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Abstract—Future communication networks will be faced with supporting highly mobile, heterogeneous (including aerial) devices, which calls for new and efficient resource allocation policies that are able to adapt on-the-fly to the network dynamics while relying on little and possibly outdated information. The aim of this paper is twofold: to explicitly take into account the device mobility, their network connectivity patterns and behavior (which may be completely arbitrary and unpredictable); and to greatly reduce the information required at the transmitter. For this, we exploit the framework of online optimization and exponential learning to derive a provably efficient and gradient-free online power allocation algorithm relying only on a scalar-worth of feedback.

Index Terms—Highly mobile devices, arbitrarily time-varying networks, online optimization, zeroth-order feedback

I. INTRODUCTION

Mobility is expected to become one of the major challenges in future communication networks due to ambitious objectives such as ubiquitous 3D connectivity, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and flying devices communication, very low latency, and battery-free communications [1, 2]. This creates the need of designing flexible, efficient and adaptive resource allocation algorithms capable of coping with the underlying network dynamics and unpredictability while relying on little and strictly causal feedback information.

The wide literature on resource allocation problems in wireless networks so far relies (for the most part) on either static [3–5] or stochastic [6–8] models and on strong assumptions on the information available at the transmitter (e.g., perfect channel state information in the form of the signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) in each band, gradient feedback). The main aim is to derive efficient algorithms that converge to an optimal fixed or steady state. However, in highly dynamic networks that can evolve in an arbitrary and unpredictable way there is no fixed solution state to converge to and disposing of significant amount and non-causal information at the device end is no longer realistic.

Online resource allocation algorithms have been recently proposed in [9–12] exploiting the online optimization and regret minimization framework [13, 14]. These works investigate various system models and problems: rate or energy-efficiency maximization in multi-antenna (MIMO) or multi-carrier interference networks. The derived algorithms have the advantage of relying on strictly causal information, without any assumptions on the dynamics that governs the network evolution, which can even be non-stationary. Moreover, they provably attain no regret, meaning that their performance is at least as good as the best fixed policy in hindsight (i.e., having non-causal knowledge of the network’s entire evolution).

Nevertheless, the above online algorithms have in common the (potentially large) amount of feedback information required, i.e., either the perfect or noisy version of the gradient at each iteration (which can be a vector or matrix). In this paper, we investigate the power allocation problem over multiple frequency bands in a distributed and dynamic network composed of multi-user interfering links. Each mobile device wishes to optimize its power consumption while taking into account a minimum quality of service (QoS) constraint. The aim of our work is twofold: to explicitly take into account the device mobility, their network connectivity patterns and behaviour, which may be completely arbitrary and unpredictable; and to greatly reduce the information required at the transmitter.

Our main contributions can be summarized as follows. Building on the exponential learning algorithm in [12], we propose a novel gradient-free online power allocation algorithm that only requires scalar feedback, i.e., the value of the objective function. Based on this feedback, we derive a one-point stochastic estimator of the gradient [8, 13, 14], which, however, leads to quite challenging feasibility issues in our setting. We tackle these issues by appropriately modifying the exponential mapping step in [12] to fit a shrunk version of the feasible set. Our resulting algorithm is shown to reach no regret at a rate of $O(T^{-1/4})$. This decay rate is slower than the one of its gradient-based counterpart ($O(T^{-1/2})$), highlighting the tradeoff between the amount of available information and the speed of reaching no regret.

II. ONLINE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM AND FRAMEWORK

We consider a system composed of $M$ transmitters and $N$ receivers communicating over $S$ orthogonal bands as illustrated in Fig. 1: each device transmits to only one intended receiver, but a given receiver may decode several incoming signals.

