Accurate Determination of Human CPR Conformational Equilibrium by smFRET Using Dual Orthogonal Noncanonical Amino Acid Labeling

Robert Quast, Fataneh Fatemi, Michel Kranendonk, Emmanuel Margeat, Gilles Truan

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-02174030
https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02174030
Submitted on 26 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

Copyright
Accurate Determination of Human CPR Conformational Equilibrium by smFRET using Dual Orthogonal Non-Canonical Amino Acid Labeling

Robert B. Quast§, Fataneh Fatemi†, Michel Kranendonk∏, Emmanuel Margeat‡*, Gilles Truan§*

§LISBP, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INRA, INSA, Toulouse, France
†Protein Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University, G.C. Evin, Tehran, Iran
∏Center for Toxicogenomics and Human Health (ToxOmics), Genetics, Oncology and Human Toxicology, NOVA Medical School, Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal
‡CBS, CNRS, INSERM, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

Corresponding Authors: Emmanuel Margeat: margeat@cbs.cnrs.fr, Gilles Truan: gilles.truan@insa-toulouse.fr

ABSTRACT

Conjugation of fluorescent dyes to proteins - a prerequisite for the study of conformational dynamics by single molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET) - can lead to substantial changes of the dye’s photophysical properties, ultimately biasing the quantitative determination of inter-dye distances. In particular the popular cyanine dyes and their derivatives, which are by far the most used dyes in smFRET experiments, exhibit such behavior. To overcome this, a general strategy to site-specifically equip proteins with FRET pairs by chemo-selective reactions using two distinct non-canonical amino acids simultaneously incorporated through genetic code expansion in Escherichia coli was developed. Applied to human NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR), the importance of homogenously labeled samples for accurate determination of FRET efficiencies was demonstrated. Furthermore, the effect of NADP⁺ on the ionic strength dependent modulation of the conformational equilibrium of CPR was unveiled. Given its generality and accuracy, the presented methodology establishes a new benchmark to decipher complex molecular dynamics on single molecules.

Numerous biophysical methods can be used to analyze proteins and derive detailed structural information. Albeit their immense power for supporting structural biology, most of these approaches do not resolve the structural dynamics occurring in individual molecules under physiological conditions, a prerequisite for linking conformational information to biochemical function. In particular, conformational transitions may be averaged out using ensemble-based methods, thereby impairing the elucidation of potential short-lived intermediate conformations. For example, large structural reorientations occurring below the ms timescale have been observed for the human NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR) using a variety of different biophysical methods. CPR is a paradigmatic diflavin reductase, localized at the endoplasmic reticulum, where it transfers electrons from NADPH to a variety of acceptors including P450 enzymes and plays a major role in essential physiological processes such as drug, steroid and fatty acid metabolism. CPR alternates between a locked, compact state, competent for electron transfer between its two flavin cofactors, bound to distinct FMN and FAD/NADPH domains, and an unlocked, open state, allowing electron transfer to acceptors (Figure 1). In the unlocked state, CPR has been described to experience a large relative conformational freedom of the two flavin binding domains around its flexible hinge segment. The first evidences of these structural rearrangements were provided by crystal structures of a mutant lacking 4 residues in the hinge region and a human:yeast chimera.
Single molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET) allows for monitoring structural changes in proteins by reporting on distances in the range of 2-10 nm at nanosecond up to minute timescales. Nevertheless, smFRET measurements require the site-specific attachment of two organic dyes to the protein-of-interest. The photophysical properties of dyes are sensitive to their physicochemical environment, leading to altered quantum yields (QY) and fluorescence lifetimes, which in turn impact the determination of FRET efficiencies. However, these parameters can be experimentally determined, allowing for accurate calculation of transfer efficiencies and inter-dye distances provided that only one type of doubly labeled species is present in the sample. In contrast, such a precise correction remains impossible when proteins have been randomly labeled using only one chemical reaction, as each dye will have two different chemical environments affecting its photophysical properties. Site-specific incorporation of ncAAs into proteins is possible using stop codon suppression mediated by orthogonal translation systems (OTS) to provide unique reactive centers for the selective attachment of dyes. Recently, Sadoine et al. labeled calmodulin by a combination of cysteine-maleimide coupling and bioorthogonal reaction of a ncAA to demonstrate the effect of the labeling position on FRET efficiencies. Here, we present a strategy for the accurate assessment of conformational dynamics in proteins by smFRET, exemplified on the soluble form of human CPR. As human CPR contains multiple surface exposed cysteines, one of them being critical for catalysis, we decided to employ a more general labeling strategy comprising two uniquely reactive non-canonical amino acids (ncAAs) followed by subsequent selective fluorescent modification using two bioorthogonal chemistries. In contrast to previous studies, cotranslational incorporation of p-propargyloxy-L-phenylalanine (PrF) and cyclopropene-L-lysine (CpK), mediated by a mutant M. janaschii tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase (PrFRS)/tRNA_CUA pair and the wild type M. barkeri pyrrolysyl-tRNA synthetase (CpKRS)/M. mazei tRNA_UUA, is achieved through parallel suppression of premature Amber (TAG/UAG) and Ochre (TAA/UAU) stop codons (Figure 2a) using a single newly developed suppression vector. We further measured the influence of the dye position on QYs and demonstrate that our labeling strategy enables accurate determination of FRET efficiencies. Finally, we modulate the conformational dynamics of CPR by salt and confirm the role of the oxidized substrate NADP⁺ in stabilizing the locked state of CPR. Based on structures of CPR in a locked (PDB ID 5FA6) and a potential maximally extended state (derived from PDB ID 3FJO, see methods section), surface exposed residues Q160 in the FMN and K671 in the FAD domain were selected for incorporation of ncAAs (Figure 1). Inter-residue distances were estimated to be ~30 Å and ~85 Å for the two conformations, leading to a large FRET efficiency change given the reported Förster radius of 50-55 Å for the Cy3:Cy5 pair. Additionally, T299 served as a constant FRET control in combination with K671, as no change in the inter-residue distance was predicted during the FMN domain movement. Initial attempts to utilize the previously developed pUltrall system for co-expression of the two OTSs failed to produce soluble sCPR (data not shown). Thus, a novel vector was designed (pRQ101), harboring one cassette for each of the
Figure 2. Cotranslational incorporation of ncAAs and chemoselective fluorescence modification. a) Parallel incorporation of PrF (left) by Amber (purple) and CpK (right) by Ochre (blue) suppression mediated by PrFRS/ tRNACUA and CpKRS/ tRNAUUA, respectively. The schematic representation of the sCPR gene includes the N-terminal 6 x Histidine tag (green), the FMN domain (yellow), the hinge segment (HS, cyan) and the FAD/ NADPH domain (brown). b) Bioorthogonal modification of incorporated PrF with Sulfo-Cy3-Azide (Cy3-N3) by CuAAC and CpK with Tetrazine-Sulfo-Cy5 (Cy5-Tet) by SPIEDAC. c) Cytochrome c reduction efficiency of labeled sCPR variants given by the initial velocity kobs. Error bars represent the standard deviation of triplicates. For sCPR160Cy3,671Cy5 data is shown for individual samples later used in smFRET measurements.

