator furnace chambers, and where they could be potentially released.

2. Methodology

Two experimental setups were used in order to carry out incineration tests and to track effluents (particles and smoke). Two lab-scale devices (tubular furnace and cone calorimeter) were improved and adapted to simulate incineration, in accordance with the 4 T (Temperature, Time, Total amount of O2 and Turbulence) rules [26]:

- Temperature (850 °C)
- Time (2s)
- Total amount of Oxygen (> 11%)
- Turbulence (a good mix between combustible and oxygen)

This section aims to compare these two devices to ensure the key incineration parameters are under controlled.

2.1. Sample’s description and formulations

The sample is a nanosilica filled polydimethylsiloxane. It consists of a PDMS gum (68.5 wt%), a siloxane oil (3 wt%, PDMS-SIOH) and nanosized fumed amorphous silica particles (27 wt%) with an average primary particle size of 12 nm. PDMS was mixed with a 2.4 dichlorobenzonic catalyst (used as radical generator necessary to cross linked the matrix) and thermo-compressed using a Darragon type 3 press in 10 × 10 × 0.4 cm3 steel square (at 30 sheets, 115 °C during 8 min). A pristine PDMS is also tested for comparison, when needed. 0.25 g samples (1 × 1 × 0.2 cm3) from these specimens were cut and then tested in the incineration scenario 1 (tubular furnace) and 2.5 g (2.5 × 2.5 × 0.4 cm3) were tested in the incineration scenario 2 (cone calorimeter).

2.2. Lab-scale incinerator devices and analyses

A tubular horizontal furnace (Carbolite STF 15/610) [2] and a cone calorimeter (Fire Testing Technology) [26] were modified to simulate conditions implemented in an industrial grate incinerator. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the sample holder is considered as the “combustion chamber” where the temperature is maintained at 850 °C (at least) for the tubular furnace and where the thermal flow is settled at 75 kW/m2 (which corresponds to a temperature close to 850 °C) in the cone calorimeter. Air cross flows penetrate and mix with the combustibles. Then, the “post-combustion chamber” is the chamber zone where the fumes continue their oxidation (during 2 s for the tubular furnace; during 0.2 s for the cone calorimeter). Finally, it is conveyed to the measurement lines described as follows.
In order to superimpose the curves of time tracking and to synchronize all events detected, it is important to know the response time of each measurement line. It was determined by stopwatch (an uncertainty of two seconds was taken into account).

The fumes were sampled appropriately as explained below and analyzed in two different ways:

- time tracking for the gas and particles concentration from the fumes,
- off-line analysis for the morphology and chemical composition of particles.

Further analyses were conducted on the combustion solid residues (see below, Section 2.2.4).

2.2.1. Time tracking for the gas phase

The sampling of the gas phase from the fumes followed the methods used for sampling direct emissions from stationary combustion sources. For both devices, the fumes were dried and filtered using a line with a hot filter and a condenser. Multi-gas analyzers were used (PG-250 Horiba portable gas analyzer for the tubular furnace and Servomex 4100 gas analyzer for the cone calorimeter) to determine the evolution of the combustion gases concentrations: consumption of \(\text{O}_2\) (%vol.), production of \(\text{CO}_2\) (%vol.) and \(\text{CO}\) (ppm vol.).

2.2.2. Time tracking for particle number concentration

The fumes sampled have to be representative of the aerosol upstream the flue-gas cleaning systems, i.e. downstream of the post-combustion chamber. For the two devices, the target temperature is 150 (± 10) °C. Actually, for industrial conditions, the flue gas leaves the post-combustion chamber and goes through a heater (for heat recovery purpose) and then flows through a treatment process at a temperature around 150 (± 10) °C in accordance with BREF Waste Incineration (2006) [27]. For each scenario, two different samples and counters were used to conduct the analysis with respect to the temperature constraint mentioned above.