Since we aim at devising a distributed policy that needs no central controller, we focus on one particular transmitting-
The focal device becomes a receiving pair. The received signal for the (arbitrarily chosen) red (double-lined) device is the received signal for the device. Base stations (BS) communicate with the mobile devices (Dj) through a network, in which the receivers may not a priori know the minimum target rate Rs. We choose a linear penalty function for its relevance to communications and to simplify the presentation. To sum up, the online optimization problem under study can be stated as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad L_o(p(t)) \\
\text{over} & \quad p(t) = (p^1(t), \ldots, p^S(t)) \\
\text{subject to} & \quad p^j(t) \geq 0, \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, S\} \\
& \quad \sum_{j=1}^S p^j(t) \leq \bar{P}.
\end{align*}
\]

Regarding the constraints, they are physical hard constraints as opposed to QoS requirements, and can never be violated: the first is the positivity of the transmit powers; and the second is the maximum available power constraint.

The particularity of the problem above lies in the fact that the objective function \(L_o(p(t))\) may vary in a non-stationary and unpredictable way. The focal device cannot determine a priori the best optimal power allocation at each time \(t\). Nevertheless, we assume that the device receives some feedback after each transmission, i.e., the past experienced objective value. The main idea in online optimization is to exploit this strictly causal information to build a dynamic and adaptive power allocation policy \(p(t)\) - henceforth called an online policy - that minimizes as much as possible the time-varying objective function \(L_o(p(t))\).

In order to evaluate the performance of a given online policy \(p(t)\), the most commonly used notion is that of the regret [13, 14], which compares its performance in terms of loss with a benchmark policy, i.e., the fixed strategy that minimizes the overall objective over a given horizon \(T\):

\[
\text{Reg}(T) \triangleq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T L_o(p(t)) - \min_{q \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{t=1}^T L_o(q(t)),
\]

where \(\mathcal{P} \triangleq \{p \in \mathbb{R}^S_+ \mid \sum_{j=1}^S p^j \leq P_{\text{max}}\}\) denotes the feasible set. Otherwise stated, the regret measures the performance gap between a power allocation policy \(p(t)\) and the best mean optimal solution over a fixed horizon \(T\). If the regret is negative, then the dynamic policy \(p(t)\) outperforms the best mean optimal solution overall. To quantify this, the policy \(p(t)\) is said to lead to no regret if \(\limsup_{T \to \infty} \text{Reg}(T) \leq 0\).

Remark that the actual computation of the benchmark policy requires the non-causal knowledge of the evolution of the objective throughout the time horizon \(T\) in hindsight, before the transmission actually takes place. Therefore, the design of dynamic policies that reach no regret while relying on strictly causal and local information is a non-trivial goal.

The existing online resource allocation policies [9–12], require a vector (in multi-carrier OFDM systems) or a matrix (in MIMO systems) feedback representing the perfect or imperfect gradient of the objective function: at decision instant \(t + 1\), the available feedback is either \(\nabla L_o(p(t))\) or a noisy version of it.

In this work, one of our main objectives is to reduce the amount of required information to be fed back to the transmit devices. More specifically, we assume that the devices
know only the value of the experienced objective function: at decision instant \( t + 1 \), the available feedback is the value \( L_t(p(t)) \). This means that only a single scalar is needed at the transmitting device – a major advantage in feedback-limited and highly dynamic networks, where the acquisition of network information (e.g., channel state) required for the gradient computation becomes difficult.

To develop an online policy \( p(t) \) that leads to no regret, we start by estimating the gradient of the objective based only on its value, the so-called one-point estimation. For this, we exploit the simultaneous stochastic approximation technique, based on randomly sampling the objective function in a neighbourhood of the power policy \( p(t) \) [13, 14, 17, 18].