OTSSs constructed on the basis of the previously described enhanced pEVOL system. This novel suppression vector allows for an independent induction of the synthetases, thereby enabling a gentle expression of sCPR, obtained through transcriptional leakage of the lac promoter in the absence of IPTG. In this way, a set of functional sCPR variants harboring one or both ncAAs at varying positions was produced at yields comparable to previous studies (1-4 mg/L of purified protein for double incorporation depending on the position of premature stop codons (Supplementary Figure S1), and separated from the truncated termination products by two consecutive affinity purifications (Supplementary Figure S2). Although fluorescence modification in a one-pot reaction failed due to unwanted side reactions (Supplementary Figure S3), sequential labeling of CpK by inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder cycloaddition (SPIEDAC) with 6-Methyl- Tetrazine-Sulfo-Cy5 (Cy5-Tet) followed by Copper(II)-dependent azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) of PrF with Sulfo-Cy3-Azide (Cy3-N3) provided perfect specificity (Figure 2b and Supplementary Figure S3) and satisfying efficiency under optimized conditions (Supplementary Figure S4, S5, S6). The degree-of-labeling (DOL) for the doubly labeled variants estimated from the corrected absorbance of dyes and bound flavin cofactors was generally >85 % for SPIEDAC and >55 % for the subsequent CuAAC. Accordingly, determination of the stoichiometry of donor and acceptor dyes on measured single molecules further confirmed the presence of about 1/3 of doubly labeled molecules (data not shown). In addition, the labeled samples of sCPR showed little differences in electron transfer activity (Figure 2c), that were consistent within all doubly labeled constructs and similar to batch-to-batch differences routinely observed between biological replicates. Even more importantly, the functional behavior of labeled sCPR variants in response to different NaCl concentrations with a maximal activity at ~500 mM was retained (Figure 2c and Supplementary Figure S7). The photophysical behavior of the popular cyanine dyes Cy3 and Cy5 is known to be influenced by their local environment. Accordingly, we generated two variants of sCPR in which the positions of donor and acceptor dyes were reversed (Figure 3, sCPR160Cy5,671Cy3 and sCPR160Cy3,671Cy5) and evaluated the established
FRET system by collecting data of freely diffusing single molecules on a homemade confocal setup using alternating pulsed laser excitation (ALEX/PIE).  

Distance determination in smFRET experiments depends on the correct determination of the fluorophores quantum yields ($\Phi_F$). Indeed, the D-A distance ($R$) is calculated in a FRET experiment using the following equation, where two terms depend on $\Phi_F$:

$$E = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{R^6}{R_0^6}}$$

Firstly, the Förster radius $R_0$ depends on the donor QY $\Phi_{F,D}$ as follows:

$$R_0 = 0.2108 \sqrt{\Phi_{F,D} \cdot \kappa^2 \cdot \pi^{-4} \cdot J} \text{ (in Å)}$$

where $\kappa^2$ is the orientation factor, $n$ the medium index of refraction and $J$ the spectral overlap integral (in cm$^{-1}$·M$^{-1}$·nm$^4$).

Secondly, the transfer efficiency $E$ is measured in a ratiometric smFRET experiment using:

$$E = \frac{I_{FRET}}{I_D + I_{FRET}}$$

where $I_D$ and $I_{FRET}$ represent the fluorescence intensities detected for each molecule, i.e. donor and acceptor emissions, respectively (corrected for background and other crosstalk parameters, see Materials and Methods section for details) and where $\gamma$ represents a correction factor that takes into account the fluorescence QYs of both dyes, and the donor ($G_{G|D}$) and acceptor ($G_{R|A}$) detection efficiencies (which depend on the instrumental characteristics and the emission spectral properties of the fluorophores).

$$\gamma = \frac{G_{R|A} \cdot \Phi_{F,A}}{G_{G|D} \cdot \Phi_{F,D}}$$

Thus, any change in the fluorescence QYs ($\Phi_F$) of the dyes needs to be accounted for, to accurately calculate $\gamma$, $E$, $R_0$ and thus $R$. In this context, it is important to use a homogeneous sample where $\Phi_{F,D}$ and $\Phi_{F,A}$ can be measured for a specific position at which the dye is attached to the protein, a condition that is not fulfilled when using D-A statistical labeling on two cysteines for example. This requirement is particularly important when using cyanine dyes (such as Cy3, Cy5, and their derivatives), that are widely used in single molecule FRET experiments, and for which local environmental properties lead to strong changes in QYs and fluorescence lifetimes, notably as a consequence of modulation of the rate at which the molecules isomerize from the photo-active trans into the dark cis state$^{10,22,23}$.

Often, the apparent FRET efficiency (or proximity ratio) $E_{PR}$ is reported in the literature when only relative distance changes are considered and is calculated without taking into account the $\gamma$ correction factor:

$$E_{PR} = \frac{I_{FRET}}{I_D + I_{FRET}}$$

Here, comparison of the apparent FRET efficiency $E_{PR(uncorrected)}$ histogram measured for sCPR labeled with Cy3 (magenta) on FMN and Cy5 (blue) on FAD domain (sCPR$^{160}$Cy3$^{671}$Cy5, top) and vice versa (sCPR$^{160}$Cy5$^{671}$Cy3, bottom). Dashed lines indicate peak positions.

Figure 3. Influence of labeling position on FRET efficiency. Uncorrected (left) and QY corrected (right) histograms of sCPR labeled with Cy3 (magenta) on FMN and Cy5 (blue) on FAD domain (sCPR$^{160}$Cy3$^{671}$Cy5, top) and vice versa (sCPR$^{160}$Cy5$^{671}$Cy3, bottom). Dashed lines indicate peak positions.

Cy5, and their derivatives), that are widely used in single molecule FRET experiments, and for which local environmental properties lead to strong changes in QYs and fluorescence lifetimes, notably as a consequence of modulation of the rate at which the molecules isomerize from the photo-active trans into the dark cis state$^{10,22,23}$.