2.2.2.1. Incineration scenario 1: tubular furnace device

Regarding the tubular furnace scenario, an Electrical Low Pressure Impactor (ELPI, Dekati) was used downstream of a FPS diluter (Fine particle sampler, Dekati). As explained in a previous study [19], the objective of the sampling was to avoid cold spots below 150 (± 10) °C during the first dilution and then thermophoretic losses can be prevented by heating the sampling probe to same or higher temperature than sample temperature. The FPS dilutor performed two successive dilutions: the first heated dilution at 150 (± 10) °C and the second at room temperature. Thus, the sampled fumes underwent two dilutions with a total factor of 1:30. The ELPI provided a real-time measurement of particle number concentration through 12 channels from 17 nm to 5 \(\mu\)m (aerodynamic diameter of sphere-like particles) [28].

2.2.2.2. Incineration scenario 2: cone calorimeter device

Regarding the cone calorimeter device, an APS spectrometer (TSI, Aerodynamic Particle Sizer 3321) and a DMS 500 spectrometer (Cambustion M85, Fast particle analyser, Dynamic particle spectrum) were used downstream of two dilution stages: a first hot dilution with a DI1000 dilutor (Dekati) with a 1:10 dilution ratio followed by two VKL10 dilutors (Palas) with a 1:100 dilution ratio. Similarly to the scenario 1, the first dilution was heated at 150 (± 10) °C in order to avoid cold spots and the second dilution was at room temperature. Thus, the sampled fumes underwent three dilutions with a total theoretical factor of 1:1000. The DMS500 and the APS provided a real-time measurement of particle number concentration respectively from 5 nm to 1 \(\mu\)m (electrical mobility diameter of sphere-like particles) and from 1 to 2 \(\mu\)m (aerodynamic diameter of sphere-like particles) [29–31].

The PN\(_{x-y}\) are defined as the number concentration of particles counted by the ELPI, the APS and the DMS500 with mean geometric diameter (Di) between x and y \(\mu\)m.

A previous study [32] have shown that the three counters from the measurement lines indicate different diameters (aerodynamic diameters for ELPI and APS, electrical mobility diameters for DMS) since they use different techniques to count particles. So, it must be borne in mind that the diameters are not equivalent.

2.2.3. Off-line analysis for particulate matter

Regarding off-line analyses, fumes and particles were collected over a targeted time range on a TEM grid with a MPS® (Mini-Particle-Sampler, Ecomesure [33]). It was a 10 s sampling downstream of the tubular furnace and downstream of the first dilution stage for the cone calorimeter, as presented on Fig. 1. A Philips CM12 TEM 200 kV was used for the imagery.

2.2.4. Analysis of the combustion residues

The combustion solid residues contained in the sample holder of the lab-scale incinerators were collected after each test. They were...
analyzed by scanning electronic microscope (SEM, JEOL 7600F High Resolution Analytical SEM energy dispersive spectrometer SDD BRUKER (EDS) X-ray detector), by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) with a Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation, and by a Bruker Optics IFS 66 series FT-IR spectrometer.

2.2.5. Standard cone calorimeter test

A Cone Calorimeter from FTT (United Kingdom), according to the standard ISO 5660, has been used in order to measure the HRR (heat rate release) and the mass loss during the tests. The heat flux was fixed to 75 kW/m² and sample size to 100 × 100 × 4 mm. Ignition was piloted using a spark igniter. At least two samples were tested for each formulation and reproducibility was considered as satisfactory. The uncertainty on main values is considered around 15%.

3. Results and discussion

Incineration experiments were performed through both scenarios. The time tracking results gave information on the thermal decomposition of the nanocomposite and imagery techniques on the morphology of the fumes particles. Besides, the analysis of residues brought supplementary information on the fate and the behavior of silica particles.

3.1. Time tracking of gas concentration and particle number concentration

The graphs showing the evolution of concentrations of different gases during the two incineration scenarios of the nanocomposite are given in Fig. 2. The averages of three runs are presented with the associated standard deviation.