We illustrate this idea on a particular directional derivative of \( L_t(p) \) along the unit vector \( x \), denoted by \( \nabla_x L_t(p) \):

\[
\nabla_x L_t(p) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{L_t(p + \delta x) - L_t(p - \delta x)}{2\delta}.
\]

(7)

To estimate this derivative, we randomly sample the objective function around the point \( p \) in the direction \( x \) by drawing a Bernoulli distributed random variable \( u \in \{-1, +1\} \) with equal probability. The expectation of these samples w.r.t. the randomness of \( u \) is

\[
E\left[ L_t(p + \delta u x)u \right] = \frac{L_t(p + \delta x) - L_t(p - \delta x)}{2}. \tag{8}
\]

From (7) and (8), we observe that

\[
E \left[ \frac{L_t(p + \delta u x)u}{\delta} \right] \approx \nabla_x L_t(p). \tag{9}
\]

Since the above is satisfied with equality only in the limit when \( \delta \to 0 \), the quantity \( L_t(p + \delta u x)u/\delta \) represents an approximation (possibly biased) of the directional derivative of \( L_t(p) \) with respect to \( x \).

This principle can be extended to build the following gradient estimate:

\[
\tilde{v}(t) = \frac{S}{\delta} L_t(p(t) + \delta u(t)) u(t), \tag{10}
\]

where \( u(t) \) is uniformly taken over the unit Euclidean sphere \( \{u \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid |u(t)|^2 = 1\} \) [13].

Recently, this approach has been exploited in [8] to estimate the gradient of the objective function in the context of a different stochastic (not online) optimization problem. Aside from this, another major difference is that the network users in [8] are assumed to communicate and coordinate their policies to maximize a common overall utility function, as opposed to our distributed approach.

A major rising issue of such approaches is that they do not account for the fact that the random sample point \( p(t) + \delta u(t) \) may be unfeasible. In our power allocation problem, this would imply that the transmit power vector \( p(t) + \delta u(t) \) may fall outside \( \mathcal{P} \), which cannot be permitted (given the physical power positivity and maximum available power constraints).

To tackle this crucial issue, we define a shrink version of the feasible set:

\[
\mathcal{P}_\delta = \left\{ p_\delta \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid p_\delta \geq \delta, \sum_{s=1}^S p_\delta^s \leq P - \sqrt{S} \delta \right\}, \tag{11}
\]

which guarantees that for any \( \delta \)-perturbation of its components \( p_\delta(t) \in \mathcal{P}_\delta \), the resulting policy is feasible: \( p_\delta(t) + \delta u(t) \in \mathcal{P} \), as illustrated in Fig. 2.

IV. ZERO-ORDER FEEDBACK ONLINE ALGORITHM

As a building block for our algorithm, we exploit the exponential learning approach inspired from the multi-armed bandit framework and adapted to continuous sets of choices [13]. These algorithms have shown their potential in different online resource allocation problems [9, 11, 12] and their main drawback is their reliance on the gradient feedback, which is precisely what address here.

The exponential learning algorithm adapted to the problem at hand (5) can be summarized in two steps. First, the device transmits using \( p(t) \) by mapping an inner cumulative score \( v(t) \) into the feasible set \( \mathcal{P} \) using a judiciously chosen exponential map. Second, as a result of the transmission, the gradient \( v(t) \) is obtained, which is used to update the cumulative score:

\[
p^{1}(t) = \frac{P}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^S \exp(y^i(t))}, \quad v(t), \tag{OXL}
\]

\[
v(t) = \nabla L_t(p(t)), \quad y(t + 1) = y(t) - \mu v(t),
\]

where \( \mu \) is the step-size parameter. This algorithm falls in the class of online gradient descent methods such as the Euclidean projected gradient descent algorithm in [19]. Similarly to [11, 12], we can show that this algorithm leads to no regret in our setting and that the regret vanishes as \( O(T^{-1/2}) \) (when either the perfect gradient \( v(t) \) or its noisy version is available).