However, thanks to our orthogonal labeling strategy, we generated four singly labeled constructs and calculated the individual, position specific, donor and acceptor quantum yields (Supplementary Table S1). Accounting for these differences, the corrected FRET efficiency distributions turned out to be nearly identical for sCPR$^{160}$Cy3$^{671}$Cy5 and sCPR$^{160}$Cy5$^{671}$Cy3 in the unlocked (open) state, strikingly demonstrated the effect of dye quantum yield changes due to their local environment on the calculation of this parameter (Figure 3). However, thanks to our orthogonal labeling strategy, we generated four singly labeled constructs and calculated the individual, position specific, donor and acceptor quantum yields (Supplementary Table S1). Accounting for these differences, the corrected FRET efficiency distributions turned out to be nearly identical for sCPR$^{160}$Cy3$^{671}$Cy5 and sCPR$^{160}$Cy5$^{671}$Cy3, underlining the value of the presented approach for acquisition of accurate smFRET data (Figure 3, E$_{corrected}$).
Previous work had shown that the efficiency of cytochrome c reduction by sCPR is directly related to the conformational equilibrium, which can be modulated by ionic strength.\textsuperscript{3,5} Accordingly, the FRET efficiencies of the sCPR\textsubscript{180Cy3,671Cy5} variant decreased with increasing concentrations of NaCl (Figure 4a). This corresponds to an increase of the average inter-dye distance and thus a shift of the equilibrium in favor of the unlocked state. We validated this interpretation using two constructs. First, the sCPR\textsubscript{180Cy5,671Cy3}, for which the two dyes are inverted, displayed a similar salt-dependent shift of the FRET distribution as expected (data not shown). Second, the aforementioned sCPR\textsubscript{299Cy3,671Cy5} variant showed no inter-dye distance changes in response to ionic strength variations (Supplementary Table S2 and Figure S8).

Figure 4. Ionic strength dependent modulation of conformational equilibrium between locked and unlocked states. a) FRET efficiency histograms of sCPR\textsubscript{180Cy3,671Cy5} at different NaCl concentrations. b) Influence of NADP\textsuperscript{+} on equilibrium. Data points represent maxima of the FRET efficiency in the absence (blue squares) and presence (red triangles) of 500 µM NADP\textsuperscript{+}. Functions were derived by fitting a two state model (see text) and the mid-point transition is indicated by a dashed line. c) Widths of FRET efficiency distributions fitted by a Gaussian function, at different NaCl concentrations.
We note that in all cases, the width of the FRET efficiency distributions were wider than what would be predicted for a single donor-acceptor distance, taking into account the distribution broadening due to photon shot noise. This effect is particularly visible at conditions above physiological ionic strength (≥500 mM), and could point to the presence of multiple conformations in the unlocked state, interconverting in the ms timescale, in accordance with previous SAXS, NMR\(^5\) and smFRET data.\(^{24}\)

Several studies have provided evidence for a closing of sCPR induced by its oxidized substrate NADP\(^+\).\(^2,25,26\) For comparison we fitted the smFRET data obtained in the presence and absence of 500 µM NADP\(^+\) to a previously developed salt-dependent two state model of the conformational equilibrium.\(^5\) In the presence of NADP\(^+\) a shift of the mid-point transition to higher NaCl was observed (Figure 4b). However, the overall distribution of FRET efficiencies remained strikingly similar when compared to data obtained in the absence of NADP\(^+\) (Figure 4c). Notably, a maximal average distance of 57 Å of the two dyes was calculated in the unlocked state at 1 M NaCl, which was only slightly reduced to 53 Å when NADP\(^+\) was present. Altogether, NADP\(^+\) binding seems not to be sufficient to close the sCPR structure per se, but rather shifts the mid-point of the conformational equilibrium to stabilize the locked state and has no general effect on the distribution of potential conformational subpopulations. Physiologically, the ionic strength is equivalent to about 170 mM NaCl, and the reported NADP\(^+\) concentration is around 5 µM (100 times lower than the one we used).\(^27\) We can thus hypothesize that the shift in the equilibrium induced by the oxidized cofactor on oxidized CPR is probably minor under physiological conditions.

In this study, we presented a general strategy for the domain-selective equipment of human CPR with a FRET pair to enable an accurate single molecule analysis of conformational dynamics. The developed labeling strategy is of high value for attachment of dyes to any protein for which cysteine and other labeling strategies are inapplicable. It is easily adaptable for the study of diverse biological questions because i) the used OTSs, ncAAs and the FRET pair are easily accessible from academic laboratories and/or commercial sources, ii) they are interchangeable allowing for free choice of ncAAs, labeling reactions and FRET pair, iii) only a single suppression vector has to be co-transformed together with the expression vector for the protein-of-interest and iv) the parallel suppression of two stop codons eliminates the need for any additional components to facilitate efficient ncAA incorporation with high fidelity.

Although a variety of biophysical methods have been applied to study the domain movements in CPR,\(^2,4,5,25,26,28,29\) the newly established FRET system offers a valuable complementation as it enables studying single molecules at timescales inaccessible by other methods within complex biological environments. With the fast pace of technical and methodological advances we envision that this system will provide new insights into the structural dynamics of CPR as a prototypical diflavin reductase but also help to assess, with a very high precision, the potential roles of cofactor binding on structural transitions. As such, CPR can be considered as a model for multidomain proteins exhibiting large and fast conformational transitions.
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Materials and Methods

Materials

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma (France) and Roth (France) if not stated otherwise. Enzymes used for molecular biology were purchased from Thermo Fischer (France).

Template generation

Generation of the expression vector pET15b harboring the non-codon optimized gene of the soluble form of human CPR, which lacks the N-terminal 44 amino acid coding region, and contains a N-terminal 6xHis-tag followed by a thrombin cleavage site, was described previously \(^1\). Mutations were introduced by PCR amplification of the complete vector using completely complementary primer pairs harboring the desired substitutions or 5’ phosphorylated primers generated using the NEBaseChanger software (New England Biolabs). All primer sequences can be found in S10 Table. Initially, the TAG Amber codon terminating the TAG ORF was substituted to the TGA Opal codon using primers 1/2. Subsequently, this template DNA was used to generate CPR mutants containing premature TAG and TAA codons.