The first observation is a rather good agreement of time tracking results for the two incineration scenarios. Despite the differences in the amount of samples tested in the combustion devices (0.25 g for tubular furnace versus 2.5 g for cone calorimeter) and in the setups of measurement lines used, close tendencies were observed.

Indeed, regarding the gas time tracking, the dioxygen (O₂) consumption is symmetrical to the carbon dioxide (CO₂) production for both cases. Also, the production of carbon monoxide (CO) follows a two-step mechanism with a first slight emission followed by a larger emission when the CO₂ is decreasing, in both cases.

Besides, the flame appears at t = 30 s and disappears at t = 125 s, in the case of the cone calorimeter scenario (for which the flame can be observed through a window). Then, according to the Fig. 2b, the two step mechanism mentioned above can be related to the ignition phenomenon. Actually, when the flame appears, the temperature in the post-combustion chamber increases and reaches around 1000 °C (according to the thermocouple situated in the post-combustion chamber between the surface of the sample and the base of the cone calorimeter). During this elevation of temperature, O₂ is consumed, CO₂ and particles (PN₅₀₀₁ and PN₅₀₁₁) are emitted significantly while CO is very slightly produced. Thus, this first phase could represent a complete combustion whereas the second phase could represent an incomplete combustion during which CO is highly emitted, little CO₂ is produced and hardly any O₂ is consumed. The temperature also decreases during this phase. Furthermore, this behavior can be explained by the decomposition pathway of PDMS material. Actually, this nanocomposite can be considered as an “intumescent” material because it swells when it is submitted to a heat source and because a silica protective layer appears on its surface [19]. Thus, the silica layer acts as a barrier.
limiting mass and heat transfers. In this way, the two step mechanism observed could be related to the silica layer evolution during the combustion. After the ignition, the progressive swelling of the material and the formation of a white layer on the surface with a complete combustion can be observed. Then, after the extinction, this layer protects the remaining combustible material from oxygen. In this way, the oxidation cannot be completed. This would explain why CO predominates over CO$_2$ during this second step.

Regarding the particles time tracking, for PN$_{0,1}$ (i.e particles with diameter $< 100$ nm), for both scenarios, they are released even before the ignition, due to pyrolysis of PDMS and de-cohesion of silica particles from the polymer matrix during the degradation. They are predominant after the beginning of combustion because of the formation of the barrier layer and the swelling of the material. Then, they decrease in favor of PN$_{0,1,1}$ (i.e. particles with diameter $100$ nm $< D_i < 1$ $\mu$m). During the combustion stage (Fig. 2b), the production of both categories of particles is quasi-constant. As for PN$_{1,2}$ (i.e. particles with diameter $1$ $\mu$m $< D_i < 2$ $\mu$m), these particles appear later in the combustion process and they are relatively less abundant. It can be explained by the temperature reached during the flame (around 1100 °C), which is able to initiate sintering of silica particles [34].

Additionally, it can be observed that the concentration of particles released is high and that agglomeration of these particles in the furnace is highly likely. However, it would be difficult to ensure if these phenomena occur in a real scale industrial furnace, given the size limits of the lab scale devices. Furthermore, the noticeable PN$_{0,1}$ trail in the end phenomena occur in a real scale industrial furnace, given the size limits of the lab scale devices. Furthermore, the noticeable PN$_{0,1}$ trail in the end of the combustion, for both cases (but more accentuated for the second scenario with the cone calorimeter) can be explained on the one hand by a thermophoresis phenomenon in the measurement line; and on the other hand by the glowing of the solid residue (described hereinafter) which would be dragged by the air flow.

3.2. Imaging and X-ray microanalysis

The results from image analysis provide only qualitative considerations.

3.2.1. Fumes particles

For both scenarios, imaging on combustion particles collected on TEM grids reveals the presence of submicronic aggregates and agglomerates. The primary particles of these structures exhibit different sizes: nanoparticles ($D < 100$ nm) and ultrafine particles ($D > 100$ nm) (Figs. 3 and 4).