In order to exploit the gradient estimation in Sec. III, instead of the actual gradient \( v(t) \), we need to adapt the exponential step above to the shrink set \( \mathcal{P}_\delta \) defined in (11). For this, we introduce a novel exponential mapping: \( Q_\delta(y(t)) \equiv (p_\delta^1(t), \ldots, p_\delta^S(t)) \) such that

\[
p^{\delta}_s(t) = \delta + P (1 - C_\delta) \frac{\exp(y^s(t))}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^S \exp(y^i(t))}, \quad \forall s \tag{EXP0}
\]

\[
Q_\delta(y(t)) \in \mathcal{P}_\delta \quad \text{such that} \quad C_\delta = \frac{\delta}{P} (S + \sqrt{S}) \text{ and } \delta \leq \frac{P}{S + \sqrt{S}},
\]
Our novel algorithm exploiting (OXL) jointly with the one-point estimation of the gradient in Sec. III can be summarized as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{p}_t(t) &= Q_0(y(t)), \\
\hat{v}(t) &= \frac{1}{\delta} L_0(\hat{p}_t(t) + \mu t) u(t), \\
y(t + 1) &= y(t) - \mu \hat{v}(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\hat{v}(t)\) represents the biased estimate of the gradient. For implementation details, see OXL\(_0\) algorithm below. Regarding the complexity, each iteration \(t\) is linear in the problem dimensionality \(S\), the number of bands over which the focal device transmits. Hence, given that \(S\) is not expected to grow large (a given device transmits on a small subset of the total number of bands available to the entire network), the OXL\(_0\) algorithm is particularly appealing for distributed, device-centric networks.

Since the online policy \(p_0(t) + \delta u(t)\) depends on the random vector \(u(t)\), the regret in (6) will also depend on this randomness. To take this into account, we study the average regret \(E[\text{Reg}(T)]\) instead, where the expectation is taken over the randomness of the estimator, and prove that algorithm OXL\(_0\) leads to no regret.

**Theorem 1.** If the OXL\(_0\) algorithm is run with constant parameters \(\delta\) and \(\mu\) then the average regret is bounded by:

\[
E[\text{Reg}(T)] \leq \frac{\bar{P} \log(1 + S)}{2\mu} + \frac{\mu}{2} S (B + K)^2
\]

\[
+ KT\delta \left( 3 + \bar{P} \left( S + 2 \sqrt{S} \right) \right),
\]

where \(K\) is the Lipschitz constant and \(B\) is the maximum value of the objective \(L_0(\cdot)\). Moreover, by choosing the parameters \(\delta\) and \(\mu\) as follows:

\[
\delta^* = \frac{\bar{P}}{(S + \sqrt{S})T^{1/4}}, \quad (13)
\]

\[
\mu^* = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P} \log(1 + S)}{2T} \left( B + K \right)^2}, \quad (14)
\]

then OXL\(_0\) leads to no regret and the average regret vanishes as \(O(T^{-1/4})\).

Algo. 1 Gradient-free Online Exponential Learning (OXL\(_0\))

**Parameters:** \(\mu > 0; 0 < \delta \leq \bar{P}/(S + \sqrt{S})\).

**Initialization:** \(y(0) \leftarrow 0; t \leftarrow 0\).

**Repeat**

\[\{
\text{Pre-transmission phase: } \}
\]

Update \(p_0(t) \leftarrow Q_0(y(t))\) defined in (EXP\(_0\))

Draw a random \(u(t)\) uniformly from the unit-sphere

\[\{
\text{Transmit at } p_0(t) + \delta u(t) \}
\]

**Post-transmission phase: receive feedback \(L_0(p_0(t) + \delta u(t))\)**

Compute the gradient estimation \(\hat{v}(t) = \frac{1}{\delta} L_0(p_0(t) + \delta u(t)) u(t)\)

Update scores \(y(t + 1) \leftarrow y(t) - \mu(t) \hat{v}(t)\)

\(t \leftarrow t + 1\)

**until** transmission ends

The proof is omitted because of the limited space. Regarding the constants \(B\) and \(K\), a short calculation shows that they depend only on readily available system parameters:

\(B = S \bar{P} + \lambda \min, \quad K = 1 + 2\lambda \min\). Notice that the upper bound in (12) grows linearly in \(T\), unless a careful choice of the two parameters \(\mu\) and \(\delta\) is made.