The vector pRQ001 used for co-expression of \(Mj\)PrFRS/tRNA\(_{\text{CUA}}\) and \(Mb\)CpKRS/\(Mm\)tRNA\(_{\text{UUA}}\) was generated in several steps based on the previously described enhanced pEVOL system \(^2\). Firstly, the \(Mb\)PylRS gene in the pUltrall vector (gift from PG Schultz, Scripps Institute, La Jolla, USA), containing an Y349F mutation was mutated back to the wild type sequence (UniProtKB - Q6WRH6) using primers 11/12 as described above. Secondly, the wild type PylRS gene was amplified by PCR using primers 13/14 and 15/16 in order to introduce \(Bgl\_\text{II}/S_{\text{all}}\) and \(N_{\text{de}}l/P_{\text{stl}}\)
restriction sites upstream/downstream of the ORF, respectively. The pEvol pylRSWT (containing the wild type \textit{M. mazei} PylRS [UniProtKB - Q8PWY1], gift from EA Lemke, EMBL Heidelberg, Germany) as well as the PCR products were cut accordingly to integrate the amplified wild type \textit{MbPylRS} genes by replacing the \textit{M. mazei} PylRS genes. Subsequently, the pyrrolysyl-tRNA\textsubscript{CUA} gene of the newly generated pEVOL-MbPylRS\textsubscript{CUA} was mutated to change the anticodon CUA to UUA with primers 17/18, enabling suppression of the UAG \textit{Amber} codon. In the same step, a U25C substitution was introduced, which has previously been described to increase the suppression efficiency \textsuperscript{3}. This new vector designated pEVOL-MbPylRS\textsubscript{UUA} was cut with XhoI and treated with the Klenow exonuclease fragment (New England Biolabs, France) to generate blunt ends. A pEVOL vector containing two copies of the PrF-specific mutant of \textit{Mj} tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase \textsuperscript{4} (Y32A, E107P, D158A, L159I, L162A, D286R, gift from Régis Fauré, LISBP, Toulouse, France) as well as the corresponding \textit{Amber} suppressor tRNA\textsubscript{CUA} was cut with XhoI/BamHI and likewise treated to obtain blunt ends. Finally, the fragment containing the MjPrFRS/tRNA\textsubscript{CUA} was ligated into the pEVOL-MbPylRS\textsubscript{UUA} to give pRQ101. Gene sequences of sCPR, synthetases and tRNAs can be found in S11 Gene Sequences.

Protein expression and purification

\textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3) cells were co-transformed with pRQ101 and pET15b-CPR and selected on LB-Agar containing 30 µg/ml Chloramphenicol and 50 µg/ml Ampicillin. A 10 ml pre-culture was inoculated from a single colony and grown over night at 37°C in LB medium supplemented with 30 µg/ml Chloramphenicol and 50 µg/ml Ampicillin. The grown pre-culture was used to inoculate 200 ml TB medium at a 1:100 ratio in a 1 L Erlenmeyer flask supplemented with 30 µg/ml Chloramphenicol and 50 µg/ml Ampicillin, 100 µM Riboflavin, 2 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4} and 1 mM of PrF (Iris
Biotech, Germany), CpK (Sirius Fine Chemicals, Germany) or both. The culture was grown at 28°C without addition of IPTG in order to take advantage of the leakage of the lac operon promoting a slow expression of CPR and thereby providing soluble, properly folded and functional sCPR. After 4-6 h culturing, L-arabinose was added to a final concentration of 0.02%. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 x g and 4°C for 10 min following a total of 48 h post-inoculation. Cell pellets were washed with PBS and subsequently resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Na/K PO₄, pH 7.4, 250 mM NaCl, 250 mM KCl, 1 x protease inhibitor cocktail (0.3 µM aprotinin, 1 µM leupeptin, 1.5 µM pepstatin A, 100 µM benzamidine, 100 µM metabisulfite), 1mM Tris-(2-carboxyethyl)-phosphin, 2 µM FMN, 2 µM FAD, Lysozyme and DNasel). The resuspended cells were incubated for 30 min at RT and disrupted by 4 consecutive freeze-thaw cycles using liquid N₂ and a water bath at 42°C with rigorous vortexing in between. Subsequently, the soluble fraction was obtained by centrifugation at 10,000 x g and 4°C for 1h. For an initial purification sCPR containing a N-terminal 6xHis-tag was bound to TALON Superflow resin (GE Healthcare, France). After washing with a 20 mM Na/K PO₄ (pH 7.4) buffer containing 250 mM NaCl, 250 mM KCl, 2 µM FMN and 2 µM FAD, sCPR was eluted with 20 mM Na/K PO₄, (pH 7.4) containing 250 mM NaCl, 250 mM KCl, 2 µM FMN, 2 µM FAD and 250 mM Imidazole. Protein was oxidized with potassium ferricyanide prior to a concentration step using Amicon Ultra 0.5 mL centrifugal filters (cut-off of 50 kDa (Merck, France)) with a centrifugation at 14000 x g and 4°C for 5-10 min. Finally, five consecutive rounds of concentration and dilution with 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 (approximately 10 to 20-fold per dilution) were used to remove residual traces of imidazole and exchange the buffer. A second purification step was performed using 2’5’-ADP-Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare, France). The 6xHis-tag purified
sCPR was diluted approximately 1:1 with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) containing 150 mM NaCl and bound to the resin, followed by washing with the same buffer. The protein was eluted with a 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) buffer solution containing 500 mM NaCl, 2 µM FMN, 2 µM FAD and 1 mM NADP⁺. Subsequent concentration and buffer exchange were performed using Amicon centrifugal filters as described above. The sCPR concentration was determined by absorption spectroscopy based on the known absorbance maximum of the oxidized flavin cofactors (ε₄₅₄nm = 22,000 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹). Protein integrity was verified by SDS-PAGE, Western Blot (1ˢᵗ antibody: 6x-His Tag Monoclonal Antibody (HIS.H8), MA1-21315, Thermo Fischer, France; 2ⁿᵈ antibody: Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, HRP, #31430, Thermo Fischer, France; detection: SIGMAFAST BCIP/NBT, Sigma, France). Protein functionality was verified by determination of the cytochrome c reduction efficiency kₐₜₜ (see below).

**Protein labeling**

Typical labeling reactions were performed in a total volume of 50-100 µl at a final concentration of 20 µM sCPR. The strain-promoted inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder cycloaddition (SPIEDAC) was carried out at 30°C for 16 h in 20 mM Na/K PO₄ buffer (pH 7.4) containing 500 mM NaCl and 200 µM 6-Methyl-Tetrazine-Sulfo-Cy5 (Jena Bioscience, Germany). Following incubation, the reaction was diluted to 500 µl with a 20 mM Na/K PO₄ buffer (pH 7.4) containing 2 µM FMN, 2 µM FAD and the sample was subsequently concentrated using Amicon centrifugal filters (as described above). This wash and concentration procedure was repeated three times to remove the majority of free Cy5 dye, followed by a final gel filtration step using Zeba Spin Desalting Columns, 40K MWCO, 0.5 mL (Thermo Fischer, France) equilibrated with the same buffer but lacking FMN and FAD.
For the copper(I)-dependent alkyne-azide cycloaddition (CuAAC), first a 20 x stock solution containing 10 mM CuSO₄ and 50 mM THPTA (Tris(3-hydroxypropyltriazolyl-methyl)amine) was prepared in 20 mM Na/K PO₄ buffer (pH7.4). A 50 µl reaction mixture was set up by mixing 2.5 µl of the CuSO₄:THPTA stock with 20 mM Na/K PO₄ buffer (pH7.4). Subsequently, sCPR was added (20 µM final concentration) followed by Sulfo-Cy3-Azide (Jena Bioscience, Germany) at a final concentration of 200 µM. Finally, the reaction was started by addition of 2.5 µl of a 100 mM stock of freshly prepared sodium L-ascorbate and quenched after one minute by addition of 50 µl cold 20 mM Na/K PO₄ buffer (pH7.4) containing 2 mM EDTA. Dye removal was achieved as described above for the SPIEDAC labeling.