The terms primary particles, aggregates and agglomerates are defined as follows: primary particles are the smallest identifiable individual particles, aggregates are primary particles contacting each other at surfaces or edges and they cannot be broken down, while agglomerates are particles which can be severed or aggregates contacting each other but severable [22,35,36].

- Regarding aggregated nanoparticles, their diameter varies from 15 nm for the smallest particles to 50 nm for the largest. Their structures are chain-like and strung together like a fractal pearl. The size of aggregates varies from 100 to 500 nm. It should be noted that the silica used as fillers in PDMS has a bimodal population (around 10 nm and 40 nm).
- Regarding ultrafine particles, the primary particles are roughly spherical and their structures of about 1 $\mu$m size are also chain-like but the network seems more compact.

X-ray microanalysis shows the Si/C/O ratios of these particles (Fig. 5). The finest primary nanoparticles - 15 nm contains mainly silicon and oxygen, which corresponds to silica composition, despite the Si/O ratio is not accurate. The fine primary particles (>$100$ nm) and the biggest nanoparticles ($50 < D < 100$ nm) contain Si, C and O elements. According to the literature, these compounds with this type of composition should correspond, partially, to silicon oxycarbides (Si$_x$O$_y$C$_z$) [20,21,37].

3.2.2. Solid combustion residues

The Fig. 6 shows the meringue-like residue resulting from the combustion in the cone calorimeter (scenario 2). It reveals an “in-tumescent” behavior of the material which has swollen during the thermal decomposition. The same meringue-like residue is observed for scenario 1 as well.

Heat Release rate (HRR) and mass loss values of the silica filled PDMS as well as these of a pristine PDMS were registered and are presented Fig. 7a and b. It can be noticed that the presence of silica does not affect the value of the HRR peak, nevertheless the combustion is
shorter in presence of silica. Consequently, the presence of silica seems to change the PDMS decomposition, despite the formation of a charred structure. PDMS degradation induced release of cyclo-siloxane gases in addition to silica. These gases promote expansion of the structure and also the generation of an intumescent layer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticles with D &lt;15 nm</th>
<th>Nanoparticles with 50&lt;D&lt;100 nm</th>
<th>Nanoparticles with D&gt;100 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si (% atomique)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (% atomique)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (% atomique)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, the final residue is obtained at a lower time for the filled PDMS. Moreover, the difference in the weight residue is lower (20%) than the initial loading of silica in the filled PDMS (27%) (Fig. 7b). Actually, pristine PDMS presents 40 wt.% residue at the end of the test and filled PDMS 60 wt.% in the same conditions. It can be suggested that a part of the silica initially incorporated is released in the aerosol or the presence of silica changes the degradation mechanism of PDMS and decrease the formation of silica.

SEM (coupled with X-ray microanalysis) performed on combustion residues reveals that the residues seem to consist of aggregates and agglomerates with three sizes of primary particles (Fig. 8): the finest nanoparticles (D < 15 nm), the intermediate nanoparticles (50 < D < 100 nm) and the biggest ultrafine particles (D > 100 nm).

XRD performed on solid residues (from both incineration scenarios) showed that the residue is amorphous.

FTIR performed on solid residues (from both incineration scenarios) showed Si–O–Si bond (1033 cm\(^{-1}\)) and Si–O–C bond (813 cm\(^{-1}\)) [20] (Supporting information).