**Tuning the parameters \(\mu\) and \(\delta\):** The step-size \(\mu\) impacts the sensitivity of the algorithm to variations in the power policy. When \(\mu\) is large, a small variation in the score \(y(t)\) results in large variations and oscillations in the power allocation. At the opposite, a small \(\mu\) leads to smaller variations in the power allocation. Both extremes imply a long time for the regret to reach zero.

The parameter \(\delta\) represents the sampling radius around the power policy \(p_0(t)\). When tuning it, there is again a trade-off to be made between the precision of the gradient estimate and its variance. By reducing \(\delta\), the device reduces the distance to \(p_0(t)\) and the estimator gains in precision. But since the device only has access to one value of this estimate, reducing \(\delta\) also increases the variability of the estimator (9).

By choosing \(\mu^*\) and \(\delta^*\) as in (13) and (14) allows us to minimize the upper bound in (12) and to show the no regret property of OXL\(_0\).

A rising issue is that the devices need to know their transmission horizon \(T\) in advance to optimally choose the parameters \(\mu^*\) and \(\delta^*\). This issue can be overcome by exploiting for instance the so-called doubling trick [13]. This basically amounts to running the OXL\(_0\) algorithm sequentially over known and doubling windows (enabling the device to optimally tune the parameters in each window) until the transmission ends. By doing so, similar theoretical guarantees (up to a multiplicative constant factor) can be provided in terms of regret.

From Theorem 1, we remark that the regret decays slower to zero, as \(O(T^{-1/4})\), when only the scalar value of the objective function is fed back to the device, as opposed to \(O(T^{-1/2})\) when the gradient (either perfect or noisy) is known. This highlights the tradeoff between the speed at which the online algorithm reaches the performance of the optimal benchmark and the available information.

V. Numerical results

We consider a network composed of \(M = 10\) interfering devices communicating to a common receiver \(N = 1\) over \(S = 4\) frequency bands (unless otherwise specified). The channels are generated according to the COST-HATA model [20], including pathloss, fast fading and shadowing effects [21]. The system bandwidth is 10 MHz centered at \(f_c = 2\) GHz. The speed of the mobile devices is chosen arbitrarily between 0 km/h and 130 km/h, accounting for a wide variety of wireless mobile devices (smartphones, wearable, pedestrian, vehicle etc.). The minimum rate requirement \(R_{\min} \in [0.5, 3]\) bps/Hz, the available power budget \(\bar{P} \in [0.5, 2]\) W, and the parameter \(\lambda \in [0.5, 10]\), also differ from one device to another. The parameters \(\mu = 10^{-3}\) and \(\delta = 0.05\) are empirically tuned.

Fig. 3 illustrates the impact of having a scarce or imperfect feedback and the impact of the problem dimensionality \(S\). Fig. 3(a) shows that having an imperfect (a noisy estimated)
the gradient based on one random sample of the objective
causal feedback information, i.e., the value of the objective
dimensionality regret of OXL based OXL algorithm. Finally, Fig. 3(b) illustrates the average
OXL the device end is a single scalar. The average regret of this is no longer true when the only information available at
increases, the variance of the estimator $\tilde{f}(t)$ also increases. As a result, the quality of the estimator decreases leading to a reduced decay rate of the average regret.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We propose a novel online power allocation algorithm that explicitly takes into account the network dynamics and unpredictability, while relying only on a scalar and strictly causal feedback information, i.e., the value of the objective function (as opposed to gradient-based methods). We use a stochastic approximation technique to derive an estimation of the gradient based on one random sample of the objective

This random sampling leads to a non-trivial feasibility issue, which we overcome by appropriately shrinking the feasible set. In so doing, we derive a novel exponential learning algorithm provably achieving no regret.
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