Labeling specificity and efficiency were estimated by use of absorption spectroscopy and in-gel (SDS-PAGE) fluorescence using a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Biorad). The labeling efficiency was estimated, based on the absorbance maxima of the dyes provided by the manufacturer (ε₆₄₇nm 6-Methyl-Tetrazine-Sulfo-Cy5 = 251,000 cm⁻¹.M⁻¹; ε₅₅₃nm Sulfo-Cy3-Azide = 151,000 cm⁻¹.M⁻¹). Concentrations of labeled sCPR were calculated based on values of oxidized flavin cofactor absorbance at 454 nm after spectral deconvolution using a homemade software (with kind permission of Denis Pompon).

**Cytochrome c reduction assay**

The activity of sCPR and labeled variants thereof was assessed at salt concentrations corresponding to the ones used for smFRET measurements by determining the initial velocity of cytochrome c reduction referred to as $k_{obs}$. A typical reaction was performed in a plastic cuvette in a total volume of 1 ml at 22-26°C. First, 943 µl of 20 mM Tris-HCl at pH7.4 containing either 0, 250, 500, 750 or 1000 mM NaCl were mixed with 50 µl 2 mM cytochrome c (#C3131 Sigma, France),
followed by addition of 2 µl 100 mM freshly prepared NADPH. After thorough but careful mixing the acquisition was started to record the baseline and upon addition of 5 µl sCPR at 2 µM the reduction of cytochrome c was recorded at 550 nm with 0.1 sec averaging for a total of 2 min using the Varian Cary 100 Scan UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent, France). The initial velocity $k_{obs}$ was calculated using the slope of the linear part of the curve and $\Delta\varepsilon_{550nm} = 21,000 \ cm^{-1}.M^{-1}$ for reduced cytochrome c.

**Quantum yield determination**

Relative quantum yields (QY) for singly labeled constructs were determined by the so-called comparative method\(^5\) using the Varian Cary spectrophotometer and the SAFAS Xenius XC spectrofluorimeter (SAFAS Monaco, Monaco). After labeling and purification, singly labeled sCPR was diluted to obtain a maximal OD of 0.1 at wavelength higher than the excitation wavelength in buffer containing non-labeled sCPR to give a total final concentration of labeled + non-labeled sCPR of 1 µM, preventing dilution-dependent flavin cofactor loss. Absorbance and fluorescence spectra were recorded for 5 dilutions at 22-26°C and QY were calculated using cresyl violet (QY 0.54 in methanol) and Cy5 (QY 0.27 in PBS) as standards for Sulfo-Cy3-Azide (excitation 515 nm, emission 525 - 800 nm) and 6-Methyl-Tetrazine-Sulfo-Cy5 (excitation 600 nm, emission 610 - 800 nm), respectively.

**smFRET measurements and data analysis**

smFRET measurements were performed on a home-built confocal PIE-MFD microscope as described previously\(^6\). Samples at a concentration of 30 to 100 pM labeled sCPR in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH7.4) with 0, 250, 500, 750 or 1000 mM NaCl and supplemented with 1 µM non-labeled sCPR to prevent cofactor loss were placed
in transparent non-binding 384-well plates (Corning, USA) and acquisitions were performed for at least 2 h at room temperature (22°C). The power of green and red laser beams was set to 25 µW each before entering the microscope. Collected data were analyzed with the "Software Package for Multiparameter Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Full Correlation and Multiparameter Fluorescence Imaging" developed in CAM Seidel’s laboratory (www.mpc.uni-duesseldorf.de)\(^7\). A single-molecule event was defined as a burst containing at least 30 photons. For each photon burst, we calculated the intensity-derived donor-acceptor FRET efficiencies (\(E\)), and the apparent FRET efficiencies (\(E_{PR}\))\(^8\) using the recommendations of a recent worldwide benchmark study on precision and accuracy of single-molecule FRET measurements\(^9\). These calculations require the correction of the background from donor and acceptor channels, the factor for spectral crosstalk (\(\alpha\)), arising from donor fluorescence leakage into the acceptor channel, the factor for direct excitation (\(\delta\)) of the acceptor with the donor laser and the detection correction factor (\(\gamma\)). In our experimental configuration, we found \(\alpha=0.07\) and \(\delta=0.07\). \(E_{PR}\) was calculated as follows:

\[
E_{PR} = \frac{[I_{Aem|Dex} - \alpha I_{Dem|Dex} - \delta I_{Aem|Aex}]}{I_{Dem|Dex} + [I_{Aem|Dex} - \alpha I_{Dem|Dex} - \delta I_{Aem|Aex}]}
\]

, where \(I_{x|y}\) are the background-corrected acceptor emission upon donor excitation (\(I_{Aem|Dex}\)), donor-emission upon donor excitation (\(I_{Dem|Dex}\)), and acceptor-emission upon acceptor excitation (\(I_{Aem|Aex}\)). \(E_{PR}\) does not take into consideration the detection correction factor (\(\gamma\)). The calculation of the FRET efficiency (E) thus requires the determination of the ratio of the donor (\(G_{G|D}\)) and acceptor (\(G_{R|A}\))
detection efficiencies (arXiv:1710.03807 [q-bio.QM]), and fluorescence quantum yields ($\Phi_{F,D}$ and $\Phi_{F,A}$).

$$E = \frac{[I_{Aem|Dex} - \alpha I_{Dem|Dex} - \delta I_{Aem|Aex}]}{\gamma[I_{Dem|Dex} + [I_{Aem|Dex} - \alpha I_{Dem|Dex} - \delta I_{Aem|Aex}]}$$

where,

$$\gamma = \frac{G_{R|A} \Phi_{F,A}}{G_{G|D} \Phi_{F,D}}$$

**Model of an open conformation of the human soluble CPR**

The structure of the yeast:human chimera (PDB ID 3FJO)$^1$ was used to create a model of the human soluble form of CPR in a potential extended conformation. An alignment between the yeast:human chimera and the human soluble form of CPR (sequence from PDB ID 5FA6$^2$ was generated using CLUSTALW (http://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw). The YASARA software suite (YASARA Bioscience GmbH, Germany) was used to build the model using the AMBER03 force field.