According to FTIR analysis and semi-quantitative X-ray microanalysis, this expanded residue from PDMS/27SiO\(_2\) decomposition consists of silica and silicon oxycarbide (Si\(_x\)O\(_y\)C\(_z\)).
3.2.3. The origin of particles

The origin of the particles observed in residues and in aerosol was scrutinized. Actually, since the tested nanocomposite consists of PDMS and nanosilica particles (about 12 nm), the observed particles could come from the PDMS thermal decomposition or from the initially incorporated nanosilica particles. The determination of the origin seems difficult because the PDMS can produce silica and silicon oxydicarbide via bonds reorganization under high heat rate, as shown in the literature [19–21]. Then, in order to attempt to assign the origin of each type of particles, the particles generated during the combustion of a virgin PDMS (pristine, without nanosilica) were observed (Fig. 9). The residues consist of aggregated/agglomerated fine particles (primary fine particles > 100 nm). The aerosol contains aggregated/agglomerated fine particles and nanoparticles: the finest primary nanoparticles around 15 nm, the intermediate primary particles between 50 and 100 nm, and the biggest primary particles > 100 nm). The X-ray microanalysis shows the presence of Si, C and O, but only median and bigger silica particles contain carbon. Hence, this suggests that these last kinds of silica result mainly from the decomposition of PDMS.

Both for filled PDMS and pristine PDMS, three sizes of particles are observed in the aerosol: the finest D - 15 nm, the intermediate 50 < D < 100 nm, and the biggest particles > 100 nm.

The finest primary nanoparticles (15 nm) could come from the initially incorporated nanosilica but also from the thermal decomposition of PDMS, in the case of the filled PDMS. Emission of smallest primary nanoparticles is difficult to be determined unambiguously. The other particles may come from the decomposition of the polydimethylsiloxane matrix and/or from the re-organization via agglomeration/aggregation and possibly sintering of newly produced particles and initially present nanoparticles.

4. Conclusion

By means of two different experimental set-ups, it has been shown that the incineration of a nanosilica filled polydimethylsiloxane releases silica nanoparticles. Indeed, regarding its melting point (around 1700 °C [38]), silica cannot be destroyed after an incineration process and it remains intact.

This study highlights that silica is a persistent product of the incineration of a nanosilica filled polydimethylsiloxane. Thus, it can enter the environment through incineration outflows and can raise concerns during slags and fumes treatments as well.

Therefore, it seems relevant to determine how silica nanoparticles could act on health and ecosystem.

Even if amorphous silica is not involved in progressive fibrosis of the lung and it is less dangerous than the crystalline silica (which is known for its inflammogenic, fibrogenic and carcinogenic activities [23]), at high doses amorphous silica may result in acute pulmonary inflammatory responses.

Furthermore the nanosize of the silica makes it a potential danger. Many aspects related to the size of these nanomaterials have raised concerns about safety. As primary silica particles are generally non-isolated, some researchers consider that amorphous nanosilica particles are not harmful [24,39]. Besides, other researchers assert that even if nanosilica particles do aggregate, their specific area is the key parameter of their potential toxicity [23,40]. Indeed, their surface can interact with the biological environment, so in this way, the size and surface physico-chemical features of these nanoparticles contribute decisively to their biological effects.

Thus, more detailed toxicity studies are required in order to supplement the existing data and to clearly identify the nanosilica health hazards.

The time tracking results revealed the barrier effect of silica particles in the polydimethylsiloxane matrix for both scenarios through a
two-step mechanism. Despite clear differences in the experimental setup: in the measurement line as well as in the combustion chambers (i.e., the way the sample is heated and the residence time of combustion gases), we obtain convergent results which indicates that the filled PDMS decomposes in the same way regardless of the incineration scenario.

In addition, the results showed a persistence of the incorporated nanosilica particles and a production of nanosilica and silicon oxy-carbide (SixOyCz) in the fumes and in the solid residues. The primary particles are aggregated/agglomerated and they present three different sizes: around 15 nm, between 50 and 100 nm, and > 100 nm. The finest particles could result, at least partially, from the silica introduced initially in the PDMS and from the PDMS decomposition as well. The biggest particles seem to come from to PDMS decomposition, due to the presence of carbon shown by X-microanalysis. The origin of the middle sizes: around 15 nm, between 50 and 100 nm, and > 100 nm. The biggest particles seem to come from to PDMS decomposition, due to the presence of carbon shown by X-microanalysis.
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