**Curve fitting of FRET efficiencies vs. salt concentrations**

The curve fitting was performed as described in$^{11}$. Briefly, the FRET efficiency (E) was used to calculate the equilibrium constant $K_e$ between the locked and unlocked states of human soluble CPR with the following equation:

$$p_L = \frac{E_{max} - E}{E_{max} - E_{min}}$$

with $E_{max} = E_{[NaCl]=0M} + \alpha$ and $E_{min} = E_{[NaCl]=1M} - \beta$
\[ p_L = 1 - p_U \]

\[ K_e = \frac{p_U}{p_L} \]

where \( p_U \) represents the proportion of the unlocked state, \( p_L \) the proportion of the locked state, \( E_{\text{max}} \) the maximum FRET efficiency, \( E_{\text{min}} \) the FRET efficiency at infinite NaCl concentration, \( \alpha \) the difference between the maximum FRET efficiency and the one obtained at 0 M NaCl and \( \beta \) the difference between the FRET efficiency at 1 M NaCl and the one at infinite NaCl concentration. Obtained \( K_e \) values were then fitted to the following equation with the Solver function of Excel (Microsoft, USA) using \( m, \Delta G^w, \alpha \) and \( \beta \) as parameters.

\[ K_e = e^{-\left(\frac{\Delta G^w - m[\text{NaCl}]}{RT}\right)} \]

**Calculation of Förster radius \( R_0 \) and total distances \( R \)**

The apparent Förster radius \( R_0 \) for the Cy3-Cy5 FRET pair conjugated to sCPR\(_{160\text{Cy3,671Cy5}}\) was calculated using the following equation

\[ R_0 = 9.78 \times 10^3 \left( J \kappa^2 n^{-4} \Phi_D \right)^{1/6} \]

where \( J \) is the spectral overlap integral of the donor emission and acceptor excitation spectra, \( \kappa^2 \) is the orientation factor (2/3 in accordance with freely rotating dye molecules attached to the sCPR, which was supported by anisotropy measurements), \( n \) is the refractive index of the medium (1.4) and \( \Phi_D \) the donor quantum yield (56.4 Å).

The calculated \( R_0 \) was then used to obtain total distances \( R \) according to
for the different experimentally determined peak values of the FRET efficiency $E$.
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Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Site-directed incorporation of two distinct ncAAs in human sCPR.

Immunoblot of soluble and insoluble fractions after lysis of cells expressing wild-type or sCPR<sub>160Prf,671CpK</sub> gene harboring the two internal stop codons (Amb160+Och671) in the presence or absence of the non-canonical amino acids. Full-length sCPR, the suppression product (SP=sCPR<sub>160Prf,671CpK</sub>) and the two termination products at Amb160 (TP160) and Och671 (TP671) are marked by arrows. The incorporation of PrF and CpK is color coded in magenta and blue, respectively.
Figure S2: Selective purification of full-length sCPR suppression product (SP) by affinity chromatography using 2'5'-ADP sepharose.

The full-length suppression product binds to the affinity matrix (left) while the truncated sCPR (TP630) lacking the 10 C-terminal amino acids does not bind and therefore is not present in the final concentrated eluate (right).
Figure S3: Incompatibility of SPIEDAC and CuAAC.

The wild-type sCPR gene, not containing any premature stop codons was expressed in the presence of the orthogonal systems (PrFRS/tRNA\textsubscript{CUA} and PylRS/tRNA\textsubscript{UUA}) and the ncAAs PrF and CpK, purified and subsequently treated with Tet-Cy5 only (SPIEDAC) or with Tet-Cy5, N\textsubscript{3}-Cy3 and corresponding click reagents (CuSO\textsubscript{4}, Na\textsuperscript{+}-Ascorbate, THPTA, SPIEDAC+CuAAC). The absorption spectra normalized to the flavin cofactor maximum at 454nm show that sCPR is modified by Tet-Cy5 only when being treated with the CuAAC reagents (red curve), which demonstrates an unspecific side reaction occurring under these conditions. In contrast, no labeling is observed when the wild type protein is treated only with Tet-Cy5 (blue curve), underlining the good specificity of the SPIEDAC reaction in the absence of the CuAAC reagents and further providing evidence that CpK is only incorporated in response to stop codon suppression in the mutant sCPR gene variants harboring premature stop codons.
Figure S4: Absorption spectra of labeled sCPR variants.

The absorption spectra were normalized to the flavin cofactor maximum at 454nm.
Figure S5: Time-course of the inverse electron-demand Diels Alder cycloaddition (SPIEDAC) reaction between sCPR$_{671}$CpK and 6-Methyl-Tetrazine-Sulfo-Cy5 at 30°C.

Spectra were normalized to the flavin cofactor absorbance maximum at 454 nm and show that the degree-of-labeling reaches a plateau at 5h of reaction time at 30°C.
Figure S6: sCPR functionality at different time points during Copper(I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) between sCPR$_{160}$PrF and Sulfo-Cy3-Azide at 500 µM CuSO$_4$.

Functionality was determined by cytochrome $c$ reduction efficiency and expressed as a fraction of the measured $k_{obs}$ at t=n over the $k_{obs}$ at t=0 and shows that only a minor loss of activity (around 10%) is observed up to 60 sec of reaction time at RT.
**Figure S7:** Salt-dependent cytochrome c reduction efficiency profile expressed as a ratio of the maximum $k_{obs}$ value at 500 mM NaCl for each sCPR variant.

All sCPR variants exhibit similarly shaped profiles in response to changing salt concentrations, which reflects the minor impact of labels on sCPR functionality. For explanations on the different mutants see main text.
Figure S8: FRET efficiency histograms of sCPR$_{299}$Cy$_3$,$671$Cy$_5$ at 0 and 1000 mM NaCl.

No change in the shape and the peak value of the FRET efficiency are observed, thereby confirming that the presence of NaCl alone has no influence. As such, observed changes in the FRET efficiency are due to conformational changes, resulting in altered average distances between the two fluorophores.
Supporting Tables

Table S1: Quantum yields (QYs) used for correction of FRET efficiencies.

QYs were determined for singly labeled sCPR variants by the comparative method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cy3</th>
<th>Cy5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sCPR&lt;sub&gt;160Cy3,671Cy5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 NaCl</td>
<td>1M NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCPR&lt;sub&gt;160Cy5,671Cy3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 NaCl</td>
<td>1M NaCl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2: Experimentally determined Förster radii R<sub>0</sub> given in Ångström.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0 NaCl</th>
<th>1M NaCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sCPR&lt;sub&gt;160Cy3,671Cy5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCPR&lt;sub&gt;160Cy5,671Cy3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S3: Total average inter-dyes distances.

Distances were calculated from the corrected FRET efficiencies at different salt concentrations with sCPR<sub>160Cy3,671Cy5</sub> in the presence and absence of 500 µM NADP<sup>+</sup> (distances are displayed in Å).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[NaCl] (M)</th>
<th>Average distance without NADP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Average distance with NADP&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S4: Primer Sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>p450Stop-F</td>
<td>TGGACGTGTGGAGCTGATAAGGGCGGATCCGG</td>
<td>TAG639TGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>p450Stop-R</td>
<td>CCGGATCCGCCCTTTATCAGCTCCACACGTCCA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>vHCPR-Amb160-F</td>
<td>CTTCTACGACTGGCTTAGGAGACAGACGGTGG</td>
<td>Q119TAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>vHCPR-Amb160-R</td>
<td>CCAACGTCCTGTCTCTCTACAGCCAGTCTAGAGAAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>vHCPR-Amb671-F</td>
<td>CAAAGAACTGATGACCTAGGGGCGGCTACTCCC</td>
<td>K630TAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>vHCPR-Amb671-R</td>
<td>GGGAGTAGCGGCCCTAGGTCATCAGTTTCTTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>vHCPR-Och160-F</td>
<td>GACCTTCTAGCCTGGCTGTAAGAGACAGACGTGGATCTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>vHCPR-Och160-R</td>
<td>GAGATCCACGTCTGTCTTTACAGCCAGTCGTAAGTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>vHCPR-Och671-F</td>
<td>CAAGAAAAGTATGACCTAAGGGCGCTACTCCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>vHCPR-Och671-R</td>
<td>CCAGGGAGTAGCGGCCCTAGGTCATCAGTTTCTTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vCPRHs-Amb258-F</td>
<td>Phos-GAACCGAGGATAGAGGAGCCACCTAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vCPRHs-Amb258-R</td>
<td>Phos-AGCTTCCGTTGTTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>vMbPylRS-F349Y-F</td>
<td>GATTCTTGATGGTGATGCGATACCTGGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>vMbPylRS-F349Y-R</td>
<td>GTCGAGGGATCGCCATACACCATTGCAAGAATC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>MbPylRS1-BglII-F</td>
<td>GAGGAATTAGATCTATGGATAAAAACCGCTTGGACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>MbPylRS1-Sall-R</td>
<td>GATGATGTCGGCTACGATGGTGGAGATGCAACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>MbPylRS2-Ndel-F</td>
<td>GAGGAATCCCATATGGATAAAAACCGCTGGACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>MbPylRS2-PstI-R</td>
<td>CGTTGGAATCTGGATCAATGGTGTTGAGATGCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>vMmPylT-UUA+U25C-F</td>
<td>GATCATGTAGATCGAACGGACTTTAAATCCGTTCCAGCCG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>vMmPylT-UUA+U25C-R</td>
<td>CGGCTGAAAGATTAAAGCTCGCTTACATGATC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gene and protein sequences

Triplet codons and amino acids mutated in the course of this study are highlighted in red.

sCPR

ATGGGCAGCAGCCATCATCATCATCATCAGCAGCGGCCTGGTGCCGCGCGGAGCCA
TATGCTCGAGTCTCTGTCAGAGAGAGCGCTTTTGTGGAAGAGATGAAGAAACGGGGAG
GAACATCATCGTGTTTCTACGGCTCCAGACGAGGGACTGCAAGAGAGTTTGCCAACCGCCT
GTCCAAAGGACCGCCACCCGCTACGGGATGCGGAGCATGTCAGCGGACCCTGAGGAGTATG
ACCTGGCCGACCTGAGCACGCTCCTCGAGATCGACAACGCCCTGGTGGTTTTCTGCATGG
CCACCTACGGTGAGGAGACCCACCCCCACCCCGAATGCCCGGACTTCTACAGACTGCGCTG
CAG

GAGACAGACGTGGATCTCTCTGGAAGGATGGAGGGACTGCAAGAGACCGCGCCGGCTCTGCATGG
CCACCTACGGTGAGGAGACCCACCCCCACCCCGAATGCCCGGACTTCTACAGACTGCGCTG
CAG

GAGACAGACGTGGATCTCTCTGGAAGGATGGAGGGACTGCAAGAGACCGCGCCGGCTCTGCATGG
CCACCTACGGTGAGGAGACCCACCCCCACCCCGAATGCCCGGACTTCTACAGACTGCGCTG
CAG

GAGACAGACGTGGATCTCTCTGGAAGGATGGAGGGACTGCAAGAGACCGCGCCGGCTCTGCATGG
CCACCTACGGTGAGGAGACCCACCCCCACCCCGAATGCCCGGACTTCTACAGACTGCGCTG
CAG

GAGACAGACGTGGATCTCTCTGGAAGGATGGAGGGACTGCAAGAGACCGCGCCGGCTCTGCATGG
CCACCTACGGTGAGGAGACCCACCCCCACCCCGAATGCCCGGACTTCTACAGACTGCGCTG
CAG

GAGACAGACGTGGATCTCTCTGGAAGGATGGAGGGACTGCAAGAGACCGCGCCGGCTCTGCATGG
CCACCTACGGTGAGGAGACCCACCCCCACCCCGAATGCCCGGACTTCTACAGACTGCGCTG
CAG

GAGACAGACGTGGATCTCTCTGGAAGGATGGAGGGACTGCAAGAGACCGCGCCGGCTCTGCATGG
CCACCTACGGTGAGGAGACCCACCCCCACCCCGAATGCCCGGACTTCTACAGACTGCGCTG
CAG
TACTCCATCGCCTCATCCTCAAAGGTCCACCCCACTCTGTGACATCTGTGCAGGTCTG
TGAGAATGAGAGACCTGACAGACGAGAGACCTGCCTGCCTCTTCAAGGCCACCACAC
GTGTCATCATGGTGGGCCCCGGCA GCAGGAGGACCTGAGCTGAGCTGGCAGTCTGC
CCGCGGGAGCAGCGAGCTGAGCGCGCTGACCGCTGCCTGCCTGCCTGCCTGCCTGC
CCGCGGGAGCAGCGAGCTGAGCGCGCTGACCGCTGCCTGCCTGCCTGCCTGCCTG
CCTTCTCCCGGGAGCAGTCCCAACAAGGTCTACGTCCAGAGACTGAGCTAGCAAGC
AGCAGGTCGAGAAGTGGATCGAAGGCGGTCGGCCACCATCTACGTCTGTCGGGATGC
ACAGGGCCAGGATGTCGAGAACACCTTCTAGCAGATCGTGGCTGAGCTGGGCATGG
AGCACGCGCAGGGCGTGGGACTACATCAAGAAACTGATGACCACAGGGCGCTACTCC
GACGTGTGGAGCTGA

MGSSHHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSHMLESSVRESFVEKMKTGRNNIFIVYGSQTGTAEFEFANRLSK
DAAHRYGMRGMSADPEEYDLADLSSLPEIDNALVFFCMATYEGDPTDNAQDFYDWLQETDV
LSGVKFAVFLGNNKTYEHFNAMKGYVDKRLEQLGAQRFELGLGDDDNGNLEEDFITWREQFW
PAVCEHFGVEATEGEESSIRQYELVVHTDDAAKVKYMGMRLSKYENQKPPFDKNNFLAAT
TNRKLQGGTERHLHMHELDISDSKIRYESGDHVAVYPANDSALVNQLGKILGADLDVMSLNNL
DEESNNKHPFPCPTSYRTALTYYLDITNPRTNVLIELAQYASEPSEQELRKRMASSSGEGKEL
YLSWVVEARRHILAILQDCPSLRPPPIDLCELLPRLQARYYSIASSSKVPNSVHICAVVVVEYETK
AGRINKGVATNWLRRAKEPAGENGGRALVPMFVRKSKQFRLPFKATTPVIMVPGTVGAPFIFIC
QERAWLRQQQGKEVGETLLYYGCRSSDELYREELAQFHRDGALTQLNVAFSREQSHKVVY
QHLLKQDREHLWKLIEGGAGHIVYVCGDARNMARDVQNTFYDIVAELGAMEHAQAVDVYIKKLMTK
GRYSLDVWS*
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ATGGGAAAAAACCGCTGGGACCTTCTGATCTCCGCTACCGGTTCGTAAGTGCACGCTGCAGCGAG

GGTACGCTGCATAAAAATATACCCACGCAAAGTGCATATCCGCTGGTTGACCGCTGCGTCTGAG

GGTTCCCGGCATCAGGATGAGCCGCACG

GGTACGCTGCATAAAAATATACCCACGCAAAGTGCATATCCGCTGGTTGACCGCTGCGTCTGAG

GGTTCCCGGCATCAGGATGAGCCGCACG

MGKKPLDVLISATGLWMSRTGTLHKIKHHEVSRSKIYIEMACGDHLVVNNSRSCRTARAFRHHK

YRKTCRKRIVSREDINNLTRSTESKNSVKVRVVSAPKV KKMKPKSVSRAPKPLENSVSAAKAS
TNTSRVPSPAKSTPNSSVPASAPAPSLSQLDRVEALLSPEDKISLNNMAKPFRELEPELVT
RKNDFQRLYTNDREDYLGKLERDITKFFVDRGFLEIKS PILPAEYVERMGNNDTSLKQIFRD
KNLCLRPMLAPLTYNLRLDRILPGPIKFEVGPCYRKESEGDKEHLEEFTMVNFCQMGSGCTR
ENLAIKEFLYDEIFVEIVGDSCMVYGDTLDIMHDLELLSSAVGVPRSLREWGIKPVIGAG
FGLERLLKVHMHGFKNIKRASRSESYNGISTNL*

Optimized *M. mazei* tRNA$_{UAA}$

GGAAACCTGATCATGTAGATCGAAA CGGACTTTAAATCCGTTCAGCGCGGGTTAGATTCCCGG
GGTTTCCGCCCA

*M. janaschii* PrFRS

ATGGACGAGTTGCAAAATGATTAACCGCAACACCAGCGAAATTATCTCTGAAAGAAGAGCTGC
GCGAGGTGCTGAAAGAACGAAAGACGACGCGCGGCTTGGCTTTTGAGCCGGTCCGGTTAA
ATTCACTGGTCACTACCTGGCAAATCAAGAAGAGATGATTGATCTGAAACACGCTGGTTT
ACATCATATTACCTCCTGGCGGCAGCCTGACCTGCCCTACCTGAATCAAAAGGGCGAGCTGGATG
AGATTGCAAGATCGGCAGCTACAATACAAAGAAAGTCTCTGAAAGCCATGGTTTGAAGGCTAA
ATACGTCTACGTTAGGCCCCTTCCAGCTGGATAAGATTACACGTTGAAATGTGTACGCTGGT
GCCGTGAAAACCAAGCTGCAAACCGCGCCGTCGGTCCATGGAGCTGATTGCGCGAGGA
TGAAATCAAAATTTGGCTGAGGTTATTACCTACCTGAAATGGTAATGCTATTCACACTACG
CGGGTGTGGATGGTTGCCGGCGTGGTGTGGAGGCAACGCAAATTACATGCTGGACGATG
AAGTCTCCGGAAAAAGTTTGTCTTGATGTTTACCTACCTGAAATGGAAATGCTATCCAG
AGGGTAAATGACGACAGCAAGGGTTAATCTTGAGTGAGGATAAGCCGGAAAGAAAT
CCGTCGAGATCGAAAGGCGTACTGCCGGCAGGCGTGGTTGAGGGTAACCCGATCAT
GGAAATCCGCAAAGTATTTCTGGAATACCCACTGACGATTAAGCGCCCGGAGAAATTTGC
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GGCGACCTGACCCTACACAGCTACGAGGCTGAAAGCTTTGTTTAAGGAAAGAAAGAACTG
CATCCGATGCGCTGAAAACGCACCTGACCGGGAAGAGCTTTAAGGAAAGAAAGAACTG
CGAAACGTCTGTAA

MDEFEMIKRTSIEEELREVLKKEKDKSAAGCEGEPGKIEGHLHLYLQIKKMDLQNAGFIDIIAD
LHYLNONQNDEIKIGDYNKKEAMGLKAKVYVGSPFQDLYTLNYLALKTTMIKLRARR
SMELIAREDENPKVAEVIYPMQVNAIHYAGVDVAVGGEQRKHHMLARELLPKVVCICNPVL
GLDGEGLMSSKGNFIAVDDPEEIRAKKAYCPAVGVEGTPEIAYFLEYPMLIKRPEKFL
GDLTVNSYEELESFLKNNKELHMPRLKNAVEELIKILEPRKRL*

M. janaschii tRNA_{CUA}

CCGGCGGCTAGTCAGCAAGGCAGAAGCCGACTCAAATCCGACAGGCAAGCAGGTCAAA
ATCCCTCCGCCGGA